1	Wednesday, 2 March 2022	1	remember.
2	(10.00 am)	2	Q. Can you remember how long you acted up as a DCM?
3	THE CHAIR: Good morning. Thank you very much. Mr Altman,	3	A. I think it was about a month. I think.
4	good morning.	4	Q. Did anybody support you for that role, or was it simply
5	MR ALTMAN: Thank you.	5	that you were identified as somebody who could act up as
6	MR DEREK MURPHY (sworn)	6	a DCM? Do you remember how it came about?
7	Examination by MR ALTMAN	7	A. I think I was identified and another manager another
8	MR ALTMAN: Give us your full name, please, Mr Murphy?	8	DCM, I shadowed him for a little while, and that was it.
9	A. It's Derek Murphy.	9	Q. Who did you shadow?
10	Q. Mr Murphy, you will confirm that you have made two	10	A. Dave Aldis.
11	witness statements to the inquiry <inq000113>, which</inq000113>	11	Q. As a matter of history, you will remember if needs
12	is our reference. That was your annex A statement,	12	be, I can show you the documents on 25 August, you
13	dated 21 January this year. And a second statement,	13	received a precautionary suspension from duty. On
14	annex B, dated 6 February, which is our inquiry	14	12 October 2017, a final written warning, based on
15	reference <inq000121>. Chair, I will ask for those to</inq000121>	15	comments made by you on 14 June we will come back to
16	be adduced in full, please?	16	that. On 15 November, you may remember you received
17	THE CHAIR: Indeed. Thank you.	17	a letter terminating your employment with G4S, not least
18	MR ALTMAN: Mr Murphy, let me just deal with a few general	18 19	because the Home Office had suspended your
19	matters fairly speedily. You were employed at		accreditation. You appealed against the revocation of
20	Brook House between 25 April 2016 and 9 November 2017.	20 21	your certificate in November 2017 but you lost that
21	Is that right?	21 22	appeal.
22	A. That sounds about right, yeah.	23	A. I didn't get an appeal. I was told there was no room for appeal.
23	Q. Before that, what had you done?	24	Q. So, whichever way it happened, you (overspeaking) didn't
24	A. I worked about 20 years in the security industry.	25	get it?
25	Q. What attracted you to G4S and working at Brook House?	23	get it:
	Page 1		Page 3
i			
1	A. Just a change of job, a new challenge.	1	A. Yeah, I didn't get an appeal, so I didn't lose an
1 2	A. Just a change of job, a new challenge.Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in	1 2	A. Yeah, I didn't get an appeal, so I didn't lose an appeal, I didn't get an appeal.
2	Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in	2	appeal, I didn't get an appeal.
2	Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016?	2 3	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House
2 3 4	Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016?A. Yes.	2 3 4	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive
2 3 4 5	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to 	2 3 4 5	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put 	2 3 4 5 6	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, 23,="" chair,<="" li="" tab=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7	 appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, 23,="" a="" by<="" chair,="" is="" letter="" li="" sent="" tab="" that="" this="" to="" was="" you=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, 23,="" a="" advisor,="" by="" chair,="" dated<="" fernandes,="" hr="" is="" letter="" li="" michelle="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" to="" was="" who="" you=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, 23,="" 31="" a="" advisor,="" by="" chair,="" dated="" fernandes,="" hr="" is="" july:<="" letter="" li="" michelle="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" to="" was="" who="" you=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" 23,="" 31="" a="" advisor,="" by="" chair,="" dated="" derek,<="" fernandes,="" hr="" is="" july:="" letter="" li="" michelle="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" to="" was="" who="" you=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" advisor,="" am="" by="" chair,="" conversations,="" dated="" derek,="" fernandes,="" hr="" i="" is="" july:="" letter="" li="" michelle="" recent="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" to="" to<="" was="" who="" writing="" you=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" act="" advisor,="" agreement="" am="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" dated="" derek,="" detainee<="" fernandes,="" hr="" i="" is="" july:="" letter="" li="" michelle="" of="" recent="" role="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" who="" writing="" you="" your=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" derek,="" detainee="" fernandes,="" hr="" i="" is="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" michelle="" of="" recent="" role="" sent="" tab="" that="" the="" this="" this<="" to="" up="" was="" whilst="" who="" writing="" you="" your=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."<="" effect="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" is="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" michelle="" of="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> So, from that point on, Mr Murphy, is it correct </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."<="" effect="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" is="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" michelle="" of="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? A. Yes, that's what the letter is saying, yeah. </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as I'm concerned, that's my way of explaining it. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? A. Yes, that's what the letter is saying, yeah. Q. Did you remain in that role, as far as you remember now, </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as I'm concerned, that's my way of explaining it. It was hell for the detainees and it was hell for the officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? A. Yes, that's what the letter is saying, yeah. Q. Did you remain in that role, as far as you remember now, or did you return to DCO duties any time after? </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as I'm concerned, that's my way of explaining it. It was hell for the detainees and it was hell for the officers that had to work there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? A. Yes, that's what the letter is saying, yeah. Q. Did you remain in that role, as far as you remember now, </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as I'm concerned, that's my way of explaining it. It was hell for the detainees and it was hell for the officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. You went in as a DCO, did the training, presumably, in the May of that year, 2016? A. Yes. Q. We have asked others about training, so I'm not going to ask you. Are you able to confirm, and perhaps I'll put up on screen to help you <cjs0073861, "dear="" "further="" 23,="" 31="" a="" absence="" act="" advisor,="" after="" agreement="" am="" and="" by="" chair,="" confirm="" conversations,="" correct="" covering="" custody="" dated="" dcm="" dco="" derek,="" detainee="" duties."="" effect="" effect,="" fernandes,="" from="" hr="" i="" in="" is="" it="" july="" july:="" letter="" li="" manager="" manager,="" manager?<="" michelle="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on,="" point="" recent="" return="" role="" sent="" so,="" tab="" take="" that="" the="" this="" to="" up="" was="" were,="" which="" whilst="" who="" will="" writing="" you="" your=""> A. I think so. I'm not 100 per cent sure. Q. That's what the letter tends to indicate? A. Yes, that's what the letter is saying, yeah. Q. Did you remain in that role, as far as you remember now, or did you return to DCO duties any time after? </cjs0073861,>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appeal, I didn't get an appeal. Q. Tell us a little about your impression of Brook House over the period you were there. Was it an aggressive place? A. It was a horrible place. Q. Horrible because? A. Aggressive. It was it was horrible. It was smelly, aggressive. There was no back-up from senior management. It was just the job I was sold in the interview wasn't the job that we were that I was prepared for. Q. When you say it was "horrible", are you simply talking about detainees or are you also talking about your colleagues? A. In general, it was horrible. Q. In general? A. Yes. Q. You said it was "smelly". What else about it was horrible? A. It was just horrible. It was hell on earth. As far as I'm concerned, that's my way of explaining it. It was hell for the detainees and it was hell for the officers that had to work there.

1	back-up?	1	end up being first response, because I remember, at the
2	A. It didn't take a blind man could have seen we needed	2	beginning of my contract working there, that I was
3	back-up there. We needed support.	3	told I can't remember who told me that "If you are
4	Q. What kind of support?	4	put on first response or if you're asked to do a planned
5	A. Anything every sort of support. The DCMs were doing	5	intervention or C&R, or whatever, and if you refuse to
6	the best they could do. Senior management were hardly	6	do it, you are deemed unfit for duty and you could be
7	ever seen. It was just "We're up in our ivory tower, so	7	sent home", and it just seemed to be the same officers
8	just get on with it".	8	were used all the time. There were officers there that
9	Q. What about, in general terms, language? It's one of	9	were asked to do it and they refused and they were still
10	the things that you mention. Was bad language	10	getting the same they got the same training as myself
11	commonplace?	11	and my colleagues, they were getting the same money, but
12	A. Yes.	12	we were the ones that were thrown in the deep end every
13	Q. By all?	13	day. But no-one seen when I used to go home at night
14	A. Yes.	14	to my family, I used to lock myself in a room and cry
15	Q. So staff as well as detainees?	15	for about two or three hours over it. It was horrible.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Why did you carry on doing it?
17	Q. Would you have regarded it as abusive and derogatory on	17	A. Because I had no other choice. I was told if I didn't
18	occasions?	18	do it, I was deemed unfit for duty and "Get on with it.
19	A. Depends on the there's a lot of it you had a lot	19	You're a man. Get on with it".
20	of banter between me personally, I had a lot of	20	Q. You could have resigned, you could have left?
21	I built up a very good relationship with a lot of	21	A. I couldn't afford to resign. I had a mortgage to pay,
22	the detainees and we'd have a lot of banter. That's	22	I'd a family to support.
23	I can only speak for myself.	23	Q. So you
24	Q. In your first witness statement, and if you want to look	24	A. I had a family, but Brook House cost me my family and my
25	at it, by all means, you can, at your paragraph 9 by	25	home.
	Page 5		Page 7
1	your first witness statement, I mean that being	1	Q. So you felt locked into
2	<inq000113> for us. You said, because you were asked</inq000113>	2	A. Yes.
3	about culture and attitudes, and you said:	3	Q a situation where you either put up or shut up?
4	"I can't recall the attitudes towards detainees in	4	A. Yes.
5	detail as I worked at Brook House over 4 years ago. It	5	Q. Did people refuse?
-			
6	was an aggressive place to work."	6	
6 7	was an aggressive place to work." You have already said that:	_	A. Yes.
7	You have already said that:	7	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home?
7 8	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression	7 8	A. Yes.Q. And were they sent home?A. No.
7 8 9	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy	7	A. Yes.Q. And were they sent home?A. No.Q. They weren't?
7 8 9 10	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the	7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No.
7 8 9	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others	7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse?
7 8 9 10 11	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair."	7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up.
7 8 9 10 11 12	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others	7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time is the same staff being used for C&R while others didn't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that was a bit unfair. That's the way I was portrayed. Not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time is the same staff being used for C&R while others didn't get used at all. It's something we have heard already.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that was a bit unfair. That's the way I was portrayed. Not only me, I was portrayed in Brook House.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time is the same staff being used for C&R while others didn't get used at all. It's something we have heard already. A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that was a bit unfair. That's the way I was portrayed. Not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time is the same staff being used for C&R while others didn't get used at all. It's something we have heard already. A. Yes. Q. And it was unfair. Now, tell us about that? A. It was just — every day I went into work it was — I'd	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that was a bit unfair. That's the way I was portrayed. Not only me, I was portrayed in Brook House. Q. That was the purpose of the question, Mr Murphy A. Sorry, you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You have already said that: "No-one can consistently be surrounded by aggression and violence, often directed towards them, and be happy about it. I would say that this applies more so to the staff who were used all the time for C&R whilst others did not get used at all. This was unfair." First of all, just unpacking that a little, what was it that was always directed at staff? A. Just general abuse. Q. By detainees? A. By detainees, yes. Q. Did staff give as good as they got? A. Some did, yes. Q. The second issue that you seem to raise at the same time is the same staff being used for C&R while others didn't get used at all. It's something we have heard already. A. Yes. Q. And it was unfair. Now, tell us about that?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. And were they sent home? A. No. Q. They weren't? A. No. Q. So why didn't you refuse? A. I was told to man up. Q. By? Who do you remember telling you to man up? A. I can't remember would told me to man up, but that was the culture there: you either put up or shut up. And as you're a big man as you clearly pointed out, in numerous occasions through this inquiry, you mention my name, "Oh, he's a big guy". It's like you stereotyped me. I'm looking at you, you're a bald guy. Are you BNP? I could stereotype you as that. So you stereotype me as a big guy, "He's a big guy", and I just think that was a bit unfair. That's the way I was portrayed. Not only me, I was portrayed in Brook House. Q. That was the purpose of the question, Mr Murphy

1 Q. -- whether you and people like you, like Yan Paschali, racist attitudes by staff towards detainees? 2 2 whom we have actually seen in the flesh, were actually A. No, I was not. No, I was not. 3 3 being used unfairly because of your size. That's the Q. You knew John Connolly, presumably? 4 question, isn't it? 4 A. John Connolly was a C&R instructor and he was an officer 5 A. Yeah, yeah, I think it is. 5 6 Q. It's not stereotyping you. I'm asking a question which 6 O. Did vou watch Panorama? 7 7 A. I did. 8 A. Well, you brought up the point nearly every day in the 8 Q. You must have heard him referring to D275, who was 9 inquiry to other people. 9 protesting on netting on 17 May, or advising 10 Q. Yes, for that reason, because it's relevant to 10 Callum Tulley to refer to him using the N word? 11 understand that if you, and people like you, say that 11 12 you were used unfairly, the question is why, and the 12 Q. Do you remember hearing that on TV? 13 question is whether your size had something to do with 13 A. I do indeed, ves. 14 14 Q. What did you think of that? 15 A. Yep. 15 A. I didn't think it was very -- it was very distasteful. 16 Q. Do you think it did? 16 Q. You thought it was or you didn't think? A. Yeah, I did, yes. I do, sorry. 17 17 A. I did. 18 Q. Who else do you remember being used unfairly to do C&R? 18 Q. Did it surprise you? 19 Yan Paschali complains about it. Who else? 19 A. Yes, I never heard John Connolly saying that before. 20 A. There was a lot of us. I can't remember the names 20 Q. Had you heard anybody --21 offhand. There was a good few of us that were used. 21 A. No. 22 There were guys that used to use the gym. It just 22 Q. -- using any kind of racist terminology at all? 23 wasn't right. But we put up with it. It was put up or 23 24 shut up. And the fact that every day it was -- every 24 Q. Did you watch the evidence of Dan Small? 25 time I put on the kit, I'd be feeling sick, I'd be 25 A. Yes, I did. Page 9 Page 11 1 1 shaking. It was horrible. And even afterwards. You Q. He certainly has referred or said certain things which 2 go -- I'd go outside to get a bit of fresh air, "Go get 2 were, at the very least, unfortunate on this topic? 3 the reports done first". There was no support from the 3 A. I never had much dealings with Dan Small, so I can't 4 management at all. It was disgusting. We were only 4 comment on anything he said. 5 5 paid from the shoulders down, as far as I'm concerned. Q. Apart from the aggression and, I think -- were you 6 Q. Can you repeat what you said? 6 saying there was also violence directed at staff? 7 7 A. We were paid from the shoulders down. That's all they A. Yes. 8 8 O. You say it was a horrible place to work? were interested in. Q Q. In other words, they wanted you for your brawn, not your 9 A. Yes. 10 brain? 10 Q. What were your principal concerns, do you think, about 11 11 Brook House? 12 Q. That was the point I was trying to make earlier. 12 A. Safety of detainees and staff. 13 13 Q. Was that managed appropriately, do you think, or not? A. There's no managers around when we -- I used to sit in 14 14 a room with guys and they'd be crying because they A. Well, the officers were -- dealt with it and they 15 were -- they'd feel lost, some of the detainees in 15 managed it -- they had to manage it with the tools they 16 16 there. They were locked in with rapists, murderers, were given. They were doing it to the best of their 17 17 hardened criminals, and these poor guys were there and ability. 18 they could have been doctors or something and they were 18 Q. Did the issue of staffing play its part? 19 trying to make a living and they were locked in there. 19 A. Absolutely. 20 It was disgraceful. They should never have been there. 20 Q. Understaffed? 21 They were in a prison, that's all they were in. It was 2.1 A. Yes. 22 disgusting. Sorry, I didn't mean to rant on. 22 Q. All the time? 23 Q. No, you say what you want, Mr Murphy. If it is not 23 A. Most of the time I was there, we were understaffed, ves. 24 relevant, I will ask you to move on. 24 At night shift, you might have two officers, three 25 What about racist attitudes? Were you aware of any 25 officers, looking after four or five wings. Page 10 Page 12

r		г	
1 (Q. Which was insufficient?	1	Q. That's not the question.
	A. Absolutely, yes.	2	A. I'll give you an example. There was, like, a protest
	Q. In your first witness statement, and perhaps we don't	3	where about 100 detainees were remaining in the exercise
4	need to go to it, but if you'd like to, I can give you	4	yard at lock-up and I asked the managers could I go out.
5	the paragraph numbers, from 57 to 60, you are asked	5	We were there first a few of us were there first
6	about use of force and you say that probably you were	6	because, as I say, I had a rapport with a lot of
7	used daily?	7	the detainees, a good rapport, and there was
	A. Yes.	8	a detainee bear with me D275. He was one of
	Q. I can see you're turning it over, so let's just have	9	the main instigators of it. So myself and another
10	a quick look:	10	colleague went and we spoke to him. I said, "Sit down.
11	"I was probably used every day for use of force."	11	What do you want?" And we were gaining progress, they
12	You couldn't comment on all of them. You didn't	12	were saying what they wanted. We were listening to
13	recall reviews of the incidents or any lessons learned	13	them. They only wanted to be listened to. And then we
14	from them. Any debriefs that you remember afterwards to	14	were told, "All officers off the yard". As soon as we
15	understand from your seniors what	15	left the yard, kicked off, and then there was a guy
	A. No.	16	having some sort of a seizure and we were told not to go
	Q was done well, what was done badly and lessons to be	17	out into the yard. So I asked a couple of officers,
18	learned?	18	"Guys, listen, we need to get the guy off the yard.
	A. It was just a quick brief and get back to work.	19	He's going to die". I said, "Is there anybody going to
	Q. You say at 58:	20	come with me?" Luckily enough, a few officers said
21	"I don't recall having any concerns about any	21	yeah, and I just well, I broke the rules and I went
22	incidents at Brook House. If I had concerns, I would	22	out and got the gentleman off the yard and he went to
23	have raised them at the time."	23	hospital.
24	You did raise, you say, "the fact that I was used	24	Q. So what are you saying, that if you were allowed to have
25	for C&R all the time"?	25	had engagement
20			
	Page 13		Page 15
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Yes, absolutely
	Q. You say, at 59, that your opinion of control and	2	Q then that would assist?
3	restraint techniques is, when used properly, they're	3	A. They were frustrated. There was guys that were there
4	very effective and safe to control an individual's	4	and they didn't want to be there, they wanted to go
5	behaviour:	5	home.
6	"I do not feel that C&R was used excessively at	6	O. Yes.
7	Brook House. It was that aggressive, that it had to be	7	A. You know yourself, when you're frustrated, you want
8	used daily. Detainees would fight, self-harm and refuse	8	someone to listen to you. Sorry.
9	instructions every day."	9	Q. That's a luxury we don't all get, Mr Murphy.
10	You say at paragraph 60:	10	A. Of course.
11	"I did not see any alternatives to C&R techniques	11	Q. In paragraph 85, while I'm on it, you were asked for
12	used at Brook House. The only way to avoid using force	12	suggestions for improvements. I'd like to ask you about
13	of detainees is to allow them to have what they want.	13	this because that was perhaps a summary of your views,
14	Ninety nine per cent of the time that use of force is	14	having been there, of what might help. You said:
15	used is because detainees are refusing to follow the	15	"Brook House could be improved by having more staff,
16	rules of the centre or fighting each other. So simply	16	not using the same staff for use of force, and giving
17	changing the rules would be a solution. Ask the	17	staff their breaks."
18	detainees what they think, have work groups to discuss	18	So was that another source of frustration, that
19	and come up with new rules. After all, it is they who	19	staff didn't get the breaks which they were entitled to?
20	must live in the centre. Why not make them part of	20	A. Yes, on a daily basis, yes.
21	the decision process? This would stop the need for use	21	Q. "Detainees with mental health issues should not be in
22	of force."	22	detention as it is not suitable for their needs and
	A. Yep.	23	makes them worse."
	•		
24	O Do you think that's realistic?	1 7 / 1	A Vos
	Q. Do you think that's realistic? A. It'll never happen. I don't think, because	24	A. Yes. O And that's a higger issue some evidence about which was
	Q. Do you think that's realistic? A. It'll never happen, I don't think, because	24 25	A. Yes. Q. And that's a bigger issue, some evidence about which was

		1	
1	heard yesterday:	1	"This is the third one today."
2	"Detainees should be allowed to be part of	2	And the detainee responds:
3	the decision-making process that affects their life	3	"Not spice, not spice. I am not a spice boy. Tell
4	within the centre. They should be allowed to sit in	4	him do not call me a spice boy, please."
5	with senior managers on meetings to input on decisions	5	And then there is more conversation about that at
6	that affect life at Brook House."	6	line 2120:
7	So that is a paragraph you wrote, Mr Murphy, in	7	"I am not spice boy."
8	answer to the question, how might you improve this	8	And Tulley says:
9	place?	9	"He's only messing around. I'll tell him, though."
10	A. Yes.	10	"Sorry We're going to take your blood pressure",
11	Q. Do you stand by what you said in that statement?	11	says a nurse:
12	A. Absolutely, yes.	12	"I am not a spice boy.
13	Q. Do you think any or all of that is realistic? Not	13	"
14	whether it's likely to happen, but do you think if some	14	"I have got an illness."
15	or all of that was put in place, it would change the	15	You mentioned earlier this is not bad language.
16	culture and change	16	You mentioned earlier about banter. Why were you
17	A. Yes.	17	calling him a "spice boy"? Was it a joke or
18	Q the experience, if you like, of everybody, staff as	18	A. To my recollection, there was a certain band of there
19	well as detainees, at a place like Brook House?	19	was a group of detainees were well known for having
20	A. Yes. Because at least they'd have a voice.	20	spice and being the effects of spice, and we'd been
21	Q. Now, can we together, Mr Murphy, look at a few things	21	called to emergency a medical response with them.
22	that you have been recorded as saying, and I want to ask	22	Q. Yes.
23	you about them. I'm going to put up on screen, to help	23	A. Just the name "spice boys", there's no it wasn't
24	everybody, some transcripts from the footage that	24	meant as a derogatory thing, it was just they were the
25	Mr Callum Tulley recorded when he was working in	25	spice boys, they were well known for it.
	Page 17		Page 19
		-	- "8" - "
1	Brook House. The first, chair, is going to be	1	Q. What, they were a group of detainees who were using
1 2	Brook House. The first, chair, is going to be Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>,</trn0000095>	1 2	Q. What, they were a group of detainees who were using spice?
2	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>,</trn0000095>	2	spice?
2 3	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51.</trn0000095>	2 3	spice? A. Yes.
2 3 4	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler</trn0000095>	2 3 4	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're
2 3 4 5	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this
2 3 4 5 6	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him.</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking.</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there:</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps.</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?"</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said:</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing."</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats:</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats: "You don't smoke anything. Oh, fair enough."</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, <trn0000091></trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats: "You don't smoke anything. Oh, fair enough." Then you say, Mr Murphy:</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, <trn0000091> at page 13. This is 10 June. Chair, tab 59 for you.</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats: "You don't smoke anything. Oh, fair enough." Then you say, Mr Murphy: "Is this one of the spice boys, is it?</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, <trn0000091> at page 13. This is 10 June. Chair, tab 59 for you. THE CHAIR: Thank you.</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats: "You don't smoke anything. Oh, fair enough." Then you say, Mr Murphy:</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, <trn0000091> at page 13. This is 10 June. Chair, tab 59 for you.</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Zaynab, if you can kindly put up, please, <trn0000095>, and for you, chair, this is at tab 51. THE CHAIR: You have copies of these if you want to refer to them MR ALTMAN: He does, chair, but I've suggested it's simpler if he refers to what's on screen rather than manipulate heavy bundles in front of him. THE CHAIR: Indeed, if you're happy with that. Thank you. MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 59. This was, just to put it in context, a conversation on 13 May, as we can see from the date at the top. If we go to the bottom half of this page, we see Callum Tulley, at line 2107, talking. There's a detainee there: " I thought you were messing around. It won't before before you know it you'll be back on association. You'll be able to go and get your crisps. Did you smoke something?" And he said: "I smoke nothing." And then Callum Tulley repeats: "You don't smoke anything. Oh, fair enough." Then you say, Mr Murphy: "Is this one of the spice boys, is it?</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spice? A. Yes. Q. You say they were referred to "the spice boys", you're asking, "Is this one of them?", but you can see this man's reaction, "Tell him not to call me a spice boy", and at the bottom, "I'm ill". Now, was it possible, Mr Murphy, that you and others rather forgot that fact, that some of these individuals were themselves not well and, even if they were taking spice, it didn't detract from the fact that they were vulnerable? A. I wasn't trained in any way, shape or form, mentally or medically, so I wouldn't know. Q. You wouldn't know. The question I've asked others, I'll ask you: were you able to distinguish, in all the time you worked there, between someone who was genuinely unwell and somebody who, perhaps like this man might have been thought to be, genuinely just a drug user or a manipulator or a disrupter? A. I wasn't trained medically or for mental awareness, so no. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, <trn0000091> at page 13. This is 10 June. Chair, tab 59 for you. THE CHAIR: Thank you.</trn0000091>

1	"I was quite enjoying my game of need _ until he	1	sort of language so I con't comment on it
2	"I was quite enjoying my game of pool until he comes along."	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	sort of language, so I can't comment on it.
3	There is somebody called Angela O'Connor present,		Q. Well, you're not saying it was just you alone, are you,
4	and then you say:	3 4	Mr Murphy?
5	" he's a fucking pile of shit and misery, so he	5	A. I just answered the question for you. Q. So you're just not prepared to help?
6	is."	6	A. I am prepared I'm just saying I don't recall it.
7	And the conversation continues until the bottom	7	Q. You don't recall it?
8	line:	8	A. No.
9	"Fucking grotty cunt down the bottom", when Tulley	9	Q. In all the time you were there, you don't recall anybody
10	says:	10	using bad language?
11	"Old Mr Whatshisname is having a shower, isn't he?"	11	A. People used bad language, but this sort of language
12	So that's a reference to a detainee. Tell us about	12	people use bad language, but this sort of language
13	the language you used, Mr Murphy?	13	inappropriate.
14	A. I can't remember this conversation at all.	14	Q. Yes. So because you can't remember it, you can't
15	Q. No, I'm sure you can't, because there would have been	15	remember whether anybody was ever challenged about it,
16	many. But do you think	16	or whether you were challenged about it, or whether you
17	A. But I don't remember this conversation. You asked me	17	challenged others about it?
18	about this, so I'm just saying to you, I don't remember	18	A. I can't remember. It's such a long time ago.
19	this conversation.	19	Q. Let me ask you something, please, about from
20	Q. No, I'm sure. But if the transcript is accurate and	20	Dan Small's account to the inquiry, and it deals with an
21	you're referring, for example, to a detainee as	21	incident that took place, according to him, on 13 March.
22	a "fucking grotty cunt down the bottom", do you think	22	If we go to tab 37, chair, to begin with, <cps000024> at</cps000024>
23	that was appropriate and professional?	23	page 5, these are notes from the BBC recordings. If we
24	A. I don't remember this conversation, so I can't say	24	look on page 5, you can just make out towards the
25	whether it's not appropriate language, if it was	25	bottom, as it sits on screen:
	Page 21		Page 23
1	used, and I don't remember using it.	1	"On the 14th of March 2017"
1 2	used, and I don't remember using it. Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62,	1 2	"On the 14th of March 2017" Have you got that, Mr Murphy?
			Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62,	2 3 4	Have you got that, Mr Murphy?
2 3	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser"</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation
2 3 4	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728:</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was
2 3 4 5	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck."</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris,</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate?</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used,</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used,</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of.</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate.</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others,</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that.</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using it?</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using it? A. I can't recall hearing anybody else using language like</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know. Q. You don't know. That's what he said to, clearly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using language like that, so I can't comment on it.</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know. Q. You don't know. That's what he said to, clearly, Callum Tulley, who passed it on to the BBC, and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using it? A. I can't recall hearing anybody else using language like that, so I can't comment on it. Q. But you're not saying nobody did, surely?</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know. Q. You don't know. That's what he said to, clearly, Callum Tulley, who passed it on to the BBC, and that's why it's noted here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using language like that, so I can't comment on it.</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know. Q. You don't know. That's what he said to, clearly, Callum Tulley, who passed it on to the BBC, and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Can you go to another transcript, please, tab 62, <trn0000084> at page 11. This is 20 June, just another example, Mr Murphy. Right at the top: "It's with that tosser" And that refers to a detainee, D728: " or whatever the fuck." And you're having a conversation with Nathan Harris, talking about D728. Again, the use of the word "tosser". Appropriate? A. I don't remember this conversation, but if it was used, it is very inappropriate, yes. This language I used, I'm in no way proud of the fact, I'm ashamed of. I don't remember this, but if I used it, it's very inappropriate. Q. Was that kind of language, whether by you or by others, ever challenged by anybody, or did it become such a commonplace that A. I never heard anybody using this sort of language, so I can't comment on that. Q. So you never heard anybody else using it? A. I can't recall hearing anybody else using language like that, so I can't comment on it. Q. But you're not saying nobody did, surely?</trn0000084>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Have you got that, Mr Murphy? A. Yes. Q. " DCO Dan Small told our main source that he was attacked by a small Afghan detainee Care and Segregation Unit on the 13th" So this relates to 13 March: " and that DCO Derrick Murphy responded by 'choke slamming' him (this is a technique where you pull someone into a choke hold against your other arm it is not an authorised technique). DCO Small said DCO Murphy was squeezing so hard that DCO Murphy's face went bright red. DCO Small said both he and Murphy then restrained the detainee using approved techniques outside the office because there were CCTV cameras." Do you recognise that incident? A. No. I definitely what is a choke hold? Q. Well, you tell me? A. I'm asking you. I'm asking you. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, Mr Murphy. A. Okay. I don't know. Q. You don't know. That's what he said to, clearly, Callum Tulley, who passed it on to the BBC, and that's why it's noted here.

1 a statement he made to the inquiry on 10 February, 1 A. No. I would have tried to rele	ease my hand if he was
2 tab 38, <bdp0000033> at page 15, paragraph 44: 2 biting my hand.</bdp0000033>	•
3 "I accept that document <cps000024> (page 5) [which 3 Q. Yes. So, on the face of this tran</cps000024>	script, this is
4 is what I've just shown you] accurately describes 4 a complete fiction?	
5 a conversation I had with DCO Tulley regarding an 5 A. Absolutely.	
6 incident in which I was attacked by a detained person 6 Q. Let's look at something else, ple	ease.
7 and was assisted by DCO Murphy. I note that the account 7 A. How do you know it's not emb	pellished again for the
8 I gave to DCO Tulley was embellished for dramatic effect 8 benefit of Callum Tulley, like the	he last one?
9 (as I was telling a story) and did not record the 9 Q. Let's look at something else, ple	ease. It's a transcript
10 incident entirely accurately. To the best of my 10 which should be dated 8 May. C	onfusingly, it's dated
11 recollection, I was put in a choke hold from behind by 11 13 August. It is <trn0000024></trn0000024>	, chair, tab 49, at
12 a detainee and pressed my red button for assistance. 12 page 3. At the top, we have got y	you saying:
13 DCO Murphy attended along with a manager. The detainee 13 "Did you hear [something miss	sed] last week That
14 let me go and we escorted him to his room (where he was 14 fucking arsehole."	
15 already on segregation). On entry into the room, he 15 There is a bit more language.	Whoever officer 1 is,
16 went for me again, and DCO Murphy took him to the 16 is saying, "Yeah, yeah". Then yo	ou say:
17 ground. I do not recall the exact hold he used on the 17 "He fucking he had papers the	hrown all over his
18 detainee but it may have been a choke hold. A manager 18 room and everything."	
19 was present. To the best of my recollection, no-one 19 At line 46:	
20 spoke to me about this incident again." 20 "And I went into his room. I so	aid, 'Oy, get the
21 Is it coming home to you at all, or not? 21 fuck out of bed. Clean this shit u	ıp. You ain't going
22 A. No. 22 nowhere until you clean this up,	you little prick'. And
23 Q. You're not saying he's lying about it, are you? 23 I said, 'If you don't clean it up with	thin the hour, I'm
24 A. I don't recall. I'm not saying anything 24 going to come and smash the fuci	king shit out of you and
25 Q. So it means nothing to you? You can't say one way or 25 you ain't doing no flying'. So I le	eft him and walked
Page 25 Page 27	
1 the other whether he's accurate 1 out he's still in fucking bed [som	anthing missadl
the other whether he's accurate A. I wouldn't have used a choke hold, or whatever that is. 1 out he's still in fucking bed [som 2 threw it at him, got the quilt off him.]	
3 So I can't – I'm not – I can't comment on it because 3 'Get the fuck out', 'Okay, okay, okay	
4 I can't remember it happening. 4 this in a nice bundle Oh, hang or	•
5 Q. Let's look at something else, then, please. Another 5 bags and 'Put it in a fucking bag be	· ·
6 transcript, this time for 13 May, <trn0000095>. We have 6 did."</trn0000095>	note you go. The
7 been to that transcript already, behind tab 51. This 7 These aren't Dan Small's words a	and they're not
8 time, at page 32. The date is 13 May. We looked at 8 Dan Lake's; these are yours. Did the	
9 this transcript a little earlier, Mr Murphy, for 9 truth of something you had done in	*
10 a different reason. Here Dan Small is having a chat 10 a detainee, Mr Murphy?	
11 with Dan Lake. Small says: 11 A. I can't recall.	
12 "Literally, he keep hurting" 12 Q. You can't recall this either? No?	
13 And Dan lake says: 13 A. It's a long time ago, yes.	
14 "On B wing that geezer bit his hand. Do you 14 Q. I get that, but there are certain thin	ngs in life that we
15 remember they wrapped up in the office? The geezer bit 15 all recall doing things we are em	
16 Derek's hand, and then he bent over, and Derek 16 ashamed by	•
17 upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the jaw. 17 A. Well, obviously, me and you have	ve had different lives,
18 And afterwards, Jules come up. He was like, 'What 18 haven't we?	,
19 happened to his lip?'. His lip was all over the place. 19 Q. Sorry?	
20 Nice. And Derek was like, 'I don't know'. I saw 20 A. Me and you have had two differ	rent lives. I've had a lot
21 everything. He was undoubted Derek just went smack 21 of trauma in my life. Obviously :	•
21 everything. He was undoubted Derek just went smack 21 of trauma in my life. Obviously 22 Oh, dear. Just to make sure. I'll be back. 22 remember things I can't remer	mber this. If
22 Oh, dear. Just to make sure. I'll be back. 22 remember things – I can't remer	t.
22 Oh, dear. Just to make sure. I'll be back. 22 remember things — I can't remer 23 "Small: Have you told Dan or he is still broken?" 23 I remembered, I'd comment on it	t.
22 Oh, dear. Just to make sure. I'll be back. 23 "Small: Have you told Dan or he is still broken?" 24 Was there ever a time, Mr Murphy, when you 25 remember things – I can't remember 26 I remembered, I'd comment on in 27 Q. So you can't remember this. Your	t.

1	A. I can help, but I can't remember this.	1	second floor of C?
2	Q. Do you agree, on any showing let's just assume, if	2	"Paschali: Yeah, yeah."
3	you would be prepared to come with me this far, let's	3	And the conversation continues around him.
4	assume you said all this and let's assume it was the	4	Unhappily, there are no line numbers here, but if you
5	truth calling somebody, a detainee, a "little prick"	5	look around a third of the way down Tulley says:
6	is not the right thing to do it, is it, Mr Murphy?	6	"He's just big, isn't he?"
7	A. I didn't think we were here on assumptions, in your own	7	In fact, just after another officer, male officer 1
8	words. We're here on evidence, aren't we?	8	says:
9	Q. I'm just asking	9	"He's a bell end.
10	A. If it was said, it was very inappropriate, yes, I agree.	10	"He's just big, isn't he?"
11	Q. That's all I'm asking you. And if you said to this	11	Paschali then says:
12	detainee, "I'm going to come and smash the fucking shit	12	"Could get a couple of reserves. Not because we
13	out of you", that's a threat, isn't it?	13	won't get him out but we'll be blowing for [something]
14	A. It sounds like a threat, yes.	14	and going all the way."
15	Q. And it's, looking back now, if you said it, and it is	15	And you're there saying, "Yes", you say, "Yeah":
16	a big "if", according to you, if you said it in truth	16	"Where's he going?", says Tulley, "Is he going CSU?"
17	in other words, not saying the words, but if you said	17	Paschali:
18	this to a detainee then it's off the pitch, isn't it?	18	"I don't know."
19	It's nothing you should have said to a detainee, is it?	19	You say:
20	A. Absolutely. I did say it before because it was on the	20	"If it's a question of being prepared, get them
21	Panorama programme, but I was facing Callum Tulley when	21	Oscar One to come down here and just say to him, we need
22	I said it.	22	a couple of reserves. But if he's a big one and there's
23	Q. You're talking about	23	a fight"
24	A. As you're	24	Male officer 1 says:
25	Q. You're talking about something else now?	25	"I'll be very surprised if he walked, I'll tell you
	D 20		D 04
	Page 29		Page 31
1	A. Yes.	1	that."
2	Q. Sorry, what did you	2	Paschali:
3	A. Because you're going to I see where you're leading.	3	"We'll get him out, a hundred per cent."
4	This is going to lead on to another transcript from	4	You say:
5	that was seen on the programme, where I did turn around	5	"Yeah.
6	to Callum Tulley and he has it on film where I turned	6	"But we will be blowing if we have a 10-minute
7	around and I said, "If he does that again, I'd smash the	7	run-in."
8	fucking shit out of him". Excuse my language.	8	And you say "Yeah" and Paschali says:
9	Q. That's all right.	9	"The thing is, we'll have softened him up so then
10	THE CHAIR: You can speak freely.	10	he'll be he'll be knackered as well."
11	MR ALTMAN: What do you say of this other incident?	11	Tell me if I've understood this correctly. What you
12	A. I don't remember this. If I said it, it's totally out	12	were talking about is a control and restraint that was
13	of it's totally wrong. I shouldn't have said it.	13	expected to be executed in respect of D87, who was a big
14	I'm ashamed I said it, if I did say it. Where I was	14	man?
15	seen on film saying it, I'm ashamed of that as well.	15	A. It sounds like it, yeah.
16	It's totally unprofessional and it shouldn't have been	16	Q. When the word "reserves" is used, "getting reserves",
17	it's totally unprocessional and it shouldn't have been		
17	said.	17	what does that mean to you?
17	· ·	17 18	what does that mean to you? A. I presume it's another team.
	said.		•
18	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some	18	A. I presume it's another team.
18 19	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and	18 19	A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We
18 19 20	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and Callum Tulley and Yan Paschali, in particular, on 9 May.	18 19 20	A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We will be blowing if we have a 10-minute run-in", what did
18 19 20 21	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and Callum Tulley and Yan Paschali, in particular, on 9 May. For you, chair, it is tab 50, and it is <trn0000077>.</trn0000077>	18 19 20 21	A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We will be blowing if we have a 10-minute run-in", what did you understand he meant by that?
18 19 20 21 22	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and Callum Tulley and Yan Paschali, in particular, on 9 May. For you, chair, it is tab 50, and it is <trn0000077>. We start at page 5.</trn0000077>	18 19 20 21 22	 A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We will be blowing if we have a 10-minute run-in", what did you understand he meant by that? A. I don't know.
18 19 20 21 22 23	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and Callum Tulley and Yan Paschali, in particular, on 9 May. For you, chair, it is tab 50, and it is <trn0000077>. We start at page 5. Here, Mr Murphy, the conversation seems to be around</trn0000077>	18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We will be blowing if we have a 10-minute run-in", what did you understand he meant by that? A. I don't know. Q. Does he mean being knackered or exhausted?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	said. Q. I want to, please, with you, look also at some conversation that took place between you and Callum Tulley and Yan Paschali, in particular, on 9 May. For you, chair, it is tab 50, and it is <trn0000077>. We start at page 5. Here, Mr Murphy, the conversation seems to be around a detainee ciphered as D87, and Tulley says:</trn0000077>	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I presume it's another team. Q. When Paschali uses the word "blowing", for example, "We will be blowing if we have a 10-minute run-in", what did you understand he meant by that? A. I don't know. Q. Does he mean being knackered or exhausted? A. I don't know. I presume so, I don't know. I can't

1	Q. Do you understand what he meant by "we'll have softened	1	"Well, we with you lot in here I'd be alright,
2	him up so he'll be knackered as well"?	2	wouldn't I?"
3	A. I don't understand.	3	Paschali says:
4	Q. How would you soften up a detainee?	4	"I'd love to get in the ring with him. Put the
5	A. I don't know.	5	gloves on and see what he's fucking made of. I would
6	Q. Then on page 40, of the same transcript, 40, although	6	love to. He's got shoulders. His chest is"
7	this conversation has moved on and some time has moved	7	This is how it reads:
8	on, at the top you can see male officer 1:	8	" titty. He's got a gut. His arms ain't all
9	"Are you taking the big guy and all the others?	9	that. He's just got some shoulders and a bit of height.
10	"Tulley: D87. Matty doesn't want to. Bipolar guy	10	Fucking crack him straight in the ribs."
11	"	11	And you say:
12	Who was Matty? Any idea?	12	"Straight in the solar."
13	A. No.	13	Now, do you remember what you were talking about
14	Q. Then Tulley talks about the black guy in room 3 and the	14	there?
15	conversation continues that way until, let's say, about	15	A. No. It sounds like a boxing match.
16	halfway down, just to save a bit of time. Can you see	16	Q. It certainly does, but it sounds like a boxing match
17	Yan Paschali says:	17	with a detainee who happened to be big?
18	"I can't play chess with you all day."	18	A. I didn't say anything about a detainee there.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. So you don't think it's got anything to do with that at
20	Q. "I can't play chess with you all day. He would follow	20	all?
21	me to the toilets.	21	A. I didn't say that. I said it doesn't appear to have
22	"	22	that we're talking to any detainee there. We're talking
23	"I went one day, I went down there. He went, 'Where	23	amongst ourselves there, by the looks of things.
24	are you going?'. I say 'I'm going for a fucking piss'.	24	Q. Then you say:
25	And one of the detainees says 'Do you want to hold it	25	"I'd hose him."
	Page 33		Page 35
1	for him 21. The model III	1	W/L-4-Jid
1	for him?'. Honestly!"	1	What did you mean by "I'd hose him"?
2 3	Then a phone rings. Yan Paschali is speaking: "It's not [something is missed] is it? Fuck's	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	A. I don't know. It's not a term I I've ever said. I don't know.
4	sake."	4	Q. "And I'd draw it when I was away to a friend's pub
5	Then you say:	5	one night", this is you, "it was an open night I was
6	"I said to him, mate, 'You're doing my fucking head	6	there as a guest. Got any fucking [something missed] or
7	in, mate. You give me a fucking headache listening to	7	that. I think I told you this, this geezer"
8	you'.	8	And Paschali said:
9	"	9	"Yeah, you did tell me. Did you drop the cunt?"
10	"He just wants you to fucking be"	10	And you say:
11	Although the word is transcribed as "available", it	11	"Yeah. Well, his feet were fast and he done my
12	was almost certainly "afraid of you". So it should	12	nose
13	read:	13	"Yeah, that's how he ruined your shirt.
14	"He just wants you to fucking be afraid of you, you	14	" Right between, right there. He was that was
15	know.	15	it.
16	"Tulley: Who?"	16	"Who was that?," says Tulley, and you say:
17	You say:	17	"So I said to him, fucking did him, I tell you.
18	"She said to me, are you afraid of him? Do you	18	"Who was that?"
19	remember him saying that?"	19	You say:
20	Tulley says:	20	"I don't know who he was. Some fucking idiot.
21	"I wouldn't fancy having a fight with him, though,	21	Thought he was fucking Bruce Lee."
22	I've got to say."	22	Do you remember the incident you're talking about
23	And Paschali says:	23	A. No.
24	"It's fair enough"	24	Q where somebody struck you in a pub and you did him?
25	And he says:	25	A. No.
	D		
	Page 34		Page 36

1	Q. Doesn't mean anything?	1	Fucking ran up the road after him, this is in broad
2	A. It was probably another story that was told. Because	2	daylight, kicked him to the floor, and fucking boxing
3	Callum Tulley was always asking about this and asking	3	and boxing him, kicking him, punching shit out of him.
4	about that. He used to come up to me and say, "Oh, Del,	4	My mate was in the car with the missis."
5	I need to get involved in more C&Rs. Everyone	5	And you said:
6	thinks" excuse my language on this "Everyone here	6	"Eh, eh, eh! What you doing?"
7		7	And on it goes.
8	thinks I'm a pussy". I did turn around to him and		Says Paschali:
	I said to him, "You don't have to prove yourself to	8	2
9	anybody in here", but he was always fishing for	9	" anyway. Well, my missis come round and jumped
10	information, always asking ridiculous questions. I used	10	in the car. Drive, drive, drive! She was going. I'm
11	to tell him a load of stuff. Maybe I shouldn't have,	11	covered in claret, mate. My fucking hands. I had white
12	because he was young. I used to tell him some	12	trainers on Got home, took all my kit off. It's
13	outrageous stories and he seemed to believe them, and he	13	like I committed a murder. I didn't, but binned it all
14	seemed to get off on it. He seemed to be enjoying it.	14	"
15	Q. So these were just tall tales, were they?	15	So do we understand that the two of you were just
16	A. More than likely, yes.	16	exchanging tall stories made up independently of each
17	Q. More than likely?	17	other for Callum Tulley's benefit?
18	A. Because I don't remember any of that. Surely I'd	18	A. Well, I know I did. I can't speak for Mr Paschali.
19	remember something like that? If it happened in the	19	Yeah, I did on numerous occasions.
20	pub, it was nothing to do with Brook House, is it?	20	Q. Let's go to the next page, please, Mr Murphy. About
21	Q. That's not the point, Mr Murphy. I'm asking you about	21	halfway down you see Callum Tulley saying:
22	something you said in Callum Tulley's presence and	22	"Thing is, though, Yan, you're used to fighting
23	whether	23	people like D87."
24	A. I can't remember the conversation, but there was many	24	So there's no question that in Callum Tulley's mind,
25	said because Callum liked to hear a story and I gave him	25	at least, this was all a discussion based upon D87
	·		,
	Page 37		Page 39
1	loads of them.	1	because of his size, because that's where the
2	Q. You see, on the surface, it sounds like you and	2	conversation began, whether you say you recall it or
3	•		
)	Paschali, because I'm going to continue reading on, it's	3	
4	Paschali, because I'm going to continue reading on, it's about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like.	3 4	not. And he says:
	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like.		
4 5	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley	4 5	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this:
4 5 6	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he	4 5 6	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only
4 5 6 7	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want.	4 5 6 7	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in"
4 5 6 7 8	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him	4 5 6 7 8	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says:
4 5 6 7 8 9	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?"
4 5 6 7 8 9	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues:	4 5 6 7 8 9	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off"	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley — excuse my language again — bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him — A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right"
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you prick. Showing a damaged front. I'm going to fucking	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right" We have seen that term often, Mr Murphy, "wrapped
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you prick. Showing a damaged front. I'm going to fucking smash your face in'. He jugged me straight in the face.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right"
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you prick. Showing a damaged front. I'm going to fucking smash your face in'. He jugged me straight in the face. Just went 'Ping'. I've gone, 'You cunt'. Fucking split	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right" We have seen that term often, Mr Murphy, "wrapped
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you prick. Showing a damaged front. I'm going to fucking smash your face in'. He jugged me straight in the face.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right" We have seen that term often, Mr Murphy, "wrapped up", what did that mean at Brook House, that you wrapped
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about glorifying violence. That's what it sounds like. A. No, not at all. It's about feeding Callum Tulley excuse my language again bullshit. That's what he seemed to want. Q. So he wanted bullshit and you fed him A. Absolutely, yes. Q. Paschali continues: "That happened to me once as well. I think I told you this story before. My sister had a bit of a car collision somewhere and they were trying to mug her off" And he goes through that account. If we drop down to the next response he gives in the centre of the page: "So anyway, I opened the door and took the piece of paper with my sister's mobile number on, put it in the fucking pocket and shut the door, and I went, 'You must think I'm a fucking mug, mate'. So I come round to him and he's gone 'What?'. I said, 'You fucking heard, you prick. Showing a damaged front. I'm going to fucking smash your face in'. He jugged me straight in the face. Just went 'Ping'. I've gone, 'You cunt'. Fucking split	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not. And he says: "Cause you was in prison. I fucking wasn't, mate." Then Paschali said this: "I never learned how to fight in prison. I only done 8 years in Prison Service. I grew up in" And then Tulley says: "MMA, aren't you into?" Paschali says: "No. See, see the stories, look, see MMA." And you say, "What was that?", and Paschali said: "He said I was into MMA. Everyone's got a thing about MMA at the moment." You say: "See this is what I was saying to you." And Tulley says: "Fucking putting people in sleep holds." Says Paschali: "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that I wrapped up this fellow, right" We have seen that term often, Mr Murphy, "wrapped up", what did that mean at Brook House, that you wrapped up someone?

1	Q. That's what "wrapped up" meant?	1	witnessed on Panorama, and if you've been watching the
2	A. Yep.	2	live stream of the evidence, Mr Murphy, you will know
3	Q. Control and restraint:	3	very well what it's all about. It's about D1527, an
4	"So I wrapped up this fella, right"	4	individual who was involved in self-harming incidents on
5	And Paschali talks about getting upset:	5	25 April. So a couple of weeks before the date of this
6	"I weren't getting upset. I was blowing out my	6	conversation, when Yan Paschali was kneeling over this
7	arse, you prick.	7	man and had his hands around his neck. Did you see that
8	"No, but I'm [choking] him and he's going, I thought	8	on Panorama?
9	10 more seconds you're going to sleep He's like	9	A. I seen that. I seen the programme, yes.
10	'Yan, Yan, I think that's enough'."	10	Q. Have you been watching the evidence over the past few
11	And he is mimicking choking and choking. Just	11	days?
12	above, actually, I may not have read after the sleep	12	A. Not every day. Sporadically.
13	hold:	13	Q. Did you watch Yan Paschali's evidence?
14	"Oh, I forgot you witnessed that", says Paschali to	14	A. No, I did not. I work, so I can't. I work during the
15	him:	15	day.
16	"Oh, it was so funny, Derek, I wrapped up this	16	Q. What evidence have you watched?
17	fellow, right"	17	A. Just bits and pieces.
18	And you say:	18	Q. Did you watch Clayton Fraser?
19	"I remember."	19	A. I just watched bits and pieces. I watched bits of
20	And that's when Paschali says about getting upset:	20	Clayton's, but I was working, so
21	"I weren't getting upset, I was blowing out my arse,	21	Q. Do you know the footage I'm talking about?
22	you prick.	22	A. I know the footage, yep. I watched it when Panorama
23	"No", says Paschali, "but I'm [choking] him and he's	23	come out.
24	going, I thought 10 more seconds you're going to sleep.	24	Q. That was 25 April and Callum Tulley says:
25	He's like 'Yan, Yan, I think that's enough'. Do you	25	"Putting people in sleep holds."
	Page 41		Page 43
1	know what, I've got this."	1	The reason almost certainly he used that term is
2	And he mimics choking:	2	because, during the course of that incident, or the
3	"Don't worry about it", mimics choking.	3	third part of that incident, Yan Paschali leans over, he
4	Says Tulley:	4	says he was forced forward it perhaps doesn't matter
5	"I shat myself. I'm not going to lie. The nurse	5	overmuch why and he whispers, "You fucking piece of
6	was right behind you, Yan, so."	6	shit because I'm going to put you to fucking sleep", so
7	And he says:	7	that's where the word "sleep" comes from and Paschali is
8	"That's why I didn't."	8	saying to Tulley, "Oh, I forgot you witnessed that. Oh,
9	Says Paschali:	9	it was so funny, Derek, I wrapped up this fellow, right"
10	"Nah it's good because obviously she's fucking"	10	and do you see those two words that you respond with,
11	And he says:	11	"I remember". What did you remember about it,
12	"Not going to lie, but"	12	Mr Murphy?
13	And then a phone rings and that's the end of that	13	A. I remember there was a medical response in that room.
14	conversation?	14	I don't remember I wasn't there so I can't comment on
15	A. I don't ever remember this looks as if it's about D87	15	any actions that were taken. I was only seeing what
16	and the name I remember him. He was actually he	16	everyone else was seeing on Panorama. So I wasn't there
17	was an (inaudible) at one time, but he never displayed	17	and I can't comment on it, I'm sorry.
18	any violence towards anybody. He was a big guy.	18	Q. Here is Paschali saying, "It was so funny, Derek"?
19	There's many's a time I was sat in a room talking to him	19	A. Well, he was talking to me, wasn't he?
20	even though he was an (inaudible).	20	Q. Do you think it was appropriate for somebody who had
21	Q. This has got nothing to the with D87.	21	been engaged in even a C&R, "wrapping up", as it was
22	A. I thought that's what you were talking about, sorry.	22	colloquially called in Brook House, do you think
23	Q. That was the previous conversation. Let me tell you	23	A. Maybe it was a coping mechanism that people had
24	what it's about, if you think you've forgotten. This	24	Q. What
25	was about an incident that occurred, which you will have	25	A because it was used so much.
-5	accar an include that cocurred, which you will have		Seemas a rins used so macin
	Page 42		Page 44

		1	
1	Q. To find fun in these things?	1	about loading a detainee onto an escort van:
2	A. You can say different things at different times.	2	"He's in a cell basically in the back of the van."
3	Q. Because that's not where it ends, Mr Murphy. Just below	3	And a male officer, unidentified, given the
4	says Tulley because this was about Tulley being	4	number 1, says:
5	a pussy, wasn't it:	5	"Yeah, in a prison van.
6	"I weren't getting upset."	6	"
7	Paschali was ribbing him:	7	"Prison van, fair play.
8	"I was blowing out my arse, you prick."	8	"It wasn't a normal Tascor van, it was a prison
9	Then Paschali says:	9	van", says male officer 2:
10	"No, but I'm [choking] him"	10	"This is what I'm saying", says Paschali, "We
11	Had you ever heard of a wrapping-up technique,	11	could've put him on the van if needs be. I've done it
12	Mr Murphy, where an officer choked a detainee? These	12	before."
13	are his words:	13	And you say:
14	"No, but I'm [choking] him"	14	"We're not supposed to put them on the van, I mean,
15	A. No.	15	I've put [something] on the van, he'd lay down on the
16	Q. " and I thought ten more seconds you're going to	16	floor and I've done that lifts. Just chucked him
17	sleep."	17	into the cell."
18	Now, as you were listening to this, remembering	18	It continues. Says Paschali:
19	something about this incident, although you hadn't been	19	"I go, fucking get in. Just fucking get in.
20	there, what did you think to yourself? Did you think	20	"He said D'you want some air? I go, open the
21	that that all sounded legitimate or	21	door I ain't giving him a [something is missed]."
22	A. I can't remember what I thought. It's a long time ago.	22	Did you ever chuck anybody physically into a cell,
23	Q. No, I get that. But if you had thought that there was	23	Mr Murphy?
24	anything inappropriate about what Paschali was saying	24	A. No.
25	here about what he had done to this detainee a couple of	25	Q. So when you use
	Page 45		Page 47
	1 490 10		1 age 17
1	weeks earlier, what should you have done about it?	1	A. Is it a van cell you're on about, I presume, going by
2	A. My thoughts are not in question here. I wasn't there.	2	the conversation? We weren't allowed to put people in
3	I cannot comment on it.	3	vans.
4	Q. No, but you can comment on what you heard Paschali	4	Q. No. So where you use the words, assuming the transcript
5	admitting?	5	
6		*	is accurate, "just chucked him into the cell", can you
	A. I cannot remember. I just said to you that I can't	6	is accurate, "just chucked him into the cell", can you decipher for us what you were saying?
7	A. I cannot remember. I just said to you that I can't remember the conversation.		
7 8		6	decipher for us what you were saying?
	remember the conversation.	6 7	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the
8	remember the conversation. Q. You can	6 7 8	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here.
8 9	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it.	6 7 8 9	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put
8 9 10	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes.	6 7 8 9 10	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was
8 9 10 11	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it.	6 7 8 9 10 11	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that.
8 9 10 11 12	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't — I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the
8 9 10 11 12 13	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response? A. Absolutely.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset. "I didn't cry. I was tired, Yan. I was fucking
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response? A. Absolutely. Q. Go to page 44 of this transcript, please. We are still	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset. "I didn't cry. I was tired, Yan. I was fucking knackered. You didn't see me having to try and pull him
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response? A. Absolutely. Q. Go to page 44 of this transcript, please. We are still on the same day. There are a lot of conversations	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset. "I didn't cry. I was tired, Yan. I was fucking knackered. You didn't see me having to try and pull him out of the toilet"
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response? A. Absolutely. Q. Go to page 44 of this transcript, please. We are still on the same day. There are a lot of conversations taking place. About halfway down, there was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset. "I didn't cry. I was tired, Yan. I was fucking knackered. You didn't see me having to try and pull him out of the toilet" So that puts beyond doubt, because we know what the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remember the conversation. Q. You can A. If I remembered it, I'd comment on it. Q. Yes. A. So I can't comment on it if I can't remember it. Q. So this is something else you can't remember. But at the time, it was clear to you, Mr Murphy, that what Yan Paschali was doing was admitting to, in effect, an assault, choking someone. What should you have done, do you think? A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember it and I wasn't there with the incident. Q. That's going to be your response? A. Absolutely. Q. Go to page 44 of this transcript, please. We are still on the same day. There are a lot of conversations taking place. About halfway down, there was a conversation with Yan Paschali talking about "The	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	decipher for us what you were saying? A. I can't decipher for you because I don't remember the conversation. I'm just going by what I'm reading here. Was this in a cell in a van? We weren't I never put anybody in a van because we weren't allowed. That was the escorts that were doing that. Q. On the next page, page 45, a third up from, really, the bottom of the end of that transcript, you see here Paschali and Tulley are still talking about the incident on 25 April, where Callum Tulley was saying to Paschali that he'd found it all very difficult, and we got Paschali saying, just about halfway down: "It's very difficult to explain. Callum's had a taste. He didn't cry but he got a bit upset. "I didn't cry. I was tired, Yan. I was fucking knackered. You didn't see me having to try and pull him out of the toilet" So that puts beyond doubt, because we know what the facts are and so did they, of what this discussion is

1	"Callum, hear me out", says Paschali, "When you saw	1	"Well, I'll fuck you up in a minute."
2	him. I said, you didn't cry.	2	How were you going to fuck him up, Mr Murphy?
3	"Yeah I know I didn't.	3	A. I don't remember the conversation, so I can't
4	"But you got a bit upset. I didn't say you cried.	4	remember the conversation and I don't recognise the name
5	What I'm trying to explain is, the likes of us, if these	5	of the detainee.
6	guys see us in full action, they'd be delighted."	6	Q. But it sounds like a threat, doesn't it, if you were
7	Now, you were there, Mr Murphy. Do you understand	7	being serious?
8	from Paschali that he was glorifying violence? "They'd	8	A. I don't remember the conversation, so I can't say what
9	be delighted", "If these guys see us in full action,	9	context it was in.
10	they'd be delighted". Did you understand it in that	10	Q. Do you agree the words themselves sound like a threat?
11	way?	11	A. I cannot remember the conversation, so I don't know what
12	A. I don't understand the conversation, so no.	12	context it was in, so I don't remember.
13	Q. "Try me, mate", says Tulley.	13	Q. Let's go, please, to another transcript, <trn0000027>,</trn0000027>
14	Male officer 1:	14	this time for 18 May, at page 4, tab 55. Different
15	"I'd love to watch it."	15	topic, Mr Murphy. We have got you, four or five lines
16	And then Yan Paschali says this:	16	down, saying:
17	"We don't cringe at breaking bones."	17	"I'm going to say this"
18	Do you remember hearing that?	18	Page 5, please:
19	A. No.	19	"I'm going to say this yeah, I don't know what the
20	Q. "We don't cringe at breaking bones"?	20	hell you've done"
21	A. I don't remember hearing that, no.	21	You're referring your remarks to a female nurse:
22	Q. Well, you responded:	22	" I don't know what the hell you've done,
23	"No, that's [and something was missed]".	23	bringing him back in off that van. That was abuse you
24	Paschali:	24	and bloody what's her name."
25	"If I killed I wouldn't be bothered. I'd carry	25	And the nurse says:
	Page 49		Daga 51
	rage 49		Page 51
1	on. A lot of people are freaked out when you [something	1	"No. Are you serious?"
2	missed]. Not you but [something missed again] but like	2	And you say, "Yeah". The nurse says:
3	proper bit scared Someone like that"	3	"Can I ask you something? How can you take a man
4	On it goes:	4	that is on constant supervision and say gonna kill
5	"We don't cringe at breaking bones If I killed	5	himself and put him on a what you telling?"
6	I wouldn't be bothered."	6	You say:
7	I suggest you must have heard that because you	7	"No, hold on. Hold on. Let me finish."
8	reacted to the first part of it, Mr Murphy. What	8	She says:
9	I would like to know is, if you heard that at the time,	9	"Let me tell you something. If anything happened to
10	do you think you ought to have done something about it?	10	him, G4S is not going to stand up for me."
11	A. I cannot recall hearing that, so I am I can't comment	11	And she talks about the NMC outlining everything
12	on it.	12	that she's supposed to do and you say:
13	Q. It sounds like, as I said earlier, the glorification of	13	"Yeah, I just think because he was he was
14	the use of violence, don't you think?	14	going to go to Barcelona. It was arranged for him to
15	A. I can't comment on it because I can't remember the	15	go the only thing he done wrong was he tried to hang
16	conversation.	16	himself at the airport.
17	Q. Let's go back to transcript 0000095, tab 51, chair. We	17	"Exactly", says the nurse:
18	are back to 13 May. We go to page 6. This time, in	18	"But there was no proof of that. Tascor never told
19	relation to another detainee, D1978. This is you,	19	us; it was him that told us."
20	Mr Murphy:	20	What was that all about, Mr Murphy? Any idea?
21	"I don't give a shit", says the detainee, to your	21	A. I can't recall.
22	question, "What's up, man?":	22	Q. No idea?
23	"I don't give a shit because you guys fucked me,	23	A. I can't recall, no.
24	fuck me up."	24	Q. If I give you a possible cipher number, which is on your
25	And you say:	25	list, D2812, does that help you?
	Decc 50		Daga 52
	Page 50		Page 52

1	A. No.	1	A. Because I he was the only one I was the only one
2	Q. No?	2	that he would let near him, and he was one of the ones
3	A. No.	3	I used to sit in the room and he would be crying, and
4	Q. Just looking at this, you're clearly having an argument	4	I actually was the only one that with detainees, if
5	with a nurse, who is concerned about a man who is on	5	they're going he'd have mental issues, going by the
6	constant supervision saying he was gonna kill himself,	6	nurses, and he was the only one I was the only one he
7	who had been taken off a van, and you were clearly upset	7	would let be handcuffed to when he was being escorted to
8	with that, according to what you said towards the top of	8	the hospital and I waited in the hospital with him. But
9	the page, but it doesn't ring any bells for you?	9	I don't see anything about that on here.
10	A. No.	10	Q. Well, that's because this is a transcript of
11	Q. Tab 60, chair, another transcript, <trn0000092>, first</trn0000092>	11	a conversation you had?
12	of all at page 24. Now, this is in relation to	12	A. Yes.
13	a detainee who is ciphered as D149. We can see, at line	13	Q. It's got nothing to do with whether you won an award for
14	721, you were captured saying:	14	employee of the month or, for that matter, on other
15	"Hold that door. Here, you"	15	occasions when you escorted him to hospital.
16	There is some shouting:	16	A. Yes.
17	"Clean that window Clean the window. Here	17	Q. But what it does have to do with is it shows you
18	clean this window."	18	threatening a detainee with "smashing the fucking shit
19	And whatever D149 says is indecipherable. Tulley	19	out of him". Why do you think you did that?
20	tells D149 to go away and he responds in some way that	20	A. I would never have I would never have done that.
21	again is inaudible. You say:	21	I never did do that.
22	"Tell him, if he keeps going on I'm going to smash	22	Q. No, but how did the detainee know that you didn't mean
23	the fucking shit out of him."	23	it?
24	And the detainee is seen to be holding something in	24	A. Because I had a good rapport with the detainee.
25	the palm of his hand. His arm is outstretched through	25	Q. So because you had a good rapport, he would naturally
	Page 53		Page 55
1	the window of the door. And you repeat:	1	think, "When Derek says to me, 'I'm going to smash the
	the window of the door. And you repeat: "I'm gonna smash you up, right?	1 2	
2 3	the window of the door. And you repeat: "I'm gonna smash you up, right? "		fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"?
2	"I'm gonna smash you up, right?	2	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the
2 3	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window."	2 3	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew.
2 3 4	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? "	2 3 4	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the
2 3 4 5	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy."	2 3 4 5	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him.
2 3 4 5 6	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that?	2 3 4 5	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with
2 3 4 5 6 7	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got employee of the month with the work I put in with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered, I would say it to you. In fact, when he came back from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered, I would say it to you. In fact, when he came back from the hospital, he was a totally different person and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got employee of the month with the work I put in with this young man, but that's not in any transcript or video, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered, I would say it to you. In fact, when he came back from the hospital, he was a totally different person and we sat down and we had a good chat together. He was a nice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got employee of the month with the work I put in with this young man, but that's not in any transcript or video, is it? Q. You got employee of the month?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered, I would say it to you. In fact, when he came back from the hospital, he was a totally different person and we sat down and we had a good chat together. He was a nice kid. I remember him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"I'm gonna smash you up, right? " "Clean that window." And D149 says: "Shut this, bro. See, easy." Do you remember that? A. From what I recall from the footage, yes, I do. Q. Do you agree that you threatened this man with smashing the fucking shit out of him. Is that what you were referring to earlier? A. I wasn't what do you mean "earlier"? Q. You said earlier that you were referring A. Yes. Q to some footage you had seen where you agreed you said something like it? A. Yes. Q. And I'm asking you if this is it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Right. A. But I remember that this detainee I actually got employee of the month with the work I put in with this young man, but that's not in any transcript or video, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fucking shit out of you', he doesn't mean it"? A. Twenty minutes later, I was in the room, sitting on the bed with him, holding his hand. So obviously he knew. He built up a trust with me and I built up a trust with him. Q. So why say it? If you had a rapport with a detainee, why are you using a threat like that? A. Coping mechanisms, frustration, overworked, overused for C&R. Q. What were you frustrated about, do you think? A. Lack of staff, lack of support. Q. But in this instance, looking at this transcript, what was it that you were frustrated about to utter the words A. I can't remember exactly. I can't remember exactly. Q. Well, it was something about cleaning a window. Was he not doing something he was supposed to do? A. If I remembered, I would say it to you. You keep asking me the same question over again. If I remembered, I would say it to you. In fact, when he came back from the hospital, he was a totally different person and we sat down and we had a good chat together. He was a nice kid. I remember him.

		_	
1	"What are you doing? He's so annoying."	1	works, right?
2	And a detainee, it could be the same one, is in	2	"
3	a cell banging the door, making lots of noise, and you	3	"Put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit,
4	say something that's not picked up. This is 14 June, by	4	put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or
5	the way:	5	whatever. And then sit down and it's all right. Talk
6	"This needs to stay shut. Put your hand back in."	6	to them like fucking men. I noticed it kind of works,
7	And the detainee apparently extends his arm through	7	you know."
8	the door window with an unlit cigarette in his hand and	8	What were you saying?
9	you say:	9	A. I can't remember the conversation.
10	"Listen, so listen, stop fucking about, you	10	Q. But we can get an idea, can't we, from the words?
11	understand me? Stop. All right? That stays closed.	11	A. I can't remember the conversation. I can't I don't
12	Listen, I don't want to come back in this room again.	12	know what context it was in or anything. I can't
13	You will be in trouble, all right?	13	remember the conversation.
14	"You understand? You don't want to be in trouble	14	Q. Well, it was about detainees' behaviour, and what you're
15	with me. Trust me."	15	saying to somebody else is, first of all, that you're
16	What did being in trouble with Derek Murphy mean?	16	not going to take any shit off them, but you can go in
17	What did that look like?	17	with the care bear's head on. What did you mean by
18	A. I would have took his tobacco off him. You'd allow them	18	"care bear's"
19	two or three cigarettes and yeah, that was the main	19	A. I don't understand. I don't remember saying that.
20	thing. That's the only trouble he would have been in	20	Q. Yes. Because you "found that works". Well, is that
21	with me.	21	being compassionate? In other words, you begin with
22	Q. So not physical?	22	a bit of tough love, in the sense that you make sure
23	A. Absolutely not.	23	that they understand who is boss. Then you can go in
24	Q. Just purely confiscating something?	24	with your "care bear's head on" in other words, you
25	A. Yes, absolutely.	25	can be nice, so it is a bit of bad cop/good cop.
	•		
	Page 57		Page 59
I		I	
1	O. Then on page 28, a detainee is clearly present:	1	A. But they're your words. They're not mine.
1 2	Q. Then on page 28, a detainee is clearly present: "Drop your body to the [something]."	1 2	A. But they're your words. They're not mine. O. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or
1 2 3	"Drop your body to the [something]."	2	Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or
2	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says:		Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree?
2 3	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?"	2 3	Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree?A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't
2 3 4	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say:	2 3 4	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words.
2 3 4 5 6	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room."	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so
2 3 4 5 6 7	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom:	2 3 4 5	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912:
2 3 4 5 6	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?"	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detaince was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking: "You have to know. Go ahead, go ahead, they have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them down again and it's all right, talk to them like men?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking: "You have to know. Go ahead, go ahead, they have to know that I am not going to take any shit off them. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking: "You have to know. Go ahead, go ahead, they have to know that I am not going to take any shit off them. And then you can go in with the care bear's head on, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them down again and it's all right, talk to them like men? A. It seems to be in your words, it seems to be, but I can't remember the conversation. If I could remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking: "You have to know. Go ahead, go ahead, they have to know that I am not going to take any shit off them. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them down again and it's all right, talk to them like men? A. It seems to be in your words, it seems to be, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Drop your body to the [something]." And then Callum Tulley says: "He's not a happy bunny, is he?" And then you say: "You look like a fucking mong. Get in your room." And then towards the bottom: "He looks like a right cunt, doesn't he?" We are back to language, but we are just going through the transcripts of what was developing. Who looked like a "fucking mong" or a "right cunt"? A. I can't remember. The language, it's obvious I said it, but I can't remember who the detainee was. Q. So you accept, if you said this, inappropriate, unprofessional? A. Yes. Q. But just a coping mechanism, was it? This is a result of frustrations or A. It was inappropriate and unprofessional. Q. Then if we go to page 32, please, towards the bottom, at line 908, this is you talking: "You have to know. Go ahead, go ahead, they have to know that I am not going to take any shit off them. And then you can go in with the care bear's head on, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, they are my words. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree? A. I disagree well, I don't know because I can't remember the conversation. They seem to be your words. So they're not my words, so Q. Line 912: " put it on them and you have to rag them up a bit, put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck or whatever." What does "rag them up a bit" mean? A. I don't understand the conversation. I can't remember the conversation. Q. What about "put them on the bed by the scruff of their neck"? What does that mean? A. I don't know. I can't understand the conversation, as I said to you again. I never put anybody on the bed by the scruff of their neck, so Q. " and then sit down and it's all right. Talk to them like fucking men". What you seem to be saying there is, in other words, rough them up a bit and then sit them down again and it's all right, talk to them like men? A. It seems to be in your words, it seems to be, but I can't remember the conversation. If I could remember

1	Q. Let's move away for a moment from this transcript and	1	smash the fucking shit out of him', 'Listen, listen,
2	look at another document. Can we go, please, to	2	stop fucking about, you understand, stop' and 'I don't
3	<cjs005928>, please. That should be tab 5 for you,</cjs005928>	3	want to come back in this room again, you'll be in
4	chair, so you'll have to go to the first volume. Now,	4	trouble'"
5	this was an investigation report by G4S into you,	5	A. We just went through that a few minutes ago, didn't we?
6	Mr Murphy. You will have seen this, I'm sure. Is that	6	Q. Yes. That's why I'm asking you about what G4S found:
7	right?	7	"DCO Murphy was asked to explain his actions as
8	A. I can't remember this. I'll have a look at it, if you	8	again this evidence is clearly seen and heard in the
9	don't mind. Let me just read through it for a second to	9	documentary.
10	see if I remember it.	10	"DCO Murphy stated that the detainee had been
11	Q. By all means, if you want to have a look, or have a look	11	playing up, banging on the door. He went and closed the
12	in the hard copy, you can do that.	12	glass panel in the door and this is when the detainee
13	A. I'll have a look on the screen, if you don't mind.	13	spat at the glass and some spit hit DCO Murphy in the
14	Q. I'm only going to take you to parts of it, for the time	14	face. He described himself as becoming more frustrated
15	being. Let's see how we go. Page 3, please. What we	15	at this."
16	will see perhaps page 2 to begin with the BBC have	16	So when you were interviewed by G4S, you remembered
17	raised several allegations which might constitute	17	what had gone on, but you've forgotten it since?
18	misconduct against you. It involved, so that you can	18	A. It's a long time ago, yes.
19	get the big picture here, saying:	19	Q. "DCO Murphy said this is when he is seen on footage to
20	"On 8 May, while on shift the previous week, you had	20	turn and look over his shoulder and make these comments
21	chopped with your open hand or punched a detainee on	21	to DCO Tulley. DCO Murphy went on to say that while
22	E wing under the chin, causing him to spit out a razor	22	these comments were said, he had no intention of
23	blade"	23	actually acting them out.
24	On 14 June, you had said of a different detainee	24	"We asked DCO Murphy why he would make such comments
25	we will come to this D1275, who had taken spice,	25	to a vulnerable person and threaten a detainee."
			•
	Page 61		Page 63
1	"Look at the state of that. Imagine bringing that home	1	You denied it as being a threat but accepted it did
2	to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If	2	not look good. You said that it was not said directly
3	he dies, he dies".	3	to the detainee but admitted, when pressed, that it was
4	Again on 14 June, threatened a detainee with serious	4	said immediately outside the detainee's room and in
5	pre-existing mental health, who was later sectioned in	5	earshot of the detainee, and in this case you accepted
6	the psychiatric hospital, by saying, "Clean this	6	it may have been perceived as a threat by the detainee.
7	window". That's what I wanted to look at, the third	7	A. This wasn't an interview, it was an interrogation.
8	allegation:	8	I remember it, yeah, and I did say that, yeah.
9	"Tell him, if he keeps going, I'm going to smash the	9	Q. "When challenged about making this statement, DCO Murphy
10	fucking shit out of him."	10	admitted that it was neither professional nor
11	Something about 6 July. Then, fifthly, on an	11	acceptable.
12			·
13	unknown date, alleging you were alleged to have punched a detainee on the jaw after previously being	12	"DCO Murphy went on to say that although his comments were not professional he had a good rapport
14			1 0 11
15	bitten by him. And then allegation 6. In early 2017, you were	14	with the detainee"
16	alleged to have seen that a detainee D275, had	16	Which is what you have told us:
17	self-harmed by cutting at the site of a recent hernia	17	" and following this incident some time later he
18	operation, causing himself to bleed, but you took no	18	was asked to accompany the detainee to a psychiatric hospital, which he did, reassuring the detainee that
19	action.	19	· · ·
20	But it's allegation 3 that I'm interested in for the	20	everything would be okay.
21			"[He] stated that although he was a big man he had
22	moment. Let's look at page 5, please, of this document:	21	a soft side to him and he cares and takes his job
23	"On 14 June, you threatened a detainee with serious pre-existing mental health issues, who was later	22	seriously."
23 24		23	This allegation wasn't contested by you. So you
25	sectioned in a psychiatric hospital, by saying, 'Clean this window', 'Tell him if he keeps going I'm going to	24	accept all of that, and certainly did at the time, that
23	uns window, 1ch min it he keeps going 1 in going to	25	G4S, as you put it, interrogated you?
	Page 62		Page 64
	0		···O · ·

the two." 1 hen, it's five years ago, and my coping mechanism, with everything else that happened in my life since, is a lot of alcohol and a lot of prescription drugs, so — and that show I still cope. 5 Hand We I know it's irrelevant to you and irrelevant to the inquiry, but it's very relevant to me. 6 J know it's irrelevant to me. 7 J know it's irrelevant to me. 8 Q we understand. Thank you. 9 A. Well, white is the solution?" 10 you're peaking to me this morning. Continue. That's olasy. 11 And Tolley says: 12 Q. M. Maephy, have a job to do. 13 A. Absolutely, I understand that. 14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are liming difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has benefit you greaten the inquiry has been do you are explaining why you are siding; defined your evidence, but I'm going to earry on asking; you guestions. 15 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 16 The side of like responsible to the word in the inquiry has benefit you greaten the inquiry has benefit you have given your evidence, but I'm going to earry on asking; you guestions. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, pleuse. Go back to the word look, in this in going to study. 22 page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the crow. 23 page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the crow. 24 participants in this inquiry. Mr bhorphy, to ask you about this. It's 5 hane, You are in. I finish, one of the crow. 25 Page 65 26 Tulley says: 7 Where are they from? 8 And you say; 17 They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mank. 18 An another officer says: 19 "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." 10 An an another officer says: 11 Another officer says: 12 "They are found by the mand of the country, mank." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Che, he grid you will we will be a lowed back in the country, mank." 15 And you say: 16 the television is on, about the country, mank." 17 The defined the peaking will				
descripting cher that happened in my life since, is a lot of alcohol and a lot of prescription drugs, so – and that's how I still cope. I hand with I street-want to you and irrelevant to the inquiry, but if sivery relevant to me. Q. We understand. Hanks you. A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's olay. Q. We understand. Hanks you. Q. You have given your evidence, but I'm going to early you are explaining why you are infining difficulty remembering those things, and the inquiry has hend you revidence, but I'm going to earny on adding you question daily. A. Absolutely, I understand that. A. Okay, yes, thank you. Q. You have given your evidence, but I'm going to earny on adding you question. Earny you are finding difficulty remembering those things, and the inquiry has hend you revidence, but I'm going to earny on adding you question. A. Okay, yes, thank you. Q. I'm not going to stip. A. Okay, yes, thank you. Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to the world saying that we are going to fall you with our soldiers dispained as refugees, and they done it and the whole flacking a large of the cover participants in this inquiry. Mr Murphy, to ask you gained that hand you say: Page 65 I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, manc. I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, manc. I the staff rooms and the transcript please. Or have a participants in this inquiry. Mr Murphy, to ask you you say: Page 65 I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, manc. I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, manc. I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, manc. I who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, man. I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, man. I the staff rooms and the television is on, about the country, man. I the staff rooms	1	A. Yes. The reason why I forgot a lot between now and	1	"Lock them up or fucking deport them, one of
d of alcohol and a lot of prescription drugs, so – and that's how I'se been coping and that's how I still cope. I know it's irrelevant to you and irrelevant to the inquiry, but it's very relevant to me. Q. We understand. That you. A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's olay. A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's olay. A. Abvolutely, I understand that. Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the chingrip has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. A. Olary, yes, thank you. A. Olary, yes, thank you. A. No, no, that's fine. Q. A. No, no, that's fine. Q. A. No, no, that's fine. Q. L. Les book at another trumscript, please. Go back to the second volume, tab 57. It is "TRN0000080-at you passit not so the second volume, tab 57. It is "TRN0000080-at you about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of your and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldarb be an ideal solution" The other officer says: Page 65 Page 67 The other officer says: "Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate their little this, send a drone in. Delightfull. Job dens British soil." You say: "Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate their little this, send a drone in. Delightfull. Job dens British soil." You say: "Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate their little this, send a drone in. Delightfull. Job dens British soil." You say: "Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate their wide the ching in the	2	then, it's five years ago, and my coping mechanism, with	2	the two."
that's how I've been coping and that's how I still cope. I know it's irrelevant to you and irrelevant to the inquiry, but it's very relevant to me. Q. We understand. Thank you. A. Well obviously you don't understand. Not the way you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's day. Q. We may, I have a job to do. A. Absolutely, I understand that. Q. You have given your exidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on saking you questions. A. Okay, yes, thank you. Q. Er's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 22 the second volume, tab's 7. It is "TRN0000680" at 23 page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core page 65 Page 65 They are talking across each other and you say: "Cotal the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British lihadists a who had gone to join the maks of ISIs. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." The other officer say: The other office	3	everything else that happened in my life since, is a lot	3	This other officer says:
1 Lisow it's irrelevant to you and irrelevant to the inquiry, but it's very relevant to me. 7 Inquiry, but it's very relevant to me. 8 2. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way you was find you and to me this morning. Continue. That's okay. 10 you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's okay. 11 And Tulley says: 12 Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do. 13 A. Moutlety, I understand that. 14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and if the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to earry on asking you questions. 13 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 14 And the officer says: 15 "Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate their little chip, send a drone in. Delightful. Job done British sol.!" 16 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 17 Q. I'm not going to stop. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to the second volume, tab 57. It is ~TRN000008tD at you gree in this inquiry. Mr Murphy, to ask you about this. In 2009, ISIS broadcast to the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as releigues, and they done it and the whole finking — Europe, sat back and let it happen." 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who land gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 1 "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, make the properties of the sold of the properties of the make of ISIS. You said: 2 "Where are they from?" 3 And You say: 10 "Opened the loodings to them and refugees there were soldiers." 11 And Tulley says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 12 "And you say: 13 And You say: 14 "Qualth Thank. I'm going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, but in minerument camps." 15 And You yasy: 16 "Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in" 17 "Opened the loodings to them and refu	4	of alcohol and a lot of prescription drugs, so and	4	"Yeah, but they are going to get back, won't they?"
The other officer says: 7	5	that's how I've been coping and that's how I still cope.	5	They are talking across each other and you say:
8 Q. We understand. Thank you. 9 A. Well, obvolvely you don't understand. Not the way 1 you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's 11 okay. 12 Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do. 13 A. Absolutely, I understand that. 14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why 15 you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and 16 the inquiry has benef your evidence, but I'm going to 17 carry on asking you questions. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 22 the second volume, tab 57. It is "TRN000008D" at 23 page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core 24 participants in this inquiry, Me Murply, to ask you 25 about this. Ir's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 26 the second volume, tab 57. It is "TRN 000008D" at 27 about this. I'r's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 28 page 65 29 Talley says: Page 65 20 Talley says: 21 The shouldrif be allowed back in the country, 22 mate." 23 "Welter are they from?" 24 And you say: 25 "Where are they from?" 26 Talley says: 27 "Where are they from?" 28 And you say: 38 And you say: 39 "And fit they come back, put them in interment camps." 30 And the officer says: 31 And Tulley says: 31 And Tulley says: 32 "Welter are they from?" 33 And Tulley says: 34 And in the conversation. They're all second 35 "Tre other officer a pice it and the world say in the three about refugees and trying 36 bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? 36 A Lay on say: 37 "Where are they from?" 38 And another officer says: 38 And you say: 49 "And you say: 40 Yeah, generation They're all second 40 "Tree, shouldn't be allowed back in the country, which is a second process of the second process of th	6	I know it's irrelevant to you and irrelevant to the	6	"Well, what is the solution?"
A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's looky. Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do. A. Absolutely, I understand that. Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the time interment that their little chip, send ad drone in. Delightful. Job done British soil." A. Alos, yes, thank you. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm on going to stop. A. No, no, that's fine. Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and the they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and the they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and the they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and the they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and the they done it and the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: They shouldn't he allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: Page 65 Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: They shouldn't he allowed back in the country, mate." They shouldn't he allowed back in the country, mate." They shouldn't he allowed back in the country, mate." And you say: "And if they come back, put them in intermme	7	inquiry, but it's very relevant to me.	7	The other officer says:
you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's okay. Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do. A. Absolutely, I understand that. Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you go the finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to the finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. A. Absolutely, I understand that. A. Absolutely, I understand that. A. Absolutely, I understand that. A. Absolutely is the finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to done British soil. A. Absolutely, I understand that. A. Absolutely, I have a going to delive that. And the cofficer says: Page 11. I'm asked no behalf of some of the core participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you a soldiers disguised as refugees, and they done it and the whole fucking – Europe, sat back and let it happen." The other officer say subthered that in the country, mate. Page 65 Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had agone to join the ranks of ISIS, You said: They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate. They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate. They from Britain, mate. And you say: "They from Britain, mate." And fit they come bac	8	Q. We understand. Thank you.	8	"Try bullets"
12 Okay. 12 Okay. 13 A Absolutely, I understand that. 14 O. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the tempty has heard your evidence, but I'm going to earry on asking you questions. 15 C. H. Chang, yes, thank you. 16 C. H. Chang, yes, thank you. 18 You say: 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 18 You say: 19 Think about this. In 2009, ISIS broadcast to the world saying that we are going to kill you with our solders diseased worline, tab 57. It is <trn0000080° "opened="" \$10="" 1="" 22="" 24="" 25="" 26="" 27="" 57.="" 65="" 67="" <trn0000080°="" about="" allowed="" and="" ask="" at="" back="" back<="" be="" country,="" floodgates="" if="" in="" inquiry.="" is="" it="" mate.="" mate."="" mr="" murphy,="" page="" participants="" refugees="" second="" shouldn't="" tab="" td="" the="" them="" they="" this="" this.="" to="" volume,="" you="" =""><td>9</td><td>A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way</td><td>9</td><td>And you say:</td></trn0000080°>	9	A. Well, obviously you don't understand. Not the way	9	And you say:
12 Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do. 13 A. Absolutchy, I understand that. 14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to allow the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to a finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to a finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to a finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to a finding with the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to a finding with the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to dome. British soil." 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 21 Q. I run not going to stop. 22 A. No. no, that's fine. 23 page I. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core 24 participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you 25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 25 and the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 4 "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 5 Talley says: 6 Tulley says: 7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." 10 And Tulley says: 11 Another Officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second generation." 17 Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 18 MR ALTIMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with them is irs'", says Tulley. And he says: 19 "What do you think the best way to deal with them is irs'", says Tulley. And he says: 19 "What do you think the best way to deal with them is irs'", says Tulley. And he says: 20 "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." 21 And you say:	10	you're speaking to me this morning. Continue. That's	10	"Oh, well, that'd be an ideal solution"
A. Absolutely, I understand that. 14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you green finding difficulty emembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. 15	11	okay.	11	And Tulley says:
14 Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080>at 22 page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core 24 participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you 25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 26 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 1 Talley says: 1 They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 2 Thut if my shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 3 And you say: 4 They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 5 They the from Britain, mate." 5 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They'te from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second generation." 17 "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the officer says: 21 "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." 22 "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." 23 And you say: 24 And you say: 25 And you say: 26 And you say: 27 And the officer says: 28 And you say: 29 And the celevision is on in the background: 20 (A short break) 21 (1.49 am) 22 (1.19 am) 23 (1.19 am) 24 (2.10 and the min price and you will be familiar with, which d</trn0000080>	12	Q. Mr Murphy, I have a job to do.	12	"Yeah, reality is, they are not going to allow
15 you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Lef's look at another transcript, please. Go back to the second volume, tab 57. It is "TRN0000080" at 22 participants in this inquiry. Mr Murphy, to ask you about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 26 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 27 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 28 about this. It's 5 June in the staff rooms and the television is on, about the 29 conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 4 "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, 25 mate." 10 were therefore the conversation, but it was detent of your and the television is on, about the 20 conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 4 "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, 25 mate." 11 and a lower they from?" 12 and of they come back, put them in intermment 24 camps." 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 27 "Yeah, generation They're all second 28 "Yeah, generation They're all second 29 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 29 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 20 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 16 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 17 And you say: 28 And you say: 29 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 29 services." 29 And you say: 20 And the television is on in the background: 20 (1.29 am) (1.29	13	A. Absolutely, I understand that.	13	that."
the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to carry on asking you questions. 17	14	Q. You have given your evidence and you are explaining why	14	And the officer says:
17 done British soil." 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 18 A. Okay, yes, thank you. 29 Q. I'm not going to stop. 20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 21 the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn00000800-at 11.="" 22="" 23="" 24="" 25="" 26<="" 5="" about="" are="" ask="" asked,="" behalf="" core="" i="" i'm="" in="" in,="" inquiry,="" it's="" june.="" mr="" murphy,="" of="" on="" one="" page="" participants="" some="" td="" the="" think,="" this="" this.="" to="" you=""><td>15</td><td>you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and</td><td>15</td><td>"Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate</td></trn00000800-at>	15	you are finding difficulty remembering these things, and	15	"Let them go to Syria. Get one of them locate
18	16	the inquiry has heard your evidence, but I'm going to	16	their little chip, send a drone in. Delightful. Job
19 Q. I'm not going to stop. 19 "Think about this. In 2009, ISIS broadcast to the world saying that we are going to kill you with our soldiers disguised as refugees, and they done it and the whole flucking — Europe, sat back and let it happen." 22 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	17	carry on asking you questions.	17	done British soil."
20 A. No, no, that's fine. 21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 22 the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080> at 23 page 11. Tm asked, on behalf of some of the core 24 participants in this inquiry. Mr Murphy, to ask you 25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of 26</trn0000080>	18	A. Okay, yes, thank you.	18	You say:
21 Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to 22 the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080- "and="" "free="" "op,="" "opened="" "put="" "they="" "they're="" "well,="" "what="" "where="" "yeah,="" 1="" 10="" 11="" 12="" 13="" 14="" 15="" 16="" 17="" 18="" 19="" 2="" 20="" 21="" 23="" 24="" 25="" 26="" 27="" 28="" 29="" 3="" 4="" 5="" 6="" 65="" 67="" 7="" 8="" 9="" a.="" about="" all="" allowed="" amongst="" and="" another="" are="" as="" ask="" asked="" asked,="" at="" back="" back,="" background:="" be="" behalf="" best="" born="" britain,="" britain?"="" british="" bullets,="" but="" camps."="" clearly="" come="" commonly="" conflict="" conversation,="" core="" country,="" deal="" did,="" disguised="" do="" don't="" done="" europe,="" expressed="" floodgates="" from="" from?"="" fucking="" generation="" generation."="" germany="" gone="" had="" happen."="" he="" held="" hole="" i="" i'm="" i1.="" if="" in="" in"="" in,="" inquiry,="" internment="" is="" is?",="" isis.="" it="" it's="" jihadists="" join="" june.="" just="" kind="" known="" let="" look="" mate."="" mr="" murphy,="" not="" number="" of="" of<="" officer="" on="" on,="" one="" other="" page="" part="" participants="" put="" question="" ranks="" refugees="" refugees,="" remember="" rooms="" russia:="" said="" said:="" sat="" say:="" says="" says:="" second="" security="" services."="" shouldn't="" so="" soldiers="" soldiers."="" some="" staff="" staff?="" syria="" talks="" td="" television="" the="" them="" there="" they="" they're="" think="" think,="" this="" this.="" those="" to="" trying="" tulley="" tulley.="" views="" was="" way="" were="" what="" whether="" who="" whole="" with="" you="" —=""><td>19</td><td>Q. I'm not going to stop.</td><td>19</td><td>"Think about this. In 2009, ISIS broadcast to the</td></trn0000080->	19	Q. I'm not going to stop.	19	"Think about this. In 2009, ISIS broadcast to the
the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080> at page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of Page 65 Page 65 The other officer said: "Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in" Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: "Where are they from?" And you say: "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." And Tulley says: "They from Britain, mate." And Tulley says: "Oh, born in Britain?" And another officer stalks about Russia: "Yeah, generation." "Opened the floodgates to them and refugees there were soldiers." The question I'm asked to ask you is whether those kind of views expressed there about refugees and trying bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? A I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so — everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (A short break) (A short break)</trn0000080>	20	A. No, no, that's fine.	20	world saying that we are going to kill you with our
the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080> at page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of Page 65 Page 65 Page 65 Page 67 The other officer said: "Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in" And you say: Page 67 The staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." They are they from?" They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." They are they from?" They are they from?" They are they from?" The other officer says: The other officer says: The first of the page 67 The other officer said: "Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in" They and you say: The other officer said: The o</trn0000080>	21	Q. Let's look at another transcript, please. Go back to	21	
page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of Page 65 Page 65 Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: 4 "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 5 mate." 6 Tulley says: 7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And You say: 16 "Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in" 25 And you say: 26 "Opened the floodgates to them and refugees there were soldiers." 3 The question I'm asked to ask you is whether those kind of views expressed there about refugees and trying bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? 4 I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so - everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. 4 We will save a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 4 I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. 4 I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. 5 And You say: 15 Part of a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 6 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 7 HE CHAIR: Thank you. 1 (11.29 am) 1 (A short break) 2 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	22	the second volume, tab 57. It is <trn0000080> at</trn0000080>	22	
25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of Page 65 Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 6 Tulley says: 7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation." 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." 25 And you say: 26 And you say: 27 Page 67 Page 67 Page 67 Page 67 Page 67 1 "Opened the floodgates to them and refugees there were soldiers." 1 Put them and refugees 1 there were soldiers." 1 Pheasing the about refugees and trying 1 Put deal miningrants in general feeling that immigrants in general feeling that immi	23	page 11. I'm asked, on behalf of some of the core	23	
25 about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of Page 65 Page 67 1 the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." 6 Tulley says: 7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation." 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." 25 And you say: 26 And you say: 27 Page 67 Popend the floodgates to them and refugees there were soldiers." The custion I'm asked to ask you is whether those there about refugees and trying bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a	24	participants in this inquiry, Mr Murphy, to ask you	24	"Yeah, look what Germany did, let them all in"
the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: there were soldiers." They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: Tulley says: Tulley says: They are they from?" And you say: And you say: They refrom Britain, mate." And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And another officer talks about Russia: They them in internment camps." And another officer talks about Russia: They them in internment camps. They them in internment camps. They them in internment camps. And the television is on in the background: They them in internment camps. And they just said he was known to the security Services. And you say: They prefer on Britain with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	25	about this. It's 5 June. You are in, I think, one of	25	
the staff rooms and the television is on, about the conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: there were soldiers." They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: Tulley says: Tulley says: They are they from?" And you say: And you say: They refrom Britain, mate." And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And Tulley says: They refrom Britain? And another officer talks about Russia: They them in internment camps." And another officer talks about Russia: They them in internment camps. They them in internment camps. They them in internment camps. And the television is on in the background: They them in internment camps. And they just said he was known to the security Services. And you say: They prefer on Britain with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: "Where are they from?" And you say: "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." And Tulley says: "They're from Britain, mate." And You say: "They're from Britain, mate." And you say: "They're from Britain?" And and Tulley says: "Yeah, generation." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "The question I'm asked to ask you is whether those kind of views expressed there about refugees and trying bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so - everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so - everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Prook House, so - everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Prook House, so - everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly arrive for a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chai		Page 65		Page 67
conflict in Syria and the number of British Jihadists who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: "Where are they from?" And you say: "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." And Tulley says: "They're from Britain, mate." And Tulley says: "They're from Britain, mate." And you say: "They're from Britain?" And Tulley says: "They're from Britain?" And another officer says: "Yeah, generation." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "They were commonly held amongst staft? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly into about Brook House, so veryone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so veryone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so veryone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so veryone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so veryone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly are from Britain, and come back at 11.45 am. THE CHAIR: Tha	1	the staff rooms and the television is on, about the	1	"Opened the floodgates to them and refugees
who had gone to join the ranks of ISIS. You said: "They shouldn't be allowed back in the country, mate." Tulley says: "Where are they from?" And you say: "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." And Tulley says: "They're from Britain, mate." And Tulley says: "They're from Britain?" And you say: "Yeah, generation." And you say: "Pyet them in internment camps." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "Well thave a break going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	2		2	there were soldiers."
## skind of views expressed there about refugees and trying bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? ## A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so – everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. ## A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but a minute, but a minute plant and a minute plant and	3		3	The question I'm asked to ask you is whether those
5 mate." 6 Tulley says: 7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 25 And you say: 26 And you say: 27 bullets, and so on, were commonly held amongst staff? 28 A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about Brook House, so everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. 29 Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 20 Comment on it. 21 MR ALTMAN: I'm going to come on to something else. We are 22 slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but 23 perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 29 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 20 quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 22 (A short break) 23 (I1.49 am) 24 (I1.49 am) 25 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 29 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 29 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	4		4	kind of views expressed there about refugees and trying
Tulley says: "Where are they from?" And you say: "And if they come back, put them in internment camps." Another officer says: "Oh, born in Britain?" And you say: "Yeah, generation They're all second generation." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: "Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about the their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. And you say: A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about their views, so I'm not about Brook House, so — everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not about Brook House, so — everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not about Brook House, so — everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember the conversation, but it was clearly not about their views, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (11.49 am) (A short break) (11.49 am) WR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	5		5	
7 "Where are they from?" 8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 27 not about Brook House, so everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. 28 Ald buse, so everyone is entitled to their views, so I'm not going to compose to something else. We are separal feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 10 Were threatening or dangerous? 11 A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 16 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another	6	Tulley says:	6	
8 And you say: 9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 28 their views, so I'm not going to comment on it. 9 Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 10 were threatening or dangerous? 11 A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. 9 Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general were threatening or dangerous? 10 were threatening or dangerous? 11 A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. 12 comment on it. 13 MR ALTMAN: I'm going to come on to something else. We are slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another			7	
9 "And if they come back, put them in internment 10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 26 And you say: 27 Q. Was there a general feeling that immigrants in general 28 were threatening or dangerous? 29 A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to 20 comment on it. 21 MR ALTMAN: I'm going to come on to something else. We are 21 slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but 22 perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 29 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 20 quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 20 (11.29 am) 21 (11.29 am) 22 (11.49 am) 23 (11.49 am) 24 services." 25 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an 26 incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 27 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 28 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another			8	•
10 camps." 11 Another officer says: 12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 10 were threatening or dangerous? A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation, so I'm not going to comment on it. A. I don't remember this conversation. A. I don't remember th				
Another officer says: 11		• • • •		
12 "They're from Britain, mate." 13 And Tulley says: 14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 16 MR ALTMAN: I'm going to come on to something else. We are 16 We will have a break, chair, by a minute, but 17 perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 18 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 19 quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 25 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another		•		
And Tulley says: "Oh, born in Britain?" And you say: "Yeah, generation They're all second generation." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: "Well, they just said he was known to the security And you say: "And you say: "And Tulley says: "Is slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but perhaps that would be a good time for a break. We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (11.29 am) (11.49 am) MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another		•		
14 "Oh, born in Britain?" 15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 16 slightly early for a break, chair, by a minute, but 17 perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 18 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 19 quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 23 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 24 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 25 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another		-		
15 And you say: 16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 19 And the television is on in the background: 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 26 Perhaps that would be a good time for a break. 27 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 28 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 29 (A short break) 20 (11.49 am) 20 (11.49 am) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 29 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 20 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
16 "Yeah, generation They're all second 17 generation." 18 And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 19 And the television is on in the background: 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 26 We will have a break now, Mr Murphy, of about 27 quarter of an hour, chair, and come back at 11.45 am. 28 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 29 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 29 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 20 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
generation." And another officer talks about Russia: "Put them in internment camps." And the television is on in the background: "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: "Well, they just said he was known to the security arrived as we, by way of background, and come back at 11.45 am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				· · ·
And another officer talks about Russia: 19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 28 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 (11.29 am) 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 25 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
19 "Put them in internment camps." 20 And the television is on in the background: 21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 26 (11.29 am) 27 (11.49 am) 28 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 29 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 29 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another		_		•
And the television is on in the background: "What do you think the best way to deal with them is?", says Tulley. And he says: "Well, they just said he was known to the security services." And you say: 20 (A short break) 21 (11.49 am) 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 24 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 25 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				•
21 "What do you think the best way to deal with them 22 is?", says Tulley. And he says: 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 26 (11.49 am) 27 (22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 28 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 29 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
is?", says Tulley. And he says: 22 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an 23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 26 MR ALTMAN: We are going now, Mr Murphy, to deal with an 27 incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 28 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 29 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
23 "Well, they just said he was known to the security 24 services." 25 And you say: 28 incident which I'm sure you will be familiar with, which 29 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 20 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				, , , ,
24 services." 24 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 25 And you say: 26 27 deals with D1275, who had taken spice on 14 June. Can 27 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				
25 And you say: 25 we, by way of background, first of all, look at another				•
				<u>*</u>
Page 66	-	, ,		, - yyg-oute, more of any took at another
1 age 00		Page 66		Page 68

1	document. Chair, you will have to go to another file.	1	I especially not mental health, no.
2	Chair, for you, it is tab 41. For us, <cjs001120>.</cjs001120>	2	Q. Did you work on E wing at all?
3	I just want to put the whole thing in context.	3	A. I did, yes.
4	If we scroll down from the top, please, we see this	4	Q. Would you assume that somebody on E wing was unwell or
5	is a G4S mental health referral form in relation to	5	was it not was it an assumption that was made by
6	D1275. It is dated 1 May. The reason he was referred:	6	default?
7	"New admission. Claims mental health issues.	7	A. E wing was a place where, if guys were down there for
8	States he hears voices. Repeated 'They will find me' on	8	their own protection or if they were being disruptive or
9	admission. Would not elaborate on who he was referring	9	unruly on the wings, if they were causing trouble when
10	to or what would happen if 'they' found him.	10	they were admitted to Brook House or if they were
11	Preoccupied and inappropriate in manner. Vague and	11	after being in segregation area and they're come back
12	misleading with answers to questions on admission."	12	out to C to E wing for a day or two and then back to
13	So that was 1 May. And clearly not a well man, who	13	their own wings. We did have ill people there, as their
14	was referred for mental health investigation.	14	mental health or their the healthcare would come down
15	If we go, please, to another document, chair, in the	15	with them and say, "Look, this guy is not well". We put
16	next tab for you, <cjs004978>. This is a security</cjs004978>	16	them in a medical room, with a bigger window in it.
17	information report. A security information report,	17	There was two rooms with that.
18	Mr Murphy, which appears to have been opened on 8 June.	18	Q. But what you are telling us is, as far as this man is
19	If you go to the next page, subject heading "Medical	19	concerned, you had no particular reason that you can
20	response emergency under influence of drugs". This	20	recall now
21	relates principally to D476 but it reads this way:	21	A. No.
22	"Around 2000 hours on [8 June] I was booking	22	Q to know anything about him?
23	a detainee into room C109 on Clyde wing and said he	23	A. No.
24	could go up to the room to put his property in. He came	24	Q. Let's look at another document, tab 43, chair, another
25	back saying there were some men in his room, but to my	25	SIR, another security information report, this one
	Page 69		Page 71
1	knowledge the room should have been empty. I walked to	1	directly in relation to D1275. It is <cjs004642>. If</cjs004642>
2	room C"	2	we can go to the next page, please. "Incident location:
3	It says 209:	3	outside A wing", "Subject heading: Possible pass". The
4	" to find D1300"	4	content is:
5	And it reads:	5	"At about 1340 on Tuesday 20 June"
6	" and D318 leaving the room and appeared to be	6	So this postdates the spice attack, which I'm going
7	heavily under the influence of drugs. Upon entering the	7	to come to in a minute, which took place on 14 June.
8	room, I found D318, D1732 and D1275 both under the	8	But it's just showing some history about this man:
9	influence of drugs. D1732 appeared in a state that	9	" working on Eden wing At this time I left
10	I found it necessary to call emergency healthcare	10	the wing, and as I did, I saw a detainee I now know to
11	response. He was laying on his side and did not appear	11	be D1275 squatted down by the A wing door attempting
12	to know what was going on and did not respond to me when	12	to pass something under the door. I took hold of the
13	I asked if he was ok."	13	item which was a piece of paper coloured in and
14	It continues about 1732 but I'm focusing on D1275.	14	had some writing on it. I asked him what this was and
15	So on this occasion, 8 June, we have D1275 in a room on	15	who he was giving it to. He was very aggressive and
16	C wing under the influence of drugs with two other men.	16	tried to snatch it from me. He would not say what he
17	Now, just looking at this sort of thing, Mr Murphy,	17	was doing and who it was for he just said it was his.
18	would you have known about this issue? I'm not saying	18	I then said if it was his why was he passing it under
19	that you reported on this taking of drugs any more than	19	the door? He just swore at me and walked off."
20	you filled out the form that I showed a moment or two	20	So that was 20 June. Perhaps if we go to page 4, we
21	ago on 1 May, that he, 1275, was subject to mental	21	can see the sort of thing that, according to this SIR,
22	health referral. But were you likely to have known	22	he was trying to pass, a piece of paper. Does that look
23	anything about him or his background?	23	like anything you've seen before, Mr Murphy?
24	A. No, I don't think so, no. We were never given access to	24	A. No.
25	any medical records unless they were self-harmers. No,	25	Q. If we go, please, to a document we have seen before in
	Page 70		Page 72
			18 (Pages 69 to 72)

1	phase 1 of this inquiry, <cjs005347>, tab 44, it is</cjs005347>	1	involving a detainee"
2	another security information report, this one dated	2	This is a BBC document:
3	26 June, and if we go to the next page 22 June, not	3	" who took spice named D1275."
4	the 26th. It is again about the same man:	4	Then line 16:
5	"Appears not to be in a positive"	5	"On the 15th June, there were four medical responses
6	Some of the words fall off the page so we either	6	called for 'spice attacks', three were simultaneous.
7	guess or don't read them:	7	One of which resulted in a detainee from Kosovo called
8	"Appears not to be in a positive mental state and	8	D1275 having fits. His heart rate was so erratic that,
9	seems to be vulnerable and I believe has been taken	9	eventually, an ambulance was called.
10	advantage of on C wing. I believe that people are	10	"On the 19th of June, there were two simultaneous
11	[perhaps using] him as a kind of 'guinea pig' to try out	11	incidents involving spice, [also requiring] medical
12	drugs as I have [seen] him socialise with detainees	12	responses."
13	known to be active drug ['users', perhaps] dealers.	13	First of all, was spice a particular problem in
14	Also, at one point he was cleaning the tables downstairs	14	Brook House?
15	and he isn't a cleaner, so having questioned"	15	A. Yes.
16	Presumably "his":	16	Q. Was it a daily problem?
17	" roommate about this his roommate says that	17	A. Virtually, yes.
18	there are detainees getting him to do the cleaning while	18	Q. Often leading to medical emergencies like this one on
19	they get"	19	14 June?
20	Something is missing:	20	A. Yes.
21	" and in return giving him biscuits and other	21	Q. Go back, please, in the same document to page 2. I want
22	food items. He heard this from his roommate. Have also	22	to ask you about something else in this regard. Do you
23	seen people [take] his cutlery and plates whilst at the	23	see the heading there is "Ease of access to illegal
24	food queue and him [presumably 'not'] saying anything.	24	narcotics" at line 12?
25	D1275 has been seen [something] by as a messenger by	25	A. Yes.
23	12/3 has been seen [something] by as a messenger by	23	71. 103.
	Page 73		Page 75
1	several detainees not on this wing, where they have	1	O At line 21, it refers to 12 May, another detainee, 852
1 2	several detainees not on this wing, where they have	1 2	Q. At line 21, it refers to 12 May, another detainee, 852, on F wing after being observed high on spice, believed
2	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by	2	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed
2 3	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with	2 3	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his
2 3 4	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to	2 3 4	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of
2 3 4 5	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another	2 3 4 5	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only
2 3 4 5 6	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some',	2 3 4 5 6	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other
2 3 4 5 6 7	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along	2 3 4 5 6 7	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detaince. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the — I haven't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the I haven't marked up this document. I'm just looking for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals, whether they had tolerance to what they were taking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the — I haven't marked up this document. I'm just looking for the correct page. Just give me a moment. <sxp000057> at</sxp000057>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals, whether they had tolerance to what they were taking? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the — I haven't marked up this document. I'm just looking for the correct page. Just give me a moment. <sxp000057> at page 3. Something we have seen before. On line 14:</sxp000057>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals, whether they had tolerance to what they were taking? A. No. Q. How much they'd taken?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the — I haven't marked up this document. I'm just looking for the correct page. Just give me a moment. <sxp000057> at</sxp000057>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals, whether they had tolerance to what they were taking? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	treated him in an abusive manner, often clutching him by the neck and forcing him to go back and forth with messages, and was seen by another officer being asked to get a phone and demanding for this phone for another detainee. We don't feel that he is aware of ['some', perhaps], decisions that he makes and he does get along with the Iranians in room C019 and his roommate does try to look [after] him [or "look for him"] but we do think he could possibly be taken advantage of." So that's some of the history of this man pre and post the incident that I will shortly come to. Did you have any idea that this man was being used by others as a guinea pig? A. I don't know who the man is. I don't recognise the name. Q. You've got the name, you know the cipher of D1275? A. I don't recognise the name. Q. All right. Can I ask you to look at something else while we have you in the witness box, please, Mr Murphy. It is tab 40. Forgive me while I find the — I haven't marked up this document. I'm just looking for the correct page. Just give me a moment. <sxp000057> at page 3. Something we have seen before. On line 14:</sxp000057>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on E wing after being observed high on spice, believed to have been given the illegal narcotic without his consent, spiked by other detainees. Did you know of anything like that? So, in other words, not only detainees taking spice voluntarily, but also other detainees, if not being used as a guinea pig, knowingly, deliberately being spiked with spice? A. No, not to my recollection, I didn't. I know they were used as guinea pigs, as in asked to take them, but I didn't know about it being spiked, from my recollection, anyway. Q. But there's no question, is there, Mr Murphy, that some of these attacks, including that on 14 June that we just looked at and we will look at again in a second, were so serious that they were life-or-death events? A. Yes. Q. It was just pure luck that nobody died? A. Yes, I agree. Q. Also, I suppose, you'd have no idea, individuals, and probably not healthcare in respect of individuals, whether they had tolerance to what they were taking? A. No. Q. How much they'd taken?

1	Q. Can we look, please, with that in mind, at <trn0000092>.</trn0000092>	1	we were a product of our environment, unfortunately.
2	Chair, it's at tab 60. Page 37, I think. This is	2	Q. But you understand what I'm saying, that it leads to
3	a whole episode with D1275. It begins, I think you will	3	this sort of thing, because you're not the only person.
4	remember, in the courtyard. Do you remember that? Do	4	It leads to a culture whereby not only do people say
5	you remember seeing that on Panorama?	5	things like this, and you said that sort of thing here,
6	A. I can't remember.	6	but it leads others to think not only that it's all
7	Q. And then eventually he is taken, if my memory serves me,	7	right, but perhaps it impacts on the way that they think
8	to a room no? Doesn't mean anything?	8	about these detainees
9	A. At the minute, I can't remember.	9	A. Yes, I agree, yes.
10	Q. All right. What you may remember, towards the end of	10	Q and care for them?
11	page 37, at line 1097, is you saying:	11	A. Again, I apologise to the inquiry for that. It was
12	"I've no fucking sym"	12	fairly unprofessional and not very nice.
13	And you don't complete the word:	13	Q. I assume, Mr Murphy, you will agree with the words you
14	" look at the state of that, look imagine	14	have just used when I ask you to consider what you said
15	bringing that home to your mother."	15	at page 40, because, at this point, you were actually in
16	Why did you say that?	16	the man's room. At line 1231.
17	A. Out of frustration, I presume, because it was all the	17	A. Line what, sorry?
18	spice attacks, there was it was it was horrible.	18	Q. 1231. This time you complete the sentence that you
19	I was probably it's not very nice, and I apologise to	19	forgive me, chair, tab 60, line 1231, page 40. This
20	the inquiry for it, but it was very, very frustrating	20	time you complete the sentence you failed to complete
21	when you're dealing with these and sometimes multiple	21	a little earlier. This time, you say:
22	times in the same day. Yeah, it was it was very	22	"I've no sympathy for them, absolutely no sympathy
23	unprofessional and it wasn't very nice and I do	23	for them at all. If he dies, he dies."
24	apologise for it.	24	What are you saying about that?
25	Q. I mean, did you have "no fucking sympathy" for him, even	25	A. I brought this up at my interrogation with G4S, that the
			D =0
	Page 77		Page 79
1	though	1	whole conversation wasn't aired. It was cleverly edited
2	A. It's not the fact I didn't have sympathy for them.	2	for effect. Because I did not say that sentence as it's
3	I've on numerous times, I've sat with some guys and	3	written down or that was portrayed on the BBC.
4	I'd say to them I told them that one day someone is	4	Q. Are you serious about that, Mr Murphy?
5	going to die and I'd explain it and explain and, yeah,	5	A. The guys that were investigating agreed with me in the
6	"It won't be me, boss", and whatever, and then the same	6	interrogation.
7	guy you might spend a week trying to talk out of it.	7	Q. Sorry, who agreed with you?
8	He'd keep on turning up on E wing and he'd be in an		
0		8	A. The gentlemen that were interviewing me for G4S agreed
9	awful state.	9	A. The gentlemen that were interviewing me for G4S agreed with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was
10	awful state. Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that		
		9	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was
10	Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that	9 10	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run.
10 11	Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying	9 10 11	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her
10 11 12	Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that?	9 10 11 12	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited.
10 11 12 13	Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that?A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised	9 10 11 12 13	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited.
10 11 12 13 14	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. 	9 10 11 12 13 14	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that.
10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact.
10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes a culture where people just say uncaring things, 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the dangers of taking spice, yet they continued to do so;
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes a culture where people just say uncaring things, inappropriate and unprofessional things, and it leads to 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the dangers of taking spice, yet they continued to do so; yes?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes a culture where people just say uncaring things, inappropriate and unprofessional things, and it leads to a sort of mushrooming, like an infection, that if one 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the dangers of taking spice, yet they continued to do so; yes? A. 18? Sorry?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes a culture where people just say uncaring things, inappropriate and unprofessional things, and it leads to a sort of mushrooming, like an infection, that if one person can say it, everybody can say it? A. That was the culture within Brook House. It was that — 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the dangers of taking spice, yet they continued to do so; yes? A. 18? Sorry? Q. 18(a). A. I've only got 18. I haven't got 18(a).
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. "Look at the state of that imagine bringing that home to your mother". What was the point in saying something like that? A. It was very unprofessional. And I have apologised already for saying that. It was very unprofessional. Q. You do. A. Pardon? Q. I said you do apologise for it. A. Yep. Q. But do you also accept that, to those around you, who are hearing you saying that sort of thing, it becomes a culture where people just say uncaring things, inappropriate and unprofessional things, and it leads to a sort of mushrooming, like an infection, that if one person can say it, everybody can say it? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with me in the interview, or interrogation, that it was edited. You can clearly see it when the footage is run. Q. We are going to run it so that the chair can make up her own mind as to whether it's clearly edited. A. Well, I know for a fact it was edited. Q. I'm sure you want to say that. A. No, it's not I want to. It's a fact. Q. All right. We will see about that. A. Thank you. Q. In your first witness statement please listen to me. In your first witness statement at paragraph 18(a), what you say is that you had spent time explaining the dangers of taking spice, yet they continued to do so; yes? A. 18? Sorry? Q. 18(a).

1	Q. It may be your second witness statement?	1	A. Yes. I beg your pardon, yes.
2	A. Is that annex A or B?	2	Q. That's what you are saying, "If he dies, he dies", comes
3	Q. Wait a sec.	3	from one of the Rocky movies in which one of the lead
4	A. It's B.	4	actors made the comment. You went on to say that you
5	Q. It's the second statement, not the first.	5	said it in an accent attempting to imitate the actor
6	A. I do indeed, yes.	6	and, on reviewing the CCTV footage with Mr Murphy, there
7	Q. This is what you said:	7	would appear to be a slight deviation in your accent as
8	"The fact that I had no sympathy for detainees is	8	you made the comment:
9	that I spent lots of time explaining the dangers of	9	"Murphy admits he has no sympathy for detainees who
10	taking spice to detainees and yet they continued to take	10	take illicit drugs such as spice and suffer an attack.
11	it. It's similar to a parent educating their child not	11	He said that's their choice."
12	to touch something dangerous and they continue to do so.	12	When challenged about the comment, you said you did
13	I am shown to quote a line from a movie which was said	13	care for detainees in general but had no sympathy for
14	in jest as a coping mechanism for dealing with the	14	them when they took drugs, but this didn't mean you
15	situation. It's clear that my voice changes when I make	15	didn't act in a professional manner. You didn't want
16	the movie quote, and it's clear that the footage has	16	anyone to die.
17	been edited for dramatic effect. It's not my attitude	17	Over the page, you accepted, acknowledged, that your
18	towards detained persons. I'm the only one in the	18	comments as shown in the documentary were unacceptable.
19	footage who asked the detainee several times if he's	19	The comment listed in the second allegation not shown in
20	okay and I put him in the recovery position."	20	the programme, "Look at the state of that imagine
21	So you're clear, and you have repeated it to us now,	21	bringing that home to mother", you denied saying it. Do
22	Mr Murphy, that it's a fact that the BBC edited it for	22	you accept saying that now, "Imagine bringing that home
23	dramatic effect; yes?	23	to mother"?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. I can't remember saying it, but if it's there, I must
25	Q. And you rely on, as I understand it, what you say the	25	have said it. I'm not admitting or denying.
23	Q. And you fely on, as I understand it, what you say the	23	have said it. I in not admitting of denying.
	Page 81		Page 83
1	G4S investigator said as supporting your contention?	1	Q. You say that G4S backed you up, the investigator, after
2	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We	2	their interrogation
2 3	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the	2 3	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did.
2 3 4	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can	2 3 4	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4
2 3 4 5	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it	2 3 4 5	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of
2 3 4	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent.
2 3 4 5	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it	2 3 4 5 6 7	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think	2 3 4 5 6 7	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on</cjs005928> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June:</cjs005928> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother.</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies."</cjs005928> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies." Then you say a little further down. This is what</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20. (Video played)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies." Then you say a little further down. This is what you told G4S in the interrogation, as you put it:</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Let's just stop there. Can we just go back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies." Then you say a little further down. This is what you told G4S in the interrogation, as you put it: "With regard to the comment 'If he dies, he dies'",</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Let's just stop there. Can we just go back to 16:20, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies." Then you say a little further down. This is what you told G4S in the interrogation, as you put it: "With regard to the comment 'If he dies, he dies'", you explain that that's whilst you said this, you</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Let's just stop there. Can we just go back to 16:20, please. (Video played)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. They verbally agreed with me when we watched it. We watched it several times on the big screen at the interview and you can see the light change and you can clearly hear my voice changes. It's just yeah, it was dramatised for effect, yes. Q. You don't think this is all a bit desperate, do you? A. Absolutely not. Your words, not mine. Q. They are my words and I'm asking you whether you think this is all just a bit desperate? A. Well, I refuse to answer that question because it's not really a question. I totally disagree with it, yes. Q. Let's just, before we look at the footage, go to a document we looked at earlier. Chair, tab 5 for you, <cjs005928> at page 4. This is the allegation on 14 June: " imagine bringing that home to mother. Absolutely no sympathy for them at all. If he dies, he dies." Then you say a little further down. This is what you told G4S in the interrogation, as you put it: "With regard to the comment 'If he dies, he dies'", you explain that that's whilst you said this, you were referring to a Rocky movie in which Dolph Lundgren,</cjs005928>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their interrogation A. I said verbally they backed me up, which they did. Q. But you will agree that all they say here on page 4 about what you claimed was a change in the course of the footage is it was a slight deviation in accent. There is no suggestion in this document, is there, that G4S supported your view, Mr Murphy, that the BBC had edited it for dramatic effect? A. Verbally, they did. Q. Verbally, they did? A. Yes. Q. But in writing, they declined to? A. As I say, again, verbally they did. Q. Let's look at the footage so others can make up their own mind. The footage is KENCOV1035. It is V2017061400015. I would like to start we can go back and forth, if you wish, Mr Murphy. It starts at 00:16:20. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Let's just stop there. Can we just go back to 16:20, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pause and stop. So, Mr Murphy, looking at that,

1	apart from dropping your voice a bit, that's a different	1	sentiment that goes with the words which are uttered?
2	accent?	2	A. When I said it well, it wasn't very nice. It was
3	A. Well, it's meant to be. I'm not a very good	3	horrible and I shouldn't have said it and it wasn't very
4	impressionist. I never said I was.	4	professional and I do apologise for it.
5	Q. No, clearly not.	5	Q. It sounds callous, doesn't it?
6	A. Please, don't speak to me like that. It's not really	6	A. It just doesn't sound very professional. Yes, it did,
7	fair in speaking to me like that, is it?	7	I agree.
8	THE CHAIR: Mr Murphy, all Mr Altman is attempting to do is	8	Q. Was it coincidence or culture that not just you, but
9	to take your evidence.	9	others, used words identical to that?
10	A. I know, but you're being very condescending. That's not	10	A. Well, I can't speak for them. It just wasn't a very
11	very fair, is it?	11	pleasant it wasn't very professional the way I said
12	MR ALTMAN: Mr Murphy, can you please limit yourself to	12	it.
13	answering	13	Q. Let me then ask you, please, about something else.
14	A. I'm allowed to stand up for myself, sir. That's what	14	I want to ask you about another detainee altogether,
15	I'm saying to you.	15	D523. Now, D523 has made a witness statement to the
16	Q. You're not here to stand up for yourself. You're here	16	inquiry. Chair, it is tab 27. It relates to events
17	to answer questions. All I'm asking you is whether you	17	which he says took place on and after 14 April 2017. By
18	are saying that, in what we have just listened to, the	18	way of background, Mr Murphy, this detainee had been on
19	words "he dies, he dies" were said as mimicry of	19	an ACDT for some time. Perhaps before we go to his
20	Dolph Lundgren in one of the Rocky films or whether you	20	witness statement, let's just look at this care and
21	were just using your voice by dropping it a bit because	21	separation form, please. It's <cjs001655>. Chair, it's</cjs001655>
22	you were being serious?	22	tab 26. So we can see that's the front cover of
23	A. I wasn't being serious.	23	the DCF1. He was on rule 40. We can see at the top,
24	Q. Where do you say, for dramatic effect, the BBC edited	24	"Date located into rule 40", 14 April, in the evening.
25	this footage?	25	He was finally removed from it on the 17th. If then we
	ě		,,,
	Page 85		Page 87
1	A. You can see the light changes in the background.	1	move on to page 2, just by way of background:
2	Q. How was the timestamp interfered with?	2	"Reasons for removal from association."
3	A. I don't know. I didn't see it.	3	I'm not sure this is an ACDT, actually, but a care
4	Q. Because this is digital, it's not analogue.	4	and separation form:
5	A. I didn't see it.	5	"Detainee 523 has been placed onto rule 40 after
6	Q. But you're clear in your mind	6	a protest on D wing yard."
7	A. Yes.	7	Is this what you were talking about earlier, the
8	Q that this has been interfered with to make you look	8	protest?
9	bad?	9	A. I can't remember this. If you go on and it is at the
10	A. If it had have happened in the one conversation, I'd say	10	minute, I can't tell.
11	it did, but I know for a fact it didn't.	11	Q. "He incited other detainees and charged at staff when
12	Q. "If he dies, he dies" is something we have heard others	12	they were trying to help a detainee who was having
13	use.	13	medical problems at the time."
14	A. Well, I use it I used it there, and I apologise, and	14	A. This sounds like the same one, yes.
	71. Wen, I use it — I used it there, and I apologise, and	1 .	11. This sounds like the same one, yes.
1 15	it's a harrible thing to say and I wouldn't wish anybody	15	O So that was 14 April On the next page page 3 a 24
15 16	it's a horrible thing to say and I wouldn't wish anybody	15	Q. So that was 14 April. On the next page, page 3, a 24
16	dead. It wasn't very nice.	16	presumably, hour extension was organised for the
16 17	dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another	16 17	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go,
16 17 18	dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to	16 17 18	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but
16 17 18 19	dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb?	16 17 18 19	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name
16 17 18 19 20	dead. It wasn't very nice.Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb?A. Yes.	16 17 18 19 20	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy",
16 17 18 19 20 21	 dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, 	16 17 18 19 20 21	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, he said exactly the same, "If he dies, he dies", and 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice? A. It appears to be there, yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, he said exactly the same, "If he dies, he dies", and whether or not, do you agree, he, like you, was trying 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice? A. It appears to be there, yes. Q. So it looks to be on 15 April twice. Is it 12.20 and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, he said exactly the same, "If he dies, he dies", and whether or not, do you agree, he, like you, was trying to mimic four words from an actor's script in a Rocky	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice? A. It appears to be there, yes. Q. So it looks to be on 15 April twice. Is it 12.20 and 17:20? You were involved in dealing with him on E wing,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, he said exactly the same, "If he dies, he dies", and whether or not, do you agree, he, like you, was trying 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice? A. It appears to be there, yes. Q. So it looks to be on 15 April twice. Is it 12.20 and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dead. It wasn't very nice. Q. You see, two weeks before this event, on 27 May, another officer, Dave Webb does that name mean something to you, Dave Webb? A. Yes. Q. On 27 May, while preparing for a use of force on D1914, he said exactly the same, "If he dies, he dies", and whether or not, do you agree, he, like you, was trying to mimic four words from an actor's script in a Rocky	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presumably, hour extension was organised for the safety and security of the centre. I'd like to go, please, first to page 7. It is difficult to read, but if we look maybe six entries down, can we see your name in the "Name position" column, "DCO Murphy", "DCO Murphy" twice? A. It appears to be there, yes. Q. So it looks to be on 15 April twice. Is it 12.20 and 17:20? You were involved in dealing with him on E wing,

1	"Lunch given."	1	accept about what happened. Then, at the top of
2	And then:	2	the next page, paragraph 42:
3	"Dinner given."	3	"I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry
4	A. It looks like it, yes.	4	that on Saturday, 15 April, my stay in the CSU was
5	Q. Then, again, insofar as I have been able to read this	5	extended for a further 24 hours until Sunday, 16 April
6	document, although some of it is difficult, we go,	6	"
7	please, to page 14, this time 16 April. Again, 12:20,	7	We saw that in the document:
8	"Lunch given", 17:20, "Dinner given". Two entries in	8	" followed by a further extension of 24 hours to
9	your name. Then if we skate over two other entries,	9	Monday, 17 April. I understand from my solicitors that
10	there is a third, I think, on the 16th, at 20:55, which	10	it is unclear if my rule 40 was properly authorised by
11	seems to have your name by it	11	the Home Office beyond that initial 24-hour extension as
12	A. It appears	12	no Home Office official has signed the paperwork."
13	Q yes?	13	Then he goes through a series of entries in the
14	A. It appears to be mine, yes.	14	record sheet. Then paragraph 43, please, on page 16:
15	Q. Which suggests, therefore, Mr Murphy, that, at least	15	"I clearly recall my time spent in the CSU following
16	during those times, you were on duty on E wing and	16	the protest and note the following. After arriving to
17	dealing with him on the 15th and the 16th. If you can't	17	the CSU, myself and the others in the CSU telephoned the
18	remember, would you know, as a matter of generality, if	18	police again two or three times. The police told us
19	you were giving him lunch and giving him dinner, for	19	they would look into it for us. I'm not sure what, if
20	example, on the same day, does that mean you were on	20	anything, happened. To that, I remember Brook House
21	duty and your shift took you into E wing the whole day?	21	officers being upset that we had telephoned the police."
22	A. It depends on what was going on on the site in the	22	Did you know anything about that, Mr Murphy, this
23	estate.	23	man or others complaining to the police?
24	Q. So you could have come off E wing and gone back on	24	A. No I can't recall.
25	E wing?	25	Q. What he said earlier, just to put it in context, is:
23	E wing:	23	Q. What he said carner, just to put it in context, is.
	Page 89		Page 91
1	A. Yes.	1	"During the protest, myself and many other detainees
2	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to	2	telephoned the police because we believed we were being
2 3	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we</dl0000232>	2 3	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights."
2 3 4	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up</dl0000232>	2 3 4	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b):
2 3 4 5	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time.</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU
2 3 4	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April:</dl0000232>	2 3 4	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40.</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40.</dl0000232> I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April."</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40:</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU."</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says:</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening."</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction:</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up because we were upset and believed the officers were</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No. Q. "I believe my mobile phone was taken from me because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up because we were upset and believed the officers were treating us badly."</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No. Q. "I believe my mobile phone was taken from me because the officers were upset that I telephoned the police and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up because we were upset and believed the officers were treating us badly." I'm not going to read the rest of that page. But he</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No. Q. "I believe my mobile phone was taken from me because the officers were upset that I telephoned the police and I believe they were punishing me for doing so and making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up because we were upset and believed the officers were treating us badly."</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No. Q. "I believe my mobile phone was taken from me because the officers were upset that I telephoned the police and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So, with that in mind, let's just look at what he has to say. So back, chair, to tab 27, <dl0000232>. Can we begin, please, on page 13. His statement is divided up into periods of time. This, you can see, is 14 to 17 April: "I attended a protest in the D wing courtyard and I was removed from association under rule 40. I understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that this was at 20:30 on Friday, 14 April." Then he talks about use of force at paragraph 40: "I also understand from the disclosure from the inquiry that, following the use of force, I was reportedly seen by healthcare in the CSU." Then, at 41, he says: "I clearly recall the events of that evening." Just by way of introduction: "The main instigator of the protest was another detainee man who wore glasses. All of us detainees agreed to participate in the process by standing in D wing courtyard refusing to go inside at lock-up because we were upset and believed the officers were treating us badly." I'm not going to read the rest of that page. But he</dl0000232>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	telephoned the police because we believed we were being treated unfairly and without human rights." And so it was a protest. Then subparagraph (b): "I do not accept that after I arrived in the CSU I made threats. I had no idea what a memorial poppy meant" So this is more about allegations which he understood were being made: "(c) on the morning after the protest (which I understand from my records to be Saturday, 15 April) I remember being let out of my cell in the CSU to have a shower and when I returned my mobile phone was missing. I got riled up and asked the officers to give me my phone. I remember being told the main block officer, Derek Murphy, knows where it is and that I would have to wait for him. I remember Derek Murphy being Irish, tall, overweight, bald with a white beard and white-framed glasses." Did you know anything about his phone, Mr Murphy? A. No. Q. "I believe my mobile phone was taken from me because the officers were upset that I telephoned the police and I believe they were punishing me for doing so and making

		1	
1	I recall constantly asking the other officers for my	1	as this man is concerned?
2	phone and they kept saying that I would have to ask the	2	A. I cannot recall that. I would never do that.
3	block officer, Derek Murphy. This was extremely	3	Q. Never, for example, say that you're going to "smash the
4	distressing as it was my only way to contact anyone,	4	fucking shit" out of someone or anything like that?
5	including my legal representative. It made my time in	5	A. This I don't recall that, and I would never say that.
6	CSU feel even more isolating and I was also not able to	6	Q. Thank you.
7	get legal advice on my situation."	7	A. I would never threaten to kill anybody.
8	Were you continually asked by this man for his	8	Q. No, no-one is suggesting you would, but
9	phone, Mr Murphy?	9	A. That's what it's saying there.
10	A. I can't recall.	10	Q. No, he threatened. He says you threatened to?
11	Q. What, you	11	A. I didn't.
12	A. I can't recall.	12	Q. He says
13	Q. One way or the other?	13	A. I would not threaten to kill anybody.
14	A. I honestly can't recall that event.	14	Q. But you agree that some of the words we have looked at
15	Q. So you can't say one way or the other whether he asked	15	in the past constituted threats, don't you? Threats to
16	you for his phone back?	16	assault?
17	A. From my memory, when a detainee was put in CSU on	17	A. I beg your pardon?
18	a rule 40, they weren't allowed anything in the room.	18	Q. You agree that some of the words that we saw you utter
19	Q. You	19	on other occasions amounted to threats to assault
20	A. But I can't I can't I honestly that doesn't	20	people?
21	ring any bells to me at all.	21	A. But not directly to them. But yes, I agree.
22	Q. Then he deals with being told that they were going to	22	Q. Then on the next page, he said one of the main reasons
23	transfer him to Colnbrook. At paragraph (g):	23	why he was upset and distressed and swearing and banging
24	"I recall that while I was in the CSU, I was forced	24	on his door was because his mobile phone had been taken
25	to spend a lot of time with Derek Murphy and we had lots	25	from him and "This was my only way to contact the
	Page 93		Page 95
1	of arguments. I also remember that, whenever I would be	1	outside world" at the top of 18 "and also because
1 2	of arguments. I also remember that, whenever I would be clearly upset at being locked in the room. Derek would	1 2	outside world" at the top of 18 "and also because I really hated being in the CSU, especially with
2	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would	2	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with
	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting	1	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped,
2 3 4	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made	2 3 4	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the
2 3	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was	2 3	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember
2 3 4 5 6	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress."	2 3 4 5 6	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel like someone is holding my throat and chest."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel like someone is holding my throat and chest." A serious allegation there, Mr Murphy, that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck? A. No. Q. Let's look at something else, please, in relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel like someone is holding my throat and chest." A serious allegation there, Mr Murphy, that you grabbed this man by the neck and threatened to kill him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck? A. No. Q. Let's look at something else, please, in relation to another individual, D1251, a use of force on 4 May.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel like someone is holding my throat and chest." A serious allegation there, Mr Murphy, that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck? A. No. Q. Let's look at something else, please, in relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clearly upset at being locked in the room, Derek would make faces at me, sticking his tongue out, chanting ha ha and whistling at me and laughing at me. This made me feel even more frustrated and upset and like he was getting pleasure from my distress." What do you say about that? A. I don't recall that, but that would never happen on my shift when I was on duty. Because our job there was to de-escalate to get to de-escalate and get them out of the CSU as quickly as possible. Q. "At some point, I'm not sure if it was that day or the following, Sunday, 16 April, I recall banging the door, calling out to the officers to return my phone. I then remember Derek Murphy coming into my cell, putting his gloves on, grabbing me by the neck and saying he was going to kill me. I felt shocked and scared as I could not believe that an officer would do that to me. I wanted to complain but I thought no-one would ever believe me. To this day, the incident is still with me and I have flashbacks and sometimes I wake up and feel like someone is holding my throat and chest." A serious allegation there, Mr Murphy, that you grabbed this man by the neck and threatened to kill him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I really hated being in the CSU, especially with Derek Murphy as the main officer. I felt trapped, isolated and anxious. On the 17th, I was taken from the CSU to E wing. Before being taken to E wing, I remember Derek Murphy gave me my mobile phone and said, 'Sorry, I left your phone in my uniform and took it home by mistake'." Does that ring any bells with you? A. Absolutely not, no. I can't recall. I would never I always make sure I've nothing in my pockets when leaving work, because we weren't allowed any mobile phones in. Q. Then, at letters (k) and (l), he says what he did and didn't do about these matters. So, as far as you're concerned, Mr Murphy, you don't remember any of this or have any distinct recollection of this, but what you're telling us you would not have done is made any threat to kill him A. Absolutely not. Q or taken him by the scruff of the neck? A. No. Q. Let's look at something else, please, in relation to another individual, D1251, a use of force on 4 May.

1	to say about this in a video diary. It's <trn0000045>.</trn0000045>	1	is an incident where Small and Lake are talking to each
2	Chair, tab 48	2	other and Dan Lake remembers an incident, so this isn't
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	3	you telling tall stories, this is they talking about
4	MR ALTMAN: at page 3. At the top this, is Callum Tulley	4	you, where someone bit your hand and you upper-cutted
5	being debriefed by the BBC at the end of a day:	5	him, cracked him straight in the jaw. Now, were they
6	"Derek was basically bragging about how he stopped	6	just making this up, the pair of them, or did that
7	a detainee from swallowing a razor blade. And he	7	happen?
8	essentially said that he went into the cell, gave him an	8	A. I can't speak for them.
9	upper cut to the throat so he basically coughed the	9	Q. Well, did that happen, Mr Murphy?
10	razor blades right out and he took great pleasure in	10	A. No, it didn't happen, no.
11	telling this to the whole office and found it quite	11	Q. In his evidence yesterday, I think it was, Dan Small
12	funny and so did quite a lot of the members of staff."	12	talking of events on 13 May, said that he thought he'd
13	Did you ever physically punch any detainee	13	been referring to you. He was asked about this by
14	throughout the whole time you were there?	14	Mr Livingston on behalf of the inquiry. That he thought
15	A. No.	15	he'd been referring to you, but he couldn't remember the
16	Q. Ever upper cut anybody?	16	incident. But when he was pressed on it, he said that,
17	A. Absolutely not, no.	17	effectively, what he was saying was accurate, or what
18	Q. Ever upper cut anybody who said that he was swallowing	18	was being said here was accurate, that he saw everything
19	or had razorblades in his mouth in order to get them out	19	and that you had cracked this man in the jaw. It was
20	of his mouth?	20	Lake, forgive me, not Dan Small. Dan Lake was saying in
21	A. No.	21	his evidence that he thought he'd been referring to you,
22	Q. So this is a complete fiction, but do you remember	22	he couldn't particularly remember it, but that he said
23	telling Callum Tulley this?	23	he saw everything and that you had upper-cutted this man
24	A. I told you the inquiry already, I used to tell Callum	24	and cracked him in the jaw. So we have evidence from
25	a load of stories, excuse my language, a load of	25	another erstwhile colleague of yours who said, as far as
	a road or storress, eneage my ranguage, a road or	20	anomer electrical contengate of yours who said, as fair to
	Page 97		Page 99
		١.	
1	bullshit stories, because he fed off it and that's what	1	he recalled it, it happened. He was telling the truth.
2	I gave him.	2	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought"
2 3	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we	2 3	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen.
2 3 4	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in	2 3 4	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn
2 3 4 5	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several	2 3 4 5	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man
2 3 4 5 6	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of	2 3 4 5 6	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates
2 3 4 5 6 7	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really — I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing?</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do.</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really — I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office?</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and Derek upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on. (Video played)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and Derek upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the jaw lip was all over the place."</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Thank you, that's fine. So that gives you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and Derek upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the jaw lip was all over the place." Of course, there is nothing here about any razor</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Thank you, that's fine. So that gives you a flavour of the body worn footage, which only begins at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and Derek upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the jaw lip was all over the place."</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Thank you, that's fine. So that gives you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I gave him. Q. This was one of the allegations, you will remember, we don't perhaps have the time to go back to it, but in that G4S investigation report it was one of the several allegations made about you, and it was as a result of what you had told Callum Tulley, and you denied making the comment, I think, and the action alleged when you spoke to G4S? A. Yep. As I say, there's that many stories that I told Callum Tulley, I can't really I don't know. I can't remember what ones we told him. Q. Let's look at a transcript we looked at several times already, please. Chair, tab 51. <trn0000095> at page 32. This is Dan Small, and I asked you about this earlier, but, putting it in context of what Callum Tulley was saying to the BBC. Do you remember I showed you this earlier, Small and Lake on B wing? A. Yes, I do. Q. "Geezer bit his hand wrapped him up in the office? The geezer bit Derek's hand and then he bent over and Derek upper-cutted him and cracked him straight in the jaw lip was all over the place." Of course, there is nothing here about any razor</trn0000095>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. How was he telling the truth when he said he "thought" it was, he "thought"? So that did not happen. Q. Can we look at the footage. There was some body-worn footage of a particular incident which involved a man whom use of force was used on, on 4 May, and it relates to D1251. Chair, because this footage has not been redacted, I am going to have to ask for it to be shown in closed session, so it will require the public gallery to be closed, but it will only last a couple of moments. IN CLOSED SESSION MR ALTMAN: Disk 39, UOF 113.17 BWC. It's body worn footage not being worn by you, Mr Murphy, but by somebody else. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Pausing there, I think you're on the left here, Mr Murphy, and you've got the man's head and you're holing it down; yes? Can you see? A. I can see. I can't see — Q. Let's just carry on. A. Have a look at it, yes. Q. Carry on. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: Thank you, that's fine. So that gives you a flavour of the body worn footage, which only begins at

1	a head hold and others are obviously involved with him.	1	A. I'm just pointing it out, that's all.
2	We need to go back into open session, chair, sorry.	2	Q. Thank you.
3	IN OPEN SESSION	3	A. You're welcome.
4	MR ALTMAN: Just wait a few moments, Mr Murphy. While we do	4	Q. Callum Tulley, on 8 May
5	it, can we put up on screen <cjs005928>, please, at</cjs005928>	5	A. So, no, I did not strike that man.
6	page 2. This is the investigation report, and you will	6	Q. Callum Tulley, on 8 May, told the BBC that you had
7	see allegation 1 was on 8 May. You have said that,	7	bragged to him and others that you had struck a man in
8	while on a shift the previous week, you had chopped with	8	order to loosen or release from his mouth a razor blade.
9	your open hand or punched a detainee on E wing under the	9	A. Yes.
10	chin causing him to spit out a razor blade which the	10	Q. That was the evidence, they were your words?
11	detainee had concealed in his mouth. That was	11	A. But you said to me there now, "Is that the gentleman you
12	Callum Tulley's allegation in the video diary, the video	12	struck?"
13	diary date, which I showed you a little earlier, was	13	Q. Yes.
14	8 May, and the footage I have just shown you is 4 May in	14	A. There is no there is nothing to say I struck that
15	relation to D1251. What I'm asking you is whether,	15	gentleman, only Callum Tulley's word.
16	during that use of force, but before the body-worn	16	Q. Well, it's your word.
17	camera was switched on, that was the man that you	17	A. I'm telling you I didn't strike him.
18	struck?	18	Q. Fine. So that's the difference between us.
19	A. I didn't strike any man.	19	A. But you said I did.
20	Q. So that never happened. That didn't happen?	20	Q. I'm asking you.
21	A. You just accused me of striking a man. I did not strike	21	A. No, I know you're asking. But you just I'm just
22	a man.	22	pointing out to you that you said, "Is that the
23	Q. So that we are clear, did you say those words to	23	gentleman you struck?"
24	Callum Tulley	24	Q. I'm asking you, and you say
25	A. I can't remember saying that to Callum Tulley.	25	A. But there is no evidence to say I struck anybody.
	Page 101	_	Page 103
1	Q. You don't remember saying that either?	1	Q. Well, the evidence
2	A. You just said there and that should be struck off.	2	A. So when it comes to the public
3	You just said "Is that the man you struck?".	3	Q. Mr Murphy, the evidence is from your own mouth,
4	O. Yes.	4	according to Callum Tulley.
5	A. I didn't strike any man.	5	A. But that's what Callum Tulley says.
6	Q. You didn't strike anyone.	6	Q. Yes.
7	A. But you said I did.		
8	•	1 7	A. I do not now remember saving that.
	O. Well, this is these are the words	7 8	A. I do not now remember saying that. O. No. and people often
9	Q. Well, this is these are the words A. They were your words.	8	Q. No, and people often
9 10	A. They were your words.	8 9	Q. No, and people oftenA. So it's "allegedly said".
10	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait.	8	Q. No, and people oftenA. So it's "allegedly said".Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence
10 11	A. They were your words.Q. Mr Murphy, wait.A. No, they were your words.	8 9 10	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said".
10 11 12	A. They were your words.Q. Mr Murphy, wait.A. No, they were your words.Q. Yes, I know.	8 9 10 11	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them.
10 11 12 13	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said	8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think
10 11 12 13 14	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question.	8 9 10 11 12	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well.
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that.
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?"	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly struck?" That's the way I would have put it.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct. Q. Right? A. That's correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly struck?" That's the way I would have put it. Q. Mr Murphy, we both know what we're talking about here.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct. Q. Right?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly struck?" That's the way I would have put it. Q. Mr Murphy, we both know what we're talking about here. A. No, we do, but the public don't.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so that we are clear, after you've left us, about
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly struck?" That's the way I would have put it. Q. Mr Murphy, we both know what we're talking about here.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so that we are clear, after you've left us, about what you are telling us, did you say what Callum Tulley
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. They were your words. Q. Mr Murphy, wait. A. No, they were your words. Q. Yes, I know. A. You spoke and said Q. I am asking you a question. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes. I'm asking you a question. A. Yes, you said Q. I will ask it again. A "Is that the man you struck?" Q. Yes, because Callum listen to me, because Callum A. No, "Is that the man you allegedly you allegedly struck?" That's the way I would have put it. Q. Mr Murphy, we both know what we're talking about here. A. No, we do, but the public don't.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. No, and people often A. So it's "allegedly said". Q. But, Mr Murphy, people often give evidence A. That's "allegedly said". Q of what others say they said to them. A. Yeah, but I'm allowed to point out what I think Q. Well, you have made your point very well. A and thank you very much for letting me do that. I appreciate it. Q. You have made your point. A. Thank you. Q. So your case is that you didn't punch anybody? A. That's correct. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so that we are clear, after you've left us, about what you are telling us, did you say what Callum Tulley

1	A. I do not recall saying that to Callum Tulley.	1	witnessed the incident. After the incident, Derek
2	Q. No. Do you recognise the conversation that Dan Small	2	allegedly went to D2953's room and shook his hand by way
3	had with Dan Lake?	3	of an apology."
4	A. No, I do not.	4	Do you recognise any of that?
5	Q. You don't recognise that either?	5	A. No.
6	A. No.	6	Q. You've heard it before, presumably? You've heard the
7	Q. So that we are clear, on no occasion have you ever laid	7	allegations before?
8	hands, other than during a control and restraint, on any	8	A. No, I didn't hear the allegation before.
9	detainee?	9	Q. This is all new to you?
10	A. To my recollection, yes.	10	A. I didn't hear that allegation before.
11	Q. Well, by which I mean, have you ever punched anyone,	11	Q. Are you saying this is all brand new?
12	slapped anyone, chopped anyone?	12	A. I did not hear that allegation before.
13	A. No.	13	Q. What does that mean?
14	Q. Ever, taken them by the scruff of the neck?	14	A. I didn't hear it. I never heard it before.
15	A. No.	15	Q. I'm asking you, Mr Murphy, is this the first time that
16	Q. "Fucking smashed the shit" out of them, or done anything	16	you have ever heard that anybody alleged
17	like that, threatened to kill them?	17	A. I read it in the questions here that you gave me.
18	A. I didn't	18	Q. So you have heard it before?
19	Q. No?	19	A. I've seen it before, but I've never heard anybody
20	A. I didn't smash the shit out of excuse my language.	20	no-one I was never approached or anything about it,
21	I didn't "smash the fucking shit" out of anybody, as you	21	to my recollection.
22	say. I said those words.	22	Q. Do you remember this being dealt with by the PSU?
23	Q. Yes.	23	A. No, I do not.
24	A. I said those words.	24	Q. If we go further down into this document, on page 20,
25	Q. Yes.	25	the same document, page 20, you will see that there was
20	4. 155.	20	the same decument, page 20, you will see that there was
	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. And which I apologise for it and I didn't I would	1	a Home Office investigation into the circumstances
1 2	A. And which I apologise for it and I didn't I would never have done that, but I did not smash in your	1 2	a Home Office investigation into the circumstances surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was
2	never have done that, but I did not smash in your	2	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was
2	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody.		surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at
2 3 4	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy.	2 3	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was
2 3 4 5	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said	2 3 4 5	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr
2 3 4 5 6	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another	2 3 4 5 6	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't.
2 3 4 5 6 7	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said:</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]."</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June:</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day,</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest."</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June:</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June: " in the staff room on E wing around 11:00 am,</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his roommate and would then end up back on E wing. D2953
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June: " in the staff room on E wing around 11:00 am, Derek took him into the staff room and hit him around</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his roommate and would then end up back on E wing. D2953 used to bang a lot. He broke a couple of cups/crockery,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June: " in the staff room on E wing around 11:00 am, Derek took him into the staff room and hit him around the left side of the head catching his ear. A manager</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his roommate and would then end up back on E wing. D2953 used to bang a lot. He broke a couple of cups/crockery, which can be sharp; these were the only times he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June: " in the staff room on E wing around 11:00 am, Derek took him into the staff room and hit him around</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his roommate and would then end up back on E wing. D2953 used to bang a lot. He broke a couple of cups/crockery,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	never have done that, but I did not smash in your words, "smash the shit" out of anybody. Q. Well, actually, they're your words, Mr Murphy. A. No, they're yours. You said Q I'm just repeating them. Can we look at another document chair, for you, tab 17 <cjs001506>. Do you remember an allegation by D2953, who said that he was assaulted by you on three occasions? It is encapsulated in this email. An incident on 10 June 2017 occurred in the CSU. D2953 said: " an officer by the name of Derek came into the room and punched him on the thigh. There was another young male officer who was present at the time who was stood by the door when Derek entered and allegedly hit [him]." On the next day, 11 June: " whilst he was in CSU at some point in the day, again the same officer, Derek, entered the room and punched him on the chest." And then on 16 June: " in the staff room on E wing around 11:00 am, Derek took him into the staff room and hit him around the left side of the head catching his ear. A manager</cjs001506>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	surrounding the treatment of D2953, who said he was assaulted by you on 10, 11 and 12 June while at Brook House. You've seen this document, haven't you, Mr A. No, I haven't. Q. So you've never seen it. Did you not appreciate that the PSU had looked into this? A. I didn't I cannot remember I cannot remember it at all, no. Q. Look on page 28. Paragraph 6.2 "Summary of acting detainee custody manager Derek Murphy's evidence taken from his telephone conversation of 13 December 2017". Did you forget that? A. I cannot recall it. If I recalled it, I would speak about it. Q. "Officer Murphy stated the following. "He had nothing to answer for. He did not make a habit of assaulting detainees. He remembered D2953 and did his best to reintegrate him on the wings, but every time he did, he would get into a fight with his roommate and would then end up back on E wing. D2953 used to bang a lot. He broke a couple of cups/crockery, which can be sharp; these were the only times he had

1	allegations, which could possibly be because sometimes	1	officer in the library that he had been assaulted; three
2	they had to say 'no' to D2953. He denied assaulting him	2	attempts to alert DCM Page as well as healthcare staff
3	at any time and wanted to make that clear.	3	on 29 June; a note by healthcare on 29 June who recorded
4	"D2953 used to walk around the wing saying it was	4	a [question mark] over injuries prior to that day;
5	his human rights and that he wanted compensation; he	5	a further call to the helpline on 29 June in which [he]
6	would do this every day and would annoy other detainees.	6	mentioned he had been assaulted as well as; the evidence
7	He used to walk around in his boxer shorts, which is not	7	featured in the BBC Panorama programme with regards to
8	a nice sight, particularly with female officers around.	8	Acting DCM Murphy's conduct at Brook House leads to
9	If they asked D2953 to go into his room he kept saying	9	the conclusion that something did happen to D2953 as he
10	it is his human rights. He arranged for [him] to be	10	states.
11	transferred to a single occupancy centre at the Verne	11	"Therefore, on a balance of probabilities, the
12	but he ended up returning to Brook House."	12	conclusion reached by this investigation is that there
13	So you will agree that you spoke to the PSU about	13	is substance to [his] allegations. Consequently, the
14	this?	14	allegation is substantiated."
15	A. By this, I did, but I do not recall that.	15	What's your view about that, Mr Murphy?
16	Q. And	16	A. I don't recall any of that.
17	A. If I recalled it, I would speak about it.	17	Q. What is it
18	Q. On page 32 is the PSU's consideration of evidence and	18	A. I certainly hey?
19	conclusions, which included the account given by the	19	Q. What don't you recall?
20	detained man. There is the allegation at paragraph 7.1,	20	A. I don't recall any of it.
21	and they review all of the evidence, and I'm going to go	21	Q. When you say "any of it", what, the actual investigation
22	immediately to their conclusion on page 35:	22	or the facts underlying the investigation?
23	"Crucially [they say] some of this mistreatment by	23	A. The facts and the investigation.
24	Acting DCM Murphy was around the same time as when D2953	24	Q. Right.
25	alleges he was mistreated by Officer Murphy."	25	A. As I told you as I stated to the inquiry already, in
25	aneges he was inisticated by Officer Marphy.	-	in its i told you as i stated to the inquity already, in
	Page 109		Page 111
1	And so there is a whole catalogue of other	1	the last five or six years, I've been using a lot of
1 2	And so there is a whole catalogue of other allegations that he made:	1 2	the last five or six years, I've been using a lot of alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my
2	allegations that he made:	2	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my
2 3	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore	2 3	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this.
2 3 4	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight	2 3 4	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama	2 3 4 5	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all.
2 3 4 5 6	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against	2 3 4 5 6	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy."	2 3 4 5 6 7	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39.</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just — yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred — do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39,</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say:</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just — yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred — do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just — yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred — do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault. "The fact all of these necessary steps were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and I suffered a glancing blow. The first response team was</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault. "The fact all of these necessary steps were not taken back in June when he mentioned he had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just — yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred — do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and I suffered a glancing blow. The first response team was called. However, by the time the team arrived, the</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault. "The fact all of these necessary steps were not taken back in June when he mentioned he had been assaulted when balanced against the rest of the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and I suffered a glancing blow. The first response team was called. However, by the time the team arrived, the detainee was already restrained. My colleague,</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault. "The fact all of these necessary steps were not taken back in June when he mentioned he had been assaulted when balanced against the rest of the evidence which includes [his] call to the EASS helpline on 16 June; the fact he mentioned, on 20 June, to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and I suffered a glancing blow. The first response team was called. However, by the time the team arrived, the detainee was already restrained. My colleague, Derek Murphy, was the first in and he punched the detainee while he was restrained. I managed to pull</inn000007>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allegations that he made: "On a balance of probabilities, it is therefore right and proper for this investigation to attach weight to the information contained within the BBC Panorama programme when assessing D2953's allegations against Acting DCM Murphy." And so, not only did he make allegations, the PSU took into account allegations on the Panorama programme: "D2953 also appears to have been let down by processes inside Brook House in June 2017 when he mentioned he had been assaulted. The allegation should have been flagged to, eg, the Home Office Immigration Team and Detention Services." Over the page: "At the very least, D2953 should have been handed a complaints form and assistance should have been given to him via, eg, the Centre Welfare Officer, given the fact that English is not 2953's first language, for him to provide full details of the assault. "The fact all of these necessary steps were not taken back in June when he mentioned he had been assaulted when balanced against the rest of the evidence which includes [his] call to the EASS helpline on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	alcohol and prescribed drugs to get over my PTSD and my anxiety over a lot of stuff, including this. Q. Yes. A. This seems to be — you didn't seem to hear it at all. I just — yeah. Q. The final topic, please, chair, if you allow me to finish it, and so we can allow Mr Murphy to go, relates to an alleged incident in spring, in the spring of 2017. Owen Syred — do you remember him? A. Vaguely. He was a welfare officer, I think. Q. Yes. Did you watch him give evidence in the first phase of this inquiry? A. No. Q. If we go, chair, to tab 35, <inn000007> at page 39. This was his first statement. If we go to page 39, please. This is what he had to say: " in approximately spring 2017, a detainee was rude to a female colleague and I advised him that his behaviour was unacceptable. The detainee punched me and I suffered a glancing blow. The first response team was called. However, by the time the team arrived, the detainee was already restrained. My colleague, Derek Murphy, was the first in and he punched the</inn000007>

1	Derek away and I spoke to him afterwards and told him	1	they did it very well. The guy was you know, he was
2	I was shocked at his behaviour and if I saw him do	2	angry, he was shouting and he was under control, and
3	anything like that again I would report it. Derek	3	I was almost, like, instructing them what to do next.
4	accepted that he had lost it. Since this incident,	4	"So someone had called a first response on their
5	I have felt guilty for not reporting it; however,	5	radio."
6	following the bullying and harassment I received for	6	And this was a term, Mr Murphy, you used right at
7	reporting the racist comment [he refers to] made by	7	the beginning of your evidence about control and
8	Sam Gurney, I was reticent to do so."	8	restraint:
9	When he gave evidence about the matter chair,	9	"On the radios, there's like a red button. If you
10	tab 47, which is <inq000101> at page 31 if we can</inq000101>	10	hold it three times, it goes to the control room as
11	expand the top right, please, he was being asked	11	a first response. So a designated team would be called
12	questions on this topic.	12	out to that incident. Control room would say, 'Control
13	"Ms Townshend:	13	first response', and the first officer that came in from
14	"The final topic [the question at line 10], which is	14	that first response was DCO Derek Murphy, and the guy,
15	mistreatment against a detained person, a particular	15	the detained guy, had his head facing the wing door, the
16	incident I want to ask you some questions about. In	16	exit door there was only one door and his head was
17	your first witness statement at paragraph 162"	17	low, about 90 degrees, held about 90 degrees.
18	Which is what we were just looking at:	18	Derek Murphy came in very low and then upper cut
19	" you describe an incident in which	19	a couple of times, I think two or three times, in the
20	DCM Derek Murphy punched an unnamed detainee, a detainee	20	face, and I intervened, and it's very difficult because
21	that you can't remember his name, during a control and	21	when you have when you're in situation where your
22	restraint in spring 2017."	22	adrenaline kicks in, you have a tunnel vision, you see
23	And he was asked to describe it in his own words:	23	directly what's in front of you, you see that incident.
24	"Answer: Yes. At the time, I was seconded to the	24	Sometimes everything in the peripheral vision can blur.
25	Home Office, so I was working sort of Monday to Friday	25	It's just a natural occurrence of adrenaline. But
	D 112		D 445
	Page 113		Page 115
1	and I was out of uniform, talking to detained guys about	1	I remember him coming in and I was, like, 'Wow', you
2	the benefits of voluntary returns, supporting the	2	know?
3	Home Office. I'd gone to I believe it was C wing	3	"So, anyway, the guy was taken the detained guy
4	because of the layout of the building, and I was	4	was taken to CSU"
5	speaking to some of the staff and there was I think	5	Let me just drop down to line 21:
6	the young detained guy, he was being quite loud, a bit	6	"I spoke to Derek Murphy afterwards and I said to
7	abusive to a female member of staff and she was getting	7	him, 'What you did', I said, 'You did that for
8	a bit she was beginning to be a little bit feeling	8	a start, you did that in front of new staff, and what
9	threatened, because I think she was quite new. There	9	impression are you going to make?' I said, 'If I ever
10	was also two or three officers shadowing who were new,	10	see or hear of you doing anything like that again,
11	standing in the back of the office. And I challenged	11	I will report you', and he said, 'Yeah, yeah, I'm sorry,
12	this young man just to sort of to his behaviour	12	I just lost it'.
13	about, you know, how you speak to people, and he turned	13	"In hindsight, if I'd have reported that, I should
14	on me and he literally he went to go and punch me.	14	have I feel like I should have reported it
15	It was almost like a swipe and he clipped my face, so	15	Derek Murphy was seen as a bit of a hero. He was quite
16	I moved back. I had to take his arm, and I was told at	16	a loud guy, he was a big guy, he was quite loud, he was
17	the time not to get involved in control and restraint,	17	quite dominant with some of the staff going to be
18	because I was obviously in a different role at the time,	18	made up to DCM. He was acting up sometimes and
19	although I was a badged officer still, who was legally	19	I remember he was going to be selected as a DCM because
20	allowed to carry out control and restraint.	20	he was dominant DCO."
21	"So a spontaneous incident happened. The officers	21	And he rounds off by saying he should have reported
22	in the wing took control of the detained guy. They both	22	it. Do you see how he talks about upper cutting? It's
23	had one officer on each arm, had him in straight	23	his words, not mine, Mr Murphy, where there are other
24	armlocks, which was the arm like that, and another	24	allegations, which I have asked you about, which have
25	officer took hold of the head, which they did in very	25	you upper cutting a detainee or detainees. What do you
	D 444		D 447
	Page 114		Page 116

1	say about Mr Syred's evidence, Mr Murphy?	1	allocated to E wing more frequently
2	A. I never upper-cutted a detainee.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Has he got that completely wrong?	3	THE CHAIR: than some other officers were. Can you tell
4	A. I never upper-cutted a detainee. That's my answer.	4	us, was that your experience as well?
5	Q. Did you punch this detainee?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. I never upper-cutted a detainee. That's my answer. You	6	THE CHAIR: Did you work on E wing often?
7	asked me for an answer and that's it.	7	A. Yes. Absolutely, yes.
8	Q. Let's avoid the word "upper cut". Did you punch	8	THE CHAIR: Do you have a view on why that was, why you were
9	a detainee once or twice in the way that Mr Syred	9	allocated to E wing?
10	A. I don't remember the incident. And I would never have	10	A. I don't know. I don't know. We shouldn't have been,
11	punched or upper-cutted a detainee.	11	because we were if we were using C&R and then you had
12	Q. Did you say to Mr Syred, "I'm sorry, I just lost it"?	12	to try and de-escalate the detainees, so we should never
13	A. I never remember speaking to Mr Syred because he was	13	have been put in that position. I can't I don't know
14	very, very seldom on the wings.	14	why.
15	Q. Somebody has got this terribly wrong, haven't they?	15	THE CHAIR: Can you tell me a bit more about that? Do you
16	Either it is you or there is no real grey area here	16	mean that you were using
17	is there, Mr Murphy?	17	A. If we had to use control and restraint on a detainee and
18	A. To me, it is not a grey area. I did not upper cut	18	he ended up in CSU, and then, if we were allocated to
19	a detainee.	19	E wing and CSU, it's all part of the one block, we would
20	Q. Do you think you were a bit of a hero to others?	20	have to manage the whole wing and it would mean us
21	A. Absolutely not.	21	coming in to the detainee that we used C&R on and he
22	Q. Quite dominant?	22	would be upset and they were well within their rights to
23	A. No, absolutely not. If I was quite dominant and a hero,	23	be upset with us because we were after using control and
24	as it was put, why would be come and pull me I don't	24	restraint on them to get them into CSU. So we should
25	know. I'm flabbergasted with that. No. I never seen	25	never have been put in that position in the first place.
	mont i m model gasted with time 1 to 1 meter seen	23	never have been put in that position in the first place.
	Page 117		Page 119
1			
1	myself as a hero or dominant.	1	We were just constantly put in the firing line, which
2	Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant,	2	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it.
2 3	Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy.	2 3	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also
2 3 4	Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant,Mr Murphy.A. I can't speak for others.	2 3 4	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after
2 3 4 5	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of 	2 3 4 5	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody.
2 3 4	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does 	2 3 4	was - which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of 	2 3 4 5 6 7	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was – which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was – which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your evidence, about certain members of staff being used for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions. Yeah, we yeah, just go we'd say, "Can we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your evidence, about certain members of staff being used for C&R more frequently? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions. Yeah, we — yeah, just go — we'd say, "Can we have a break, a cup of tea and a sitdown?", because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your evidence, about certain members of staff being used for C&R more frequently? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions. Yeah, we — yeah, just go — we'd say, "Can we have a break, a cup of tea and a sitdown?", because, oftentimes, I would be physically sick after a scene
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your evidence, about certain members of staff being used for C&R more frequently? A. Yes. THE CHAIR: We heard a similar type of evidence from Mr Paschali last week. He also told us about being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions. Yeah, we — yeah, just go — we'd say, "Can we have a break, a cup of tea and a sitdown?", because, oftentimes, I would be physically sick after a scene because I'd be scared, you'd be anxious, your adrenaline would be pumping, and then we'd have to go and write the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. But it's whether others saw you as a hero and dominant, Mr Murphy. A. I can't speak for others. Q. So it comes to this, and I'm sure you will accuse me of putting words in your mouth, but does this mean, does your evidence mean, that Mr Syred has just made it up? A. I did not upper cut a detainee. I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but I did not upper cut a detainee. Q. Well, in your second witness statement, at paragraph 48, you said it was completely false? A. Well, I did not upper cut a detainee or upper — whatever you call it. MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's all I want to ask Mr Murphy. I don't know if you have any questions. THE CHAIR: Yes, only a few, Mr Murphy. I realise you've had a long morning with us. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: You spoke, both in your witness statement and you've also told us today when you've been giving your evidence, about certain members of staff being used for C&R more frequently? A. Yes. THE CHAIR: We heard a similar type of evidence from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was — which was totally wrong. Sorry, that's it. THE CHAIR: That's helpful. Thank you very much. You also mentioned the lack of being able to take a break after you'd used force on somebody. A. That's correct. THE CHAIR: Being told, "Man up. Write up your paperwork". A. Yes. THE CHAIR: Do you have any recollections of having what might be called a debrief with a senior member of staff? A. If you had a planned intervention, you'd go back — like, if there was a charter flight and we'd be brought in to put detainees on — present them to the escorts. We would be given a briefing of a staff — it would be on video and you'd name and everything, and then afterwards, quick debrief, "Any injuries?", "No injuries". "Okay, off youse go". Oftentimes, we'd get back to the kit room and all of a sudden you'd have "Guys, stay in your kit. We're going back again". This happened on numerous occasions. Yeah, we — yeah, just go — we'd say, "Can we have a break, a cup of tea and a sitdown?", because, oftentimes, I would be physically sick after a scene because I'd be scared, you'd be anxious, your adrenaline

1	report. And that's all that seemed it was just	1	Q. Mr Connolly, you have made two witness statements for
2	excuse me for saying this, the decision seemed to us,	2	the inquiry, the first of them dated 24 July of last
3	"We need to cover our arse, so get the report done".	3	year, obviously; the second, apparently undated. They
4	THE CHAIR: When you say that you I just want to check	4	are respectively, chair, in terms of the inquiry
5	that I've understood what you were saying correctly.	5	references, <inq000124> and <inq000120>. As usual,</inq000120></inq000124>
6	When you say that you would kind of go you'd still be	6	I will ask for those to be adduced in full, please?
7	in your kit, but then you would get deployed to another	7	THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you.
8	use of force before you'd taken your kit off?	8	MR ALTMAN: Do you have your statement in front of you,
9	A. On many occasions, yes.	9	Mr Connolly?
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. While you were working on	10	A. I've got them just by my side here.
11	E wing and the CSU, that one kind of part of	11	Q. I'm going to be referring to some of the paragraphs of
12	the building, was there one senior manager who was	12	them, so it would be as well for you to have them in
13	allocated to overseeing that area or did that change?	13	front of you. I want to ask you you will find the
14	A. That changed. That changed. It depends on who was on	14	first witness statement behind tab 1 and the second
15	shift.	15	behind tab 2, if you just keep that open. As required,
16	THE CHAIR: Okay.	16	I will take you to paragraphs of your witness statement.
17	A. It changed, yeah. And depends how many DCMs were on	17	I'm beginning with the first witness statement, at,
18	shift. Nine times out of ten, you might get one DCM	18	really, your paragraph 3. Tell us the history of your
19	that would have to look after all the wings if someone	19	employment with G4S, in particular Tinsley House and at
20	called in sick or something, or you might just have two.	20	Brook House, please?
21	THE CHAIR: So you wouldn't have one named senior manager	21	A. Yes. I started work at Tinsley House in
22	A. No.	22	I think September/November 2006. I was employed as
23	THE CHAIR: who had responsibility for that part of	23	a detainee custody officer.
24	Brook House?	24	Q. Pausing there, in 2006, was it for G4S or for another
25	A. No, not to my recollection, no.	25	company?
20	The Proposition of Personal Proposition		1 7
	Page 121		Page 123
1	THE CHAIR: One final question and then we will let you go	1	A. Sorry, it was for GSL.
	THE CHAIR: One final question and then we will let you go and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office	1 2	A. Sorry, it was for GSL. O. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took
2	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office	2	Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took
	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU?		Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right,
2 3	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to	2 3 4	Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick?
2 3 4	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU?	2 3	Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick?A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in.
2 3 4 5	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work
2 3 4 5 6 7	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their — just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience — A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their — just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience — A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House — I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn) Examination by MR ALTMAN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when required, I instructed the initial training courses for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Connolly, first of all, your name, please,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House — I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when required, I instructed the initial training courses for their initial control and restraint training. I also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Connolly, first of all, your name, please, full name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when required, I instructed the initial training courses for their initial control and restraint training. I also refreshed operational staff."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Connolly, first of all, your name, please,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House — I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when required, I instructed the initial training courses for their initial control and restraint training. I also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and get some lunch. Do you recall seeing Home Office staff on E wing and CSU? A. They would have to come every day to do their just to do another quick flit around the rooms, yes. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Those are all the questions I have. A. Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: I appreciate it is not an easy experience A. I apologise for some of my language and everything. THE CHAIR: No, I am glad that you have spoken freely and I want to reassure you that I've listened to everything you have said very carefully. A. Thank you very much. MR ALTMAN: Chair, we are a little late to rise. Shall we say 2.10 pm? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you at 2.10 pm. (1.10 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.10 pm) MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Connolly, first of all, your name, please, full name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. GSL. In March 2009, if my memory serves me, they took over the contract, or had the contract, is that right, for the immigration removal centres at Gatwick? A. That's right. G4S put the tender bid in. Q. So they effectively took it over. But you started work as long ago, at Tinsley House, as 2006? A. That's right. Q. Remind me, what did you just say? As a? A. Detainee custody officer. Q. A DCO, as we have come to shorten it. Did you work, throughout that period, just at Tinsley House or did you flip between the two? A. I worked predominantly then at Tinsley House. Q. When did that change? A. I think I moved to Brook House I think it may have been 2011/2012, I'm not sure. Q. All right, thereabouts. At the third paragraph of your first witness statement, you say in the last couple of lines: "Although all through I was only a DCO, when required, I instructed the initial training courses for their initial control and restraint training. I also refreshed operational staff."

1	A. I became an instructor I think it was July 2010.	1 HMP Doncaster to do a four-day refresher course, and
2	Q. Yeah.	2 that was a pass or fail.
3	A. Or '09.	3 Q. So if you failed, you were off the books?
4	Q. Well, either '09 or '10?	4 A. Yep.
5	A. 2010, I think.	5 Q. And if you passed
6	Q. 2010 you became an instructor. How did you become an	6 A. Had another 12 months.
7	instructor?	7 Q you continued training. Did you pass every year?
8	A. I applied for I applied to my line manager at	8 A. Yes.
9	Tinsley House	9 Q. In the statement, the first statement, you gave, if you
10	Q. Yes.	look at your paragraph 2, under the heading
11	A to be a control and restraint and instructor.	11 "Professional qualifications", in brackets you have
12	Q. Yes.	12 "Prison Service". Before you started at Tinsley House,
13	A. And then I didn't hear anything for two or three months,	13 had you been in the Prison Service?
14	probably.	14 A. No.
15	Q. Yes.	15 Q. Is that what you meant by that?
16	A. They said I was successful, and I went to HMP Kidlington	16 A. No, I think I was just highlighting the qualifications
17	for initial assessment.	17 underneath. That was all.
18	Q. Yes.	18 Q. If you don't mind me asking, before 2006, what was your
19	A. A day assessment. I had to give a PowerPoint	19 occupation?
20	presentation and also I had to give a lesson of a	20 A. I worked in the Royal Society of Medicine in
21	techniques on the mat.	21 Wimpole Street, front-of-house reception.
22	Q. So you applied, you didn't hear for a bit. When you did	22 Q. So this was a completely different career direction?
23	hear, you were sent off to Kidlington, HMP, a prison,	23 A. Yes.
24	HMP, Her Majesty's Prison, was it?	Q. In 2006, one assumes you had, going in as a DCO, the
25	A. No, it's a college. They call it a college. It was two	25 sort of training we have heard about, the initial
	75	7
	Page 125	Page 127
1	aircraft hangars.	1 training course, the one you ended up instructing?
2	Q. As part of the prison estate?	2 A. Yes.
3	A. Yes, it was.	3 Q. So by the time you applied to become, and did become, an
4	Q. And you did an assessment?	4 instructor, about 2010, you had been at it for four
5	A. Yes.	1 12
6		5 years?
		5 years? 6 A. Yes.
	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?	6 A. Yes.
7	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?A. I was, yes.	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor?
7	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?A. I was, yes.Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at
7 8 9	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?A. I was, yes.Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates,	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at talking to people and actually standing back and
7 8	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?A. I was, yes.Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at
7 8 9 10 11	Q. For which, presumably, you were successful?A. I was, yes.Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly?	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you
7 8 9 10	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you
7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. Q. So, thereafter, were you allowed to instruct others in 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever? 21 A. Yes, ever.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. Q. So, thereafter, were you allowed to instruct others in control and restraint? 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever? 21 A. Yes, ever. 22 Q. So before you — so that we are clear, before you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. Q. So, thereafter, were you allowed to instruct others in control and restraint? A. Once I passed that two-week course, yes. 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever? 21 A. Yes, ever. 22 Q. So before you — so that we are clear, before you 23 applied to become an instructor, you'd only had
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. Q. So, thereafter, were you allowed to instruct others in control and restraint? A. Once I passed that two-week course, yes. Q. Did you need to have refreshers yourself? A. Yes. Every year, we had to go to Kidlington or 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever? 21 A. Yes, ever. 22 Q. So before you — so that we are clear, before you 23 applied to become an instructor, you'd only had 24 experience of control and restraint, in practical terms, 25 two or thee times?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. For which, presumably, you were successful? A. I was, yes. Q. When did you go on an instructor's course? Was it immediately after? Nobody is tying you down to dates, Mr Connolly? A. No Q. Roughly? A. Right. Let me rewind. I went on the initial one-day assessment course 2009. Q. Yes, okay. A. 2010, I went on the two-week instructor's course at Kidlington. Sorry about that. Q. Presumably, you had practical exercises, exams to take, and presumably you passed? A. Yes. Q. So, thereafter, were you allowed to instruct others in control and restraint? A. Once I passed that two-week course, yes. Q. Did you need to have refreshers yourself? 	6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What do you think equipped you to become an instructor? 8 A. I was very good at the techniques. I was very good at 9 talking to people and actually standing back and 10 directing people. I find that if — if you talk to 11 people, you could talk to people for as long as you 12 wanted, but, eventually, you come to an impasse, and 13 that's where control and restraint, unfortunately, came 14 in. 15 Q. Presumably, by the time you came to apply for and become 16 an instructor, you, yourself, had been involved in 17 control and restraint? 18 A. At Tinsley House, probably about two or three. That was 19 it. 20 Q. Two or three, ever? 21 A. Yes, ever. 22 Q. So before you — so that we are clear, before you 23 applied to become an instructor, you'd only had 24 experience of control and restraint, in practical terms,

1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Is that the PSU?
2	Q. Once you were an instructor, did you ever become	2	A. If it wasn't, it would be lessons learnt and we'd take
3	involved directly in control and restraint?	3	the whole team, show them the video footage, if there
4	A. Yeah, I'd normally supervise.	4	was any footage, show them the report and it would be
5	Q. Yes.	5	a lessons learnt.
6	A. So I'd be stood back, just watching.	6	Q. The Home Office, are you having in mind the Professional
7	Q. So you'd never be hands on?	7	Standards Unit?
8	A. Unless it was absolutely necessary.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. How often do you think it became absolutely necessary?	9	Q. We may come back to aspects of that. Still focusing on
10	A. In my time at Brook House, probably three or four.	10	paragraph 2 of your first witness statement, you there
11	Q. So that would be, what, 2011 to the time you were	11	set out some dates. You say January 2009 you were
12	dismissed in 2017?	12	a "bronze unit commander (Tornado)". What did that
13	A. Correct.	13	mean?
14	Q. So over six years, three or four times?	14	A. The bronze commander for the Tornado units was
15	A. Yep.	15	12 officers and there was two commanders per 12-officer
16	Q. What were the sort of circumstances in which it became	16	team. If an establishment had lost control of
17	absolutely necessary for you not merely to supervise and	17	the establishment, then the Tornado teams would go in
18	be in the background, but actually to become involved?	18	and claw back the control of that establishment. But
19	A. On one occasion, I think it was on E wing, we had to go	19	because we weren't PCOs prison custody officers we
20	in and remove a detainee from his cell. Unbeknown to	20	were detainee custody officers, we couldn't go into
21	us, nobody had switched off the electrics or the water,	21	prisons, only G4S establishments.
22	so the detainee had managed to boil hot water and add	22	Q. Yes.
23	washing up liquid to it, so when the officers went in,	23	A. So
24	one of the officers didn't have his collar down, so he	24	Q. Let's just understand that a bit. These teams, of which
25	got burnt, scalded, so we had to pull him out and I had	25	you were one of two, as I understand it, bronze unit
	Page 129		Page 131
			a a a a a a a a a a a a
1	to jump in.	1	commanders, has this got anything to do with the
2	Q. I see. That's an example of when it was necessary for	2	Nationals or is this something entirely different?
3	you to be there. When you were supervising control and	3	A. The Nationals trained and the Nationals would be in
4	restraint, what did supervision mean to you?	4 5	charge of Tornadoes, because, basically, the governor
5	A. Making sure that the officers were doing were using	6	would hand over control to the Nationals.
6 7	the correct techniques. Q. Yes.	7	Q. Is that the governor of a prison?A. Yes, or a detention centre.
8		8	
9	A. And, if they weren't, reminding them, and also looking	9	Q. Are these what are known, or at least were known, in
10	out for the welfare of the detainee. Medical		2017, as the National Tactical Response Group, the NTRG?
	emergencies.	10	A. The NTRG, yes.
11 12	Q. So you would supervise in real time? A. Yes.	12	Q. Or the Nationals. I'm just trying to understand what
13		13	this Tornado group was. Was it part of the Nationals or
13	Q. After such a control and restraint, where things had gone wrong or the correct techniques weren't being used,	13	was it outside the Nationals? Did it only operate in
15	did you ever take it upon yourself to debrief the	15	detention centres or was it something else? A. No, in a prison environment prison establishments and
16	officers afterwards?		, <u>.</u>
		16 17	detainee establishments.
17 18	A. What normally happened afterwards, we'd sit down and the	18	Q. Yes. A. The Nationals had overall — an officer first had to go
18	officers would have a half an hour just to reflect,	19	A. The Nationals had overall an officer first had to go
	gather their thoughts, and they would write their	20	and be qualified in control and restraint.
20 21	report, which may have taken an hour, an hour and		Q. Yes.
22	a half. After that, all the reports were collated.	21 22	A. And then he would O. Are we talking about prison or detention?
23	I would read them and, if there was anything untoward,	22 23	Q. Are we talking about prison or detention?
24	then, yes, we would if it was serious, then it would	23	A. Both. Q. Both.
24	go to the Home Office. They had their own branch that	25	A. Then he would go to Kidlington for a week's course.
2.5			
25	would investigate control and restraint.	===	
25	Page 130		Page 132

1	Q. Are you talking about instructors?	1	A. Steve.
2	A. No, the officers would go to Kidlington for a week's	2	O. Webb?
3	course.	3	A. I think
4	Q. Which officers?	4	Q. Skitt?
5	A. The officers that applied to go for Tornado. DCOs.	5	A. The second?
6	Q. I see. Let's just try and understand this, because I'm	6	Q. Steve Skitt, Steve Webb?
7	struggling with it at the moment.	7	A. No.
8	A. Sorry.	8	Q. None of those. Steve Loughton?
9	Q. A PCO within the prison estate, or a DCO within the	9	A. Yes.
10	detention estate, could, if they wished, apply to become	10	Q. Right.
11	an officer on one of those units?	11	A. Sorry about this.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. No, no, don't I'm putting you on the spot. It's
13	Q. This has got nothing to do with the internal training	13	difficult.
14	that G4S provided	14	A. No, no, no. I'm trying to think. Andy Lyden.
15	A. No.	15	Q. L-Y-D-E-N, I think.
16	Q in the detention estate. If you went off to become	16	A. Yes. Dave Aldis.
17	one of these Tornado group members, what would your job	17	Q. A-L-D-I-S.
18	be when a control and restraint required you? What was	18	A. Who was she's left now, a female officer.
19	it that made you different	19	Q. Don't worry, Mr Connolly. Because, otherwise, this
20	A. Nothing.	20	could take some time. But we are getting an impression.
21	Q to the ordinary DCO or DCM inside the estate? What	21	So this Tornado unit you have in mind now, did they
22	was special about this Tornado unit?	22	exist at the time you started at Brook House effectively
23	A. They learnt how to defend themselves against fire bombs,	23	full time, 2011?
24	petrol bombs. They were it was more geared up	24	A. Initially, when Brook House opened, 50 DCOs that were
25	towards the prisons than it was detainee. But I think	25	only doing a week's C&R training went up to Kidlington
	Page 133		Page 135
	1 450 100		1 age 155
1	it was a contractual requirement for Brook House and	1	to become advanced, as they call it, control and
2		1	
2	Tinsley House to have Tornado units.	2	restraint.
3	Q. Right.	3	Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist
3 4	Q. Right.A. And that was from the Home Office.	3 4	Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded?
3 4 5	Q. Right.A. And that was from the Home Office.Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did	3 4 5	Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded?A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and
3 4 5 6	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that 	3 4 5 6	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015.
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado 	3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the training that was offered within a syllabus which was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. Q. So just you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. Q. So just you. A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the training that was offered within a syllabus which was clearly provided to you. Did you think it was enough?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. Q. So just you. A. Yes. Q. The 12 officers, do you remember any of their names? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the training that was offered within a syllabus which was clearly provided to you. Did you think it was enough? A. Yes, it was I think it was about 40 hours, 38 hours,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. Q. So just you. A. Yes. Q. The 12 officers, do you remember any of their names? A. Yep. You had Nathan Ward. Q. First names? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the training that was offered within a syllabus which was clearly provided to you. Did you think it was enough? A. Yes, it was — I think it was about 40 hours, 38 hours, 40 hours, yes. Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. A. And that was from the Home Office. Q. So, thinking about it, forget the prison estate. Did Brook House, as far as you know, during the time that you were there, from about 2011 onwards, have a Tornado unit of officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you one of those officers? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of them? A. Yes. Q. Can you remember or remind us, you mentioned a figure a little earlier, I think you said two units of 12 officers? A. 12 officers and two commanders. Q. 12 officers and two commanders. Who was the other commander? A. It was Simon Murrell, but he left, so it was just me. Q. So just you. A. Yes. Q. The 12 officers, do you remember any of their names? A. Yep. You had Nathan Ward. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Let me ask you this: did this Tornado unit still exist in 2017, or had it been disbanded? A. Probably in name. There was only one commander, and that was I. No, in fact, I packed up in 2015. Q. Yes. A. So the officers — I think there was a handful of officers, about seven or eight, were still going up there to do their refresher, but it was nonsensical. Q. All right. I think we have got some idea, I hope, of what you were referring to in your witness statement when you talked about that. Coming back to training, your instruction of officers on the ITC, the initial training course, and it was a week-long course, was it, control and restraint? A. It was, yes. Q. Did you think that officers were sufficiently trained for what they were going to be confronted with? I'm not talking about your training, I'm talking about the training that was offered within a syllabus which was clearly provided to you. Did you think it was enough? A. Yes, it was — I think it was about 40 hours, 38 hours, 40 hours, yes.

1	A. Yes.	1	for the detention estate was just not bespoke enough for
2	Q. Do you think that enough emphasis and training was given	2	the detention population?
3	to de-escalation, rather than the use of force as a last	3	A. Looking back, probably yes. When they brought this new
4	resort?	4	package in called MMPR Managing and Minimising
5	A. Yes. Day one was PowerPoint, and it was hammered home:	5	Physical Restraint that was a whole new world opened
6	de-escalate first, if you could. If you couldn't	6	up for how we dealt with detainees.
7	de-escalate, try and control it. If you don't defuse	7	Q. Yes.
8	it. If you couldn't defuse it, try and control it. So	8	A. The holds were I wouldn't call them soft, but the
9	that was the mind-set to try and get people into: talk	9	holds were a lot user friendly towards the detainees,
10	first, if you could, for as long as you could. But	10	whereas we didn't have that tool or package in the
11	eventually, as I said, you'd come to an impasse and	11	control and restraint world.
12	Q. Who was the examiner, as it were, for these individuals	12	Q. So when did MMPR come into use? It's something that you
13	that passed through your hands during control and	13	will actually see mentioned as a sort of legend on the
14	restraint training? Who examined them?	14	use of force forms, the DCF 2. If my memory serves me,
15	A. Ourselves, the control and restraint instructors.	15	I certainly asked Callum Tulley, when he gave evidence,
16	Q. Who do you mean, "ourselves"?	16	whether he'd had any MMPR training, and I'm not sure he
17	A. Well, there was myself, Michael Trott, Jack Bannister,	17	knew what I was talking about.
18	Dave Killick, Dave Webb and Steve Steve Webb, should	18	First of all, what's the difference between MMPR and
19	I say, sorry, I always get them mixed up, Steve Webb.	19	traditional control and restraint?
20	Q. Were they also instructors?	20	A. Control and restraint, I think it came in it came
21	A. Yes.	21	into being in the '90s, after Strangeways, and it was
22	Q. So, like you, they were instructors. Was there ever	22	geared solely to: go in, get hold of an individual and
23	a time, Mr Connolly, when you felt an individual who had	23	restrain him. MMPR, they realised that you couldn't
24	passed the training really ought not to have done?	24	keep someone in holds, restrain them for that length of
25	A. No. Day four was our day on the training where we	25	time, without going into a medical emergency, and, also,
	Page 137		Page 139
	decided if an individual was not good enough to go on to	1 1	you couldn't de-escalate that situation if a person was
1 2	decided if an individual was not good enough to go on to the final day's exercise. We had a guy called	1 2	you couldn't de-escalate that situation if a person was
2	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called	2	being held against their will.
2	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme.	2 3	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing?
2 3 4	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly.	2 3 4	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher
2 3 4 5	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped	2 3 4 5	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff.
2 3 4 5 6	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned	2 3 4 5 6	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way
2 3 4 5 6 7	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word.	2 3 4 5 6 7	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A. — worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In other words, you were dealing with different reasons for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A. — worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental difference between the two, what would you say it was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In other words, you were dealing with different issues, different types of people, and different reasons for them being held in detention, rather than prisoners who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A. — worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental difference between the two, what would you say it was? A. Probably the difference between driving a Mini or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In other words, you were dealing with different issues, different types of people, and different reasons for them being held in detention, rather than prisoners who were either on remand or convicted? Did you think, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental difference between the two, what would you say it was? A. Probably the difference between driving a Mini or a Rolls Royce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In other words, you were dealing with different issues, different types of people, and different reasons for them being held in detention, rather than prisoners who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A. — worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental difference between the two, what would you say it was? A. Probably the difference between driving a Mini or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the final day's exercise. We had a guy called — I think he was mentioned on the Panorama programme. Q. That's probably quite a few people, Mr Connolly. A. Anyway, this guy, we put him under pressure. He ripped his helmet off, he saw red, so we — yeah, we binned him, for want of a better word. Q. This was during training? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean "binned him"? A. We failed him on his control and restraint. Q. Okay. A. And that was a pass or fail on the ITC. Q. So it wasn't a question of getting beyond a certain pass mark, was it? A. No. No, no. Q. Do you think, looking back now, that the nature of the training that you were instructed to give new recruits, and the refresher training, was too geared towards the prison estate rather than the detention estate? In other words, you were dealing with different issues, different types of people, and different reasons for them being held in detention, rather than prisoners who were either on remand or convicted? Did you think, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being held against their will. Q. So when did MMPR become a thing? A. I think 2015 or '16. We tagged MMPR on to refresher training for the staff. Q. Pausing there, if you were a new recruit in 2016, by way of example, would you be trained in MMPR, C&R or both? A. Both. But I can't actually remember the date. Sorry about that. It was Q. Don't worry. A. When we went to Kidlington on one of our refresher training, they slowly Q. Worked it in? A worked it into the syllabus. Q. But if you had been trained under the regime before MMPR, you only got MMPR when you went on a refresher course. A. Yes. Q. Is that what you're telling us? A. Yes. Q. If you had to put your finger on the fundamental difference between the two, what would you say it was? A. Probably the difference between driving a Mini or a Rolls Royce.

1	A. Mini being the C&R, MMPR being the Rolls Royce.	1	Ought he to have known, given those dates, what MMPR
2	Q. Do you think it made a substantial difference?	2	was?
3	A. Oh, yes.	3	A. Certainly. He would have had a refresher and he would
4	Q. In what way?	4	have been refreshed on MMPR. I think on the use of
5	A. The way you escorted a person from one location in the	5	force forms it was, "Did you employ MMPR? Did you
6	centre to another. It was totally different. It was	6	employ C&R?" So it was a joint box for people.
7	a softer approach. It was a calmer approach.	7	Q. Yes. You talk about when you became an instructor you
8	Q. Yes.	8	were a local control and restraint instructor. Local to
9	A. It was a lot better for the detainees, a lot better for	9	what? What did that mean? Local to Brook House or
10	the officers.	10	local
11	Q. Do you think, looking back, that those officers who had	11	A. Yeah, that's local to Brook House. The Nationals called
12	been trained and refresher trained, especially some of	12	anybody that wasn't a National a local instructor, so
13	the older cohort, who had been around, you know, from	13	you could be at HMP Wandsworth or wherever.
14	2009 onwards, who were certainly trained on refresher	14	Q. The training that you had, who was it delivered by?
15	courses to MMPR, do you think could you spot that	15	A. I'm trying to think of his name now.
16	they were actually handling things differently once they	16	Q. Not name. Let me ask you it this way: was it people who
17	got MMPR training, after years of ordinary, classical	17	had worked within the Prison Service?
18	C&R?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes. There was a lot of from the older guys, there	19	Q. High-level instructors, presumably?
20	was a lot of sort of apprehension about the MMPR.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Apprehension or resistance?	21	Q. Do you think there's a risk, given what I was asking you
22	A. I don't know. It some of them might have thought we	22	earlier about the transposition between the
23	were going a bit soft.	23	Prison Service and the detention estate and the kind of
24	Q. Yes.	24	training that was delivered, do you think there was
25	A. However, we weren't. It was once they got training,	25	a risk maybe unintended that people trained within
	Page 141		Page 143
1	and once they got used to it, a lot of them went, "You	1	a sort of Prison Service syllabus and programme
2	know what, that's", yes.	2	imported, inevitably, Prison Service ideology into the
3	Q. From your perspective, Mr Connolly, do you think it made	3	detention estate where C&R is concerned?
4	a difference, first, as far as the officers were	4	A. No, I don't think so, because we were sort of divorced
5	concerned who were trained in it, how they approached	5	from the Prison Service. We'd done that training, and
6	C&R that's question 1. And question 2, if so, did it	6	then we employed that training to either Brook or
7	make a difference to the welfare and care of detainees	7	Tinsley House, and we tailored that to
8	upon whom C&R was used?	8	Q. Yes.
9	A. First question, it focused the mind of the officers and	9	A. For instance, we couldn't carry handcuffs. So if we
10	it gave them a whole new outlook on how we dealt with	10	were on a restraint and you had to restrain a detainee,
11	detainees and, yeah, the detainees once you'd used	11	nobody was there at the time to apply handcuffs to
12	force on a detainee, we used to leave them for 24 hours,	12	de-escalate the situation quickly. You had to call for
13	and then myself or another instructor, with a DCM, would	13	a manager.
13	go in and ask the detainee why he thought force was used	14	Q. Right. So, what, nobody carried handcuffs?
15	on him, did he think it was appropriate, and then we'd	15	A. No.
16	tell him why the force was used. So we'd have	16	Q. So when we see, and we see often, handcuffs being
	a conversation with him about it.	17	
17 18		18	applied, where would those handcuffs have come from?
	Q. That's MMPR, is it?		A. If that was on a planned operation, then the handcuff
19	A. Yes, that was brought in as a separate package. Of Thinking shout Collum Tulley. I thought I'd saked him.	19	officer would have the handcuffs there and then, because
20	Q. Thinking about Callum Tulley, I thought I'd asked him	20	then you could apply the handcuffs and start to
21	the question in phase 1. It may well be it was	21	de-escalate the situation.
22	a response in his inquiry statement to a question about	22	Q. But if it was an unplanned
23	MMPR where he said he didn't know what it was. But it	23	A. No.
24	doesn't really matter. Callum Tulley is somebody who	24	Q. Nobody had handcuffs?
25	came into Brook House in 2015, and he left in July 2017.	25	A. Apart from the duty DCM.
	Page 142		Page 144
	Ü	-	36 (Pages 141 to 144)

1	Q. Let me ask you something that Nathan Ward said to the	1	training to Wayne Debnam and Ben Saunders at the time as
2	inquiry when he gave evidence. I will put this up on	2	I felt it was inappropriate, humiliating and set the
3	screen. It is something in his first witness statement.	3	wrong culture for the centre. They stopped the warm-up
4	Chair, it is tab 27 of the bundle. It is <dl0000141> at</dl0000141>	4	practices for a certain period of time. I complained
5	page 81. Don't worry about that, Mr Connolly. You will	5	about the C&R training more than anything as I saw it as
6	see it go up on screen. You will see at the bottom	6	being central to the running of Brook House, which to
7	under the heading "Control and restraint", "Training and	7	my mind was wrong and perpetuated a negative,
8	attitude". You have told us Nathan Ward was one of your	8	macho-aggressive culture."
9	Tornadoes. At paragraph 230:	9	Over the page, 233:
10	"Control and restraint techniques for custodial	10	"Another issue I had with C&R was the emotional
11	environments have been taught nationally as early as the	11	responses I witnessed from certain officers. In 1988,
12	late 1960s in an attempt to bring a standardised	12	the Prison Service undertook some research into the
13	approach to managing incidents in prisons. The National	13	effectiveness of their relatively new C&R techniques.
14	Tactical Response Group (NTRG) who deal with the most	14	The research noted that ' responses indicated that
15	violent and dangerous incidents nationally are the team	15	engaging in the use of C&R techniques is an "emotional
16	who now develop and deliver the C&R methods and	16	experience for officers". Their stated feelings
17	training. The NTRG were born out of a hostage incident	17	generally tend to be at either end of an emotional
18	in Peterhead Prison in October 1987 where a 56-year-old	18	scale, either "elated"/ "great" / "confident" or
19	officer was taken hostage. The government did not have	19	"nervous" / "worried" / "anxious"". This range of
20	a suitable operational response within the	20	emotional experiences can be seen in the Panorama
21	Prison Service and so had to deploy members of	21	documentary and which reflect my own experience of
22	the UK Special Forces to resolve the incident.	22	observing staff, some of whom would present themselves
23	Following this, the Prison Service developed NTRG. From	23	as 'victorious'. During my time at Brook House,
24	its inception, C&R instructors were an elite team within	24	I witnessed the visible adrenaline of certain staff who
25	the Prison Service who were extremely fit and able to	25	would regularly engage in C&R following the restraint.
	Page 145		Page 147
1	dominate the most violent of circumstances.	1	They seemingly enjoyed the adrenaline rush and it was
2	Intentionally or not, this is the environment in which	2	reflective of the alpha male attitude. There are clear
3	local C&R instructors are taught at the National	3	examples of it recorded in the Panorama documentary with
4	Training Centres. The local instructors then deliver	4	officers boasting about the use of force. A stark
5	the training within their own establishments and try and	5	example is Yan Paschali, who boasts after apparently
6	replicate their own 'national experience', which is	6	strangling D1527 that: 'We don't cringe at breaking
7	expressed as a toxic masculine culture, which	7	bones If I killed a man, I wouldn't be bothered.
8	I witnessed filter down to G4S staff and the methods	8	I'd carry on'. Whilst this may be shocking, from my
9	they would [probably missing a word 'use'] to carry out	9	experience, it's a reflection of the methods and ethos
10	force and C&R in practice and which is evidence in	10	of C&R."
11	Panorama."	11	I'm not going to read the rest, but he continues
12	He adds:	12	under that heading with his views about it. Quite a lot
13	"During my own time being trained by the NTRG as	13	there, Mr Connolly. But do you think it represented the
14	a use of force instructor, people used to reminisce	14	C&R type of training which filtered down to G4S was
15	about NTRG trainers who boasted about their method of	15	toxic, masculine
16	sleeping with females on the course by 'being harsh to	16	A. No.
17	them at the start of the week and then be kind at the	17	Q in the way that he says?
18	end', and ending the course with heavy drinking on the	18	A. No. Our PowerPoint presentation on the use of law, we
19	penultimate night. As a teetotal I did not partake in	19	tailored that to specifically for detention centres.
20	social events which involved heavy drinking.	20	As I said, the training, we debriefed each student after
21	"The toxic masculine culture which filtered down to	21	every day's training to highlight points. If we thought
22	G4S was evident. I witnessed staff being trained in	22	a student was going to go over the top, lose his rag,
23	degrading ways, such as forcing them to dress up in	23	then that student would be binned straight away, no
24	boiler suits and helmets to do warm-ups, with press-ups	23	messing about. I don't think Nathan Ward did control
	contraction and nemico to do warm ups, with press-ups		
25	if they made mistakes. I complained about the C&R	25	and restraint. He was the taught use of fence for
25	if they made mistakes. I complained about the C&R	25	and restraint. He was he taught use of force for
25	if they made mistakes. I complained about the C&R Page 146	25	and restraint. He was he taught use of force for Page 148

1	young adults between 12 and 17.	1	A. I think it should, yeah. At Brook House, we had a lot
2	That was at Yarl's Wood not Yarl's Wood, Medway,	2	of vulnerable detainees that did have mental health
3	YOI Medway.	3	issues.
4	Q. Are you saying you don't recognise any of what he says	4	Q. Yes, quite a lot of them. Is that list complete, as far
5	here? I know there is a lot, but he says, you know, the	5	as you can recall?:
6	effect of importing this culture from the prison estates	6	"It covers; Principles of safe restraint, Medical
7	leads to a toxic, masculine culture which filters down	7	complications", et cetera?
8	through the training to G4S staff?	8	A. Yes, as far as I can recall. I think it was a 30 or 40
9	A. I don't know where he gets that it was imported because	9	minute DVD.
10	none of us were prison officers. We never worked in	10	Q. Insofar as the training is concerned, who was the
11	a prison	11	medical DVD delivered by? In other words, who presents
12	Q. No, but you're being trained by high-level instructors	12	the medical DVD?
13	from the Prison Service?	13	A. We did.
14	A. Those officers, again, like our I think towards the	14	Q. You. So not a doctor?
15	end, 2015, we videoed all our training week from day one	15	A. No.
16	to day five. Prisons on our training did that as well,	16	Q. No-one medically trained?
17	it was all video. So any inappropriate behaviour or	17	A. No.
18	language would have been highlighted on the video. So,	18	Q. No-one trained in emergency medicine or anything like
19	no, I don't	19	that?
20	Q. You don't accept any of this. All right. I'm going to	20	A. No.
21	ask you about another document now, just to try and move	21	Q. Certainly no psychologist or psychiatrist?
22	on, Mr Connolly. Chair, for you, tab 30. <sxp000133>.</sxp000133>	22	A. No.
23	It was a report, as we will see in a second, by	23	Q. Should it?
24	Jon Collier for Sussex Police, who were suggesting	24	A. Hindsight, probably. If you'd had one of the nurses
25	certain features that were shown in the Panorama	25	there, but then, again, I don't know how qualified they
	Page 149		Page 151
	1486 117		1 490 101
1	documentary. This is 6 February 2018. Go to page 3.	1	would have been to have actually given that.
2	You will see paragraph 9. He is talking about training.	2	Q. One of the points, Mr Connolly, that may not have struck
3	One of the things he says is:	3	you but it is a question we have asked others, other
4	"A medical DVD is shown to all staff prior to all	4	officers, is, how were they able to spot the difference
5	[use of force] training. It covers; Principles of safe	5	between a mentally ill detainee and a detainee who was
6	restraint, Medical complications of restraint, Mechanics	6	simply disruptive?
7	of breathing, Restraint asphyxia, medical conditions &	7	A. Mmm.
8	risk factors, medical emergencies. Of particular note	8	Q. Not without a level of training or assistance?
9	is the advice - Restraint Asphyxia can result from any	9	A. Yes.
10	restraint position where there is obstruction of	10	Q. And even then, it might be difficult?
11	the airway or where movement of the rib cage or abdomen	11	A. It would be, yes.
12	is limited. Anything that holds the mouth closed or	12	Q. But at least it would be a start?
13	compresses the neck is potentially dangerous. NEVER	13	A. Yes.
14	hold a prisoner around the neck."	14	Q. Insofar as the training you gave locally, so the
15	Which, of course, is an important instruction when	15	training you gave at Brook House, was there any
16	we consider events on 25 April. Do you recognise the	16	oversight or any quality assurance to what you were
17	medical DVD Mr Collier is talking about?	17	doing? In other words, did anybody sit in from time to
18	A. I do, yes. That came into play when MMPR came in.	18	time or did anybody ask you to provide them with
19	Q. So we are talking about 2015?	19	a package of what training you were giving those
20	A. Something like that, yes.	20	officers, or were you simply left to your own devices?
21	Q. Mr Collier's list of what the training covers doesn't	21	A. No, no, we had a set format. We had a we had systems
22	include, will you agree, vulnerabilities relating to	22	of work, we had a raft of packets each detainee had
23	mental illness, self-harm or trauma?	23	its own little mini each officer had his own little
24	A. No, it doesn't.	24	mini-folder, and we would annotate the training they
25	Q. Do you think it should?	25	did, how they got on. So it was a tick box.
	Page 150		Dags 152
	Page 150		Page 152

1 O. So a feedback? 1 number of officers, are you talking about the little 2 2 A. Yes. As well, if -- in an inquiry or anything like cell-type room that was created padded or the overall 3 3 this, we could produce that form, did he -- was he training facility? 4 trained in handcuffs? Yes, on this date. Was he 4 A. I'm talking about the overall training matted area. 5 trained in restraint? Yes, this date. 5 Q. Looking at this room? 6 Q. That's fine, so far as it goes, but was your training 6 A. Looking at this room, from -- say, from where that lady 7 ever, as it were, inspected? Did anybody come along and 7 is sat there. 8 sit down and watch John Connolly give the training? 8 O. So behind the transcriber, yeah. 9 A. Yes, I think when the senior management got bored with 9 A. To that wall and probably down to that row of gentlemen 10 10 sitting in their office -there, probably there. 11 Q. But that's informal because perhaps they just wanted 11 Q. I think I know which gentlemen you're referring to. 12 a bit of light relief? 12 A. Sorry. 13 A. Yes, that was it. 13 Q. That's all right. There are some gentlemen there 14 14 anyway. Thank you, Mr Connolly. So we have an idea of Q. But I'm talking about more formal --15 15 the size of the room. What was the pressure that A. No. management put on you? 16 Q. -- by anybody who knew what they were doing. Say 16 17 somebody from Kidlington or one of the higher level 17 A. They would have liked every officer to have passed the 18 instructors come down from Kidlington and watch 18 control and restraint. 19 John Connolly give the instruction that they had 19 Q. What does that mean? What do you mean they would like 20 instructed you in? 20 every officer to have passed it? What does that mean? 21 A. We did have -- apparently, we did have a national 21 A. If we thought we had a borderline case, we'd raise that 22 instructor allocated for the centres at Kidlington. 22 with the training manager. 23 Q. You say "apparently". 23 Q. Who was the training manager? 24 A. We never saw them. 24 A. At the time -- was it Michelle Brown? I'm not sure. 25 Q. Right. Michelle Brown. Q. Yes. Page 153 Page 155 1 1 A. They sort of, you know, come down just to see the A. We'd leave it with them. But if a person was -- not 2 2 training room, check our records. dangerous, but they hadn't picked up the techniques, 3 3 Q. That's another issue. The facilities, for example. we'd recommend that they would pass that ITC, they would 4 Were there facilities, I mean for practical exercises? 4 have no detainee contact until they took another week's 5 5 control and restraint training. So that means they'd 6 Q. We have been told there was a special room, I think, at either work in visits or the gatehouse, but they'd have 7 Brook House where the control and restraint training was no contact with detainees. 8 8 Q. What was the particular pressure that management Q A. It was half of the changing room. It had been boarded 9 exerted? I don't understand the pressure that you're 10 and matted out and we spent £6,000 on a foam cell that 10 talking about. What did G4S want that they didn't 11 you could pull the walls down and make it how you 11 always achieve in terms of training individuals? 12 wanted. 12 A. They wanted bums on the shop floor. 13 Q. I see. Do you think the training facilities were 13 Q. So, what, the people they'd recruited, they wanted to be 14 14 adequate? able to do the job? 15 A. Yes, because we argued that the maximum we could ever 15 A. Yeah, because there was never enough officers on the 16 16 have in that room was 15, because you worked it out as shop floor. 17 17 Q. So it was an understaffing issue. Did that mean they 6 metres -- or 2 metres square per three officers. 18 18 wanted those officers -- I mean, let me think about it Q. Yes. 19 19 another way. If they didn't get through their control A. Well, one officer was on the floor practising. So we 20 deemed that 15 was the maximum we could use in that 20 and restraint part of the course but they passed 21 room. Sometimes senior management tried to put pressure 21 everything else, did they become DCOs? 22 22 A. They could, but they wouldn't -- they couldn't actually on us because there was too many on the course, on the 23 initial course, but we just said you have to split the 23 have detainee contact. 24 course because we are not budging on the 15 that we ... 24 Q. So that was a complete waste of time? 25 Q. Two things. The room you're talking about with the 25 A. Yes. Page 154 Page 156

1	Q. They couldn't go on the residential wings?	1	security at the time, approached me down at
2	A. No.	2	Tinsley House and said, would I be interested in going
3	Q. They couldn't certainly go on E wing?	3	for the job of coordinator.
4	A. No.	4	Q. Pause there. In your statement, your first statement,
5	Q. So they couldn't do much else?	5	right at the foot of the first page, but it is part of
6	A. No.	6	paragraph 3:
7	Q. Work in reception perhaps?	7	"In 2012, I relocated to Brook House and took up the
8	A. That's it.	8	post of control and restraint coordinator."
9	Q. And that's it. So G4S were investing in people, and if	9	You told us a little earlier it was 2011?
10	the people that they invested in couldn't do the job,	10	A. Sorry about that.
11	and if they couldn't do the job because they didn't get	11	Q. Don't worry. Nobody is going to bother overmuch about
12	through a critical part of the course, it was a waste of	12	that. What you say is:
13	resource?	13	" took up the post of control and restraint
14	A. Yes.	14	coordinator for all use of force incidents that occurred
15	Q. Did that pressure ever translate into you or anybody	15	at either Tinsley House or Brook House. I investigated
16	else passing people who should not have been passed?	16	and reported my findings to the director of Gatwick IRCs
17	A. No.	17	and, if needed, to the Prison Service or the
18	Q. What was the attrition rate, do you think, of officers	18	Professional Standards Unit (the PSU).I was also
19	whom you had to bin, as a percentage?	19	instrumental in the introduction of body-worn cameras
20	A. In my time as an instructor	20	for both operational officers and healthcare staff at
21	Q. Roughly?	21	both sites."
22	A. Two, three, probably, at least.	22	What was the job of being a coordinator? Maybe that
23	Q. Two, three people?	23	gives us a few clues, but what was the job?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. I had to ensure that officers were in ticket, as it
25	Q. Per year, per month, per what? Per course?	25	were, they'd had their refreshers every year. I liaised
	71 /1		, ,
	Page 157		Page 159
1	A. That was it	١ ,	
1	A. That was it.	1	with the training manager to sort out the control and
2	Q. What are you talking about? Ever?	2	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any
2	Q. What are you talking about? Ever?A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when	2 3	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote
2 3 4	Q. What are you talking about? Ever?A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people.	2 3 4	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or
2 3 4 5	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. 	2 3 4 5	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. 	2 3 4 5 6	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post you took up in 2012 as a coordinator. Do you remember 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes. Q. Did that involve you also looking at any available CCTV
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post you took up in 2012 as a coordinator. Do you remember talking about being a coordinator, a control and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes. Q. Did that involve you also looking at any available CCTV or body-worn or hand-held camera footage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post you took up in 2012 as a coordinator. Do you remember talking about being a coordinator, a control and restraint coordinator? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes. Q. Did that involve you also looking at any available CCTV or body-worn or hand-held camera footage? A. If it was, yes. If footage was there, back in 2013,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think — this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post you took up in 2012 as a coordinator. Do you remember talking about being a coordinator, a control and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes. Q. Did that involve you also looking at any available CCTV or body-worn or hand-held camera footage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What are you talking about? Ever? A. Ever. I started training between 2010 and 2017 when I left, seven years, probably about three people. Q. Right. A. That I know, myself, personally. Q. Do you think this is a really difficult question, Mr Connolly, and I realise that in asking it, but do you think you were ever, as it were, subconsciously biased to putting people through because of the pressure the company put on you? A. No. Q. Never? A. Never. Q. So as far as you're concerned, you retained your objectivity throughout? A. Yes. Q. You never passed somebody, even if they were borderline, rather than fail them? A. No. Never. Q. In your witness statement, you also talk about a post you took up in 2012 as a coordinator. Do you remember talking about being a coordinator, a control and restraint coordinator? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	restraint instructors for refreshers or the ITC. Any use of force report landed on my desk. I read it, wrote a report about it and handed that to Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt via Wayne Debnam, who was my direct boss. Q. Was that routine? First of all, did you see every single use of force report? A. Yes. Q. Did you write a report on every use of force report A. Yes. Q to your senior? A. Yes. Q. What was the purpose of your report to Mr Saunders? A. To ensure that the use of force or the officers that were using the use of force were using the correct techniques; the use of force was lawful, in the first instance, and was it proportionate to the circumstances. Yeah. Q. Presumably, in some cases, you said, "Everything is fine", and in other cases you said not so fine? A. Yes. Q. Did that involve you also looking at any available CCTV or body-worn or hand-held camera footage? A. If it was, yes. If footage was there, back in 2013,

1	It was in the reception area. He initiated a use of	1	Steve Webb
2	force on a detainee, which was totally, totally,	2	A. Yes.
3	unacceptable. I put that in the report and handed it to	3	Q which call themselves review meetings, use of force
4	Ben Saunders, and that guy lost his job.	4	review meetings. Were there meetings, ever?
5	Q. So if you had provided a negative report on an	5	A. The use of force review meetings
6	individual, or individuals, involved in any use of	6	Q. The tick-box-type documents?
7	force, and it went up to Ben Saunders, did he have	7	A. I think he's talking about when force had been used on
8	a range of options available to him?	8	a detainee, then I think I'm not sure, because
9	A. Not really. The only option he could have was if it	9	I wasn't that high up. I think that was the ones where
10	was a bad one, or it didn't look so good, then the only	10	they actually sat down with the detainee and chatted to
11	option he had was go to the PSU.	11	him.
12	Q. He had the option, presumably, of sacking someone if it	12	Q. That's what you think. Okay. As far as you're
13	amounted to a sufficient level of misconduct,	13	concerned, though, the review exercise you did was just
14	presumably?	14	you by yourself. It wasn't a meeting with anyone. Or
15	A. Well	15	did you have meetings with detainees as well?
16	Q. When would he send it up to the PSU?	16	A. I think, towards the end of my tenure as a control and
17	A. The thing about that is, Ben Saunders, again, was he	17	restraint coordinator, I did have I did go in with
18	was an instructor at Medway.	18	a DCM and chat to officers detainees that had force
19	Q. Yes.	19	used on them.
20	A. On the young offenders between 12 and 17.	20	Q. When was the coordinator position stopped? You say you
21	Q. Yes.	21	were made it in 2012?
22	A. I think he took his C&R initial course 2016 or something	22	A. '15, '16.
23	like that.	23	Q. Why was that?
24	Q. Yes.	24	A. They needed money to fund the ACDC coordinator's role.
25	A. So although he knew the law, he didn't know the	25	So it was, like, "Well, we don't need a coordinator now,
	,	==	so it was, mas, we as a recall cost animal now,
	Page 161		Page 163
1	techniques	1	but we do need an ACDC coordinator", which I could
1 2	techniques.	1 2	but we do need an ACDC coordinator", which I could understand.
2	Q. Right.	2	understand.
2 3	Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really	2 3	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator?
2 3 4	Q. Right.A. So he couldn't reallyQ. So he couldn't	2	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a 	2 3 4 5	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both
2 3 4	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that 	2 3 4	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not 	2 3 4 5 6 7	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are these the reviews you have been telling us about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would be to switch the camera on as he was going so he could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are these the reviews you have been telling us about A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would be to switch the camera on as he was going so he could give a running commentary as to the lead-up to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are these the reviews you have been telling us about A. Yes. Q that you were doing while you were a coordinator? We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would be to switch the camera on as he was going so he could give a running commentary as to the lead-up to the restraint. Yeah. Yeah, they're always on if there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are these the reviews you have been telling us about A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would be to switch the camera on as he was going so he could give a running commentary as to the lead-up to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. A. So he couldn't really Q. So he couldn't A comment on a Q. I see. So if there was an issue about a technique that was used and you had said, "This technique should not have been used", rather than adjudicate it upon himself, he would send it up to the PSU, would he, to adjudicate on the control and restraint used in a particular incident? Is that what you are telling us? A. Yeah, because he if it was that serious, then the director couldn't have dealt with it. It would have to go Home Office way. Q. All right. In your second witness statement, at paragraph 23(a), you say that when the position of C&R coordinator was made redundant you're talking here about reviews they were conducted by a DCM who was also a C&R instructor. You weren't a DCM, "so I wouldn't have taken part in reviews". First of all, the reviews are the reviews you are talking about? Are these the reviews you have been telling us about A. Yes. Q that you were doing while you were a coordinator? We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand. Q. What's an ACDC coordinator? A. It was self-harm and the welfare of detainees. Q. Right. So they weren't prepared to have both A. No. Q coordinators, so your job went? A. (Witness nods). Q. You weren't a DCM, so you couldn't take it over. So, what, you just went back to local instructor? A. It just got farmed out to all the other C&R instructors in the centre, but all of them were DCMs, apart from me. Q. As I just read, you say you were instrumental in bringing body-worn cameras into the centre; yes? In your experience, were they used appropriately? A. Yes, they were. Q. Were they always switched on, always activated, when they should have been? A. If there was say it was a first response, where an officer who had a body-worn camera was notified that there was an incident going on, then his first job would be to switch the camera on as he was going so he could give a running commentary as to the lead-up to the restraint. Yeah. Yeah, they're always on if there was

1 Q. One question about the Nationals: who was responsible 1 but, yeah, he -- if asked, he'd do it. 2 for calling them in? 2 Q. Did either of them complain to you, "Why am I always 3 A. For ... ? 3 being picked?" 4 Q. To take over. Who was responsible for it? 4 A. Not to me, no, personally. 5 A. If there was an incident, you mean? 5 Q. Did you know whether either of them complained to 6 O. Yes. 6 anybody else? Do you know, from your own experience? 7 A. If there was an incident, I think the control room would 7 A. No, I don't know, sorry. 8 call the duty manager, even if he was at home, or the 8 Q. In your first witness statement, if you care to look at 9 director, if it was day time, and then the director that, at paragraphs 8 and 9, but really 9 at the top of, 10 10 would obviously view the situation and, if it warranted I think, your page 15, you say: 11 11 it, if you couldn't take control of the area inside the "During the above period ..." 12 detention centre, then he would make the call and call 12 And the period you were dealing with there 13 13 was December 2016 to March 2017: 14 Q. Tell me this, did you feel -- I'm going to jump from 14 "During the above period, relationships between 15 topic to topic now to try to get through your evidence 15 staff and detainees were at breaking point. Officers 16 this afternoon. One of the complaints we have heard 16 were having to deal with more and more medical 17 from more than one witness is that the same people were 17 emergencies on their own as the medical team were 18 always used for C&R. Do you recognise that? Others --18 elsewhere dealing with another emergency. Drug taking 19 some people just didn't want to do it and, therefore, 19 was off the scale. Rumours started to circulate that 20 the same people got called upon the whole time? 20 someone working at Brook House was bringing spice, 21 A. The DCMs, the duty DCMs, the Oscar 1, if you want to 21 bringing in spice. I don't know if anyone was caught. 22 call them, if there was an incident, they, rightly or 22 Also spice was being intercepted on a regular basis in 23 wrongly, would pick who they decided was the best person 23 ... reception ... and through the visits." 24 for the job. 24 Did that mean if healthcare were taken off elsewhere 25 25 Q. Right. that officers with no medical training were actually Page 165 Page 167 1 A. Now, I had a database when I was control and restraint 1 being asked to deputise for healthcare staff? 2 2 coordinator. I took it upon myself to make a database A. They weren't being asked, they had to. It was a case 3 3 to see how many people were actually doing control and of, it was there, it was in front of you, you had to 4 restraint and how many people weren't. And there was 4 deal with it. 5 a pattern of certain officers were picked. And chatting 5 Q. Clearly, the custodial staff didn't have clinical 6 to them quietly, some of them were a bit miffed. 6 training or expertise. It stands to reason. 7 7 Q. Miffed at ...? A. Basic first aid, that was it. A. They were being picked all the time. Some of them, 8 8 Q. Yes. Custodial staff being asked to respond to serious 9 9 absolutely -- I'll be honest, some of them absolutely self-harm incidents, alone, with no informed medical 10 10 input; yes? Given these demands, particularly during loved it. I don't know why, but --11 Q. Think about who absolutely loved it. 11 the period you mention in your statement, did staff 12 A. Darren Lunn, L-U-N-N, he was down E wing. 12 either become resentful, or even complacent, in respect 13 Q. Who else? 13 of responding to self-harm incidents? In other words, 14 14 did it become so "same old, same old" that they lost all A. There was a guy called Shaun Nicholls. 15 Q. Who else? 15 perspective of what they were dealing with? 16 16 A. I think it was more frustration at the time. A. I can't remember his name. 17 17 Frustration and anger that -- it was so -- it was Q. Let me ask you some names, and I'm not going to suggest 18 18 happening so often, so frequently, that it was just -whether they did or they didn't, but I just want your 19 19 help. Yan Paschali? Do you remember training him? 20 Q. From your experience there, Mr Connolly, was there 20 2.1 a culture of disbelief amongst staff, in the sense that 21 Q. Was he a lover or hater of it? 22 the default position was detainees were attention 22 A. He was a lover. 23 seeking and manipulative, rather than in distress? 23 Q. Derek Murphy? Was he a lover or a hater of it? Did you 24 A. No. No. As I say, it was -- when spice hit a certain 24 train him? 25 A. I did train Derek, yes. I don't think he was a lover, 25 level inside the centre, it was an absolute crazy, crazy Page 166 Page 168

1	environment to work in. It was yeah. You couldn't	1	on our course saw what they were walking into and went,
2	actually describe it unless you were there. It was	2	"Bye, not for me".
3	yeah. Officers were so on edge.	3	Q. Why, because it looked like a prison?
4	Q. In your second witness statement, which is what we had	4	A. And the way the people were treated, I suppose. But
5	open, if you go, please, to paragraph 24(c) on page 7,	5	then the Home Office I think was Debbie Western
6	you say that no officer you worked with broke the	6	put a stop to that for some reason.
7	Detention Centre Rules, "However, some did turn a blind	7	Q. Put a stop to what?
8	eye". What did you mean by that? What did they turn	8	A. Show-arounds before they actually went on the initial
9	a blind eye to?	9	training course.
10	A. When Brook House first opened, detainees could go into	10	Q. Why, because it was too much of an eye opener, or you
11	any wing they wanted, free movement, so there was a lot	11	don't know?
12	of thieving going on, a lot of, say, taking of other	12	A. Don't know. Above my pay grade.
13	people's belongings, so, to stop that, ID cards were	13	Q. Tell me this before I'm going to ask the chair to break.
14	issued. So if an officer was sat next to the door so	14	One last topic and then we will come to some other
15	if a detainee wanted to leave the wing, he had to	15	topics, please, in the time we have available this
16	produce his ID card. Some detainees would go,	16	afternoon. What do you think your attitude was to
17	"I haven't got my ID, guv", an officer would go, "Okay,	17	detainees, in general terms?
18	out you go", so he'd do whatever, go to the gym or go to	18	A. Always fair. I never used bad language or derogatory
19	the shop. In the meantime, that officer would be	19	language in front of a detainee. However, when us guys
20	replaced by another officer, so the detainee comes back,	20	were on our own, we did have banter, and I'll freely
21	knocks on the door, the officer says, "Have you got your	21	admit that. But always trying to be professional.
22	ID card?", "No, it's in my room, guv". "You can't come	22	Q. The trouble with banter, even when you're with the other
23	in". "I wanna come in". So then there would be an	23	guys alone, out of the hearing, or you hope out of
24	altercation at the door because the first officer, as	24	the hearing, of detainees, do you agree it leads to
25	I said, turned a blind eye to the rules. That's the	25	a culture where everybody thinks this is the norm and
	D 460		D 454
	Page 169		Page 171
1	rules I meant.	1	then they forget themselves in front of detainees?
2	Q. Any other blind eyes being turned to any other facets of	2	I mean, people can't discipline themselves, can they?
3	life in Brook House?	3	A. It could have done, yeah.
4	A. Yes, smoking in the recreational areas. You know,	4	Q. Yes. One aspect I do want to ask you about before, as
5	detainees would be smoking. It was a rule you could	5	I say, I invite the chair for our 15-minute afternoon
6	only smoke in your rooms because it was a nonsmoking	6	break, tab 18, <sxp000120> at page 8, a statement that</sxp000120>
7	building. So officers would walk past, a detainee would	7	Callum Tulley gave to the police. Did you know
8	be smoking, another officer would come past, he'd put it	8	Callum Tulley?
9	out.	9	A. I did, yes.
10	Q. Why do you think it was that officers turned a blind	10	Q. Did you work with him?
11	eye? Was it for an easy life or because they just	11	A. Briefly, yes, when I was on activities.
12	didn't have the resource to deal with it or what?	12	Q. Right at the top of the page here, although your last
13	A. It was the difference between flipping burgers in	13	name is misspelt:
14	McDonald's one day and then being into a pressure	14	"John [Connolly] on a number of occasions has shown
15	environment the next day. You know, you just	15	complete contempt and hatred towards detainees. About
16	couldn't it the training was okay, but I don't	16	three shifts before I went undercover, Connolly ordered
17	think it was I don't know.	17	the strip search of a detainee for no real reason. He
18	Q. What training are you talking about?	18	said, 'Take his fucking clothes off'. The detainee was
19	A. The initial training.	19	stripped of his clothing as DCOs stood around laughing
20	Q. Not your part of the course?	20	at him. He was shown no dignity. John Connolly
21	A. No.	21	referred to him as a 'Cunt'. This verbal abuse towards
22	Q. Overall?	22	detainees was commonplace in Brook House."
23	A. When I first turned up at Brook House, before even	23	Was do you say about that?
24	starting the training course, they showed us around the	24	A. It didn't happen. Can I explain why?
25	establishment and I think two or three people that were	25	Q. Yes.
	Page 170		Page 172
	rage 170		
			43 (Pages 169 to 172)

1	A. To strip search a detainee, there's certain trigger	1	netting. If we begin, please, at page 78 of this
2	points of either being involved in a fight with another	2	transcript, at line 2787, you may remember a number of
3	detainee, of either assaulting an officer, they have	3	you were on the stairwell for quite a time do you
4	either damaged property or they have got drugs on them.	4	remember, all kitted up in riot gear?
5	So to get a strip search, you have to go to the DCM.	5	A. Yes.
6	The DCM would have to go to the Home Office and	6	Q. You say at 2787:
7	authorise the strip search. So could I walk onto a wing	7	"Right, so they will come through we'll go out
8	and say, "Right, I need you to come with me, an	8	fucking but anyway so we can still see what's
9	officer", walk onto another wing, "I need you". So	9	happening you'll be stood behind them. Happy days."
10	I get three officers off a wing that's already short.	10	Do you remember who you were talking about? Was it
11	Control room would see that, the DCM would see that.	11	the Nationals or some other individual or individuals?
12	I'd then grab a detainee and the place is in lockdown.	12	A. I think it may have been the Nationals, actually.
13	I order the officers to restrain that detainee. I'm	13	Q. And "Happy days". What's "Happy days"?
14	assaulting him because that's what restraint is. So the	14	A. I think it's just a saying I used, just like I didn't
15	other detainees would have the perfect right to jump in	15	know, just a saying I use.
16	and pull us off. So how would I get a detainee from	16	Q. What does it signify, "Happy days": something good or
17	there to E wing to strip him? It wouldn't happen.	17	something bad?
18	Q. He's not talking about E wing, is he?	18	A. Probably good, I suppose. That's black and white, yes.
19	A. Well, A wing, then. How could I walk a detainee in	19	Q. Tulley says:
20	locks through a wing full of 90 other detainees and they	20	"OK, I'll come behind you three so it's like
21	would just go, "Yes, okay, boss, you carry on".	21	a diamond, really, you at the front, them two behind
22	Q. To be fair to you, he doesn't give more detail than we	22	then me, behind them two."
23	see in these five lines. But you are saying,	23	Then you say:
24	Mr Connolly, there was no occasion when, whether you had	24	"But they have got him locked and walking forward,
25	the permission of a DCM or not, whether circumstantially	25	put him down and 'cuff him. In a nice area. But it
			•
	Page 173		Page 175
1	anything like this could have happened, you are saying	1	depends if he fucks about, he's going to get 'cuffed
2	there was never an occasion when you said in respect of	2	there and then. Yeah. Maybe I'll cuff him Hey?
3	a detainee to "Take his fucking clothes off", never said	3	I'll go kick the fucker out, you know, he's saying, aw,
4	that or words like that?	4	if you lay hands on, he's going to do this, do that
5	A. Never. Never.	5	and the other. Well, there's not really much we can
6	Q. Never strip searched an individual with other DCOs	6	really do about it. Fuck it. Fuck 'em. Christmas time
7	laughing?	7	we can fucking buzz 'em."
8	A. No. Never.	8	First of all, it is all a bit coarse language,
9	Q. What about what he says, "John Connolly, on a number of	9	isn't it?
10	occasions, has shown complete contempt and hatred	10	A. Yes, it is, I'm afraid.
11	towards detainees"?	11	Q. Why? What was the need for using all of that language?
12	A. No.	12	It's not really banter, is it? It's coarse language
13	Q. You don't accept that?	13	emphasising the use of force, isn't it? It's like
14	A. No, I don't.	14	pumping people up, isn't it?
15	MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is almost 3.30 pm. I will ask the	15	A. It probably is, yes.
16	chair to take a quarter of an hour break. Back at	16	Q. What was "Christmas time"? Was it the same as "Happy
17	3.45 pm, please.	17	days"?
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	18	A. Yes.
19	(3.28 pm)	19	Q. Same idea?
20	(A short break)	20	A. Yes.
21	(3.48 pm)	21	Q. So a good thing. Do you accept, Mr Connolly, when you
22	MR ALTMAN: Can we put up on screen, please, <trn0000085>,</trn0000085>	22	look back at this kind of language, it creates
23	please, chair, tab 26. Mr Connolly, I'm going to ask	23	a perception, doesn't it, amongst colleagues who looked
24	you about certain things that you said on 17 May 2017,	24	up to you as one of the instructors, that use of force
25	and on this occasion, D275 was protesting on the	25	is a positive?
	, <u>F</u> on the		•
	D 474	I	D 176
	Page 174		Page 176

1	A. Yes, it wasn't appropriate.	1	Q. Which riots?
2	Q. So, for example, if we go back in this transcript to	2	A. When Brook House lost control, I think in 2009.
3	page 24, so to an earlier time, but during the same	3	Q. Right. So it was based on fact, not fiction?
4	event, on page 24, at the top, you see somebody is	4	A. Fiction.
5	talking about the Nationals, and then, at lines 839 and	5	Q. Well, you said there was a riot at Brook House in 2009?
6	840, talking about something happening at half six in	6	A. Yes.
7	the morning:	7	Q. So that was a fact?
8	"Fucking dogs and all. It's funny as fuck because	8	A. Yes, it was.
9	they are all queued up outside of the building. Nobody	9	Q. But you're saying you're making up a story around it,
10	has to drive."	10	what, involving you in it?
11	Then at 845:	11	A. Yes.
12	"You don't want them staying all the way. Got	12	Q. Are you putting yourself in this story?
13	a feeling that front line will go on the top. Kick the	13	A. Yes.
14	door open, flash bangs went in, stun grenades	14	Q. Is that what you're doing?
15	Fucking, battering the fuck out of him. It's fucking	15	A. Yes.
16	brutal."	16	Q. But why? Why is a grown man doing that?
17	Clearly you were talking about another event because	17	A. Just passing the time of day. I know.
18	Tulley says:	18	Q. You can pass the time of day by playing a game of cards
19	"Was this the riot in 2009?"	19	or something?
20	And you say at 852:	20	A. Could do. I agree.
21	"Yeah, fucking brutal. Funny as fuck. I got right	21	Q. But, instead, you decide, you tell us, to fictionalise
22	down the [something] with some fucking Jamaicans So	22	an account which is based on fact but puts you in it as
23	I went, 'Are you coming down?'."	23	perhaps the hero of the story?
24	And you covered your mouth to muffle your speech:	24	A. Probably, yeah.
25	"What? No. What? Send the dogs in. Fucking dogs	25	Q. You don't think, though, that if other officers believed
	Page 177		Page 179
1	in. Fucking chewed him. Call the dogs out. He was	1	what you were saying if other officers didn't regard
2	like moaning and groaning. I go like, 'Are you coming	2	it as a tall story or a fictionalisation, but thought
3	out?'."	3	that "This is John Connolly, an instructor, telling us
4	Again you covered your mouth:	4	the truth", that they would think glorifying violence is
5	"Send the dogs in again. Got him out central	5	fine? "That's what he's doing. If he can glorify
6	staircase, across the top, down the central staircase.	6	violence, there's nothing wrong with it"?
7	Happy days, eh? That was sick. Dog handlers were	7	A. You could say that.
8	fucking whipping dogs and fucking getting them mad."	8	Q. Why were you doing it?
9	What was that all about?	9	A. Boredom, probably. Five hours sat in a stairwell.
10	A. Tall stories.	10	That's all I can put it down to.
11	Q. It was a tall story?	11	Q. Do you see what I'm saying?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. I can do, yes.
13	Q. Everybody seems to want to tell tall stories,	13	Q. It leads to this contagion of toxicity within the
14	Mr Connolly.	14	establishment, doesn't it?
15	A. Well, with five hours sat in the stairwell. It was	15	A. Yes.
16	yeah.	16	Q. In your second witness statement, the man who was on the
17	Q. So, wait, all of this was a fiction?	17	netting, you will remember you referred to even in your
18	A. Yes.	18	witness statement as an "idiot"?
19	Q. The whole thing? You made it up?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. And you said he was an idiot because he was acting like
21	Q. On the spot?	21	one?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes, in my mind.
23	Q. Dogs?	23	Q. In your mind, although he was vulnerable?
24	A. Yeah, it was it was stories I'd heard from what	24	A. Yes.
25	happened on the riots.	25	Q. That didn't matter, because he was an idiot?
	Page 178		Page 180
			45 (Pages 177 to 180)

1	A. I didn't know the full story because obviously I wasn't	1	A. I do agree.
2	on that wing.	2	Q. We are going to go back to 17 May, when you were formed
3	Q. No.	3	up in these stairs for five hours, you say, with other
4	A. So I was thrown into that and I didn't know his mental	4	officers, and I'm going to play to you a clip which is
5	health, I didn't know his state of mind	5	KENCOV1019 V2017051700015, clip 4. I hope the right
6	Q. But this is now. You're still calling him an idiot.	6	time has been identified to you, Zaynab. Has it been
7	That's the point. This is in a witness statement you've	7	given to you? If it hasn't, we can do things another
8	made to this inquiry.	8	way. I was hoping that somebody had given you a time
9	A. Yes.	9	for this clip that I'm about to play. Let's see how we
10	Q. That's my point. Apart from this pumping-up kind of	10	get on.
11	language which you have accepted, Mr Connolly, if we can	11	Can you crank it up and we will see if we can find
12	look, please, momentarily, at something entirely	12	it?
13	different. For you, chair, tab 28. If we can put up on	13	(Video played)
14	screen <inn000013>. This is a statement of a witness</inn000013>	14	MR ALTMAN: Just stop there for a moment, please. Can you
15	Shayne Munroe. Do you remember Shayne Munroe?	15	just put it up when there is some subtitling up on the
16	A. No.	16	screen, please? Just run it forward a bit. Pause
17	Q. On pages 18 and 19 start at page 18, please.	17	there. That's fine. I'm going to take a punt on this.
18	Paragraph 57 at the bottom. She was asked, as many have	18	Can you wind it forward by about five minutes, please.
19	been asked, whether she was aware of any homophobic or	19	So take it up to about 15:40 on the timestamp on the
20	misogynistic attitudes or behaviour among staff. She	20	left. Play it until we get some subtitling.
21	says at 57:	21	(Video played)
22	"I recall only one incident when I approached	22	MR ALTMAN: Well, that worked. Go a little further forward.
23	John Connolly, the use of force instructor"	23	See if you can identify some subtitling.
24	Top of the next page, please:	24	(Video played)
25	" to speak to him about becoming a use of force	25	MR ALTMAN: Pause there. If we can just go back, please, to
			3
	Page 181		Page 183
1	instructor myself."	1	about 12, I think we will probably find it. That's
2	Do you remember this?	2	fine. Let's just have a look at that.
3	A. No.	3	(Video played)
4	Q. "I had enjoyed the training and I wanted to progress on	4	MR ALTMAN: Pause there, please. I'm sorry, chair,
5	to escorting. I believed that being a qualified use of	5	I thought, obviously wrongly, that this would be cued
6	force instructor would be a beneficial skill. John said	6	up. 04:18 is what we need to go back to. We think it
7	something along the lines of, this was excellent because	7	is 0:04:18. Let's try that, thank you. It is 14:19.
8	they didn't get many 'udder swingers' showing interest	8	I'm sorry, I'm trying to follow it in the transcript.
9	in becoming use of force instructors so I would be	9	THE CHAIR: No problem, thank you.
10	welcomed if I pursued it."	10	MR ALTMAN: Do you see there, Mr Connolly chair, if you
11	Is that a term you use, Mr Connolly, "udder	11	are following, it is on page 44 of the transcript, which
12	swingers"?	12	is <trn0000085>, page 44, which is behind your tab 26.</trn0000085>
13	A. I'd never use that term in front of a lady, full stop.	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
14	Q. She said:	14	MR ALTMAN: I just want you to listen to the words, please,
15	"Initially I did not have a clue what he was	15	Mr Connolly, and I expect that there will be some
16	referring to and it was only later that day that	16	subtitling along the way. You know what is coming.
17	I realised he was referring to female breasts."	17	This is no surprise to you.
18	She's got this from somewhere, hasn't she? Has she	18	(Video played)
19	misidentified you? Is she thinking of someone else?	19	MR ALTMAN: I think the subtitling didn't follow the
20	A. I'm not sure, but I will state that I never would use	20	language. Just go back a smidge, please, from that.
21	that term. Never.	21	(Video played)
22	Q. I mean, whoever did use that language was being	22	MR ALTMAN: Now, Mr Connolly, do you accept that you used
23	misogynistic?	23	words that we see there, and you can look at the
24	A. Yes.	24	transcript it may be easier. If we can put up on
25	Q. Do you agree with that?	25	screen for a moment, because I wanted to play that to
		"	,
	Page 182		Page 184

1	you, <trn0000085> at page 44, and it is the top half,</trn0000085>	1	"Figure of four" and I go, "Just say listen here,
2	and Callum Tulley there's this chat going on, and we	2	nigger", it just doesn't make any sense.
3	can see, at line 1471, where we managed to find that	3	Q. Well, what you had to say about this, you will remember,
4	part of the clip, Tulley saying:	4	in your second witness statement at paragraph 46:
5	"Try and de-escalate it."	5	"I never used racist language in front of detainees
6	Another male member says:	6	and certainly never, ever encouraged others to do so.
7	"Yeah.	7	The footage of myself using the word 'nigger' was in my
8	"But always figure of four? Cool."	8	opinion dubbed. I will explain why. The alleged
9	And "figure of four" is a reference to the formation	9	incident occurred outside of the lift in the secure
10	of the group?	10	corridor. The lift is directly opposite the doctor's
11	A. No, it's not.	11	office. The door to that office is always open. It is
12	Q. What is it a reference to?	12	two metres away from the lift. I wear hearing aids in
13	A. It's an arm it's a de-escalation arm hold.	13	both ears and, as a consequence, I tend to shout.
14	Q. Arm, forgive me. Right. What is it to describe, how	14	Tulley was the number one of the team. He asked me
15	you hold somebody?	15	outside of the lift, 'John, if he kicks off, should
16	A. Yes, it's the MMPR. It's if the detainee is stood	16	I take his head?' It makes no sense whatsoever for me
17	there, then my left hand would be on his elbow and my	17	to have replied, 'Just say listen here, nigger'.
18	right hand would be on the top of his wrist and you	18	I would have said, 'Yes, if he gets non-compliant, take
19	would guide the person that way.	19	control of the head'."
20	Q. You have reminded me, MMPR, Mr Connolly, I asked you	20	And then you refer to this transcript:
21	about that and you were telling us about it and the	21	"This is where the alleged foul language occurred.
22	training. So that we are clear, was MMPR a type of	22	I look at the clip of me allegedly saying, 'listen here,
23	training that was used for adults or for children?	23	nigger'. At no point can you see my lip. This, in my
24	A. It was initially used for children. It replaced the	24	opinion, was dubbed for dramatic effect."
25	PCC Physical Control in Care it replaced that	25	Then you add:
			•
	Page 185		Page 187
1	package because it dealt more on the medical side and	1	"Both Small [Dan Small] and Tulley stated that this
2	de-escalation side. And because they thought it was	2	happened in the stairwell. Well, did it happen in the
3	a good idea, they'd integrate that into C&R.	3	lift or the stairwell?"
4	Q. It wasn't just for Tinsley House, it was Brook House as	4	Are you really being serious, Mr Connolly, that, for
5	well?	5	reasons that no-one can explain, the BBC somehow
6	A. Yes.	6	inserted and attributed to you the words not once,
7	Q. There was a diversion as you mention it. So figure of	7	"listen here, nigger", because the word "nigger", as we
8	four, you have explained. As we see at 1474:	8	see on the transcript appears twice attributed to you,
9	"Just say listen here, nigger. Listen to me."	9	and then it is repeated by a second man. Are you
10	One of the other officers laughs:	10	seriously suggesting that, for reasons of their own, the
11	"Do what you are told, nigger", which prompts male	11	BBC decided to put those words into your mouth? Is that
12	staff member 2 to say:	12	what you are telling us?
13	"Now you've got nigger in your head, you'll probably	13	A. The original BBC programme, if I remember correctly,
14	say it."	14	that me saying, "Listen here nigger", was in the lift.
15	Tulley:	15	Q. And you think they have even changed the location, do
16	"I fucking guarantee you, I won't"	16	you? Well, you're not right about that, Mr Connolly.
17	Why do you say that, Mr Connolly?	17	We can look at it again?
18	A. If a detainee	18	A. Okay.
19	Q. Is there any excuse for it?	19	Q. But it was always in the stairwell. So when this day is
20	A. If an officer is asking me figure of four, I'm not going	20	over and you have left us, do you want the chair to
21	to say, "Just say listen here, nigger. Listen to me".	21	remember your evidence to be that the BBC has
22	Q. What are you trying to tell us?	22	deliberately put into your mouth the word "nigger" not
23	A. It's like me walking into a chip shop and the guy says,	23	once, but twice, for dramatic effect?
24	"What do you want?" and I say, "A pint of beer". If an	24	A. No, I most probably did or most definitely did say that
25	officer is asking me what technique to use and he says	25	in the stairwell.
			,
	Page 186		Page 188

1	Q. So you most probably did or most definitely did?	1	is, the Nationals will be behind you, they'll come up on
2	A. Definitely did.	2	that end."
3	Q. So why have you tried to deny it?	3	If one was to look at the footage, the person to
4	A. I'm not trying to deny it, but I watched that first	4	whom you were referring to as a "cunt", who had just
5	programme over and over again and I watched the second	5	left, was the imam. Do you remember that?
6	Panorama clip on the inquiry's website. Totally	6	A. I don't, but it's there, so yes.
7	different. I may be wrong. I may be trying to	7	Q. Why were you referring to him as a "cunt" and that, now
8	Q. Wishful thinking	8	he had gone, "What will happen is, the Nationals will be
9	A convince myself I don't know.	9	behind you"? What was the point? What were you trying
10	Q. Wishful thinking, perhaps, Mr Connolly.	10	to say?
11	A. Okay.	11	A. I've got no excuse for that.
12	Q. Believe you me, if there was another clip of you using	12	Q. Of course, the man was Muslim. Did that make
13	that word, I would let you know. This is the only one	13	a difference? Is that why he was a cunt?
14	I know about.	14	A. No.
15	A. Okay, sir.	15	Q. No?
16	Q. And this comes and this was shown, as I understand	16	A. No.
17	it, in the Panorama programme. So we are to	17	Q. It was certainly derogatory, wasn't it?
18	understand it, despite what you said in the witness	18	A. It would be.
19	statement from only the month before last, you are	19	Q. Can we go back, please, in the transcript to 54. Can we
20	accepting that those were your words and that the BBC	20	scroll it so we have the bottom half, please, Zaynab.
21	has not dubbed them in for dramatic effect. Is that	21	At line 1872 on the transcript, we have got you
22	what we understand now?	22	saying it reads, "If he fucks up everything", the
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	suggestion is, actually, what you were saying is, "If he
24	Q. Why did you use that word?	24	fucks about, get him in there, fuck him up in the
25	A. All I can say is, we were talking about a range of	25	corner, mash him up in the corner. You can't find
	•		•
	Page 189		Page 191
1			
	tonics. I don't know. I don't know. I haven't got an	l 1	a rabbit in a corner. If we flick up, he'll flicking
	topics, I don't know. I don't know. I haven't got an explanation for my	1 2	a rabbit in a corner. If we fuck up, he'll fucking
2	explanation for my	2	split."
	explanation for my Q. No, because there is none.		split." And:
2 3 4	explanation for my Q. No, because there is none. A use of the word.	2 3 4	split."
2 3 4 5	explanation for my Q. No, because there is none. A use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there?	2 3 4 5	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?"
2 3 4 5 6	explanation for my Q. No, because there is none. A use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there?
2 3 4 5 6 7	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of	2 3 4 5 6 7	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page: "Massage my arse now Right, now that cunt's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these transcripts were done by people who had no intimacy, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page: "Massage my arse now Right, now that cunt's gone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these transcripts were done by people who had no intimacy, as it were, with the footage, other than doing their best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page: "Massage my arse now Right, now that cunt's gone. "Just forget figure four, go straight into locks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these transcripts were done by people who had no intimacy, as it were, with the footage, other than doing their best to transcribe, it says, "I'll just grab, I'll just grab
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page: "Massage my arse now Right, now that cunt's gone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these transcripts were done by people who had no intimacy, as it were, with the footage, other than doing their best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	explanation for my — Q. No, because there is none. A. — use of the word. Q. There is certainly no excuse for it, is there? A. No. Q. Once again, it's a window, potentially, into part of a culture at Brook House where everything went. I mean, if one is using language like this, anything goes almost, doesn't it? A. You could perceive that, yes. Q. I'm not going to play this, but if we continue with the transcript, please, to page 77, if we were to look at the footage, do you remember a time when another member of staff, an imam called Zeeshan Qayum the head of religious affairs — do you remember him? A. Yes, I do. Q. He turned up and was talking to you and the other officers in the stairwell? A. Correct. Q. If you look at line 2743 on this page: "Massage my arse now Right, now that cunt's gone. "Just forget figure four, go straight into locks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	split." And: "We've got to push him down with a stick on the side?" What were you talking about there? A. No. Q. No, what? A. "Mash up" I never use and "can't find a rabbit in a corner", I don't know what that's about. And "push him down with a stick on his side"? Q. Actually, if you view the footage, you see that you have some sort of stick object in your hand? A. I don't. Q. No? So none of that means anything to you? A. No. Q. "And then, if he refuses we can shove him in there." At 1884, Dan Small: "Well, the fucker is going to come in there, bro. I'll just" And the transcript and bearing in mind these transcripts were done by people who had no intimacy, as it were, with the footage, other than doing their best to transcribe, it says, "I'll just grab, I'll just grab

1	should be attributed to you. But one suggestion is, and	1	Q. Then at 1927:
2	this is a suggestion by Callum Tulley, and it is	2	"Because otherwise, we've just got to fucking grab
3	a combination of what he has had to say and what	3	him. Fucking throw him towards Dan.
4	Dan Small has had to tell us, is that Small was so	4	"Yeah.
5	concerned about what you were saying that he wanted you	5	"We've fucking got him here."
6	to stop, and one way he tried to get you to stop saying	6	And another staffer says:
7	what you were saying was to indicate or gesture up to	7	"And then, get his arms and pin him."
8	a camera on the wall in the hope that you would pipe	8	You say:
9	down, and what Tulley remembers is your response to that	9	"I guess the fucker is trying [something] do it."
10	was to say, "I will just scrub", not "grab", but "scrub	10	Then male staff 1 says:
11	the cunt", in other words, delete it. Now, you know	11	"Yeah. Are there cameras?"
12	this because you have been told that this is the	12	So if that person is the same person as Dan Small
13	allegation. What do you say about that, Mr Connolly?	13	asking the same question:
14	A. Right. I believe somebody said, "Look, boss, there's	14	"It doesn't matter. Fuck it. That's my
15	a camera up there".	15	justification. Fucking stairs.
16	Q. Yes.	16	"We can't be fighting him on top of the stairs."
17	A. Everybody in Brook House called each other by their	17	Do you accept saying those words?
18	first names.	18	A. I accept saying we can't fight him on the top of
19	Q. Yep?	19	the stairs. I accept that.
20	A. The only person or the only people that called people	20	Q. And then Tulley says:
21	"boss" were the detainees.	21	"I can't see any cameras there."
22	Q. Yes.	22	And you say:
23	A. That's a fact.	23	"There's two."
24	Q. Yes.	24	It all lends itself, doesn't it, don't you think,
25	A. Why would an experienced officer like Small point to	25	Mr Connolly, to a suggestion about how something was
	Page 193		Page 195
	C		
1	a camera all right, we know what he's trying to do,	1	going to be covered up?
2	to calm me down. Fine. Why would I say I'm going to	2	A. No.
3	scrub it when there is no way, technically, I could wipe	3	Q. You don't think so?
4	CCTV footage? Wouldn't happen. Couldn't do it. So why	4	A. How can you cover it up when there's cameras there?
5	would I say it?	5	Those stairwells are covered all the way.
6			•
	Q. Well, an answer to that is you're making him believe	6	Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation?
7	that you can?	6 7	Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation?A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee
8	that you can? A. No.	6 7 8	Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation?A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs.
8 9	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you	6 7 8 9	Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation?A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs.Q. Shall we watch the footage?
8 9 10	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do	6 7 8 9 10	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No.	6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it
8 9 10 11 12	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly
8 9 10 11 12 13	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all right, just fucking walk him out. Walk him down this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: If you just go ever so slightly back to 13:20.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all right, just fucking walk him out. Walk him down this way. Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: If you just go ever so slightly back to 13:20. What I'd like you to see that's Dan Small with the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all right, just fucking walk him out. Walk him down this way. Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it." You don't think you said that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: If you just go ever so slightly back to 13:20. What I'd like you to see that's Dan Small with the helmet and the visor up. He gestures up to the camera
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all right, just fucking walk him out. Walk him down this way. Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: If you just go ever so slightly back to 13:20. What I'd like you to see that's Dan Small with the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that you can? A. No. Q. In other words, you're making somebody believe that you have got more capacity, ability, than you actually do A. No. Q to make him pipe down? A. He worked at the control room, so did Tulley. They know that you can't you can't walk into the control room, sit down behind the controllers, log onto the equipment and then try and scrub CCTV footage. It wouldn't happen. It doesn't happen. So I wouldn't say it. Q. Let's go to the next page before we look at the footage. At line 1909, Tulley, having asked, "Why are we sticking him in there?", you say: "No, he's starting to have a little if he's all right, just fucking walk him out. Walk him down this way. Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it." You don't think you said that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. This seems to be the thrust of this conversation? A. I wouldn't order another officer to throw a detainee down the stairs. Q. Shall we watch the footage? A. Yes. Q. And you can, if you want I'd rather you watched it than looked at the transcript. It's almost certainly subtitled, so I'm fairly confident it's subtitled. So you will see the words but, in the end, it's your evidence, Mr Connolly, we want, so we will put up again KENCOV1019, but this time it is V2017051700016. I am going to ask for it to be started, I think, at 13:20. Let's see if I have got the right timestamp. Start playing it, perhaps, at 13 00:13. Just a little back from there, please. Just at 13 dead, please. (Video played) MR ALTMAN: If you just go ever so slightly back to 13:20. What I'd like you to see that's Dan Small with the helmet and the visor up. He gestures up to the camera

1	he says something along the lines, "There's a camera	1	Q. You don't accept that?
2	there, boss". This isn't in the transcript because it	2	A. No, I don't.
3	wasn't picked up.	3	Q. Do you accept at 1927, you said:
4	A. I agree with that. I saw it.	4	"Because otherwise, we've just got to fucking grab
5	Q. Let's just go back and listen to yourself.	5	him. Fucking throw him towards Dan."
6	(Video played)	6	You surely heard that?
7	MR ALTMAN: "I'll scrub the cunt"?	7	A. I honestly can't remember. I honestly can't remember.
8	A. I say "grab".	8	Q. Then at 1930:
9	Q. You think it's "grab"?	9	"We've fucking got him here."
10	A. Yes.	10	And then you heard male staff 1, who appears to be
11	Q. So nothing to do with scrubbing a camera?	11	Dan Small, I think:
12	A. No.	12	"Yeah, are there cameras?
13	Q. That's how Callum Tulley heard it, but you say it was	13	"It doesn't matter. Fuck it. That's my
14	"grab" not "scrub". What did you mean by "grab the	14	justification. Fucking stairs.
15	cunt"?	15	"We can't be fighting him on top of the stairs."
16	A. Take hold of him.	16	You heard that, presumably?
17	Q. Let's just carry on, please. Just play it through to	17	A. It's there. I must have said it.
18	the bits I have been asking you about, then.	18	Q. Yes. It all sounds as if, you know, everybody is
19	(Video played)	19	getting geed up to become involved in a use of force
20	MR ALTMAN: First of all, if you want to consult the	20	against this man and you're using the kind of language
21	transcript, let's get that back up, although we have to	21	that, do you accept, as I said earlier, is, like,
22	bear in mind that part of it may not be accurate. But	22	pumping everybody up?
23	if we put up the transcript at page 54, first of all,	23	A. You've when you're dealing with a situation like
24	right at the bottom:	24	that, you don't know what's behind that door. You can't
25	"That's our justification, right?"	25	have people, "Hmm, it's a nice day today. Hmm, I wonder
	Page 197		Page 199
1	A+ 1902	1	whatla habined the decult. Vanilya got to get the naugen
1	At 1893.	1	what's behind the door". You've got to get the person
2	"We shove him in there these stairs."	2	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any
2 3	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly?	2 3	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got
2 3 4	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes.	2 3 4	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can
2 3 4 5	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What	2 3 4 5	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to
2 3 4 5 6	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification?	2 3 4 5 6	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them
2 3 4 5 6 7	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of	2 3 4 5 6 7	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah. Q. Then on 55, at lines 1909 and 1910 in particular:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in — you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah. Q. Then on 55, at lines 1909 and 1910 in particular: "Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to do? A. Yes, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah. Q. Then on 55, at lines 1909 and 1910 in particular: "Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it." Do you accept you said that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in — you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to do? A. Yes, I agree. Q. For instance, from Daniel Small's point of view, as he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah. Q. Then on 55, at lines 1909 and 1910 in particular: "Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to do? A. Yes, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"We shove him in there these stairs." Presumably, you heard that, Mr Connolly? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean by "That's our justification"? What was your justification? A. If he started to become refractory on the top of the stairs, then we'd have to put him against the side of the wall and walk him down the stairs with his back to the wall, sideways, one officer on the bottom, pinning his arm, one officer on the top and one officer on the front. That would be Tulley on the front. There'd be three officers surrounding him walking him down the stairs. Q. Yes. 1895: "That's our justification. We fucking throw him in that corner. You fucking deal with me. No fucking corner, eh?" Do you accept saying those words? Right at the bottom of page 54. A. Well, it's there in black and white, so yeah. Q. Then on 55, at lines 1909 and 1910 in particular: "Throw him down the fucking stairs. Go for it." Do you accept you said that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in a mind-set, I'm afraid. And I'm not making any excuses, but you've got to get a person in — you've got to get officers in a mind-set so they're on it, they can control that person straightaway and then start to de-escalate: not throw them down the stairs, walk them down the stairs. Q. But these are the words, I suggest, the footage shows that you used, and do you think that these words are escalating rather than de-escalating? A. Escalating. Q. Escalating. They're riling people to become involved in a use of force from which it is very difficult to de-escalate, don't you think? A. No. Once the initial contact was made, then you start to de-escalate. Don't forget, the Nationals would have been there as well. So how could I have thrown someone down the stairs when there's actually Prison Service staff there, or would be there? Q. Well, this is the problem, Mr Connolly: it is not so much what you did do; it is what you say you're going to do? A. Yes, I agree. Q. For instance, from Daniel Small's point of view, as he

1	was not he said in a witness statement, and	1	after use of force, people writing up their reports.
2	effectively repeated in his evidence, he was not happy	2	I think, if I have remembered correctly, that you said
3	to carry out the actions which he understood you were	3	it could take 60 to 90 minutes for people to reflect on
4	telling him about or instructing him, and he said that	4	the use of force and to then make their notes. From
5	Brook House was not an environment in which you could	5	memory, did that happen in practice at Brook House? Did
6	directly challenge a more senior officer without being	6	people have that amount of time to actually
7	labelled a grass, and that's a reference, for the	7	A. Yes, they did, and myself and the other instructors
8	record, to his statement <bdp000003>, page 15,</bdp000003>	8	tried to ensure that happened, but some of the Oscar 1s
9	paragraph 45. He said:	9	used to put pressure on us, because initially you'd take
10	"Under the pressure of the situation, my reaction	10	four officers out of the system and they'd be sat there.
11	was to indicate to DCO Connolly that there was a camera	11	People would think they'd be doing nothing, but
12	above him in the hope that he would stop talking and	12	obviously they'd have to calm right down first, get the
13	abandon his plan to attack the detainee."	13	adrenaline out of their system before they could start
14	That's how he took it, if he was being accurate,	14	writing a statement of assault.
15	truthful and reliable. That's what he said.	15	THE CHAIR: Am I understanding correctly that so four
16	A. Well, the camera wouldn't have stopped me talking,	16	officers were taken off the unit because a planned use
17	because the camera is just visual, not audio.	17	of force was going to be carried out. There is nobody
18	Q. No.	18	to backfill those positions? It means that there are
19	A. So that wouldn't have stopped me talking.	19	gaps, so to speak
20	Q. No.	20	A. I think it is robbing Peter to pay Paul. I think they
21	A. As for being a senior officer, no. I was exactly the	21	used to take, if they had them, officers from Tinsley,
22	same as Dan Small. I may have had more years'	22	who were very reluctant to go to Brook House, but
23	experience but	23	I think that's what happened sometimes.
24	Q. He was worried or part of his worry was a camera	24	THE CHAIR: You started at Tinsley, you told us.
25	would show him being asked to attack a detainee in the	25	A. Yes.
	Page 201		Page 203
1	stairwell. That's what his worry was. You don't accept	1	THE CHAIR: And then moved to Brook House. Were they
_			
2.	that?	2	•
2	that?	2 3	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House?
3	A. No.	3	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort
	A. No.Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of		different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had
3 4 5	A. No.Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at	3 4 5	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no
3 4 5 6	A. No.Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up	3 4 5 6	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then
3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel	3 4 5 6 7	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement,	3 4 5 6 7 8	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Connolly. You have been with us 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers on a shift looking after 100, 130 detainees. At
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Connolly. You have been with us for a long afternoon, so I will keep it brief, but I do 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers on a shift looking after 100, 130 detainees. At Brook House, you might have three officers looking after
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Connolly. You have been with us for a long afternoon, so I will keep it brief, but I do have one or two questions for you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers on a shift looking after 100, 130 detainees. At Brook House, you might have three officers looking after a wing of 90, with ACDTs to manage and yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Connolly. You have been with us for a long afternoon, so I will keep it brief, but I do have one or two questions for you. A. Sure. THE CHAIR: You talked about the practice of debriefing 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers on a shift looking after 100, 130 detainees. At Brook House, you might have three officers looking after a wing of 90, with ACDTs to manage and yeah. THE CHAIR: Thank you. You also told us about the ITC, so the initial training course, that recruits would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No. Q. Finally this, as far as I'm concerned, in view of the time. In your first witness statement, at paragraph 42, you said you felt you'd been stitched up by the BBC programme. I don't know if you still feel it, Mr Connolly, but at the time you made the statement, how did you feel they'd stitched you up? A. It's probably I don't know. Being here now and answering the questions, listening to the questions posed to me, yeah, it was probably just hopefulness. I don't know. Q. Well, you also said you knew you'd been thrown under the bus by G4S. Do you still feel you personally have been thrown under a bus? A. No. MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask, chair. The chair may have some questions for you. Questions from THE CHAIR THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Connolly. You have been with us for a long afternoon, so I will keep it brief, but I do have one or two questions for you. A. Sure. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different environments, Tinsley and Brook House? A. Chalk and cheese, yes. Tinsley House was a nice sort of — a residential unit. It was an old hotel that had been turned into a detention centre. There was no purpose-built gates. The gates were put onto it. Then when you moved to Brook House, for all intents and purposes, it was a cat C/cat D prison. It had that feeling. When detainees came from prisons, they felt they weren't going to a detention centre, they were going to another prison. THE CHAIR: Other than the physical environment, were there any other differences between Brook House and Tinsley, in your experience? A. It might sound silly, but the atmosphere. It was a totally different atmosphere. THE CHAIR: In what respect? A. Tinsley was a laugh and a joke. You could actually sit down and talk to a detainee for two or three hours, if you wanted to, because the ratio was about 22 officers on a shift looking after 100, 130 detainees. At Brook House, you might have three officers looking after a wing of 90, with ACDTs to manage and yeah. THE CHAIR: Thank you. You also told us about the ITC, so

1	undertake, and you talked about the C&R aspect of it and	1	THE CHAIR: Was anybody aware of it, from your knowledge.
2	that if recruits didn't pass that element of it that	2	A. I don't think so, no. No. It was just my own
3	they couldn't then have a detainee-facing role.	3	personal
4	You might not be able to remember, and don't worry	4	THE CHAIR: For your information. Those are all the
5	if you can't, can you remember at what point of	5	questions that I have for you, Mr Connolly. I'm very
6	the training course, the ITC course, people would carry	6	grateful for you coming. You have been with us a long
7	out the C&R aspect of the training?	7	afternoon, as I say. Thank you. I understand it is not
8	A. It all depend we were like poor relations. It all	8	an easy experience. Thank you for your evidence.
9	depended what other outside agencies they could fit in.	9	A. Thank you.
10	If they had someone from Gatwick coming down to chat or	10	(The witness withdrew)
11	they had someone from, I don't know, another agency, it	11	MR ALTMAN: 10.00 am tomorrow, chair, please, start, and,
12	could have been the first week of ITC or it could have	12	all things being equal, Charlie Francis.
13	been the sixth week. It was that sort of	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you tomorrow at
14	THE CHAIR: So there wasn't a rigid formula that that was	14	10.00 am. Thank you.
15	the end of the course or the beginning or the middle?	15	(4.39 pm)
16	A. No.	16	(The hearing was adjourned to
17	THE CHAIR: Are you aware of any other elements of the ITC	17	Thursday, 3 March 2022 at 10.00 am)
18	that if a recruit didn't pass that they couldn't then	18	
19	progress onto a role that was detainee facing, or was it	19	
20	just the C&R.	20	INDEX
21	A. Nothing. It was just pass or fail on C&R.	21	
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. One final question: you	22	MR DEREK MURPHY (sworn)1
23	talked about that you started a database on who was	23	
24	actually carrying out the use of force. Can you tell me	24	Examination by MR ALTMAN1
25	a bit about that? Why did you start that database?	25	
	Page 205		Page 207
1	What was the reason for it?	1	Questions from THE CHAIR118
2	A. When I was reading the reports, obviously on the front	2	
3	cover it'd have the officers involved. So it's a matter	3	MR JOHN JOSEPH CONNOLLY (sworn)122
4	of after about six months I started, like, I got	4	
5	a spreadsheet up and I was putting the officers who were	5	Examination by MR ALTMAN122
6	involved on that day on the spreadsheet, and again the	6	
7	next day, or it might have been three or four or a day,	7	Questions from THE CHAIR202
8	I don't know, but I was putting them on, and then I was	8	
9	highlighting in red those that hadn't. And some	9	
10	officers had been there four or five years and had	10	
11	never, ever, ever been involved in a control and	11	
12	restraint.	12	
13	THE CHAIR: Did you have concerns about that?	13	
14	A. I did, because I mentioned it to the DCMs. I said,	14	
15	we're all trained the same, be they female or male.	15	
16	Sometimes it was better to have an all-female team going	16	
17	in because some of the detainees, some of	17	
18	the nationalities, respect women more than others. So	18	
19	if you could sort of play that hand by getting females	19	
20	in, that would de-escalate it. But the DCOs or the	20	
21	DCMs, they didn't want it to look bad on them that a C&R	21	
22	had gone wrong, so they'd pick the strongest guys.	22	
23	THE CHAIR: Did you share that database with anybody within	23 24	
24	management?	25	
25	A. No. It was just my own personal	23	
		1	
	Page 206		Page 208

Page 209

				1 age 207
A	accurate 21:20	adrenaline 115:22	47:21	68:13,22 84:21
A-L-D-I-S 135:17	26:1 48:5 99:17	115:25 120:24	air 10:2 47:20	84:24 85:8,12
abandon 201:13	99:18 197:22	147:24 148:1	aircraft 126:1	97:4 100:12,15
abdomen 150:11	201:14	203:13	aired 80:1	100:23 101:4
	accurately 25:4,10	adults 149:1	airport 52:16	118:14 122:15,22
ability 12:17 194:10	accuse 118:5	185:23	airway 150:11	122:23 123:8
able 2:6 18:17	accused 101:21	advanced 136:1	alcohol 65:4 112:2	174:15,22 183:14
20:15 89:5 93:6	accusing 118:8	advantage 73:10	Aldis 3:10 135:16	183:22,25 184:4
120:4 145:25	ACDC 163:24	74:10	alert 111:2	184:10,14,19,22
152:4 156:14	164:1,3	advice 93:7 150:9	all-female 206:16	196:22 197:7,20
196:25 205:4	ACDT 87:19 88:3	advised 112:19	allegation 62:8,15	202:18 207:11,24
absence 2:14	ACDTs 204:23	advising 11:9	62:20 64:23	208:5
absolute 168:25	achieve 156:11	advisor 2:9	82:15 83:19	altogether 87:14
absolutely 12:19	acknowledged	affairs 190:16	94:23 101:7,12	ambulance 75:9
13:2 16:1 17:12	83:17	affect 17:6	106:8 107:8,10	amount 203:6
27:5 29:20 38:9	act 2:13 3:5 83:15	afford 7:21	107:12 109:20	amounted 95:19
46:20 57:23,25	acted 3:2	Afghan 24:5	110:12 111:14	161:13
62:2 65:13 79:22	acting 63:23	afraid 34:12,14,18	193:13	analogue 86:4
82:8,18 96:10,20	108:11 109:24	176:10 200:2	allegations 61:17	Andy 135:14
97:17 117:21,23	110:7 111:8	afternoon 165:16	92:8 98:3,6	Angela 21:3
119:7 129:8,9,17	116:18 180:20	171:16 172:5	107:7 109:1	anger 168:17
166:9,9,11	action 49:6,9	202:22 207:7	110:2,6,8,9	angry 115:2
abuse 6:15 51:23	62:19 98:8	agencies 205:9	111:13 116:24	annex 1:12,14 81:2
172:21	actions 44:15 63:7	agency 205:11	alleged 62:12,16	annotate 152:24
abusive 5:17 74:2	201:3	aggression 6:8	98:8 107:16	annoy 109:6
114:7	activated 164:17	12:5	112:9 187:8,21	annoying 57:1
accent 83:5,7 84:6	active 73:13	aggressive 4:4,8,9	allegedly 102:21	answer 17:8 24:20
85:2	activities 172:11	6:6 14:7 72:15	102:21 104:9,11	82:11 85:17
accept 25:3 58:14	actor 82:25 83:5	ago 6:5 23:18	106:15 107:2	108:18 113:24
64:24 78:19	actor's 86:24	28:13 45:22 63:5	187:22	117:4,6,7 194:6
83:22 91:1 92:5	actors 83:4	63:18 65:2 70:21	alleges 109:25	answered 23:4
149:20 174:13	actual 111:21	124:7	alleging 62:12	answering 85:13
176:21 184:22	add 129:22 187:25	agree 29:2,10	allocated 119:1,9	202:11
195:17,18,19	adds 146:12	51:10 54:9 60:2	119:18 121:13	answers 69:12
198:19,24 199:1	adduced 1:16	76:19 79:9,13	153:22	anxiety 112:3
199:3,21 202:1	123:6	84:4 86:23 87:7	allow 14:13 57:18	anxious 96:4
acceptable 64:11	adequate 154:14	95:14,18,21	67:12 112:7,8	120:24
accepted 64:1,5	adjourned 207:16	109:13 150:22	allowed 15:24 17:2	anxious''' 147:19
83:17 113:4	adjournment	171:24 179:20	17:4 48:2,10	anybody 3:4 11:20
181:11	122:19	182:25 183:1	66:4 85:14 93:18	15:19 22:17,19
accepting 189:20	adjudicate 162:8,9	197:4 200:23	96:12 104:13	22:21,22,25 23:9
accepts 90:25	admission 69:7,9	agreed 54:15 80:5	114:20 126:21	23:15 37:9 42:18
access 70:24 75:23	69:12	80:7,8 82:2	alpha 148:2	47:22 48:10
accompany 64:17	admit 171:21 admits 83:9	90:20	alright 35:1 altercation 169:24	60:17 86:15 95:7
account 23:20		agreement 2:13	alternatives 14:11	95:13 97:16,18 103:25 104:19
25:7 38:15	admitted 64:3,10 71:10	ahead 58:22,22		
109:19 110:9		aid 168:7 aids 187:12	Altman 1:3,5,7,8 1:18 18:6,10	105:21 106:3 107:16,19 118:9
179:22	admitting 46:5,14 83:25	ain't 27:21,25 35:8	20:25 30:11	143:12 152:17,18
accreditation 3:19	05.45	am t 21.21,23 33.0	20.23 30.11	175.12 152.17,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1

153:7,16 157:15					Page 210
167-6 206:23	150 5 16 155 15	10 10 164 15	107 14 104 10	77.10	00.4.101.2
207:1 anyway 38:17 39:9 76:12 116:3 138:5 155:14 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 48:15 87:17,24 137:3,3,10,21 37:3,10,21 37:3,3,10,21 37:3,10,21 37:3,14 37:1,18					
The color of the					
76:12 116:3 138:5 155:14 148:15 138:5 155:14 144:25 164:12 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:8 181:10 175:16 181:10 175:16 175:11 175:12 175:11 175:12 175:11 175:12 175:11 175:12 175:11 175:12 17					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
138:5 155:14				1 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
175:8					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
apart 12:5 85:1 144:25 164:12 1425 164:12 132:11 92:11 94:13 150:16 apologise 77:19,24 78:17 79:11 117:16 175:127:18 176:22 177:2 176:22 1				<u> </u>	
144:25 164:12 92:11 94:13 103:20,21,24 107:15 127:18 145:81 183:2,25 184:2 17:16 17:22 17:		· /			
181:10	-				
apologise 77:19,24 78:17 79:11 area 71:11 117:16 117:18 121:13 107:15 127:18 143:21 158:8 145:8 148:2 117:16 121:16 183:2,25 184:6 184:20 191:19 86:14 87:4 106:1 122:10 155:4 161:1 155:4 161:1 155:4 161:1 136:11 175:25 197:18 171:16 184:20 129:19 183:2,25 184:6 184:20 191:19 183:2,25 184:6 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 184:20 191:19 196:19,22 197:5 197:11 83:2 197:18 171:16 185:0,25 181:1 197:12 198:9 197:21 198:9 197:21 198:9 197:21 198:9 5ack-up 4:9,25 5:1 197:21 198:9 5acked 44:1,3 5acked 44:1,3 5acked 84:1,3 5acked					
78:17 79:11 117:18 121:13 143:21 158:8 171:16 184:20 191:19 86:14 87:4 106:1 155:4 161:1 155:4 161:1 186:20,25 195:13 102:5 11:1 196:19,22 197:5 197:21 198:9 back-up 4:9,25 5:15 119:21 198:9 back-up 4:9,25 5:15 382:11 197:21 198:9 backed 9:1.3 backed 9:2.5 5:13 aspent 110:20 aspent 110:20 aspent 110:20 482:20 193:19 aspent 110:20 482:20 193:19 484:20 193:19 484:20 193:19 484:11 484:20 193:19 484:11 484:20 193:19 484:11 484:20 193:19 484:11 484:20 193:19 484:11 484:20 193:19 484:20 193:19 484:11			, , ,		
86:14 87:4 106:1 155:4 161:1 186:20,25 195:13 attitudes 6:3,4 196:19,22 197:5 apologised 78:13 apologised 78:13 arcas 170:4 aspect 172:4 205:1 181:20 back-up 4:9,25 5:1 apparently 57:7 argument 53:4 argument 59:4 aspects 131:9 aspects 13:19 aspects 131:9 aspects 131:9 assult 46:15 aspects 131:9 assult 46:15 aspect 172:4 20:11 assult 40:15 aspect 172:4					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
122:10					
apologised 78:13 apology 107:3 apparently 57:7 123:3 148:5 appeal 3:21,22,3 appeal 3:21,22,23 4:1,2,2 appeal 3:21 appeal 6:19 appear 35:21 70:11 83:7 appeared 70:6,9 appears 69:18 73:5,8 88:22 appears 69:18 73:5,8 88:22 appeal 2:21,4 110:10 188:8 199:10 apple 125:8,8,22 128:3,23 133:5 121:3 190:22 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 6:10 apple 12:15 approprach 141:1,20 approached 108:21 173:24 assect 172:4 205:1 205:7 asseult 46:15 assault 46:15 assault 40:15 assaulted 106:9 108:3 110:12,23 arrived 92:5 assessment 125:17 apple 8:10 apple 6:10 apple 12:15 apple 12:15 approprach 141:1,7 108:7 122:9 approached 107:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 approprached 107:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 approprached 1107:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 109:9 113:11,23 21:25 22:10 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 201:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
apology 107:3 apparently 57:7 123:3 148:5 153:21,23 appeal 3:21,22,23 4:1,2,2 argument 53:4 arm 24:10 53:25 57:7 114:16,23 114:24 185:13,13 203:14 aspects 131:9 assault 46:15 95:16,19 110:20 203:14 attricted 1:25 attributed 188:6,8 192:25 193:1 attrition 157:18 audio 201:17 back@1203:18 back@a 84:1,3 back@1203:18 August 3:12 27:11 appear 35:21 70:11 83:7 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 52:14 appeared 52:14 applied 125:8,8,22 128:3,23 133:5 144:17 applied 125:8,8,22 128:3,23 133:5 144:17 applied 125:8,8,22 128:6 30:14,15 applied 125:8,8,22 128:6 30:14,15 108:7 122:9 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 apprechanced 104:16 107:20 142:5 107:20 142:5 107:20 142:5 107:20 142:5 159:11 81:22 appropriate 21:23 21:25 22:10 44:20 142:15 177:1 argument 53:4 arguments 94:1 arguments 94:1 assault atio:15 assaulted 106:9 109:2 173:3,14 assaulting 108:19 109:2 173:3,14 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assisted 25:7 assisted 25:12 appropriate 21:23 21:25 22:10 44:20 142:15 159:11 81:22 appropriate 21:23 21:25 22:10 44:20 142:15 177:1 argument 53:4 arguments 94:1 assaulted 106:9 assaulted 106:9 assaulted 106:9 assaulting 108:19 109:2 173:3,14 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assisted 25:12 assumes 127:24 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 attach 110:4 attack 72:6 83:10 204:15,16 attack 72:6 83:10 204:15,16 attack 72:6 83:10 204:15,16 attack 72:6 83:10 204:15,16 attack 72:6 83:10 204:15,16 attrition 157:18 authorise 129:11 authorise 129:11 authorise 173:7 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:7 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:1 authorise 173:1 authorise					
apparently 57:7	1 0		_		<u> </u>
123:3 148:5	1 00	S			
153:21,23			_	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
appeal 3:21,22,23 57:7 114:16,23 95:16,19 110:20 audio 201:17 48:25 70:23 86:1 86:25 70:23 86:1 4:1,2,2 4:1,2,2 14:24 185:13,13 185:14 198:11 assaulted 106:9 authorise 173:7 August 3:12 27:11 87:18 88:1 87:18 88:1 87:18 88:1 129:18 87:18 88:1 129:18 bad 5:10 19:15 23:10,11,12 23:10,1					
4:1,2,2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
appealed 3:19 appear 35:21 185:14 198:11 armlocks 114:24 arms 35:8 195:7 assaulted 106:9 108:3 110:12,23 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 70:6,9 appeared 50:18 109:10 authorised 24:11 sd 59:25 86:9 111:1,6 assaulting 108:19 109:10 108:3 110:12,23 assaulting 108:19 109:10 assaulting 108:19 109:2 173:3,14 10:62 2161:8 161:10 171:18 160:22 161:8 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 badly 13:17 90:23 assist 16:2 assist		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
appear 35:21 armlocks 114:24 arms 35:8 195:7 108:3 110:12,23 authorised 24:11 bad 5:10 19:15 23:10,11,12 23:11 23:11 23:13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	
70:11 83:7 appeared 70:6,9 appears 69:18 109:10 arranged 52:14 109:10 109:12 173:3,14 assessing 110:6 assaulting 108:19 169:22 173:3,14 assessing 110:6 160:22 161:8 175:17 206:21 175:17 206:21 assessment 125:17 assessment 125:17 assessment 125:17 assessment 125:17 applied 125:8,8,22 arse 41:7,21 45:8 assist 16:2 arsehole 27:14 ashamed 22:13 apply 128:15 133:10 144:11,20 ashed 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 apprechansion 141:7,7 145:13 approached 141:20,21 approached 170:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 appropriate 21:23 107:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 attach 110:4 attach 110:4 attach 120:4 4:20 142:15 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 appropriately 111:1,6 assaulting 108:19 available 34:11 160:22 161:8 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 59:25 86:9 available 34:11 160:22 161:8 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 assisted 25:7 assume 29:27 bassisted 25:7 assume 29:24,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:24 98:18 band 19:18					
appeared 70:6,9 appears 69:18 arranged 52:14 109:10 assaulting 108:19 109:2 173:3,14 assessing 110:6 assessing 110:6 assessment 125:17 arrived 92:5 available 34:11 160:22 161:8 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 bad			15		
appears 69:18 109:10 109:2 173:3,14 160:22 161:8 161:10 171:18 73:5,8 88:22 arrived 92:5 112:22 assessing 110:6 171:15 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 badly 13:17 90:23 bags 28:5 balance 110:3 111:11 balance 110:3 balance 110:3 111:11 balance 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	available 34:11	
73:5,8 88:22 89:12,14 110:10 188:8 199:10 applied 125:8,8,22 128:3,23 133:5 144:17 applies 6:10 apply 128:15 133:10 144:11,20 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 approach 141:7,7 145:13 approach 141:7,7 145:13 approached 107:20 142:5 159:1 181:22 appropriate 21:23 159:1 181:22 appropriately appropriately appropriately appropriately appropriately arriving 91:16 assessing 110:6 assessment 125:17 125:19 126:4,14 assist 16:2 assistance 25:12 110:17 152:8 assistance 25:12 110:17 152:8 asware 10:25 74:6 181:19 205:17 207:1 125:19 126:4,14 asware 10:25 74:6 181:19 205:17 207:1 181:19 association 18:17 association 18:17 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 00:18 51:23 16:24 117:7 assumption 71:5 00:18 51:23 16:24 117:7 attach 110:4 44:20 142:15 175:17 206:21 badged 114:19 badly 13:17 90:23 bag 28:5 bags 28:5 balance 110:3 111:11 balance 110:3 11:11 balance 110:3 111:11 balance 110:3 11:11 balance 10:3 11:11:10 balance 10:3 11:11:10 balance 10:3 11:11:1			_		161:10 171:18
188:8 199:10 arriving 91:16 arse 41:7,21 45:8 125:19 126:4,14 aw 176:3 badly 13:17 90:23 128:3,23 133:5 121:3 190:22 assist 16:2 award 55:13 aware 10:25 74:6 bags 28:5 144:17 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 assisted 25:7 aware 10:25 74:6 bags 28:5 apply 128:15 28:16 30:14,15 asked 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 assisted 25:7 asociation 18:17 awareness 20:20 awful 78:9 balance 110:3 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 16:11 20:14 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 81:4 92:4 98:18 bang 108:23 bang		arrived 92:5	assessing 110:6	171:15	175:17 206:21
applied 125:8,8,22 arse 41:7,21 45:8 assist 16:2 award 55:13 bag 28:5 128:3,23 133:5 121:3 190:22 arsehole 27:14 assistance 25:12 110:17 152:8 aware 10:25 74:6 bags 28:5 applies 6:10 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 207:1 association 18:17 association 18:17 association 18:17 association 18:17 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 81:4 26:14 81:2 band 19:18 band 19:18<	89:12,14 110:10	112:22	assessment 125:17	avoid 14:12 117:8	badged 114:19
128:3,23 133:5 121:3 190:22 assistance 25:12 aware 10:25 74:6 bags 28:5 144:17 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 207:1 111:11 applies 6:10 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 207:1 111:11 apply 128:15 28:16 30:14,15 association 18:17 aware ness 20:20 aware 10:25 74:6 133:10 144:11,20 asked 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 association 18:17 aware ness 20:20 aware 10:25 74:6 108:7 122:9 16:11 20:14 38:2 90:8 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 assume 127:24 assume 127:24 assume 127:24 assume 127:24 assumption 71:5 81:4 92:4 98:18 bang 108:23 bang 17:14 95:23 bangs 177:14 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assumption 72:5 29:15 47:2 50:17 50:18 51:23 63:11 94:13 95:23 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 bance 110:3 111:11 assum 19:18 bang 108:23 bang 108:23 bang 17:14 63:11 94:13 95:23 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 50:18 51:23 56:21 57:6,12 56:21 57:6,12	188:8 199:10	arriving 91:16	125:19 126:4,14	aw 176:3	badly 13:17 90:23
144:17 arsehole 27:14 ashamed 22:13 110:17 152:8 181:19 205:17 balance 110:3 applies 6:10 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 207:1 balance 110:3 apply 128:15 13:10 144:11,20 asked 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 association 18:17 awareness 20:20 awful 78:9 bald 8:19 92:18 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 16:11 20:14 71:4 79:13 assume 29:2,4,4 B b 1:14 26:14 81:2 band 19:18 band 19:18 bang 108:23 bangs 177:14 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 assurance 152:16 assurance 152:16 assurance 152:16 atmosphere 29:15 47:2 50:17 band 19:18 bang 108:23 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 back 3:15 13:19 18:16 26:22 29:15 47:2 50:17 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 banter 5:20,22 19:16 171:20,22 176:12 Barcelona 52:14 based 3:14 39:25 176:12 Barcelona 52:14 bas	applied 125:8,8,22	arse 41:7,21 45:8	assist 16:2	award 55:13	bag 28:5
applies 6:10 ashamed 22:13 assisted 25:7 207:1 111:11 apply 128:15 33:10 144:11,20 asked 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 asked 2:5 6:2 7:4,9 88:2 90:8 awful 78:9 bald 8:19 92:18 appreciate 104:16 108:7 122:9 13:5 15:4,17 assume 29:2,4,4 71:4 79:13 B band 19:18 band 19:18 apprehension 141:20,21 46:17 65:23 68:3 70:13 72:14 74:4 assumes 127:24 assumption 71:5 81:4 92:4 98:18 back 3:15 13:19 back 3:15 13:19 back 3:15 13:19 back 3:15 13:19 bang 108:23 bang 108:24 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 20:18 51:23 50:18 51:23 50:18 51:23 <t< td=""><td>128:3,23 133:5</td><td>121:3 190:22</td><td>assistance 25:12</td><td>aware 10:25 74:6</td><td>bags 28:5</td></t<>	128:3,23 133:5	121:3 190:22	assistance 25:12	aware 10:25 74:6	bags 28:5
apply 128:15 28:16 30:14,15 association 18:17 awareness 20:20 balanced 110:23 appreciate 104:16 13:5 15:4,17 88:2 90:8 assume 29:2,4,4 5 awful 78:9 bald 8:19 92:18 apprehension 141:20,21 64:17 65:23 68:3 assumes 127:24 assuming 48:4 assumption 71:5 81:4 92:4 98:18 bang 108:23 banging 57:3 63:11 94:13 63:11 94:13 95:23 bangs 177:14 95:23 bangs 177:14 bangs 177:14 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 bangs 177:14		arsehole 27:14		181:19 205:17	balance 110:3
133:10 144:11,20					
appreciate 104:16 13:5 15:4,17 assume 29:2,4,4 B band 19:18 apprehension 21:17 63:7,24 assumes 127:24 b 1:14 26:14 81:2 bang 108:23 approach 141:7,7 64:17 65:23 68:3 assuming 48:4 81:4 92:4 98:18 bang 108:23 approach 141:7,7 70:13 72:14 74:4 assumption 71:5 assumption 71:5 81:4 92:4 98:18 63:11 94:13 approached 92:14 93:8,15 assumptions 29:7 assumptions 29:7 29:15 47:2 50:17 bangs 177:14 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 204:15,16 56:21 57:6,12 banter 5:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 19:16 171:20,22 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 25:21 84:17,21	11 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
108:7 122:9 16:11 20:14 71:4 79:13 B bang 108:23 apprehension 21:17 63:7,24 assumes 127:24 b 1:14 26:14 81:2 b ang 108:23 141:20,21 44:20 142:5 70:13 72:14 74:4 assuming 48:4 back 3:15 13:19 63:11 94:13 approach 141:7,7 76:10 81:19 assumption 71:5 assumptions 29:7 18:16 26:22 bangs 177:14 approached 92:14 93:8,15 assurance 152:16 atmosphere 29:15 47:2 50:17 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 50:18 51:23 bangs 177:14 Bannister 137:17 50:18 51:23 bang 108:23	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		awful 78:9	
apprehension 21:17 63:7,24 assumes 127:24 b 1:14 26:14 81:2 b anging 57:3 approach 141:7,7 70:13 72:14 74:4 assuming 48:4 assumption 71:5 81:4 92:4 98:18 63:11 94:13 approached 92:14 93:8,15 assumptions 29:7 assumptions 29:7 29:15 47:2 50:17 bangs 177:14 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 204:15,16 56:21 57:6,12 56:21 57:6,12 19:16 171:20,22 appropriate 21:23 16:24 117:7 attach 110:4 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 Barcelona 52:14 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 181:19 185:20 attack 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7		-		D	
141:20,21 64:17 65:23 68:3 assuming 48:4 81:4 92:4 98:18 63:11 94:13 approach 141:7,7 70:13 72:14 74:4 assumption 71:5 back 3:15 13:19 95:23 approached 92:14 93:8,15 assurance 152:16 29:15 47:2 50:17 bangs 177:14 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 50:18 51:23 banter 5:20,22 appropriate 21:23 109:9 113:11,23 204:15,16 56:21 57:6,12 19:16 171:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 based 3:14 39:25 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7					S
approach 141:7,7 70:13 72:14 74:4 assumption 71:5 back 3:15 13:19 95:23 approached 92:14 93:8,15 assumptions 29:7 18:16 26:22 bangs 177:14 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 204:15,16 50:18 51:23 banter 5:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 21:25 22:10 139:15 142:20 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 68:17 69:25 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0 0
approached 76:10 81:19 assumptions 29:7 18:16 26:22 bangs 177:14 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 50:18 51:23 banter 5:20,22 159:1 181:22 109:9 113:11,23 204:15,16 56:21 57:6,12 19:16 171:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 21:25 22:10 139:15 142:20 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 Barcelona 52:14 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7			C		
approached 92:14 93:8,15 assurance 152:16 29:15 47:2 50:17 Bannister 137:17 107:20 142:5 98:15 99:13 109:9 113:11,23 204:15,16 50:18 51:23 banter 5:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 21:25 22:10 139:15 142:20 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 Barcelona 52:14 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 based 3:14 39:25 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7			_		
approached 98:15 99:13 atmosphere 50:18 51:23 banter 5:20,22 159:1 181:22 109:9 113:11,23 204:15,16 56:21 57:6,12 19:16 171:20,22 appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 21:25 22:10 139:15 142:20 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 Barcelona 52:14 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7			_		
159:1 181:22		,			
appropriate 21:23 116:24 117:7 attach 110:4 58:9 63:3 65:21 176:12 21:25 22:10 139:15 142:20 attack 72:6 83:10 66:4,9 67:4,22 Barcelona 52:14 44:20 142:15 152:3 167:1 201:13,25 68:17 69:25 71:11,12 74:3 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7			_		· ·
21:25 22:10			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
44:20 142:15 177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 attacks 76:14 68:17 69:25 Pasic 168:7 179:3,22 Pasic 168:7					
177:1 168:1,2,8 181:18 attacked 24:5 25:6 71:11,12 74:3 179:3,22 appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7					
appropriately 181:19 185:20 attacks 76:14 75:21 84:17,21 Basic 168:7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
appropriately 101119 105120 according 70111		′ ′		· ·	-
	appropriately	101.17 103.20	ucucing / U.1T	· ·	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 211
hasiaall 47 0 07 (h on of -:-1 100 C	blades 07:10 00 05	haway 100:7	71.10.75.14
basically 47:2 97:6	beneficial 182:6	blades 97:10 98:25	boxer 109:7	71:10 75:14
97:9 132:4	benefit 27:8 39:17	bleed 62:18	boxing 35:15,16	78:25 91:20
basis 16:20 167:22	benefits 114:2	blind 5:2 169:7,9	39:2,3	108:4 109:12
battering 177:15	bent 26:16 98:21	169:25 170:2,10	boy 19:3,4,7,12,17	110:11 111:8
BBC 23:23 24:23	bespoke 139:1	block 92:15 93:3	20:6	121:24 123:20
61:16 75:2 80:3	best 5:6 12:16	119:19	boys 18:24 19:23	124:16 129:10
81:22 84:8 85:24	25:10,19 66:21	blood 19:10	19:25 20:4	134:1,6 135:22
97:5 98:17 103:6	108:20 165:23	bloody 51:24	brackets 127:11	135:24 142:25
110:5 111:7	192:23	blow 112:21	bragged 103:7	143:9,11 144:6
188:5,11,13,21	better 138:7 141:9	blowing 31:13	bragging 97:6	147:6,23 151:1
189:20 202:7	141:9 206:16	32:6,19,20 41:6	brain 10:10	152:15 154:7
BDP000003 201:8	beyond 48:23	41:21 45:8	branch 130:24	159:7,15 167:20
BDP0000033 25:2	91:11 138:14	blur 115:24	brand 107:11	169:10 170:3,23
bear 15:8 197:22	biased 158:9	BNP 8:20	brawn 10:9	172:22 179:2,5
bear's 58:24 59:17	bid 124:5	boarded 154:9	break 68:14,15,16	186:4 190:8
59:18,24	big 8:16,18,21,21	boasted 146:15	68:20 120:4,22	193:17 201:5
beard 92:18	29:16 31:6,10,22	boasting 148:4	171:13 172:6	203:5,22 204:1,2
bearing 192:21	32:13 33:9 35:17	boasts 148:5	174:16,20	204:7,13,22
becoming 63:14	42:18 61:19	body 58:2 100:12	breaking 49:17,20	brought 9:8 79:25
181:25 182:9	64:20 82:3	100:24	50:5 148:6	120:12 139:3
bed 27:21 28:1	116:16	body-worn 100:4	167:15	142:19
56:4 59:4 60:9	bigger 16:25 71:16	101:16 159:19	breaks 16:17,19	Brown 155:24,25
60:14,17	bin 157:19	160:23 164:14,20	breasts 182:17	Bruce 36:21
beer 186:24	binned 39:13	boil 129:22	breathing 150:7	brutal 177:16,21
beg 83:1 95:17	138:6,10 148:23	boiler 146:24	brief 13:19 202:22	budging 154:24
began 40:2	Bipolar 33:10	bombs 133:23,24	briefing 120:14	building 114:4
beginning 7:2	biscuits 73:21	bones 49:17,20	Briefly 172:11	121:12 170:7
114:8 115:7	bit 8:22 10:2 26:14	50:5 148:7	bright 24:13	177:9
123:17 205:15	26:15 27:15	booking 69:22	bring 145:12	built 5:21 56:5,5
begins 77:3 100:24	33:16 35:9 38:12	books 127:3	bringing 51:23	bullets 67:8 68:5
behalf 65:23 99:14	48:19 49:4 50:3	borderline 155:21	62:1 77:15 78:10	bullshit 38:6,8
behaviour 14:5	59:3,22,25 60:9	158:18	82:17 83:21,22	98:1
59:14 112:20	60:11,21 82:7,10	bored 153:9	164:14 167:20,21	bullying 113:6
113:2 114:12	85:1,21 98:20,21	Boredom 180:9	Britain 66:12,14	bums 156:12
149:17 181:20	99:4 114:6,8,8	born 66:14 145:17	British 66:2 67:17	bundle 28:4 145:4
believe 37:13 73:9	116:15 117:20	boss 59:23 78:6	bro 54:6 192:19	bundles 18:8
73:10 92:22,24	119:15 125:22	160:5 173:21	broad 39:1	bunny 58:4
94:18,20 114:3	131:24 141:23	193:14,21 197:2	broadcast 67:19	burgers 170:13
189:12 193:14	153:12 166:6	bother 159:11	broke 15:21	burnt 129:25
194:6,9	176:8 183:16	bothered 49:25	108:23 169:6	bus 202:15,16
believed 76:2	205:25	50:6 148:7	broken 26:23	button 25:12
90:22 92:2	biting 27:2	bottom 18:12 20:7	bronze 131:12,14	115:9
179:25 182:5	bits 43:17,19,19	21:7,9,22 23:25	131:25	buzz 176:7
bell 31:9	197:18	48:13 58:7,20	Brook 1:20,25 4:3	BWC 100:12
bells 53:9 93:21	bitten 62:14	145:6 181:18	6:5 7:24 8:23	Bye 171:2
96:9	black 33:14	191:20 197:24	12:11 13:22 14:7	
belongings 169:13	175:18 198:21	198:10,20	14:12 16:15 17:6	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$
Ben 147:1 160:4	blade 61:23 97:7	box 74:20 143:6	17:19 18:1 37:20	c 30:25 31:1 70:2
161:4,7,17	101:10 103:8	152:25	40:23 44:22 68:7	70:16 71:12
101.7,/,1/	101.10 103.0	134.43	70.23 77.22 00.7	73:10 92:10
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	,5.10 ,2.10

				1 age 212
114:3	58:3 96:25 97:4	catalogue 110:1	68:14,17,18 69:1	163:18 185:2
C&R 6:11,21 7:5	97:23,24 98:7,11	catching 106:24	69:2,15 71:24	205:10
9:18 11:4 13:25	98:17 101:12,24	caught 167:21	77:2 79:19 80:11	chatted 163:10
14:6,11 44:21	101:25 102:20,20	Cause 40:4	82:14 85:8 87:16	chatting 166:5
56:10 118:22	103:4,6,15 104:4	causing 61:22	87:21 90:3 97:2	check 121:4 154:2
119:11,21 135:25	104:5,24 105:1	62:18 71:9	97:3 98:14 100:7	cheese 204:3
138:25 140:7	139:15 142:20,24	101:10	101:2 106:7	chess 33:18,20
141:1,18 142:6,8	172:7,8 185:2	CCTV 24:15 83:6	112:7,15 113:9	chest 35:6 94:22
143:6 144:3	193:2 197:13	160:22 194:4,16	118:14,16,18,19	106:20
145:16,24 146:3	Callum's 48:18	cell 47:2,17,22	118:24 119:3,6,8	chewed 178:1
146:10,25 147:5	calm 194:2 203:12	48:1,5,9 57:3	119:15 120:3,7,9	child 81:11
147:10,13,15,25	calmer 141:7	92:12 94:15 97:8	121:4,10,16,21	children 185:23,24
148:10,14 161:22	camera 101:17	129:20 154:10	121:23 122:1,6,9	chin 61:22 101:10
162:16,19 164:11	160:23 164:20,22	cell-type 155:2	122:11,15,17	chip 67:16 186:23
165:18 186:3	193:8,15 194:1	cent 2:20 14:14	123:4,7 145:4	choice 7:17 83:11
205:1,7,20,21	196:24 197:1,11	32:3	149:22 171:13	choke 24:8,10,17
206:21	201:11,16,17,24	central 147:6	172:5 174:15,16	25:11,18 26:2
C&Rs 37:5	cameras 24:15	178:5,6	174:18,23 181:13	choked 45:12
C/cat 204:8	159:19 164:14	centre 14:16,20	184:4,9,10,13	choking 41:8,11
C019 74:8	195:11,21 196:4	17:4 38:16 88:17	188:20 202:18,18	41:11,23 42:2,3
C109 69:23	199:12	109:11 110:18	202:20,21,25	45:10,14 46:15
cage 150:11	camps 66:10,19	132:7 141:6	203:15,24 204:1	chopped 61:21
call 19:4 20:6	capacity 194:10	147:3 164:12,14	204:12,17,24	101:8 105:12
70:10 110:24	captured 53:14	165:12 168:25	205:14,17,22	Christmas 176:6
111:5 118:13	car 38:12 39:4,10	169:7 204:5,10	206:13,23 207:1	176:16
125:25 136:1	card 169:16,22	centres 124:4	207:4,11,13	chuck 47:22
139:8 144:12	cards 169:13	132:14 146:4	208:1,7	chucked 47:16
163:3 165:8,12	179:18	148:19 153:22	Chalk 204:3	48:5
165:12,22 178:1	care 24:5 58:24	certain 12:1 19:18	challenge 2:1	cigarette 57:8
called 19:21 21:3	59:17,18,24	28:14 118:21	201:6	cigarettes 57:19
44:22 75:6,7,9	79:10 83:13	138:14 147:4,11	challenged 22:17	cipher 52:24 74:17
112:22 115:4,11	87:20 88:3 142:7	147:24 149:25	23:15,16,17 64:9	ciphered 30:24
120:10 121:20	167:8 185:25	166:5 168:24	83:12 114:11	53:13
138:2 139:4	career 127:22	173:1 174:24	change 2:1 17:15	circulate 167:19
143:11 160:25	carefully 122:13	certainly 12:1	17:16 82:4 84:5	circumstances
165:20 166:14	cares 64:21	34:12 35:16 44:1	121:13 124:15	108:1 129:16
190:15 193:17,20	carried 144:14	64:24 111:18	changed 121:14,14	146:1 160:17
calling 19:17 29:5	203:17	139:15 141:14	121:17 188:15	circumstantially
94:14 165:2	carry 7:16 49:25	143:3 151:21	changes 81:15	173:25
181:6	65:17 100:19,21	157:3 187:6	82:5 86:1	CJS001120 69:2
callous 87:5	114:20 144:9	190:5 191:17	changing 14:17	CJS001506 106:7
calls 92:25	146:9 148:8	196:12	154:9	CJS001655 87:21
Callum 11:10	173:21 197:17	certificate 3:20	chanting 94:3	CJS004642 72:1
17:25 18:13,21	201:3 205:6	cetera 151:7	charge 132:4	CJS004978 69:16
20:25 24:23 27:8	carrying 205:24	chair 1:3,15,17 2:7	134:12	CJS005347 73:1
29:21 30:6,20	case 64:5 104:19	18:1,3,4,6,9	charged 88:11	CJS005928 61:3
37:3,22,25 38:5	155:21 168:2	20:23,24 23:22	Charlie 207:12	82:15 101:5
39:17,21,24	cases 160:19,20	27:11 30:10,21	charter 120:12	CJS0073861 2:7
43:24 48:15 49:1	cat 204:8	50:17 53:11 61:4	chat 26:10 56:23	claimed 84:5
	•	•	•	•

				. 1 age 213
Claims 69:7	7:11 176:23	82:22,25 83:4,8	13:21,22 206:13	consistently 6:8
claret 39:11	college 125:25,25	83:12,19 98:8	conclusion 109:22	constant 52:4 53:6
classical 141:17	Collier 149:24	113:7 162:5	111:9,12	constantly 93:1
claw 131:18	150:17	commentary	conclusions	120:1
Clayton 43:18	Collier's 150:21	164:23	109:19	constitute 61:17
Clayton's 43:20	collision 38:13	comments 3:15	condescending	constituted 95:15
clean 27:21,22,23	colloquially 44:22	63:20,22,24	85:10	consult 197:20
28:3 53:17,17,18	Colnbrook 93:23	64:13 83:18	conditions 150:7	contact 93:4 95:25
54:4 62:6,24	coloured 72:13	committed 39:13	conduct 111:8	156:4,7,23
cleaner 73:15	column 88:20	commonly 68:5	conducted 162:18	200:15
cleaning 56:17	combination 193:3	commonplace	confident 147:18	contagion 180:13
73:14,18	come 3:15 14:19	5:11 22:18	196:13	contained 110:5
clear 46:13 81:15	15:20 26:18	172:22	confirm 1:10 2:6	contempt 172:15
81:16,21 86:6	27:24 29:3,12	company 123:25	2:13	174:10
101:23 104:23	31:21 37:4 38:20	158:11	confiscating 57:24	content 72:4
105:7 109:3	39:9 43:23 57:12	compassionate	conflict 66:2	contention 82:1
128:22 148:2	61:25 63:3 66:9	59:21	confronted 136:19	contested 64:23
185:22	68:13,17 71:11	compensation	Confusingly 27:10	context 18:11 51:9
clearly 8:16 24:22	71:14 72:7 74:12	109:5	Connolly 11:3,4	51:12 59:12 69:3
53:4,7 58:1 63:8	89:24 117:24	complacent	11:19 122:21,23	91:25 98:16
68:6 69:13 80:10	122:4 124:11	168:12	122:25 123:1,9	continually 93:8
80:12 82:5 85:5	128:12 131:9	complain 94:19	126:10 135:19	continue 38:3
90:16 91:15 94:2	137:11 139:12	167:2	137:23 138:4	65:10 81:12
136:22 168:5	144:17 153:7,18	complained	142:3 145:5	190:12
177:17	154:1 169:22,23	146:25 147:4	148:13 149:22	continued 80:21
cleverly 80:1	170:8 171:14	167:5	152:2 153:8,19	81:10 127:7
clinical 168:5	173:8 175:7,20	complaining 91:23	155:14 158:8	continues 21:7
clip 183:4,5,9	191:1 192:19	complains 9:19	168:20 172:14,16	31:3 33:15 38:10
185:4 187:22	comes 21:2 44:7	complaint 90:25	172:20 173:24	47:18 70:14
189:6,12	83:2 104:2 118:5	complaints 110:17	174:9,23 176:21	148:11
clipped 114:15	169:20 189:16	165:16	178:14 180:3	contract 7:2 124:3
closed 57:11 63:11	coming 25:21	complete 27:4	181:11,23 182:11	124:3
100:9,10,11	94:15 116:1	77:13 79:18,20	184:10,15,22	contractual 134:1
150:12	119:21 136:13	79:20 97:22	185:20 186:17	control 14:2,4
clothes 172:18	177:23 178:2	151:4 156:24	188:4,16 189:10	32:12 40:25 41:3
174:3	184:16 205:10	172:15 174:10	193:13 195:25	105:8 113:21
clothing 172:19	207:6	completely 117:3	196:15 198:3	114:17,20,22
clue 76:25 182:15	commander	118:11 127:22	200:20 201:11	115:2,7,10,12,12
clues 159:23	131:12,14 134:19	complications	202:8,21 207:5	119:17,23 124:23
clutching 74:2	136:5	150:6 151:7	208:3	125:11 126:22
Clyde 69:23	commanders	compresses 150:13	consent 76:4	128:13,17,24
coarse 176:8,12	131:15 132:1	concealed 101:11	consequence	129:3 130:3,13
cohort 141:13	134:17,18	concerned 4:22	187:13	130:25 131:16,18
coincidence 87:8	comment 12:4	10:5 53:5 71:19	Consequently	132:5,19 133:18
collar 129:24	13:12 22:20,23	95:1 96:16 142:5	111:13	136:1,16 137:7,8
collated 130:21	23:1 26:3 28:23	144:3 151:10	consider 79:14	137:13,15 138:11
colleague 15:10	32:25 44:14,17	158:15 163:13	150:16	139:11,19,20
99:25 112:19,23	46:3,4,9,11,17	193:5 202:4	consideration	143:8 145:7,10
colleagues 4:15	50:11,15 68:8,12	concerns 12:10	109:18	148:24 154:7
101101191101110			107.10	1.0.2.1.0.1.7
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 214
155,10 156.5 10	20mov 101.25 25	awank 102.11	autting 62.17	100.5 11 201.22
155:18 156:5,19	corner 191:25,25	crank 183:11	cutting 62:17	199:5,11 201:22
158:23 159:8,13 160:1 162:10	192:1,10 198:17 198:18	crazy 168:25,25 created 155:2	116:22,25	dangerous 68:10 81:12 145:15
160:1 162:10	correct 2:17 74:23	created 133:2 creates 176:22		150:13 156:2
		cried 49:4	D 88:6 90:7,21	
166:1,3 173:11 179:2 185:25	104:20,22 120:6	cried 49:4 criminals 10:17	204:8 207:20	dangers 80:21 81:9
	129:13 130:6,14 160:15 190:20		D'you 47:20	Daniel 24:25
187:19 194:13,14 200:5 206:11		cringe 49:17,20	D1251 96:24 100:7	200:24
controllers 194:15	correctly 32:11 121:5 188:13	50:5 148:6	101:15	Darren 166:12
		crisps 18:17 critical 157:12	D1275 61:25 68:24	
conversation 18:11 19:5 21:7	203:2,15 corridor 187:10	Crucially 109:23	69:6 70:8,14,15	database 166:1,2
	cost 7:24		72:1,11 73:25	205:23,25 206:23 date 18:12 26:8
21:14,17,19,24 22:8,11 25:5		cry 7:14 48:19,20 49:2	74:17 75:3,8	43:5 62:12 87:24
30:19,23 31:3	coughed 97:9 could've 47:11	crying 10:14 55:3	77:3	101:13 140:8
33:7,15 37:24	country 66:4	CSU 31:16 90:14	D1300 70:4	153:4,5
40:2 42:14,23	couple 15:17 31:12	91:4,15,17,17	D149 53:13,19,20	dated 1:13,14 2:9
43:6 46:7,24	31:22 43:5 45:25	92:5,12 93:6,17	54:5	27:10,10 69:6
48:2,8 49:12	100:10 108:23	93:24 94:11 96:2	D1527 43:3 148:6	73:2 123:2
50:16 51:3,4,8,11	115:19 124:19	96:5 106:11,18	D1732 70:8,9	dates 126:9 131:11
55:11 59:9,11,13	course 16:10 44:2	116:4 119:18,19	D1914 86:21	143:1
60:5,12,13,16,24	84:5 98:24 126:8	119:24 121:11	D1978 50:19	Dave 3:10 86:18
60:25 68:6,11	126:14,16,23	122:3	D275 11:8 15:8	86:19 135:16
80:1 86:10 105:2	120:14,10,23	cued 184:5	62:16 174:25	137:18,18 160:25
108:13 142:17	132:25 133:3	cueu 184.3 cuff 175:25 176:2	D2812 52:25	day 6:25 7:13 9:8
196:6	136:15,15 140:17	cuffed 176:1	D2953 106:8,11	9:24 13:11 14:9
conversations 2:12	146:16,18 150:15	culture 6:3 8:15	108:2,19,22	33:18,20,23
46:22	154:22,23,24	17:16 78:21,25	109:2,4,9,24	43:12,15 46:22
convicted 138:24	156:20 157:12,25	79:4 87:8 146:7	110:10,16 111:9	71:12 77:22 78:4
convince 189:9	161:22 170:20,24	146:21 147:3,8	D2953's 107:2	89:20,21 94:12
Cool 185:8	171:1,9 191:12	149:6,7 168:21	110:6	94:20 97:5
coordinator	204:25 205:6,6	171:25 190:8	D318 70:6,8	106:17,18 109:6
158:22,23,24	205:15	cunt 21:9,22 36:9	D476 69:21	111:4 122:4
159:3,8,14,22	courses 124:22	58:8,11 191:4,7	D523 87:15,15	125:19 137:5,25
162:17,24 163:17	141:15	191:13 192:25	D728 22:6,9	137:25 149:15,16
163:20,25 164:1	courtyard 77:4	193:11 197:7,15	D87 30:24,25,25	165:9 170:14,15
164:3 166:2	90:7,21	cunt' 38:24 172:21	32:13 33:10	179:17,18 182:16
coordinator's	cover 87:22 121:3	cunt's 190:22,25	39:23,25 42:15	188:19 199:25
163:24	196:4 206:3	cup 120:22	42:21	206:6,7,7
coordinators	covered 39:11	cups/crockery	daily 13:7 14:8	day's 138:2 148:21
164:7	177:24 178:4	108:23	16:20 75:16	daylight 39:2
cop 59:25	196:1,5	custodial 145:10	damaged 38:22	days 43:11 175:9
cop/good 59:25	covering 2:14	168:5,8	173:4	175:13,13,16
cope 65:5	covers 150:5,21	custody 2:14,18	Dan 11:24 12:3	176:17 178:7
copies 18:4	151:6	108:12 123:23	23:20 24:4 26:10	DCF 139:14
coping 44:23 56:9	CPS000024 23:22	124:10 131:19,20	26:11,13,23 28:7	DCF1 87:23
58:17 65:2,5	25:3	cut 97:9,16,18	28:8 98:15 99:2	DCM 2:14 3:2,6,8
81:14	crack 35:10	115:18 117:8,18	99:11,20,20	109:24 110:7
copy 61:12	cracked 26:17	118:8,9,12	105:2,3 188:1	111:2,8 113:20
core 65:23	98:22 99:5,19,24	cutlery 73:23	192:18,25 193:4	116:18,19 121:18
-		v · -	195:3,12 196:23	
	I	I	l	l

				Page 215
		 [1	1
133:21 142:13	dear 2:11 26:22	deny 189:3,4	25:18 26:25	73:12,18 74:1
144:25 162:18,19	Debbie 171:5	denying 83:25	28:10 29:5,12,18	76:4,6,7 79:8
163:18 164:9	Debnam 147:1	depend 205:8	29:19 30:24 33:4	81:8,10 83:9,13
173:5,6,11,25	158:25 160:5	depended 205:9	35:17,18,22	88:11 90:19 92:1
DCMs 5:5 121:17	debrief 120:10,16	depends 5:19	45:12,25 47:1	108:19 109:6
164:12 165:21,21	130:15	89:22 121:14,17	50:19,21 51:5	116:25 119:12
206:14,21	debriefed 97:5	176:1	53:13,24 54:21	120:13 139:6,9
DCO 2:2,16,24,25	148:20	deploy 145:21	55:18,22,24 56:7	141:9 142:7,11
24:4,8,11,12,12	debriefing 202:25	deployed 121:7	57:2,7 58:1,13	142:11 151:2
24:13 25:5,7,8,13	debriefs 13:14	deport 67:1	61:21,24 62:4,13	156:7 163:15,18
25:16 63:7,10,13	December 108:13	deputise 168:1	62:16,22 63:10	164:4 167:15
63:19,21,21,24	167:13	Derek 1:6,9 2:11	63:12,25 64:3,5,6	168:22 169:10,16
64:9,12 88:20,21	decide 179:21	26:16,20,21	64:14,17,18	170:5 171:17,24
115:14 116:20	decided 138:1	41:16 44:9,18	69:23 72:10 74:6	172:1,15,22
124:11,21 127:24	165:23 188:11	56:1 57:16 92:16	75:1,7 76:1	173:15,20 174:11
133:9,21 201:11	decipher 48:6,7	92:17 93:3,25	81:19 87:14,18	187:5 193:21
DCOs 133:5	decision 14:21	94:2,15 96:3,6	88:5,12 90:19	204:9,21 206:17
135:24 156:21	121:2	97:6 98:22	93:17 97:7,13	detainees' 59:14
172:19 174:6	decision-making	106:12,15,19,23	101:9,11 105:9	detention 16:22
206:20	17:3	107:1 108:12	108:12 112:18,20	110:14 132:7,14
de-escalate 94:10	decisions 17:5	112:24 113:1,3	112:23,25 113:20	132:22 133:10,16
94:10 119:12	74:7	113:20 115:14,18	113:20 116:25	138:20,23 139:1
137:6,7 140:1	declined 84:13	116:6,15 166:23	117:2,4,5,6,9,11	139:2 143:23
144:12,21 185:5	deemed 7:6,18	166:25 207:22	117:19 118:8,9	144:3 148:19
200:6,14,16	154:20	Derek's 26:16	118:12 119:17,21	165:12 169:7
206:20	deep 7:12	98:21	123:23 124:10	204:5,10
de-escalating	default 71:6	derogatory 5:17	129:20,22 130:9	detract 20:10
200:10	168:22	19:24 171:18	131:20 132:16	develop 145:16
de-escalation	defend 133:23	191:17	133:25 142:12,14	developed 145:23
137:3 185:13	definitely 24:17	Derrick 24:8	144:10 152:5,5	developing 58:10
186:2	188:24 189:1,2	describe 113:19,23	152:22 156:4,23	deviation 83:7
dead 86:16 196:20	defuse 137:7,8	169:2 185:14	161:2 163:8,10	84:6
deal 1:18 66:21	degrading 146:23	described 63:14	169:15,20 170:7	devices 152:20
68:22 145:14	degrees 115:17,17	describes 25:4	171:19 172:17,18	diamond 175:21
167:16 168:4	Del 37:4	designated 115:11	173:1,3,12,13,16	diary 97:1 101:12
170:12 198:17	delete 193:11	desk 160:3	173:19 174:3	101:13
dealers 73:13	deliberately 76:8	desperate 82:7,10	185:16 186:18	did' 116:7
dealing 77:21	188:22	despite 189:18	196:7 201:13,25	die 15:19 78:5
81:14 88:24	delighted 49:6,9	detail 6:5 173:22	204:19 205:19	83:16
89:17 138:21	49:10	details 110:20	detainee's 64:4	died 76:18
167:12,18 168:15	Delightful 67:16	detained 25:6	detainee-facing	dies 62:3,3 79:23
199:23	deliver 145:16	81:18 109:20	205:3	79:23 82:18,19
dealings 12:3	146:4	113:15 114:1,6	detainees 4:14,23	82:22 83:2,2
108:25	delivered 143:14	114:22 115:15	5:15,22 6:4,16,17	84:25,25 85:19
deals 23:20 68:24	143:24 151:11	116:3	10:15 11:1 12:12	85:19 86:12,12
93:22	demanding 74:5	detainee 2:13,18	14:8,13,15,18	86:22,22
dealt 12:14 107:22	demands 168:10	15:8 18:14 19:2	15:3,7 16:21	dies' 82:22
139:6 142:10	denied 64:1 83:21	21:12,21 22:6	17:2,19 19:19	difference 103:18
162:13 186:1	98:7 109:2	24:5,14 25:12,13	20:1 33:25 55:4	139:18 140:22,23

141:2 142:4,7 152:4 170:13 disrupter 20:19 152:6 152					Page 216
152:4 170:13 disruptive 71:8 152:6 distasteful I1:15 district 96:17 distinguish 20:15 distress 94:6 16:24 85:1 distress 94:6 138:21,222 distress 94:6 138:21,222 distress 94:6 distress 9	141 2 142 4 7		116 20 117 22 22	F (1 00 71 0 47	1 20 6 6 6 170 7
differences 204:13 152:6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		′ ′
differences 204:13 152:6 boncaster 127:1 89:24,25 96:5,5 either 8:3,15 28:12 different 26:10 28:17,20 45:2,2 distiner 96:17 distiner 96:17 46:25 47:21 10:9 106:22 73:6 102:1 105:5 51:14 56:22 114:18 127:22 168:23 57:8 63:11,12 119:19 121:11 138:24 144:6 114:18 127:22 168:23 57:8 63:11,12 173:17,18 207:20 166:12 157:3 166:12 159:15 167:2,5 138:17 20:2,16 differentry 141:16 differentry 141:16 differentry 141:16 differentry 141:16 differentry 141:16 divided 90:4 100:15 115:15,16 169:24 177:14 19:15,16 26:9 168:23 15:15 15:25,16 88:18 89:6 DL0000329 90:3 doctor's 18:14 DL0000329 90:3 doctor's 18:14 doctor's 18:14 doctor's 18:14 doctor's 18:14 dowstairs 73:14 34:15 13:22 demand 10:12 demand 25:3 41:17:23 84:9 41:17:23 84:9 41:17:23 84:9 41:17:18 41:50:13 54:11 element 205:2		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
different 26:10 distasteful 11:15 door 38:17,19 101-9 106:22 73:6 102:1 105:5 51:14 56:22 distinguish 20:15 53:15 54:1 57:3 108:22 119:1,6.9 117:16 125:4 61:24 85:1 114:18 127:22 168:23 168:23 173:17,18 207:20 147:17,18 156:6 138:21,12,22 distressing 93:4 diversion 186:7 40ivided 90:4 115:16 169:14,21 173:17,18 207:20 169:24 177:14 4fiffeenty 14:116 divorced 144:4 divorced 144:4 115:20 135:13 160000232 90:3 doctor 15:14 40ivorced 144:4 187:11 199:24 46:1 50:13 54:11 etclie 179:25 etclie 179:25 etclie 179:25 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:23 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:23 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:23 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:23 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 etclie 179:22 et		-			U
28:17,20 45:2,2					•
58:14 56:22 distinguish 20:15 distress 94:6 14:18 127:22 132:2 133:19 distressed 95:23 distressing 93:4 diversion 186:7 divided 90:4 divorced 144:4 differently 14:16 divorced 14:4 tropic 15:13 doctor 15:1:4 200:1 doctors 10:18 doctor's 187:10 doctors 10:18 differently 172:20 dispinated 69:15 71:24 75:21 82:14 84:7 dispinated 82:6 directed 6:9,14 19:21 document 25:3 directled 6:9,14 19:21 documents 6:19 direction 127:22 directled 6:9,14 19:21 documents 6:19 direction 127:22 directled 19:21 documents 3:12 document 3:12 documents 3:12 document 3:13 document 3:12 document 3:12 document 3:12 document 3:13 document 3:12 document 3:13 document 3:12			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
61:24 85:1 114:18 127:22 114:18 127:22 133:19 distressed 95:23 distressing 93:4 138:21,22,22 141:6 181:13 189:7 204:2.16 differently 141:16 difficult 48:16,18 89:18 89:6 200:13 doctor 151:14 doctor's 187:10 down*: 177:23 difficulty 65:15 digital 86:4 digity 172:20 directio 69:9,14 direction 127:22 directio 69:9,14 179:21 direction 127:22 directio 69:9,14 179:21 179:22 directio 127:22 directio 127:25 directio 12					
114:18 127:22		<u> </u>			
132:2 133:19			•		•
138:21,22,22			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
141:6 181:13				· ·	
189:7 204:2,16 divided 90:4 169:24 177:14 19:15,16 26:9 elbow 185:17 elbow 185:17 difficult 48:16,18 DL0000141 145:4 DL0000232 90:3 doubt 48:23 46:1 50:13 54:11 clement 205:2 element 205:2	1	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
differently 141:16 divorced 144:4 187:11 199:24 46:1 50:13 54:11 electrics 129:21 elements 205:2 elements 205:17 elite 145:24 em 17:6:6,7 em 17:6:6,7 elite 145:24 em 17:6:6,7 elite 145:24 em 17:6:6,7 elements 205:17 elite 145:24 em 17:6:6,7 elite 145:24 em 17:6:6,7 em 17:6:6,7 em 17:6:6,7 em 17:6:6,7			· ·		
difficult 48:16,18 BS18 89:6 DL0000232 90:3 close of the component o	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
88:18 89:6 115:20 135:13 doctor 151:14 doctor's 187:10 direct 160:5 direct 160:5 direct 6:9;14 149:21 directing 128:10 director 159:16 162:23 163:6 Dog 178:7 documents 3:12 162:13 165:9,9 disagree 60:3,4 82:12 disballed 136:4 152:16 27:25 disballed 168:21 disballed 168:21 disballed 168:21 discousing 90:9,12 91:3 discuss 14:18 discussion 39:25 48:24 disgraceful 10:20 disguised 67:21 disguised 67:21 disguised 67:21 disguised 67:21 disguised 10:4,22 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 domn** Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 domn** down** 177:23 discussion 39:25 direct 160:5 direct 151:4 dramatic 25:8 direct 187:2 dramatic 82:6 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 draw 36:2 draw	differently 141:16	divorced 144:4	187:11 199:24	46:1 50:13 54:11	electrics 129:21
115:20 135:13 152:10 158:7 doctor's 187:10 first 188:23 189:21 direct 160:5 direct 66:9,14 12:6 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 documentary 63:9 83:18 147:21 documentary 63:9 83:18 147:21 documents 3:12 documents 3:13	difficult 48:16,18	DL0000141 145:4	200:1	54:12,13 79:21	element 205:2
152:10 158:7 200:13 doctor's 187:10 doctors 10:18 document 25:3 difficulty 65:15 document 25:3 disignated 136:4 disputs 172:20 dinner 89:3,8,19 directed 6:9,14 12:6 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 documents 3:12 documents 3:13 documents 3:12 documents 3:13 documents 3:12 documents 3:13 documents 3:12 documents 3:13 documents	88:18 89:6	DL0000232 90:3	doubt 48:23	82:14 88:7 91:25	elements 205:17
200:13 doctors 10:18 document 25:3 difficulty 65:15 document 25:3 distal 86:4 document 25:3 distal 86:4 document 25:3 distal 86:4 distal 86:2 distal 86:1 distal 86:1 distal 86:1 distal 86:1 distal 86:1 dearly 62:15 68:14 dearly 62:15 6	115:20 135:13	doctor 151:14	down?' 177:23	98:16,18 101:13	elite 145:24
difficulty 65:15 digital 86:4 dignity 172:20 dinore 89:3,8,19 dinore 89:3,8,19 directed 6:9,14 12:6 directed 6:9,14 12:6 direction 127:22 directly 64:2 72:1 20 direction 127:22 directly 64:2 72:1 20 documentary 63:9 129:1 115:23 129:3 187:10 documentary 63:9 201:6 director 159:16 162:25 163:6 director 159:16 disbanded 136:4 disbanded 136:4 disbelief 168:21 discuss 14:18 discuss 14:18 discuss 14:18 discuss 14:18 discuss 14:18 discuss 14:18 discussion 39:25 disgursed 67:21 disgusted 67:21 disgusting 10:4,22 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dimmant 116:17 document 25:3 disa 18:17,23 84:9 85:24 tarly 62:15 68:14 145:11 tarrassed 28:6 cars 187:13 carshot 64:5 earshot 64:5 ears	152:10 158:7	doctor's 187:10	downstairs 73:14	134:15 143:22	em 176:6,7
digital 86:4 dignity 172:20 dinner 89:3,8,19 direct 160:5 61:2 62:21 69:1 69:15 71:24 85:24 187:24 188:23 189:21 dramatised 82:6 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 dress 146:23 drinking 146:18 early 62:15 68:14 145:11 28:15 embellished 25:8 direct 60:5 directed 6:9,14 12:6 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 directing 127:22 directly 64:2 72:1 95:21 115:23 89:6 91:7 106:7 107:24,25 108:4 149:21 draw 36:4 dress 146:23 drinking 146:18 Ease 75:23 easier 184:24 167:17 emergency 19:21 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 director 159:16 director 159:16 disagree 60:3,4 82:12 documents 3:12 162:25 163:6 drone 67:16 dropping 85:1,21 drug 20:18 73:13 doing 5:5 7:16 Eden 72:9 edge 169:3 drone 67:16 Eden 72:9 edge 169:3 drug 20:18 73:13 emotional 147:10 147:15,17,20 147:15,17,20 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 employed 1:19 emotional 147:10 147:15,17,20 147:15,17,20 emotional 147:10 147:15,17,20 147:13 162:21 38:21:17 151:13 emotional 147:10 147:13 emotional 147:10 147:13 emotional 147:10 147:15;17,20 employee 54:22,25 employee 54:22,25 employee 54:22,25 employee 54:22,25 employee 54:22,25 employee 54:22,25	200:13	doctors 10:18	dramatic 25:8	159:9 177:3	email 106:10
dignity 172:20 69:15 71:24 188:23 189:21 145:11 embellished 25:8 dinect 160:5 75:21 82:14 84:7 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 earsh 64:5 emregencies 75:18 directed 6:9,14 89:6 91:7 106:7 107:24,25 108:4 drinking 146:18 Ease 75:23 earth 4:21 130:10 150:8 directing 128:10 directing 128:10 149:21 documentary 63:9 drinking 146:18 Ease 75:23 ease 187:13 earth 4:21 130:10 150:8 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 drive 39:10,10,10 Ease 75:23 eairth 4:21 130:10 150:8 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 driving 140:23 driving 140:23 drone 67:16 Ease 75:23 emergency 19:21 69:20 70:10 139:25 151:18 167:18 167:18 ease 188:24 emptoinal 147:10 169:20 70:10 139:25 151:18 167:18 ease 188:24 emotional 147:10 169:20 70:10 139:25 151:18 167:18 emotional 147:10 169:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10 489:20 70:10	difficulty 65:15	document 25:3	81:17,23 84:9	199:21	embarrassed
dignity 172:20 69:15 71:24 188:23 189:21 145:11 embellished 25:8 dinect 160:5 75:21 82:14 84:7 draw 36:4 draw 36:	digital 86:4	61:2 62:21 69:1	85:24 187:24	early 62:15 68:14	28:15
dinner 89:3,8,19 72:25 74:22 75:2 dramatised 82:6 ears 187:13 27:7 emergencies 75:18 directed 6:9,14 89:6 91:7 106:7 draw 36:4 draw 36:4 earth 4:21 130:10 150:8 directing 128:10 107:24,25 108:4 149:21 drinking 146:18 Ease 75:23 167:17 directing 128:10 documentary 63:9 driving 140:23 driving 140:23 EASS 110:24 emergency 19:21 directly 64:2 72:1 83:18 147:21 177:10 EASS 110:24 emergency 19:21 director 159:16 director 159:16 documents 3:12 drome 67:16 drome 69:20 80:13 81:17,22 80:13 81:17,22 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 13	dignity 172:20	69:15 71:24	188:23 189:21		embellished 25:8
directed 6:9,14 89:6 91:7 106:7 dress 146:23 darshing 146:18 Ease 75:23 130:10 150:8 directing 128:10 directing 127:22 documentary 63:9 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 eemergency 19:21 directly 64:2 72:1 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 69:20 70:10 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 drome 67:16 drome 67:16 drop 36:9 38:15 Eden 72:9 emotional 147:10 201:6 doisg 177:8,255,25 dropping 85:1,21 dropping 85:1,21 drugs 65:4 69:20 drugs 65:4 69:20 delted 80:1,10,12 emphasis 137:2 emphasising disbanded 136:4 12:16 27:25 drugs 65:4 69:20 70:7,9,16,19 46:14 80:2 81:17 46:14 80:2 81:17 176:13 employ 143:5,6 employed 1:19 discussion 39:25 48:24 162:24 166:3 dubbed 187:8,24 189:21 189:21 123:19 employment 3:17 disgusting 10:4,22 180:8 19:23 203:11 Dolph 82:24 85:20 DVD 150:4,17 effectiveness ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 161:17	U V	72:25 74:22 75:2	dramatised 82:6	ears 187:13	27:7
directed 6:9,14 89:6 91:7 106:7 dress 146:23 darshing 146:18 Ease 75:23 130:10 150:8 directing 128:10 directing 127:22 documentary 63:9 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 eemergency 19:21 directly 64:2 72:1 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 69:20 70:10 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 drome 67:16 drome 67:16 drop 36:9 38:15 Eden 72:9 emotional 147:10 201:6 doisg 177:8,255,25 dropping 85:1,21 dropping 85:1,21 drugs 65:4 69:20 drugs 65:4 69:20 delted 80:1,10,12 emphasis 137:2 emphasising disbanded 136:4 12:16 27:25 drugs 65:4 69:20 70:7,9,16,19 46:14 80:2 81:17 46:14 80:2 81:17 176:13 employ 143:5,6 employed 1:19 discussion 39:25 48:24 162:24 166:3 dubbed 187:8,24 189:21 189:21 123:19 employment 3:17 disgusting 10:4,22 180:8 19:23 203:11 Dolph 82:24 85:20 DVD 150:4,17 effectiveness ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 161:17	direct 160:5	75:21 82:14 84:7	draw 36:4	earshot 64:5	emergencies 75:18
12:6 directing 128:10 direction 127:22 documentary 63:9 directly 64:2 72:1 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 documents 3:12 162:25 163:6 Dog 178:7 doisgarce 60:3,4 82:12 disbanded 136:4 disbelief 168:21 disbelief 168:21 discussion 39:25 48:24 discussion 39:25 48:24 disgraceful 10:20 disguised 67:21 disguised 67:21 dispussed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dispersion of the direction 127:2 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:2 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:22 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:22 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:22 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:22 dismissed 129:12 dispersion 127:22 dispersion 127:23 dispersion 127:24 dispersion 127:25 dispersion 127:24 dispersion 127:25 dispersion 127:24 dispersion 127:25 dispersion 127:24 dispersion 127:25 dis	directed 6:9,14	89:6 91:7 106:7	dress 146:23	earth 4:21	<u> </u>
directing 128:10 149:21 documentary 63:9 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 eesier 184:24 eesier		107:24,25 108:4	drinking 146:18	Ease 75:23	167:17
direction 127:22 documentary 63:9 drive 39:10,10,10 EASS 110:24 69:20 70:10 95:21 115:23 148:3 150:1 driving 140:23 170:11 207:8 167:18 129:3 187:10 162:25 163:6 drone 67:16 drop 36:9 38:15 Eden 72:9 emotional 147:10 201:6 162:25 163:6 drop 36:9 38:15 edge 169:3 147:15,17,20 emphasis 137:2 disagree 60:3,4 82:12 doing 5:5 7:16 dropping 85:1,21 drug 20:18 73:13 80:13 81:17,22 80:13 81:17,22 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 emphasis 137:2 employed 1:19 123:22 144:6 employed 1:19 123:22 144:6 employed 1:19 123:22 144:6 employee 54:22,25 55:14 employee 54:22,25	directing 128:10	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	easier 184:24	emergency 19:21
directly 64:2 72:1 83:18 147:21 177:10 driving 140:23 easy 54:6 122:9 139:25 151:18 95:21 115:23 129:3 187:10 148:3 150:1 driving 140:23 drone 67:16 170:11 207:8 167:18 emotional 147:10 201:6 162:25 163:6 Dog 178:7 drop 36:9 38:15 58:2 116:5 dege 169:3 147:15,17,20 emphasis 137:2 employ 143:5,6 employ 143:5,6 employ 143:5,6 employ 143:5,6 employ 143:5,6 employ 143:5,6 employed 1:19 123:22 144:6 employee 54:22,25 55:14 employee 54:22,25 55:14<	<u> </u>	documentary 63:9	drive 39:10,10,10	EASS 110:24	
148:3 150:1 152:3 129:3 187:10 201:6 162:25 163:6 201:6 162:25 163:6 201:6 2	directly 64:2 72:1		177:10	easy 54:6 122:9	139:25 151:18
129:3 187:10 201:6 162:25 163:6 201:6		148:3 150:1	driving 140:23		167:18
201:6 director 159:16 162:13 165:9,9 disagree 60:3,4 82:12 disbanded 136:4 disbelief 168:21 discipline 172:2 disclosure 90:9,12 91:3 discussi 14:18 discussion 39:25 48:24 disgraceful 10:20 disgusted 67:21 disgusting 10:4,22 Disk 100:12 dismissed 129:12 dismissed 129:12 director 159:16 Dog 178:7 dogs 177:8,25,25 dropping 85:1,21 drug 20:18 73:13 drug 20:18 73:1	129:3 187:10	documents 3:12		Eden 72:9	emotional 147:10
director 159:16 Dog 178:7 58:2 116:5 dropping 85:1,21 80:13 81:17,22 80:13 81:17,22 emphasis 137:2 disagree 60:3,4 178:1,5,8,23 drug 20:18 73:13 84:9 85:24 176:13 emphasising 176:13 disbanded 136:4 dispance 65:4 69:20 65:4 69:20 66:14 80:2 81:17 employ 143:5,6 employed 1:19 discipline 172:2 46:14 48:11 73:12 83:10,14 81:23 82:6 84:9 123:22 144:6 employee 55:14 91:3 92:24 116:10 dubbed 187:24 188:23 189:21 55:14 discussion 130:5 135:25 189:21 189:21 123:19 employment 3:17 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 encapsulated disgusting 10:4,22 203:11 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 effectiveness end' 146:18 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant <th>201:6</th> <td>162:25 163:6</td> <td>drop 36:9 38:15</td> <td>edge 169:3</td> <td>147:15,17,20</td>	201:6	162:25 163:6	drop 36:9 38:15	edge 169:3	147:15,17,20
disagree 60:3,4 dogs 177:8,25,25 dropping 85:1,21 80:13 81:17,22 emphasising 82:12 doing 5:5 7:16 178:1,5,8,23 doing 5:5 7:16 167:18 educating 81:11 employ 143:5,6 disbanded 136:4 12:16 27:25 drugs 65:4 69:20 6ffect 2:15,18 25:8 employ 143:5,6 discipline 172:2 46:14 48:11 70:7,9,16,19 46:14 80:2 81:17 123:22 144:6 disclosure 90:9,12 56:18 57:1 72:17 92:24 116:10 dubbed 187:8,24 187:24 188:23 55:14 discuss 14:18 130:5 135:25 152:17 153:16 162:24 166:3 189:21 123:19 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disgusting 10:4,22 203:11 Dolph 82:24 85:20 Dolph 82:24 85:20 Dolph 82:24 85:20 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 151:9,11,12 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1	director 159:16	Dog 178:7	_		
disagree 60:3,4 178:1,5,8,23 drug 20:18 73:13 84:9 85:24 176:13 disbanded 136:4 disbelief 168:21 dispelief 168:21 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:17 descipline 172:17 descipline 172:17 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:2 descipline 172:17 descipline 172:2 descipline		<u> </u>	dropping 85:1,21		· -
82:12 doing 5:5 7:16 167:18 educating 81:11 employ 143:5,6 disbanded 136:4 disbelief 168:21 disbelief 168:21 descipline 172:2	disagree 60:3,4			84:9 85:24	
disbanded 136:4 12:16 27:25 drugs 65:4 69:20 effect 2:15,18 25:8 employed 1:19 discipline 172:2 46:14 48:11 70:7,9,16,19 46:14 80:2 81:17 123:22 144:6 disclosure 90:9,12 91:3 91:3 46:14 57:17 72:17 85:24 149:6 employee 54:22,25 discuss 14:18 discussion 39:25 48:24 130:5 135:25 dubbed 187:8,24 189:21 189:21 employment 3:17 disgraceful 10:20 disguised 67:21 disgusting 10:4,22 Disk 100:12 dismissed 129:12 180:8 192:23 dominant 116:17 14:25 165:8,21 DVD 150:4,17 looked 15:9,11,12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 dominant 116:17 Dolph 82:24 85:20 dominant 116:17 Dolph 82:24 85:20 dominant 116:17 drugs 65:4 69:20 drug		doing 5:5 7:16	<u> </u>	educating 81:11	employ 143:5,6
disbelief 168:21 28:15 34:6 39:6 70:7,9,16,19 46:14 80:2 81:17 123:22 144:6 discipline 172:2 46:14 48:11 73:12 83:10,14 81:23 82:6 84:9 employee 54:22,25 disclosure 90:9,12 91:3 92:24 116:10 dubbed 187:8,24 187:24 188:23 187:24 188:23 employment 3:17 discuss 14:18 130:5 135:25 duties 2:16,24,25 duties 2:16,24,25 effective 14:4 empty 70:1 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disgusting 10:4,22 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 effects 19:20 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 28:25 152:17 153:16 151:9,11,12 173:12 173:12 173:12 173:12	disbanded 136:4	_	drugs 65:4 69:20	_	employed 1:19
discipline 172:2 46:14 48:11 73:12 83:10,14 81:23 82:6 84:9 employee 54:22,25 disclosure 90:9,12 56:18 57:1 72:17 91:3 92:24 116:10 dubbed 187:8,24 187:24 188:23 employee 54:22,25 discuss 14:18 130:5 135:25 189:21 duties 2:16,24,25 189:21 effective 14:4 empty 70:1 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 encapsulated disguised 67:21 180:8 192:23 144:25 165:8,21 DVD 150:4,17 201:2 effectiveness ended 109:12 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 dominant 116:17 151:9,11,12 47:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 46:14 48:11 73:12 83:10,14 81:23 82:6 84:9 85:24 149:6 187:24 188:23 employment 3:17 123:19 empty 70:1 encapsulated 106:10 encouraged 187:6 disguisting 10:4,22 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 47:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 16:17 16:18 16:22 16:22 16:22 16:22				-	
disclosure 90:9,12 56:18 57:1 72:17 112:2 173:4 85:24 149:6 55:14 91:3 92:24 116:10 dubbed 187:8,24 187:24 188:23 187:24 188:23 employment 3:17 discuss 14:18 130:5 135:25 189:21 189:21 189:21 123:19 discussion 39:25 152:17 153:16 duties 2:16,24,25 effective 14:4 empty 70:1 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disguised 67:21 180:8 192:23 144:25 165:8,21 201:2 encouraged 187:6 disgusting 10:4,22 Dolph 82:24 85:20 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 55:14 employment 3:17 123:19					
91:3 discuss 14:18 discussion 39:25 48:24 disgraceful 10:20 disguised 67:21 disgusting 10:4,22 Disk 100:12 dismissed 129:12 92:24 116:10 130:5 135:25 189:21 189:21 duties 2:16,24,25 duty 3:13 7:6,18 89:16,21 94:9 144:25 165:8,21 DVD 150:4,17 151:9,11,12 187:24 188:23 189:21 123:19 empty 70:1 encapsulated 106:10 encouraged 187:6 end' 146:18 ended 109:12 119:18 128:1	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
discuss 14:18 130:5 135:25 189:21 189:21 123:19 discussion 39:25 152:17 153:16 duties 2:16,24,25 effective 14:4 empty 70:1 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disgusting 10:4,22 180:8 192:23 144:25 165:8,21 201:2 encouraged 187:6 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
discussion 39:25 152:17 153:16 duties 2:16,24,25 effective 14:4 empty 70:1 48:24 162:24 166:3 40ties 2:16,24,25 effective 14:4 effectively 99:17 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disgusting 10:4,22 203:11 DVD 150:4,17 effectiveness end' 146:18 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
48:24 162:24 166:3 duty 3:13 7:6,18 effectively 99:17 106:10 disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disgusting 10:4,22 203:11 DVD 150:4,17 effectively 99:17 encapsulated Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 effectiveless end' 146:18 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1					
disgraceful 10:20 179:14,16 180:5 89:16,21 94:9 124:6 135:22 106:10 disguised 67:21 180:8 192:23 144:25 165:8,21 201:2 encouraged 187:6 disgusting 10:4,22 Dolph 82:24 85:20 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1			· · ·		_ •
disguised 67:21 180:8 192:23 144:25 165:8,21 201:2 encouraged 187:6 disgusting 10:4,22 Dolph 82:24 85:20 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1					_
disgusting 10:4,22 203:11 DVD 150:4,17 effectiveness end' 146:18 Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disk 100:12 Dolph 82:24 85:20 151:9,11,12 147:13 ended 109:12 dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1	<u> </u>				_
dismissed 129:12 dominant 116:17 effects 19:20 119:18 128:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
E 113.110 120.11					
			E		
ı ı ı ı ı		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 21/
ends 45:3	131:21 132:15,16	208:5	188:5	familiar 68:23
engage 147:25	146:5	examined 137:14	explained 186:8	family 7:14,22,24
engaged 44:21	estate 89:23 126:2	examiner 137:12	explaining 4:22	7:24
engagement 15:25	133:9,10,16,21	example 15:2	65:14 80:20 81:9	fancy 34:21
engaging 147:15	134:5 138:20,20	21:21 22:4 32:19	explanation 190:2	far 2:23 4:21 10:5
English 110:19	139:1 143:23	89:20 95:3 130:2	expressed 68:4	29:3 71:18 94:25
enjoyed 148:1	144:3	140:7 148:5	146:7	96:15 99:25
182:4	estates 149:6	154:3 162:25	extended 91:5	134:6 142:4
enjoying 21:1	et 151:7	177:2	extended 51.5 extends 57:7	151:4,8 153:6
37:14	ethos 148:9	examples 148:3	extension 88:16	158:15 163:12
enough' 41:10,25	Europe 67:22	examples 148.5	91:8,11	202:4
ensure 159:24	evening 87:24	excellent 182:7	extremely 93:3	farmed 164:11
160:14 203:8	90:16	excessively 14:6	145:25	fast 36:11
entered 106:15,19	event 86:17 93:14	exchanging 39:16	eye 169:8,9,25	featured 111:7
entering 70:7	177:4,17	excuse 30:8 37:6	170:11 171:10	features 149:25
entirely 25:10	events 76:16 87:16	38:6 97:25	eyes 170:2	February 1:14
132:2 181:12	90:16 99:12	105:20 121:2	eyes 170.2	25:1 150:1
entitled 16:19 68:7	146:20 150:16	186:19 190:5	F	fed 38:8 98:1
entries 88:19 89:8	eventually 75:9	191:11	face 24:12 27:3	feedback 153:1
89:9 91:13	77:7 128:12	excuses 200:3	38:23,23 63:14	feeding 38:5
entry 25:15	137:11	executed 32:13	114:15 115:20	feel 10:15 14:6
entry 23.13 environment 79:1	everybody 17:18	exercise 15:3	faces 94:3	74:6 93:6 94:5
132:15 146:2	17:24 78:24	138:2 163:13	facets 170:2	94:21 116:14
169:1 170:15	171:25 178:13	exercises 126:18	facilities 154:3,4	165:14 202:7,9
201:5 204:12	193:17 199:18,22	154:4	154:13	202:15
environments	Everyone's 40:13	exerted 156:9	facility 155:3	feeling 9:25 68:9
145:11 204:2	evidence 11:24	exhausted 32:23	facing 29:21	114:8 177:13
episode 77:3	16:25 29:8 43:2	exist 135:22 136:3	115:15 205:19	204:9
equal 207:12	43:10,13,16 63:8	exit 115:16	fact 9:24 13:24	feelings 147:16
equipment 194:15	65:14,16 85:9	expand 113:11	20:8,11 22:13	feet 36:11
equipment 194.13	99:11,21,24	expect 184:15	31:7 56:21 78:2	fella 41:4
erm 190:25	103:10,25 104:1	expected 32:13	80:13,15 81:8,22	fellow 40:21 41:17
erratic 75:8	104:3,10 108:12	experience 17:18	86:11 110:19,21	44:9
erstwhile 99:25	109:18,21 110:23	28:24 119:4	110:25 136:6	felt 8:1 94:17 96:3
escalating 200:10	111:6 112:12	122:9 128:24	179:3,7,22	113:5 137:23
200:11,12	113:9 115:7	147:16,21 148:9	193:23	147:2 202:6
escort 47:1	117:1 118:7,21	164:15 167:6	factors 150:8	204:9
escorted 25:14	118:24 139:15	168:20 201:23	facts 48:24 111:22	female 51:21
55:7,15 141:5	145:2 146:10	204:14 207:8	111:23	109:8 112:19
escorting 182:5	165:15 188:21	experience' 146:6	fail 127:2 138:13	114:7 135:18
escorts 48:11	196:15 201:2	experienced	158:19 205:21	182:17 206:15
120:13	207:8	193:25	failed 79:20 127:3	females 146:16
especially 71:1	evident 146:22	experiences	138:11	206:19
96:2 141:12	exact 25:17	147:20	fair 18:22 34:24	Fernandes 2:9
essentially 97:8	exact 25.17 exactly 52:17	expertise 168:6	47:7 85:7,11	fiction 27:4 97:22
establishment	56:16,16 86:22	expertise 108.0 explain 48:18 49:5	171:18 173:22	178:17 179:3,4
131:16,17,18	201:21	60:25 63:7 78:5	fairly 1:19 79:12	fictionalisation
170:25 180:14	Examination 1:7	78:5 82:23	196:13	180:2
establishments	122:22 207:24	172:24 187:8	fall 73:6	fictionalise 179:21
cstaviisiiiitelits	122.22 20/.2T	1/2.27 10/.0	false 118:11	incumanist 1/J.21
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l

				Page 218
fifthly 62:11	113:17 115:4,11	94:13 108:17	63:17	127:21
fight 14:8 31:23	115:13,13,14	113:6 145:23	form 20:12 69:5	frustrated 16:3,7
34:21 40:6	119:25 122:23	147:25 184:11	70:20 87:21 88:4	56:11,14 63:14
108:21 173:2	123:2,14,17	food 73:22,24	110:17 153:3	94:5
195:18	124:19 127:9	foot 159:5	formal 153:14	frustrating 77:20
fighting 14:16	131:10 132:18	footage 17:24	format 152:21	frustration 16:18
39:22 195:16	134:25 137:6,10	43:21,22 54:8,15	formation 185:9	56:9 77:17
199:15	139:18 142:4,9	63:19 80:10	formed 183:2	168:16,17
figure 134:14	145:3 159:4,5	81:16,19 82:13	forms 139:14	frustrations 58:18
185:8,9 186:7,20	160:6,16 162:20	83:6 84:6,15,16	143:5	fuck 22:7 27:21
187:1 190:24	164:19,21 167:8	85:25 100:4,5,7	formula 205:14	28:3 50:24 51:1
file 69:1	168:7 169:10,24	100:12,24 101:14	forth 74:3 84:18	51:2 176:6,6
filled 70:20	170:23 176:8	131:3,4 160:23	forward 44:4	177:8,15,21
film 30:6,15	189:4 193:18	160:24 187:7	175:24 183:16,18	191:24 192:1
films 85:20	197:20,23 202:5	190:14 191:3	183:22	195:14 199:13
filter 146:8	203:12 205:12	192:12,23 194:4	foul 187:21	Fuck's 34:3
filtered 146:21	fishing 37:9	194:16,18 196:9	found 48:16 59:20	fucked 50:23
148:14	fit 145:25 205:9	200:8	63:6 69:10 70:8	fucker 176:3
filters 149:7	fits 75:8	force 13:6,11	70:10 97:11	192:19 195:9
final 3:14 112:7	five 12:25 51:15	14:12,14,22	four 12:25 51:15	fucking 21:5,9,22
113:14 122:1	65:2 112:1	16:16 86:21	75:5 86:24 128:4	27:14,17,24 28:1
138:2 205:22	149:16 173:23	90:11,13 96:24	129:10,14 137:25	28:5 29:12 30:8
finally 87:25 202:4	178:15 180:9	100:6 101:16	185:8,9 186:8,20	33:24 34:6,7,10
find 45:1 58:25,25	183:3,18 206:10	120:5 121:8	187:1 190:24	34:14 35:5,10
69:8 70:4 74:21	flabbergasted	137:3 139:14	203:10,15 206:7	36:6,17,20,21
123:13 128:10	117:25	142:12,14,16	206:10	38:19,20,21,22
183:11 184:1	flagged 110:13	143:5 146:10,14	four-day 127:1	38:24 39:1,2,11
185:3 191:25	flash 177:14	148:4,25 150:5	Francis 207:12	40:4,18 42:10
192:9	flashbacks 94:21	159:14 160:3,7,9	Fraser 43:18	44:5,6 47:19,19
finding 65:15	flavour 100:24	160:14,15,16	freaked 50:1	48:20 53:23
findings 159:16	flesh 9:2	161:2,7 163:3,5,7	free 169:11	54:10 55:18 56:2
fine 65:20 100:23	flight 120:12	163:18 176:13,24	freely 30:10	57:10 58:6,11
103:18 153:6	flip 124:13	181:23,25 182:6	122:11 171:20	59:6 60:20 62:10
160:20,20 180:5	flipping 170:13	182:9 199:19	frequently 118:22	63:1,2 67:1,22
183:17 184:2	flit 122:5	200:13 203:1,4	119:1 168:18	77:12,25 95:4
194:2	floodgates 68:1	203:17 205:24	fresh 10:2	105:16,21 172:18
finger 140:21	floor 31:1 39:2	forced 44:4 93:24	Friday 90:10	174:3 175:8
finish 52:7 112:8	47:16 154:19	Forces 145:22	113:25	176:7 177:8,15
fire 133:23	156:12,16	forcing 74:3	friend's 36:4	177:15,21,22,25
firing 120:1	flying' 27:25	146:23	friendly 139:9	178:1,8,8 186:16
first 5:24 6:1,13	foam 154:10	forget 108:14	front 18:8 38:22	192:1 194:22,23
7:1,4 10:3 13:3	focused 142:9	134:5 172:1	87:22 115:23	195:2,3,5,15
15:5,5 18:1 50:8	focusing 70:14	190:24 200:16	116:8 123:8,13	198:16,17,17,23
53:11 59:15 61:4	131:9	forgive 74:21	168:3 171:19	199:4,5,9,14
68:25 75:13	follow 14:15 33:20	79:19 99:20	172:1 175:21	fucks 176:1 191:22
80:18,19 81:5	184:8,19	185:14	177:13 182:13	191:24
84:25 88:18	followed 91:8	forgot 20:8 40:20	187:5 198:12,12	full 1:8,16 49:6,9
107:15 110:19	following 64:16	41:14 44:8 65:1	206:2	110:20 122:24
112:12,16,21,24	90:13 91:15,16	forgotten 42:24	front-of-house	123:6 135:23

173:20 181:1 182:13 66:17 gentleman 15:22 16:14 18:10,12,17 17:14 19:44 19:44 19:14 19:14 19:44 19:14 19:14 19:44 19:14 19:14 19:44 19:14 19:1					Page 219
182:13	172 20 101 1	66.15	10415416	1	10501050014
Tun 45:1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
fund 163:24 fundamental 140:21 fundy 41:16 41:19 gentlemen 80:8 15:9,11,13 genuincly 20:16 50:17,18 51:13 50:20,13 50:17,18 51:13 50:20,13 50:14,15 53:20 50:15,217,19 52:10 50:17,18 51:13 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 52:14,15 53:20 58:23 59:16 61:14 62:9,9.25 great 97:10 147:18 gesture 193:7 58:22,24 59:16 58:23 59:16 61:14 62:9,9.25 great 97:10 147:18 greates 177:14 great 171:12 growth 171:14 greates 177:14 great 171:12 growth 171:14 growth 171:		O			
Table Tabl				7 7	O
140:21		O			S
Tunny 41:16 44:9		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
44:18 97:12 Germany 67:24 gesture 193:7 56:25 58:20,22 59:15 58:23 59:16 61:14 62:99,25 67:41,220 68:8 67:41,220 68:11 67:41,220 68:11 67:41,220 68:11 67:41,220 68:11 67:41,220 68:11 67:41,220 68:11		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
17:8.21			1.5	,	S
further 2:12 82:20 91:5,8 92:25 gestures 196:24 petting 7:10.11 65:21 67:15 69:1 107:24 111:5 123:16 41:5,6.20 69:15,19.24 72:2 67:4,12,20 68:8 groaning 178:2 group 17:16,18 74:27 57:21 82:13 74:21 45:6 73:18 72:20,25 73:3 74:21 41:21 45:6 73:18 72:20,25 73:3 7648:12,3 17 52:10 61:5 63:6 63:16 64:25 69:5 79:25 80:8 82:1 50:23 52:24 114:14 120:11,17 52:20:14 63:15 13:21 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:14 146:8,22 124:18 133:19 139:22 group 19:19 20:1 112:8,15,16 114:8 13:20 124 133:14 146:8,22 124:16 317 20:21 12:16 47:3 138:1 139:22 group 19:19 20:1 14:18 14:16 14:18 14:16 14:18 14:16 14:18 14:16 14:18 14:16 14:17 59:6:15 63:19 glass 63:12,13 grace 21:1 175:4 gater 133:22 geard 199:19 gater 175:4 gater 133:24 138:19 139:22 geard 199:19 glad 122:1 glass 63:12,13 grace 22:1 15:13 48:10 139:22 geard 133:24 138:19 139:22 geard 199:19 glad 122:1 glass 63:12,13 glass 69:19 glass 69:19 glass 69:19 glass 69:19 glorify 180:5 136:9 90:19 50:48 87:1 91:13 177:17 gear 175:4 quare 11:18 4:16 4:17 59:6:15 68:99 83:13 175:9 6:15 69:15 68:99 83:13 175:19 glass 69:19 glass 69:19 glorify 180:5 glorify 180:4 glorify 180:5 glori				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U
91:5,8 92:25 107:24 111:5 132:16 41:5,6,20 49:15,19,24 72:2 70:12 72:6 78:5 79:25 80:8 82:1 79:25 80:8 82:1 82:18 41.8 98:5,9 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 131:1 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 15:610 157:9 202:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 gear 175:4 garaf 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 175:4 garaf 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 12:15 garaf 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 13:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 18:10 garaf 175:4 garaf 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 18:10 garaf 175:4 garaf 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 garaf 11:8 4:16 68:9,9 83:13 17:17 georgal 11:8 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 6:15 6:19,9 83:13 17:17 georgal 11:8 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 6:19,9 83:13 17:17 georgal 17:16,18 growing 12:16 13:18 (2:10 157:9 garaf 13:24 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:21 13:22 13:25 13:25 13:25 13:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gater 204:6,6 gater 205:10 garaf 13:24 13:21 13:21 13:29 garaf 13:22 garaf 13:24 13:29 garaf 13:22 garaf 13:24 13:29 garaf 13:20 garaf 13:29 garaf 13:20 garaf 13:2	7	O	,		S
107:24 111:5 132:16 41:5,6,20 69:15,19,24 72:2 67:4,12,20 68:8 groaning 178:2 72:20,257:33 68:11,13,22 135:20 138:14 84:17,21 87:19 89:19 99:21 98:4 101:2 90:21 98:4 101:2 90:21 99:21 99:21 99:24 93:22 group 19:19 20:1 132:91,21 133:17 152:10 61:5 63:6 63:16 64:25 69:5 79:25 80:8 82:1 89:59 23:47, 50:21 152:347, 50:21 152:347, 50:21 152:347, 50:21 152:347, 50:21 112:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:347, 50:21 12:8,15,16 152:34,75,021 12:8,15,16 152:34,75,021 12:8,15,16 12:41 12:41 120:41,17 12:41 120:41,17 12:41 120:41,17 12:41 133:14 146:8,22 144:33 131:17,20 132:18 164:23 131:17,20 132:18 164:17 133:14 146:8,22 138:1 139:22 139:24 139:24 139:24 139:24 139:24 139:22 139:24		0			O
Table Tabl	-			· ·	9
G 93:23 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:24 93:22 70:12 72:6 78:5 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:24 93:22 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:23 52:24 70:24 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:23 52:24 70:41 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:41 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:41 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:41 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:41 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:23 52:24 70:24 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:24 70:25 80:23 52:24 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:20 138:18 70:20 12 80:25 80:23 52:24 70:25 80:8 82:1 70:20 138:18 70:20 12 80:25 80:25 80:20 10 80:23 80:24 80:25 80:25 80:20 10 80:20 10			· · ·		S
G g 93:23 178:20 138:14 178:8 199:19 88:9.17 89:6 90:24 93:22 145:34 169:17 16:19 120:20 12:311 127:24 12:51 132:9,12 133:14 146:8.22 123:19,20 138:18 129:19 130:24 141:23 148:11,22 125:19,20 138:18 129:19 130:24 141:23 148:11,22 125:19,20 138:18 131:17,20 132:18 166:17 169:12 171:13 174:23 173:22 133:14 146:8.22 173:22 138:1 139:22 171:13 174:23 176:1,4 183:2,4 186:20 190:12 10:17,18 120:14 137:2 143:1,21 162:14 163:17 169:14 170:20:21 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:19 139:22 138:39 133:14 146:84 147:57:9 6:15 68:99 83:13 171:17 171:	183:22		f	, , ,	
g 93:23 G4S 1:25 3:17 52:10 61:5 63:6 63:16 64:25 69:5 63:16 64:25 69:5 90:21 98:4 101:2 90:21 98:4 101:2 90:21 98:4 101:2 90:24 93:22 132:9,12 133:17 145:14 185:10 grown 179:16 GSL 124:1,2 123:11 127:24 125:14 185:10 grown 179:16 GSL 124:1,2 grown 179:16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\cup</td>					\cup
G4S 1:25 3:17 206:19 90:21 98:4 101:2 94:17 95:3 100:8 145:14 185:10 groups 14:18 15:14 185:10 15:14 185:10 groups 14:18 15:14 185:10 groups 14:18 15:14 185:10 groups 14:18 groups 14	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
52:10 61:5 63:6 63:16 64:25 69:5 79:25 80:8 82:1 89:5,9 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 124:5 131:21 133:14 149:8 155:8,19 164:23 173:22 202:15 202:14 202:12:16 202:17 202:12 202:12 202:12 202:12 202:12 202:12 20			· ·		,
15:2 34:7 50:21 15:2 34:7 50:21 15:2 34:7 50:21 50:23 52:24 79:25 80:8 82:1 82:21 84:1,8 98:59 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 156:10 157:9 200:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gates 204:6,6 gater 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 gater 130:22 gear 175:2 gear 175:9 gear 175:9					
79:25 80:8 82:1 82:21 84:1,8 98:5,9 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 155:8,19 164:23 173:22 202:15 202:15 202:15 202:15 202:15 203:19 100:9 202:15 203:15 204:15 204:15 204:15 205:		S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
82:21 84:1,8 98:5,9 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 133:14 146:8,22 135:19,20 138:18 173:22 175:19,20 138:18 173:22 175:10 157:9 202:15 202:18			, ,		S
98:5,9 123:19,24 124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 156:10 157:9 202:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:10 232 159:19 130:24 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 136:10 137:2 138:1 139:22 171:13 174:23 173:2 110:17,18 120:14 177:2 132:1 179:18 177:17 generall 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generally 147:17 104:10 112:12 122:1 126:8,25 129:19 130:24 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 132:2 133:2,5 138:1 139:22 171:13 174:23 171:13 174:23 171:17 generally 147:17 106:11 13:12 121 130:24 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 131:17,20 132:18 132:13 139:22 171:13 174:23 171:17 166:17 169:12 171:13 174:23 176:1,4 183:2,4 188:11 139:22 177:13 16:11 188:1 139:22 177:13 16:11 188:1 139:22 177:13 16:1,4 183:2,4 188:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:19 192:19 194:18,23 176:21 186:3 176:14 183:1,22 177:13 174:23 177:13 174:23 177:13 174:23 177:17 178:19 172:2 11 179:19 194:18,23 179:19 142:23 177:13 174:23 177:13 174:23 177:13 174:23 177:13 174:23 177:14 185:2 186:20 190:12 186:20 190:12 190:11 177:10 176:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:11 177:10 176:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:11 177:13 10:11 179:11 170:11 1					•
124:5 131:21 133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 156:10 157:9 202:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 geereral 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generally 147:17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
133:14 146:8,22 148:14 149:8 173:22 132:25 133:2,5 166:21,22 165:14 132:25 133:2,5 166:17 169:12 171:13 174:23 176:1,4 183:2,4 176:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 190:11 10:17,18 120:14 159:13 173:5,6,21 175:7 204:10,11 206:16 159:13 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,24 190:19 194:3 178:2 183:2,22 178:2 183:2,24 190:19 194:3 178:2 183:2,3 178:2 183:2,3 178:2 183:2,3 178:2 183:2,3 178:2 183:3 183:3 183	-				S
148:14 149:8 156:10 157:9 202:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gather 130:19 Gary 175:4 gear 175:4 gear 175:4 gear 175:4 gear 175:4 gear 175:4 gear 183:12 183:139:22 171:13 174:23 176:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 186:10 183:7,8 169:18,18,18 176:1,4 183:2,4 169:1,0,16,17 169:1,0,16,17 169:1,1,21 169:1,0,16,17 169:1,2,11 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:1,1,1,2,1 169:1,1,1,2,1 169:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:1,1,1,2,1 169:1,4 183:2,4 183:17 185:2 186:20 190:12 190:1,1,1,2,1 169:1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S
156:10 157:9		153:8,19 164:23	131:17,20 132:18	164:21,22 165:14	guide 185:19
202:15 gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 general 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generally 147:17 202:15 142:14 145:6 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 148:22 150:1 159:13 160:13 169:18,18,18 169:11 175:7 169:1,17 200:21 190:1,17 200:1 190:1,17 200:			132:25 133:2,5	166:17 169:12	guilty 113:5
gaining 15:11 gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 general 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9, 83:13 17:17 generally 147:17 generally 147:17		0	138:1 139:22	171:13 174:23	guinea 73:11
gallery 100:9 game 21:1 179:18 gaps 203:19 Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 157:138:19 139:23 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 generall 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generally 147:17 generally 147:17		65:14 70:24 76:3	142:14 145:6	176:1,4 183:2,4	74:14 76:7,10
game 21:1 179:18 137:2 143:1,21 162:14 163:17 192:19 194:2 guv 169:17,22 guv 1			148:22 150:1	183:17 185:2	Gurney 113:8
gaps 203:19 152:1 154:8 169:5,10,16,17 196:1,17 200:21 guy 8:18,19,21,21 gatehouse 156:6 gives 38:16 100:23 173:5,6,21 175:7 204:10,11 206:16 guy 8:18,19,21,21 gather 130:19 giving 16:16 47:21 178:2 183:2,22 going?' 33:24 going?' 33:24 71:15 78:7 114:6 gather 130:19 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 19:19 194:18,23 54:2 good 1:3,4 5:21 115:15 116:3,3 gear 175:4 138:19 139:22 glach 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 16:16,16 138:2 geezer 26:14,15 glass 63:12,13 glos 38:15 39:7 50:4 87:1 91:13 68:15 85:3 128:8 128:8 138:1 4:17 5:9 6:15 glorification 50:13 115:10 153:6 190:9 going 2:5 15:19,19 17:23 18:1 19:10 government 145:19 49:9 50:23 71:7 generally 147:17 49:8 180:4 gloves 35:5 94:16 27:21,24 29:12 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\cup
Gary 58:25 gatehouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 general 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generally 147:17 Gatebouse 156:6 gates 204:6,6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 gear 175:4 generally 147:17 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 169:18,18,18 173:5,6,21 175:7 173:5,6,21 175:7 173:5,6,21 175:7 173:5,6,21 175:7 173:5,6,21 175:7 173:21 173:5,6,21 175:7 176:3 177:2,13 178:2 183:2,22 183:25 184:6,20 187:1 190:24 187:1 190:24 191:19 194:18,23 196:22 197:5 198:23 203:22 190:18 203:17 204:10,11 206:16	O	137:2 143:1,21	162:14 163:17	192:19 194:2	guv 169:17,22
gatehouse 156:6 gives 38:16 100:23 173:5,6,21 175:7 204:10,11 206:16 33:14 42:18 gates 204:6,6 giving 16:16 47:21 176:3 177:2,13 going?' 33:24 33:14 42:18 gather 130:19 giving 16:16 47:21 178:2 183:2,22 gonna 52:4 53:6 114:22 115:1,14 Gatwick 124:4 72:15 73:21 183:25 184:6,20 187:1 190:24 good 1:3,4 5:21 115:15 116:3,3 gear 175:4 geared 133:24 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 good 1:3,4 5:21 116:16,16 138:2 geed 199:19 glad 122:11 glass 63:12,13 go' 28:5 68:15 85:3 128:8 186:23 guy's 160:25 geezer 26:14,15 glasses 90:19 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 16:10 175:16,18 16:10 175:16,18 176:21 186:3 guy's 160:25	0 1			_	
gates 204:6,6 159:23 176:3 177:2,13 going?' 33:24 71:15 78:7 114:6 gather 130:19 Gatwick 124:4 159:16 205:10 georal 175:4 going?' 33:24 71:15 78:7 114:6 gear 175:4 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 54:2 good 1:3,4 5:21 115:15 116:3,3 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 glad 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 161:4 166:14 geed 199:19 glass 63:12,13 glass 63:12,13 glasses 90:19 goes 38:15 39:7 50:4 87:1 91:13 68:15 85:3 128:8 guy's 160:25 gu			169:18,18,18	202:18 203:17	
gather 130:19 giving 16:16 47:21 178:2 183:2,22 gonna 52:4 53:6 114:22 115:1,14 Gatwick 124:4 72:15 73:21 183:25 184:6,20 gonna 52:4 53:6 114:22 115:1,14 159:16 205:10 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 good 1:3,4 5:21 115:15 116:3,3 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 geat 199:19 glad 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 161:4 166:14 186:23 geezer 26:14,15 glass 63:12,13 gloss 38:15 39:7 50:4 87:1 91:13 68:15 85:3 128:8 guy's 160:25 general 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 glorification 50:13 115:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 government 49:9 50:23 71:7 generality 89:18 glorifying 38:4 glorigying 38:4 going 2:5 15:19,19 17:23 18:1 19:10 governor 132:4,6 grab 173:12 171:19,23 206:22 gym 9:22 169:18 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10 192:24,24 193:10	0	gives 38:16 100:23		· ·	
Gatwick 124:4 72:15 73:21 183:25 184:6,20 54:2 115:15 116:3,3 159:16 205:10 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 good 1:3,4 5:21 116:16,16 138:2 geared 133:24 138:19 139:22 glad 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 161:4 166:14 138:7 98:20,21 glasse 63:12,13 go' 28:5 goes 38:15 39:7 56:23 64:2,13 guy's 160:25 general 1:18 4:16 glorification 50:13 15:10 153:6 16:10 175:16,18 15:18 16:3,3 4:17 5:9 6:15 glorify 180:5 190:9 government 68:9,9 83:13 glorifying 38:4 49:8 180:4 17:23 18:1 19:10 governor 132:4,6 49:9 50:23 71:7 generallty 147:17 gloves 35:5 94:16 27:21,24 29:12 grab 173:12 gwm 9:22 169:18			176:3 177:2,13	going?' 33:24	71:15 78:7 114:6
159:16 205:10 89:19,19 118:20 187:1 190:24 good 1:3,4 5:21 116:16,16 138:2 152:19 191:19 194:18,23 6:18 9:21 15:7 138:5 160:25 138:19 139:22 ged 199:19 glass 63:12,13 glasses 90:19 92:19 glass 63:12,13 glasses 90:19 92:19 glorification 50:13 15:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 glorify 180:5 glorifying 38:4 49:8 180:4 49:8 180:4 gloves 35:5 94:16 good 1:3,4 5:21 116:16,16 138:2 138:5 160:25 138:5 160:25 161:4 166:14 186:23 guy's 160:25 guy's 9:22 10:14,17 15:18 16:3 49:6 176:21 186:3 guy's 9:22 10:14,17 15:18 16:3 49:6 176:21 186:3 government 145:19 government 145:19 government 145:19 governor 132:4,6 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 gym 9:22 169:18 171:19,23 206:22 gym 9:22 169:18 171:19,23 20		giving 16:16 47:21	178:2 183:2,22	gonna 52:4 53:6	114:22 115:1,14
gear 175:4 152:19 191:19 194:18,23 6:18 9:21 15:7 138:5 160:25 geared 133:24 glad 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 161:4 166:14 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 glass 63:12,13 go' 28:5 68:15 85:3 128:8 guy's 160:25 geezer 26:14,15 glasses 90:19 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 161:10 175:16,18 15:18 16:3 49:6 4:17 5:9 6:15 glorification 50:13 glorify 180:5 190:9 government 145:19 49:9 50:23 71:7 68:9,9 83:13 49:8 180:4 49:8 180:4 17:23 18:1 19:10 governor 132:4,6 171:19,23 206:22 gym 9:22 169:18 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10 192:24,24 193:10			183:25 184:6,20	-	115:15 116:3,3
geared 133:24 glad 122:11 196:22 197:5 42:10 55:24,25 161:4 166:14 138:19 139:22 geed 199:19 glass 63:12,13 go' 28:5 68:15 85:3 128:8 guy's 160:25 geezer 26:14,15 glasses 90:19 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 161:10 175:16,18 15:18 16:3 49:6 general 1:18 4:16 glorification 50:13 15:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 49:9 50:23 71:7 68:9,9 83:13 glorifying 38:4 glorigying 38:4 going 2:5 15:19,19 145:19 120:19 141:19 171:17 49:8 180:4 27:21,24 29:12 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10		89:19,19 118:20		good 1:3,4 5:21	116:16,16 138:2
138:19 139:22 glancing 112:21 glass 63:12,13 go' 28:5 goes 38:15 39:7 128:8 138:1 15:18 16:3 49:6 guys 9:22 10:14,17 15:18 16:3 49:6 glorify 180:5 glorify 180:5 glorify 180:5 glorify 180:5 glorify 180:4 49:8 180:4 glores 35:5 94:16 gor 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 gloridy 180:5 governor 132:4,6 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 g	O .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
geed 199:19 glass 63:12,13 go' 28:5 68:15 85:3 128:8 guy's 160:25 geezer 26:14,15 36:7 98:20,21 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 161:10 175:16,18 128:8 138:1 15:18 16:3 49:6 general 1:18 4:16 glorification 50:13 115:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 49:9 50:23 71:7 68:9,9 83:13 glorifying 38:4 going 2:5 15:19,19 145:19 78:3 80:5 114:1 generality 89:18 gloves 35:5 94:16 27:21,24 29:12 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10	U	O		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	161:4 166:14
geezer 26:14,15 glasses 90:19 goes 38:15 39:7 128:8 138:1 guys 9:22 10:14,17 36:7 98:20,21 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 161:10 175:16,18 15:18 16:3 49:6 4:17 5:9 6:15 glorification 50:13 115:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 49:9 50:23 71:7 68:9,9 83:13 glorifying 38:4 glorifying 38:4 going 2:5 15:19,19 145:19 120:19 141:19 generality 89:18 gloves 35:5 94:16 27:21,24 29:12 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10 192:24,24 193:10		glancing 112:21	198:23 203:22	56:23 64:2,13	186:23
36:7 98:20,21 general 1:18 4:16 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generality 89:18 generally 147:17 36:7 98:20,21 92:19 50:4 87:1 91:13 15:10 175:16,18 175:21 186:3 176:21 1	U	glass 63:12,13	go' 28:5	68:15 85:3 128:8	guy's 160:25
general 1:18 4:16 glorification 50:13 115:10 153:6 176:21 186:3 49:9 50:23 71:7 4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 glorifying 38:4 glorifying 38:4 going 2:5 15:19,19 145:19 120:19 141:19 171:17 49:8 180:4 17:23 18:1 19:10 governor 132:4,6 171:19,23 206:22 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10		O	goes 38:15 39:7	128:8 138:1	guys 9:22 10:14,17
4:17 5:9 6:15 68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generality 89:18 generally 147:17 glorify 180:5 glorifying 38:4 49:8 180:4 gloves 35:5 94:16 generally 147:17 generally 147:17 glorify 180:5 glorifying 38:4 49:8 180:4 190:9 government 145:19 governor 132:4,6 grab 173:12 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18	· ·	92:19	50:4 87:1 91:13	161:10 175:16,18	15:18 16:3 49:6
68:9,9 83:13 171:17 generality 89:18 generally 147:17 generally 147:17 generality 89:18 generally 147:17	S	O		176:21 186:3	49:9 50:23 71:7
171:17 generality 89:18 generally 147:17 49:8 180:4 gloves 35:5 94:16 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 governor 132:4,6 grab 173:12 192:24,24 193:10 171:19,23 206:22 gym 9:22 169:18		glorify 180:5	190:9		78:3 80:5 114:1
generality 89:18 gloves 35:5 94:16 27:21,24 29:12 grab 173:12 gym 9:22 169:18 generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10 192:24,24 193:10	· ·	glorifying 38:4	going 2:5 15:19,19	145:19	120:19 141:19
generally 147:17 go 7:13 10:2,2,2 30:3,4 31:14,16 192:24,24 193:10		49:8 180:4	17:23 18:1 19:10		171:19,23 206:22
	·	0	27:21,24 29:12	grab 173:12	gym 9:22 169:18
generation 66:16 H	· ·	go 7:13 10:2,2,2	30:3,4 31:14,16	192:24,24 193:10	
	generation 66:16				<u>H</u>
		•		•	

				Page 220
ha 94:4,4	120:20 130:17	heard 6:22 11:8,19	127:16 206:9	202:12
habit 108:19	174:1 178:25	11:20 17:1 22:19	him' 63:1	hoping 183:8
half 18:12 130:18	188:2 203:8,23	22:21 38:21	him?' 34:1	horrible 4:6,7,8,13
130:21 154:9	happening 26:4	45:11 46:4 50:7	hindsight 116:13	4:16,20,21 7:15
177:6 185:1	168:18 175:9	50:9 63:8 65:16	151:24	10:1 12:8 77:18
191:20	177:6	73:22 86:12	history 3:11 72:8	86:15 87:3
halfway 33:16	happy 6:9 18:9	107:6,6,14,16,18	74:11 123:18	hose 35:25 36:1
39:21 46:23	58:4 175:9,13,13	107:19 118:24	hit 63:13 106:15	hospital 15:23
48:17	175:16 176:16	127:25 165:16	106:23 168:24	55:8,8,15 56:22
hammered 137:5	178:7 201:2	178:24 197:13	Hmm 199:25,25	62:6,24 64:18
hand 26:14,16	harassment 113:6	198:3 199:6,10	HMP 125:16,23,24	hostage 145:17,19
27:1,2 53:25	hard 24:12 61:12	199:16	127:1 143:13	hot 129:22
56:4 57:6,8	hardened 10:17	hearing 11:12	hold 24:10,17	hotel 204:4
61:21 98:20,21	Harris 22:8	22:22 49:18,21	25:11,17,18 26:2	hour 27:23 68:17
99:4 101:9 107:2	harsh 146:16	50:11 78:20	33:25 41:13 52:7	88:16 130:18,20
132:5 185:17,18	hated 96:2	171:23,24 187:12	52:7 53:15 72:12	130:20 174:16
192:13 206:19	hater 166:21,23	207:16	101:1 114:25	hours 7:15 69:22
hand-held 160:23	hatred 172:15	hears 69:8	115:10 139:22	91:5,8 136:23,23
handcuff 144:18	174:10	heart 75:8	150:14 185:13,15	136:24 142:12
handcuffed 55:7	he'll 32:10,10 33:2	heavily 70:7	197:16	178:15 180:9
handcuffs 144:9	192:1	heavy 18:8 146:18	holding 53:24 56:4	183:3 204:19
144:11,14,16,17	head 34:6 58:24	146:20	94:22	House 1:20,25 4:3
144:19,20,24	59:17,24 100:16	height 35:9	holds 40:18 43:25	6:5 7:24 8:23
153:4	101:1 106:24	held 68:5 115:17	139:8,9,24	12:11 13:22 14:7
handed 110:16	114:25 115:15,16	138:23 140:2	150:12	14:12 16:15 17:6
160:4 161:3	158:25 186:13	hell 4:21,23,23	holing 100:17	17:19 18:1 37:20
handful 136:8	190:15	51:20,22	home 3:18 7:7,13	40:23 44:22 68:7
handlers 178:7	head' 187:19	helmet 138:6	7:25 8:7 16:5	71:10 75:14
handling 141:16	head?' 187:16	196:24	25:21 39:12 62:1	78:25 91:20
hands 39:11 43:7	headache 34:7	helmets 146:24	77:15 78:11	108:4 109:12
105:8 129:7	heading 69:19	help 2:7 16:14	82:17 83:21,22	110:11 111:8
137:13 176:4	72:3 75:23	17:23 23:5 28:25	91:11,12 96:7	121:24 123:19,20
hang 28:4 52:15	127:10 145:7	29:1 52:25 88:12	108:1 110:13	123:21 124:7,12
hangars 126:1	148:12	166:19	113:25 114:3	124:14,16 125:9
happen 14:25	health 16:21 62:5	helpful 120:3	122:2 130:24	127:12 128:18
17:14 67:22	62:23 69:5,7,14	helpline 110:24	131:6 134:4	129:10 134:1,2,6
69:10 94:8 99:7	70:22 71:1,14	111:5	137:5 162:14	135:22,24 142:25
99:9,10 100:3	151:2 158:25	hernia 62:17	165:8 171:5	143:9,11 144:7
101:20 111:9	181:5	hero 116:15	173:6	147:6,23 151:1
172:24 173:17	healthcare 70:10	117:20,23 118:1	homophobic	152:15 154:7
188:2 190:25	71:14 76:21	118:2 179:23	181:19	159:2,7,15,15
191:8 194:4,17	90:14 111:2,3	hey 111:18 176:2	honest 166:9	167:20 169:10
194:17 203:5	159:20 167:24	high 76:2 163:9	honestly 34:1	170:3,23 172:22
happened 3:24	168:1	high-level 143:19	58:25 93:14,20	179:2,5 186:4,4
26:19 35:17	hear 27:13 37:25	149:12	199:7,7	190:8 193:17
37:19 38:11 52:9	49:1 82:5 107:8	higher 153:17	hope 136:11	201:5 203:5,22
65:3 86:10 91:1	107:10,12,14	highlight 148:21	171:23 183:5	204:1,2,3,7,13,22
91:20 100:1	112:5 116:10	highlighted 149:18	193:8 201:12	HR 2:9
101:20 114:21	125:13,22,23	highlighting	hopefulness	human 92:3 109:5
	•	•	•	

Page 221

				Page 221
			l	
109:10	improvements	161:6 174:6	181:8	intercepted 167:22
humiliating 147:2	16:12	175:11	inquiry's 189:6	interest 182:8
hundred 32:3	in' 38:23	individual's 14:4	inserted 188:6	interested 10:8
hurting 26:12	inappropriate	individuals 20:9	inside 90:21	62:20 159:2
	22:12,15 23:13	76:20,21 137:12	110:11 133:21	interfered 86:2,8
<u> </u>	29:10 45:24	156:11 161:6	165:11 168:25	internal 133:13
ID 169:13,16,17	58:14,19 69:11	175:11	insofar 89:5	internment 66:9
169:22	78:22 147:2	industry 1:24	151:10 152:14	66:19
idea 33:12 52:20	149:17	inevitably 144:2	inspected 153:7	interrogated 64:25
52:22 59:10	inaudible 42:17,20	infection 78:23	instance 56:13	interrogation 64:7
74:13 76:20 92:6	53:21	influence 69:20	144:9 160:17	79:25 80:6,9
136:11 155:14	inception 145:24	70:7,9,16	200:24	82:21 84:2
176:19 186:3	incident 23:21	informal 153:11	instigator 90:18	intervened 115:20
ideal 67:10	24:16 25:6,10,20	information 37:10	instigators 15:9	intervention 7:5
identical 87:9	30:11 36:22	69:17,17 71:25	instruct 126:21	120:11
identified 3:5,7	42:25 44:2,3	73:2 110:5 207:4	instructed 124:22	interview 4:11
183:6	45:19 46:18	informed 168:9	138:18 153:20	64:7 80:9 82:4
identify 183:23	48:14 64:16	initial 91:11	instructing 115:3	interviewed 63:16
ideology 144:2	68:23 72:2 74:12	124:22,23 125:17	128:1 201:4	interviewing 80:8
idiot 36:20 180:18	74:25 94:20	126:13 127:25	instruction 136:14	intimacy 192:22
180:20,25 181:6	98:25 99:1,2,16	136:14 154:23	150:15 153:19	introduction 90:17
ill 20:7 71:13	100:5 106:10	161:22 170:19	instructions 14:9	159:19
152:5	107:1,1 112:9	171:8 200:15	instructor 11:4	invested 157:10
illegal 75:23 76:3	113:4,16,19	204:25	124:25 125:1,6,7	investigate 130:25
illicit 83:10	114:21 115:12,23	initially 135:24	125:11 128:4,7	investigated
illness 19:14	117:10 145:17,22	182:15 185:24	128:16,23 129:2	159:15
150:23	162:11 164:21,25	203:9	142:13 143:7,8	investigating 80:5
imagine 62:1	165:5,7,22	initiated 161:1	143:12 146:14	investigation 61:5
77:14 78:10	181:22 187:9	injuries 111:4	153:22 157:20	69:14 98:5 101:6
82:17 83:20,22	incidents 13:13,22	120:16,17	161:18 162:19	108:1 110:4
imam 190:15	43:4 75:11	INN000007 112:15	164:10 180:3	111:12,21,22,23
191:5	145:13,15 159:14		181:23 182:1,6	investigator 82:1
imitate 83:5	168:9,13	input 17:5 168:10	instructor's 126:8	84:1
immediately 64:4	incited 88:11	INQ000101	126:16	investing 157:9
109:22 126:9	include 150:22	113:10	instructors 133:1	invite 172:5
immigrants 68:9	included 109:19	INQ000113 1:11	137:15,20,22	involve 160:22
immigration	includes 110:24	6:2	143:19 145:24	involved 37:5 43:4
110:13 124:4	including 76:14	INQ000120 123:5	146:3,4 149:12	61:18 88:24
impacts 79:7	93:5 112:3	INQ000121 1:15	153:18 160:2	100:5 101:1
impasse 128:12	indecipherable	INQ000124 123:5	164:11 176:24	114:17 128:16
137:11	53:19	inquiry 1:11,14	182:9 203:7	129:3,18 146:20
important 150:15	independently	8:17 9:9 23:20	instrumental	161:6 173:2
imported 144:2	39:16	25:1 65:7,16,24	159:19 164:13	199:19 200:12
149:9	indicate 2:21	73:1 77:20 79:11	insufficient 13:1	206:3,6,11
importing 149:6	193:7 201:11	87:16 90:9,13	integrate 186:3	involving 75:1,11
impression 4:3	indicated 147:14	91:3 97:24 99:14	intention 63:22	179:10
116:9 135:20	individual 43:4	111:25 112:13	Intentionally	Iranians 74:8
impressionist 85:4	96:24 137:23	123:2,4 142:22	146:2	IRCs 159:16
improve 17:8	138:1 139:22	145:2 153:2	intents 204:7	Irish 92:18
improved 16:15				

				Page 222
irrelevant 65:6,6	208:3	95:7,13 96:19	161:25 166:10	190:9 199:20
ISIS 66:3 67:19	jugged 38:23	105:17	167:5,6,7,21	late 122:15 145:12
isolated 96:4	Jules 26:18	killed 49:25 50:5	170:4,15,17	laugh 204:18
isolating 93:6	July 2:10,15 62:11	148:7	170.4,13,17	laughing 94:4
issue 6:20 12:18	123:2 125:1	Killick 137:18	175:15 176:3	172:19 174:7
16:25 70:18	142:25	kind 5:4 11:22	179:17 181:1,4,5	laughs 186:10
147:10 154:3	jump 130:1 165:14	22:16 59:6 68:4	184:16 189:9,13	law 148:18 161:25
156:17 162:6	173:15	73:11 121:6,11	189:14 190:1,1	lawful 160:16
issued 169:14	jumped 39:9	143:23 146:17	192:10 193:11	lay 47:15 176:4
issues 16:21 55:5	June 3:15 20:23	176:22 181:10 199:20	194:1,13 199:18	laying 70:11
62:23 69:7	22:3 57:4 61:24		199:24 200:25	layout 114:4
138:21 151:3	62:4,22 65:25	kindly 18:2	202:7,10,13	lead 30:4 83:3
it' 116:12	68:24 69:18,22	kit 9:25 39:12	205:11 206:8 know' 26:20	lead-up 164:23
it'd 206:3	70:15 72:5,7,20	120:18,19 121:7		leading 30:3 75:18
It'll 14:25	73:3,3 74:25	121:8	knowingly 76:7	leads 78:22 79:2,4
ITC 136:14 138:13	75:5,10,19 76:14	kitted 175:4	knowledge 70:1	79:6 111:8 149:7
156:3 160:2	82:16 106:10,17	knackered 32:10	207:1	171:24 180:13
204:24 205:6,12	106:21 108:3	32:23 33:2 48:21	known 19:19,25	leans 44:3
205:17	110:11,22,25,25	kneeling 43:6	66:23 70:18,22	learned 13:13,18
item 72:13	111:3,3,5	knew 11:3 56:4	73:13 132:8,8	40:6
items 73:22	justification	139:17 153:16	143:1	learnt 131:2,5
ivory 5:7	195:15 197:25	161:25 202:14	knows 92:16	133:23
J	198:5,6,16	knocks 169:21	Kosovo 75:7	leave 142:12 156:1
Jack 137:17	199:14	know 16:7 18:16	L	169:15
Jamaicans 177:22	<u>K</u>	20:13,14 24:21	196:14	leaving 70:6 96:12
January 1:13	$\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}}$ 96:14	24:22 27:7 31:18	L-U-N-N 166:12	Lee 36:21
131:11	keep 26:12 56:19	32:22,24,24 33:5	L-Y-D-E-N 135:15	left 7:20 15:15
jaw 26:17,25 62:13	78:8 123:15	34:15 36:2,3,20	labelled 201:7	27:25 72:9 96:7
98:23 99:5,19,24	139:24 202:22	39:18 42:1 43:2	lack 56:12,12	100:15 104:23
		43:21,22 48:23	120:4	106:24 134:20
jest 81:14 Jihadists 66:2	keeps 53:22 62:9 62:25	49:3 50:9 51:11	-	135:18 142:25
job 2:1 4:10,11	KENCOV1019	51:19,22 55:22	lady 155:6 182:13 laid 105:7	152:20 158:4
64:21 65:12	183:5 196:16	58:22,23,25 59:7	lake 26:11,13	183:20 185:17
67:16 94:9	KENCOV1035	59:12 60:4,16	98:18 99:1,2,20	188:20 191:5
133:17 156:14	84:16	65:6 70:12 71:22	99:20 105:3	legal 93:5,7
		72:10 74:15,17	Lake's 28:8	legally 114:19
157:10,11 159:3 159:22,23 161:4	kept 93:2 109:9 kick 176:3 177:13	76:4,9,11 80:13	landed 160:3	legend 139:13
164:7,21 165:24	kicked 15:15 39:2	85:10 86:3,11	language 5:9,10	legitimate 45:21
John 11:3,4,19	kicking 39:3	89:18 91:22	19:15 21:13,25	lends 195:24
1 1	kicks 115:22	92:20 98:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	length 139:24
122:21,25 153:8	187:15	102:12,23 103:21	22:12,16,19,22	lesson 125:20
153:19 172:14,20		114:13 115:1	23:1,10,11,11,12	lessons 13:13,17
174:9 180:3	kid 56:24	116:2 117:25	27:15 30:8 37:6	131:2,5
181:23 182:6	Kidlington 125:16	118:15 119:10,10	38:6 58:9,12	let's 13:9 26:5 27:6
187:15 208:3	125:23 126:17,25	119:13 134:6	97:25 105:20	27:9 29:2,3,4
join 66:3	132:25 133:2	141:13,22 142:2	110:19 122:10	33:15 39:20
joint 143:6	135:25 140:11	142:23 149:5,5,9	149:18 171:18,19	50:17 51:13 61:1
joke 19:17 204:18	153:17,18,22	151:25 154:1	176:8,11,12,22	61:15 62:21
Jon 149:24	kill 52:4 53:6	155:11 158:6	181:11 182:22	65:21 71:24
Joseph 122:21,25	67:20 94:17,24		184:20 187:5,21	

				Page 223
	l	l 	<u> </u>	1
82:13 84:15,21	list 52:25 150:21	locks 173:20	lose 4:1 148:22	130:5 179:9
87:20 90:2 96:23	151:4	190:24	lost 3:20 10:15	194:6,9 200:2
96:25 98:13	listed 83:19	log 194:15	113:4 116:12	male 31:7,24 33:8
100:19 117:8	listen 15:18 16:8	long 3:2 23:18	117:12 131:16	47:3,9 49:14
131:24 133:6	57:10,10,12 63:1	28:13 45:22	161:4 168:14	106:14 148:2
183:9 184:2,7	63:1 80:18	63:18 118:17	179:2	185:6 186:11
194:18 196:18	102:20 184:14	124:7 128:11	lot 5:19,19,20,21	195:10 199:10
197:5,17,21	186:9,9,21,21	137:10 202:22	5:22 9:20 15:6	206:15
letter 2:8,21,22	187:1,17,22	207:6	28:20 35:1 46:22	man 5:2 7:19 8:12
3:17	188:7,14 197:5	look 5:24 13:10	50:1 65:1,3,4	8:13,14,16 20:17
letters 96:14	listened 15:13	17:21 23:24	93:25 97:12	32:14 43:7 50:22
letting 104:15	85:18 122:12	24:25 26:5 27:6	108:23 112:1,3	52:3 53:5 54:9
level 152:8 153:17 161:13 168:25	listening 15:12	27:9 30:18 31:5	139:9 141:9,9,19	54:23 64:20 69:13 71:18 72:8
	34:7 45:18 202:11	40:11 57:17 58:6	141:20 142:1 148:12 149:5	
liaised 159:25 library 111:1	literally 26:12	61:2,8,11,11,13 62:1,7,21 63:20	151:1,4 169:11	73:4 74:11,13,15 90:19 91:23 93:8
lie 42:5,12	114:14	64:2 65:21 67:24	169:12	94:24 95:1 99:19
life 17:3,6 28:14	little 3:8 4:3 6:13	68:25 71:15,24	lots 57:3 81:9	99:23 100:5,25
28:21,24 65:3	26:9 27:22 29:5	72:22 74:9,9,19	93:25	101:17,19,21,22
170:3,11	67:16 79:21	76:15 77:1,14,14	loud 114:6 116:16	102:3,5,15,19,21
life-or-death 76:16	82:20 101:13	78:10 82:13	116:16	102.5,5,15,19,21
lift 187:9,10,12,15	114:8 122:15	83:20 84:15 86:8	Loughton 135:8	114:12 120:7
188:3,14	134:15 152:23,23	87:20 88:19 90:2	love 35:4,6 49:15	148:7 179:16
lifts 47:16	155:1 159:9	91:19 96:23,25	59:22	180:16 188:9
light 82:4 86:1	183:22 194:21	98:13 100:4,20	loved 166:10,11	191:12 199:20
153:12	196:19	106:6 108:11	lover 166:21,22,23	man's 20:6 79:16
liked 37:25 155:17	live 14:20 43:2	121:19 127:10	166:25	100:16
likes 49:5	lives 28:17,20	161:10 167:8	low 115:17,18	manage 12:15
limit 85:12	living 10:19	176:22 181:12	luck 76:18	119:20 204:23
limited 150:12	Livingston 99:14	184:2,23 187:22	Luckily 15:20	managed 12:13,15
line 18:13 19:6	load 37:11 46:25	188:17 190:13,21	lunch 89:1,8,19	112:25 129:22
21:8 27:19 31:4	97:25,25	191:3 193:14	122:2	185:3
53:13 58:21 60:7	loading 47:1	194:18 206:21	Lundgren 82:24	management 4:10
74:24 75:4,24	loads 38:1	looked 26:8 58:11	85:20	5:6 10:4 153:9
76:1 77:11 79:16	local 143:8,8,9,10	76:15 82:14	Lunn 166:12	154:21 155:16
79:17,19 81:13	143:11,12 146:3	95:14 98:13	luxury 16:9	156:8 206:24
113:14 116:5	146:4 164:10	108:8 171:3	Lyden 135:14	manager 2:14,18
120:1 125:8	locally 152:14	176:23 196:12	lying 25:23	2:19 3:7 25:13
175:2 177:13	locate 67:15	looking 8:19 12:25		25:18 106:24
185:3 190:21	located 87:24	29:15 53:4 56:13	M	108:12 121:12,21
191:21 194:19	location 72:2	70:17 74:22	macho-aggressive	125:8 144:13
lines 51:15 124:20	141:5 188:15	84:24 113:18	147:8	155:22,23 160:1
173:23 177:5	lock 7:14 46:25	130:8 138:17	mad 178:8	165:8
182:7 197:1	67:1	139:3 141:11	main 15:9 24:4	managers 10:13
198:22	lock-up 15:4 90:21	155:5,6 160:22	57:19 90:18	15:4 17:5
lip 26:19,25 38:25	lockdown 173:12	204:21,22	92:15 95:22 96:3	managing 139:4
98:23 187:23	locked 8:1 10:16	looks 35:23 42:15	Majesty's 125:24	145:13
lip?' 26:19	10:19 94:2	58:8 88:23 89:4	make?' 116:9	manipulate 18:7
liquid 129:23	175:24	loosen 103:8	making 57:3 64:9	manipulative
			92:24 98:7 99:6	

				Page 224
168:23	154:4 155:19,19	62.22 60.5 7 14	minute 28:4 46:25	113:25
manipulator 20:19	154:4 155:19,19	62:23 69:5,7,14 70:21 71:1,14	51:1 68:14 72:7	
manner 69:11	165:5 167:24	73:8 150:23	77:9 88:10 151:9	money 7:11 163:24
74:2 83:15	169:8 172:2	151:2 181:4	minutes 56:3 63:5	mong 58:6,11
many's 42:19	182:22 190:8	mentally 20:12	183:18 203:3	month 3:3 54:22
March 1:1 23:21	197:14 198:5	152:5	misconduct 61:18	54:25 55:14
24:1,7 124:2	means 5:25 25:25	mention 5:10 8:17	161:13	157:25 189:19
167:13 207:17	61:11 156:5	168:11 186:7	misery 21:5	months 125:13
mark 111:4	192:15 203:18	mentioned 19:15	misidentified	127:6 206:4
138:15	meant 19:24 32:21	19:16 110:12,22	182:19	morning 1:3,4
marked 74:22	32:25 33:1 41:1	110:25 111:6	misleading 69:12	65:10 92:10
masculine 146:7	85:3 92:7 127:15	120:4 134:14	_	118:17 177:7
146:21 148:15	170:1	138:3 139:13	misogynistic 181:20 182:23	
140.21 146.13	Mechanics 150:6	206:14	missed 27:13 28:1	mortgage 7:21 mother 62:2 77:15
mash 191:25 192:9	mechanism 44:23		34:3 36:6 47:21	78:11 82:17
Massage 190:22	58:17 65:2 81:14	merely 129:17	49:23 50:2,2	
mat 125:21	mechanisms 56:9	messages 74:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	83:21,23
mat 123:21 match 35:15,16		messenger 73:25	missing 73:20 92:14 146:9	mouth 97:19,20 101:11 103:8
match 35:15,16 mate 34:6,7 39:4	medical 19:21 44:13 69:19	messing 18:15 19:9 148:24	92:14 146:9 missis 39:4,9	101:11 103:8
39:11 40:4 49:13	70:25 71:16 75:5	method 146:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	150:12 177:24
66:5,12	75:11,18 88:13	methods 145:16	misspelt 172:13 mistake' 96:8	178:4 188:11,22
mate' 38:20	130:9 139:25	146:8 148:9		move 10:24 61:1
matted 154:10		metres 154:17,17	mistakes 146:25 mistreated 109:25	88:1 149:21
155:4	150:4,6,7,8,17	187:12	mistreated 109.23	moved 33:7,7
matter 3:11 44:4	151:6,11,12 167:16,17,25	Michael 137:17	109:23 113:15	114:16 124:16
55:14 86:25	168:9 186:1	Michelle 2:9	mixed 137:19	204:1,7
89:18 113:9	medically 20:13	155:24,25	MMA 40:9,11,13	movement 150:11
142:24 180:25	20:20 151:16	middle 205:15	40:14	169:11
195:14 199:13	medicine 127:20	miffed 166:6,7	Mmm 152:7	movie 81:13,16
206:3	151:18	mimic 86:24	MMPR 139:4,12	82:24 86:25
matters 1:19 96:15	Medway 149:2,3	mimic 80.24 mimicking 41:11	139:16,18,23	movies 83:3
Matty 33:10,12	161:18	mimicking 41.11 mimicry 85:19	140:3,4,7,16,16	muffle 177:24
maximum 154:15	meeting 163:14	mimics 42:2,3	141:1,15,17,20	mug 38:13,20
154:20	meetings 17:5	mind 39:24 61:9	142:18,23 143:1	multiple 77:21
McDonald's	163:3,4,4,5,15	61:13 77:1 80:12	143:4,5 150:18	Munroe 181:15,15
170:14	member 114:7	84:16 86:6 90:2	185:16,20,22	murder 39:13
me' 69:8	120:10 185:6	127:18 131:6	moaning 178:2	murderers 10:16
mean 6:1 10:22	186:12 190:14	135:21 142:9	mobile 38:18	Murphy 1:6,8,9,10
32:17,23 36:1	members 97:12	147:7 180:22,23	92:13,22 95:24	1:18 2:17 8:24
37:1 40:23 47:14	118:21 133:17	181:5 192:21	96:6,12	10:23 16:9 17:7
54:12 55:22 56:2	145:21	197:22	moment 40:14	17:21 18:23 20:8
57:16 59:17	memorial 92:6	mind-set 137:9	61:1 62:21 70:20	21:13 22:4 23:3
60:11,15 77:8,25	memory 77:7	200:2,4	74:23 133:7	24:2,8,12,13,20
83:14 86:18	93:17 124:2	mine 60:1 82:8	183:14 184:25	25:7,13,16 26:9
89:20 105:11	139:14 203:5	89:14 116:23	momentarily	26:24 28:10 29:6
107:13 118:6,7	men 59:6 60:20,22	mini 140:23 141:1	181:12	30:23 37:21
119:16,20 130:4	69:25 70:16	152:23	moments 100:10	39:20 40:22 43:2
131:13 137:16	mental 16:21	mini-folder 152:24	101:4	44:12 45:3,12
138:10 143:9	20:20 55:5 62:5	Minimising 139:4	Monday 91:9	46:13 47:23 49:7
150.10 1 15.7	20.20 33.3 02.3	Banning 1991T	1.1011411, 71.7	10.15 17.25 17.7
	l		l	I

				Page 225
50 0 20 51 2 15	124 25 166 17	12 2 14 25 22 10]	101 1 104 5
50:8,20 51:2,15	134:25 166:17	12:3 14:25 22:19	non-compliant	181:1 184:5
52:20 57:16 61:6	193:18	22:21,25 40:6	187:18	203:12 206:2
63:7,10,13,19,21	narcotic 76:3	42:17 48:9 52:18	nonsensical	occasion 70:15
63:24 64:9,12	narcotics 75:24	55:20,20,21	136:10	105:7 129:19
65:12,24 68:16	Nathan 22:8	60:17 70:24 85:4	nonsmoking 170:6	173:24 174:2,25
68:22 69:18	134:24 145:1,8	94:8 95:2,3,5,7	norm 171:25	occasions 5:18
70:17 72:23	148:24	96:10 101:20	normal 47:8	8:17 39:19 55:15
74:20 76:13	national 132:9	106:2 107:14,19	normally 129:4	95:19 106:9
79:13 80:4 81:22	143:12 145:13	107:20 108:7	130:17	120:20 121:9
83:6,9 84:8,18,24	146:3,6 153:21	117:2,4,6,10,13	nose 36:12	172:14 174:10
85:8,12 87:18	nationalities	117:25 119:12,25	not' 73:24	occupancy 109:11
88:20,21 89:15	206:18	129:7 149:10	note 25:7 91:16	occupation 127:19
91:22 92:16,17	nationally 145:11	150:13 153:24	111:3 150:8	occurred 42:25
92:20 93:3,9,25	145:15	156:15 158:13,14	noted 24:24	106:11 159:14
94:15,23,25 96:3	Nationals 132:2,3	158:18,20 171:18		187:9,21
96:6,16 99:9	132:3,5,11,12,13	174:2,3,5,5,6,8	notes 23:23 203:4	occurrence 115:25
100:13,16 101:4	132:18 143:11	182:13,20,21	noticed 59:6	October 3:14
102:10,23 104:3	165:1,13 175:11	187:5,6 192:9	notified 164:20	145:18
104:10 106:4	175:12 177:5	206:11	November 1:20	off' 172:18
107:15 108:17	191:1,8 200:16	new 2:1 14:19 69:7	3:16,20 NTDC 122.0 10	offenders 161:20
109:24,25 110:7	natural 115:25	107:9,11 114:9	NTRG 132:9,10	offered 136:21
111:15 112:8,24	naturally 55:25 nature 138:17	114:10 116:8	145:14,17,23	offhand 9:21
113:20 115:6,14	nature 138:17 near 55:2	138:18 139:3,5 140:6 142:10	146:13,15 number 38:18	office 3:18 24:15
115:18 116:6,15 116:23 117:1,17	nearly 9:8	147:13	47:4 52:24 66:2	26:15 91:11,12 97:11 98:20
118:3,14,16	necessary 70:10	nice 26:20 28:4	155:1 172:14	106:25 108:1
166:23 207:22	110:21 129:8,9	56:23 59:25	174:9 175:2	110:13 113:25
Murphy's 24:12	129:17 130:2	77:19,23 79:12	187:14	110:13 113:23
108:12 111:8	neck 43:7 59:4	86:16 87:2 109:8	numbers 13:5 31:4	130:24 131:6
Murrell 134:20	60:9,15,18 74:3	175:25 199:25	numerous 8:17	134:4 153:10
mushrooming	94:16,24 96:21	204:3	39:19 78:3	162:14 171:5
78:23	105:14 150:13,14		120:20	173:6 187:11,11
Muslim 191:12	need 13:4 14:21	nigger 186:9,11,13	nurse 19:11 42:5	officer 11:4 27:15
	15:18 31:21 37:5	186:21 187:2	51:21,25 52:2,17	31:7,7,24 33:8
N	101:2 121:3	188:7,7,14,22	53:5	45:12 47:3,9
N 11:10 207:20	126:24 163:25	nigger' 187:7,17	nurses 55:6 151:24	49:14 66:11,18
Nah 42:10	164:1 173:8,9	187:23		67:3,7,14,23 74:4
name 1:8 8:18	176:11 184:6	night 7:13 12:24	0	86:18 92:16 93:3
19:23 42:16 51:4	needed 5:2,3	36:5,5 146:19	O'Connor 21:3	94:18 96:3
51:24 74:16,17	159:17 163:24	nine 14:14 121:18	object 192:13	106:12,14,19
74:18 86:18	needs 3:11 16:22	Ninety 14:14	objectivity 158:16	108:17 109:25
88:19,20 89:9,11	47:11 57:6	NMC 52:11	observed 76:2	110:18 111:1
106:12 113:21	negative 147:7	no' 109:2	observing 147:22	112:11 114:19,23
120:15 122:23,24	161:5	no-one 6:8 7:13	obstruction	114:25 115:13
136:5 143:15,16	neither 64:10	25:19 94:19 95:8	150:10	123:23 124:10
160:25 166:16	nervous 147:19	107:20 151:16,18	obvious 58:12	132:18 133:11
172:13	netting 11:9 175:1	188:5	obviously 28:17,21	135:18 144:19
named 75:3	180:17	nods 164:8	42:10 56:4 65:9	145:19 152:23
121:21	never 10:20 11:19	noise 57:3	101:1 114:18	154:19 155:17,20
names 9:20 134:23			123:3 165:10	<u> </u>
	1	I	I	I

				Page 226
	1	1	I	1
164:20 169:6,14	138:12 163:12	137:24 143:1	108:11 109:18,22	119:19 121:11,23
169:17,19,20,21	169:17 170:16	out' 28:3	110:15 111:2	126:2 132:12
169:24 170:8	173:21 188:18	out?' 178:3	112:15,16 113:10	156:20 157:12
173:3,9 186:20	189:11,15	outlining 52:11	145:5 147:9	159:5 162:20
186:25 193:25	okay' 28:3	outlook 142:10	150:1 159:5	170:20 185:4
196:7 198:10,11	old 21:11 168:14	outrageous 37:13	167:10 169:5	190:7 197:22
198:11 201:6,21	168:14 204:4	outside 10:2 24:15	172:6,12 175:1	200:25 201:24
officers 4:23 7:7,8	older 141:13,19	64:4 72:3 96:1	177:3,4 181:17	partake 146:19
12:14,24,25	on' 148:8	132:13 177:9	181:24 184:11,12	participants 65:24
15:14,17,20	once 38:11 117:9	187:9,15 205:9	185:1 190:13,21	participate 90:20
90:22 91:21	126:23 129:2	outstretched 53:25	194:18 197:23	particular 30:20
92:14,23 93:1	141:16,25 142:1	overall 132:18	198:20 201:8	71:19 75:13
94:14 109:8	142:11 188:6,23	155:2,4 170:22	pages 181:17	100:5 113:15
114:10,21 119:3	190:7 200:15	overmuch 44:5	paid 10:5,7	123:19 150:8
129:23,24 130:5	one-day 126:13	159:11	pair 99:6	156:8 162:10
130:16,18 131:15	ones 7:12 55:2	overseeing 121:13	palm 53:25	198:22
131:19,20 133:2	98:12 163:9	oversight 152:16	panel 63:12	particularly 99:22
133:4,5 134:8,10	onwards 134:7	overspeaking 3:24	Panorama 11:6	109:8 168:10
134:16,17,18,23	141:14	overused 56:9	29:21 43:1,8,22	parts 61:14
136:8,9,14,18	Ooh' 38:25	overweight 92:18	44:16 77:5 110:5	Paschali 9:1,19
141:10,11 142:4	open 36:5 47:20	overworked 56:9	110:9 111:7	30:20 31:2,11,17
142:9 147:11,16	61:21 101:2,3,9	Owen 112:10	138:3 146:11	32:2,8,19,25
148:4 149:10,14	123:15 169:5	Oy 27:20	147:20 148:3	33:17 34:2,23
152:4,20 154:17	177:14 187:11		149:25 189:6,17	35:3 36:8 38:3
155:1 156:15,18	opened 38:17 68:1	P	paper 38:18 72:13	38:10 39:8,18
157:18 159:20,24	69:18 135:24	package 139:4,10	72:22	40:5,10,12,19
160:14 163:18	139:5 169:10	142:19 152:19	papers 27:17	41:5,14,20,23
166:5 167:15,25	opener 171:10	186:1	paperwork 91:12	42:9 43:6 44:3,7
169:3 170:7,10	operate 132:13	packed 136:6	120:7	44:18 45:7,9,24
173:10,13 179:25	operation 62:18	packets 152:22	paragraph 5:25	46:4,14,24 47:10
180:1 183:4	144:18	padded 155:2	13:5 14:10 16:11	47:18 48:14,15
186:10 190:19	operational	page 18:10,13	17:7 25:2 80:19	48:17 49:1,8,16
198:13 200:4	124:24 145:20	20:23 22:3 23:23	90:11 91:2,14	49:24 118:25
203:10,16,21	159:20	23:24 25:2,3	93:23 108:11	148:5 166:19
204:20,22 206:3	opinion 14:2 187:8	26:8 27:12 30:22	109:20 113:17	Paschali's 43:13
206:5,10	187:24	33:6 38:16 39:20	118:10 123:18	pass 72:3,12,22
official 91:12	opposite 187:10	46:21 48:12,12	124:18 127:10	127:2,7 138:13
oftentimes 120:18	option 161:9,11,12	50:18 51:14,18	131:10 145:9	138:14 156:3
120:23	options 161:8	53:9,12 56:25,25	150:2 159:6	179:18 205:2,18
Oh 8:18 18:22	order 97:19 103:8	58:1,20 61:15,16	162:16 169:5	205:21
26:22 28:4 37:4	173:13 196:7	62:21 65:23	181:18 187:4	passed 24:23
40:20 41:14,16	ordered 172:16	69:19 72:2,20	201:9 202:6	126:19,23 127:5
44:8,8 66:14	ordinary 133:21	73:3,6 74:23,24	paragraphs	137:13,24 155:17
67:10 141:3	141:17	75:21 77:2,11	123:11,16 167:9	155:20 156:20
ok 70:13 175:20	organised 88:16	79:15,19 82:15	pardon 78:16 83:1	157:16 158:18
okay 24:21 28:3,3	original 188:13	83:17 84:4 88:1	95:17	passing 72:18
64:19 65:11,18	Oscar 31:21	88:15,15,18 89:7	parent 81:11	157:16 179:17
81:20 120:17	165:21 203:8	90:4,24 91:2,14	part 12:18 14:20	pattern 166:5
121:16 126:15	ought 50:10	95:22 97:4 98:15	17:2 44:3 50:8	Paul 203:20
		101:6 107:24,25		

				Page 22/
Pause 84:24 159:4	191:3 193:20	23:21 26:19	pleasure 94:6	148:18
183:16,25 184:4	191:3 193:20	30:19 46:23 71:7	97:10	practical 126:18
Pausing 100:15	200:3,5	72:7 87:17 98:23	pm 122:16,17,18	128:24 154:4
123:24 140:6	personal 206:25	119:25 173:12	122:20 174:15,17	practice 146:10
pay 7:21 171:12	207:3	placed 88:5	174:19,21 207:15	202:25 203:5
203:20	personally 5:20	plan 201:13	pocket 38:19	practices 147:4
PCC 185:25	158:6 167:4	planned 7:4	pockets 96:11	practising 154:19
PCO 133:9	202:15	120:11 144:18	point 2:17 9:8	practising 154.19
PCOs 131:19	persons 81:18	203:16	10:12 37:21	pre-existing 62:5
penultimate	perspective 142:3	plates 73:23	73:14 78:11	62:23
146:19	168:15	play 12:18 33:18	79:15 94:12	precautionary
people 8:5 9:1,9,11	Peter 203:20	33:20 47:7	100:25 104:13,14	3:13
23:11,12 39:23	Peterhead 145:18	150:18 183:4,9	104:17 106:18	predominantly
40:18 43:25	petrol 133:24	183:20 184:25	167:15 181:7,10	124:14
44:23 48:2 50:1	phase 73:1 112:12	190:12 197:17	187:23 191:9	Preoccupied 69:11
71:13 73:10,23	142:21	206:19	193:25 200:24	prepared 4:12
78:21 79:4 95:20	phone 34:2 42:13	played 84:20,23	205:5	23:5,6 29:3
104:8,10 114:13	74:5,5 92:13,15	100:14,22 183:13	pointed 8:16	31:20 164:5
128:9,10,11,11	92:20,22 93:2,9	183:21,24 184:3	pointed 8.10 pointing 103:1,22	preparing 86:21
137:9 138:4,22	93:16 94:14	184:18,21 196:21	points 148:21	prescribed 112:2
143:6,16,25	95:24 96:6,7	197:6,19	152:2 173:2	prescription 65:4
146:14 156:13	phones 96:13	playing 63:11	police 91:18,18,21	presence 37:22
157:9,10,16,23	phones 50.13 physical 57:22	179:18 196:19	91:23 92:2,23,25	present 21:3 25:19
158:4,10 165:17	139:5 185:25	pleasant 87:11	149:24 172:7	58:1 106:14,25
165:19,20 166:3	204:12	please 1:8,16 18:2	pool 21:1	120:13 147:22
166:4 170:25	physically 47:22	19:4 20:22 22:2	poor 10:17 205:8	presentation
171:4 172:2	97:13 120:23	23:19 26:5 27:6	poppy 92:6	125:20 148:18
176:14 192:22	pick 165:23	27:9 30:18 39:20	population 139:2	presents 151:11
193:20,20 199:25	206:22	46:21 51:13,18	portrayed 8:22,23	press-ups 146:24
200:12 203:1,3,6	picked 57:4 156:2	58:20 61:2,3,15	80:3	pressed 25:12 64:3
203:11 205:6	166:5,8 167:3	62:21 65:21 69:4	posed 202:12	99:16
people's 169:13	197:3	69:15 72:2,25	position 81:20	pressure 19:10
perceive 190:11	picture 61:19	74:20 75:21 77:1	88:20 119:13,25	138:5 154:21
perceived 64:6	piece 38:17 44:5	80:18 84:22 85:6	150:10 162:16	155:15 156:8,9
percentage 157:19	72:13,22	85:12 87:13,21	163:20 168:22	157:15 158:10
perception 176:23	pieces 43:17,19	88:18 89:7 90:4	positions 203:18	170:14 201:10
perfect 173:15	pig 74:14 76:7	91:14 96:23,25	positive 73:5,8	203:9
period 4:4 124:12	pig' 73:11	98:14 101:5	176:25	presumably 2:2
147:4 167:11,12	pigs 76:10	112:7,17 113:11	possible 20:7	11:3 73:16,24
167:14 168:11	pile 21:5	122:23 123:6,20	52:24 72:3 94:11	88:16,25 107:6
periods 90:5	pin 195:7	169:5 171:15	possibly 74:10	126:6,18,19
peripheral 115:24	Ping' 38:24	174:17,22,23	109:1	128:15 143:19
permission 173:25	pinning 198:11	175:1 181:12,17	post 74:12 158:21	160:19 161:12,14
perpetuated 147:7	pint 186:24	181:24 183:14,16	159:8,13	198:3 199:16
person 25:6 56:22	pipe 193:8 194:12	183:18,25 184:4	postdates 72:6	presume 32:18,24
63:25 78:24 79:3	piss' 33:24	184:14,20 190:13	potentially 150:13	48:1 77:17
113:15 140:1	pitch 29:18	191:19,20 196:20	190:7	previous 42:23
141:5 156:1	place 4:5,6 6:6	196:20 197:17	PowerPoint	61:20 101:8
165:23 185:19	12:8 17:9,15,19	207:11	125:19 137:5	previously 62:13
	, ,			1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 agc 220
prick 29:5 38:22	product 79:1	102:24 104:2	161:3 170:8	144:12
41:7,22 45:8	professional 21:23	pull 24:9 48:21	171:6,7 174:22	quietly 166:6
prick' 27:22	64:10,13 83:15	112:25 117:24	175:25 180:10	quilt 28:2
principal 12:10	87:4,6,11 127:11	129:25 154:11	181:13 183:15	quite 21:1 97:11
principally 69:21	131:6 159:18	173:16	184:24 188:11,22	97:12 114:6,9
Principles 150:5	171:21	pumping 120:25	196:15 197:23	116:15,16,17
151:6	programme 29:21	176:14 199:22	198:8 203:9	117:22,23 138:4
prior 111:4 150:4	30:5 43:9 83:20	pumping-up	204:6	148:12 151:4
prison 10:21 40:4	110:6,9 111:7	181:10	puts 48:23 179:22	175:3
40:6,7 47:5,7,8	138:3 144:1	punch 97:13	putting 40:18	quote 81:13,16
125:23,24 126:2	188:13 189:5,17	104:19 114:14	43:25 94:15	quote o1.13,10
127:12,13 131:19	202:7	117:5,8	98:16 118:6	R
132:6,15,15,22	progress 15:11	punched 61:21	135:12 158:10	rabbit 192:1,9
133:9 134:5	182:4 205:19	62:13 101:9	179:12 206:5,8	racist 10:25 11:1
138:20 143:17,23	prompts 186:11	105:11 106:13,20	177.12 200.3,6	11:22 113:7
144:1,2,5 145:18	proof 52:18	112:20,24 113:20	0	187:5
145:21,23,25	proper 50:3 110:4	117:11	Qayum 190:15	radio 115:5
147:12 149:6,10	properly 14:3	punching 39:3	qualifications	radios 115:9
149:11,13 159:17	91:10	punishing 92:24	127:11,16	raft 152:22
171:3 200:18	property 69:24	punt 183:17	qualified 132:19	rag 59:3 60:8,11
204:8,11	173:4	pure 76:18	151:25 182:5	148:22
prisoner 150:14	proportionate	purely 57:24	quality 152:16	raise 6:20 13:24
-	160:17	purpose 8:24	quarter 68:17	155:21
prisoners 138:23 prisons 131:21		160:13	174:16	raised 13:23 61:17
133:25 145:13	protection 71:8		question 8:24 9:4	ran 38:25 39:1
	protest 15:2 88:6,8	purpose-built 204:6	9:6,12,13 15:1	range 147:19
149:16 204:9	90:7,18 91:16		17:8 20:14 23:4	161:8 189:25
probabilities	92:1,4,10	purposes 204:8	31:20 39:24 46:2	ranks 66:3
110:3 111:11	protesting 11:9	pursued 182:10	50:22 56:20 68:3	rant 10:22
probably 13:6,11	174:25	push 192:4,10	76:13 82:11,12	rapists 10:16
37:2 76:21 77:19	proud 22:13	pussy 37:7 45:5	102:14,16 111:4	rapport 15:6,7
125:14 128:18	prove 37:8	put 2:6 7:4 8:3,15	113:14 122:1	55:24,25 56:7
129:10 136:5	provide 110:20	9:23,23,25 17:15	138:14 142:6,6,9	64:13
138:4 139:3	152:18	17:23 18:2,10	142:21,22 152:3	rate 75:8 157:18
140:23 146:9	provided 133:14	25:11 28:5 35:4	158:7 165:1	ratio 204:20
151:24 155:9,10	136:22 161:5	38:18 44:6 47:11	195:13 205:22	razor 61:22 97:7
157:22 158:4	PSU 107:22 108:8	47:14,15 48:2,9	questioned 73:15	97:10 98:24
175:18 176:15	109:13 110:8	52:5 54:22 57:6	questions 24:20	101:10 103:8
179:24 180:9	131:1 159:18	59:3,4 60:8,9,14	37:10 65:17	razorblades 97:19
184:1 186:13	161:11,16 162:9	60:17 64:25 66:9	69:12 85:17	reached 111:12
188:24 189:1	PSU's 109:18	66:19 69:3,24	107:17 113:12,16	reacted 50:8
202:10,12	psychiatric 62:6	71:15 81:20	,	
problem 75:13,16	62:24 64:17	82:21 91:25	118:15,18 122:7	reaction 20:6
184:9 200:20	psychiatrist	93:17 101:5	202:11,11,19,20	201:10
problems 88:13	151:21	102:22 117:24	202:23 207:5	read 34:13 41:12
process 14:21 17:3	psychologist	119:13,25 120:1	208:1,7	61:9 73:7 88:18
90:20	151:21	120:13 124:5	queue 73:24	89:5 90:24
processes 110:11	PTSD 112:2	138:5 140:21	queued 177:9	107:17 130:22
produce 153:3	pub 36:4,24 37:20	145:2 154:21	quick 13:10,19	148:11 160:3
169:16	public 100:9	155:16 158:11	120:16 122:5	164:13
			quickly 94:11	reading 38:3 48:8
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 227
206:2	167:23	191:7	reliable 201:15	187:3 188:13,21
reads 35:7 69:21	recognise 24:16	refers 18:7 22:6	relief 153:12	190:14,16 191:5
70:5 191:22	51:4 74:15,18	76:1 113:7	religious 190:16	199:7,7 205:4,5
real 117:16 130:11	105:2,5 107:4	reflect 130:18	relocated 159:7	remembered
172:17	149:4 150:16	147:21 203:3	reluctant 203:22	28:23 46:9 56:19
realise 118:16	165:18	reflection 148:9	rely 81:25	56:20 63:16
158:8	recollection 19:18	reflective 148:2	remain 2:23	108:19 203:2
realised 139:23	25:11,19 76:9,12	refractory 198:7	remaining 15:3	remembering
182:17	96:17 105:10	refreshed 124:24	remand 138:24	45:18 65:15
realistic 14:24	107:21 121:25	143:4	remarks 51:21	remembers 99:2
17:13	recollections 120:9	refresher 127:1	remember 2:23	193:9
reality 67:12	recommend 156:3	136:10 138:19	3:1,2,6,11,16 7:1	remind 124:9
really 48:12 82:12	record 25:9 91:14	140:4,11,16	7:3 8:13,14 9:18	134:14
85:6 86:25 96:2	201:8	141:12,14 143:3	9:20 11:12 13:14	reminded 185:20
98:11 123:18	recorded 17:22,25	refreshers 126:24	21:14,17,18,24	reminding 130:8
137:24 142:24	111:3 148:3	159:25 160:2	22:1,11,14 23:14	reminisce 146:14
158:7 161:9	recordings 23:23	refugees 67:21	23:15,18 26:4,15	removal 88:2
162:3 167:9	records 70:25	68:1,4	28:22,22,24 29:1	124:4
175:21 176:5,6	92:11 154:2	refuse 7:5 8:5,11	30:12 34:19	remove 129:20
176:12 188:4	recovery 81:20	14:8 82:11	35:13 36:22	removed 87:25
reason 9:10 26:10	recreational 170:4	refused 7:9	37:18,19,24	90:8
44:1 65:1 69:6	recruit 140:6	refuses 192:17	41:19 42:15,16	repeat 10:6 54:1
71:19 168:6	205:18	refusing 14:15	44:11,11,13,14	repeated 69:8
171:6 172:17	recruited 156:13	90:21	45:22 46:6,7,11	81:21 188:9
206:1	recruits 138:18	regard 75:22	46:12,17 48:7	201:2
reasons 88:2 95:22	204:25 205:2	82:22 180:1	49:18,21 50:15	repeating 106:6
138:22 188:5,10	red 24:13 25:12	regarded 5:17	51:3,4,8,11,12	repeats 18:21
reassure 122:12	106:25 115:9	regarding 25:5	54:7,21 56:16,16	replaced 169:20
reassuring 64:18	138:6 206:9	regards 111:7	56:24 58:12,13	185:24,25
recall 6:4 13:13,21	redacted 100:8	regime 140:15	59:9,11,13,19	replicate 146:6
22:22,25 23:6,7,9	redundant 162:17	regular 167:22	60:5,12,24,24	replied 187:17
25:17,24 28:11	refer 11:10 18:4	regularly 147:25	61:8,10 64:8	report 61:5 69:17
28:12,15 40:2	187:20	reintegrate 108:20	68:6,11 77:4,4,5	69:17 71:25 73:2
50:11 52:21,23	reference 1:12,15	relates 24:7 69:21	77:6,9,10 83:24	98:5 101:6 113:3
54:8 71:20 90:16	21:12 185:9,12	87:16 100:6	88:9 89:18 91:20	116:11 121:1,3
91:15,24 93:1,10	201:7	112:8	92:12,15,17 94:1	130:20 131:4
93:12,14,24 94:8	references 123:5	relating 150:22	94:15 96:5,16	149:23 160:3,4,7
94:13 95:2,5	referral 69:5	relation 28:9	97:22 98:3,12,17	160:9,9,13 161:3
96:10 105:1	70:22	50:19 53:12 69:5	99:15,22 101:25	161:5
108:15 109:15	referred 12:1 20:4	72:1 96:23	102:1 104:7	reported 70:19
111:16,19,20	69:6,14 172:21	101:15	106:8 107:22	116:13,14,21
122:2 151:5,8	180:17	relations 205:8	108:9,9 112:10	159:16
181:22	referring 11:8	relationship 5:21	113:21 116:1,19	reportedly 90:14
recalled 100:1	21:21 51:21	relationships	117:10,13 134:14	reporting 113:5,7
108:15 109:17	54:11,13 69:9	167:14	134:23 140:8	reports 10:3
received 3:13,16	82:24 99:13,15	relatively 147:13	158:22 160:25	130:21 203:1
113:6	99:21 123:11	release 27:1 103:8	166:16,19 175:2	206:2
reception 127:21	136:12 155:11	relevant 9:7,10	175:4,10 180:17	represent 28:8
157:7 161:1	182:16,17 191:4	10:24 65:7	181:15 182:2	representative
	I .	I	ı	1

ļ				Page 230
02.5			05.20.96.24	
93:5	rest 90:24 110:23	ribbing 45:7	85:20 86:24	run-in 32:7,20
represented	148:11	ribs 35:10	role 2:13,23 3:4	running 147:6
148:13	restrain 139:23,24	ridiculous 37:10	114:18 163:24	164:23
require 100:9	144:10 173:13	right 1:21,22 9:23	205:3,19 Palls 140:24 141:1	rush 148:1
required 123:15	restrained 24:14	22:4 29:6 30:9	Rolls 140:24 141:1	Russia 66:18
124:22 133:18	112:23,25	36:14,14 40:21	room 3:22 7:14	<u> </u>
requirement 134:1	restraint 14:3	41:4,17 42:6 44:9 54:2,20	10:14 25:14,15	sacking 161:12
requiring 75:11 research 147:12	32:12 40:25 41:3	57:11,13 58:8,11	27:18,20 33:14 42:19 44:13 55:3	safe 14:4 150:5
147:14	105:8 113:22	, , ,		151:6
resentful 168:12	114:17,20 115:8	59:1,5 60:19,22 61:7 74:19 77:10	56:3 57:12 58:6 63:3 64:4 69:23	safety 12:12 88:17
	119:17,24 124:23 125:11 126:22	79:7 80:16 82:25		158:25
reserves 31:12,22			69:24,25 70:1,2,6	sake 34:4
32:16,16 residential 157:1	128:13,17,24 129:3 130:4,13	97:10 102:25 104:21 110:4	70:8,15 71:16 74:8 77:8 79:16	Sam 113:8
204:4	130:25 132:19	111:24 113:11	93:18 94:2	sat 42:19 56:23
resign 7:21	130:23 132:19	111:24 113:11 115:6 124:3,5,8	106:13,19,22,23	67:22 78:3 155:7
resign 7:21 resigned 7:20	137:14,15 138:11	124:18 126:13	100:13,19,22,23	163:10 169:14
resigned 7:20 resistance 141:21	139:5,11,19,20	134:3 135:10	115:10,12 120:18	178:15 180:9
resolve 145:22	143:8 144:10	136:11 144:14	154:2,6,9,16,21	203:10
resort 137:4	145:7,10 147:25	149:20 155:13,25	154:25 155:2,5,6	Saturday 91:4
resource 157:13	148:25 150:6,6,7	158:5 159:5	154:25 155:2,5,6	92:11
170:12	150:9,10 151:6	162:2,15 164:5	169:22 173:11	Saunders 147:1
respect 32:13	153:5 154:7	165:25 172:12	194:13,14	160:4,13 161:4,7
76:21 168:12	155:18 156:5,20	173:8,15 175:7	roommate 73:17	161:17
174:2 204:17	158:24 159:8,13	177:21 179:3	73:17,22 74:8	save 33:16
206:18	160:2 162:10	183:5 185:14,18	108:22	saw 26:20 49:1
respectively 123:4	163:17 164:24	188:16 190:22,25	rooms 66:1 71:17	72:10 91:7 95:18
respond 44:10	166:1,4 173:14	193:14 194:1,22	122:5 170:6	99:18,23 113:2
70:12 168:8	200:25 206:12	196:18 197:24,25	rough 60:21	118:2 138:6
responded 24:8	result 58:17 98:6	198:19 203:12	Roughly 126:12	147:5 153:24
49:22	150:9	rightly 165:22	157:21	171:1 197:4
responding 168:13		rights 92:3 109:5	round 38:20 39:9	saying 2:22 11:19
responds 19:2	retained 158:15	109:10 119:22	rounds 116:21	12:6 15:12,24
53:20	reticent 113:8	rigid 205:14	routine 160:6	17:22 21:18
response 7:1,4	return 2:16,24	riled 92:14	row 155:9	22:24,25 23:2,6
19:21 38:16	73:21 94:14	riling 200:12	Royal 127:20	25:23,24 27:12
44:13 46:19	returned 2:25	ring 35:4 53:9	Royce 140:24	27:16 29:17
69:20 70:11	92:13	93:21 96:9	141:1	30:15 31:15
112:21 115:4,11	returning 109:12	rings 34:2 42:13	rude 112:19	34:19 39:21
115:14 132:9	returns 114:2	riot 175:4 177:19	ruined 36:13	40:16 44:8,18
142:22 145:14,20	review 109:21	179:5	rule 87:23,24 88:5	45:24 47:10 48:6
164:19 193:9	163:3,4,5,13	riots 178:25 179:1	90:8 91:10 93:18	48:15,17 51:16
response' 115:13	reviewing 83:6	ripped 138:5	170:5	53:6,14 59:8,15
responses 75:5,12	reviews 13:13	rise 122:15	rules 14:16,17,19	59:19 60:20
147:11,14	162:18,20,21,21	risk 143:21,25	15:21 169:7,25	61:19 62:6,24
responsibility	162:22	150:8	170:1	67:20 69:25
121:23	revocation 3:19	road 39:1	Rumours 167:19	70:18 73:24
responsible 165:1	rewind 126:13	robbing 203:20	run 80:10,11	77:11 78:11,14
165:4	rib 150:11	Rocky 82:24 83:3	183:16	78:20 79:2,24
				83:2,21,22,24
	I	I	I	l ————————————————————————————————————

				Page 231
94:16 95:9 98:17	145:6 174:22	115:22,23 116:10	seniors 13:15	shift 12:24 61:20
99:17,20 101:25	181:14 183:16	116:22 122:17	sense 59:22 168:21	89:21 94:9 101:8
102:1 104:7	184:25	130:2 133:6	187:2,16	121:15,18 204:21
102.1 104.7	script 86:24	139:13 144:16,16	sent 2:8 7:7 8:7	shifts 172:16
109:4,9 116:21	script 80.24 scroll 69:4 191:20	145:6,6 149:23	125:23	shirt 36:13
121:2,5 149:4	scrub 193:10,10	150:2 154:1,13		shirt 30.13 shit 21:5 27:21,24
173:23 174:1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sentence 79:18,20 80:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	194:3,16 197:7 197:14	160:6 162:6		29:12 30:8 39:3
175:14,15 176:3		166:3 173:11,11	sentiment 87:1	44:6 50:21,23
179:9 180:1,11	scrubbing 197:11	173:23 175:8	separate 142:19	53:23 54:10
185:4 187:22	scruff 59:4 60:9,14	177:4 180:11	separation 87:21	55:18 56:2 58:23
188:14 191:22,23	60:18 96:21	183:9,11,23	88:4	59:16 62:10 63:1
193:5,6,7 195:17	105:14	184:10,23 185:3	September/Nove	95:4 105:16,20
195:18 198:19	search 172:17	186:8 187:23	123:22	105:21 106:3
says 19:8,11 21:10	173:1,5,7	188:8 192:12	series 91:13	shocked 94:17
26:11,13 30:24	searched 174:6	195:21 196:14,18	serious 51:7 52:1	113:2
31:5,8,11,16,24	sec 81:3	196:23,25 207:13	62:4,22 76:16	shocking 148:8
32:8 33:17,25	second 1:13 6:20	seeing 44:15,16	80:4 85:22,23	shook 107:2
34:20,23,25 35:3	31:1 61:9 65:22	77:5 122:2	94:23 130:23	shop 156:12,16
36:16 39:8 40:3	66:16 76:15 81:1	seeking 168:23	162:12 168:8	169:19 186:23
40:8,10,17,19	81:5 83:19	seemingly 148:1	188:4	short 68:20 122:19
41:14,20,23 42:4	118:10 123:3,14	seen 5:2,7 7:13 9:2	seriously 64:22	173:10 174:20
42:7,9,11 43:24	135:5 149:23	30:5,15 40:22	188:10	shorten 124:11
44:4 45:4,9 47:4	162:15 169:4	43:9,9 53:24	serves 77:7 124:2	shortly 74:12
47:9,10,18 49:1	180:16 187:4	54:15 61:6 62:16	139:14	shorts 109:7
49:13,16 50:21	188:9 189:5	63:8,19 72:23,25	Service 40:7	shoulder 63:20
51:25 52:2,8,17	seconded 113:24	73:12,23,25 74:4	127:12,13 143:17	shoulders 10:5,7
53:19 54:5 56:1	seconds 41:9,24	74:24 90:14	143:23 144:1,2,5	35:6,9
56:25 58:3 66:6	45:16	107:19 108:4,7	145:21,23,25	shout 187:13
66:11,13,22,22	sectioned 62:5,24	116:15 117:25	147:12 149:13	shouting 53:16
67:3,7,11,14 70:3	secure 187:9	147:20 162:25	159:17 200:18	115:2
73:17 87:17	security 1:24	segregation 24:5	services 66:24	shove 192:17
90:15 95:10,12	66:23 69:16,17	25:15 71:11	110:14	198:2
96:14 104:5,25	71:25 73:2 88:17	seizure 15:16	session 100:9,11	show 3:12 131:3,4
148:17 149:4,5	159:1	seldom 117:14	101:2,3	201:25
150:3 169:21	see 13:9 14:11	selected 116:19	set 131:11 147:2	Show-arounds
174:9 175:19	18:11,13 20:5	self-harm 14:8	152:21	171:8
177:18 181:21	30:3 33:8,16	150:23 164:4	sets 90:25	showed 70:20
185:6 186:23,25	35:5 38:2 39:21	168:9,13	seven 136:9 158:4	98:18 101:13
192:24 195:6,10	40:11,11,11,16	self-harmed 62:17	shadow 3:9	170:24
195:20 197:1	43:7 44:10 48:13	self-harmers	shadowed 3:8	shower 21:11
scalded 129:25	48:21 49:6,9	70:25	shadowing 114:10	92:13
scale 147:18	53:13 54:6 55:9	self-harming 43:4	shaking 10:1	showing 29:2
167:19	61:10,15,16 69:4	send 67:16 161:16	shape 20:12	38:22 72:8 182:8
scared 50:3 94:17	72:21 75:23	162:9 177:25	share 206:23	shown 25:4 81:13
120:24	80:10,16 82:4	178:5	sharp 108:24	83:18,19 100:8
scene 120:23	86:1,3,5,17 87:22	senior 4:9 5:6 17:5	shat 42:5	101:14 149:25
screen 2:7 17:23	87:23 88:19 90:6	120:10 121:12,21	Shaun 166:14	150:4 172:14,20
18:7 23:25 61:13	100:17,18,18,25	153:9 154:21	Shayne 181:15,15	174:10 189:16
82:3 101:5 145:3	101:7 107:25	160:11 201:6,21	sheet 91:14	shows 55:17 200:8
02.5 101.5 115.5	101.7 107.20	100.11 201.0,21		210,1322,17200.0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 232
shut 8:3,15 9:24	skill 182:6	sold 4:10	source 16:18 24:4	113:22
38:19 54:6 57:6	Skitt 135:4,6 160:5	sold 4.10 soldiers 67:21 68:2	spat 63:13	square 154:17
sick 9:25 120:23	slamming' 24:9	solely 139:22	speak 5:23 30:10	squatted 72:11
121:20 178:7	slapped 105:12	solicitors 91:9	39:18 85:6 87:10	squeezing 24:12
side 64:21 70:11	sleep 40:18 41:9	solution 14:17	99:8 108:15	staff 5:15 6:11,14
106:24 123:10	41:12,24 43:25	67:6,10	109:17 114:13	6:18,21 11:1
186:1,2 192:5,11	44:6,7 45:17	some' 74:6	118:4 181:25	12:6,12 16:15,16
198:8	sleeping 146:16	some 74.0	203:19	16:17,19 17:18
sideways 198:10	slight 83:7 84:6	20:17 21:3 29:5	speaking 34:2	56:12 66:1 68:5
sight 109:8	slightly 68:14	36:24 44:20	65:10 85:7 114:5	88:11 97:12
signed 91:12	196:22	59:15 71:4	117:13	106:22,23 111:2
signify 175:16	slowly 140:12	100:13 117:15	special 133:22	114:5,7 116:8,17
silly 204:15	smack 26:21	120:5 142:24	145:22 154:6	118:21 120:10,14
similar 81:11	small 11:24 12:3	153:17 158:18	specifically 148:19	122:3 124:24
118:24	24:4,5,11,13,25	177:4 183:8	speech 177:24	140:5 146:8,22
Simon 134:20	24:4,3,11,13,23	185:15 193:14	speedily 1:19	140:3 140:8,22
simpler 18:6	98:15,18 99:1,11	194:9	spend 78:7 93:25	150:4 159:20
simpler 18.0 simply 3:4 4:13	99:20 105:2	soon 15:14	spent 80:20 81:9	
14:16 152:6,20	188:1,1 192:18	sorry 8:25 9:17	91:15 154:10	167:15 168:1,5,8 168:11,21 181:20
- III	192:25 193:4,4	10:22 16:8 19:10	spice 18:24 19:3,3	-
simultaneous 75:6	,			186:12 190:15
75:10	193:25 195:12	28:19 30:2 42:22	19:3,4,7,12,17,20	195:10 199:10
single 109:11	196:23 199:11	44:17 79:17 80:7	19:20,23,25 20:2	200:19
160:7	201:22	80:23 96:6 101:2	20:4,6,10 61:25	staffer 195:6
sir 71:25 72:21	Small's 23:20 28:7	116:11 117:12	68:24 72:6 75:3	staffing 12:18
85:14 189:15,23	200:24	120:2 124:1	75:6,11,13 76:2,6	staircase 178:6,6
sister 38:12	smash 27:24 29:12	126:17 133:8	76:8 77:18 80:21	stairs 183:3
sister's 38:18	30:7 38:23 53:22	135:11 137:19	81:10 83:10	194:23 195:15,16
sit 10:13 15:10	54:2 56:1 62:9	140:8 155:12	167:20,21,22	195:19 196:8
17:4 55:3 59:5	63:1 95:3 105:20	159:10 167:7	168:24	198:2,8,9,14,23
60:19,21 130:17	105:21 106:2,3	184:4,8	spiked 76:4,8,11	199:14,15 200:6
152:17 153:8	smashed 105:16	sort 5:5 15:16	spit 61:22 63:13	200:7,18
194:15 204:18	smashing 54:9	22:19 23:1,11	101:10	stairwell 175:3
sitdown 120:22	55:18	70:17 72:21	split 38:24 154:23	178:15 180:9
site 62:17 89:22	smelly 4:8,19	78:20,23 79:3,5	192:2	188:2,3,19,25
sites 159:21	smidge 184:20	113:25 114:12	splitting 26:25	190:19 202:1
sits 23:25	smoke 18:18,20,22	127:25 129:16	spoke 15:10 25:20	stairwells 196:5
sitting 56:3 153:10	170:6	139:13 141:20	98:9 102:13	stand 17:11 52:10
situation 8:3 81:15	smoking 170:4,5,8	144:1,4 154:1	109:13 113:1	85:14,16
93:7 115:21	snatch 72:16	160:1 192:13	116:6 118:19	standardised
140:1 144:12,21	social 146:20	204:3 205:13	spoken 122:11	145:12
165:10 199:23	socialise 73:12	206:19	spontaneous	Standards 131:7
201:10	Society 127:20	sound 51:10 87:6	114:21	159:18
six 88:19 112:1	soft 64:21 139:8	204:15	Sporadically	standing 90:20
129:14 177:6	141:23	sounded 45:21	43:12	114:11 128:9
206:4	soften 33:4	sounds 1:22 29:14	spot 135:12 141:15	stands 168:6
sixth 205:13	softened 32:9 33:1	32:15 35:15,16	152:4 178:21	stark 148:4
size 9:3,13 40:1	softer 141:7	38:2,4 50:13	spreadsheet 206:5	start 30:22 84:17
155:15	soil 67:17	51:6 87:5 88:14	206:6	116:8 144:20
skate 89:9	solar 35:12	199:18	spring 112:9,9,18	146:17 152:12
		•	•	•

				Page 255
101.17 106.10	127.10 10 10	102.15 10 22	supervision 52.4	avllohus 126.21
181:17 196:18	137:18,18,19 160:5 163:1	102:15,19,22 103:7,12,14,23	supervision 52:4 53:6 130:4	syllabus 136:21 140:14 144:1
200:5,15 203:13 205:25 207:11	stick 192:4,11,13	103:7,12,14,23	support 3:4 5:3,4	sym 77:12
started 123:21	sticking 94:3		5:5 7:22 10:3	sympathy 62:2
124:6 127:12	194:19	struggling 133:7 student 148:20,22	56:12	77:25 78:2 79:22
135:22 158:3	stitched 202:6,9	148:23		79:22 81:8 82:18
167:19 196:17	stood 106:15 129:6	stuff 37:11 112:3	supported 84:8 supporting 82:1	83:9,13
198:7 203:24	172:19 175:9	stun 177:14	114:2	Syred 112:10
205:23 206:4	185:16	subconsciously	suppose 76:20	117:9,12,13
starting 170:24	stop 14:21 57:10	158:9	171:4 175:18	117.9,12,13
194:21	57:11 63:2 65:19	subject 69:19	supposed 47:14	Syred's 117:1
starts 84:18	84:21,24 169:13	70:21 72:3	52:12 56:18	Syria 66:2 67:15
state 62:1 70:9	171:6,7 182:13	subparagraph	sure 2:20 21:15,20	system 203:10,13
73:8 77:14 78:9	183:14 193:6,6	92:4	26:22 59:22 61:6	system 203.10,13 systems 152:21
78:10 83:20	201:12	substance 111:13	68:23 80:14 88:3	systems 132.21
181:5 182:20	stop' 63:2	substantial 141:2	91:19 92:25	T
stated 63:10 64:20	stop 63.2 stopped 97:6	substantiated	94:12 96:11	tab 2:7 18:3 20:23
108:17 111:25	147:3 163:20	111:14	118:5 124:17	22:2 23:22 25:2
147:16 188:1	201:16,19	subtitled 196:13	130:5 139:16	26:7 27:11 30:21
statement 1:12,13	stories 37:13 39:16	196:13	155:24 163:8	50:17 51:14
5:24 6:1 13:3	40:11 97:25 98:1	subtitling 183:15	182:20 202:24	53:11 61:3 65:22
17:11 25:1 64:9	98:10 99:3	183:20,23 184:16	surely 22:24 37:18	69:2,16 71:24
80:18,19 81:1,5	178:10,13,24	184:19	199:6	73:1 74:21 77:2
87:15,20 90:4	story 25:9 37:2,25	successful 125:16	surface 38:2	79:19 82:14
112:16 113:17	38:12 178:11	126:6	surprise 11:18	87:16,22 90:3
118:10,19 123:8	179:9,12,23	sudden 120:19	184:17	97:2 98:14 106:7
123:14,16,17	180:2 181:1	suffer 83:10	surprised 31:25	112:15 113:10
124:19 127:9,9	straight 26:17	suffered 112:21	surrounded 6:8	123:14,15 145:4
131:10 136:12	35:10,12 38:23	sufficient 161:13	surrounding 108:2	149:22 172:6
142:22 145:3	98:22 99:5	sufficiently 136:18	198:13	174:23 181:13
158:21 159:4,4	114:23 148:23	suggest 50:7	suspended 3:18	184:12
162:15 167:8	190:24	166:17 200:8	suspension 3:13	tables 73:14
168:11 169:4	straightaway	suggested 18:6	Sussex 149:24	Tactical 132:9
172:6 180:16,18	200:5	suggesting 95:8	swallowing 97:7	145:14
181:7,14 187:4	Strangeways	149:24 188:10	97:18	tagged 140:4
189:19 201:1,8	139:21	suggestion 84:7	swearing 95:23	tailored 144:7
202:5,8 203:14	strangling 148:6	191:23 193:1,2	swingers 182:12	148:19
statements 1:11	stream 43:2	195:25	swingers' 182:8	take 2:15 5:2
123:1	Street 127:21	suggestions 16:12	swipe 114:15	19:10 52:3 58:23
states 69:8 111:10	strike 101:19,21	suggests 89:15	switch 164:22	59:16 61:14
stay 57:6 91:4	102:5,6 103:5,17	suitable 16:22	switched 101:17	73:23 76:10
120:19	138:25	145:20	129:21 164:17	81:10 83:10 85:9
staying 177:12	striking 101:21	suits 146:24	swore 72:19	114:16 120:4
stays 57:11	strip 172:17 173:1	summary 16:13	sworn 1:6 122:21	123:16 126:18
steps 110:21	173:5,7,17 174:6	108:11	207:22 208:3	130:15 131:2
stereotype 8:20,20	stripped 172:19	Sunday 91:5 94:13	SXP000057 74:23	135:20 164:9
stereotyped 8:18	strongest 206:22	supervise 129:4,17	SXP000120 172:6	165:4,11 172:18
stereotyping 9:6	struck 36:24	130:11	SXP000133	174:3,16 183:17
Steve 135:1,6,6,8	101:18 102:2,3	supervising 130:3	149:22	183:19 187:16,18
				197:16 203:3,9
			·	

				Page 234
202.24	l	1.0-10		1-0101-01
203:21	taste 48:19	187:13	28:22 35:23 45:1	170:10,17,25
taken 44:15 53:7	taught 145:11	tender 124:5	45:2 65:15 78:21	171:5,16 175:12
61:25 68:24 73:9	146:3 148:25	tends 2:21	78:22 79:5	175:14 179:2,25
74:10 76:24 77:7	tea 120:22	tenure 163:16	130:13 141:16	180:4 184:1,6,19
92:22 95:24 96:4	team 32:18 110:14	term 36:2 40:22	150:3 154:25	188:15 194:24
96:5,21 105:14	112:21,22 115:11	44:1 115:6	174:24 183:7	195:24 196:3,17
108:12 110:22	131:3,16 145:15	182:11,13,21	207:12	197:9 199:11
116:3,4 121:8	145:24 167:17	terminating 3:17	think 2:20,25 3:3,3	200:9,14 203:2
130:20 145:19	187:14 200:25	terminology 11:22	3:7 8:21 9:5,16	203:11,20,20,23
162:20 167:24	206:16	terms 5:9 123:4	11:14,15,16 12:5	207:2
203:16	teams 131:17,24	128:24 156:11	12:10,13 14:18	thinking 134:5
takes 64:21	technically 194:3	171:17	14:24,25 17:13	142:20 182:19
tales 37:15	technique 24:9,11	terribly 117:15	17:14 21:16,22	189:8,10
talk 59:5 60:19,22	45:11 162:6,7	thank 1:3,5,17	29:7 35:19 36:7	thinks 37:6,7
78:7 128:10,11	186:25	18:9 20:24 65:8	38:11,20 41:10	171:25
137:9 143:7	techniques 14:3,11	65:18 68:18	41:25 42:24	third 19:1 31:5
158:21 204:19	24:14 125:21	80:17 95:6 97:3	44:20,22 45:20	44:3 48:12 62:7
talked 136:13	128:8 130:6,14	100:23 103:2	45:20 46:16	89:10 124:18
202:25 205:1,23	145:10 147:13,15	104:15,18 120:3	50:10,14 52:13	thought 11:16
talking 4:13,14	156:2 160:16	121:10 122:6,8	55:19 56:1,11	18:15 20:18
18:13 22:9 29:23	162:1	122:14,17 123:7	65:25 66:21	36:21 41:8,24
29:25 32:12	teetotal 146:19	155:14 174:18	67:19 70:24 74:9	42:22 45:16,22
35:13,22,22	telephone 108:13	184:7,9,13	77:2,3 79:6,7	45:23 94:19
36:22 42:19,22	telephoned 91:17	202:21 204:24	82:7,9 89:10	99:12,14,21
43:21 44:19	91:21 92:2,23	205:22 207:7,8,9	98:8 99:11	100:2,3 141:22
46:24 48:14	television 66:1,20	207:13,14	100:15 104:13	142:14,20 148:21
58:21 67:5 88:7	tell 4:3 6:24 19:3,9	that'd 67:10	112:11 114:5,9	155:21 180:2
99:1,3,12 102:23 114:1 128:9	20:6 21:12 24:18 31:25 32:11 36:9	thee 128:25 thereabouts	115:19 117:20	184:5 186:2
132:22 133:1		124:18	123:22 124:16,16	thoughts 46:2 130:19
136:20,20 139:17	36:17 37:11,12 42:23 52:9 53:22		125:1,5 127:16 128:7 129:9,19	
150:20,20 139.17	62:9,25 88:10	they' 69:10 they'd 10:14,15	133:25 134:15	threat 29:13,14 51:6,10 56:8
150.2,17,19	97:24 119:3,15	17:20 49:6,8,10	135:3,14,15	64:1,6 96:18
155:1,4 156:10	123:18 142:16	76:24 156:5,6,13	136:8,11,18,22	threaten 63:25
158:2,23 162:17	165:14 171:13	159:25 186:3	136:23 137:2	95:7,13
162:21 163:7	178:13 179:21	202:9 203:10,11	138:3,17,24	threatened 54:9
170:18 173:18	186:22 193:4	203:12 206:22	139:20 140:4	62:4,22 94:24
175:10 177:5,6	205:24	thieving 169:12	141:2,11,15	95:10,10 105:17
177:17 189:25	telling 8:13 25:9	thigh 106:13	142:3,15 143:4	114:9
190:18 192:6	52:5 71:18 84:25	thing 19:24 29:6	143:15,21,24	threatening 55:18
201:12,16,19	96:18 97:11,23	32:9 39:22 40:13	144:4 148:13,24	68:10
talks 33:14 41:5	99:3 100:1,2	52:15 57:20 69:3	149:14 150:25	threats 92:6 95:15
52:11 66:18	103:17 104:24	70:17 72:21	151:1,8 153:9	95:15,19
90:11 116:22	140:19 162:11,22	78:20 79:3,5	154:6,13 155:11	three 7:15 12:24
tall 37:15 39:16	180:3 185:21	86:15 140:3	156:18 157:18	57:19 75:6 91:18
92:18 99:3	188:12 201:4	161:17 176:21	158:7,9 161:22	106:9 111:1
178:10,11,13	tells 53:20	178:19	163:7,8,9,12,16	114:10 115:10,19
180:2	ten 45:16 121:18	things 5:10 12:1	165:7 166:11,25	125:13 128:18,20
Tascor 47:8 52:18	tend 147:17	17:21 28:14,15	167:10 168:16	129:10,14 154:17
		1,.21 20.11,13	10,,10 100.10	127.10,11121.11
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 235
	l	1	l	
157:22,23 158:4	159:1 165:9,20	tongue 94:3	146:15	109:11
170:25 172:16	166:8 168:16	tool 139:10	training 2:2,5 7:10	translate 157:15
173:10 175:20	171:15 175:3	tools 12:15	124:22,23 127:7	transposition
198:13 204:19,22	176:6,16 177:3	top 18:12 22:4	127:25 128:1	143:22
206:7	179:17,18 183:6	27:12 33:8 53:8	133:13 135:25	trapped 96:3
threw 28:2,2	183:8 190:14	69:4 87:23 91:1	136:13,15,20,21	trauma 28:21
throat 94:22 97:9	196:16 202:5,8	96:1 97:4 113:11	137:2,14,24,25	150:23
throw 194:23	203:6	148:22 167:9	138:8,18,19,25	treated 74:2 92:3
195:3 196:7	times 45:2 77:22	172:12 177:4,13	139:16 140:5,12	171:4
198:16,23 199:5	78:3 81:19 82:3	178:6 181:24	141:17,25 143:14	treating 90:23
200:6	89:16 91:18	185:1,18 195:16	143:24 144:5,6	treatment 108:2
thrown 7:12 27:17	98:13 108:24	195:18 198:7,11	145:7,17 146:4,5	tried 27:1 52:15
181:4 200:17	115:10,19,19	199:15	147:1,5 148:14	72:16 154:21
202:14,16	121:18 128:25	topic 12:2 51:15	148:20,21 149:8	189:3 193:6
thrust 196:6	129:14	112:7 113:12,14	149:15,16 150:2	203:8
Thursday 207:17	timestamp 86:2	165:15,15 171:14	150:5,21 151:10	trigger 173:1
tick 152:25	183:19 196:18	topics 171:15	152:8,14,15,19	TRN0000024
tick-box-type	Tinsley 123:19,21	190:1	152:24 153:6,8	27:11
163:6	124:7,12,14	Tornado 131:12	154:2,7,13 155:3	TRN0000027
ticket 159:24	125:9 127:12	131:14,17 132:12	155:4,22,23	51:13
tie 106:25	128:18 134:2	133:5,17,22	156:5,11 158:3	TRN0000045 97:1
time 2:24 6:11,20	144:7 159:2,15	134:2,7 135:21	160:1 166:19	TRN0000077
7:8 9:25 12:22	186:4 203:21,24	136:3	167:25 168:6	30:21
12:23 13:23,25	204:2,3,13,18	Tornadoes 132:4	170:16,18,19,24	TRN0000080
14:14 20:15 23:9	tired 48:20	145:9	171:9 182:4	65:22
23:12,18 26:6,8	titty 35:8	tosser 22:5,10	185:22,23 204:25	TRN0000084 22:3
26:24 28:13 33:7	tobacco 57:18	totally 30:12,13,16	205:6,7	TRN0000085
33:16 42:17,19	today 19:1 118:20	56:22 82:12	transcribe 192:24	174:22 184:12
45:22 46:13 50:9	199:25	120:2 141:6	transcribed 34:11	185:1
50:18 51:14	toilet 48:22	161:2,2,2 189:6	transcriber 155:8	TRN0000091
61:14 63:18	toilets 33:21	204:16	transcript 20:22	20:22
64:16,24 68:15	told 3:22 7:3,3,17	touch 81:12	21:20 22:2 26:6	TRN0000092
72:9 79:18,20,21	8:12,14 15:14,16	tough 59:22	26:7,9 27:3,9	53:11 77:1
80:20 81:9 87:19	24:4 26:23 36:7	tower 5:7	30:4 33:6 46:21	TRN0000095 18:2
88:13 89:7 90:5	37:2 38:11 52:18	Townshend	48:4,13 50:17	26:6 98:14
91:15 93:5,25	52:19 64:15 78:4	113:13	51:13 53:11	Trott 137:17
97:14 98:4	82:21 91:18	toxic 146:7,21	54:23 55:10	trouble 57:13,14
106:14,25 107:15	92:15 93:22	148:15 149:7	56:13 61:1 65:21	57:16,20 63:4
108:21 109:3,24	97:24 98:7,10,12	toxicity 180:13	98:13 175:2	71:9 171:22
112:22 113:24	103:6 111:25	traditional 139:19	177:2 184:8,11	trust 56:5,5 57:15
114:17,18 128:3	113:1 114:16	train 166:24,25	184:24 187:20	truth 28:9 29:5,16
128:15 129:10,11	118:20,25 120:7	trained 20:12,20	188:8 190:13	100:1,2 180:4
130:11 134:6	145:8 154:6	132:3 136:18	191:19,21 192:21	truthful 201:15
135:20,22,23	159:9 186:11	140:7,15 141:12	196:12 197:2,21	try 48:21 49:13
137:23 139:25	193:12 203:24	141:12,14 142:5	197:23	67:8 73:11 74:8
144:11 146:13	204:24	143:25 146:13,22	transcripts 17:24	119:12 133:6
147:1,4,23	tolerance 76:22	149:12 151:16,18	58:10 192:22	137:7,8,9 146:5
152:17,18 155:24	tomorrow 207:11	153:4,5 206:15	transfer 93:23	149:21 165:15
156:24 157:20	207:13	trainers 39:12	transferred	184:7 185:5
		l		l

				1 age 230
194:16	Twenty 56:3	33:3 39:15 49:7	untoward 130:22	183:5
trying 10:12,19	twice 88:21,23	49:10,12 57:11	unwell 20:17 71:4	V2017051700016
38:13 49:5 68:4	117:9 188:8,23	57:14 59:19,23	UOF 100:12	196:16
72:22 78:7 86:23	two 1:10 7:15	60:12,16 63:2	upper 97:9,16,18	V2017061400015
88:12 132:11	12:24 28:20	65:8,9,13 79:2	115:18 116:22,25	84:17
135:14 143:15	39:15 44:10	81:25 90:9,12	117:8,18 118:8,9	Vague 69:11
171:21 184:8	57:19 67:2 70:16	91:3,9 92:11	118:12,12	Vaguely 112:11
186:22 189:4,7	70:20 71:12,17	108:25 131:24,25	upper-cutted	van 47:1,2,5,7,8,9
191:9 194:1	75:10 86:17 89:8	132:11 133:6	26:17,25 98:22	47:11,14,15 48:1
195:9	89:9 91:18	156:9 164:2	99:4,23 117:2,4,6	48:9,10 51:23
Tuesday 72:5	114:10 115:19	189:16,18,22	117:11	53:7
Tulley 11:10 17:25	121:20 123:1	207:7	upset 41:5,6,20,21	vans 48:3
18:13,21 19:8	124:13 125:13,25	understanding	45:6 48:19 49:4	verbal 172:21
20:25 21:9 24:23	128:18,20,25	203:15	53:7 90:22 91:21	verbally 82:2 84:3
25:5,8 27:8	131:15,25 134:15	understood 32:11	92:23 94:2,5	84:10,11,14
29:21 30:6,20,24	134:17,18 140:22	92:9 121:5 201:3	95:23 119:22,23	Verne 109:11
31:5,16 33:10,14	154:17,18 140:22	undertake 205:1	use 9:22 13:6,11	victorious' 147:23
34:16,20 36:16	170:25 175:21,22	undertake 203.1 undertook 147:12	14:14,21 16:16	video 54:23 84:20
37:3 38:5 39:21	187:12 195:23	undoubted 26:21	22:9 23:12 47:25	84:23 97:1
40:8,17 42:4	202:23 204:19	unfair 6:12,24	48:4 50:14 86:13	100:14,22 101:12
43:24 44:8 45:4	two-week 126:16	8:22	86:14,21 90:11	101:12 120:15
45:4 48:14,15	126:23	unfairly 9:3,12,18	90:13 96:24	131:3 149:17,18
49:13 53:19	tying 126:9	92:3	100:6 101:16	183:13,21,24
56:25 58:3 63:21	type 118:24	unfit 7:6,18	119:17 121:8	184:3,18,21
66:6,13,22 67:11	148:14 185:22	unfortunate 12:2	137:3 139:12,14	196:21 197:6,19
96:25 97:4,23	types 138:22	unfortunately	143:4 146:14	videoed 149:15
98:7,11,17		79:1 128:13	147:15 148:4,18	view 84:8 111:15
101:24,25 103:4	$oldsymbol{ ext{U}}$	Unhappily 31:4	148:25 150:5	119:8 165:10
103:6 104:4,5,24	udder 182:8,11	unidentified 47:3	154:20 159:14	192:12 200:24
105:1 139:15	UK 145:22	uniform 96:7	160:3,7,9,14,15	202:4
142:20,24 172:7	unable 28:25	114:1	160:16 161:1,6	views 16:13 68:4,8
172:8 175:19	unacceptable	unintended 143:25	163:3,5 175:15	148:12
177:18 185:2,4	83:18 112:20	unit 24:6 131:7,12	176:13,24 181:23	violence 6:9 12:6
186:15 187:14	161:3	131:25 133:22	181:25 182:5,9	38:4 42:18 49:8
188:1 193:2,9	Unbeknown	134:8 135:21	182:11,13,20,22	50:14 180:4,6
194:13,19 195:20	129:20	136:3 159:18	186:25 189:24	violent 145:15
197:13 198:12	uncaring 78:21	203:16 204:4	190:4 192:9	146:1
Tulley's 37:22	unclear 91:10	units 131:14	199:19 200:13	Virtually 75:17
39:17,24 101:12	undated 123:3	133:11 134:2,15	203:1,4,16	visible 147:24
103:15	undercover	unknown 62:12	205:24	vision 115:22,24
tunnel 115:22	172:16	unlit 57:8	use' 146:9	visits 156:6 167:23
turn 30:5 37:7	underlying 111:22	unnamed 113:20	user 20:18 139:9	visor 196:24
63:20 169:7,8	underneath	unpacking 6:13	users' 73:13	visual 201:17
turned 30:6 38:25	127:17	unplanned 144:22	uses 32:19	voice 17:20 81:15
114:13 169:25	understaffed	unprofessional	usual 123:5	82:5 85:1,21
170:2,10,23	12:20,23	30:16 58:15,19	utter 56:14 95:18	voices 69:8
190:18 204:5	understaffing	77:23 78:13,14	uttered 87:1	volume 61:4 65:22
turning 13:9 78:8	156:17	78:22 79:12		voluntarily 76:6
TV 11:12	understand 9:11	unruly 71:9	V	voluntary 114:2
	13:15 32:21 33:1	•	V2017051700015	,
	<u> </u>	I	I	I

				Page 257
vulnerabilities	156:12,13,18	148:17 156:19	133:16 135:25	129:19 157:3
150:22	169:11,15 182:4	162:14 171:4	140:11,16 142:1	166:12 169:11,15
vulnerable 20:11	184:25 193:5	177:12 183:8	161:7 164:7,10	173:7,9,10,17,18
63:25 73:9 151:2	204:20	184:16 185:19	171:1,8 172:16	173:19,20 181:2
180:23	wants 34:10,14	193:6 194:3,23	177:14,23 190:8	204:23
100.23	Ward 134:24	196:5	weren't 8:9 41:6	wings 12:25 71:9
\mathbf{W}	145:1,8 148:24	Wayne 147:1	41:21 45:6 48:2	71:13 108:20
wait 81:3 92:17	warm-up 147:3	158:25 160:5	48:9,10 93:18	117:14 121:19
101:4 102:10	warm-up 147.3 warm-ups 146:24	ways 146:23	96:12 130:8,14	157:1
178:17	warning 3:14	we'll 31:13 32:3,9	131:19 141:25	wipe 194:3
waited 55:8	warranted 165:10	33:1 175:7	162:19 164:5,9	wish 84:18 86:15
wake 94:21	washing 129:23	we're 5:7 19:10	166:4 168:2	wished 133:10
walk 109:4,7 170:7	washing 123.23 wasn't 4:11 9:23	29:8 35:22,22	204:10	Wishful 189:8,10
173:7,9,19	19:23 20:12,20	47:14 102:23	Western 171:5	withdrew 207:10
194:14,22,22	40:4 44:14,16,19	120:19 206:15	What?' 38:21	witness 1:11 5:24
198:9 200:6	45:5 46:2,18	we've 192:4 195:2	Whatshisname	6:1 13:3 74:20
walked 27:25	47:8 54:12 64:7	195:5 199:4,9	21:11	80:18,19 81:1
31:25 70:1 72:19	64:23 77:23 80:1	wear 187:12	whatsoever 187:16	87:15,20 113:17
walking 171:1	85:23 86:16 87:2	Webb 86:18,19	whichever 3:24	118:10,19 123:1
175:24 186:23	87:3,10,11 131:2	135:2,6 137:18	whilst 2:14 6:11	123:14,16,17
198:13	138:14 143:12	137:18,19 163:1	73:23 82:23	124:19 131:10
wall 155:9 193:8	163:9,14 177:1	website 189:6	106:18 148:8	136:12 145:3
196:25 198:9,10	181:1 186:4	Wednesday 1:1	whipping 178:8	158:21 162:15
walls 154:11	191:17 197:3	week 27:13 61:20	whispers 44:5	164:8 165:17
Wandsworth	205:14	78:7 101:8	whistling 94:4	167:8 169:4
143:13	waste 156:24	118:25 146:17	white 39:11 92:18	180:16,18 181:7
wanna 169:23	157:12	149:15 205:12,13	175:18 198:21	181:14 187:4
want 5:24 10:23	watch 11:6,24	week's 132:25	white-framed	189:18 201:1
14:13 15:11 16:4	43:13,18 49:15	133:2 135:25	92:19	202:5 207:10
16:7 17:22 18:4	112:12 153:8,18	156:4	Wimpole 127:21	witnessed 40:20
30:18 33:10,25	196:9	week-long 136:15	wind 183:18	41:14 43:1 44:8
38:7 47:20 57:12	watched 43:16,19	weeks 43:5 46:1	window 53:17,17	107:1 146:8,22
57:14 61:11 63:3	43:19,22 82:2,3	86:17	53:18 54:1,4	147:11,24
69:3 75:21 80:14	189:4,5 196:11	weight 110:4	56:17 57:8 62:7	women 206:18
80:15 83:15	watching 43:1,10	welcome 103:3	71:16 190:7	won 55:13
87:14 113:16	129:6	welcomed 182:10	window' 62:25	wonder 199:25
118:14 121:4	water 129:21,22	welfare 110:18	wing 26:14 61:22	Wood 149:2,2
122:12 123:13	way 3:24 4:22 8:22	112:11 130:9	69:23 70:16 71:2	word 11:10 22:9
138:7 156:10	14:12 20:12	142:7 164:4	71:4,7,12 72:3,9	32:16,19 34:11
165:19,21 166:18	22:13 25:25 31:5	went 2:2 6:25	72:10,11 73:10	44:7 77:13
172:4 177:12	31:14 33:15	15:10,21,22	74:1 76:2 78:8	103:15,16 117:8
178:13 184:14	49:11 53:20 57:5	24:13 25:16	88:6,24 89:16,21	138:7 146:9
186:24 188:20	65:9 66:21 68:25	26:21 27:20	89:24,25 90:7,21	187:7 188:7,22
196:11,15 197:20	69:21 79:7 87:11	33:23,23,23	96:5,5 98:18	189:13,24 190:4
206:21	87:18 88:1 90:17	38:19,24,25 63:5	101:9 106:22	words 10:9 28:7
wanted 10:9 15:12	93:4,13,15 95:25	63:11,21 64:12	108:22 109:4	29:8,17,17 44:10
15:13 16:4 38:8	102:22 107:2	83:4 97:8 107:2	114:3,22 115:15	45:13 48:4 51:10
62:7 94:19 109:3	117:9 140:6	114:14 125:16	119:1,6,9,19,20	56:15 59:10,21
109:5 128:12	141:4,5 143:16	126:13,16 129:23	121:11 122:3	59:24 60:1,2,5,6
153:11 154:12				
	ı	ı	1	ı

				Page 238
60.21 22 72.6	06.15 101.01	52.2.12.57.10	00.12 106.10	130 204:21
60:21,23 73:6 76:5 79:13 82:8	86:15 121:21 139:8 148:7	52:2,13 57:19	00:13 196:19 00:16:20 84:19	130 204:21 1340 72:5
82:9 85:19 86:24		64:8,8 66:16 67:4,12,24 77:22		1340 72:3 13th 24:6
87:1,9 95:14,18	156:22 162:20	78:5 82:5 104:13	0000095 50:17	14 3:15 57:4 61:24
1	173:17 194:4,16		04:18 184:6	
101:23 102:8,9	194:17 196:7	112:6 116:11,11	09 125:3,4	62:4,22 68:24
102:11 103:10	201:16,19	120:21,21 121:17	1	72:7 74:24 75:19
105:22,24 106:3	Wow' 116:1	125:2 129:4	1 27:15 31:7,24	76:14 82:16
106:4 113:23	wrapped 26:15	138:6 142:11	33:8 47:4 49:14	87:17,24 88:15
116:23 118:6	40:20,22,23 41:1	143:11 151:1	69:6,13 70:21	89:7 90:6,10
138:21 151:11	41:4,16 44:9	155:8 156:15	73:1 101:7	14:19 184:7
152:17 168:13	98:20	160:18 162:12		1471 185:3
174:4 184:14,23	wrapping 44:21	164:24,24 167:1	123:14 142:6,21	1474 186:8
188:6,11 189:20	wrapping-up	168:19 169:1,3	165:21 195:10	14th 24:1 74:25
193:11 194:9	45:11	172:3 176:2	199:10 207:22,24	15 3:16 25:2 88:23
195:17 196:14	wrist 185:18	177:21 178:16,24	1.10 122:18	91:4 92:11
198:19 200:8,9	write 120:7,25	179:24 185:7	10 20:23 25:1 41:9	154:16,20,24
wore 90:19	130:19 160:9	195:4,11 198:21	41:24 106:10	163:22 167:10
work 4:24 6:6,25	writing 2:12 72:14	199:12 202:12	108:3 113:14	201:8
12:8 13:19 14:18	84:13 203:1,14	204:23	125:4	15-minute 172:5
43:14,14 54:22	written 3:14 80:3	year 1:13 2:3	10-minute 32:6,20	15:40 183:19
71:2 96:12 119:6	162:25	123:3 126:25	10.00 1:2 207:11	15th 75:5 89:17
123:21 124:6,11	wrong 30:13 52:15	127:7 157:25	207:14,17	16 75:4 89:7 91:5
152:22 156:6	117:3,15 120:2	159:25	100 2:20 15:3	91:14 94:13
157:7 169:1	130:14 147:3,7	years 1:24 6:5 40:7	204:21	106:21 110:25
172:10	180:6 189:7	65:2 112:1 128:5	1097 77:11	140:4 163:22
worked 1:24 6:5	206:22	129:14 141:17	11 22:3 65:23	16:20 84:22
20:16 124:14	wrongly 165:23	158:4 206:10	106:17 108:3	162 113:17
127:20 140:13,14	184:5	years' 201:22	11.29 68:19	16th 89:10,17
143:17 149:10	wrote 17:7 160:3	yep 9:15 14:23	11.45 68:17	17 11:9 90:6 91:9
154:16 169:6		41:2 43:22 78:18	11.49 68:21	106:7 149:1
183:22 194:13	X X 207 20	98:10 127:4	11:00 106:22	161:20 174:24
working 1:25 7:2	X 207:20	129:15 134:24	113.17 100:12	183:2
17:25 43:20 72:9	Y	193:19	118 208:1	17:20 88:24 89:8
113:25 121:10		yesterday 17:1	12 3:14 75:24 76:1	1732 70:14
167:20	Yan 9:1,19 30:20	99:11	108:3 127:6	17th 87:25 96:4
works 59:1,6,20	33:17 34:2 39:22	YOI 149:3	131:15 134:16,17	18 51:14 80:23,25
world 67:20 96:1	41:10,10,25,25	you' 34:8 56:2	134:18,23 149:1	96:1 172:6
139:5,11	42:6 43:6,13 44:3 46:14,24	116:11	161:20 184:1	181:17,17
worn 100:12,13,24	48:20 49:16	young 37:12 54:23	12-officer 131:15	18(a) 80:19,24,25
worried 147:19	148:5 166:19	106:14 114:6,12	12.20 88:23	1872 191:21
201:24		149:1 161:20	12:20 89:7	1884 192:18
worry 42:3 135:19	yard 15:4,14,15,17 15:18,22 88:6	youse 120:17	122 208:3,5	1893 198:1
140:10 145:5	Yarl's 149:2,2	7	1231 79:16,18,19	1895 198:15
159:11 201:24	yeah 1:22 2:22 4:1	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z}}$	1275 70:21	19 181:17
202:1 205:4	9:5,5,17 15:21	Zaynab 18:2 183:6	13 18:11 20:23	1909 194:19
worse 16:23	1	191:20	23:21 24:7 26:6	198:22
wouldn't 20:13,14	27:16,16 31:2,2 31:15 32:5,8,15	Zeeshan 190:15	26:8 27:11 50:18	1910 198:22
26:2 34:21 35:2	36:9,11,13 39:19	0	90:4 99:12	1927 195:1 199:3
49:25 50:6 76:25	47:5 49:3 51:19	0:04:18 184:7	108:13 196:19,20	1930 199:8
	+1.3 4 3.3 31.19	0.04.10 104./	13:20 196:17,22	

Page 239

-				Page 239
1060 145 10	202 200 7	112.16	C 2 100 11	
1960s 145:12	202 208:7	112:16	6.2 108:11	
1987 145:18	2022 1:1 207:17	4	60 13:5 14:10	
1988 147:11	209 70:3	4 6:5 51:14 72:20	53:11 77:2 79:19	
19th 75:10	21 1:13 76:1 116:5	82:15 84:4 96:24	203:3	
1s 203:8	2107 18:13	100:6 101:14	62 22:2	
2	2120 19:6	183:5	7	
2 1:1 47:9 61:16	22 73:3 204:20 23 2:7	4.39 207:15	7 88:18 169:5	
75:21 88:1 101:6		40 33:6,6 74:21	7.1 109:20	
123:15 127:10	23(a) 162:16 230 145:9	79:15,19 87:23	721 53:14	
131:10 139:14	230 143.9 233 147:9	87:24 88:5 90:8	77 190:13	
142:6 154:17	24 53:12 88:15	90:11 91:10	78 175:1	
186:12	91:5,8 123:2	93:18 136:23,24		
2.10 122:16,17,20	142:12 177:3,4	151:8	8	
20 1:24 22:3 72:5	24-hour 91:11	41 69:2 90:15	8 27:10 40:7 61:20	
72:20 107:24,25	24(c) 169:5	42 91:2 202:6	69:18,22 70:15	
110:25	25 1:20 3:12 43:5	43 71:24 91:14	101:7,14 103:4,6	
20:30 90:10	43:24 48:15	44 25:2 46:21 73:1	167:9 172:6	
20:55 89:10	150:16	184:11,12 185:1	81 145:5	
2000 69:22	26 56:25 73:3	45 48:12 201:9	839 177:5	
2006 123:22,24	87:22 174:23	46 27:19 187:4	840 177:6	
124:7 127:18,24	184:12	47 113:10	845 177:11	
2009 67:19 124:2	26th 73:4	48 97:2 118:10	85 16:11	
126:14 131:11	27 86:17,21 87:16	49 27:11	852 76:1 177:20	
141:14 177:19	90:3 145:4			
179:2,5	2743 190:21	5	9	
2010 125:1,5,6	2787 175:2,6	5 23:23,24 25:3	9 1:20 5:25 30:20	
126:16 128:4	28 58:1 108:11	30:22 51:18 61:3	150:2 167:9,9	
158:3	181:13	62:21 65:25	90 115:17,17	
2011 129:11 134:7	29 111:3,3,5	82:14	173:20 203:3	
135:23 159:9	2953's 110:19	50 30:21 135:24	204:23	
2011/2012 124:17		51 18:3 26:7 50:17	908 58:21	
2012 158:22 159:7	3	98:14	90s 139:21	
163:21	3 27:12 33:14	523 88:5	912 60:7	
2013 160:24	61:15 62:20	54 191:19 197:23		
2015 136:6 140:4	74:24 88:15 97:4	198:20		
142:25 149:15	123:18 150:1	55 51:14 198:22		
150:19	159:6 207:17	56-year-old		
2016 1:20 2:3	3.28 174:19	145:18		
140:6 161:22	3.30 174:15	57 13:5 65:22 181:18,21		
167:13	3.45 174:17	58 13:20		
2017 1:20 3:14,20	3.48 174:21	59 14:2 18:10		
24:1 62:15 87:17	30 149:22 151:8	20:23		
106:10 108:13	31 2:10,15 113:10			
110:11 112:9,18	32 26:8 58:20	6		
113:22 129:12 132:9 136:4	98:15 109:18	6 1:14 50:18 62:11		
132:9 136:4	35 109:22 112:15 37 23:22 77:2,11	62:15 150:1		
167:13 174:24	38 25:2 136:23	154:17		
2018 150:1	39 100:12 112:15	6,000 154:10		
2010 1.70.1	0, 100.12 112.13			