1	Friday, 4 March 2022	1	Brook House, I think you say in your statement that you
2	(10.00 am)	2	thought it would be a stepping stone to overseas
3	THE CHAIR: Good morning, thank you.	3	escorting work; is that right?
4	MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, chair. We will now be hearing	4	A. Yes.
5	from Ms Shayne Munroe.	5	Q. When did you realise that the job description was wrong
6	MS SHAYNE MUNROE (affirmed)	6	about that?
7	Examination by MR LIVINGSTON	7	A. When I got there and was doing the training and they
8	MR LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Ms Munroe.	8	said that Tascor had the overseas escorting contract.
9	Just before we start, chair, I think Rob confirmed	9	Q. And you'd thought that it was G4S, and so you could work
10	it earlier, but just to let you know, there are going to	10	there for a bit and then also
11	be three witnesses today Ms Munroe,	11	A. Yeah, it was still posted on their website at the point
12	Mr Babatunde Fagbo and Mr Kalvin Sanders so we will	12	of me applying.
13	be sitting closer to normal hours today rather than	13	Q. Okay. At that point, when you realised that, did you
14	Friday hours.	14	think about leaving?
15	THE CHAIR: Understood, thank you.	15	A. No, because I'd left my previous job, so where was
16	MR LIVINGSTON: Ms Munroe, good morning.	16	I going to go?
17	A. Good morning.	17	Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is
18	Q. You provided a statement to the inquiry which is at our	18	that you felt that the job description and the training
19	reference <inn000013>. That's at tab 1 of your bundle</inn000013>	19	weren't an accurate reflection of the role. You say
20	there of your folder of documents. I am asking the	20	that the job description implied a controlled
21	chair to adduce that in full?	21	environment and didn't reflect the challenges that you
22	THE CHAIR: Indeed.	22	experienced when you were actually working there. What
23	MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Ms Munroe, is I don't need	23	did you expect from the training and the application
24	to ask you about every paragraph because that statement	24	process?
25	is in evidence before us. I am going to ask you about	25	A. I don't know what I expected, to be honest with you, but
	Page 1		Page 3
		1	
1	some of the stuff in the statement, and you can turn to	1	what we were told in the classroom in comparison to what
1 2	some of the stuff in the statement, and you can turn to it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and	1 2	what we were told in the classroom in comparison to what was there was two completely different things.
	•		·
2	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and	2	was there was two completely different things.
2 3	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well.	2 3	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit,
2 3 4	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at	2 3 4	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort
2 3 4 5	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until	2 3 4 5	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know?
2 3 4 5 6	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do
2 3 4 5 6 7	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff seemed more content, and you say that that might have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That would have been in April 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff seemed more content, and you say that that might have been because it was more open, with natural light, fewer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That would have been in April 2017? A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff seemed more content, and you say that that might have been because it was more open, with natural light, fewer doors and fewer keys; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That would have been in April 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff seemed more content, and you say that that might have been because it was more open, with natural light, fewer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it if you want, but you don't have to every time, and some documents may be brought up on screen as well. First of all, just to confirm, you worked at Brook House from February 2016 until about September 2017; is that right? A. Correct. Q. You were initially an assistant custody officer for a few months, and then a DCO for the rest of the time? A. Yes, because my CRB check didn't come through in time. Q. Because your CRB check didn't come through? A. Yeah. Q. Just to let you know, in case of I'm sort of repeating stuff, there are transcribers there that are typing what you are saying, so, to the extent that you can, speak so they can hear you under the mask. I know it is difficult. That would be appreciated. You say that you were only actually working at Brook House for about four weeks during our relevant period because of the disciplinary investigation during the rest of the time; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That would have been in April 2017? A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was there was two completely different things. Q. I know this is inviting you to speculate a little bit, but do you have any feeling as to whether they were sort of deliberately making it seem better than it was or do you think they didn't know? A. They definitely knew. I just think that people — you sell yourself, don't you? So they're going to sell their job as though it's the best thing in the world, and it really wasn't. Q. You were obviously with other people on the training course. Do you think that your colleagues, as well, sort of thought that you'd been sold one thing and then walked into Brook House into another? A. Definitely. Some people left before they even finished the training. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that Tinsley House was a lot calmer and quieter. Did you ever work at Tinsley House? A. Not often. Maybe about four or five times, if that. Q. You say that Tinsley seemed calmer, quieter, the staff seemed more content, and you say that that might have been because it was more open, with natural light, fewer doors and fewer keys; is that right?

1	Q. One of the things you said earlier in your statement,	1	I respected people. So when you know how to speak to
2	Ms Munroe, is that you didn't think the physical layout	2	people, you're not really going to need to use your
3	of Brook House had an impact on the care of detainees.	3	hands.
4	Do you think that things like light and doors and keys	4	Q. I know you can only comment on your own experience, but
5	might have impacted on the care of detainees?	5	you sort of said there that because of the way you
6	A. Well, it's built like a category B prison, isn't it? So	6	talk about de-escalation in your statement as well. Do
7	if you're putting them in an environment that resembles	7	you think that there were more spontaneous uses of force
8	a prison, it's you're going to get that kind of	8	that didn't involve you because people didn't know how
9	behaviour. So what as I said in my statement, a lot	9	to escalate situations de-escalate situations?
10	of them used to say, "Oh, this isn't prison". A lot of	10	A. I think so, and I think a lot of people aggravated
11	them had served their time, had been out in the	11	situations as well.
12	community and then Home Office have said, "Okay, we are	12	Q. Just going back to the training on use of force, was
13	detaining you today", because they have gone to sign on	13	there an emphasis on de-escalation in that training? Do
14	and they've said, "You're not going home". You can't	14	you remember?
15	have someone free and then throw them into something	15	A. No.
16	that they have already served their time for. So	16	Q. There wasn't an emphasis?
17	I don't think it impacted the care of them, but did it	17	A. No.
18	impact their behaviour? Yeah, I think so.	18	Q. Do you remember, was there any part of that training
19	Q. That's, I suppose, the detainees' side of	19	which talked about the particular things you might need
20	the environment. Do you think that it being built like	20	to take into account if you were using force against
21	a category B prison, or the environment, impacted on how	21	someone with mental health problems?
22	staff saw it as well?	22	A. No. There was no discussion about that.
23	A. I don't know. For me, it made no difference. For	23	Q. Was there any training at all on how to deal with
24	everybody else, I don't know. I can't comment.	24	detained people with mental health problems?
25	Q. One of the things you say I'm just going to ask you	25	A. No.
	D 5		D 7
	Page 5		Page 7
1	a couple of things about training. You say that	1	Q. Did you have any I know you've worked in probation
2	training, as a whole, was very informative but didn't	2	before?
3	adequately prepare DCOs for the role. Is that the same	3	A. No, I didn't.
4	type of thing we were talking about earlier, that	4	Q. Oh, sorry, I may have got that wrong. Sorry, you
5	nothing could prepare you for that?	5	currently work as a probation officer. You'd done
6	A. Nothing could prepare you for it.	6	voluntary work before with young offenders; is that
7	Q. You describe the use of force training, in particular at	7	right?
8	paragraph 34 of your statement, as being of excellent	8	A. Correct.
9	quality. You say that it was enjoyable, that the whole	9	Q. Did you have any idea, before you went into Brook House,
10	group was shown the whole group was able to do what	10	of the number of people that would have mental health
11	was demonstrated and there was an emphasis on the need	11	problems?
12	to complete a use of force report whenever you had to	12	A. No.
13	place hands on a detainee. In your experience, did that	13	Q. Did you feel adequately prepared to deal with that?
14	happen? Was a use of force report completed every time	14	A. No.
15	someone laid hands on a detainee?	15	Q. I want to come on to ask you about an issue about
16	A. Again, I can only comment on myself. I don't I think	16	security information reports and smuggling of items by
17	there might have been maybe once or twice that I've had	17	staff and others. You say in your statement, you talk
18	to put my hand on a detainee to usher them away. I've	18	about checks being done to prevent drugs being smuggled
19	never used force like such on the Panorama show.	19	in, and you say you were told on your training that
20	Q. Do you have any idea you might not why you weren't	20	random searches would be carried out, but this only
21	involved in use of force or control and restraint?	21	happened once during your employment, that you can
22	A. Planned? No idea. But, as we have heard from other	22	remember?
23	witnesses, there were a lot of people who were	23	A. Correct.
24	constantly called to do that. Spontaneous? I never	24	Q. Does that mean that every other time you and your
25	needed to. I knew how to speak to people and	25	colleagues walked into the building to do a shift, there
		I	
	D. (D 0
	Page 6		Page 8

2 A. Correct. 3 Q. That one search that did happen to staff, do you have any recollection of when that was? 5 A. It would probably have been between maybe — I don't even know. No. 7 Q. Okay. 8 A. Because, obviously, I was off for long periods of time in horsement the two periods of time that I was actually in work. 10 Q. Vos. 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13 Q. Was that random search — were you searched while you were there? 14 A. When you were going in. 15 Q. One of the divings you say in your statement is that there were all you frame that large in or were you acteded while you were being in or were you acteded while you were going in or were you acteded while you were there were all you framework of time that I was actually large in or were you acteded while you were going in or weep you acteded while you were there? 15 there? 16 A. When you were going in. 17 Q. One of the divings you say in your statement is that there were all you framours going around about staff or specific files this gride using in. You say that there were all you framours going around about staff or you provide the inquiry are were all you framours going around about staff or you go the word of the divines you was you have any the word of the divines and you say that there were all you for the manufactured that have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 16 A. The not going to meant into the actions of the provide was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through value of the problem. It can't just be coming in through you have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Print not mentioning manse, but the yhave been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Print not mentioning manse, but the yhave been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Print not mentioning manse, but the yhave been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 16 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement when you were lodded and the your was action and your statement when you were lodded and throughout the inquiry are also names that h	1	was no checks?	1	Q. You say in your statement that you used SIRs, security
Q. That one search that did happen to staff, do you have any recollection of when that was? A. It would probably have been between maybe — I don't even know. No. Q. Olay. 8. A. Because, obviously, I was off for long periods of time in between the von periods of fine that I was actually in work. 10. Q. Yes. 11. Q. Yes. 12. A. Bat, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13. Q. Was that random search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you syn your statement is that there were a lot of runnuus going around about staff or you that remelses of staff were bringing things in for the terms of or other chainess spoke to you and told you got that three were a low names that were frequently to that there were a few names that were frequently to that there were a few names that were frequently to possible the professional and the sould have searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were times when detainess spoke to you and told you just to mention names? 13. Yes, I would have were well of the professional and the sould also an account of the things you go around about staff or you have made to the frequently you that members of staff were bringing things in for the staff were were a few names that were frequently to the professional profesi				
security team, but one first hat doing so made things wore. Why do you think it runde finings wore. Why do you think it made fining wore. Why do you think it would become pub talk, so keep myself out of it. Q. May that each other. There were buttered when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were there going in or were you searched when you were there going in or were you searched when you were there you then you and both the publing is SIRe? A. Pran not going to memory sole as mant phones, or them and for other detainess, such as smart phones. Page 9 1 frequently mentioned? A. Pran not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned it he inquiry. Pran not mentioned throughout the inquiry. Pran not mentioned throughout the inquiry or				•
serior management fixers when detainees spoke to you and told you where were times when detainees spoke to you and told you data members of staff were bringing fitings in for you and for other detainees. Which members of staff were were found mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned if the linquiry are also names to that have been mentioned in the linquiry are shored. A. They have been mentioned in the linquiry are also names to that have been mentioned in the linquiry are also names. A. They have been mentioned in the linquiry are also names to the staff ken or you're were lold about names. A. Heave been mentioned in the linquiry are also names that were five go were long a bit honest on that occasion, but I never you were long about names. A. Heave hear I can't just be coming in through sa as constant problem. It can't just be coming in through the problem. It can't just be coming in through the problem. It can't just be coming in through the problem. It can't just be coming in through the problem. It can't just be coming in through the problem. It can't just be coming in through the found. A. Because this, of m on going to call names. A. Heave hear any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the linquiry are also names. A. Because they don't care. You're not always a can't just be coming in through which it made things was quite friendly, overfitendly, which this there were for my table. A. Then not going to meation those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the linquiry are also names. I have been a mentioned in the linquiry are also		**	4	
6 A. Because, byviously, I was off for long periods of time in between the two periods of time in between the two periods of time that I was actually in work. 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13 Q. Was that random search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched when you were going in or were you searched whilst you were there? 14 A. When you were going in. 17 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were into for mouse going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were into for mouse going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were into when detainces spoke to you and told you that members of staff were large of the man of for other detainces, such as smart phones, cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwern, and you say that there were a few ammes that were frequently mentioned? 1 A. The not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Promote mentioning names, but 2 A. Pra not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Promote mention in manse? 3 A. Because iddin't witness it myself. Because the names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detaines were being a bit housed on that occasion, but I never it is that I have heard, I can only assume that the detaines were being a bit housed on that occasion, but I never it is that I have heard, I can only assume that the detaines is power to be the problems. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. 2 you write SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you encember how many times that I have heard, I can only assume that the detaines were been mentioned proposts, of the problems. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen. Problems are not of the country in the problems. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen. Problems were been mentio	5		5	
7	6		6	
8 A. Because, obviously, I was off for long periods of time in between the two periods of time that I was actually in work. 11 Q. Ves. 2 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 2 Q. Ves. 3 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 3 Q. Was that random search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched whilst you were there? 4 A. Wes, you were going in or were you searched whilst you were there? 5 A. When you were going in. 6 A. When you were going in. 7 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of runnours going around about staff or you there were times when detainess spoke to you and told you that members of staff were brighing things in for them und for other detainess, such as smart phones, 23 cannabls, spice, money, clothes, forewar, and you say 24 that there were a few amens that were frequently mentioned by detainess. Which members of staff were a mentioned throughout the inquiry. 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Pra not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Pra not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention throughout the inquiry are also names that I have been I than the considerable when you were dothed that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have been that the were in the summer of the staff were were in that I have been that the were in the summer of the staff were were few many times that I have been that the were in the summer of the staff were were in the summer in the particularly were been going in the particularly why your summer is that I have been that particularly were been going in the particularly were been going in the summer in the particularly were been going in the summer in the particular in the particu				
social, and Just felt like it would become pub talk, in work. 10 in work. 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13 Q. Was that random search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched whilst you were there? 14 were going in or were you searched whilst you were there? 15 there? 16 A. When you were going in. 17 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about stiff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about stiff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about stiff or specific officers bringing things in You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about stiff or specific officers bringing things in You say that there were a few heatiness spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for the were a few names that were frequently that there were a few names that were frequently that there were a few names that were frequently that there were a few names that were frequently that there were a few names that were frequently to go both the inquiry. 12 A. Tim not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Boults going back to the specific issue about bringing in drugs, but they weren't doing anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or both they were frequently that there were a few names that were frequently to go both they weren't doing anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or both? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Both? 18 A. Yes. 18 A. Both. 19 A. Both. 19 A. Both. 19 A. Both. 10 A. Yes. 19 A. Both. 10 A. Yes. 21 A. Both. 22 Both? 23 A. Both. 24 A. Both. 25 A. Fan not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned? 26 A. Tim not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned? 27 A. Tim not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 18 A. Bo				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 in work. 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13 Q. Was that madom search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched whilst you were 14 were going in or were you searched whilst you were 15 there? 16 A. When you were going in. 17 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that 18 there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that 19 specific officers bringing things in. You say that 20 there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or you that members of staff were bringing things in for the specific officers bringing in the problem. It all the specific officers bringing things in for the specific officers bringing and trugs. But the s	9			
11 Q. Yes. 12 A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. 13 Q. Was that modom search — were you searched when you were going in or were you searched whilst you were life. 14 When you were going in. 15 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were times when detainees spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for 21 them and for other detainees, such as smart phones, 22 cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a lew names that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were page 9 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been motioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 2 Q. Is Lake Instone-Brower one of them? 3 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned of the thinguiry are abo names; that have been mentioned on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to mention those the chainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to cannot names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned throughout the tinquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 4 Q. Okay, One of the things you say any your statement late it seemed clear to you be were old good and they weren't doing anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it in your statement there, or do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it in your statement there, or do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it in your statement there, or do you mean the DCMs, or being anything about it in your statement there, or do you mean t	10	•	10	
A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember. Q. Was that random search — were you searched when you were there? 15 there? 16 A. When you were going in. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things. in. You say that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were times when detainces spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, so ket on you were the swe were times when detainces spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, so ket, as smart phones, 22 cannabis, spice, memory, clobes, footwer, and you say that there were a few mames that were frequently mentioned by detainces. Which members of staff were bringing things in for the mentioned fthroughout the inquiry. 1				• •
you fast members of staff were bringing things in. You say that there were a few mans that were frequently mentioned? A. Page 9 If requently mentioned? A. Page 9 If requently mentioned? A. Page 11 If requently mentioned? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Page 11 A. Because Iddit' witness it myself. Because the names that have here his papened? when you were told about names, you wary to last happened? A. Because Iddit' witness it myself. Because the names that have free in that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names? that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. O. Say. One of the things you say in your statement is that thave been mentioned throughout the inquiry are also names that happened? A. Because Iddit' witness it myself. Because the names that where this happened? A. I can't remember. Q. O. Say. One of the things you say in your statement is made that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. I you can many times that happened? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry are also names that the detainees that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names? A. Because Iddit' witness it myself. Because the names that the detainees that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. O. Say. One of the things you say in your statement is that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. I you can make that the detainees that happened? A. Because Iddit' witness it myself. Because the names that the detainees that happened? A. I can 't remember how many times that happened? A. I can 't remember. Q. I you can ry and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, to you just with the names of the staff then or didy ou just wire the stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then owards, I don't know.	12	A. But, yeah, it was only once, so I don't remember.	12	experience, but did that just mean that, at one point,
there? A. When you were going in. A. When you were going in. O. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were a lot of furnours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that 20 there were times when detainces, such as smart phones, you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, such as smart phones, and there were a few names that were frequently 21 that there were a few names that were frequently 22 that there were a few names that were frequently 23 cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwar, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently 24 mentioned by detainces. Which members of staff were Page 9 Fage 11 1 frequently mentioned? A. Pran tot going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is take instead from the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never with that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never with the specific issue about bringing in drugs, you say in your statement were frequently you that management knew who was bringing in drugs, but they werent doing anything about it. Do you mean the DCMs, or both? 22 A. Pean. I would have staff the requested that they knew about it? 3 A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere eke. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not - it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happe	13		13	
there? A. When you were going in. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were times when detainces spoke to you and told 21 you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, spoke to you and told 22 you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, shok as smart phones, cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainces. Which members of staff were pragon mentioned froughout the inquiry. A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is take Instorts-Rever one of them? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that har been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that har been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have hear and in the latter and the detainees were being a hit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that happened, when you were told about names, you wront to the proposition of the detained of the proposition of the	14	•	14	
16 A. When you were going in. 17 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that 18 there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or 19 specific officers bringing things in. You say that 20 there were times when detainees spoke to you and told 21 you that members of staff were bringing things in for 22 them and for other detainees, such as smart phones, 23 cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say 24 that there were a few names that were frequently 25 mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been 2 mentioned throughout the inquiry. 3 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm 3 not mentioning names, but 4 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 4 to mention names? 5 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names 10 that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 11 that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 12 were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never 13 witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 14 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement that it seemed clear to you that management knew who was bringing in drugs, but they weren't doing arrything about it. Do you mean there, or do you mean the DCMs, or both? 23 A. Peas. Page 1 1 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in omnewhere else. Vou're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just fell like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or do you mean the DCMs, or both? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in momenter vou're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fi	15		15	
17 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were times when detainees spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainees, such as smart phones, as cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwar, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 3 M. Frey have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 4 Q. Is take instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 6 No breamy reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that without the detainees without his happened, when you were to all about names, or least fift here of did you just write - 12 were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 14 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were to all about names, you wrote SiRs, the security information reports, to you wrote SiRs, the security information reports, to you wrote the security information reports, to you wrote the security information reports, to you wrote wrote the security information reports, to you wrote wrote the security information reports, to you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey. There is a poi	16	A. When you were going in.	16	
there were a lot of rumours going around about staff or specific officers bringing things in. You say that there were cimes when detainces spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, such as smart phones. annubis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were page 9 Page 9 Page 11 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. Pm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is take Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been memtioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? 8 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have heard, I can only assume that the detainees with at have hering a bit hones, hut I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 10 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened. When you were told about names, and I would have writen the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 18 A. Carea. 19 Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? 20 A. Veah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know.			17	
there were times when detainees spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainees, such as smart phones, cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few mames that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 frequently mentioned? A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you can try and think back to that time when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, you ware the security information reports, to they didn't care. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it that place, so they didn't care. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Yes.			18	drugs, you say in your statement that it seemed clear to
there were times when detainces spoke to you and told you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, such as smart phones, can command, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainces. Which members of staff were Page 9 Page 11 frequently mentioned? A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm on the mentioning names, but Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit hones on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you meman the DCMs, or both? 2.2 both? A. Beth. Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Don't care? Page 11 1 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visit and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There i		5 5	19	
you that members of staff were bringing things in for them and for other detainces, such as smart phones, cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainces. Which members of staff were Page 9 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but. 6 not mention names? 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention mames? 8 to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 10 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? 10 A. I can't remember. 11 wrote the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? 12 wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write — 23 A. Yes. 2 senior management there, or do you mean the DCMs, or both? 2 d. Both: 2 4 A. Both. 2 4 A. Yes. Page 11 2 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? 3 A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through vists and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not—it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. 2 Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? 3 A. Because they don't care. 4 Q. I want to	20		20	
them and for other detainces, such as smart phones, canabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 Page 11 frequently mentioned? A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioned broughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainces were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Yes. Page 11 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches,	21	• •	21	
23 cannabis, spice, money, clothes, footwear, and you say 24 that there were a few names that were frequently 25 mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 Page 11 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been 3 mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm 6 not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 8 to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names 10 that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 11 that have heard, I can only assume that the detainces 12 were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never 13 witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 14 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is 15 that when this happened, when you were told about names, 16 you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to 17 report it. Do you remember how many times that 18 happened? 19 A. I can't remember. 20 Q. I'you can tray and think back to that time when you 21 wrote the security information reports, did you write 22 the names of the staff then or did you just write – 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Both? 25 A. Yes. Page 11 25 A. Yes. Page 11 2 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? 4 a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you'	22		22	both?
that there were a few names that were frequently mentioned by detainees. Which members of staff were Page 9 Page 11 1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 6 no mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 10 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to that when this happened, when you were told about names, low our to SIRs, the security information reports, to the popen of the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write — 23 A. Yes. Page 11 1 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? 2 it? 3 A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you'r	23	_	23	A. Both.
Page 9 Page 11 frequently mentioned? A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have been didn't uninessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say in you write the names of the staff then or did you just write wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write stated which detainece told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. A. Yes. Page 11 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they have been mentioned in the inquiry. I'm didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen, really. A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they d	24		24	Q. Both?
Page 9 Page 11 frequently mentioned? A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but. A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote ISIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write — A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. Page 11 Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not increasing staff searches	25		25	A. Yes.
1 frequently mentioned? 2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 6 No Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? 8 to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 10 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? 10 Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write with that then onwards, I don't know. 10 G. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about it. 11 d. Recause nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. 12 You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. 2 D. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? 2 A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. 2 You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. 2 D. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen. 2 Q. Don't care?				
2 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. 4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 6 not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 10 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? 10 A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not—it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. 10 Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts as to why they were allowing it to happen? 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 15 Lan't remember. 16 Q. I want to ask you a few		Page 9		Page 11
mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write — 24 with that then onwards, I don't know. A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were allowing it to happear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were allowing it bona post it has to be coming in shomewhere else. You're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happear li	ł			
4 Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? 5 A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm 6 not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 8 to mention names? 9 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names 10 that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 11 that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees 12 were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never 13 witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 14 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is 15 that when this happened, when you were told about names, 16 you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to 17 report it. Do you remember how many times that 18 happened? 19 A. I can't remember. 20 Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you 18 wrote the security information reports, did you write 20 wrote the security information reports, did you write 21 the names of the staff then or did you just write 22 the names of the staff then or did you just write 24 stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 25 with that then onwards, I don't know. 4 a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through 26 visits and post. It has to be coming in through 27 visits and post. It has to be coming in thorugh 28 visits and post. It has to be coming in thorugh 29 visits and post. It has to be coming in thorugh 29 visits and post. It has to be coming in thorugh 20 Li has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the visits and post. It has to be coming in the	1	frequently mentioned?	1	Q. Why did you get that impression, that they knew about
A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. Sists and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, or d		• •		
6 not mentioning names, but 7 Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 8 to mention names? 8 A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names 10 that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 11 that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees 12 were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never 13 witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 14 Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is 15 that when this happened, when you were told about names, 16 you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to 17 report it. Do you remember how many times that 18 happened? 19 A. I can't remember. 20 Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you 21 wrote the security information reports, did you write 22 the names of the staff then or did you just write 23 A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have 24 stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 25 with the detainee told me that, but what happened 3 with that then onwards, I don't know. 4 You're not increasing staff searches, you're not it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the 4 didn't appear like much was being done to fix the 5 problem. So I just felt like they were all just 4 didn't appear like much was being done to fix the 5 problem. So I just felt like they were all just 4 allowing it to happen, really. 9 A. Because they don't care. 10 Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen,? 11 A. Because they don't care. 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 15 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at 16 Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? 22 Q. Was there on	2	A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry.	2	it?
didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that I have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write— A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know.	2 3	A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry.Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them?	2 3	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through
to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write worth with that then onwards, I don't know. Broblem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know.	2 3 4	A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry.Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them?	2 3 4	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else.
that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have sited which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 9 allowing it to happen, really. 10 Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 15 they didn't care. 16 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at 17 Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but 	2 3 4 5 6	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it
that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 10 Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 15 Usual to ask you a few questions about the culture at 16 Prook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, 19 you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, 20 but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 	2 3 4 5 6 7	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the
that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 11 as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 15 they didn't care. 16 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at 17 Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, 19 you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, 20 but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became 21 evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 25 Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just
were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write— A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 12 A. Because they don't care. 13 Q. Don't care? 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. 16 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at 17 Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 26 Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really.
witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 13 Q. Don't care? 14 A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts,
Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen?
that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 15 they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care.
you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 16 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care?
17 report it. Do you remember how many times that 18 happened? 19 A. I can't remember. 20 Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you 21 wrote the security information reports, did you write 22 the names of the staff then or did you just write 23 A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have 24 stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 25 with that then onwards, I don't know. 17 Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you 28 worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, 29 you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, 20 but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became 21 evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in 25 your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so
happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 18 worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, 20 but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care.
A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 19 you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at
Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 20 but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you
wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 21 evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in 25 your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months,
the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 22 right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other,
A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened with that then onwards, I don't know. 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became
24 stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 25 with that then onwards, I don't know. 26 27 Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that
25 with that then onwards, I don't know. 25 your statement where you mention a sort of friendship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct.
Page 10 Page 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I'm not going to mention those names, but they have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. Q. Is Luke Instone-Brewer one of them? A. They have been mentioned throughout the inquiry. I'm not mentioning names, but Q. Is there any reason, particularly, why you're unwilling to mention names? A. Because I didn't witness it myself. Because the names that have been mentioned in the inquiry are also names that I have heard, I can only assume that the detainees were being a bit honest on that occasion, but I never witnessed it, so I'm not going to call names. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that when this happened, when you were told about names, you wrote SIRs, the security information reports, to report it. Do you remember how many times that happened? A. I can't remember. Q. If you can try and think back to that time when you wrote the security information reports, did you write the names of the staff then or did you just write A. Yeah, I would have written the names, and I would have stated which detainee told me that, but what happened 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it? A. Because nothing was really happening, and it was a constant problem. It can't just be coming in through visits and post. It has to be coming in somewhere else. You're not increasing staff searches, you're not — it didn't appear like much was being done to fix the problem. So I just felt like they were all just allowing it to happen, really. Q. Do you have any thoughts, or did you have any thoughts, as to why they were allowing it to happen? A. Because they don't care. Q. Don't care? A. There is no consequences for anything in that place, so they didn't care. Q. I want to ask you a few questions about the culture at Brook House. You contrast in your statement when you worked briefly as an ACO for the first couple of months, you say everyone was lovely and helpful to each other, but then, when you moved to the DCO role, it became evident that it was quite a cliquey culture; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Was there one predominant clique? There is a point in

i			
1	group. Is that the clique you're talking about or is it	1	Q. I know this is a bit of a generalisation, but do you
2	more than that?	2	think there was a sort of lack of understanding
3	A. Yeah, to me, they were a dominant clique, but to others,	3	sometimes about the experiences of detainees?
4	there could have been others, or they might pretend like	4	A. Absolutely, yeah, because I had to correct a few members
5	they didn't know that there was any groups or anything	5	of staff sometimes as well.
6	like that.	6	Q. On what sort of thing?
7	Q. In your experience, was the SM the senior management	7	A. Like, some detainees might have convictions for things
8	team also part of that clique?	8	that is less socially acceptable here, but where they're
9	A. Some members of senior management, yes.	9	from it's more widely acceptable, and the names that
10	Q. I think you mention in your statement that	10	they might call them. It's like, "Oh, he's that" or
11	Michelle Brown was part of that group?	11	"He's this", and I had to pull them up on it. "Like,
12	A. Yes.	12	you need to not do that, because that could potentially
13	Q. And Jules Williams as well?	13	be harmful to him if other people hear that", because
14	A. Yes.	14	other detainees detainees don't talk about their
15	Q. Did those cliques, or that clique, just involve G4S	15	convictions and, if they hear us, as staff, talking like
16	staff or were the Home Office staff part of that; do you	16	that, "That's not a nice conviction to have", and that
17	know?	17	could potentially cause problems within amongst them
18	A. I never saw the Home Office. I very rarely saw them.	18	and we don't need that.
19	So, yeah, mainly G4S staff.	19	Q. We have heard some witnesses talking and there is some
20	Q. We have heard a couple of witnesses talk about	20	transcript recordings of staff accessing criminal
21	Home Office staff being on a different floor, I think,	21	records of detainees. Is that something you ever did?
22	in an office. Did you just not really see them while	22	A. I don't recall being able to access the criminal
23	you were at Brook House?	23	records, but on, like, the movement sheets and stuff, it
24	A. You just didn't see them. You heard from them on the	24	would have a brief overview of what their criminal
25	phones, but you never saw them, and, if you did see	25	history was. So if someone had a violent history, it
	D 42		D 45
	Page 13		Page 15
1	them, you'd just say, "Oh, who's that?" Like, you just	1	might say "firearms", it might say "ABH", "GBH",
2	don't know who they are.	2	whatever. But, in comparison to what I do now, they
i		_	Due, in comparison to mat I do now, they
3	Q. There was no-one you knew by name	3	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions.
3 4	•		
	Q. There was no-one you knew by name	3	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions.
4	Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No.	3 4	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating
4 5	Q. There was no-one you knew by nameA. No.Q really to sort of talk to?	3 4 5	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal
4 5 6	Q. There was no-one you knew by nameA. No.Q really to sort of talk to?A. No.	3 4 5 6	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were?
4 5 6 7	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to 	3 4 5 6 7	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so.
4 5 6 7 8	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of 	3 4 5 6 7 8	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right?
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? A. Generally, they got on with them. But there were, you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from. Q. One of the things you say, at paragraph 11 of your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? A. Generally, they got on with them. But there were, you know, sometimes people used some terms and it would be, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from. Q. One of the things you say, at paragraph 11 of your statement, is that you didn't pick up on any foul
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? A. Generally, they got on with them. But there were, you know, sometimes people used some terms and it would be, like, "Mmm", or they'd just say things and it's, like, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from. Q. One of the things you say, at paragraph 11 of your statement, is that you didn't pick up on any foul attitudes towards detainees and you thought that,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? A. Generally, they got on with them. But there were, you know, sometimes people used some terms and it would be, like, "Mmm", or they'd just say things and it's, like, well, "Do you really understand what it is you're saying?", kind of thing. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from. Q. One of the things you say, at paragraph 11 of your statement, is that you didn't pick up on any foul attitudes towards detainees and you thought that, generally, everyone got on well, which meant you were shocked when you saw Panorama; is that right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. There was no-one you knew by name A. No. Q really to sort of talk to? A. No. Q. Thinking about that clique, because we are trying to look at the culture at Brook House, it's one of the things that the inquiry has to look at, what sort of influence, in your experience, did that clique have over things at Brook House? A. What do you mean? Q. You've talked about there being staff and senior management. Do you think they had an influence over who got selected for different tasks at Brook House A. Oh, yeah, definitely. Q and things like that? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. What was that clique's attitude to detainees, as far as you could tell? A. Generally, they got on with them. But there were, you know, sometimes people used some terms and it would be, like, "Mmm", or they'd just say things and it's, like, well, "Do you really understand what it is you're 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	didn't have much in relation to people's convictions. Q. Did you feel that there was a culture of staff treating detainees differently depending on what their criminal records were? A. I wouldn't say so. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that morale was generally good amongst staff; is that right? A. Yeah. People just got on with the job, really. Q. You say you felt like morale was a bit poorer on D wing. Is there any reason for that? A. When I went there, the staff that I was working with, they were fairly new, in comparison to me, even though I was still quite new. Just didn't seem very interested, seemed a bit bored. Maybe because they weren't working with people that were their friends. But that few days that I did work on D wing, I didn't like it. I wanted to go back to where I'd just come from. Q. One of the things you say, at paragraph 11 of your statement, is that you didn't pick up on any foul attitudes towards detainees and you thought that, generally, everyone got on well, which meant you were

1 A. Yesh, I vas. 2 Q. You my have had the chance to think about it, but do 3 you have any thoughts as to why you didn't winness any 4 of this stuff that was shown in Panoman? 5 A. As I stuit in my statement, there were a lot of 6 behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that 7 I weren't privy. In. Teach the because I'm the type of 8 person to call out bullshit, for want of a better 9 description, and idial't – I chose to keep myself away 10 from certain people. As Ps said, again, I difn't go 11 in the staff roon. 11 over the staff roon. 12 Q. Yes, you say that you didn't one the commanual staff 13 room, you preferred to eat lanch on your own in your 14 car. Why was that? 15 A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my 16 colleagues when I started – well, not all of them, but 17 the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to 18 associate with them. I didn't go to work to make 19 friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. 20 Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have 21 any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and 22 given what you said, and we will come on to the response 24 to your grievance, but given your concerns about the 25 management culture in responding to your complaints, did 26 witness any - or I hadrd the an aemade and ystaff who had 27 witness any - or I hadrd the amende on a politic price of the stage that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit." Do you 28 think that stuff felt able to make complaints, and 29 or think that stuff felt able to make complaints, and 30 A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 31 a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would 32 a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would 33 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 34 that artified able to make complaints, 35 or Well come on to your grievancee, as I said, but do 36 winces and my out day are a said, but do 37 you think that that culture of, as you say, son of 38 a complaint you would have been done from that com			1	41 · · · · · C
of this stuff that was shown in Panorman? A. A. I said in my statement, there were a lot of behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that there were a lot of behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that there were a lot of person to call our bubbid. for want of a better description, and I didn't – I chose to keep myself away from certain people. As Ves aid, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yex, you say that you adidn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to cal huse hon your own in your car. Why was that? A. Breams the privation of the finise that you say that you didn't say to the stage of the things that you say that you didn't say to the say that you didn't say the your didn't have an ice introduction from my colleagues when I started – well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't you to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees, But given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did witness any – or I haddi't bect work that my didn't you to work when you sid, and we will come on to the response to your grievance, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did witness any – or I haddi't bect work to make for the things that you was that you didn't have a management culture in responding to your complaints, did witness any – or I haddi't bect work to make for the highest that you will some on the response to your grievance, but given your concerns about the witness and you will have been done the protection of detainees. But given your concerns about the witness and your did not what you will have been done the response to your grievance, but given your concerns about the witness and you will have been done to your grievances. So I don't know. A. I know this shydothetical but you've said that you were the type of person to 'vall out halbain'. Do you with that that culture of, as you say say the troublems of the				
of this stuff that was shown in Panoruma? A As I said in my statement, there were a lot of behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that I weren't privy to. It could be because I'm the type of gescription, and I didn't — I chose to keep myself away of description, and I didn't — I chose to keep myself away from certain people. As I've said, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the commanal staff room, you preferred to cat lumch on your own in your colleagues when I started — well, not all of them, but the wast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievnness, but given your concerns about the my real concerns about flow the culture of G4S and the treatment of detainces. But given your concerns about the witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Page 17 Page 17 A. As I've said in my statement, if a slavays, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Q. It how this is hypothesical, but you've said that you think that staff fet able to complaine? A. I would assume staff wash't complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We way can be the procession of detainees. So I don't know. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing in introduce and the procession of devance and the procession of devance and that you went that that a complaint you would have been done from th				
behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that I lwere'r piry to. It could be because if me type of person to call out bullshif, for want of a better description, and I didn't — I chose to keep myself away from certain people. As Vesaid, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to cal funch on your room in your car. Why was that? A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started — well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have a given what you said, and we will come on to the response given what you said, and we will come on to the response to the reamment of eleannees? A. Is comparing bow they have dealt with my complaints, witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness any—or I hadn't been around any staff who had witness and more management. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that artyping would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, hut do you thinky buy would have—would				•
behaviours and a lot of discussions and things that I weren't privy to. It could be because I'm the type of person to call out builds, fine want of a better description, and I didn't I chose to keep myself away from certain people. As I've said, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to eal tunch on your own in your car. Why was that? A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to the treatment of detainees? A. I would assume staff wan't comfortable. But I didn't witness any or I hadrit been around any staff who had witnessed mistratement of detainees. But I didn't witness any or I hadrit been around any staff who had witnessed mistratement of detainees. But I didn't witness any or I hadrit been around any staff who had witnessed mistratement of detainees. But I didn't witness any or I hadrit been around any staff who had witnessed mistratement of detainees. So I don't know. Page 17 A. I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any or I hadrit been around any staff who had witnessed mistratement of detainees. So I don't know. Page 19 A. A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievance, but gotted that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that town particular of the special por verteally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would h				· ·
I weren't private. It could be because I'm the type of person to call out bullshit, for want of a better of description, and I dish't—I chave to keep myself away in the staff room. 10		•		
person to call out bullshit, for want of a better description, and I didn't — I chose to keep myself away from certain people. As I've said, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your cur. Why was that? A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my collegues when I started — well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have amy real concerns about how the culture of C4S and amy real concerns about how the culture of C4S and given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the the witness any — or I hadr't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, did witness any — or I hadr't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no. I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have e-would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Serone management. Q. Just hirichy so would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Need that time, the comment of the paid. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and th		<u> </u>		-
10 from certain people. As I've said, again, I didn't go 11 in the staff room. 12 Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff 13 room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your 14 car. Why was that? 15 A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my 16 colleagues when I started — well, not all of them, but 17 the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to 18 associate with them. I didn't go to work to make 19 friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. 20 Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have 21 any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and 22 Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But 23 given what you said, and we will come on to the response 24 to your grievances, but given your concerns about the 25 management culture in responding to your complaints, and the will come on to the response 26 the treatment of detainees? 27 A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 28 were they reof person to "Gall out bulishis." Do you 29 think that staff fift able to make complaints about 20 ware they reof person to "Gall out bulishis." Do you 21 think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating 22 complained? 23 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 24 that anything would have been done from that complaint, 25 no. I wouldn't. 26 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do 27 you think that anything would have been done from that complaint, 28 no. I wouldn't. 29 Q. We will come on to your grievance, sa Is aid, but do 29 you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of 20 saying. "There's been a misunderstanding," did that make 21 officers and management. 22 Complaint you would have e-would that have been 23 a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior 24 management, or? 25 management, or? 26 A. Serior management. 27 Q. Vou shink that that culture of, as you say, sort of 28 serior and you tulik in your statement about existing 29 intimate relationships from their professional 29 befave,		• •		
from certain people. As I've said, again, I didn't go in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your car. Why was that? A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have agiven what you said, and we will come on to the response to toy grievance, but they was resentment in their tone; is that right? Do you think that was resentment that you'd nised a given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievance, but you way the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, a according to them. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers and, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Yes. Deause the grievance was against them? Page 19 A. Correct. Q. Do you think that was resentment that you'd nised a girevance, basically? A. Yes. Deause the grievance was against them. Deause the grievance was against them. Deause the grievance was against them. Deause the grievance was against them? Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, a coording to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers aid, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that that that that that management, or? A.		· ·		
in the staff room. Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your communal staff room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your car. Why was that? A. Recause I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started - well, not all of them, but the wast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the value of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainees. Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints, and witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to 'call out bullshir'. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Ves. A. Na I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldor't. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have — would that have been an anongainent, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt hat that that management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt hat that management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt hat that management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt hat that management, DCMs, DCOs, and				, , , ,
12 Q. Yes, you say that you didn't use the communal staff 13 crow, you preferred to cat lunch on your own in your 14 car. Why was that? 15 A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my 16 colleagues when I started – well, not all of them, but 17 the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to 18 associate with them. I didn't go to work to make 19 Friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid, 20 Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have 21 any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and 22 Brook House impacts on the protection of detainces. But 23 given what you said, and we will come on to the response 24 to your grievances, but given your concerns about the 25 management culture in responding to your complaints, did 26 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had 27 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had 28 were the type of person to "call out bullshin". Do you 29 think that if yord seen a staff member mistreating 30 a A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 31 were the type of person to "call out bullshin". Do you 32 think that if yord seen a staff member mistreating 33 a. I would assume staff wasn't confortable. But I didn't 34 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had 35 were the type of person to "call out bullshin". Do you 36 think that if yord seen a staff member mistreating 37 a. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 38 that day the province of				
room, you preferred to eat lunch on your own in your car. Why was that? A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started - well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make priced. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did provided the treatment of detainees. But you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainees? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that arryou'd send a staff member as staff ends the complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have each one from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have — would that have been an each performed and performed				*
14 car. Why was that? 15 A. Recause I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started – well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. 19 Griends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. 20 Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about thow the culture of G4S and Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did the treatment of detainees? 24 to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, and the treatment of detainees? 25 witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. 26 Q. I know this is hypochetical, but you've said that you were aware and the type of person to "call out bulishir". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have feel the lete complained? 27 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, not you would have been done from that complaint, you would have each done from that complaint, you think you would have been done from that complaint, you would have ended to a DCM or to senior management, or? 20 A. Senior management. 21 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that management, or? 22 A. Senior management. 23 Li think, in June 2016; yee? 24 A. Senior management could that chase here in the processional behaviour; yee? 25 A. Senior management. 26 D. You say in the subtanded to a popt and to be paid. 27 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying. There's been a misunderstanding?, did that make officers less likely to challenge or report o				•
A. Because I just didn't have a nice introduction from my colleagues when I started—well, not all of them, but the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to 18 associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about the wast majority of them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about the wast will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the 23 management culture in responding to your complaints, did 24 the treatment of detainces? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 1 would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't switnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshir". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detunine, whether physically or verbally, you would have emplained? A. I wouldn't come or one of your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have emplaint you would have emed one from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that management, or? A. Senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that make officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour, yes? A. Yes. A. As I've said in my statement in the you'd raised a grievance was against them. A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", 'so I misunderstanding ", on I mi				
the vast majority of them. Just didn't you was to make associate with them. I didn't go to work to make fright? Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and Brook House impacts on the protection of detainces. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did the treatment of detainces? Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainces? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you would have ecomplained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have end one from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 16 collection of the things that you didn't have associate with them. I didn't that anything would bave here done from that complaint, and the proposal thank that that sort of — these friendships		•		
the vast majority of them. I just didn't want to associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainees? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any - or I hand't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshir". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a desince, whether physically or verbally, you would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that awas gainst them? Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstanding erievance was against them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you felt that that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. I would assume fall out bullshir'. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A.		·		
associate with them. I didn't go to work to make friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 Page 17 A. I would have make dealt with my complaints. I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any - or 1 hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Rever the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, you would have complainty ou would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was a quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that was resentment that you'd raised a grievance, basically? A. Correct. Q. Do you think that was resentment that you'd raised a grievance, basically? A. Yes. 24 A. Because the grievance was against them. 25 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, ii's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstanding was a misunderstanding, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal—there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding."? A. Yes. Q. Jou on think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would thave develoue the complaint, and that was a detailed but the complaint, and that the complaint, and that was a detailed but the complaint, and that was a detailed but the cliques issue before, and you talk in				
friends. I went to work to do a job and to be paid. Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainees? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, and witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshir". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detaince, whether physically or verbally, you would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, you would have end one from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. A. Secause the grievance was against them. 24 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I would ave complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. B. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships and friendships between se		• •		•
20 Q. One of the things that you say is that you didn't have 21 any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and 22 Brook House impacts on the protection of detainces. But 23 given what you said, and we will come on to the response 24 to your grievances, but given your concerns about the 25 management culture in responding to your complaints, did 26 Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about 27 the treatment of detainces? 28 A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 39 I witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had 60 witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. 40 Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you 60 were the type of person to "call out bullshir". Do you 60 think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating 60 a detaince, whether physically or verbally, you would 61 have felt able to complained; 90 A. I would have complained; 91 A. I would have complained; 92 A. Yes. 110 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been 61 a complaint you would have — would that have been 62 A. Senior management, or? 111 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 92 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 93 I think, in June 2016; yes? 112 Q. Do you think that that sort of — these friendships		<u> </u>		-
21 any real concerns about how the culture of G4S and 22 Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But 23 given what you said, and we will come on to the response 24 to your grievances, but given your concerns about the 25 management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about 2 the treatment of detainees? 2 1 witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had 2 witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had 3 witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. 4 I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't 5 witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had 6 witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. 7 Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you 8 were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you 9 think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating 10 a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would 11 have felt able to complain, or you would have 12 complained? 13 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 14 that anything would have been done from that complaint, 15 no, I wouldn't. 16 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do 17 you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior 18 a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior 19 management, or? 20 A. Secause the grievance was against them. 21 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. 4 Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that's it? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? 14 A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you t	20	•		
Brook House impacts on the protection of detainees. But given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, id witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detaince, whether physically or verbally, you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Because they felt that that's Q. Because the grievance was against them. 24 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relati	21			
given what you said, and we will come on to the response to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any – or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detaince, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, or? A. Secause the grievance was against them. 24 A. Because the grievance was against them. 25 Q. Because they felt that that's A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. 4 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. 4 Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that's it? A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, or? 10	22		22	
to your grievances, but given your concerns about the management culture in responding to your complaints, did Page 17 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, a cacording to them. I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would have been a complaint you would have — would have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Secause the grievance was against them. Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, or? Q. Just briefly about the first	23	given what you said, and we will come on to the response	23	
Page 17 Page 19 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. I witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. I witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. I witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. A correct. A correc	24	to your grievances, but given your concerns about the	24	•
page 17 1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about the treatment of detainces? 2 the treatment of detainces? 3 A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 4 I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. 5 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. 6 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainces. So I don't know. 7 Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detaince, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complained, or you would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. 10 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management. 10 A. Senior management. 11 Q. Use Silkely to challenge or report one another? 12 A. Senior management. 13 A. I think so. 14 A. I think so. 15 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management touldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? 12 A. Senior management. 13 A. Senior management. 14 C. D. we have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? 12	25	management culture in responding to your complaints, did	25	
1 you think that staff felt able to make complaints about 2 the treatment of detainees? 3 A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, 4 I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't 5 witness any - or I hadn't been around any staff who had 6 witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. 7 Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you 8 were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you 9 think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating 10 a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would 11 have felt able to complain, or you would have 12 complained? 13 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 14 that anything would have been done from that complaint, 15 no, I wouldn't. 16 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do 17 you think you would have would that have been 18 a complaint you would have would that have been 19 management, or? 20 A. Senior management. 21 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 22 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 1 A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, a coording to hem. 2 a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, a coording to them. 2 A. Senior management. 3 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 3 behaviour; yes? 4 A. Correct. 4 A. Correct. A. A. Correct. A. Correct. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying. "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. 3 A. I think so. 4 C. We will end that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying. "There's been a misunderstanding"? 4 A. I think so. 5 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and				
the treatment of detainees? A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint you would have management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything, according to them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that that teulture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. Do you think that that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of — these friendships		Page 1/		Page 19
A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints, I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior you think you would have management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? A. I would sasume staff wasn't componiate, but I didn't Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no provided them. Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships from their professional be	1	you think that staff felt able to make complaints about	1	A. As I've said in my statement, it's always, "Oh, it's
I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that witness any — or I hadn't been around any staff who had formal — there was no investigation into that grievance. That's one where you just say the managers said, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. J think, in June 2016; yes? Q. Do you think that that sort of — these friendships	2	the treatment of detainees?	2	a misunderstanding", so I misunderstand everything,
formal there was no investigation into that witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? d. A correct. Q. And that's it? A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Correct. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. I think that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? A. Yes. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships	3	A. In comparing how they have dealt with my complaints,	3	9
witnessed mistreatment of detainees. So I don't know. Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint, you would have management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that you grievance. That's one where you when where you just say the managers A. Correct. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. Q. And that's it? A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships	4	I would assume staff wasn't comfortable. But I didn't	4	Q. You say that, as far as you were aware, there was no
7 Q. I know this is hypothetical, but you've said that you 8 were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you 9 think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating 10 a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would 11 have felt able to complain, or you would have 12 complained? 13 A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought 14 that anything would have been done from that complaint, 15 no, I wouldn't. 16 Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do 17 you think you would have would that have been 18 a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior 19 management, or? 20 A. Senior management. 21 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 22 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 24 A. Correct. 26 A. Correct. 27 Q. And that's it? 28 A. Correct. 28 A. Correct. 29 Q. And that's it? 4 A. Yes. 4 Correct. 9 Q. And that's it? 4 A. Yes. 4 Yes. 10 A. Yes. 4 I think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. We wall that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding"? 6 A. Yes. 6 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? 7 A. Yes. 7 Q. Du you think that that sort of these friendships	5	witness any or I hadn't been around any staff who had	5	_
were the type of person to "call out bullshit". Do you think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been you think you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Wes quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? A. Correct. 9 Q. And that's it? A. Yes. 9 Q. And that's it? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. 9 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 22 Was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? A. Oo Do you think that that sort of these friendships				
think that if you'd seen a staff member mistreating a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have — would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, It hink, in June 2016; yes? A. A. A. A. A. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. A. Yes. Q. A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of — these friendships				,
a detainee, whether physically or verbally, you would have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 A. I think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. 15 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 20 Do you think that that sort of these friendships		**		
have felt able to complain, or you would have complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint, you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 11 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. 12 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that culture of, as you say, sort of saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. 14 A. I think so. 15 Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 22 D. O you think that that sort of these friendships				
complained? A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 12 saying, "There's been a misunderstanding", did that make officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships				
A. I would have complained, but whether or not I thought that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 13 officers less likely to challenge or report one another? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships		<u>.</u>		
that anything would have been done from that complaint, no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? A. I think so. Q. We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships		•		
no, I wouldn't. Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 20 We have talked a little bit about the cliques issue before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships		. ,		•
Q. We will come on to your grievances, as I said, but do you think you would have would that have been a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 16 before, and you talk in your statement about existing intimate relationships and friendships between senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships		•		
you think you would have would that have been 18 a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior 19 management, or? 19 management, or? 19 meant officers and management couldn't separate their 20 A. Senior management. 20 personal relationships from their professional 21 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 22 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 24 I behaviour; yes? 25 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships			1	-
a complaint you would have made to a DCM or to senior management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 18 management, DCMs, DCOs, and that you felt that that meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships			1	
management, or? A. Senior management. Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 19 meant officers and management couldn't separate their personal relationships from their professional behaviour; yes? A. Yes. 20 Do you think that that sort of these friendships				
A. Senior management. 20 personal relationships from their professional 21 Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 22 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 20 personal relationships from their professional 21 behaviour; yes? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that sort of these friendships		•		
Q. Just briefly about the first grievance you raised, that 21 behaviour; yes? 22 was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, 23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships		•		
was quite soon after you'd started the DCO role, I think, in June 2016; yes? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships				
23 I think, in June 2016; yes? 23 Q. Do you think that that sort of these friendships				
	24	A. Mmm-hmm.	24	affected people's willingness to raise concerns about
25 Q. You complained about a number of things, and that's at 25 a fellow staff member? So if, for example, there was			1	
Page 18 Page 20	Ī	Page 18		Page 20

1	a member of the senior management team who was good	1	earlier in your statement that it was never brought to
2	friends with a DCM or a DCO, would that make you less	2	your attention by detainees that staff were treating
3	likely to make a complaint to that senior management?	3	people badly. Did this count as a DCO treating people
4	A. I think so.	4	badly?
5	Q. Do you think it led to managers dismissing complaints	5	A. I wouldn't have perceived that as him treating people
6	about people they were friends with?	6	badly because I've never seen him treat people badly.
7	A. I think so.	7	It may be his approach and how he spoke to them might
8	Q. You say, Ms Munroe, in your statement, that you think,	8	have been a bit more abrupt. They might not have liked
9	for a lot of staff, if they had concerns, they were more	9	it as much. But, personally, I didn't see a problem
10	likely to keep them to themselves because it was	10	with how he dealt with detainees when I worked with him.
11	unlikely to go anywhere; yes?	11	Q. You didn't have any concerns about his behaviour?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. No.
13	Q. Was that something you ever actually talked to	13	Q. Were there any other examples where detained people said
14	colleagues about?	14	any staff members were treating them "like shit"?
15	A. Yeah.	15	A. Just generally, they'll say it, but there was never
16	Q. And	16	a specific incident or it was never yeah, there
17	A. A lot of the time.	17	was nothing highlighted to me that, "Oh, this happened,
18	Q. A lot of the time?	18	and this is what they did", but, in general, they'll
19	A. A lot of the time.	19	say, "Oh, they treat us like shit in here. It's not
20	Q. And colleagues would say something, but then say they	20	prison. They need to start treating us like that", but
21	weren't going to make a complaint?	21	it was never specific.
22	A. Yeah, a lot of us and I say "us", because there are	22	Q. So it was more like
23	quite a few things that I just didn't bother to	23	A. More general, yeah.
24	pursue we would have those conversations and we would	24	Q "Yeah,this person treats us like shit", not, "On
25	just be, like, "Well, what's really going to come of	25	19 April, this person said this to me and it was shit",
	Page 21		Page 23
1	it?"	1	so it was more general?
1 2	it?" O. One of the things you talk about in your statement	1 2	so it was more general?
2	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement,	2	A. Mmm.
	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with		A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is
2 3	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of	2 3	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they
2 3 4	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with	2 3 4	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that
2 3 4 5 6	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked	2 3 4 5	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them?
2 3 4 5	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person who was willing to do what you asked but refused to do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do you think this was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person who was willing to do what you asked but refused to do it when DCO Darren Tomsett asked him, and said to you, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do you think this was? A. Because there'd be rumours about those members of staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person who was willing to do what you asked but refused to do it when DCO Darren Tomsett asked him, and said to you, "Because he's rude and treats people like shit and has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do you think this was? A. Because there'd be rumours about those members of staff bringing things in, there'd be rumours about those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person who was willing to do what you asked but refused to do it when DCO Darren Tomsett asked him, and said to you, "Because he's rude and treats people like shit and has his favourites in here, but you, you're all right, because you don't treat people differently". You said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do you think this was? A. Because there'd be rumours about those members of staff bringing things in, there'd be rumours about those members of staff being in relationships with detainees. Q. Do you think did you think that there was a feeling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. One of the things you talk about in your statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 37, is about engaging with detainees, and you say that you found that a lot of black detainees gravitated towards you and appeared happy to see another officer in the wings that looked like them. Did you feel, given the demographics of people who were detained at Brook House, that there was sufficient diversity amongst staff? A. No. No. I could count on two hands the amount of black or Asian DCOs that worked in Brook House. They were more ACOs, who had less detainee contact. The representation in there was rubbish. Q. Do you think that that affected we talked a little bit earlier about the ability of people to understand detainees. Do you think that that had an effect, that lack of diversity? A. Yep. Q. One example you give in your witness statement, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 38, is about a detained person who was willing to do what you asked but refused to do it when DCO Darren Tomsett asked him, and said to you, "Because he's rude and treats people like shit and has his favourites in here, but you, you're all right, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Mmm. Q. Okay. One of the things you say in your statement is that there were some staff who you believed thought they were working in a prison. Why did you get that impression from them? A. Again, it boiled down to how they spoke to people, how they spoke to the detainees a bit more rough. From what I understood of the role, we were there to look after them, as such. We didn't have the same powers as a prison officer. So how to I don't know how to describe it here, but in seeing it, it was just like, okay, well, that person's kicking off, what are you going to do about it? How are you going to de-escalate? Like, just learn to talk to people, because that's the most we can do. Q. Okay. One of the things you say is that it appeared to you that anyone who got on exceptionally well with detainees was considered, by a certain set of DCOs and DCMs, to be displaying inappropriate behaviour. Why do you think this was? A. Because there'd be rumours about those members of staff bringing things in, there'd be rumours about those members of staff being in relationships with detainees.

1 that staff who got on well with detainees were betraying 1 We can't force people. There is a load of other people 2 2 colleagues by doing so? here. So you either have to be patient or just wait". 3 3 Q. Did you know at all about the ACDT process? A. Possibly. Maybe. 4 Q. Did you sort of -- I suppose the way to ask it is, did 4 A. Yes. 5 it feel like a sort of "them and us" attitude, where --5 Q. If somebody threatened self-harm, would you open an 6 6 ACDT? 7 Q. -- if you became friendly -- or if you got on well with 7 A. If they threatened self-harm because they weren't 8 a detainee, it felt to some officers like they were sort 8 getting their own way, no, I wouldn't have opened one. 9 q of going over to the other side? Q. So it would be more if you genuinely thought they were 10 10 going to self-harm? A. Yes. 11 11 Q. One of the things that you have talked about a couple of A. Correct. 12 times, in the context of control and restraint and use 12 Q. Just briefly on management as a whole, you say that the 13 of force, is that you felt you could calm situations, 13 quality of leadership by senior management was poor. 14 14 whereas other officers would antagonise them; yeah? Why did you feel that? 15 15 A. Yeah. A. I didn't see them. Hardly saw them. Didn't really come 16 Q. You gave an example where something you heard a number 16 down. Didn't really interact with us or detainees. 17 of times was officers saying things like, "At least I'm 17 Q. Is there anyone in particular that you had problems with 18 going home to my family tonight"; is that right? 18 from senior management, or was it just the team? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Didn't see them enough to have a problem with them. 20 Q. Do you remember which officers would say this? 20 Q. You talk about your experience of being managed at 21 21 Brook House as disastrous, referring to multiple A. No, there are too many. 22 Q. Did you see that as them deliberately antagonising the 22 instances when DCMs were rude to you or disregarded you. Were you allocated a specific manager? Did you have 23 detainees? 23 24 A. Yeah. 24 someone who was your manager? 25 25 Q. In the context -- that was in the context of control and A. Yeah. Page 25 Page 27 1 Q. Was that DCM Roffey at the beginning? 1 restraint and use of force. In the context of detainees 2 self-harming, did you ever actually experience 2 A. Yes. 3 3 a detainee self-harming or threatening to self-harm? Q. Was he your manager throughout or were there other 4 A. No, I don't think so. 4 people --5 5 Q. You say in your statement that there was -- there were A. No, he got changed. So partway through, it changed to 6 some occasions when officers or managers responded to 6 Steve Webb. 7 7 detainees by not taking them seriously because of Q. You say there were multiple instances where DCMs were 8 a perception that detainees were trying to manipulate 8 rude to you or disregarded do you. The inquiry has 9 the situation; is that right? 9 heard quite a lot of evidence about the sort of DCMs' 10 10 role and there was a Verita investigation afterwards A. Yeah. 11 Q. Did that come sort of just from general discussions with 11 which talked about the DCM role. Did you think there 12 staff, then, rather than from specific incidents? 12 was a sort of wider issue with how DCMs operated at the 13 13 A. No. There were quite a few times, and I think you guys 14 have included a report that I wrote, but there were --14 A. In general, with everyone? No, I just think, because 15 15 detainees would say, "Oh, if you don't get the they were quite protected and they knew that -- they 16 16 Home Office, then I'm going to do this" or "If someone knew what to say and how to get themselves out of 17 17 doesn't come and talk to me now, then I'm going to do the situations. So they didn't really -- they didn't 18 18 that". That is manipulating the situation. really do -- they didn't manage very well. 19 Q. Would that affect the way they were treated when they 19 Q. Did you ever have anything like appraisals or --20 did threaten self-harm? 20 A. No. 21 A. No, because if you threatened that, then it would just 2.1 Q. -- performance reviews, or anything like that? 22 be like, "Okay, well, you have to wait for -- the 22 A. No. 23 Home Office aren't going to come down because you say 23 Q. Did you feel that your DCM, or any of your DCMs, and 24 so" or "Someone is not going to deal with your request 24 I know they changed, you could go to with problems? 25 right now just because you're threatening to do this. 25 A. Steve, I could. Dave, I wouldn't. Page 26 Page 28

1	Q. Dave Roffey?	1	paragraph 54, is that you were told by other members of
2	A. Roffey, yeah, I wouldn't approach him for anything.	2	staff and detainees that there were rumours going around
3	Yeah. There weren't very many DCMs that I would go to.	3	that you were the go-to person if detainees wanted drugs
4	Q. Did you think that senior management tended to believe	4	brought in, and you say that this wasn't true and that,
5	what DCMs said rather than what DCOs said?	5	in your opinion, this was a form of racism and
6	A. Yeah.	6	stereotyping, essentially assuming that you were
7	Q. I want to come on to the issue, or the issues, of racism	7	involved in that because you were a black woman from
8	and sexism and relationships with other staff members.	8	south London; is that right?
9	You say, Ms Munroe, at paragraph 50, that you	9	A. Correct.
10	experienced a lot of racist behaviours and attitudes	10	Q. Did you ever establish where those rumours came from?
11	from staff, both overtly and covertly, and you say that	11	A. Again, I was told by detainees which officers would say
12	racism was present from DCO level right up to senior	12	it. I'm not at liberty to express who they are now.
13	management level; is that right?	13	But, yeah.
14	A. Correct.	14	Q. Coming on to an incident between you and Bonnie Spark,
15	Q. We will come on to the DCO level in a minute, but in	15	you describe how, on 12 August 2016, she called you, and
16	terms of it going right up to senior management level,	16	I quote, "a fucking black cunt" in the presence of six
17	what examples or impressions did you have of racism at	17	to eight other DCOs, and you say you reacted by saying
18	senior management level?	18	you would slap her in the mouth but backed away from the
19	A. There was no representation. There was hardly any black	19	situation; is that right?
20	or Asian or nonwhite management in that building. The	20	A. Correct.
21	one black manager that was there was the race and	21	Q. You raised a grievance about it the following day,
22	diversity manager.	22	I think
23	Q. Is that Conway Edwards?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. Yeah, which wasn't surprising to me, that that was his	24	Q in which you made that allegation to management. As
25	role. DCOs had gone for higher roles and just never got	25	you have seen from the documents, in a subsequent letter
	Page 29		Page 31
1	them, and it did feel like a "face fits" type of	1	that you sent, in November 2016, a few months later,
		1 1	that you sent, in November 2010, a few months rater,
			•
2	environment.	2 3	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to
2	environment.Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of	2	after the grievance had been a decision had been
2 3	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you	2 3	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to
2 3 4	environment.Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of	2 3 4	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly
2 3 4 5	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed	2 3 4 5	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used.
2 3 4 5 6	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the	2 3 4 5 6	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now?
2 3 4 5 6 7	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is	2 3 4 5 6 7	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said. Q. Did you feel like this was staff closing ranks to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism? A. Correct. Q. So not explicit, but something that was in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said. Q. Did you feel like this was staff closing ranks to protect Ms Spark?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism? A. Correct. Q. So not explicit, but something that was in the background?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said. Q. Did you feel like this was staff closing ranks to protect Ms Spark? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism? A. Correct. Q. So not explicit, but something that was in the background? A. Yes. Q. One of the things you say, just on this issue, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said. Q. Did you feel like this was staff closing ranks to protect Ms Spark? A. Yes. Q. When you sent that letter in November saying that you were happy to accept you'd heard incorrectly, did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	environment. Q. We will come on to the sort of broader issue of disciplinary action later, but one of the things you have said in paragraph 50 of your statement is that the disciplinary process and outcomes for black staff seemed to be more severe to you than it was for white staff; is that right? A. Absolutely. Q. Do you have any feeling about why that was? A. I don't know. But we can just see from the outcomes here, as we have seen on the Panorama show, quite a lot of foul language being used there. I've been relieved of my job because of use of foul language. An officer that used quite foul language towards me still works there and is a manager now. So Q. The difference of outcomes A. Correct. Q. I think you describe that in your statement as sort of covert racism? A. Correct. Q. So not explicit, but something that was in the background? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	after the grievance had been a decision had been made, you said at that point that you were happy to accept that what you heard that you heard incorrectly what was said and that no racist language was used. What's your position now? A. I know what I heard. I'm not deaf. But that was an incident whereby "It was a misunderstanding" or "I didn't say that" and, again, as I said, a lot of staff were quite protected, and Bonnie Spark is one of those members of staff who is quite protected in Brook House. A lot of the members of staff that were present were Bonnie's friends, one of which was her ex-boyfriend, and it was, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one said she was a racist, I'm saying this is what came out of her mouth. It took a while for everyone to be interviewed, so a good few months later. A lot of people were hazy and couldn't remember much of what was said, but everybody remembered everything that I said, and no-one heard what Bonnie said. Q. Did you feel like this was staff closing ranks to protect Ms Spark? A. Yes. Q. When you sent that letter in November saying that you

1	feel processed to write the 49	1	A. I dhink the issue was asset thank at the second
1 2	feel pressured to write that?	1 2	A. I think the issue was more so they're comfortable. There are going to be things that people say in the
	A. Not pressured, but, at that point, it had been dragged		There are going to be things that people say in the
3	on for long enough, I'd been out of work for long	3	presence of others, and it might slip out from time to
4	enough. I could have continued and said, "No, this is	4	time, and then they just think, "Oh, shit, I need to
5	what happened", but where was it going to get me?	5	retract that", but it's already been said. I can't say
6	Nowhere. So, sometimes, you just have to accept that	6	what the others heard. They are adamant that that's
7	this is what the decision is and move on from it.	7	what they heard and I know what I heard and I stick by
8	Q. In that grievance that you raised the next day, after	8	what I heard.
9	the incident and just for the record, that's at	9	Q. The outcome of the grievance, as you note in your
10	<inn000002> you said that the day after the incident</inn000002>	10	statement, was that Mr Haughton concluded that both of
11	you'd been told that Bonnie Spark had been saying that	11	you used inappropriate language but he concluded that no
12	it wasn't fair, you always got away with anything	12	racist language was used. How did you feel about that
13	because you always played the race card, and you	13	as an outcome?
14	suggested in that grievance that race was obviously an	14	A. It was a bit shit, but it was what it was. I wasn't
15	issue for Ms Spark and that it made you uneasy to think	15	expecting much more than that anyway.
16	about that. Is that right?	16	Q. I know we have talked a little bit about overt and
17	A. Correct.	17	covert racism and issues about disciplinary and about
18	Q. I think that you say in your statement that, after this	18	representation in management, and things like that.
19	incident, instead of trying to resolve it, your manager	19	Were there any other occasions during your employment
20	at the time, Dave Roffey, encouraged Ms Spark to submit	20	where someone made a racist comment equivalent or
21	an SIR, a security information report, rather than	21	similar to this one?
22	talking to you both about it; is that right?	22	A. I think I raised it in I provided a grievance
23	A. Correct, which I found was a bit crazy, because I'm not	23	where a comment was made. I wouldn't say it was
24	going to back away from the fact that I did say I would	24	racist, but it wasn't necessary.
25	slap her in the mouth, but in that moment, I left	25	Q. Is this the one from Dave Killick?
	Page 33		Page 35
1			
1	I tried to leave, should I say, and another officer	1	A. Yes.
2	I tried to leave, should I say, and another officer stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had	1 2	A. Yes. O. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have
	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had	2	Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have
2	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because		Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence
2 3	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had	2 3	Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have
2 3 4	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand	2 3 4	Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks?A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go".	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of
2 3 4 5 6	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that,	2 3 4 5	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday?
2 3 4 5 6 7	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly.</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard?</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist".</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist".</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one accused her of being a racist. This is just what came out of her mouth in that moment.</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just a minute ago, was that you said that you'd been told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one accused her of being a racist. This is just what came out of her mouth in that moment. Q. Did you feel there was almost a disconnect between the</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just a minute ago, was that you said that you'd been told that Bonnie Spark had accused you of playing the race
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one accused her of being a racist. This is just what came out of her mouth in that moment. Q. Did you feel there was almost a disconnect between the idea that someone is a racist and someone having made</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just a minute ago, was that you said that you'd been told that Bonnie Spark had accused you of playing the race card, and I think, after this grievance outcome, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one accused her of being a racist. This is just what came out of her mouth in that moment. Q. Did you feel there was almost a disconnect between the</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just a minute ago, was that you said that you'd been told that Bonnie Spark had accused you of playing the race
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stopped me from going through the wing doors, and I had to ask her a few times to, "Move out of my way, because I don't want to be around you guys. If you can stand there and allow for someone to talk to me like that, then it's time for me to go". Q. The letter setting out the outcome to your grievance, if we can have it up on screen, is <cjs0073027>. It is a letter from Dan Haughton on 15 October 2016, so I think two months after the incident, roughly. In the second paragraph, he notes that most of the witnesses interviewed described themselves as being close by during the exchange, but none recalled hearing the word "black" being used by Bonnie Spark and she denied using that word. You have already sort of talked about that response. What did you make of none of them recalling what they'd heard? A. It wasn't surprising to me. I wasn't expecting any of her friends to hear her say that and, as I said, her ex-boyfriend explicitly said, "Oh, she's not a racist". No-one accused her of being a racist. This is just what came out of her mouth in that moment. Q. Did you feel there was almost a disconnect between the idea that someone is a racist and someone having made</cjs0073027>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We will come on to that in a bit, if that's okay. Have you had a chance to listen to some of the other evidence to this inquiry in the last couple of weeks? A. Yeah. Q. I don't know if you heard some of the evidence of Dan Small on Monday? A. Some of it, not all of it. Q. And some of the things that he was recorded as saying, racist comments that he was saying, including about foreigners and about telling people to "speak fucking English", and there's obviously an allegation that was recorded as John Connolly using the N word. Did you ever hear anything like that from other staff? A. They wouldn't say those things around me. Q. Did you get the feeling that staff felt able to make certain comments in their own groups, but not around you? A. Yes, and that's partly why I wouldn't go to the staff room. Q. One of the things that we just talked about, just a minute ago, was that you said that you'd been told that Bonnie Spark had accused you of playing the race card, and I think, after this grievance outcome, you

1	any resolution about that comment. Was that ever	1	A. No.
2	discussed after that?	2	Q. Any reason why not?
3	A. Not as far as I'm aware, but I don't know.	3	A. They're all friends on Facebook, so they will have seen
4	Q. Did anyone else, at any time, ever use that sort of	4	it.
5	phrase to you, like "the race card"?	5	Q. How did seeing that make you feel?
6	A. No, it wasn't used to me. It was another member of	6	A. That was a DCM that I actually got along with, and it
7	staff, an ACO, had overheard it when she was coming out	7	just changed my perspective all over again. I kept my
8	that evening.	8	distance and limited my interactions with him and his
9	Q. Is that Nicola Kaminski?	9	other friends in the building.
10	A. Yeah. So I left significantly earlier, I think about	10	MR LIVINGSTON: Ms Munroe, there is a slight technical
11	20 minutes earlier, than what I should have and went	11	glitch with the stream of this. So if I can ask the
12	home, and she said that Bonnie and some other members of	12	chair to just take a very short break while that gets
13	staff I can't remember who were standing outside	13	resolved?
14	in the smoking area and she said her words were that	14	THE CHAIR: Of course. Would you like me to rise,
15	she was sobbing and that's what she said, "Oh, she gets	15	Mr Livingston?
16	away with everything because she plays the race card".	16	MR LIVINGSTON: No, I don't think you need to. It will just
17	I'm not sure where that idea would have come from	17	be a minute or two.
18	because, at that point, I'd left.	18	THE CHAIR: Apologies, Ms Munroe. Hopefully it won't take
19	Q. Okay.	19	very long. (Pause).
20	A. At that point, there had been no allegation of that	20	MR LIVINGSTON: Sorry about that, Ms Munroe.
21	being thrown, so how could I have used the race card in	21	Chair, I think we can continue now.
22	that moment?	22	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
23	Q. The reason I ask is because one of the transcripts that	23	MR LIVINGSTON: Just one more question on that issue I was
24	was put to Dan Small on Monday was a conversation about	24	just asking about. So you say that you saw those and it
25	London becoming minority white, and he said, "I wonder	25	led to you keeping your distance from interactions with
	D 27		D 20
	Page 37		Page 39
1	if I can use the race card then?", so I was wondering	1	him. Did the fact that, as you say, other staff were
2	whether that was a phrase that you heard	2	friends with him on Facebook and would have seen that,
3	A. Oh, no, I wouldn't have heard that. They wouldn't have	3	did that affect your impression of staff as a whole at
4	had those conversations around me.	4	Brook House?
5	Q. Around you?	5	A. No, it just confirmed what I'd already seen, that they
6	A. Yeah, not around me.	6	will turn a blind eye to a lot of things and, when it
7	Q. You will perhaps have seen in your folder of documents	7	comes to their friends, they will protect them.
8	that Bonnie Spark gave a witness statement to the	8	Q. Obviously, the context in this inquiry is that we are
9	inquiry, and essentially she denies that she used racist	9	looking at a centre which was an immigration removal
10	language and says that she never said that you were	10	centre with a large proportion of the detained people in
11	using the race card. Do you have any comment to make on	11	there being black or from other ethnic minorities. Do
12	that?	12	you think that that sort of turning a blind eye, the
13	A. Of course she does.	13	comments that were made to you, where do you see that in
14	Q. But you maintain that you	14	the context of, you know, a staffing group that's meant
15	A. Yeah.	15	to be looking after hundreds of black detainees?
16	Q know what you heard?	16	A. Not great, but what could I do about it?
17	A. My hearing works very well.	17	Q. Turning briefly to the issue of sexism amongst staff,
18	Q. At paragraph 56 of your statement, you also refer to	18	one of the things you mention in your statement is an
19	finding images on Facebook of a DCM with blackface,	19	occasion where you approached John Connolly about
20	which the pictures were from 2010, but they were	20	becoming a use of force instructor, and he said
21	still up on his profile, I think at the time of writing	21	something along the lines of this being excellent
22	your statement?	22	because they didn't get many "udder swingers" showing an
23	A. They're still there now.	23	interest. What was your reaction to that?
24	Q. They're still there now. When you saw those, did you	24	A. I was confused as to what the hell he was talking about,
25	consider reporting them to anyone?	25	but it was only when I walked away, I was like, "Oh,
	Page 38		Page 40

1 1 improved a little bit. Is that right? I see what he means". 2 2 Q. John Connolly was asked about this a couple of days ago. A. Yeah, we appeared to get along better. We didn't work 3 3 A. Yeah, I saw he denied it, but -- don't expect any on the same wing anymore. I was put on B wing, she 4 4 different. remained on A wing, so our paths did cross quite a bit. 5 Q. Did you consider reporting that comment? 5 In those crossings, we were polite. 6 A. No, because I just didn't think it would go anywhere 6 Q. Just briefly, you mentioned it earlier, but the third 7 anyway. I didn't take offence to it in that moment, 7 grievance you raised was in March 2017. You raised 8 because I was really confused what he was talking about, 8 a grievance about DCM Dave Killick. For the record, q but afterwards I just didn't -- didn't bother. 9 that's <INN000004>. One of the allegations that you 10 10 Q. Did you see misogynistic attitudes more broadly among made was that he'd asked you, "How was Jamaica?", and 11 11 when you said, "It was fantastic", he said, "So why did you bother coming back?" Is that right? 12 A. No, I wouldn't say so, but, again, that's not behaviour 12 13 that I would be privy to. 13 14 Q. You also give an example at paragraph 166(f) of your 14 Q. What did you make of that comment? 15 15 statement that he would refer to people as "coloured". A. I don't have words with regards to that man, because 16 Is that something that you heard him say? 16 I didn't like him and he didn't like me, and I just felt 17 A. Yes. 17 that he was being very disrespectful and very rude. 18 Q. A number of times? 18 Q. Did you see that it was just a rude comment or did you 19 A. A handful of times. I didn't really see him much 19 see it as a racist comment? 20 anyway, but yeah. 20 A. A bit of both. 21 Q. How did you see that? Sorry, I should rephrase that. 21 Q. Because I think you say in your grievance that his tone 22 I don't mean physically how do you see it; what was your 22 and attitude suggested that it wasn't meant in a comical 23 reaction to that? 23 way and it definitely wasn't banter; is that right? 24 A. Again, he's -- as I've said in my statement, he's an 24 A. No, it wasn't because, as I said, we weren't friends. 25 25 older gentleman. He's using dated language. Did I take By this point, it was very clear that I didn't like him Page 41 Page 43 1 1 offence to it? No. But he should know better. and he didn't like me. 2 Q. Was there any outcome to this grievance? 2 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that, 3 3 since Panorama, you've bumped into some formerly A. Not as far as I'm aware, but while I was off following 4 detained people who have said things like, "That place 4 my second period of suspension, I was asked if he could 5 5 is full of racists. I don't know what made you want to have a copy of my grievance because of a wider 6 work there". Did any detainee ever report a specific 6 investigation for something else. So maybe something 7 7 incident of racism to you whilst you were at had been going on elsewhere with regards to other 8 **Brook House?** allegations, but he still worked there after I wasn't 9 A. No, it was just a generalisation, "Oh, it's because 9 there, so nothing came of it. 10 we're black, it's because this", and, as a black person 10 Q. Were you ever interviewed as part of an investigation 11 myself, I do usually pull my own up about it and, "Stop 11 into DCM Killick? 12 playing into the stereotype. Stop 'This is because I'm 12 A. No. 13 black' talk", but they might have been right. 13 Q. I want to come on to ask you about the incident 14 Q. Briefly, going back in the sort of chronology, you 14 in April 2017 and what happened thereafter. Just before 15 15 I do, you've mentioned the two periods of suspension you raised a grievance about Bonnie Spark. The conclusion 16 had. After you raised the grievance in what 16 was that you'd both used language but they didn't uphold 17 17 Bonnie Spark had said to you, you were suspended for how your allegation about the specific comment that was 18 18 made, and I think you both were subject to disciplinary 19 19 proceedings for it and admitted sort of swearing, A. I think that was August, and I never went back to work 20 until December. eventually, at each other and you received a written 20 2.1 warning. Is that right? 21 Q. Were you told why you were being suspended? 22 22 A. Because there's an investigation going on with regards 23 Q. You say that, actually, after you both returned to work 23 to -- no, actually, it was that -- I was called into an 24 after that, although you were meant to be split up, you 24 office. We had Sara Edwards and we had Dave Roffey and 25 ended up working with her and your relationship actually 25 Jack Bannister -- I'm going to say they're security

Page 42

Page 44

1 guards, because I still don't know what the purpose of 1 something I can't remember. I've put	it all in the
2 them being there was. I was instructed to go over to 2 statement.	
3 Tinsley House because there was an allegation that I'd 3 Q. Mmm.	
4 threatened to assault somebody. This was about halfway 4 A. He made some comments and then I to	ld him to get over
5 through the day. Well, if I've threatened to assault 5 it, because I thought he was referring to	the exchange
6 someone, I've been here half the day, I've seen her 6 that we'd had a few days before. And the	hen Henry asked
7 today, have I assaulted her? No, I haven't. So it was 7 Will if he was aware of if his dad had	told him about
8 a bit of an empty threat. I was told to go over to 8 what had happened the night before. W	ill didn't really
9 Tinsley House and then I typed up my grievance while 9 make much of a Will, kind of, didn't s	•
10 I was sitting at Tinsley House and someone took it over 10 that conversation either, so I asked Will	*
to Brook for me and then I went off sick. 11 because, if something went on kicked	** ′
12 So while I was off sick, that's when they told me 12 before lock-up and we've just unlocked.	_
that I was going to be suspended because Bonnie had also 13 about it, "What happened?".	
submitted whatever allegation she'd submitted. So 14 And he started explaining, and then t	he detainee
initially, no, I wasn't. I wasn't suspended. It was 15 came in and kicked off at me.	ne dedinee
16 a little bit after. 16 Q. And, as you will know, he says that the d	etainee said
17 Q. Then you were suspended for a month? 17 when he was interviewed, that he heard yo	· ·
18 A. Yes. 18 Will Fagbo, "That prick over there had an	
19 Q. So on to the incident in April 2017, I'm just going 19 your dad". What do you say about that?	argument with
	wasn't thous the
	wash t there the
	1
numbers, but we may not need to turn to it at each 22 Q. He also alleges that you said to him, after	* *
23 point. 23 at you, "I can say whatever I want. You do	-
But, broadly, you say that before this, at least, 24 about it. I don't like you". What do you say	iy about
you knew D119, who was the person involved in the 25 that?	
Page 45 Page 47	
1 insident and convert on community with him cons	
1 incident, and you got on very well with him; yes? 1 A. No. 2 A. Yes. 2 O. You didn't say that?	
3 Q. But you say that a few days before the incident in 4 question, he'd made abusive comments to you about your 4 Q. In your statement, you say what happen	1 V
	•
5 weight and called you a "black bitch"? 5 that D119 hurled abuse at you and wave	u nis nanus m
6 A. Yes. 6 your face?	
7 Q. And you will remember from the investigation that 7 A. Yes.	1:1 1
8 a staff member called Henry Hutton-Maudsley alleges 8 Q. You told him to stop shouting and take	nis nands out of
9 that, on that occasion, so where he called you that, 9 your face?	
that you were both screaming at each other. What do you 10 A. Correct.	
11 say about that? 11 Q. He asked you to there was a converse	
12 A. Henry's memory is a bit hazy, according to him, so 12 whether you'd been talking about him are	id he asked you to
13 I've got no comment on what Henry had to say. 13 swear on your child's life, I believe?	
14 Q. Do you say that you were screaming at each other or just 14 A. That's correct.	
that he was making these comments? 15 Q. And you said that you did because you	
16 A. Did it get loud? Yeah, it did get a little bit louder, 16 speaking about him and he responded by	
17 but it wasn't screaming and yelling like what Henry's 17 were a "shit mum" and your child would	die because of
18 portrayed it to be. 18 it?	
18 portrayed it to be. 18 it? 19 Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct.	
18 portrayed it to be. 19 Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 20 Q. And you say that, in response, you said	
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 21 the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" or	
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 22 between D119 and Babatunde Fagbo and that D119 overheard 23 tit? 24 d. Correct. 25 Q. And you say that, in response, you said 26 the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"?	
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 22 between D119 and Babatunde Fagbo and that D119 overheard 23 the conversation and started shouting; is that right? 24 it? 25 A. Correct. 26 Q. And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 27 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 28 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 29 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 20 Q. And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 28 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 29 A. Correct. 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 21 A. Something of that kind, yeah.	r "Shut the
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 22 between D119 and Babatunde Fagbo and that D119 overheard 23 the conversation and started shouting; is that right? 24 A. I wasn't actually talking, I was head down in the wing 25 it? 26 A. Correct. 27 C. And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 28 A. Something of that kind, yeah. 29 Q. As you will know, there is a suggestion.	r "Shut the
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 22 between D119 and Babatunde Fagbo and that D119 overheard 23 the conversation and started shouting; is that right? 24 it? 25 A. Correct. 26 Q. And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 27 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 28 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 29 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 20 Q. And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 28 The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 29 A. Correct. 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 21 A. Something of that kind, yeah.	r "Shut the
portrayed it to be. 18 it? Q. The incident on 22 April 2017. You say that you were 19 A. Correct. 20 talking to Will Fagbo and Henry Hutton-Maudsley and 21 there was discussion about an incident the night before 22 between D119 and Babatunde Fagbo and that D119 overheard 23 the conversation and started shouting; is that right? 24 A. I wasn't actually talking, I was head down in the wing 25 it? 18 it? A. Correct. 20 And you say that, in response, you said the lines of, "Fuck you" or, "Fuck off" of fuck up"? 21 fuck up"? 22 A. Something of that kind, yeah. 23 A. Something of that kind, yeah. 24 Q. As you will know, there is a suggestion.	r "Shut the

1	whether it might have been that?	1	Q. As you know, he said for the record, it's at
2	A. No.	2	<cjs005888>. He alleges that you said, "Look at this</cjs005888>
3	Q. You don't remember or you don't think it was?	3	waste, man, and hit me, go on, hit me"?
4	A. I didn't use that to Henry, yeah. To him, no.	4	A. I would never encourage someone to hit me and, as
5	Q. Okay. So you used I think you say in your statement	5	I said, he wasn't there. No-one bothered to check CCTV
6	that you called Henry Maudsley a "dickhead" but you say	6	to corroborate that.
7	you wouldn't have called D119	7	Q. I know this is speculative, but I'm going to ask anyway:
8	A. I didn't call him that, no.	8	do you have any feelings or views as to why D720 would
9	Q. As again you know, D119 said in his interview, and just	9	sort of say that he was there and say that he witnessed
10	again for the record that's at <cjs005880> that he</cjs005880>	10	those things?
11	called you a bitch and that you said "suck your mum" in	11	A. To give his friend put back-up to his friend's story,
12	response, but you deny saying that; is that right?	12	basically.
13	A. I don't use that language.	13	Q. D720 and D119 were good friends?
14	Q. As you know, Henry Maudsley also said that you used that	14	A. Yes.
15	phrase, "suck your mum", but you say that that's wrong?	15	Q. Again, in your statement, you note that you were shaken
16	A. The detainee said that to me a few times but, as I've	16	about the incident and upset that your colleagues hadn't
17	said in my statement, it was there's a lot of	17	stepped in to support you, and you said that to them at
18	emphasis on the fact that I'm of Jamaican heritage.	18	the time; yes?
19	That wasn't something that I hid away from in	19	A. Yep.
20	Brook House. And that's a term that the other black	20	Q. You then reported the incident to DCM Page
21	officer that was there would have understood the level	21	A. Correct.
22	of disrespect that that term comes with. That officer	22	Q is that right? And you accept that D720 came to try
23	didn't hear me say that.	23	and speak with you a few hours later about the incident,
24	Q. Is that Will Fagbo, do you mean?	24	and you said it had nothing to do with him?
25	A. Yes. But Henry heard it loud and clear and the detainee	25	A. Correct.
	D 40		D 54
	Page 49		Page 51
1	allegedly heard it. Again, I've put in my statement,	1	Q. I think you say in your statement that D720 told you he
2	that's not a term that I use. Like, throughout the	2	was helping D119 to write a complaint, and you told him
3	inquiry, and even in Brook House, you know, detainees	3	to go ahead?
4	are living off trauma, they have experienced traumatic	4	A. Yep.
5	things. I've experienced traumatic things from hearing	5	Q. So you knew, at that point, that there was likely to be
6	that term being used. So I don't use that term. But	6	a complaint about what had happened?
7	Henry wouldn't know that. But I'm alleged to have said	7	A. That's correct.
8	that. I've not I've never used that term in my life.	8	Q. I suppose one thing I wanted to ask about this incident
9	Q. One of the things you say, talking about	9	as a whole is, was this I know because
10	Henry Hutton-Maudsley's account of the incident, is that	10	the consequences were so severe for you it's something
11	you believed there were undertones of racist	11	that you will remember in quite a lot of detail. Was
12			
	stereotyping in his description of the incident. Why do	12	this a sort of quite common interaction? Was this the
13	you say that?	13	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of
13 14	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't	13 14	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off?
13 14 15	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There	13 14 15	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I?
13 14 15 16	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to	13 14 15 16	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort
13 14 15 16 17	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud,	13 14 15 16 17	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees?
13 14 15 16 17 18	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from	13 14 15 16 17 18	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with
13 14 15 16 17 18	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee who said that he witnessed the incident, who we call	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on perfectly fine. D119, he was quite disruptive
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee who said that he witnessed the incident, who we call D720, but you say that he wasn't actually present at the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on perfectly fine. D119, he was quite disruptive throughout Brook House. He was quite a — I won't say
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee who said that he witnessed the incident, who we call D720, but you say that he wasn't actually present at the time?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on perfectly fine. D119, he was quite disruptive throughout Brook House. He was quite a — I won't say a bold character, but he was quite disruptive, and he
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee who said that he witnessed the incident, who we call D720, but you say that he wasn't actually present at the time? A. He wasn't there. His room was upstairs. He was nowhere	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on perfectly fine. D119, he was quite disruptive throughout Brook House. He was quite a — I won't say a bold character, but he was quite disruptive, and he did behave in a nuisance a lot of the time, but I never
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you say that? A. We were screaming and yelling, it was loud. He didn't want to back up somebody who behaved like that. There was a lot of typical language that I've heard used to describe black females over the years, and it's a loud, angry black female. That's what came out to me from Henry's statement. Q. There's also as you know, there was another detainee who said that he witnessed the incident, who we call D720, but you say that he wasn't actually present at the time?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sort of thing that was happening every week or couple of weeks, or month, or was this a one-off? A. Between them and I? Q. Yes. Not specifically them, but whether that's the sort of interaction you had with detainees? A. No, and that was the first interaction that I'd had with D119, and even D720. Like, as I said, they were resident on a wing, on A wing, before and we got on perfectly fine. D119, he was quite disruptive throughout Brook House. He was quite a — I won't say a bold character, but he was quite disruptive, and he

1	had any issues with communicating with him and engaging	1	why?
2	with him. We got along very well. So, as I said in my	2	A. No idea why.
3	statement, the first interaction that we had that wasn't	3	Q. Ultimately, of course, that resulted in your dismissal
4	positive, it immediately sparked in me that there might	4	by Steve Skitt, and that was on 22 September 2017.
5	be something going on for him, and, again, I've said it	5	One of the things you say in your statement,
6	was my intention to find out what the problem was that	6	Ms Munroe, is that you think that Panorama, which had
7	morning, but, unfortunately, Henry's conversation took	7	come out a couple of weeks before that, had
8	it basically set the precedent for the day.	8	a significant impact on proceedings. Do you mean on the
9	Q. Just in terms of the chronology of what happened next,	9	outcome or do you mean on the investigation as a whole?
10	so you've said in your statement you were then, a couple	10	A. I think on the outcome.
11	of days later, called into Michelle Brown's office and	11	Q. You say that you believe the disciplinary outcome was
12	told you were being suspended following the complaint?	12	based on the incident potentially being perceived in the
13	A. Yes.	13	same light as what was seen on Panorama. Do you think,
14	Q. And you note that D119 didn't actually mention you or	14	if it hadn't been for Panorama, you would have been
15	the incident in his initial complaint. His complaint	15	dismissed?
16	only referred to the incident with Babatunde Fagbo the	16	A. I don't think so. But it's hard to tell with that
17	night before; is that right?	17	place.
18	A. Correct.	18	Q. Do you think that the outcome that was reached, that you
19	Q. You then attended the meeting, I think with	19	were dismissed, was in any part related to your race?
20	Michelle Brown, it was the first meeting, to address the	20	A. I think so.
21	allegations, in September 2017; is that right?	21	Q. You've said, at paragraph 50, talking about disciplinary
22	A. I think, yeah.	22	sanctions as a whole, you talked about it as covert
23	Q. Do you have any idea	23	racism, is that what you see this as?
24	A. I don't think it was with Michelle, though.	24	A. Absolutely.
25	Q. Okay. Was the first meeting with Steve Skitt as well?	25	Q. Can you expand on that at all?
	(, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	Q. can year supular on and at an
	Page 53		Page 55
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I'm pretty certain here we've seen quite a bit of
2	Q. We don't have the record of your meeting, I believe.	2	there's been a lot of accusations of officers using bad
3	A. No, I only had the meeting with Michelle was the one	3	language. It's on the Panorama show. But the one
4	where she told me I was being suspended. That's the	4	officer that sticks out to me right now is the
5	only meeting I had with her.	5	Dave Killicks, the Bonnie Sparks, who were still working
6	Q. There was never an investigation meeting with you about	6	there. Bonnie Sparks still works there now.
7	ıt?	7	Q. You say in your statement that you had concerns about
8	A. No.	8	the quality of the investigation, but you were dismissed
9	Q. Do you have any idea why it took sorry, I'm trying to	9	before you could raise them; is that right?
10	calculate in my head about four or five months to get	10	A. Yes.
11	from the complaint being made about an incident to	11	Q. When you were asked this is my final question in
12	a disciplinary hearing?	12	your statement about what could change for the better at
13	A. They don't know what they're doing. They don't know how	13	Brook House, you say that you think the process could be
14	to run investigations. And everybody's interviews	14	improved by having investigations carried out by
			external sources, or even by internal Home Office staff;
15	constantly happen so long after the event that	15	
16	everyone's recollection of events is hazy.	16	is that right?
16 17	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this	16 17	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff,
16 17 18	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is	16 17 18	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same
16 17 18 19	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of	16 17 18 19	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is
16 17 18 19 20	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case,	16 17 18 19 20	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform.
16 17 18 19 20 21	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those	16 17 18 19 20 21	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those interviews, so D119, D720 and Henry Hutton-Maudsley,	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you, Ms Munroe. Do you have any other comments you wish to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those interviews, so D119, D720 and Henry Hutton-Maudsley, they were all interviewed at the end of April 2017, but	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you, Ms Munroe. Do you have any other comments you wish to make?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those interviews, so D119, D720 and Henry Hutton-Maudsley, they were all interviewed at the end of April 2017, but it took them four and a half months before	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you, Ms Munroe. Do you have any other comments you wish to make? A. No.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those interviews, so D119, D720 and Henry Hutton-Maudsley, they were all interviewed at the end of April 2017, but	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you, Ms Munroe. Do you have any other comments you wish to make?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	everyone's recollection of events is hazy. Q. I mean, one of the things that's noteworthy from this investigation, and you will have seen some of them, is that there were actually interviews with lots of the people involved quite soon afterwards in this case, but then it took another four or five months from those interviews, so D119, D720 and Henry Hutton-Maudsley, they were all interviewed at the end of April 2017, but it took them four and a half months before	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is that right? A. Yeah, and I think maybe they might need some new staff, because, even though Serco run it now, it's the same staff working in the building, so what really is changing? Nothing. It's just a different uniform. MR LIVINGSTON: Those are all the questions I have for you, Ms Munroe. Do you have any other comments you wish to make? A. No.

		1	
1	Questions from THE CHAIR	1	in your evidence about that you were able to talk to
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Munroe. Only a couple. Thank	2	people and, therefore, de-escalate some situations, and
3	you. We have heard from some witnesses, and I don't	3	that perhaps some members of staff didn't have those
4	know whether you will have seen any of their evidence,	4	skills or even aggravated certain situations.
5	the people that mention this, about a culture that was	5	Did you ever witness incidents where other members
6	macho, people being told to "man up", in terms of both	6	of staff aggravated situations to the extent that it
7	staff dealing with some of the pressures that they	7	then resulted in force being used on those individuals?
8	encountered working at Brook House, and also for	8	A. No. I never witnessed that. Might have happened, but
9	detainees. I'm interested in your experience as	9	that would have been a behaviour that I wasn't exposed
10	a female member of staff. You talked about the lack of	10	to. That would have been kept away from me.
11	diversity, in terms of people in leadership positions	11	THE CHAIR: And do you from that, do you mean that that
12	who were black or Asian. Do you have any opinion about	12	might have that you would deliberately not have been
13	the representation of women throughout Brook House and	13	exposed to it?
14	the management at Brook House?	14	A. Yeah.
15	A. Not really, because there were I don't recall much	15	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Those are all the questions that
16	female DCMs. I think maybe one or two. But there were	16	I have, Ms Munroe. I know it isn't an easy experience,
17	a few senior management. But I wasn't really concerned	17	and I'm very grateful for your evidence. So thank you
18	about representation. Because of the type of job that	18	for coming this morning.
19	it was, it's either as a female, you either liked it	19	(The witness withdrew)
20	or you didn't, and if you didn't want to, like, those	20	MR LIVINGSTON: Thank you, chair. If I can invite you to
21	females would leave. So it didn't really bother me that	21	take a break and return at 11.40 am. I think we will be
22	there wasn't much females to represent, as such.	22	hearing from Mr Fagbo next.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Also, just two slightly more	23	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Ms Munroe.
24	specific questions. Mr Livingston asked you a little	24	(11.22 am)
25	bit about access to conviction information for detained	25	(A short break)
			,
	Page 57		Page 59
1	people, and you told us that you had to sometimes	1	(11.40 am)
1 2	people, and you told us that you had to sometimes correct people in how they were referring during casual	1 2	(11.40 am) MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from
	correct people in how they were referring during casual		MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from
2		2	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo.
2 3	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because	2 3	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed)
2 3 4	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have	2 3 4	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo.
2 3 4 5	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred	2 3 4 5	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo.
2 3 4 5 6	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions.	2 3 4 5 6	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at</bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and</bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you.</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry,</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you through every word or every sentence.</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience A. No. THE CHAIR: of anybody doing it deliberately?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you through every word or every sentence. Just a few questions about the background. Before</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience A. No. THE CHAIR: of anybody doing it deliberately? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you through every word or every sentence. Just a few questions about the background. Before I do, one of the things you said in your statement,</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience A. No. THE CHAIR: of anybody doing it deliberately? A. No. THE CHAIR: Thank you. You also said something earlier on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you through every word or every sentence. Just a few questions about the background. Before</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	correct people in how they were referring during casual discussions and please correct me if I have misinterpreted anything of what you said because there were ramifications of individuals being referred to in a certain way based on their convictions. Do you have anything that you can tell us about in your experience, was information about the convictions of people that were being held, was that disclosed to other detainees about those detainees, if that question makes sense? A. Not as far as I'm aware, but the if we were looking at stuff on the computers, it wasn't very like, there wasn't any, like, privacy screens, or anything like that. So if someone looked over your shoulder, they could see what you're looking at. So, no, it wasn't intentionally or I never witnessed anyone intentionally disclosing anyone's criminal backgrounds, but, yeah, it may have got out a few times, it might not have, I'm not sure. THE CHAIR: But you don't have any direct experience A. No. THE CHAIR: of anybody doing it deliberately? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR LIVINGSTON: We will now be hearing from Mr Babatunde Fagbo. MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) Examination by MR LIVINGSTON MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, Mr Fagbo. A. Good morning. Q. We have three inquiry statements from you. They are at tabs 1, 2 and 3 of your folder. As I said, I'm going to be asking a bit about them, but you don't need to turn to it every time? A. Okay. Q. Chair, those are at <bfa000002>, which is the one at tab 2; then <bfa000001>, which is at tab 1; and <bfa000003>, which is at tab 2B. If I can ask for them to be adduced in full. THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. MR LIVINGSTON: What that means, Mr Fagbo, is that the statements are then part of the evidence to the inquiry, so everything you have said in those statements can be relied on by the chair. I don't need to take you through every word or every sentence. Just a few questions about the background. Before I do, one of the things you said in your statement,</bfa000003></bfa000001></bfa000002>

1	apologise to the detained individuals who have been let	1	Q. Then you essentially, straight away, started running
2	down due to what you put down to ill-trained,	2	a wing with 120 detainees on it, with little or no
3	misinformed and bad management; is that right?	3	assistance; is that right?
4	A. That's correct.	4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. You have obviously had an opportunity to reflect on what	5	Q. Was that a bit of a shock to you?
6	happened in 2017. Do you think that it is just	6	A. It was a shock because, by rights, there should be three
7	management that were to blame for what happened to	7	officers running a wing, not one, not two, because if an
8	detained people, or do you think DCOs and DCMs as well?	8	incident happens, if, say, we have a C&R incident, there
9	A. Everyone's got a part to play, so I can't blame	9	have to be three officers, not two, not one.
10	management alone, but management should take the	10	Q. But you felt you were left, essentially, alone?
11	majority of the fall because we warned them, we told	11	A. That's correct.
12	them constantly. When we had the episode of spice in	12	Q. Again, I know that you were employed there for a long
13	the centre, we said to management, "We can't cope with	13	time and we are focusing on sort of the last period,
14	this". It was an everyday occurrence. Every day we	14	around 2017, but is that something that stayed the case
15	come to work, it's a struggle and it was affecting us	15	right up to 2017 or did it get better?
16	all. I mean, I, as a person, I had to ask for	16	A. Up till I left the place, nothing changed. Nothing
17	a transfer from the wings to work somewhere else because	17	changed.
18	it was getting to a stage where I'm getting ready for	18	Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that the
19	work and I'm feeling anxious. I told management that	19	recruitment process did little to help with the role
20	in my statement, I said, "I need to come off the wings".	20	because it was concentrated on the theory rather than
21	Q. Thank you, Mr Fagbo. Just going back, then, so you	21	the day-to-day physical activities; is that right?
22	joined Brook House around 2009?	22	A. That's correct.
23	A. That's correct.	23	Q. You say in your second statement that there wasn't much
24	Q. So very early on in its operation. You'd previously	24	help coming from colleagues because they were either new
25	worked for five years at Harmondsworth?	25	themselves or they were management who were more
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. That's correct.	1	interested in profit margins, and you say, and I'm
2	Q. In the control room at Harmondsworth?	2	quoting from your second statement here:
3	A. Correct.	3	"All management was concerned about were their
4	Q. So, at Harmondsworth, you didn't have much interaction	4	profit margins, whilst the task of keeping the detained
5	with detainees; is that right?	5	persons safe took a back seat on their agenda."
6	A. That's correct.	6	Is that right?
7	Q. You note that when you were first shown around	7	A. That's correct.
8	Brook House, what stood out for you was the pyramid of	8	Q. What gave you that impression?
9	top officials and the prison-like atmosphere?	9	A. Like I said to you earlier, we were complaining to
10	A. That's correct.	10	management about shortage of staff because we couldn't
11	Q. Was that an atmosphere that you think stayed throughout	11	cope with the pressure of them introducing us to
12	the time that you were employed there?	12	ex-prisoners. We weren't trained for ex-prisoners in
13	A. Yes.	13	the DCO phase. They'd just say, "Ah, we're having an
14	Q. I think you started at Brook House in the control room	14	ex-con". You know, we don't know how to deal with
15	as well; is that right?	15	prisoners. I've never worked in a prison before, I've
16	A. That's correct.	16	never had that experience of dealing with, but then we
17	Q. Was that as an ACO at that point?	17	were lumbered with ex-prisoners, and we struggled, we
18	A. No, as a DCO.	18	struggled a lot. And each time we spoke to management
19	Q. A DCO, but in the control room?	19	about it, they'd say, "Ah, we're recruiting", but
20	A. That's correct.	20	nothing happens or they recruit and the people end up
21	Q. You say that, once you started, you felt a little bit	21	leaving because they're thinking, "Well, we've been sold
22	forgotten in the control room and then the opportunity	22	a pack of lies here".
23	arose to move to the wings. I think you swapped with	23	Q. So why did you think it was about profit margins rather
24	somebody else?	24	than care? Where does the profit margins bit come into
25	A. Yes.	25	it?
	72		5
	Page 62		Page 64

1	A. We hear about how much G4S makes as profit yearly, so	1 difficu	alt question. But you were there for a long time.
2	that's where I got that from, you know.	2 A. Yes.	
3	Q. Coming on to the issue of the culture within Brook House	3 Q. You	must have seen a lot of staff interacting with other
4	and the issue of racism at Brook House, you say in your	4 staff,	with detainees. Did you feel that staff treated
5	statement that your experience of working at Brook House	5 detain	ees the same, regardless of their background?
6	was overshadowed by institutionalised racism at the	6 A. We s	should be treating them the same as I don't think
7	mercy of management and a handful of detained	7 anyon	e should treat anyone differently.
8	individuals. Can you explain a little bit more what you	8 Q. But o	lid they treat them the same?
9	mean by institutionalised racism?	9 A. I can	't say that. I can't answer that question.
10	A. What I meant by that was the day-to-day dealing with	10 Q. Did y	you ever see anyone making racist comments towards
11	officers from my ethnicity. I've had loads of	11 detain	ees?
12	experiences that I can relate to you right now, but I'm	12 A. If the	ey were making racist comments, they weren't making
13	clouded in my thinking, so I can't really specify, you	13 where	e I'm at. I'll put it that way. Because let me
14	know, which.	14 think	of how to explain this properly. For instance,
15	Q. Okay. I suppose, when you're talking about the racism	15 there'	s a C&R incident, say we have to do a C&R.
16	you experienced, is that comments that are made, is it	16 I notic	ced that, if all of us are sitting in a room
17	decisions that are made?	17 say si:	x of us are sitting in a room, everything would be
18	A. It's things that were done or things that I believe how	18 norm	al, but quiet, but as soon as I walk out of
19	come the other officer for instance, I remember one	19 the ro	om, then, you know, they start talking I don't
20	incident where I was having a cigarette in the courtyard	20 know	what they're talking about, and so I can't really
21	with three members of staff, my DCOs, you know. We	21 give y	ou an answer.
22	were having a cigarette. I remember Michelle Brown, one	22 Q. Obvi	ously, you don't know what was said when you weren't
23	of the managers, came up to me and said to me, "In the	23 there,	but you felt people were waiting until you were
24	space of ten minutes you've had two fags". I said,	24 out of	the room before saying things?
25	"Excuse me?" "She said in the space of ten minutes	25 A. Yes.	
	D 45		D 47
	Page 65		Page 67
1	you've had two fags. I said, "Can we go up to the	1 Q. One	of the things you say is that you started to pick up
1 2	you've had two fags. I said, "Can we go up to the control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two	`	of the things you say is that you started to pick up our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of
		2 "if yo	
2	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two	2 "if you a cert	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of
2 3	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to	2 "if yo3 a cert4 progr	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to
2 3 4	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But	 2 "if you 3 a cert 4 progr 5 morn 	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to ress. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this
2 3 4 5	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me	2 "if you 3 a cert 4 program 5 morn 6 did y	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to tess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where
2 3 4 5 6	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and	2 "if yo 3 a cert 4 progr 5 morn 6 did y 7 A. We	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to tess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this taing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from?
2 3 4 5 6 7	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm	2 "if you 3 a cert 4 program 5 morn 6 did y 7 A. We 8 do, a	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of	2 "if you a cert 4 program 5 morn 6 did y 7 A. We 8 do, a 9 pron	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to tess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to and I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one	2 "if you a cert 4 program 5 morn 6 did y 7 A. We 8 do, a 9 pron 10 before 10 did y 10 di	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to thess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to and I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space	2 "if you a cert 4 program of did y 7 A. We 8 do, a 9 pron 10 befor 11 Q. With	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to theses. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this sing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to and I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her.	2 "if you a cert 4 program 5 morn 6 did y 7 A. We 8 do, a 9 pron 10 befor 11 Q. With 12 about	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to tess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to nd I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black?	2 "if your a cert of the proof	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to you're not part of the clique, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why	2 "if your a cert a cer	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to thess. That's exactly the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to and I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to you know, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said there's three of us there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to	2 "if your a cert of the program of	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this sing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of"" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that	2 "if your a cert of your and you	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? ou're not socialising if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question".	2 "if your and a cert of your and a cert of your and a cert of a c	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where ou get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the total transfer of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The product of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The product of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of	2 "if your a cert of your fine your	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the tyouknow, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? You're not socialising — if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said there's three of us there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism?	2 "if your sales of them 17 Q. You 18 Ben 5 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this sing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to, you know, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? You're not socialising — if you don't socialise with the, say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes.	2 "if your a cert of your and your a	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to you know, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't. The mention in your statement, Mr Fagbo, that when saunders took over around 2013, you all thought that is were changing for the better. Did they change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes. Q. You say in your statement that you treated everyone the	2 "if your a cert of your and you	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the total the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the clique, then don't socialising — if you don't socialise with the total the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the promotion of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? The promotion of the p
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes. Q. You say in your statement that you treated everyone the same, regardless of their background?	2 "if your a cert of your and you	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? You're not socialising — if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't. In mention in your statement, Mr Fagbo, that when Saunders took over around 2013, you all thought that is were changing for the better. Did they change the better? Indid for a — he wasn't there for a long period.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes. Q. You say in your statement that you treated everyone the same, regardless of their background? A. Yes.	2 "if your a cert of your fine your	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to the you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? You're not socialising — if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't. In mention in your statement, Mr Fagbo, that when Saunders took over around 2013, you all thought that is were changing for the better. Did they change the better? Indid for a — he wasn't there for a long period.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes. Q. You say in your statement that you treated everyone the same, regardless of their background? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that other staff took the same approach?	2 "if your a cert of your and	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to you know, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? You're not socialising if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't. It mention in your statement, Mr Fagbo, that when Saunders took over around 2013, you all thought that is were changing for the better. Did they change the better? In the Panorama, or before the Panorama, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	control room, and if you can prove to me I have had two fags in the space of ten minutes, I will apologise to you?" She goes, "No, we don't need to do that". But then, after saying that and walked away, she gave me a letter to say, "Here's [my] roles and responsibilities, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah", and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, you said — there's three of us — there's four of us smoking, and I'm the only one you've come up to say 'You've had two fags in the space of" — so I put in a grievance against her. Q. Were you the only one of your colleagues that was black? A. Yes, even my colleagues were shocked to say, "Babs, why did she confront you? How come she didn't speak to us?", and I said, "I don't know. I can't answer that question". Q. And you see that as part of the sort of institutionalised racism? A. Yes. Q. You say in your statement that you treated everyone the same, regardless of their background? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that other staff took the same approach? A. I can't speak for everyone else.	2 "if your a cert of your and	our face doesn't fit" or you're not part of tain group of officers, you'd find it difficult to the same phrase we heard this ing from Ms Munroe, Shayne Munroe, as well. Where you get that idea about your "face fitting" from? get promotion exercises and different activities to not I noticed that sometimes, for instance, with notion, we'd know who's going to get the promotion re it's even advertised, and that can't be right. In the "face doesn't fit" idea, is that you talking to you know, if you're not part of the clique, then don't get in, or is it about race? Tou're not socialising — if you don't socialise with the say they go out on a weekend out or a night out, you won't. The mention in your statement, Mr Fagbo, that when saunders took over around 2013, you all thought that is were changing for the better. Did they change the better? Total for a — he wasn't there for a long period. The was there, I think, from 2013, and then he went to

	D 1 **	١.	
1	Brook House.	1	know. I'm not in that position to.
2	A. Came back briefly, yes.	2	Q. Did you ever witness explicit racism from members of
3	Q. So do you feel they started to change for the better?	3	the senior management team comments or things like
4	Is that what you were saying?	4	that?
5	A. It changed when he started, but when he left and came	5	A. No, not that I can remember.
6	back, things weren't the same.	6	Q. Is that part of I mean, you said earlier about you
7	Q. You have already talked a bit about this, but you say	7	think that things wouldn't have been said whilst you
8	between 2013 and 2016 the centre was struggling with	8	were there?
9	high turnover of staff and your requests for extra	9	A. That's correct.
10	manpower fell on deaf ears; is that right?	10	Q. Did you ever hear of any complaints about racism being
11	A. That's correct.	11	made by staff?
12	Q. Just to sort of get an idea how specific we're talking,	12	A. Not that I can remember.
13	would this sort of literally be you or colleagues saying	13	Q. The inquiry has seen a few occasions in which detainees
14	to managers, "We need more staff" and them just not	14	complained that different staff members were being
15	doing anything about it?	15	racist towards them. Did you ever did anyone ever
16	A. Yes.	16	come to you about that?
17	Q. Did you ever actually say to a manager, "We need more	17	A. No.
18	staff"?	18	Q. Just on the sort of diversity and management issue, you
19	A. I've raised it in we had morning briefings. I've	19	refer to a few occasions where you acted up as a DCM,
20	raised it in morning briefings, I believe.	20	but you say that you kept on then applying for the
21	Q. Would they ever explain I think you said previously	21	permanent role and you didn't get it and so you started
22	that they'd say, you know, "We're doing a recruitment	22	refusing to act up; is that right?
23	exercise". Was that the response you got?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. Did you feel that your race was a factor in not getting
25	Q. Any other explanation ever given to you? Was there ever	25	the DCM role, or do you think it was other things?
	Page 69		Page 71
	1 age 07		1 age / 1
		1	
1	any other explanation given to you as to why they	1	A. The race, I believe, played an important role in it.
1 2	any other explanation given to you as to why they couldn't get more staff, for example?	1 2	A. The race, I believe, played an important role in it. Because at the moment, I don't know if things have
2	couldn't get more staff, for example?	2	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have
2 3	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No.	2 3	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there,
2 3 4	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for	2 3 4	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he
2 3 4 5	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of	2 3 4 5	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left.
2 3 4 5 6	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say	2 3 4 5 6	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards?
2 3 4 5 6 7	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? A. I believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an all-white or all-Caucasian team for C&R that they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? A. I believe so. Q. Do you think that the senior management team at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an all-white or all-Caucasian team for C&R that they would be more likely to inflict excessive pain? Is that what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? A. I believe so. Q. Do you think that the senior management team at Brook House understood the issue of racism? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an all-white or all-Caucasian team for C&R that they would be more likely to inflict excessive pain? Is that what you're saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? A. I believe so. Q. Do you think that the senior management team at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group I presume you mean a racially mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an all-white or all-Caucasian team for C&R that they would be more likely to inflict excessive pain? Is that what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 couldn't get more staff, for example? A. No. Q. You say that, throughout your eight years of working for G4S, what stood out to you was the total disregard of management for DCOs in the front line. Why do you say that? A. I believe, if they regard what we do as DCOs, if they regard the challenge that we have to face on a daily basis, they would have listened to us, at least. Q. One of the things you mention in your statement, and we heard similar evidence from Shayne Munroe this morning, was about the lack of inclusion of ethnic minorities in the top positions at Brook House; yes? A. That's correct. Q. You say that the centre lacked equal opportunity for all. Do you, or did you, feel that the lack of ethnic minorities amongst the management team affected the way that staff were treated? A. I believe so. Q. What about detained people? A. I believe so. Q. Do you think that the senior management team at Brook House understood the issue of racism? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Because at the moment, I don't know if things have changed now, at the moment, as at the time I was there, there was only one ethnic minority team leader, and he was promoted not too long, you know, before I left. Q. Was that Conway Edwards? A. That's correct. Q. One of the things you talk about in this culture is that you say that when control and restraint teams were selected, there would often be a team of all Caucasian officers. Why did you think that was? A. Because it happens. Q. Who selected the control and restraint teams? A. It depends. Sometimes it's the Oscar, sometimes it's the DCMs. Q. You say in your statement that if it was a mixed group you couldn't help but notice the awkwardness because they would know they couldn't inflict pain as most of you wouldn't support the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary. Are you saying that, if it was an all-white or all-Caucasian team for C&R that they would be more likely to inflict excessive pain? Is that what you're saying?

1	Q. Did you feel like that's because they felt they could	1	Q. The inquiry has heard evidence over the last couple of
2	get away with it if it was an all-white team?	2	weeks about that phrase being used on multiple
3	A. I believe because they're friends with you know,	3	occasions, at least two or three occasions, the phrase
4	they've got friends higher and above, you know, they	4	being, "If he dies, he dies". Did you ever hear staff
5	feel they can do whatever they like and get away with	5	using that term?
6	it.	6	A. On the shop floor, no.
7	Q. In your experience, did officers use control and	7	Q. Just on Panorama?
8	restraint to intentionally inflict pain on detained	8	A. Yes.
9	people they didn't like?	9	Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you say
10	A. Not that I can remember.	10	the management and leadership culture at Brook House was
11	Q. So why do you think that you talk about this idea of	11	"applauded". I think, do you mean "appalling"?
12	inflicting pain and about whether it would in support	12	A. Appalling, yes.
13	of the idea of inflicting more pain than necessary, did	13	Q. Appalling.
14	you know of, or hear of, any occasions where staff were	14	A. Sorry.
15	inflicting more pain than necessary?	15	Q. No, that's okay. You say that all they cared about was
16	A. Sorry, I'm trying to think of an incident I can bring	16	profit margins I have already asked about that and
17	up, but nothing's coming to my mind at the minute. It	17	pleas from staff to change the system fell on deaf ears.
18	might come back.	18	Is that the same issue we were talking about before
19	Q. You can't think of any specific instance, and	19	A. Yes.
20	I appreciate this was a while ago now, but you had that	20	Q about you requesting more manpower and them not
21	general impression did you have a general impression	21	being
22	that staff sometimes inflicted excessive pain?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Yes, after watching the Panorama, yes.	23	Q. I now want to ask you about some issues which have been
24	Q. So does that come from watching the Panorama?	24	raised about your conduct in the years before 2017. If
25	A. Yes.	25	we can have up on screen, please, <cjs0073677>, and the</cjs0073677>
	Page 73		Page 75
1	Q. I see. Just back to the culture issue, you say that due	1	bottom of the page, please. This is an email, Mr Fagbo,
2	to the shortages of staff, the pressure of work, the	2	Mr Stacie Dean to Ben Saunders and Steve Skitt
3	morale of staff was extremely low; is that right?	3	in October 2015, and it says:
4	A. That's correct.	4	"This detainee made a complaint to me about his
5	Q. And you talk about I think you said at one point that	5	treatment by DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. He claims
6	you were finding it so horrible to think about going	6	they have spent the last week goading him, taking it in
7	into work that you moved off the wings; is that right?	7	turn to give warnings, ignoring him and deliberately
8	A. That's correct.	8	going to his room to remove items (such [as] a pillow he
9	Q. Did you talk to colleagues about this, about how	9	claims another member of staff gave him??). He claimed
10	horrible it was?	10	that yesterday they came to his room while he was asleep
11	A. I spoke to some colleagues that I was close to, you	11	to issue a warning and shouted in his face, which is why
12	know. They see how I've been and how I've, you know	12	he 'lost it'. Don't get me wrong, he is far from the
13	so, yes.	13	nicest detainee and has a bad history but every time
14	Q. Were other people, in your experience, feeling the same	14	there is an issue on D wing I always receive complaints
15	way as you?	15	about these two in particular."
16	A. I'm sure there are.	16	Do you remember this incident, Mr Fagbo?
17	Q. Did anyone ever tell you they were feeling like that?	17	A. I do not remember this incident. But, looking at this
18	They did?	18	now, I believe it's when we done room search. We
19	A. No.	19	normally do room search. And when we do room search, if
20	Q. One of the things you say in your statement, Mr Fagbo,	20	a detainee has more pillows or duvets, more than one,
21	is that seeing some of the officers on Panorama saying	21	some of them say, ah, because the bed is not
22	that they didn't care if a detained person lives or dies	22	comfortable, they have to have, like, six or seven
23	was appalling. Did it surprise you to see that on	23	duvets on a bed and someone else another detainee
24	Panorama?	24	hasn't got access to duvets. So what we do is, when we
25	A. Yes.	25	do our room search, we collect them, and that's probably
23	A. 105.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	Page 74		Page 76

1	what this guy's complaining about.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did you ever deliberately goad a detainee?	2	Q. If we can go back to the previous page of this document,
3	A. No.	3	please, as you will see from the top, Mr Fagbo, so the
4	Q. Do you remember ever shouting in someone's face while	4	original email was sent in October 2015 and then there's
5	they were asleep?	5	an email in the middle which has Stacie Dean forwarding
6	A. No.	6	it I believe to Lee Hanford, saying:
7	Q. At the end of the email, which is, I think, over the	7	"Hi Lee.
8	next page, the final paragraph, Stacie Dean says:	8	"As discussed earlier, this is just one of a few!"
9	"Other staff and DCOs also told me that they need	9	And then, at the top of the page, Lee Hanford
10	splitting up as they are always causing issues and	10	replies, saying:
11	whilst D5100's behaviour was far from appropriate they	11	"Thanks Stacie,
12	also felt he had been goaded. I do think this needs	12	"No such thing as coincidences
13	looking into as much as I would love to trust the staff	13	"Worth viewing the CCTV with them when conducting
14	and their account I simply don't. Hope that doesn't	14	the interview to see what they say about it I would
15	sound too harsh."	15	suggest that you can leave it 'hanging' that you will
16	Do you remember, was this allegation ever looked	16	also be viewing the BWC footage."
17	into? Were you ever interviewed about it?	17	I then want to put another document on screen,
18	A. That's why I'm surprised to see this now, because this	18	<cjs0073633>, tab 27, chair.</cjs0073633>
19	never came up, this never came up at all.	19	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
20	Q. Okay.	20	MR LIVINGSTON: That's at page 4, please. Mr Fagbo, just to
21	A. I haven't even got a document on me regarding this at	21	give you some context, this is a transcript of an
22	all.	22	interview. Stacie Dean was interviewed in January 2017,
23	Q. You mention this in your statement, because this	23	and the third paragraph down, Stacie Dean says:
24	allegation was put to you, and you said that the	24	"When Medway occurred it was reported that we
25	allegation was false and part of the vindictive attitude	25	monitored C&R but this never got actioned and at the
			č
	Page 77		Page 79
1	of senior management's bullying tactics, and you said,	1	time I raised reports about two members of staff
2	if you, as an officer, disagree with a member of senior	2	bullying and nothing was done. My take on this is that
3	management, they pass you on to the next commandant	3	we are all good that issues are monitored on camera, but
4	which then displays the same attitude, and you say	4	the risk is those bullies that do this away from cameras
5	Stacie Dean is good friends with Michelle Brown. Did	5	and there are 2 staff that responsible for this."
6	you have a problem with Stacie Dean whilst you were	6	"JP", who is Jerry Petherick, says:
7	working at Brook House?	7	"Can I ask for the names of those staff?"
8	A. No.	8	And she says:
9	Q. Is there any reason that she would are you suggesting	9	"Luke Instone-Brewer and Babs Fagbo.
10	that she made up the allegation or are you suggesting	10	"I had reported this before and documented this to
11	that the detainee made it up or you don't know?	11	Steve and Ben (most complained about officers at Brook)
12	A. I'm not going to point fingers at, you know, her, saying	12	complaints from detainees with regards to them
13	she made up, because I I can't say that. I can't	13	antagonising and goading and this raised alarm bells
14	remember. But this, I believe, like I said, is maybe	14	from Medway. Just prior to Lee leaving I was asked to
15	one of the room searches we done and they brought this	15	undertake an investigation and Lee was sent an email
16	up.	16	detailing what was sent to Ben and Steve but clearly
17	Q. Just while we are on that issue, in one of your	17	nothing had been done. When Ben returned I was removed
18	statements you talk about Michelle Brown and her crew.	18	from this investigation for Ben to complete and was
19	Who was part of that crew?	19	asked to look at a matter of Luke and his C&R. Ben said
20	A. Stacie Dean's in that crew.	20	he was going to give this investigation to someone else
21	Q. Okay.	21	(Jules), but my concerns is that Jules is known to be
22	A. Steve Skitt's in that crew. And there's other DCMs, you	22	friendly with other DCMs and officers and staff are
23	know, and DCOs.	23	concerned about raising issues and that staff sickness
24	Q. What do you mean by "crew"? Do you mean they would	24	was looked into."
25	socialise?	25	So this is Stacie Dean saying that describing you
		1	· -
	Page 78		Page 80

1	and Luke Instone-Brewer as bullies and saying that you	1	bullying and inappropriate behaviour, which was
2	were the most complained-about officer at Brook or	2	substantiated, and she says that James Begg investigated
3	you and he were the most complained-about officers at	3	and reported to Jules Williams. Do you remember
4	Brook. What do you say in response to that?	4	James Begg do you remember James Begg?
5	A. I disagree totally with that because, if we are the most	5	A. Yes.
6	complained of officers, why was I still there till 2017,	6	Q. Do you remember him investigating you for that?
7	and if that's the case, how come there's no reports, you	7	A. I can't remember that.
8	know, to show that this was investigated properly and	8	Q. She notes, two paragraphs down from that:
9	I signed anything to say, okay, yes, you did, you know,	9	"Babs Fagbo had significant periods of absence and
10	appear in this?	10	the case against him did not go to disciplinary because
11	Q. If I can go to another document, <cjs005907> at page 9,</cjs005907>	11	his return to work was not completed."
12	please. This is part of an interview between you and	12	Do you remember a period where you had significant
13	Michelle Brown. The interview was about the incident	13	periods of absence?
14	with D119, which I'm going to come on to later, but if	14	A. No.
15	we look at the top of the page you say it's got:	15	Q. In your statement, Mr Fagbo, on this issue, you say you
16	"BF my professionalism has not come in to play	16	just cannot recall the allegations against you as no
17	for 8 years until that night.	17	investigation was carried out; is that right?
18	"MB I disagree. There was a complaint about your	18	A. That's correct.
19	conduct last May, you were interviewed. It was about	19	Q. Does it surprise you now to see a description of you
20	D4215."	20	having been subjected to three complaints in 2015 and
21	And you say:	21	one in 2016 that was upheld?
22	"I recall be spoken to about it but not	22	A. Pardon?
23	interviewed."	23	Q. You were obviously told about this in 2017, but could
24	Do you remember being spoken to about an incident in	24	you remember at that time?
25	2016 with D4215?	25	A. No.
23	2010 WRII D-1213.	23	A. 110.
	Page 81		Page 83
1	A. I remember faintly.	1	Q. I want to ask you briefly about an issue in relation to
2	Q. Okay. Ms Brown notes that, as a result of the complaint,	2	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some
3	you and another officer were split up in October 2016.	3	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen
3 4	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer?	3 4	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1</cjs0073679>
3 4 5	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response).	3 4 5	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall:	3 4 5 6	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October."	3 4 5 6 7	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says:	3 4 5 6 7 8	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says:</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions."</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cj\$0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right?</cj\$0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct.</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3,</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cj\$0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search?</cj\$0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017,</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible)</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down,</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen CJS0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down, she's asked whether she can tell them any more about</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen CJS0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice — you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down, she's asked whether she can tell them any more about Luke Instone-Brewer and Babs Fagbo. She says I'm</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you were usually routinely searched</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down, she's asked whether she can tell them any more about Luke Instone-Brewer and Babs Fagbo. She says I'm summarising that, in 2016, a detainee made complaint</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you were usually routinely searched</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down, she's asked whether she can tell them any more about Luke Instone-Brewer and Babs Fagbo. She says I'm</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you were usually routinely searched</cjs0073679>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you and another officer were split up in October 2016. Was that you and Luke Instone-Brewer? A. (No audible response). Q. Ms Brown notes that, overall: "That was in May and you were moved in October." It says: "You say there were no other complaints about you, but there were 3 in 2015 and the one in 2016 which was upheld about your behaviour affecting a detainee." Were you aware of three complaints being made about you in 2015? A. No. Q. Do you remember the one in 2016 being upheld, being substantiated? A. No. Q. If we can turn, please, to <cjs0073671> at page 3, please. This is an occasion when Michelle Brown is being interviewed by Stephen Cotter in October 2017, Mr Fagbo. You can see, about a third of the way down, she's asked whether she can tell them any more about Luke Instone-Brewer and Babs Fagbo. She says I'm summarising that, in 2016, a detainee made complaint</cjs0073671>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	drugs at Brook House. As you're aware, there are some allegations made. If we can bring up on screen <cjs0073679>, the bottom of page 1, I believe. Page 1 is an email from Stacie Dean talking about an email regarding DCOs Fagbo and Instone-Brewer. If we go over to the next page, please, at the top, the second paragraph says: "In the case of the DCOs we discussed, they are also known to be supplying spice to detainees. Yet there has not been a single staff search since this information has been known. Steve constantly fobs off decisions." Mr Fagbo, when you were asked about this in your statement, you said that the allegations are untrue; is that right? A. That's correct. Q. You say that spice you don't even know what it looks like and you've never seen it. Did you never do a room search? A. I wouldn't even know where to get it from, (inaudible) what it looks like. Q. One of the things you say in your statement is you were usually routinely searched</cjs0073679>

1	from Shayne Munroe that, in her time at Brook House, she	1	A. The other person, too, was an A wing staff, and he had
2	was only searched once. How often were you searched?	2	an argument with this guy that I'm talking about, and
3	A. It depends on if there's an incident like this spice	3	that's how we knew about it.
4	incident. Say there's a report saying someone's brought	4	Q. Now, we know from this allegation from Stacie Dean that
5	something in, then, yes, everyone gets searched.	5	she is alleging also that Luke Instone-Brewer was
6	Q. Did they get searched when going into the premises or	6	bringing in spice. Were you aware of him being
7	whilst they're there?	7	investigated?
8	A. Going into the premises or coming out.	8	A. Not that I'm aware of. This is the first time. Like
9	Q. And coming out?	9	I said, this is the first time I'm reading that me and
10	A. Yes.	10	Luke Instone-Brewer was investigated for bringing in
11	Q. Again, I know you were there for a long time, so it's	11	spice.
12	difficult to sort of differentiate in your mind, but	12	Q. You said in your statement that the majority of officers
13	thinking towards the end of your employment in	13	that got caught bringing in illegal substances for
14	2016/2017, can you remember how often you were being	14	detained individuals were mostly Caucasian officers and
15	searched at that point? Obviously it is not going to be	15	mostly A wing officers. Is it just the two that you
16	exact, but are we talking once a day, once a week, once	16	remember? And you don't remember either of their names?
17	a month, once a year?	17	A. No, I don't, unfortunately.
18	A. It would be once, probably, a year.	18	Q. Although I don't think we need to bring it up on screen,
19	Q. Once a year?	19	Steve Skitt was interviewed in October 2017 for the
20	A. Yeah, probably once a year.	20	chair's reference that's at <cjs0073682>, page 2. He</cjs0073682>
21	Q. So that's what you mean by "routinely". I think you	21	notes that you and Luke Instone-Brewer were being looked
22	would have been aware of this allegation were you	22	at from a corruption prevention point of view. Did you
23	aware of this allegation about you bringing in spice	23	ever know about that at the time?
24	before the inquiry, or was this the first time you heard	24	A. No.
25	of it?	25	Q. Does it surprise you to see it now?
	Page 85		Page 87
	Tage se		1 mge 01
1	A. It was during this inquiry this has come up. I've never	1	A. Yes, it does.
2	heard about this once before.	2	Q. I read it out before but didn't actually ask you about
3	Q. You say one of the things you say in your statement	3	it. You said in your statement talking about being
4	is that you were encouraged to build bonds with detained	4	accused of bringing in illegal substances, especially if
5	individuals, but in the process of doing so, you got	5	you happened to be a person of colour. Do you think
6	"accused of bringing in illegal substances for detained	6	that that's related to why you were accused?
7	individuals, especially if you happen to be a person of	7	A. Exactly.
8	colour, even though [the] majority of officers that got	8	Q. You do?
9	caught bringing in illegal substances for the detained		
		9	A. Yes, I agree with that.
10	individuals were mostly Caucasian officers and mostly	10	Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that?
11	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that	10 11	Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that?A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are
11 12	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will	10 11 12	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them
11 12 13	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police".	10 11 12 13	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you
11 12 13 14	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct.	10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for
11 12 13 14 15	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for	10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find
11 12 13 14 15	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers — I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers — I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember. Q. Was he still there at the time you left?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue? A. (No audible response).
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember. Q. Was he still there at the time you left? A. I think he got sacked or he left, yeah, I'm not too	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue? A. (No audible response). Q. I want to come on to some different allegations against
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers — I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember. Q. Was he still there at the time you left? A. I think he got sacked or he left, yeah, I'm not too sure. I can't remember.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue? A. (No audible response). Q. I want to come on to some different allegations against you. If we could have up on screen, please, <dpg000021></dpg000021>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember. Q. Was he still there at the time you left? A. I think he got sacked or he left, yeah, I'm not too	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue? A. (No audible response). Q. I want to come on to some different allegations against
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A wing officers. As an ethnic working in that environment, if you bring in anything illegal, you will be handed straight over to Gatwick police". A. That's correct. Q. Were you aware of anyone else being investigated for bringing in drugs? A. There's two officers — I can't remember their names right now. One of them was a DCO, and he goes out with Stacie Dean. I can't remember his name, though. He's got tattoos on his arms, you know, that's what I can remember. Q. Was he still there at the time you left? A. I think he got sacked or he left, yeah, I'm not too sure. I can't remember.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Is there any particular reason why you think that? A. It just goes to show you the kind of people we are dealing with, because you — you say something to them or you do something, this is how they counter — you know, counter — I can't find the right word to use for that. This is how they play the system, like, find something against you to stick. Q. I know you're trying to find the right words. Do you feel that this was people sort of maliciously accusing you of this? A. Yes. Q. You were never spoken to by anyone about this issue? A. (No audible response). Q. I want to come on to some different allegations against you. If we could have up on screen, please, <dpg000021></dpg000021>

1	statement that was given by someone whom we refer to as	1	for ten minutes, laughing at him, calling him names such
2	D687. You will see him down there. I'm just going to	2	as "little girl", "waste of space" and saying he'd get
3	read out the comments that he makes and then ask you	3	locked up, whereas the staff were going home to their
4	about it. Under the heading "DCO Babatunde Fagbo" it	4	families."
5	says he has been able to identify you as a person	5	Then if we can have on screen <cjs005888>, please,</cjs005888>
6	described to the PSU and then he says, a few sentences	6	at page 2. This is a complaint, although it is dated
7	in to paragraph 83:	7	the 21st, I think it was the same day, from someone we
8	"He was particularly abusive and racist to me. He	8	know as D720, and he says in similar terms, he witnessed
9	was a bully. He was regularly sarcastic and insulting	9	six staff members trying to intimidate a detainee,
10	to me, like he was trying to provoke me for a reaction.	10	laughing at him, calling him names and saying things
11	Sometimes he would do this by making stupid comments or	11	like, "I get to go home and you're stuck here" and
12	asking about my immigration circumstances, which he knew	12	taunting him, saying, "Bye bye, little girl".
13	I wouldn't know, and sometimes he was just outright	13	If we can have up on screen also, please, Zaynab, or
14	racist. Some of the comments I remember him saying are	14	next, <cjs005280>. This is a security information</cjs005280>
15	'Why are you still here? Your own country is better	15	report. You will see, at the bottom, that you were the
16	than being in detention'; 'Maybe you should go back to	16	initiator of the report. If we go to page 2, please,
17	your own country rather than staying here'; 'This	17	this is your report and you record that D119 was told by
18	country [doesn't] want you'; and 'Why are you begging to	18	a colleague that you'd reported him, wrongly, I think
19	be here?'. Throughout he was saying I wasn't British.	19	you say, and that he responded by calling you a "snake",
20	He was being sarcastic and, if I reacted, he would laugh	20	a "coconut" and a "sellout", and that later he and
21	and then go and tell other officers. There were	21	others called you "slave" as well, and you also say that
22	occasions when I saw him laugh in the face of detained	22	the previous night D119 called you names, saying that he
23	people who couldn't speak English. He would say to the	23	hoped your son had a car crash and died. Do you
24	other officers things like, 'He can't even speak	24	remember that?
25	English. How did he get in the country?'. Even if this	25	A. Yes.
	Page 89		Page 91
1	wasn't in the face of the specific detained person	1	O. At the time you completed this security information
1 2	wasn't in the face of the specific detained person,	1 2	Q. At the time you completed this security information
2	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing	2	report, did you know that there was a complaint about
2 3	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you."	2 3	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you?
2 3 4	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were	2 3 4	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No.
2 3 4 5	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man?	2 3 4 5	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen,
2 3 4 5 6	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true.	2 3 4 5 6	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and	2 3 4 5 6 7	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please.</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know I've lost touch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please. This is a complaint, Mr Fagbo, which I suspect you've</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know I've lost touch now of what the real thing is, what the real truth is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please. This is a complaint, Mr Fagbo, which I suspect you've seen before, by D119. He says the complaint is made</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know—I've lost touch now of what the real thing is, what the real truth is, about the whole situation, because it's a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please. This is a complaint, Mr Fagbo, which I suspect you've seen before, by D119. He says — the complaint is made on 22 April 2017. He says, the night before, a group of</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know—I've lost touch now of what the real thing is, what the real truth is, about the whole situation, because it's a different story every day. It's a different story.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please. This is a complaint, Mr Fagbo, which I suspect you've seen before, by D119. He says the complaint is made</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know—I've lost touch now of what the real thing is, what the real truth is, about the whole situation, because it's a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	other detained persons would hear. That sort of thing grates you." What do you say to the suggestion that you were racist towards this man? A. This is the first time I'm seeing this. Not true. Q. And the idea that you were regularly sarcastic and insulting. Is that true? A. That's not true. That's not the kind of person I am. Q. I read out some of the comments that he says that you made, "Why are you still here?", "Your own country is better than being in detention", "This country doesn't want you". Did you ever say anything like that? A. That's not true. That's not true. Q. Would you say to other things like, "He can't even speak English"? A. No. Q. I want to come on then to ask you about the incident in April 2017, which ultimately led to a disciplinary investigation. If we can have up on screen, actually, <cjs001594>, and if we can go to the next page, please. This is a complaint, Mr Fagbo, which I suspect you've seen before, by D119. He says — the complaint is made on 22 April 2017. He says, the night before, a group of</cjs001594>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	report, did you know that there was a complaint about you? A. No. Q. Now, I don't think we need to get them all up on screen, because you know the allegations against you, so I'm just going to put them to you, but, as you know, D119 was interviewed about his complaint and he alleged that you called him a "dickhead", that he called you a "dickhead" back, and then that you said you were going home to see your family, suggesting that he couldn't. Did you ever say anything about you being able to go home and detainees not being able to go home? A. These are part of the phrase that was twisted, you know, me saying I want to go home to my family, you know, when I know I'm dealing with a situation here. How can—this is what I said to Michelle Brown. I said to her, "After a 13-hour shift, I am entitled to go home to my family", and they have twisted that around as though I said, "I'm going to my family", I said "I'm doing this, I'm doing that". I don't know—I've lost touch now of what the real thing is, what the real truth is, about the whole situation, because it's a different story every day. It's a different story.

1	various members of staff and D720 were also interviewed,	1	Q. If we can get up on screen, please, <trn0000099> at</trn0000099>
2	and they allege that you called D119 a "fucking	2	page 2, please, Mr Fagbo, this is a conversation between
3	dickhead" and that you were being aggressive and	3	Callum Tulley and an officer called "Ginge". Was
4	antagonising him. Do you accept that you called him	4	"Ginge" Luke Instone-Brewer's nickname?
5	a "fucking dickhead"?	5	A. That's correct.
6	A. Like I said in my statement, that's language that	6	Q. He describes you in his statement as a friend,
7	I didn't grow up around, and I didn't use.	7	Luke Instone-Brewer. Is that right? Were you friendly
8	Q. Which bit of it? The "fucking" or the "dickhead"?	8	with him?
9	A. The "dickhead", the "fucking dickhead", it's a language	9	A. Who has described me as
10	that I didn't grow up around.	10	Q. Luke Instone-Brewer.
11	Q. You said in your statement, in one of your statements,	11	A. That's correct.
12	that he was being verbally abusive to you, and you've	12	Q. Did you know each other fairly well?
13	obviously put some of the examples in this report, and	13	A. Yes, we worked together.
14	about him saying, "I hope your son dies", and in your	14	Q. I know you weren't part of this conversation, but it's
15	statement you said that that was the final straw and	15	about you, so I'm going to just read some of it out. So
16	that's why you might have said "You are the fuck	16	to summarise, Callum Tulley asks why you'd been
17	dickhead"?	17	suspended, and it has Luke Instone-Brewer replying
18	A. In response to what he was saying to me.	18	saying that you were getting a load of racial abuse and
19	Q. Is that something you might have said?	19	it says, "Babs being Babs", you told the detainee "to go
20	A. Yes.	20	and fuck himself". Do you think you might have told the
21	Q. Sorry to ask the same question again, but just to be	21	detainee to "go fuck himself"?
22	clear, and I know this was a while ago, so, to the best	22	A. Me?
23	of your recollection, did you call him a "fucking	23	Q. Yes.
24	dickhead" or did you just call him a "dickhead"?	24	A. No.
25	A. Not that I can remember.	25	Q. It says "Babs being Babs", is this did you regularly
	Page 93		Page 95
1	Q. Do you remember calling him a "dickhead" at all?	1	respond to detainees like this?
2	A. Like I said, in response to what he was saying to me at	2	A. No.
3	the time, I might have, you know, that's what I said,	3	Q. Do you have any idea what he might mean by "Babs being
4	I might have.	4	Babs"?
5	Q. Before we sort of carry on with some of this, obviously	5	A. I think, like I said in my statement, I don't recall
6	you have given some examples in this security	6	this intercom or this conversation.
7	information report of some of the language that D119	7	Q. You weren't in the conversation.
8	used to you, and you've given you gave examples when	8	A. Okay.
9	you were interviewed as well. Do you think that	9	Q. Because you were suspended?
10	management appreciated the impact that being called	10	A. So I don't know what they were referring to there.
11	things like this would have on you?	11	Sorry.
12	A. No.	12	Q. One of the things, just one last thing, is at the bottom
13	Q. Just to get an idea of your experience of Brook House,	13	of this page, Callum Tulley says:
14	you know, the language here a "snake", a "coconut",	14	"He wants to leave, Babs, doesn't he, anyway?
15	a "sellout" were those the sort of things that you	15	"
16	were called regularly, or was that a one-off?	16	"Babs wants to leave anyway, doesn't he?"
17	A. On a daily basis.	17	Before you were suspended, had you expressed a view
18	Q. How did you cope with that?	18	that you wanted to leave?
19	A. Same way I'm coping with all the things that I'm seeing	19	A. No.
20	here now and I'm thinking, "Wait a minute, when did this	20	Q. Now, on 10 May 2017, you were interviewed by
21	happen? Where is this coming from?"	21	Michelle Brown about this incident, <cjs005907>. This</cjs005907>
22	Q. Do you think you got do you think the management were	22	document will be adduced so I don't need to take you
23	supportive of you in response to getting this type of	23	through the whole thing, but at page 6, please, some of
24	abuse?	24	the allegations are put to you, and you say:
25	A. No.	25	"He is the one shouting abuse at me."
		I	
	Page 94		Page 96

1	Ms Brown says:	1	Q. This was put to you with some CCTV, a suggestion that,
2	"What was he shouting at you?"	2	after that exchange, you said, "Get in your room.
3	And you repeat the language that we have already	3	I want to go home. I'm going home to see my family" and
4	used, so he says:	4	then waved bye bye at him. Do you remember whether you
5	"You are a dickhead, a sellout, a coconut, what kind	5	did that or not?
6	of black officers do they employ in this establishment?"	6	A. No.
7	She asks:	7	Q. You don't remember or you didn't do it?
8	"Did you call him a dickhead?"	8	A. My hands are raised in the video, and my hands being
9	And you say:	9	raised is what they interpret as me saying goodbye, you
10	"I might have."	10	know.
11	She says:	11	Q. You're not sure whether you were waving or not?
12	"In interview others say they heard you call him	12	A. (Witness shakes head).
13	'fucking dickhead'."	13	Q. As you know, Mr Fagbo, you ended up going to
14	And you say:	14	a disciplinary hearing with Steve Skitt and you had one
15	"I might have called him that, but not with the	15	disciplinary hearing on 1 August and then it was
16	swearing."	16	reconvened a couple of weeks later on 16 August. Just
17	If we can turn to page 9, please, halfway down the	17	for the chair's record, the record of those minutes are
18	page, there's, again, a discussion about whether you	18	at $<$ CJS0073303 $>$ and then $<$ CJS0072930 $>$. We don't need to
19	called him a "dickhead" or a "fucking dickhead", and you	19	bring them up on screen, Mr Fagbo, but, ultimately, that
20	say:	20	resulted in you being dismissed for gross misconduct;
21	" they are lying."	21	yes?
22	You wouldn't have said "fucking" and Michelle Brown	22	A. That's correct.
23	says:	23	Q. Your dismissal was for verbal abuse towards a detainee?
24	"Do you know why they're saying that then?"	24	A. That's correct.
25	And you say:	25	Q. Are you aware of any white officers being dismissed or
	Page 97		Page 99
	rage 77		1 age 77
1	"For promotion?"	1	disciplined for verbal abuse towards detainees prior to
2	Is that why you thought people might have been	2	Panorama?
3	lying?	3	A. No.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Did you feel at the time that the disciplinary action
5	Q. Just to summarise the rest of the interview, it was put	5	taken against you was in any way related to your race?
6	to you that you were being aggressive, but you denied	6	A. Yes.
7	being aggressive to him?	7	Q. Why do you say that?
8	A. I won't deny being aggressive, not aggressive to fist	8	A. Because there was an incident where I believe she
9	and you know, but my voice my voice went higher,	9	still works there now. She it's a female officer.
10	you know, went up a notch.	10	I can't remember her name. She physically no, she
11	Q. Okay.	11	racially she swore at a detainee. She didn't deny
12	A. That's all. Like I said to her, that's all that I can	12	it. Everyone heard her. And yet nothing happened to
13	say, my voice went up, but I wasn't aggressive, like	13	her, even though the detainee put in a complaint.
14	physically aggressive, you know.	14	Because she's white, nothing happened to her.
15	Q. Is it fair to say that you responded angrily because he	15	Q. Do you remember
16	called you those names?	16	A. I believe, if the Panorama wasn't in the thing, they
17	A. Those names, like I say, are called on a daily basis, so	17	probably would have given me a warning or a final
18	one incident would make me lose my cool? I don't think	18	written warning or first written, second written
19	80.	19	warning, as they say it is my first, you know, but then
20	Q. It was also put to you that you deliberately provoked	20	there's a string of allegations on there that I don't
21	him. Do you deny that?	21	even
22	A. Like I said in my statement, we got a radio message to	22	Q. I'm going to come back to the Panorama issue in
23	make our way to D wing to help with lockdown, so how can	23	a second, but on what you just said about another
24	I have gone from then, the radio announcement, to me	24	officer, a white officer, swearing at a detainee and not
25	going there aggressively to go?	25	facing any consequences, do you remember, was that
	Page 98		Page 100

1	around the same time as this or was that years before?	1	"I like to treat people with respect and dignity,
2	A. It was a year before, or so, or two.	2	but, as human beings, not everything pans out the way we
3	Q. So 2015/2016, around then?	3	always want it to be."
4	A. Mmm.	4	Should we take that as meaning that you didn't
5	Q. You can't remember her name? If you can't remember now	5	always manage to treat detained people with respect and
6	and you think of it later, you can always write in to	6	dignity?
7	the inquiry with it, Mr Fagbo.	7	A. No, no.
8	A. No, I can't remember, sorry.	8	Q. You didn't or we shouldn't take it like that?
9	Q. Just to pick up on something you just said there, you	9	A. Don't take it like that.
10	said that you feel like, if it wasn't for Panorama being	10	Q. Okay.
11	in the works, then you might have just got a final	11	A. Sorry, don't take it like that, no.
12	written warning. But you were told you were being	12	Q. How should we take it then, with the "not everything
13	dismissed on 16 August and Panorama G4S weren't	13	pans out the way we always want it to be"?
14	notified about Panorama until the week later, I think.	14	A. As human beings, we make mistakes, so that's what I'm
15	So is there any reason why you think	15	trying to say there. It's nothing to do with you
16	A. The 16th?	16	know, it didn't work out the way I wanted it to work
17	Q. Of August. That's when you were told that you were	17	out.
18	being dismissed and then the BBC were only notified	18	Q. Is that how you I know we are now four or five years
19	about Panorama a week after that?	19	on. Is that how you look back on that incident with
20	A. There was rumours before the Panorama came out. I think	20	D119, as a mistake?
21	it was a week, or two weeks, before the Panorama came	21	A. Probably I could have handled it better. Probably
22	out, that "A Panorama is coming out and officers are	22	I should have stepped back and let someone else take
23	going to be in it", blah, blah, blah, and that's all we	23	control of the situation. When I got to the wings,
24	heard about it.	24	I noticed that my colleague was struggling, and that's
25	Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended?	25	why I stepped in. Maybe if I stepped back and let
	Page 101		Page 103
	1 age 101		1 age 103
1	A. Pardon?	1	someone else deal with it, I wouldn't be sitting here
1 2	A. Pardon?Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the	1 2	someone else deal with it, I wouldn't be sitting here today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job.
2	Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the	2	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job.
2	Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time?	2 3	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out?
2 3 4	Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time?A. This happened before I got suspended.	2 3 4	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So 	2 3 4 5	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because 	2 3 4 5 6	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear 	2 3 4 5 6 7	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from speaking to colleagues outside of work? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that? A. Like I said before, when things like that is about to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from speaking to colleagues outside of work? A. I can't give you an answer to that, sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that? A. Like I said before, when things like that is about to happen, we are eliminated from those kind of things, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from speaking to colleagues outside of work? A. I can't give you an answer to that, sorry. Q. Just one final question from me, Mr Fagbo. One of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that? A. Like I said before, when things like that is about to happen, we are eliminated from those kind of things, and that's probably why I've not witnessed things like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from speaking to colleagues outside of work? A. I can't give you an answer to that, sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that? A. Like I said before, when things like that is about to happen, we are eliminated from those kind of things, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. How did you hear about that if you were suspended at the time? A. This happened before I got suspended. Q. So A. I'm sure it happened before I got suspended, because since I've been suspended from there, I don't hear nothing that goes on there. I don't even I'm not interested in what goes on in there. So this happened before I got suspended, I believe. Q. But you were suspended in April 2017 after the incident? A. No, I was suspended, I think, August. Oh, suspended? Q. Sorry, I mean suspended rather than dismissed, sorry. A. Dismissed in August, I believe. Q. Yes. A. Yeah, August. Q. I suppose what I'm asking is, you say there were rumours about Panorama, but you weren't at work in August, were you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. So how would you have heard the rumours? Was it from speaking to colleagues outside of work? A. I can't give you an answer to that, sorry. Q. Just one final question from me, Mr Fagbo. One of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 today and I wouldn't be sacked from my job. Q. Did you watch Panorama when it came out? A. Yes. Q. Having watched Panorama and then you having been dismissed for this incident, how did that make you feel? A. I kind of feel bad because I worked in an environment where it's easy to get sucked in in what's going on and not see the bigger picture of things, if I'm making sense there. Q. Do you look back and think that you missed what was going on in Panorama? You didn't see it? A. Can you rephrase that, please? Q. Obviously, you will have seen what's going on, what was shown in Panorama? A. Mmm. Q. I suppose the first question is, did you ever witness anything like that? A. No. Q. So then the next question is, do you have any thoughts on why you didn't see anything like that? A. Like I said before, when things like that is about to happen, we are eliminated from those kind of things, and that's probably why I've not witnessed things like that.

1	time at Brook House, Mr Fagbo?	1	now when it was you first became, or applied for, the		
2	2 A. Can I take a break, please?		position of DCO at Brook House?		
3	Q. Yes. We can have just a couple of minutes.	3	A. I don't remember when I applied for it, but I started my		
4	THE CHAIR: Mr Fagbo, it is really important that if you	4	11 ,		
5	5 have things that you feel the inquiry needs to hear,		Q. I think we are going to have trouble hearing you.		
6	I do really want to hear that. If you would like to	6	Mr Sanders, either if you can move forward or pull the		
7	7 take a small break and then return, then that is		microphones back, please. Thank you.		
8	8 absolutely fine. Shall we do that?		What did you just tell us? January 2017, you		
9	A. Yes, please.	9	started your training?		
10	THE CHAIR: I will rise for five minutes.	10	A. Yes.		
11	A. Thank you.	11	Q. I'm going to put a document up on screen, and you will		
12	(12.52 pm)	12	see the screen to your left in front of you,		
13	(A short break)	13	<cjs006653>, tab 2, chair, for you.</cjs006653>		
14	(1.05 pm)	14	THE CHAIR: Thank you.		
15	MR LIVINGSTON: Mr Fagbo, if you would like to say anything,	15	MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 3 when we get there,		
16	then obviously this is your opportunity to do this is	16	Mr Sanders, as I think you know, Mr Connolly do you		
17	an opportunity to do so. If you don't feel able to,	17	remember John Connolly, he was a trainer, a C&R trainer?		
18	then you can always put something in writing afterwards.	18	He gave evidence to us a couple of days ago, and he		
19	So on you go, I guess.	19	recalled an officer who failed his C&R part of		
20	A. I'm sorry I feel the way I feel, because all this has	20	the course to begin with. Unable to recall the name,		
21	opened the old pain that I felt when I got sacked from	21	but said that the officer ripped his helmet off. If we		
22	my job. I am a person that would admit if I make	22	look on your training record, this is a use of force		
23	mistakes. I would apologise to the person that I made,	23	referral form dated 24 February of 2017. Do you see the		
24	you know, feel uncomfortable, but the things I'm seeing	24	box at the bottom in handwriting "Helmet thrown in		
25	in this inquiry has just confirmed how I was thinking	25	anger. Loss of control". Did you find control and		
23	in this inquity has just committee now I was thinking		j		
	Page 105		Page 107		
1	they came to their conclusion about this. I'm sorry if	1	restraint a bit difficult?		
2	I can't give as much as I want to give. I can't do it.	2	A. No, I was actually if you spoke to John Connolly,		
3	MR LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Mr Fagbo. Chair, do you have any	3	I was actually top of the class during C&R. Now, again,		
4	questions for Mr Fagbo?	3	I was actually top of the class during Cock. 110w, again,		
5		4	what they say the loss of anger or loss of control but		
		4 5	what they say, the loss of anger or loss of control, but		
6	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo.	5	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they		
6 7	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you	5	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet,		
7	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult	5 6 7	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting		
7 8	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and	5 6 7 8	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it		
7 8 9	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today.	5 6 7 8 9	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach		
7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an		
7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could		
7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course?		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us,		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly?		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it,		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you would, please.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no detainee contact until they took another week's control		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you would, please. A. Kalvin Scott Sanders.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no detainee contact until they took another week's control and restraint training. So that's what you had to do.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you would, please. A. Kalvin Scott Sanders. Q. Mr Sanders, I'm going to ask you just a few questions,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no detainee contact until they took another week's control and restraint training. So that's what you had to do. If we look on page 1 of the same document, please, so if		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you would, please. A. Kalvin Scott Sanders.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no detainee contact until they took another week's control and restraint training. So that's what you had to do.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Fagbo. I have listened very carefully to all the evidence you have given today and I know it is a very difficult experience but I'm very grateful that you have come and that you have spoken to us today. A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We will get you some lunch. (The witness withdrew) MR LIVINGSTON: Although it is slightly after 1.05 pm, I think if we return at 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you. (1.08 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) Examination by MR ALTMAN MR ALTMAN: Mr Sanders, give us your full name, if you would, please. A. Kalvin Scott Sanders. Q. Mr Sanders, I'm going to ask you just a few questions,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what they don't tell you is that, during training, they teach you to remove all weapons. Now, the helmet, I didn't take it off, it was taken off by the acting detainee, so it came off during a struggle. So it wasn't thrown in anger, it was thrown because they teach you to remove all weapons in a room. So it was an attempt to remove a useful weapon — which could potentially be a weapon. Q. But as a result of it, and together with, perhaps, other factors, did you fail that part of the course? A. Yes. Q. Did you not protest, given what you have just told us, that you had failed unfairly? A. Well, again, they allowed me a chance to retake it, which I then passed afterwards, so Q. Yes. Connolly told us that if a person failed the C&R element of their initial training, they'd have no detainee contact until they took another week's control and restraint training. So that's what you had to do. If we look on page 1 of the same document, please, so if		

1	on screen, you will see the date 6 March 2017, and we	1	Q. You said your life was full of struggles and you had to	
2	scroll to the bottom. On this occasion, it looks like	2	deal with it yourself. Looking back now, do you think,	
3	you achieved the competence level achieved, they put		whatever those struggles were, that this was the right	
4	a "Yes". So you were able, then, to become		job for Kalvin Sanders?	
5	5 a fully-fledged detention or detainee custody officer;		A. I got into it because, you know, like, I wanted to, sort	
6	is that right?	6	of, like, help people, you know. I know, obviously,	
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	I made up some things that I shouldn't have and my	
8	Q. If we go to page 4, this goes back to the original use	8	the fact my mates are saying you know, after that,	
9	of force referral form from February. There are	9	I got into security to still help people, you know. I'm	
10	a couple of things I just want to ask you about, because	10	a dog trainer now and I kind of do that because I want	
11	other aspects of your initial training in control and	11	to help make people's lives better, you know, because	
12	restraint were noted up as this is at the top	12	dogs are misunderstood, you know. That's the initial	
13	"moments of withdrawal following scenario, appeared	13	thought of it. So the prospects are still the same but,	
14	agitated and unwilling to communicate issues". Do you	14	yes, working in a detention centre is probably something	
15	agree with that?	15	that I wouldn't do again.	
16	A. There's a lot of facts going on. It was a stressful	16	Q. No. That's some background to you, Mr Sanders, and	
17	environment and they put you in real-life scenarios.	17	I want to ask you about events on 24 April. I want to	
18	Now, that was my first ever position, like, job, where	18	put up another document now, please, tab 5, chair,	
19	I'd come into, like, a physical contact.	19	<cjs001085>, at page 3. In fact, let's start at page 2</cjs001085>	
20	Q. What had you done before applying to G4S?	20	so we can put it in context. You were asked to do, on	
21	A. Before that, I was a civil enforcement officer, so in	21	24 April, constant observations on a detainee we know as	
22	layman's terms, it was a traffic warden.	22	D1527. If we scroll towards the bottom of this page, if	
23	Q. So a completely different career?	23	you look on screen, you will recognise this document	
24	A. This is my first ever career in any sort of, like	24	because these are the entries which are made on a record	
25	Q. Security type of job?	25	form of an individual who is being supervised. At 3.15	
	Page 109		Page 111	
1	A. Yes.	1	that afternoon, 15:15, and then at 15:20, let me	
2	Q. Then a little further down under the heading "Teamwork	2	summarise those two entries, one made by Nathan Ring and	
3	and communication" in the central box:	3	the other by Gary Croucher. At that point, D1527 tried	
4	"Struggled a little under pressure."	4	to self-harm, if not attempt suicide, by putting	
5	Do you think that was fair?	5	a ligature around his neck. It was seen. It was	
6	A. I think, again, like, there are other aspects that in	6	removed. It came about because he had come off C wing	
7	day-to-day life, I'm good with pressure, but I had	7	and wanted to go back and was worried about his	
8	things going on in my home life, you know, it was	8	possessions, so that was the background. When	
9	something that I was it was all coming together.	9	Nathan Ring told him that that wasn't possible, the	
10	Some of the teams, we weren't exactly, like, being like	10	result was he self-harmed in the way I have just	
11	a team, you know, and it was just something that?	11	described. So that's 3.15 that afternoon. Then 3.20.	
12	Q. The observation on page 4, do you see the second box in	12	If we go, then, to the top of the next page, at 15:37,	
13	which there is some handwriting:	13	he refused to engage with healthcare, and then, at	
14	"Clearly has a lot going on at the moment."	14	15:40, he was spoken to, it appears by DCO Croucher,	
15	From what you have told us, that sounds about right.	15	still trying to get him to change rooms, and then, at	
16	So this was a bad time for you?	16	15:40, you came on duty to do your stint of constant	
17	A. Yes.	17	observations.	
18	Q. Did those issues sort themselves out eventually?	18	Do you think you must have known, if not read, the	
	- ·	19	earlier entries when you came on duty so you knew what	
19	I don't want to know what they are, Mr Sanders. I'm not	/	in any so you know what	
19	I don't want to know what they are, Mr Sanders, I'm not going to pry, and they are not material, but did they	20	he had done not very long before you came on?	
	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they	20	he had done not very long before you came on? A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look	
19 20 21	-	21	A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look	
19 20 21 22	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they sort themselves out once you passed the course, do you think?	21 22	A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look back at previous, like, comments.	
19 20 21 22 23	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they sort themselves out once you passed the course, do you think? A. Eventually, but, again, my life is full of struggles and	21 22 23	A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look back at previous, like, comments.Q. Right.	
19 20 21 22 23 24	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they sort themselves out once you passed the course, do you think? A. Eventually, but, again, my life is full of struggles and you know, I have to deal with it myself, you know. It's	21 22 23 24	 A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look back at previous, like, comments. Q. Right. A. I just got there, sat there and was told to observe him. 	
19 20 21 22 23	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they sort themselves out once you passed the course, do you think? A. Eventually, but, again, my life is full of struggles and	21 22 23	A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look back at previous, like, comments.Q. Right.	
19 20 21 22 23 24	going to pry, and they are not material, but did they sort themselves out once you passed the course, do you think? A. Eventually, but, again, my life is full of struggles and you know, I have to deal with it myself, you know. It's	21 22 23 24	 A. The thing is, when I got in there, I didn't really look back at previous, like, comments. Q. Right. A. I just got there, sat there and was told to observe him. 	

1	happened?	1	A. Yes.
2	2 A. So I got told of what had been going on and, like, he		Q. Fine, that's all I want to know. Then let's just look
3	was like, that he came from C wing. But it wasn't	3	at your entries. At 15:40:
4	told to me that his possessions wasn't, like, allowed to	4	"DCO Kalvin Sanders doing constant obs [or 'ob' or
5	him. You know, actually, like, I was told that he was	5	'OB'] on D1527. Appears to be lying on his front with
6	feeling suicidal and asked to keep an eye on him.	6	his head buried in his arms."
7	Q. That's the important thing. The underlying reason maybe	7	Ten minutes later, 15:50:
8	you didn't realise. But what I'm really driving at,	8	"Is still lying on his front. Appears to be crying.
9	because I think we do have some footage which I think	9	Ask him what was wrong. No response."
10	shows you in the area of that particular room at around	10	Is that still your handwriting, Mr Sanders, 15:50?
11	the time actually he did what he did and Nathan Ring and	11	A. Yes. It changes rapidly.
12	others were around, including Michelle Brown, and	12	Q. Do you think it's yours or somebody else's?
13	Yan Paschali turns up. But that's really not the issue,	13	A. No, no, that's definitely mine.
14	what he wanted or why he self-harmed. But did you	14	Q. What did you say, definitely yours?
15	understand he was suicidal?	15	A. Definitely mine.
16	A. Yeah, yeah, like I mentioned earlier, I was there to,	16	Q. At 15:52, two minutes later:
17	like, help him, you know. I understand that the footage	17	"D1527 was banging his head on the base of his bed
18	makes it out that I had ill intentions, which I didn't,	18	repeatedly. I went in to prevent him doing any further
19	you know, because, if you have all the footage, you	19	damage."
20	would notice that the conversation I had with the DCO at	20	That's at 15:52. Should we understand, Mr Sanders,
21	the time	21	assuming that's your entry, that you actually unlocked
22	Q. Mr Sanders, forgive me, let me just stop you, I'm	22	the door and went in?
23	talking about different footage.	23	A. The door was already unlocked. The door was always
24	A. Yeah.	24	stayed open.
25	Q. I'm not even sure it's footage	25	Q. Literally open? The door was open?
	Page 113		Page 115
			- 100 - 110
		l .	
1	A. I know, I'm talking about the same footage, where it	1	A. Yeah, so we sit at the front door in a chair that you
1 2	A. I know, I'm talking about the same footage, where it says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they	1 2	A. Yeah, so we sit at the front door in a chair that you place at the front door, and we look in. So the door
			•
2	says in the footage I don't know if it's footage they	2	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door
2 3	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him	2 3	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open.
2 3 4	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to.	2 3 4	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or
2 3 4 5	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about.	2 3 4 5	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room?
2 3 4 5 6	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office.
2 3 4 5 6 7	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after	2 3 4 5 6 7	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature around his neck?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16: "Keeps banging his head on the base of his bed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature around his neck? A. Yes, I think, because I know there's like — it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16: "Keeps banging his head on the base of his bed. I am trying to talk him out of it and to sit up and talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature around his neck? A. Yes, I think, because I know there's like — it's not like a struggle, but, again, like, the —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16: "Keeps banging his head on the base of his bed. I am trying to talk him out of it and to sit up and talk to me so I can try and help."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature around his neck? A. Yes, I think, because I know there's like — it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16: "Keeps banging his head on the base of his bed. I am trying to talk him out of it and to sit up and talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	says in the footage — I don't know if it's footage they showed — where it says, "I'll get through to him eventually". That's the footage I'm referring to. Q. It's not that footage I'm talking about. A. Okay. Q. There is some other footage at around 3.15, just after he had attempted to take his life with a ligature, where the CCTV — it is CCTV footage, not Callum Tulley footage, which shows individuals around the room at the time the ligature was taken off. You don't see it's happening, but you see down, if you can imagine, looking down on the room and, if my memory serves me, you are somewhere on the landing area? A. Yeah, yeah, so I was on — even at the time, I do recall that incident, but, again, I don't — I didn't know the incidents before he came onto E wing. That's what I was trying to get at. Q. I'm interested in this particular incident, whether you actually knew — half an hour before you came on duty, whether you realised he'd tried — he put a ligature around his neck? A. Yes, I think, because I know there's like — it's not like a struggle, but, again, like, the —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	place at the front door, and we look in. So the door was always open. Q. Was he in E7 at the time with the big viewing panel or a different room? A. The one he was in was the one right next to the office. So where you've got the DCO office, his room was right next to it. Q. So that would not be the one with the big viewing panel but, what, a smaller viewing panel? A. I really can't remember. Q. Then at 16:03: "Is trying to drive his index either side" Presumably you meant finger: " either side of his neck with extreme pressure I have asked him to stop or I will place my hands on" Then you cross through three words and add: " his hands to pull them away to prevent him injuring himself." At 16:16: "Keeps banging his head on the base of his bed. I am trying to talk him out of it and to sit up and talk to me so I can try and help."

1	1 perhaps for a misspelling. 16:35:		did."		
2	2 "Continues to cry with his head on the base of		Forget that:		
3	the bed."	3	"Another officer said look away, hope find him		
4	16:47:	4	swinging."		
5	"Has [engaged] in conversation and is now talking to	5	That was Aaron, so nothing to do with you. But this		
6 me wishes to go to the mosque."		6	is to do with you. This is a note that was taken or		
7 At 17:05:		7	made about what Callum Tulley recalled you saying, and		
8 "Operations Manager Caz Dance-Jones has come into		8	we will see that you did say this:		
9 [his] room to see what's wrong."		9	"Another officer talking about detainee banging his		
10 This is still your handwriting, I take it,		10	head, at one point grabbed his head, started doing it		
11 Mr Sanders? Then over the page to page 4, I think three		11	for him. Calvin Sanders."		
12	more entries are yours, tell me if I am right as we go		Although spelling your first name with a C, not a K:		
13	through. At 5.15, 17:15:	"Not sure if bragging."			
14	"DCM Stewart Povey came to speak to D1527 as he	14	Then on the next page, page 19 of this document,		
15	wishes to move back to C wing."	15	under Monday, 8 May, "In the afternoon"		
16	17:34:	16	Do you see those words, Mr Sanders:		
17	"Appears to be calm now and is engaging in more	17	"In the afternoon had a conversation with		
18	depth conversation."	18	Calvin Saunders [mistyped] who was saying he had smacked		
19	And then 17:42:	19	an Egyptian detainee's [believed to be D1527's] head on		
20	"Have tried in persuading 1527 to eat but says he	20	a table and twisted his wrist."		
21	won't eat unless he goes back to C wing. Then he will	21	So that is a note that was taken of what you said to		
22	eat."	22	Callum Tulley, and he followed up that account by making		
23	So I stop there because the next entry is clearly	23	a statement to the police on 23 November <sxp000120> at</sxp000120>		
24	DCO Copping. All of those entries I have just read	24	page 7. Chair, the next tab for you, tab 7. If we look		
25	then, you're happy are yours?	25	halfway down, you will see the date 4 May, and then if		
	Page 117		Page 119		
1	A. Yes.	1	we drop down to the next paragraph beginning:		
2	Q. Out of interest, did you also know I mean, you knew	2	"Later the same day, I was on escort duty with		
3	about the attempted suicide, because you have told us	3	DCO Stokes and DCO Sanders. Whilst we were all		
4	that much. Did you also know that he had refused food	4	together, Kalvin suggested that he slammed D1527's head		
5	from about 19 April?	5			
6	A. No, it weren't until, obviously, it was mentioned to me,	6	Then he adds what Aaron had said to him during the		
7	when obviously I came on and I took over, that he hadn't	7	course of that period of his duty. Then:		
8	eaten that day. So that's why I was trying to encourage	8	"Four days later, on Monday, 8 May, whilst on duty,		
9	him to eat something.	9	I walked into the office of C wing where a female DCO		
10	Q. I just want to get your assistance, then, with we	10	whose name I don't know and DCO Kalvin Sanders were.		
11	have already made reference to this, but I just want to	11	I said 'I was with your mate the other day', referring		
12	take you through some of the things Callum Tulley has	12	to D1527. Kalvin then said 'I went out of the room to		
1.2					
13	said and told us about things that you said to him about	13	make sure no-one was watching. When he was banging his		
13	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of	13 14	make sure no-one was watching. When he was banging his head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then		
14	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of	14	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then		
14 15	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for	14 15	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his		
14 15 16	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up</cps000025>	14 15 16	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his		
14 15 16 17	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log.</cps000025>	14 15 16 17	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then		
14 15 16 17 18	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on		
14 15 16 17 18 19	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover.</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover. It's hard to make out because the typescript is quite</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an attention-seeking little prick', or similar. It was as		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover. It's hard to make out because the typescript is quite dense, but if you cast your eye down to the first word</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an attention-seeking little prick', or similar. It was as though he was bragging about what he had done."		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover. It's hard to make out because the typescript is quite dense, but if you cast your eye down to the first word of the sentence that begins "Clayton", can you see that,</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an attention-seeking little prick', or similar. It was as though he was bragging about what he had done." So with that in mind, please, Mr Sanders, can we		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover. It's hard to make out because the typescript is quite dense, but if you cast your eye down to the first word of the sentence that begins "Clayton", can you see that, where we see the name "Clayton"? This is about 4 May.</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an attention-seeking little prick', or similar. It was as though he was bragging about what he had done." So with that in mind, please, Mr Sanders, can we just look at the transcripts, two short transcripts, we		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this period of time on 4 and 8 May. Can we, first of all, very briefly, go to a document chair, tab 6 for you <cps000025> at page 18. Again, it will come up on screen, Mr Sanders. What this is, is a BBC log. What we are about to see are notes of what Mr Tulley clearly told the BBC when he was working undercover. It's hard to make out because the typescript is quite dense, but if you cast your eye down to the first word of the sentence that begins "Clayton", can you see that, where we see the name "Clayton"? This is about 4 May. Thank you, Zaynab:</cps000025>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	head on the table, on the bounce I went' and he then demonstrated banging his head on the table with his hand. At this point, he paused and said he locked his hand or arm to stop him from doing it. He then demonstrated twisting D1527's fingers. Kalvin went on to say that 'If you're self-harming, you're an attention-seeking little prick', or similar. It was as though he was bragging about what he had done." So with that in mind, please, Mr Sanders, can we just look at the transcripts, two short transcripts, we have of, in fact, what was said, the first of which,		

1	page, and we can expand that, this is 4 May, Mr Sanders,	1	Literally I was talking to him and obviously, looked		
2	and you will have seen some footage of this because some	2	around to make sure no-one was looking, banging his head		
3	of this was broadcast, you will remember, on the	3	and as he was banging it, I went"		
4	Panorama programme, which I'm sure you saw. This is	4	And you gestured with your hand, slamming on the		
5	you:	5	desk:		
6	"You know [something is missed/inaudible]	6	" held it right there. And he's trying to do		
7	lockdown when you (inaudible) I was in sitting in his	7	that to himself, pushing his finger right in his neck.		
8	room, and no-one else was there, I was on constants, it	8	So I got his finger and thumb and went, 'told you I stop		
9	was me and him there. He was being a right prick, he	9	you doing it'."		
10	was trying do that to his neck"	10	And then, with one hand, an index finger and thumb		
11	The square brackets indicate what the footage shows:	11	are extended and, with the other hand, you squeeze the		
12	"[pressing index finger into side of neck]":	12	index finger towards the thumb. And then there's some		
13	" so after when he did that, I fucking just went	13	more conversation about that.		
14	like that, like that."	14	At line 24, Tulley says:		
15	And the footage shows you squeeze the free hand	15	"Yeah, I remember when you [were] down there."		
16	around the index finger pressed into the side of his	16	And indeed he did, as he was later to tell the BBC		
17	neck and Tulley says:	17	that he put two and two together and realised that you		
18	"What is the best way to deal with somebody like	18	had been talking about the event on the 24th when Tulley		
19	D1527?"	19	had seen you on constant observations with this man, and		
20	And Aaron Stokes, who was present, says:	20	you say:		
21	"Turn away and hopefully he's swinging, probably."	21	"Cause I was sitting on a table, weren't I?"		
22	And then you say:	22	And he said:		
23	"Do you know what, what I do is, if he's fucking	23	"Yeah, I remember seeing you, thinking why does he		
24	banging his head on the base of the bed as he's bent	24	look so chirpy on a constant?"		
25	down, put my hand down and went"	25	And you laugh. Then at line 39 you say:		
	Page 121		Page 123		
1	And you made a gesture with your hand, I think, on	1	"You know, I was saying to a mate, if you're gonna		
2	the head:	2	do it, hurt someone, fucking hurt me, at least get your		
3	" boom."	3	time's worth out it. You know, hurting yourself, you're		
4	And then that results in laughter. Stokes says:	4	attention seeking, aren't you, you little prick. Can't		
5	"What's that?"	5	stand it. If you're going to hurt fucking someone, hurt		
6	And then, again, gesturing pushing the head down,	6	yourself hurt like an officer, you know. Get your		
7	and towards the bottom, as the conversation continues,	7	get to brag about it, fucking, you know, 'I took a swing		
8	Aaron Stokes is gesturing in the way suggested in the	8	at him' instead of fucking trying to hurt yourself.		
9	square brackets:	9	Because hurting yourself is just fucking attention		
10	"Did you not have the urge to just punch him in his	10	seeking, gonna get you nowhere."		
11	face as he's gone up and 'bang'?"	11	Then at line 46:		
12	And he gestures a punch.	12	"Haven't got any sympathy for any of them."		
13	Then four days later, on 8 May, chair, the next	13	You will agree, I'm sure, Mr Sanders, that, in both		
14	tab for you, different transcript for us, <trn0000097></trn0000097>	14	those transcripts, what you were telling Callum Tulley,		
15	at page 2, Tulley is asking about whether that was after	15	and indeed others who were present, was that, during the		
16	he was on the netting, and you say that was before,	16	constant observations, which has to relate to 24 April,		
17	because he was on if we are talking about D1527, he	17	rather than what the note says, you had, in fact, gone		
18	was also protesting on the netting on 4 May, and you	18	in and assaulted him in two different ways. What do you		
19	say:	19	say about that?		
20	"He was on E wing on constant and I was in the room	20	A. What the note says and what the truth is, you know, the		
21	with him. And normally you're set up, sat across,	20	comments I made to the DCOs were just my attempts trying		
22	sitting outside watching him.	21 22			
23	"		to fit in. Of course, what the notes don't say is that		
24	"But I still couldn't see what he was doing. So	23 24	the conversation before what I said was all led up to the recent C&Rs that everyone had done. Being new		
25	I sat in there, on the table right next to him.	25	there, obviously, you know, I was just trying to sort of		
	· · · · · ·	23			
	Page 122		Page 124		
			31 (Pages 121 to 124)		

1	fabricate some story in which, you know, it would make	1 people had before that compelled you to make up a story		
2	me seem more interesting to them, you know? Being on	2 or make up a story the conversation before must have		
3	a constant was the only sort of time that would — it's	begun on 4 May?		
4	close to anything that they had done, you know.	4 A. No, what I'm saying, it's, like, in the event — at that		
5	Q. But, first of all, it wasn't a control and restraint,	5 moment in time when people are talking like, as in		
6	was it, it was a constant observation, so the story	6 seeing me saying that, the officers that are around me,		
7 you're telling has nothing to do with C&R because it was		7	they're swapping stories of all the things that they had	
8	a constant observation?	8 done. So		
9	A. Yeah, but I know, that's what I'm saying, but it's	9	Q. I get that. But why did you persist in the story four	
10	the closest, like what I said wasn't even true. You	10	days later, on 8 May?	
11	know, I didn't do anything. You know, it was just	11	A. Because it's two different officers.	
12	like it's a lie that I made up just to try and get	12	Q. "Different"?	
13	people to like me, you know? I understand it's	13	A. It was different officers, you know, I was just like,	
14	a mistake now, but what's done is done. I can't change	14	again, same piece what happened, speaking to different	
15	that.	15	officers, they're swapping stories, I'll use that same	
16	Q. No. But let me ask you a couple of other questions.	16	one to sort of, like, go on the basis of, you know?	
17	You say, for example, during 8 May:	17	Q. When you said, as we saw with the transcript we have up	
18	"I looked around to make sure no-one was looking."	18	on screen, from lines 39 to the bottom, this is the	
19	Some might say, Mr Sanders and I'm sure you	19	8 May one, about being an "attention-seeking little	
20	understand the point it is one thing to make up	20	prick", was that your view or was that something you	
21	a story. It is another to add unnecessary detail. And	21	made up as well?	
22	some might say that unnecessary detail was, when you're	22	A. Something I made up. Again, I don't feel like that at	
23	making up a story, that "I looked around to see if	23	all because, you know, my brother has killed himself,	
24	anyone was looking". Do you take the point?	24	you know, from suicide, so that's not my views at all.	
25	A. I take your point, you know, but I still stand by my	25	Again, it's just me acting the way everyone else was.	
	Page 125		Page 127	
1	story, you know, like, I didn't do what I did, you know,	1	That's it wasn't just me who, like, said those	
2	if I was looking to hurt him, I wouldn't go out of my	2	things, because everyone else was saying it.	
3	way to make sure I'd say, one, he got to go to the	3	Q. Had you heard others talk about vulnerable detainees as	
4	mosque, which, under constant observation, no-one's	4	attention seeking?	
5	allowed to leave the wing, whereas I'd spoken to the	5	A. Yes.	
6	DCM, Stuart Povey, and had arranged that he was allowed	6	Q. So you're saying you were really just running with the	
7	to be taken off E wing to go visit the mosque so he	7	same ball. Right. What about having no sympathy for	
8	could pray, which is clearly in my notes. You know,	8	them? Where did you get that from?	
9	I also arranged for new clothing for him to be worn	9	A. Again, it's like I was just fitting in. It was just	
10	because he had torn his up. You know. So none of these	10	like I don't know. It's just like it's hard to	
11	are intentions of someone who wants to harm someone.	11	recall exactly why I did it back then, but it's just	
12	You know, these are just lies that I made up to try and	12	like any attempts that I had is, like even if you	
13	fit in with some people who weren't even, like, great	13	spoke to some of the detainees I had contact with, I was	
14	people, you know?	14	never aggressive with anyone, I would never hurt any of	
15	Q. It's hard for anybody to understand, though, why, in	15	them. I only ever had one C&R and it was a planned	
16	order to fit in, you had to make up a story of you, who	16	removal, so that was completely planned and in a safe	
17	had as you say, you'd only really started a couple of	17	environment. So it's not like I've had any sort of real	
18	months before, what the need was to make up stories like	18	experience in it.	
19	this?	19	Q. But had you heard other DCOs?	
20	A. Again, as I it was the nature of the conversation	20	A. Many, DCMs	
21	that people liked talking about prior to me saying that,	21	Q. Hang on a sec, Mr Sanders. Listen to the question. Had	
22	you know, so I thought I had to make up something	22	you heard any other DCOs or DCMs saying, "I've got no	
23	similar just in order for them to sort of pay any	23	sympathy for them". Had you heard those words from	
24	interest in me.	24	others?	
25	Q. When you say it was the nature of the conversation that	25	A. Yes.	
	Page 126		Page 128	
	1 agc 120		1 age 120	

1	Q. You had? Do you remember any names of people who used	1 A. You know, it's, like, I had no intention of hurting him.			
2	those words or regarded detainees as attention seekers?	2	•		
3	A. No.	3	of did what I did to my to the colleagues, you know,		
4	Q. You can't remember any?	4	to try and fit in. But that was the only reason that		
5	A. No.	5	story was made up, you know, was to try and fit in, you		
6	Q. I'm not going to take you to it. It's a document that	6 know, and even that failed.			
7	we have seen many times. It is the Professional	7	Q. So that we understand, when you say and you have said		
8	Standards Unit investigation report, <cjs001107>.</cjs001107>	8	it several times "try to fit in", when you say "fit		
9	Chair, it is your tab 10. I'm going to save you,	9	in", are you talking about trying to fit in with what		
10	Mr Sanders, having to go through it. But you may	10	you tell us were previous conversations about C&R, or do		
11	remember I say "you may remember", maybe you don't.	11 you mean more widely trying to fit in with a toxic			
12	But do you realise the PSU found against you on the	12 culture at Brook House of physicality and this kind of			
13	balance of probabilities? In other words, they said	13 conversation?			
14	they found it more likely than not that what you were	14 A. It's not just trying to fit in with a toxic culture,			
15	saying here was the truth? What do you say about that?	15	it's just trying to fit in generally, like, to have		
16	A. That's their views. I know what I didn't do, I know	16	people, like to make friends, like, at work, you		
17	what I did do, you know, and all I can say is that	17	know, just like feel like people can, like, talk to		
18	I didn't assault that detainee at all. You know, in the	18	you and stuff or not even just about C&R, but any of		
19	moments I said I was banging his head down, in reality,	19	these things, you know, just to feel like you belong		
20	I was actually placing a pillow under his head to stop	20	somewhere or you've got people that you can relate to,		
21	him hurting it, you know? And, rather than using	21	and obviously I don't relate to anyone.		
22	restraints to remove his hands, I actually, just like he	22	Q. As a matter of interest, Mr Sanders, I mean, do you		
23	was a child, just tried to pull his hands away. So no	23	agree, rather than say anything at all, saying nothing,		
24	restraint techniques were used, you know.	24	would that have made you any less of a friend of these		
25	Q. One of the other things you said in this transcript was	25	people, do you think? I mean, what was the necessity?		
	Page 129		Page 131		
1	that, in that long answer or long speech you made at	1	That's, I suspect, what everybody is struggling to		
2	line 39:	2	understand: what was the need to say this sort of thing		
3	"You know I was saying to a mate if you're gonna do	3	rather than say nothing at all?		
4	it, hurt someone fucking hurt me, at least get your	4	A. Again, like, from my experience, trying to be myself has		
5	times worth out of it. You know hurting yourself, you're	5	led, you know, like, wrongly, you know; people don't		
6	attention seeking aren't you, you little prick. Can't	6	accept me for who I am or I get made fun of or bullied,		
7	stand it, if you're gonna hurt fucking someone hurt	7	and stuff, so I feel like I have to become someone else		
8	yourself — hurt like an officer you know."	8	or make them believe I'm someone else before for		
9	What was the point of all of that?	9	people to even just become friends with me, you know.		
10	A. I don't know. It was just like, I never even said	10	Q. You were trying to be something you weren't?		
11	that to the detainee, so, like, I don't know what my	11	A. Yeah.		
12	thought process was behind that, so I can't think to how	12	Q. From your experience, and I'm going slightly away from		
13	I was thinking back then, you know, but I never said	13	the topic of these transcripts, and this is a question		
14	that to a detainee.	14	I'm asked to ask on behalf of others in this room, do		
15	Q. What it suggests is, you're saying to the other officers	15	you accept there was a culture of dismissing self-harm		
16	who are listening to you that, in other words, make it	16	and not taking it seriously, though, because staff		
17	worth it. You know, rather than hurt yourself, because	17	thought these detainees were attention seeking?		
18	you're attention seeking, hurt one of us and make it	18 A. Yes, I do believe back then self-harm was not as b			
19	worth your while. Do you not think that's the kind of	19	an issue as it should be.		
20	thing that was the intention behind what you were	20	Q. In other words, not taken seriously?		
21	saying at that point?	21	A. Yes. You know, the real serious cases, they were taken		
22	A. No, I don't I can't remember why I said what I said	22	to hospital and dealt with, like, accordingly, but		
23	in regards to that, you know. All I know is, that is	23	I don't think detainees had the proper help they should		
24	not something I even said to the detainee.	24	have, you know.		
25	Q. No?	25	Q. We spoke a little earlier about your training, but that		
	Page 120		Dama 122		
	Page 130		Page 132		
			33 (Pages 129 to 132)		

		1		
1	was focused on C&R. More generally, do you accept that,	1	must be before? These were the previous conversations	
2	whatever training you did receive while at Brook House,	2	you're telling us about, are they?	
3	it was insufficient to prepare you to care, which is	3 "How they got the C&R over quickly and that they		
4	what you said you wanted to do, to care for detainees	4 felt pumped."		
5	properly within the general culture of that place?	5 Was that one of the words that you remember being		
6	A. Again, they teach you how to get physical, they teach	6	told, how they felt pumped during C&R?	
you the laws and stuff, but they don't teach you how to		7	A. I remember one officer bragging about how he cut open	
8	actually deal with an incident and, like not just the	8	a detainee's leg with a riot shield. I remember, like,	
9	physical but emotional. They don't teach you what to do	9	that he had AIDS as well or something, I remember him	
10	in that event, you know. It's just like	10	and also bragging that he cut open a detainee's leg with	
11	Q. One other question I'm asked to ask: first of all, were	11	the shield during the planned removal.	
12	you ever aware of Home Office staff in the building?	12	Q. Who was that, do you remember?	
13	A. I know they came in to, like, do, like, meetings and	13	A. No.	
14	stuff, because we had it was like a corridor or	14	Q. Are you sure?	
15	something that the detainees had to come into to, like,	15	A. Yeah, I'm sure.	
16	plead their legal case or something. I remember that	16	Q. You don't remember?	
17	much.	17	A. No.	
18	Q. If you were either a member of the Home Office or, for	18	Q. Do you remember telling the PSU that one officer from	
19	that matter, the Independent Monitoring Board, the IMB,	19	Tinsley House said he smashed a detainee with a shield.	
20	who would be in the building regularly, making statutory	20	So you're talking about a Tinsley House officer?	
21	visits, does it surprise you if those people,	21	A. Yes.	
22	Home Office staff, IMB staff, were totally unaware of	22	Q. One officer from Tinsley House said he smashed	
23	the general culture at Brook House?	23	A. That's the same officer I'm talking about.	
24	A. I don't understand the question, sorry. Can you	24	Q. That's the one you're talking about?	
25	Q. If people who worked for the Home Office who would work	25	A. Yes.	
	Q. 11 people who would for the round office who would would			
	Page 133		Page 135	
1	in Dunaly Hayan and hang who made visits on habelf of	1 1	0 " 0-141	
1	in Brook House, or those who made visits on behalf of	1	Q. " Said he smashed a detainee with a shield, hit him	
2	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just	2	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you	
2 3	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do,	2 3	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they	
2 3 4	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in	2 3 4	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head	
2 3 4 5	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no	2 3 4 5	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they	
2 3 4 5 6	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of	2 3 4 5 6	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you	
2 3 4 5 6 7	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the conversation, the other officers didn't say that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because Brook House was, and I'm going to suggest this to you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the conversation, the other officers didn't say that they had abused detainees, but they discussed how much of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because Brook House was, and I'm going to suggest this to you you can agree or disagree as you wish a brutal and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the conversation, the other officers didn't say that they had abused detainees, but they discussed how much of a fight a detainee had put up during C&R. That's not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because Brook House was, and I'm going to suggest this to you you can agree or disagree as you wish a brutal and dehumanising place for everyone involved, including	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the conversation, the other officers didn't say that they had abused detainees, but they discussed how much of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because Brook House was, and I'm going to suggest this to you you can agree or disagree as you wish a brutal and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the IMB, IMB members, looking around the place, just making sure that everything was working as it should do, IMB members, Home Office staff who were present there in the building, if they were to tell you that they had no idea about the culture at Brook House and the sort of problems that existed there, would that surprise you? A. It would, yeah, because it was a daily occurrence. Q. Do you think that the culture at Brook House you said a number of things to the Professional Standards Unit, which is in the document I mentioned and, for reference, paragraph 6.34 and paragraphs in fact, going before that, 6.33 and 6.34. One of the things you told the PSU was because you were one of those who actually spoke to the PSU for their investigation, didn't you? You said, with a few officers, including Tulley, that you were all swapping stories on C&R so that's what you have told us. You said you had said you'd hurt 1527 to try and match them, to get the other officers to like you and to fit in so that's exactly what you have told us. And you said that, during the conversation, the other officers didn't say that they had abused detainees, but they discussed how much of a fight a detainee had put up during C&R. That's not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so hard he cut his knee", and you told the PSU that you didn't wish to name any officers, but officers said they had done things and stuff, and, when they were the head officer, to get the detainee to stop squirming, they would squeeze the detainee's throat a bit. Do you remember somebody telling you that? A. Yes. Q. Again, I will ask you, can you give us a name? A. No, I honestly can't remember. Q. Is it you remember but you don't want to tell us or you simply can't remember? A. I simply can't remember. Q. To be fair to you, in your account to the PSU this is paragraph 6.36 of the PSU investigation report you apologised for the comments you had made, that you felt stupid for making them, and you regretted making them. Do you remember telling the PSU that? This is the final question on this I am going to ask you. Are you prepared to agree, Mr Sanders, that the reason you behaved or spoke in the way you did is because Brook House was, and I'm going to suggest this to you you can agree or disagree as you wish a brutal and dehumanising place for everyone involved, including	

```
A. I agree.
 2
      MR ALTMAN: Chair, I'm not going to ask any more. We have
 3
         Mr Sanders' dismissal record. We don't have to go
 4
         through that. Perhaps one other question, though.
 5
           No action was taken against you by the police; is
 6
         that right?
 7
       A. That's correct.
 8
      MR ALTMAN: Thank you.
 9
      THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman.
10
           I have no questions for you, Mr Sanders. I know it
11
         is a difficult experience and I'm very grateful for you
12
         coming and giving your evidence today. Thank you.
13
      MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Sanders.
14
                 (The witness withdrew)
15
      MR ALTMAN: It is 2.45 pm, chair. I think that is all the
16
         evidence for this week, and so I will invite you to rise
         and we start again at 10.00 am on Monday morning with
17
18
         more evidence.
19
      THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you. Take care.
20
         Thanks, Mr Sanders.
21
      (2.45 pm)
22
              (The hearing was adjourned to
23
             Monday, 7 March 2022 at 10.00 am)
24
25
                          Page 137
                     INDEX
 1
 2
 3
       MS SHAYNE MUNROE (affirmed) ......1
 4
 5
           Examination by MR LIVINGSTON .....1
 6
 7
           Questions from THE CHAIR .....57
 8
 9
       MR BABATUNDE FAGBO (affirmed) ......60
10
11
           Examination by MR LIVINGSTON .....60
12
13
       MR KALVIN SCOTT SANDERS (affirmed) ......106
14
15
           Examination by MR ALTMAN .....106
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                          Page 138
```

				Page 139
	68:7	87:4	appeared 22:5	aspects 109:11
A 110.5.120.6	adamant 35:6	allegations 43:9	24:17 43:2	110:6
Aaron 119:5 120:6	add 116:18 125:21	44:8 53:21 83:16	109:13	assault 45:4,5
121:20 122:8	add 110.18 123.21 address 53:20	84:3,14 88:23	appears 112:14	129:18
ABH 16:1	adds 120:6	92:6 96:24	115:5,8 117:17	assaulted 45:7
ability 22:15	adduce 1:21	100:20	applauded 75:11	124:18
able 6:10 15:22	adduced 19:2	allege 93:2	application 3:23	assistance 63:3
18:1,11 36:16	60:16 96:22	alleged 50:7 92:8	applied 107:1,3	118:10
59:1 89:5 92:12	adequately 6:3	allegedly 50:1	applying 3:12	assistant 2:8
92:13 105:17 109:4	8:13	alleges 46:8 47:22	71:20 109:20	associate 17:18
	adjourned 137:22	51:2	appraisals 28:19	assume 10:11 18:4
abrupt 23:8	adjournment	alleging 87:5	appreciate 66:25	assuming 31:6
absence 83:9,13	106:17	allocated 27:23	73:20 112:25	115:21
absolutely 15:4 30:9 55:24 105:8	admit 105:22	allow 34:5	appreciated 2:17	atmosphere 62:9
abuse 48:5 94:24	admitted 42:19	allowed 108:18	94:10	62:11
95:18 96:25	advertised 68:10	113:4 126:5,6	approach 19:16	attempt 108:11
99:23 100:1	affect 26:19 40:3	allowing 12:9,11	23:7 29:2 66:23	112:4
abused 134:23	affirmed 1:6 60:4	Altman 106:20,21	approached 40:19	attempted 114:8
abusive 46:4 89:8	106:19 138:3,9	107:15 137:2,8,9	appropriate 77:11	118:3
93:12	138:13	137:13,15 138:15	April 2:23 23:25	attempts 124:21
accept 32:4,25	afternoon 112:1	amount 22:10	44:14 45:19	128:12
33:6 51:22 93:4	112:11 119:15,17	anger 107:25	46:19 54:23	attended 53:19
132:6,15 133:1	agenda 64:5	108:4,9	90:19,24 102:11	attention 23:2
acceptable 15:8,9	aggravated 7:10	angrily 98:15	111:17,21 118:5	124:4,9 128:4
access 15:22 57:25	59:4,6	angry 50:18	124:16	129:2 130:6,18
76:24	aggressive 93:3	announcement	area 37:14 113:10	132:17
accessing 15:20	98:6,7,8,8,13,14	98:24	114:14	attention-seeking
account 7:20	128:14	answer 66:15 67:9	argument 47:18	120:20 127:19
50:10 77:14	aggressively 98:25	67:21 102:23	87:2	attitude 14:19
119:22 136:14	agitated 109:14	130:1	arm 120:17	25:5 43:22 77:25
accurate 3:19	ago 36:22 41:2	antagonise 25:14	arms 86:20 115:6	78:4
accusations 56:2	73:20 93:22	antagonised 90:25	arose 62:23	attitudes 16:23
accused 34:21	107:18	antagonising	arranged 126:6,9	29:10 41:10
36:23 86:6 88:4	agree 88:9 109:15	25:22 80:13 93:4	Asian 22:11 29:20	attracted 2:25
88:6	124:13 131:23	anxious 61:19	57:12	audible 82:5 88:22
accusing 88:18	136:20,23 137:1	anybody 58:23	asked 22:21,22	August 31:15
ACDT 27:3,6	ah 64:13,19 76:21	126:15	41:2 43:10 44:4	44:19 99:15,16
achieved 109:3,3	ahead 52:3	anymore 43:3	47:6,10 48:11,12	101:13,17 102:12
ACO 12:18 37:7	AIDS 135:9	anyone's 58:18	56:11 57:24	102:14,16,18
62:17	alarm 80:13	anyway 35:15 41:7	75:16 80:14,19	aware 20:4 37:3
ACOs 22:12	all-Caucasian	41:20 51:7 96:14	82:22 84:13	44:3 47:7 58:12
act 71:22	72:22	96:16	111:20 113:6	82:12 84:2 85:22
acted 71:19	all-white 72:22	Apologies 39:18	116:16 132:14	85:23 86:15 87:6
acting 108:7	73:2	apologise 61:1	133:11	87:8 99:25
127:25	allegation 31:24	66:3 105:23	asking 1:20 39:24	133:12
action 30:4 100:4	36:12 37:20	apologised 136:16	60:10 89:12	awkwardness
137:5	42:17 45:3,14	appalling 74:23	102:17 122:15	72:18
actioned 79:25	77:16,24,25	75:11,12,13	asks 95:16 97:7	B
activities 63:21	78:10 85:22,23	appear 12:7 81:10	asleep 76:10 77:5	B 5:6,21 43:3
				D J.U,41 7J.J

				Page 140
Babatunde 1:12	basis 70:10 94:17	63:15 68:19,20	21:23 41:9 43:12	75:10 78:7 80:11
46:22 53:16 60:3	98:17 127:16	69:3 89:15 90:12	57:21	81:2,4 84:2 85:1
60:4 89:4 138:9	BBC 101:18	103:21 111:11	bothered 51:5	94:13 105:1
Babs 66:13 80:9	118:17,19 123:16	BF 81:16	bottom 76:1 84:4	107:2 131:12
82:23 83:9 95:19	becoming 37:25	BFA000001 60:14	91:15 96:12	133:2,23 134:1,6
95:19,25,25 96:3	40:20	BFA000001 60:14 BFA000002 60:13	107:24 109:2	134:9 136:22
96:4,14,16	bed 76:21,23	BFA000002 60:15 BFA000003 60:15	111:22 122:7	brother 127:23
back 7:12 10:20	115:17 116:22	big 116:4,9 132:18	127:18	brought 2:3 23:1
11:17 16:19	117:3 121:24	bigger 104:9	bounce 120:14	31:4 78:15 85:4
33:24 42:14	Begg 83:2,4,4	bit 3:10 4:3 10:12	boundaries 11:8	Brown 13:11
43:12 44:19	begging 89:18	15:1 16:11,16	box 107:24 110:3	53:20 65:22 78:5
50:15 61:21 64:5	beginning 28:1	20:15 22:15 23:8	110:12	78:18 81:13 82:2
68:25 69:2,6	108:25 120:1	24:8 33:23 35:14	brackets 121:11	82:6,19 92:17
73:18 74:1 79:2	begins 118:22	35:16 36:2 43:1	122:9	96:21 97:1,22
89:16 92:10	begins 110.22 begun 127:3	43:4,20 45:8,16	brag 124:7	113:12
100:22 103:19,22	behalf 132:14	46:12,16 56:1	bragging 119:13	Brown's 53:11
103:25 104:11	134:1	57:25 60:10	120:21 135:7,10	brutal 136:23
107:7 109:8	behave 52:24	62:21 63:5 64:24	break 39:12 59:21	build 86:4
111:2 112:7,22	behaved 50:15	65:8 69:7 93:8	59:25 105:2,7,13	building 8:25
117:15,21 120:5	136:21	108:1 136:6	brief 15:24	29:20 39:9 56:19
128:11 130:13	behaviour 5:9,18	bitch 46:5 49:11	briefings 69:19,20	133:12,20 134:5
132:18	20:21 23:11	black 22:5,10	briefly 12:18	built 5:6,20
back-up 51:11	24:20 41:12	29:19,21 30:6	18:21 27:12	bullied 132:6
backed 31:18	52:25 59:9 77:11	31:7,16 34:14	40:17 42:14 43:6	bullies 80:4 81:1
background 30:23	82:11,25 83:1	40:11,15 42:10	69:2 84:1 118:15	bullshit 17:8 18:8
60:23 66:21 67:5	behaviours 17:6	42:10 46:5 49:20	bring 73:16 84:3	bully 89:9
111:16 112:8	29:10	50:17,18 57:12	86:12 87:18	bullying 78:1 80:2
backgrounds	beings 103:2,14	66:12 97:6	99:19	83:1
58:18	believe 29:4 48:13	black' 42:13	bringing 9:19,21	bumped 42:3
bad 56:2 61:3	54:2 55:11 65:18	blackface 38:19	11:17,19 24:23	bundle 1:19
76:13 104:7	69:20 70:8,20,22	blah 66:7,7,7,7,7	85:23 86:6,9,16	buried 115:6
110:16	72:1 73:3 76:18	101:23,23,23	87:6,10,13 88:4	BWC 79:16
badly 23:3,4,6,6	78:14 79:6 84:4	blame 61:7,9	British 89:19	bye 91:12,12 99:4
balance 129:13	100:8,16 102:10	blind 40:6,12	broad 66:25	99:4
ball 128:7	102:14 132:8,18	blurred 11:8	broadcast 121:3	
bang' 122:11	believed 24:4	Board 133:19	broader 30:3	C
banging 115:17	50:11 119:19	boiled 24:7	broadly 41:10	C 112:6 113:3
116:22 119:9	belittled 19:5	bold 52:23	45:24	117:15,21 119:12
120:13,15 121:24	bells 80:13	bonds 86:4	Brook 2:5,19 3:1	120:9
123:2,3 129:19	belong 131:19	Bonnie 31:14	4:14 5:3 8:9	C&R 63:8 67:15
Bannister 44:25	Ben 68:18 76:2	32:10,20 33:11	12:17 13:23 14:8	67:15 72:22
banter 43:23	80:11,16,17,18	34:14 36:23	14:11,15 17:22	79:25 80:19
base 115:17	80:19	37:12 38:8 42:15	22:8,11 27:21	107:17,19 108:3
116:22 117:2	bent 120:5 121:24	44:17 45:13 56:5	32:12 40:4 42:8	108:20 125:7
121:24	best 4:9 93:22	56:6	45:11 49:20 50:3	128:15 131:10,18
based 55:12 58:6	118:25 121:18	Bonnie's 32:13	52:22 56:13 57:8	133:1 134:17,24
basically 19:21	betraying 25:1	boom 122:3	57:13,14 61:22	135:3,6
51:12 53:8	better 4:5 17:8	bored 16:16	62:8,14 65:3,4,5	C&Rs 124:24
basics 114:25	42:1 43:2 56:12	bother 11:13	69:1 70:14,24	calculate 54:10
				call 10:13 15:10
	•	•	·	•

				Page 141
17.0 10.0 40.0	C117.0	 - 42:14	J J. J. (5.12	26.17.40.12.46.4
17:8 18:8 49:8	Caz 117:8	chronology 42:14	clouded 65:13	36:17 40:13 46:4
50:21 93:23,24	CCTV 51:5 79:13	53:9	coconut 91:20	46:15 47:4 56:22
97:8,12	99:1 114:9,9	cigarette 65:20,22	94:14 97:5	65:16 67:10,12
called 6:24 31:15	central 110:3	circumstances	coincidences 79:12	71:3 89:3,11,14
44:23 46:5,8,9	centre 28:13 40:9	89:12	colleague 91:18	90:10 112:22
49:6,7,11 53:11	40:10 61:13 69:8	civil 109:21	103:24	124:21 136:16
91:21,22 92:9,9	70:16 111:14	CJS001085 111:19	colleagues 4:12	common 52:12
93:2,4 94:10,16	certain 17:10	CJS001107 129:8	8:25 17:16 21:14	communal 17:12
95:3 97:15,19	24:19 36:17 56:1	CJS001594 90:21	21:20 25:2 51:16	communicate 109:14
98:16,17	58:6 59:4 68:3	CJS005280 91:14	63:24 66:12,13	
calling 91:1,10,19 94:1	chair 1:3,4,9,15,21	CJS005880 49:10	69:13 74:9,11 102:22 131:3	communicating 53:1
-	1:22 19:2,3	CJS005888 51:2 91:5		
Callum 95:3,16 96:13 114:9	39:12,14,18,21 39:22 56:25 57:1	CJS005907 81:11	collect 76:25	communication 110:3
		96:21	colour 86:8 88:5 coloured 41:15	
118:12 119:7,22 124:14	57:2,23 58:21,23			community 5:12
calm 25:13 117:17	58:25 59:11,15 59:20,23 60:13	CJS006653 107:13 CJS0072930 99:18	come 2:10,11 8:15 16:19 17:23	comparing 18:3
calmer 4:18,21	60:17,21 79:18	CJS0072930 99:18 CJS0073027 34:8	18:16 21:25	comparison 4:1 16:2,14
Calvin 119:11,18	79:19 105:4,10	CJS0073027 34.8 CJS0073303 99:18	26:11,17,23	compelled 127:1
camera 80:3	106:3,5,11,15	CJS0073633 79:18	27:15 29:7,15	competence 109:3
cameras 80:4	107:13,14 111:18	CJS0073633 79.18 CJS0073671 82:18	30:3 36:2,25	complain 18:11
cannabis 9:23	116:1 118:15	CJS0073677 75:25	37:17 44:13	19:4
car 17:14 91:23	119:24 120:25	CJS0073677 75.25 CJS0073679 84:4	46:25 55:7 61:15	complained 18:12
card 33:13 36:24	122:13 129:9	CJS0073679 84.4 CJS0073682 87:20	61:20 64:24	18:13,25 71:14
37:5,16,21 38:1	137:2,9,15,19	claimed 76:9	65:19 66:10,14	80:11 81:6
38:11	137.2,9,13,19	claims 76:5,9	71:16 73:18,24	complained-about
care 5:3,5,17	chair's 87:20	class 108:3	81:7,14,16 86:1	81:2,3
12:12,13,15	99:17	class 108.5 classroom 4:1	88:23 90:18	complaining 64:9
64:24 74:22	challenge 20:13	Clayton 118:22,23	100:22 106:8	77:1
133:3,4 137:19	70:9	118:25	100:22 100:8	complaint 18:14
cared 75:15	challenges 3:21	clear 11:18 43:25	117:8 118:16	18:18 21:3,21
career 109:23,24	chance 17:2 36:3	49:25 93:22	133:15	52:2,6 53:12,15
carefully 106:6	108:18	clearly 80:16	comes 40:7 49:22	53:15 54:11 76:4
carried 8:20 56:14	change 56:12	110:14 117:23	comfortable 18:4	81:18 82:2,24
83:17	68:19 69:3 75:17	118:19 126:8	35:1 76:22	90:22,23 91:6
carry 94:5	112:15 125:14	clique 12:24 13:1,3	comical 43:22	92:2,8 100:13
case 2:13 54:20	changed 28:5,5,24	13:8,15 14:7,10	coming 12:4,5	complaints 17:25
63:14 81:7 83:10	39:7 63:16,17	68:12	31:14 37:7 43:12	18:1,3 21:5
84:9 133:16	69:5 72:3	clique's 14:19	59:18 63:24 65:3	71:10 76:14
cases 132:21	changes 115:11	cliques 13:15	73:17 85:8,9	80:12 82:9,12
cast 118:21	changing 56:20	20:15	94:21 101:22	83:20
casual 58:2	68:19	cliquey 12:21	110:9 137:12	complete 6:12
category 5:6,21	character 52:23	close 34:13 74:11	commandant 78:3	80:18
Caucasian 72:10	check 2:10,11 51:5	125:4	comment 5:24	completed 6:14
86:10 87:14	checks 8:18 9:1	closer 1:13	6:16 7:4 35:20	83:11 92:1
caught 86:9 87:13	child 48:17 129:23	closest 125:10	35:23 37:1 38:11	completely 4:2
cause 15:17	child's 48:13	closing 32:21	41:5 42:17 43:14	109:23 128:16
123:21	chirpy 123:24	clothes 9:23	43:18,19 46:13	computers 58:13
causing 77:10	chose 17:9	clothing 126:9	comments 36:10	concentrated
	l	ı	ı	ı

				Page 142
(2.26				01.7.00.04.440.0
63:20	continues 117:2	72:7 74:4,8	65:3 72:8 74:1	91:7 92:24 118:8
concerned 57:17	122:7	83:18 84:16,24	75:10 131:12,14	120:2
64:3 80:23	contract 3:8	86:14 95:5,11	132:15 133:5,23	day' 120:11
concerns 17:21,24	contrast 12:17	99:22,24 137:7	134:6,9	day-to-day 63:21
20:24 21:9 23:11	control 6:21 25:12	corridor 133:14	cunt 31:16	65:10 110:7
56:7 80:21	25:25 62:2,14,19	corroborate 51:6	currently 8:5	days 16:18 41:2
concluded 35:10	62:22 66:2 72:9	corruption 87:22	custody 2:8 109:5	46:3 47:6 53:11
35:11	72:13 73:7	Cotter 82:20	cut 135:7,10 136:2	107:18 120:8
conclusion 42:15	103:23 107:25,25	count 22:10 23:3	D	122:13 127:10
106:1	108:4,22 109:11	counter 88:13,14		DCM 18:18 21:2
conduct 75:24	125:5	country 89:15,17	D 16:11,18 76:14	28:1,11,23 38:19
81:19	controlled 3:20	89:18 90:11,12	98:23 138:1	39:6 43:8 44:11
conducting 79:13	conversation	country?' 89:25	D119 45:25 46:22	51:20 71:19,25
confirm 2:4	37:24 46:23	couple 6:1 12:18	46:22 48:5 49:7	117:14 126:6
confirmed 1:9	47:10 48:11 53:7	13:20 25:11 36:4	49:9 51:13 52:2	DCMs 11:21 19:17
40:5 105:25	95:2,14 96:6,7	41:2 52:13 53:10	52:19,21 53:14	20:18 24:20
confront 66:14	113:20 117:5,18	55:7 57:2 75:1	54:22 81:14	27:22 28:7,12,23
confused 40:24	119:17 122:7	99:16 105:3	90:23 91:17,22	29:3,5 57:16
41:8	123:13 124:23	107:18 109:10	92:7 93:2 94:7	61:8 72:15 78:22
Connolly 36:13	126:20,25 127:2	125:16 126:17	103:20	80:22 128:20,22
40:19 41:2	131:13 134:22	course 4:12 38:13	D1527 111:22	DCMs' 28:9
107:16,17 108:2	conversations 11:2	39:14 55:3	112:3 115:5,17	DCO 2:9,25 12:20
108:20	21:24 38:4	107:20 108:14	117:14 120:12	18:22 21:2 22:22
consequences	131:10 135:1	110:21 120:7	121:19 122:17	23:3 29:12,15
11:15 12:14	conviction 15:16	124:22	D1527's 119:19	62:18,19 64:13
52:10 100:25	57:25	courtyard 65:20	120:4,18	86:18 89:4 107:2
consider 38:25	convictions 15:7	covert 30:20 35:17	D4215 81:20,25	112:14 113:20
41:5	15:15 16:3 58:6	55:22	D5100's 77:11	115:4 116:7
considered 24:19	58:9	covertly 29:11	D687 89:2	117:24 120:3,3,9
constant 12:4	Conway 29:23	CPS000025	D720 50:22 51:8	120:10
111:21 112:16	72:6	118:16	51:13,22 52:1,19	DCOs 6:3 20:18
115:4 122:20	cool 98:18	crash 91:23	54:22 91:8 93:1	22:11 24:19 29:5
123:19,24 124:16	cope 61:13 64:11	crazy 33:23	dad 47:7,19	29:25 31:17 61:8
125:3,6,8 126:4	94:18	CRB 2:10,11	daily 70:9 94:17	65:21 70:6,8
constantly 6:24	coping 94:19	crew 78:18,19,20	98:17 134:8	76:5 77:9 78:23
54:15 61:12	Copping 117:24	78:22,24	damage 115:19	84:6,9 124:21
84:12	copy 44:5	criminal 15:20,22	Dan 34:9 36:7 37:24	128:19,22
constants 121:8	correct 2:7,22 8:8	15:24 16:5 58:18		de-escalate 7:9
contact 22:12	8:23 9:2 12:23	criticised 19:6	Dance-Jones 117:8	24:14 59:2
108:22 109:19	15:4 19:13,19	cross 43:4 116:18	Darren 22:22	de-escalation 7:6
128:13	20:8 27:11 29:14	crossed 116:25		7:13
content 4:22	30:18,21 31:9,20	crossings 43:5	date 109:1 119:25	deaf 32:7 69:10
context 25:12,25	33:17,23 48:10	Croucher 112:3	dated 41:25 91:6	75:17
25:25 26:1 40:8	48:14,19 51:21	112:14	107:23 Dave 28:25 29:1	deal 7:23 8:13
40:14 79:21	51:25 52:7 53:18	cry 117:2	33:20 35:25 43:8	26:24 64:14
111:20	58:2,3 61:4,23	crying 115:8	44:24 56:5	104:1 110:24
continue 39:21	62:1,3,6,10,16,20	culture 12:16,21	day 31:21 33:8,10	111:2 121:18
continued 19:11	63:4,11,22 64:7	14:8 16:4 17:21	45:5,6 47:21	133:8
33:4	69:11 70:15 71:9	17:25 20:11 57:5	53:8 61:14 85:16	dealing 57:7 64:16
			33.0 01.14 03.10	

				1 age 143
92:16	detained 7:24 22:8	diary 46:25	99:23 137:3	drop 120:1
dealt 18:3 23:10	22:20 23:13	dickhead 48:25	dismissed 55:15	drugs 8:18 11:18
132:22	40:10 42:4 57:25	49:6 92:9,10	55:19 56:8 99:20	11:19 31:3 84:2
Dean 76:2 77:8	61:1,8 64:4 65:7	93:3,5,8,9,9,17	99:25 101:13,18	86:16
78:5,6 79:5,22,23	70:21 73:8 74:22	93:24,24 94:1	102:13,14 104:6	due 61:2 74:1
80:25 84:5 86:19	86:4,6,9 87:14	97:5,8,19,19	dismissing 21:5	duty 112:16,19
87:4	89:22 90:1,2	dickhead' 97:13	132:15	114:20 120:2,7,8
Dean's 78:20	103:5	die 48:17	displaying 24:20	duvets 76:20,23,24
December 44:20	detainee 6:13,15	died 91:23	displays 78:4	
decision 32:2 33:7	6:18 10:24 18:10	dies 74:22 75:4,4	disregard 70:5	E
decisions 65:17	22:12 25:8 26:3	93:14	disregarded 19:6	E 114:17 122:20
84:12	42:6 46:25 47:14	difference 5:23	27:22 28:8	126:7 138:1
definitely 4:7,15	47:16 49:16,25	30:17	disrespect 49:22	E7 116:4
14:16 43:23	50:20 76:4,13,20	different 4:2 13:21	disrespectful	earlier 1:10 5:1
115:13,14,15	76:23 77:2 78:11	14:15 41:4 56:20	43:17	6:4 22:15 23:1
dehumanising	82:11,24 91:9	68:7 71:14 88:23	disruptive 52:21	37:10,11 43:6
136:24	95:19,21 99:23	92:23,24 109:23	52:23,25	58:25 64:9 71:6
deliberately 4:5	100:11,13,24	113:23 116:5	distance 39:8,25	79:8 112:19
25:22 58:23	108:8,22 109:5	122:14 124:18	diversity 22:9,17	113:16 132:25
59:12 76:7 77:2	111:21 119:9	127:11,12,13,14	29:22 57:11	early 61:24
98:20	129:18 130:11,14	differentiate 85:12	71:18	ears 69:10 75:17
demographics	130:24 134:24	differently 16:5	document 77:21	easy 59:16 104:8
22:7	135:19 136:1,5	22:25 67:7	79:2,17 81:11	eat 17:13 117:20
demonstrated	detainee's 119:19	difficult 2:17 19:7	96:22 107:11	117:21,22 118:9
6:11 120:15,18	135:8,10 136:6	67:1 68:3 85:12	108:24 111:18,23	eaten 118:8
denied 34:15 41:3	detainees 5:3,5	106:7 108:1	118:15 119:14	Edwards 29:23
98:6	9:20,22,25 10:11	137:11	129:6 134:11	44:24 72:6
denies 38:9	11:3 14:19 15:3	dignity 103:1,6	documented 80:10	effect 22:16
dense 118:21	15:7,14,14,21	direct 58:21	documents 1:20	Egyptian 119:19
deny 49:12 98:8,21	16:5,23 17:22	disagree 78:2 81:5	2:3 31:25 38:7	eight 31:17 70:4
100:11	18:2,6 19:5,7	81:18 136:23	dog 111:10	90:25
depending 16:5	22:4,5,16 23:2,10	disastrous 27:21	dogs 111:12	either 27:2 47:10
depends 72:14	24:8,19,24 25:1	disciplinary 2:20	doing 3:7 11:4,20	57:19,19 63:24
85:3	25:23 26:1,7,8,15	30:4,6 35:17	25:2 54:13 58:23	87:16 107:6
depth 117:18	27:16 31:2,3,11	42:18 54:12,25	69:15,22 86:5	116:13,15 133:18
describe 6:7 24:12	40:15 50:3 52:17	55:11,21 83:10	92:20,21 115:4	134:25
30:19 31:15	57:9 58:10,10	90:19 99:14,15	115:18 119:10	element 108:21
50:17	62:5 63:2 67:4,5	100:4	120:17 122:24	eliminated 104:23
described 34:12	67:11 71:13	disciplined 100:1	123:9	else's 115:12
89:6 95:9 112:11	80:12 84:10	disclosed 58:10	dominant 13:3	email 76:1 77:7
describes 95:6	92:13 96:1 100:1	disclosing 58:18	door 115:22,23,23	79:4,5 80:15
describing 80:25	128:3,13 129:2	disconnect 34:23	115:25 116:1,2,2	84:5,5
description 3:5,18	132:17,23 133:4	discussed 37:2	doors 4:24 5:4	emotional 133:9
3:20 17:9 50:12	133:15 134:23	79:8 84:9 134:23	34:2	emphasis 6:11
83:19	detainees' 5:19	discussion 7:22	downstairs 46:25	7:13,16 49:18
desk 120:5 123:5	detaining 5:13	46:21 97:18	DPG000021 88:24	employ 97:6
detail 45:20 52:11	detention 90:12	discussions 17:6	dragged 33:2	employed 62:12
125:21,22	109:5 111:14	26:11 58:3	drive 116:13	63:12
detailing 80:16	detention' 89:16	dismissal 55:3	driving 113:8	employment 8:21
				35:19 85:13
	•	•	•	•

				1 480 111
empty 45:8	110:18,23 114:4	expecting 34:18	facts 109:16	30:10 36:16
encountered 57:8	everybody 5:24	35:15	Fagbo 1:12 46:20	61:19 74:14,17
encourage 51:4	11:6 32:19 132:1	experience 6:13	46:22 47:18	113:6
118:8	everybody's 54:14	7:4 11:12 13:7	49:24 53:16	feelings 51:8
encouraged 33:20	everyday 61:14	14:10 19:11,15	59:22 60:3,4,6,18	fell 69:10 75:17
86:4	everyone's 54:16	26:2 27:20 57:9	60:25 61:21	fellow 20:25
ended 42:25 99:13	61:9	58:8,21 59:16	68:17 74:20 76:1	felt 3:18 11:4,9
enforcement	evidence 1:25 28:9	64:16 65:5 73:7	76:5,16 79:3,20	12:8 16:11 18:1
109:21	36:3,6 57:4 59:1	74:14 94:13	80:9 82:21,23	18:11 19:23
engage 112:13	59:17 60:19	106:8 128:18	83:9,15 84:6,13	20:18 25:8,13
engaged 117:5	70:12 75:1 106:6	132:4,12 137:11	88:25 89:4 90:22	36:16 43:16
engaging 22:3	107:18 137:12,16	experienced 3:22	95:2 99:13,19	62:21 63:10
53:1 117:17	137:18	29:10 50:4,5	101:7 102:24	67:23 73:1 77:12
English 36:12	evident 12:21	65:16	105:1,4,15 106:3	105:21 135:4,6
89:23,25 90:16	ex-boyfriend	experiences 15:3	106:4,5 138:9	136:16
enjoyable 6:9	32:14 34:20	65:12	fags 65:24 66:1,3	female 50:18
entering 84:25	ex-con 64:14	explain 65:8 67:14	66:10	57:10,16,19
entitled 92:18	ex-prisoners 64:12	69:21	fail 108:14	100:9 120:9
entries 111:24	64:12,17	explaining 47:14	failed 107:19	females 50:17
112:2,19 115:3	exact 85:16	explanation 69:25	108:17,20 131:6	57:21,22
117:12,24	exactly 68:4 88:7	70:1	faintly 82:1	fewer 4:23,24
entry 115:21	110:10 128:11	explicit 30:22 71:2	fair 33:12 98:15	fight 134:24
117:23	134:20	explicitly 34:20	110:5 136:14	final 56:11 77:8
environment 3:21	Examination 1:7	exposed 59:9,13	fairly 16:14 95:12	93:15 100:17
5:7,20,21 30:2	60:5 106:20	express 31:12	fall 61:11	101:11 102:24
86:12 104:7	138:5,11,15	expressed 96:17	false 77:25	136:18
109:17 128:17	example 20:25	extended 123:11	families 91:4	find 53:6 68:3
episode 61:12	22:19 25:16	extent 2:15 59:6	family 25:18 92:11	88:14,15,17
equal 70:16	41:14 70:2	external 56:15	92:15,19,20 99:3	107:25 119:3
equivalent 35:20	125:17	extra 69:9	fantastic 43:11	finding 38:19 74:6
escalate 7:9	examples 23:13	extreme 116:15	far 14:19 20:4 37:3	fine 52:21 105:8
escort 120:2	29:17 93:13 94:6	extremely 74:3	44:3 58:12 76:12	115:2
escorting 3:3,8	94:8	eye 40:6,12 113:6	77:11	finger 116:14
especially 86:7	excellent 6:8 40:21	118:21	favourites 22:24	121:12,16 123:7
88:4	exceptionally	\mathbf{F}	February 2:5	123:8,10,12
essentially 31:6	24:18	fabricate 125:1	107:23 109:9	fingers 78:12
38:9 63:1,10	excessive 72:23		feel 8:13 16:4	120:5,18
establish 31:10	73:22	face 30:1 48:6,9 68:2,6,11 70:9	19:16 22:7 25:5	finished 4:15
establishment	exchange 34:13	76:11 77:4 89:22	27:14 28:23 30:1	firearms 16:1
97:6	47:5 99:2	90:1 122:11	32:21 33:1 34:23	first 2:4 12:18
ethnic 40:11 70:13	Excuse 65:25	Facebook 38:19	35:12 39:5 67:4	18:21 19:15
70:17 72:4 86:11	exercise 69:23	39:3 40:2	69:3 70:17 71:24	52:18 53:3,20,25
ethnicity 65:11	exercises 68:7 existed 134:7	facing 100:25	73:1,5 88:18 100:4 101:10	62:7 85:24 87:8 87:9 90:6 100:18
evening 37:8 event 54:15 123:18	existing 20:16	fact 19:14 33:24	100:4 101:10	100:19 104:17
127:4 133:10	existing 20:16 expand 55:25	40:1 49:18 111:8	104:6,7103:3,17	100:19 104:17
events 54:16	121:1	111:19 120:24	103.20,20,24	118:14,21 119:12
111:17	expect 3:23 41:3	124:17 134:12	132:7	120:24 125:5
eventually 42:20	expect 3.25 41.5 expected 3:25	factor 71:24	feeling 4:4 24:25	133:11
Cremmany 72.20	expected 5.25	factors 108:14	100mg 7.7 27.23	133.11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 agc 143
fist 98:8	103:18 120:8	73:21,21 133:5	goad 77:2	20:6 31:21 32:2
fit 68:2,11 124:22	122:13 127:9	133:23	goaded 77:12	33:8,14 34:7
126:13,16 131:4	free 5:15 121:15	generalisation	goading 76:6	35:9,22 36:24,25
131:5,8,8,9,11,14	frequently 9:24	15:1 42:9	80:13	42:15 43:7,8,21
131:15 134:20	10:1	generally 14:21	goes 66:4 86:18	44:2,5,16 45:9
fits 30:1	Friday 1:1,14	16:9,24 23:15	88:11 102:8,9	66:11
fitting 68:6 128:9	friend 51:11 95:6	131:15 133:1	109:8 117:21	grievances 17:24
five 4:20 54:10,21	131:24	gentleman 41:25	going 1:10,25 3:16	18:16
61:25 103:18	friend's 51:11	genuinely 27:9	4:8 5:8,14,25 7:2	gross 99:20
105:10	friendly 11:7 25:7	gesture 122:1	7:12 9:14,16,18	group 6:10,10 13:1
fix 12:7	80:22 95:7	gestured 123:4	10:2,13 11:17	13:11 40:14 68:3
floor 13:21 75:6	friends 16:17	gestures 122:12	21:21,25 24:14	72:17,17 90:24
fobs 84:12	17:19 21:2,6	gesturing 122:6,8	24:14 25:9,18	groups 13:5 36:17
focused 133:1	32:13 34:19 39:3	getting 27:8 61:18	26:16,17,23,24	grow 93:7,10
focusing 63:13	39:9 40:2,7	61:18 71:24	27:10 29:16 31:2	guards 45:1
folder 1:20 38:7	43:24 51:13 73:3	94:23 95:18	33:5,24 34:2	guess 105:19
60:9	73:4 78:5 131:16	Ginge 95:3,4	35:2 42:14 44:7	guy 87:2
followed 119:22	132:9	girl 91:2,12	44:22,25 45:13	guy's 77:1
following 31:21	friendship 12:25	give 22:19 41:14	45:19,21 51:7	guys 26:13 34:4
44:3 53:12	friendships 20:17	51:11 67:21 76:7	53:5 60:9 61:21	
109:13	20:23	79:21 80:20	68:9 74:6 76:8	H
food 118:4	front 19:5 70:6	102:23 106:2,2	78:12 80:20	half 45:6 54:24
footage 79:16	107:12 115:5,8	106:21 136:9	81:14 85:6,8,15	114:20
113:9,17,19,23	116:1,2	given 17:23,24	89:2 91:3 92:7	halfway 45:4
113:25 114:1,2,2	fuck 48:21,21,22	22:7 69:25 70:1	92:10,20 95:15	97:17 119:25
114:4,5,7,9,10	93:16 95:20,21	89:1 94:6,8	98:25 99:3,13	hand 6:18 120:16
121:2,11,15	fucking 31:16	100:17 106:7	100:22 101:23	120:17 121:15,25
footwear 9:23	36:11 48:25 93:2	108:16	104:8,12,14	122:1 123:4,10
force 6:7,12,14,19	93:5,8,9,23 97:13	giving 137:12	106:24 107:5,11	123:11
6:21 7:7,12,20	97:19,22 121:13	glitch 39:11	109:16 110:8,14	handed 86:13
25:13 26:1 27:1	121:23 124:2,5,7	go 3:16 16:19	110:20 113:2	handful 41:19
40:20 59:7	124:8,9 130:4,7	17:10,18 21:11	124:5 129:6,9	65:7
107:22 109:9	full 1:21 42:5	28:24 29:3 34:6	132:12 134:13	handle 118:25
foreigners 36:11	60:16 106:21	36:19 41:6 45:2	136:19,22 137:2	handled 103:21
Forget 119:2	110:23 111:1	45:8 51:3 52:3	gonna 124:1,10	hands 6:13,15 7:3
forgive 113:22	fully-fledged	66:1 68:15 79:2	130:3,7	22:10 48:5,8
forgotten 62:22	109:5	81:11 83:10 84:6	good 1:3,4,16,17	99:8,8 116:17,19
form 31:5 107:23	fun 132:6	89:16,21 90:21	16:9 21:1 32:17	129:22,23
109:9 111:25	further 110:2	91:11,16 92:12	51:13 60:6,7	handwriting
formal 20:5	115:18	92:13,15,18	78:5 80:3 110:7	107:24 110:13
formerly 42:3		95:19,21 98:25	goodbye 99:9	115:10 117:10
forward 107:6	G	99:3 105:19	grabbed 119:10	Hanford 79:6,9
forwarding 79:5	G4S 3:9 13:15,19	107:15 108:25	grateful 59:17	Hang 128:21
foul 16:22 30:13	17:21 65:1 70:5	109:8 112:7,12	106:8 137:11	hanging' 79:15
30:14,15	101:13 109:20	117:6,12 118:15	grates 90:3	happen 6:14 9:3
found 22:4 33:23	Gary 112:3	120:25 126:2,3,7	gravitated 22:5	12:9,11 54:15
129:12,14	Gatwick 86:13	127:16 129:10	great 40:16 126:13	86:7 94:21
four 2:19 4:20	GBH 16:1	137:3	grievance 18:21	104:23
54:10,21,24 66:9	general 23:18,23	go-to 31:3	19:9,16,21,24,25	happened 8:21
	24:1 26:11 28:14			10:15,18,24
	•	•	•	•

				Page 146
22.17 22.5 44.14	26.6.20.2.2.16	00.2 110.0	idaa 6,20 22 9,0	01.12 24 05.2 4
23:17 33:5 44:14	36:6 38:2,3,16	99:3 110:8	idea 6:20,22 8:9	81:13,24 85:3,4
47:8,10,13 48:4	41:16 47:17	133:12,18,22,25	34:24 37:17	90:18 96:21
52:6 53:9 59:8	49:25 50:1,16	134:4	53:23 54:9,25	98:18 100:8
61:6,7 88:5	57:3 68:4 70:12	honest 3:25 10:12	55:2 68:6,11	102:11 103:19
100:12,14 102:4	75:1 84:25 85:24	honestly 136:10	69:12 72:20	104:6 114:16,19
102:6,9 113:1	86:2 97:12	hope 77:14 93:14	73:11,13 90:7	133:8
127:14	100:12 101:24	119:3	94:13 96:3 134:6	incidents 26:12
happening 12:3	102:21 128:3,19	hoped 91:23	identify 89:5	59:5 114:17
52:13 114:12	128:22,23	hopefully 39:18	ignoring 76:7	included 26:14
happens 63:8	hearing 1:4 34:13	121:21	ill 113:18	including 36:10
64:20 72:12	38:17 50:5 54:12	horrible 74:6,10	ill-trained 61:2	113:12 134:16
happy 22:6 32:3	54:25 59:22 60:2	hospital 132:22	illegal 86:6,9,12	136:24
32:25 117:25	99:14,15 107:5	hour 114:20	87:13 88:4	inclusion 70:13
hard 55:16 118:20	137:22	hours 1:13,14	images 38:19	incorrectly 32:4
126:15 128:10	held 58:9 123:6	51:23	imagine 114:12	32:25
136:2	hell 40:24	House 2:5,19 3:1	IMB 133:19,22	increasing 12:6
harm 126:11	helmet 107:21,24	4:14,18,19 5:3	134:2,2,4	Independent
harmful 15:13	108:6	8:9 12:17 13:23	immediately 53:4	133:19
Harmondsworth	help 19:6 63:19,24	14:8,11,15 17:22	immigration 40:9	index 116:13
61:25 62:2,4	72:18 98:23	22:8,11 27:21	89:12	121:12,16 123:10
harsh 77:15	111:6,9,11	32:12 40:4 42:8	impact 5:3,18 55:8	123:12
Haughton 34:9	113:17 116:24	45:3,9,10 49:20	94:10	indicate 121:11
35:10	132:23	50:3 52:22 56:13	impacted 5:5,17	individual 111:25
hazy 32:18 46:12	helpful 11:3 12:19	57:8,13,14 61:22	5:21	individuals 58:5
54:16	helping 52:2	62:8,14 65:3,4,5	impacts 17:22	59:7 61:1 65:8
head 46:24 54:10	Henry 46:8,13,20	69:1 70:14,24	implied 3:20	86:5,7,10 87:14
99:12 115:6,17	47:6 49:4,6,14,25	75:10 78:7 84:2	important 72:1	114:10
116:22 117:2	50:7,10 54:22	85:1 94:13 105:1	105:4 113:7	inflict 72:19,23
119:10,10,19	Henry's 46:12,17	107:2 131:12	impression 12:1	73:8
120:4,14,15	50:19 53:7	133:2,23 134:1,6	24:6 40:3 64:8	inflicted 73:22
121:24 122:2,6	here' 89:17	134:9 135:19,20	73:21,21	inflicting 72:20
123:2 129:19,20	here?' 89:19	135:22 136:22	impressions 29:17	73:12,13,15
136:4	heritage 49:18	human 103:2,14	improved 43:1	influence 14:10,14
heading 89:4	Hi 79:7	hundreds 40:15	56:14	information 8:16
110:2	hid 49:19	hurled 48:5	inappropriate	10:16,21 11:2
health 7:21,24	high 69:9	hurt 124:2,2,5,5,6	24:20 35:11 83:1	33:21 57:25 58:8
8:10	higher 29:25 73:4	124:8 126:2	inaudible 84:20	84:11 91:14 92:1
healthcare 112:13	98:9	128:14 130:4,4,7	121:7	94:7
hear 2:16 15:13,15	highlighted 23:17	130:7,8,17,18	incident 23:16	informative 6:2
34:19 36:14	him' 124:8	131:2 134:19	31:14 32:8 33:9	initial 53:15
49:23 65:1 71:10	history 15:25,25	hurting 124:3,9	33:10,19 34:10	108:21 109:11
73:14 75:4 90:2	76:13	129:21 130:5	42:7 44:13 45:19	111:12
101:25 102:2,7	hit 51:3,3,4 136:1	131:1	46:1,3,19,21	initially 2:8 45:15
105:5,6	home 5:12,14	Hutton-Maudsley	50:10,12,21	initiator 91:16
heard 6:22 10:11	13:16,18,21	46:8,20 54:22	51:16,20,23 52:8	injuring 116:20
13:20,24 15:19	25:18 26:16,23	Hutton-Maudsle	53:15,16 54:11	INN000001 19:1
25:16 28:9 32:4	37:12 56:15 91:3	50:10	55:12 63:8,8	INN000001 13:10
32:4,7,20,25	91:11 92:11,13	hypothetical 18:7	65:20 67:15	INN000002 33:10 INN000004 43:9
34:17 35:6,7,7,8	92:13,15,18 99:3		73:16 76:16,17	INN000013 1:19
37.17 33.0,7,7,0	72.13,13,10 77.3	I	/3.10 /0.10,1/	11111000015 1.17
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Brook House Inquiry

inquiry 1:18 10:3 10:5,10 14:9 79:14,22 81:12 80:3,23 109:14 90:9 97:5 10:47 114:1.2,16,23 81:3 97:12 98:5 85:24 86:101:7 79:14,22 81:10 81:3 97:12 98:5 110:18 10:5,25 10:5					1 age 147
105.5,10 14.9 79:14,22 81:12 81:13 97:12 98:5 10:18 10:18 10:18 10:19 131:12 11:2,16,23 15:2 11:2,16,23 15:2 11:2,16,23 15:2 11:2,16,23 15:2 11:2,14 10:19 13:11:10 12:13,15 15:18 10:19 13:12 10:12,13 15:2 11:2,4 11:19 11:10 12:13,15 13:19 13:12 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:12 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:19 13:13 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:10 13:19	inquiry 1:18 10:3	interview 49:9	53:1 75:23 77:10	47:9 48:23 88:11	113:5,17,19
288: 36:4 38:9 40:8 50:3 60:8 60:19 71:13 75:1 85:24 86:1 101:7 105:5.25 inquiry's 19:1 instance 65:19 65:7:14 68:8 73:19 instances 27:22 institutionalised 65:69 66:18 10:18 28:7 10:18 28:7 10:18 28:2.25 institutionalised 65:69 66:18 10:18 28:2.25 institutionalised 65:69 86:18 10:18 28:2.25 institutionalised 65:69 66:18 10:18 28:2.25 10:18 28:2.24 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:7 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:18 28:15 11:17 10:19 12:1 14:3 11:19 12:1 14:3 11:19 12:1 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 14:3 12:19 114:20,23 12:11 13:20 12:10 13:18 13:19 13:20 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 13:18 12:19 114:20,23 1					
408.50:3 60:8 60:19 71:13 75:1 34:12 44:10 34:15 13:6:24 86:1 101:7 105:5.25 106:25 11:19 12:11 4:3 12:13.25 12:1	,	•	,		
66:19 71:13 75:1 85:24 86:1 101:7 105:5.25 1inquiry's 19:1 instance 65:19 66:14 68:8 73:19 instances 27:22 institutionalised 65:6,9 66:18 10:47 66:5 80:9 81:1 82:4.23,25 81:4 63:51.0,21 95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 95:4 10:47 66:5 80:9 81:1 82:4.23,25 83:2 86:15 87:7 87:10 10:25 88:12 88:25 88:16 10:25 88:10 88:26 48:8 10:10:47 66:5 80:9 81:1 82:4.23,25 83:2 86:15 87:7 87:10 10:25 88:12 88:25 88:16 10:25 88:10 88:26 48:8 10:48 63:75:10,21 95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 95:4 10:48 63:75:10,21 95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 10:25 88:10 44:6 10:29 46:10 17:19 10:48 25:2 10:48 18:20 10:48 48:20 10:48 48:25 118:20 118:20 118:20 118:20 118:20 118:20 118:20 128:11,14 50:25 130:20 131:1 10:48 18:20 118:20 129:11 14:3 128:13, 15 43:15 10:20 118:20 120:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 131:1 10:11 13:20 130:20 13:1 130:20 13:					
S8524 86:1 101:7 47:17 54:23 T7:17 79:22 Jack 44:25 Jack 44:25 28:15,16 45:25 126:13,25 126:1 125:13,25 125:1 125:13,25					· ·
105:5.25					
Inquiry's 19:1 Instance 65:19 87:19 92:8 93:1 92:8 93:1 94:9 96:20 13:15 130:5, 8 130:5, 8 130:5, 8 130:5, 8 130:5, 8 130:5, 8 130:17, 23:23 131:19, 132:25, 29:17, 130:18, 29:17			J		
Instance 65:19	•		Jack 44:25		*
67:14 68:8 73:19 94:9 96:20 interviews 54:14 28:7 54:19.22 intimate 20:17 intimidate 91:9 introducing 64:11 10:4 76:5 80:9 81:1 82:4,23,25 106:17:18 106:17:19 13:5;17 14:2,22 131:19 132:5;5,9 131:14;17:3:32:1 108:2 106:17:3 108:2 106:18:25 111:4 108:2 106:18:25 111:4 108:2 106:18:25 106:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jamaica 43:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , ,
instances 27:22 28:7 as:institutionalised 65:6,9 66:18 interviews 54:14 54:19,22 107:48 James 83:2,4,4 January 79:22 107:48 linkow 1:10 2:13,16 3:25 4:3,6 5:23 3:35 5:11 1:11 1:12 107:48 118:2			Jamaican 49:18		
28:7 institutionalised 65:6.9 66:18 Instone-Brewer 10:4 76:5 80:9 Introduction 17:15 106:25 84:6 87:5,10,21 95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 95:4 instructed 45:2 i			James 83:2,4,4		*
institutionalised 65:6,9 66:18 intimate 20:17 intimidate 91:9 10:4 76:5 80:9 81:1 82:4,23,25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 10structor 40:20 10structor 40:20 20:5 28:10 44:6 108:2 42:1 2107:17 10stone-Brewer's 95:4 investigation 2:20 20:5 28:10 44:6 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18 112:18 112:18 108:2 42:5 43:1 42:1 112:18			January 79:22		
65:6,9 66:18 Instone-Brewer 10:4 76:5 80:9 81:4 82:4,23,25 106:25 106:25 84:6 87:5,10,21 95:7,10,17 83:2 86:15 87:7 87:10 10:estigating 83:6 instructed 45:2 instructed		,	107:4,8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Instone-Brewer 10:4 76:5 80:9 introduction 17:15 106:25 106:15 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:25 106:19:25 100:22 106:25 100:22 106:25 100:25 100:22 106:25 100:25 100:22			Jerry 80:6		
10:4 76:5 80:9 81:1 82:4,23,25 84:6 87:5,10,21 95:7,10,17 87:10 104:2 105:22 109:18,25 111:4 30:11 32:7 35:7 108:22 108:22 109:18,25 111:4 30:11 32:7 35:7 108:22 108:22 108:22 108:22 108:22 108:22 108:23 108:22 108:23 108:33 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		job 3:5,15,18,20		
81:1 82:4,23,25 84:6 87:5,10,21 95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 95:4 instructed 45:2 instructor 40:20 insufficient 133:3 insulting 89:9 90:8 intention 53:6 130:20 131:1 internations 113:18 126:11 interact 27:16 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 62:4 39:25 interaction 89:8 39:25 interaction 96:6 interaction 96:6 interaction 96:6 interaction 96:6 interest 40:23 39:25 interest 40:23 39:25 interest 40:23 139:23 118:2 126:24 139:25 118:2 126:24 131:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:12 118:13 118:13 118:12 118:12 118:13 118:12 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:14 118:15 118:21 118:		9	4:9 16:10 17:19		
84:6 87:5,10,21 investigated 81:8 33:2 86:15 87:7 109:18,25 111:4 24:11 27:3 28:24 133:13 137:10 known 47:21 95:7,10,17 87:10 109:18,25 111:4 30:11 32:7 35:7 40:20 135:16 36:6 37:3 38:16 40:14 42:1 112:18 95:4 instructed 45:2 instricting 89:9 90:8 54:10,22 46:7 108:2 42:5 45:1 47:12 47:16 48:24 49:9 47:16 48:24 49:9 47:10 48:24 49:9 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>30:14 57:18</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>, ,</td>			30:14 57:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,
95:7,10,17 Instone-Brewer's 95:4 instructed 45:2 instructor 40:20 instructor 40:20 insufficient 133:3 insulfing 89:9 90:8 intention 53:6 130:20 131:1 intentionally 58:17,18 73:8 intentions 113:18 interact 27:16 interacting 19:7 67:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 62:4 interaction 39:8 39:25 interaction 96:6 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:22 interaction 13:23 interaction 13:23 interaction 13:23 interaction 13:24 interaction 13:25:2 interaction 13:3 interaction 13	, ,		104:2 105:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Second Color Seco	· · ·		109:18,25 111:4		
112:18 1			John 36:13 40:19		
instructed 45:2 instructor 40:20 insufficient 133:3 munificient 133:3 4:10,22 46:7 insulting 89:9 90:8 intention 53:6 130:20 131:1 intention 53:6 130:20 131:1 intentionally 58:17,18 73:8 intentions 113:18 interact 27:16 interacting 19:7 67:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 interaction 53:6 130:25 47:9 54:20 interest 40:23 13:22 interest 40:23 13:12		investigating 83:6	41:2 107:17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Instructor 40:20 insufficient 133:3 44:10,22 46:7 JP 80:6 Jules 13:13 80:21 55:14,55:9 56:8 80:15,18,20 Jules 13:13 80:21 80:21 83:3 56:15 130:20 131:1 83:17 90:20 June 18:23	instructed 45:2		108:2		
insufficient 133:3 insulting 44:10,22 46:7 54:6,18 55:9 56:8 80:15,18,20 56:8 80:15,18,20 130:20 131:1 JP 80:6 Jules 13:13 80:21 80:22 80:21 80:22 80:21 8	instructor 40:20	C	joined 61:22		L
insulting 89:9 90:8 intention 53:6 54:6,18 55:9 56:8 80:15,18,20 83:17 90:20 82:17,18 73:8 Jules 13:13 80:21 80:21 83:3 54:6,18 55:9 56:8 80:15,18,20 83:17 90:20 82:25 129:8 134:15 136:15 investigations 54:14 56:14 invite 59:20 137:16 67:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 62:4 interactions 39:8 39:25 interact 40:23 13:22 interest 40:23 118:2 126:22 interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 114:19 12:12 interesting 125:2 interesting 125:2 interesting 125:2 interpart 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 Jules 13:13 80:21 80:21 80:21 83:3 June 18:23 54:13,13 57:4 1acked 70:16 laid 6:15 59:16 63:12 64:14,14 65:2,14 65:21 66:15,25 64:14 118:23 66:15,25 67:19,20,22 68:9 68:12 69:22 71:1 106:19,23 111:4 107:9 22:1,18 138:13 81:9 81:9 81:1,12,23 81:8 19:9 81:1,12,23 81:8 19:9 81:1,12,23 81:8 19:9 81:1,12,23 81:8 11:4 106:19,23 111:4 107:9 20:113:6 48:19,24 11:0 17:9 20:113:6 48:19,24 11:0 17:9 20:113:6 48:19,24 11:0 17:9 20:113:6 48:19,24 11:0 10:10:19,24 11:15:4 10:10:11		44:10,22 46:7	JP 80:6		lack 15:2 22:17
Sintention 53:6 130:20 131:1 130:20 131:1 130:20 131:1 130:20 131:1 134:15 136:15 132:19 134:15 136:15 132:19 130:21 83:3 134:13 137:4 59:16 63:12 64:14,14 65:2,14 65:21 66:15,25 64:14,14 65:2,14 65:21 66:15,25 64:14,14 65:2,14 130:15 32:5 35:11 120:12,18 138:13 120:12,18 138:13 120:12,18 138:13 120:12,18 138:13 120:12,18 138:13 120:12,18 138:13 136:24 136:25 132:19 130:21 132:19 130:21 132:19 130:21 132:19 130:21 132:19 130:24 138:23 134:14:15 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:12 132:19 130:13 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:15 132:19 130:12 136:24 136:15 132:19 130:12 136:24 1	insulting 89:9 90:8	54:6,18 55:9	Jules 13:13 80:21		57:10 70:13,17
intentionally 92:25 129:8 K 64:14,14 65:2,14 landing 114:14 58:17,18 73:8 134:15 136:15 K K K K K119:12 K K K119:12 G5:21 66:15,25 G7:19,20,22 68:9 d6:12 69:22 71:1 30:15 32:5 35:11 30:		56:8 80:15,18,20	80:21 83:3	54:13,13 57:4	lacked 70:16
58:17,18 73:8 134:15 136:15 K K 19:12 65:21 66:15,25 67:19,20,22 68:9 40:15 32:5 35:11 30:15 32:5 35:1	130:20 131:1	83:17 90:20	June 18:23		
interactions 39:8 39:25 interact 40:23 39:25 interest 40:23 118:2 126:24 126:24 127:19, 20, 22 68:9 67:19, 20, 22 68:9 67:19, 20, 22 68:9 68:12 69:22 71:1 72:2, 5, 19 73:3,4 78:11, 1, 2, 23 81:8 81:9 84:17, 20 85:11 86:20 87:4 87:23 88:14, 17 89:13 91:8 92:2 92:6,7,14,15,16 92:21 93:22 94:3 128** 128** 128** 139:19 14:25 42:16 49:13 50:16 56:3 93:6, 9 94:7, 14 119:12 106:19, 23 111:4 115:4 120:4, 10 120:12, 18 138:13 81:9 84:17, 20 85:11 86:20 87:4 87:23 88:14, 17 89:13 91:8 92:2 92:6,7,14,15,16 92:21 93:22 94:3 128** 128** 128** 139:25 129:10 113:6 8eep 11:10 17:9 21:10 113:6 8eep 11:10 17:9 21:10 113:6 8eep 11:10 17:9 92:10 113:6 8eep 11:10 17:9 92:13 90:10 79:24 123:25	intentionally	92:25 129:8		64:14,14 65:2,14	
National State Sta	58:17,18 73:8	134:15 136:15		65:21 66:15,25	
interact 27:16 interacting 19:7 67:3 interaction 52:12 52:17,18 53:3 62:4 39:25 interactions 39:8 39:25 interect 40:23 118:2 126:24 128:2 128:25 128:25 128:25 128:25 128:25 128:25 128:25 128:26:20 128:25 129:3 50:16 56:3 128:25 128:10 17:2 120:12,18 138:13 13:19 120:12,18 138:13 13:10 17:2 120:12,18 138:13 13:10 17:2 120:110 17:9 120:110 13:6 120:12,18 138:13 18:9 84:17,20 18:19 84:17,20 18:19 81:9 84:17,20 18:19 81:9 84:17,20 18:19 81:9 84:17,20 18:19 81:9 81:9 81:17 18:2 126:28 18:9 81:1 18:2 23 18:9 81:1 18:2 23 18:9 81:9 18:2 12 18:9 81:9 18:2 12 18:9 81:9 18:2 12 18:9 81:9 18:2 12 18:9 81:1 18:2 12 18:9 81:1 18:2 12 18:9 81:1 11:4 18:9 81:1 16:2 18:10 13:4 18:2 126:24 18:10 13:4 18:2 126:24 18:10 13:4 18:19 81:9 81:7 18:19 99:13 10:19 18:2 126:24 18:10 13:19 18:2 126:	intentions 113:18	investigations		67:19,20,22 68:9	
interacting 19:7 inviting 4:3 137:16 120:12,18 138:13 73:14 74:12,12 49:13 50:16 56:3 <th< td=""><td>126:11</td><td>54:14 56:14</td><td></td><td>68:12 69:22 71:1</td><td></td></th<>	126:11	54:14 56:14		68:12 69:22 71:1	
interaction 52:12 inviting 4:3 involve 7:8 13:15 120:12,18 138:13 78:11,12,23 81:8 93:6,9 94:7,14 97:3 52:17,18 53:3 involved 6:21 31:7 45:25 47:9 54:20 136:24 85:11 86:20 87:4 large 40:10 laugh 89:20,22 laugh 89:20,22 laugh 89:20,22 laughing 91:1,10 laughing 91:1,10 </td <td>interact 27:16</td> <td>invite 59:20</td> <td>,</td> <td>72:2,5,19 73:3,4</td> <td></td>	interact 27:16	invite 59:20	,	72:2,5,19 73:3,4	
interaction 52:12	interacting 19:7	137:16		73:14 74:12,12	
Seep 11:10 17:9 Seep 13:10 17:10 Seep 13:10 18:1	67:3	inviting 4:3	•	78:11,12,23 81:8	
13:17,18 33:3	interaction 52:12	involve 7:8 13:15		81:9 84:17,20	
interactions 39:8 39:25 interest 40:23 118:2 126:24 interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 interesting 125:2 interest 99:9 interest 99:9 interest 40:24 issue 8:15 11:17 20:15 28:12 29:7 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 118:2 126:24 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 118:2 126:24 123:25 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 118:2 126:24 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 interest 40:23 interest	52:17,18 53:3	involved 6:21 31:7	_	85:11 86:20 87:4	S
39:25 issue 8:15 11:17 64:4 92:6,7,14,15,16 laughing 91:1,10 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 40:17 64:4 92:6,7,14,15,16 laughing 91:1,10 118:2 126:24 35:1 39:23 40:17 65:3,4 70:24 71:20 65:3,4 70:24 96:10 97:24 98:9 layman's 109:22 interested 16:16 71:18 74:1 75:18 kicked 47:11,15 99:13 100:19 layout 5:2 layout 5:2 kicking 24:13 killed 127:23 killed 127:23 100:22 113:13 Killick 35:25 43:8 106:7 107:16 10:8,11,19,24 learn 24:15 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 Killicks 56:5 111:8,9,11,12,21 79:15 96:14,16		45:25 47:9 54:20		87:23 88:14,17	
intercom 96:6 interest 40:23 118:2 126:24 131:22 interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 interesting 125:2 interesting 125:2 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 intercom 96:6 20:15 28:12 29:7 30:3,25 33:15 30:3,25 33:15 71:20 kept 39:7 59:10 71:20 kept 39:7 59:10 71:20 kept 49:10 92:21 93:22 94:3 94:14 95:12,14 96:10 97:24 98:9 98:10,14 99:10 99:13 100:19 103:16,18 105:24 106:7 107:16 110:8,11,19,24 110:24 111:5,6,6 110:24 111:5,6,6 111:8,9,11,12,21 79:15 96:14,16				89:13 91:8 92:2	
interest 40:23 118:2 126:24 131:22 interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 interesting 125:2 interesting 125:2 interpret 99:9 interpret 99:9 interest 40:23 30:3,25 33:15 35:1 39:23 40:17 65:3,4 70:24 71:18 74:1 75:18 76:11,14 78:17 83:15 84:1 88:21 100:22 113:13 132:19 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 kept 39:7 59:10 71:20 kept 49:14 95:12,14 96:10 97:24 98:9 98:10,14 99:10 99:13 100:19 103:16,18 105:24 106:7 107:16 110:8,11,19,24 110:24 111:5,6,6 111:8,9,11,12,21 79:15 96:14,16			-		
118:2 126:24 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:22 131:23 131:24 131:25 131:25 131:25 131:27 131:20 131:20 131:20 131:20 131:21 131:21 131:21 131:21 131:22 131:31 131					
118:2 126:24 131:22 interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 interesting 125:2 internal 56:15 interpret 99:9 33:1 39:23 40:17 65:3,4 70:24 71:18 74:1 75:18 keys 4:24 5:4 kicked 47:11,15 kicking 24:13 killed 127:23 killed 127:23 Killick 35:25 43:8 44:11 Killicks 56:5 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 keys 4:24 5:4 kicked 47:11,15 kicking 24:13 103:16,18 105:24 110:8,11,19,24 110:24 111:5,6,6 111:8,9,11,12,21 79:15 96:14,16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	· ·	
interested 16:16 57:9 64:1 102:9 114:19 33:15 84:1 88:21 interesting 125:2 internal 56:15 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 kicked 47:11,15 103:16,18 105:24 106:7 107:16 110:8,11,19,24 110:24 111:5,6,6 111:8,9,11,12,21 79:15 96:14,16					1 -
77:18 74:1 75:18 76:11,14 78:17 76:11,14 78:17 83:15 84:1 88:21 100:22 113:13 132:19 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
114:19			•		
interesting 125:2 internal 56:15 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 Killicks 35:25 43:8 interpret 99:9 issues 29:7 35:17 Killicks 56:5 Interpret 99:9 Inter		•	_	· ·	-
interesting 123.2 100.22 113.13 44:11 110:24 111:5,6,6 leave 34:1 57:21 79:15 96:14,16					
internal 50:15 132:19 132:19 110:24 111:3,0,0 Rate 51:157:21 110:24 111:3,0,0 110:24 11:3,0 110:24 11:3,0 110:24 11:3,0 110:24 11:3,0 110:24 11:3,0 110:24 11:3,0					
111.0,9,11,12,21 73.11 111.0,9,11,12,21 73.11					
90:18 120:3	interpret 99:9	issues 29:7 35:17		111:8,9,11,12,21	· ·
			MIII J.0 17.23		90:18 120:3

				1 age 140
leaving 3:14 64:21	91:12 110:2,4	loss 107:25 108:4,4	20:19 21:1,3	meaning 103:4
80:14	120:20 124:4	lost 76:12 92:21	27:12,13,18 29:4	means 1:23 41:1
led 21:5 39:25	127:19 130:6	lot 4:18 5:9,10	29:13,16,18,20	60:18
90:19 124:23	132:25	6:23 7:10 9:18	31:24 35:18	meant 16:24 20:19
132:5	lives 74:22 111:11	17:5,6 21:9,17,18	57:14,17 61:3,7	40:14 42:24
Lee 79:6,7,9 80:14	living 50:4	21:19,22 22:4	61:10,10,13,19	43:22 65:10
80:15	Livingston 1:4,7,8	28:9 29:10 30:12	63:25 64:3,10,18	116:14
left 3:15 4:15	1:16,23 19:4	32:9,12,17 40:6	65:7 70:6,18,23	Medway 68:25
33:25 37:10,18	39:10,15,16,20	45:20 49:17	70:25 71:3,18	79:24 80:14
63:10,16 68:23	39:23 56:21,25	50:16 52:11,24	75:10 78:3 94:10	meeting 53:19,20
69:5 72:5 86:22	57:24 59:20 60:2	56:2 64:18 67:3	94:22	53:25 54:2,3,5,6
86:23 107:12	60:5,6,18 79:20	109:16 110:14	management's	meetings 133:13
leg 135:8,10	105:15 106:3,13	lots 54:19	78:1	member 18:9
legal 133:16	138:5,11	loud 46:16 49:25	manager 27:23,24	20:25 21:1 37:6
let's 111:19 115:2	load 27:1 95:18	50:14,17	28:3 29:21,22	46:8 57:10 76:9
letter 31:25 32:24	loads 65:11	louder 46:16	30:16 33:19	78:2 133:18
34:7,9 36:25	lock-up 47:12	love 77:13	69:17 117:8	members 9:21,25
66:6	lockdown 98:23	lovely 12:19	managers 20:6	13:9 15:4 23:14
level 29:12,13,15	121:7	low 74:3	21:5 26:6 65:23	24:22,24 29:8
29:16,18 49:21	locked 91:3 120:16	Luke 10:4 80:9,19	69:14	31:1 32:11,12
109:3	log 118:17	81:1 82:4,23,25	manipulate 26:8	37:12 59:3,5
liberty 31:12	London 31:8	87:5,10,21 95:4,7	manipulating	65:21 71:2,14
lie 125:12	37:25	95:10,17	26:18	80:1 91:9 93:1
lies 64:22 126:12	long 9:8 33:3,3	lumbered 64:17	manpower 69:10	134:2,4 136:25
life 48:13 50:8	39:19 44:18	lunch 17:13	75:20	memory 46:12
110:7,8,23 111:1	54:15 63:12 67:1	106:11	March 1:1 43:7	114:13
114:8	68:21 72:5 85:11	lying 97:21 98:3	109:1 137:23	mental 7:21,24
ligature 112:5	112:20 130:1,1	115:5,8	margins 64:1,4,23	8:10
114:8,11,21	look 14:8,9 24:9		64:24 75:16	mention 10:2,8
light 4:23 5:4	51:2 80:19 81:15	M	mask 2:16	12:25 13:10
55:13	103:19 104:11	macho 57:6	match 134:19	40:18 53:14 57:5
liked 23:8 57:19	107:22 108:24	maintain 38:14	mate 120:11 124:1	68:17 70:11
126:21	111:23 112:21	majority 17:17	130:3	77:23
limited 39:8	115:2 116:2	61:11 86:8 87:12	material 110:20	mentioned 9:25
line 70:6 123:14,25	119:3,24 120:23	making 4:5 19:15	mates 111:8	10:1,3,5,10 43:6
124:11 130:2	123:24	46:15 67:10,12	matter 80:19	44:15 113:16
lines 40:21 48:21	looked 22:6 58:15	67:12 89:11	131:22 133:19	118:6 134:11
127:18	77:16 80:24	104:9 119:22	Maudsley 49:6,14	mentioning 10:6
listen 36:3 128:21	87:21 123:1	125:23 133:20	MB 81:18	mercy 65:7
listened 70:10	125:18,23	134:3 136:17,17	mean 8:24 11:12	message 98:22
106:6	looking 40:9,15	maliciously 88:18	11:20,21 14:12	Michelle 13:11
listening 130:16	58:12,16 76:17	man 43:15 51:3	41:22 49:24	53:11,20,24 54:3
literally 69:13	77:13 111:2	57:6 90:5 123:19	54:17 55:8,9	65:22 78:5,18
115:25 123:1	114:12 123:2	manage 28:18	59:11 61:16 65:9	81:13 82:19
little 4:3 20:15	125:18,24 126:2	103:5	71:6 72:17 75:11	92:17 96:21
22:14 35:16 43:1	134:2	managed 27:20	78:24,24 85:21	97:22 113:12
45:16 46:16	looks 84:17,21	management	96:3 102:13	microphones
57:24 62:21 63:2	109:2	11:19,21 13:7,9	118:2 131:11,22	107:7
63:19 65:8 91:2	lose 98:18	14:14 17:25	131:25	middle 79:5
		18:19,20 20:18		
	-		-	-

				Page 149
mind 72.17 95.12	120.9 127.17 22	10.22 22 15.0	60.15 01.17	111.6 110.6 7
mind 73:17 85:12	120:8 137:17,23	10:22,23 15:9	68:15 81:17	111:6 118:6,7
120:22	money 9:23	80:7 86:17 87:16	90:24 91:22	123:1 124:25
mine 115:13,15	monitored 79:25	91:1,10,22 98:16	no-one 14:3 32:15	131:21
minorities 40:11	80:3	98:17 129:1	32:20 34:21 51:5	occasion 10:12
70:13,18	Monitoring	Nathan 112:2,9	120:13 121:8	40:19 46:9 82:19
minority 37:25	133:19	113:11	123:2 125:18	109:2
72:4	month 45:17 52:14	natural 4:23	no-one's 126:4	occasions 26:6
minute 29:15	85:17	nature 126:20,25	nonwhite 29:20	35:19 71:13,19
36:22 39:17 66:8	months 2:9 12:18	near 50:25	normal 1:13 67:18	73:14 75:3,3
73:17 94:20	32:1,17 34:10	necessary 35:24	normally 76:19	89:22
minutes 37:11	54:10,21,24	72:21 73:13,15	122:21	occurred 79:24
65:24,25 66:3	126:18	necessity 131:25	notch 98:10	occurrence 61:14
91:1 99:17 105:3	morale 16:9,11	neck 112:5 114:22	note 35:9 51:15	134:8
105:10 115:7,16	74:3	116:15 121:10,12	53:14 62:7 119:6	October 34:9 76:3
misconduct 99:20	morning 1:3,4,16	121:17 123:7	119:21 124:17,20	79:4 82:3,7,20
misinformed 61:3	1:17 53:7 59:18	need 1:23 6:11 7:2	noted 109:12	87:19
misinterpreted	60:6,7 68:5	7:19 15:12,18	notes 34:11 82:2,6	of' 66:11
58:4	69:19,20 70:12	19:1 23:20 35:4	83:8 87:21	offence 41:7 42:1
misogynistic 41:10	84:25 137:17	39:16 45:22	118:18 124:22	offenders 8:6
missed 104:11	mosque 117:6	47:12 56:17	126:8	office 5:12 13:16
missed/inaudible	126:4,7	60:10,21 61:20	noteworthy 54:17	13:18,21,22
121:6	mouth 31:18 32:16	66:4 69:14,17	nothing's 73:17	26:16,23 44:24
misspelling 117:1	33:25 34:22	77:9 87:18 92:5	notice 72:18	53:11 56:15
mistake 103:20	move 33:7 34:3	96:22 99:18	113:20	116:6,7 120:9
125:14	62:23 107:6	126:18 132:2	noticed 67:16 68:8	133:12,18,22,25
mistakes 103:14	117:15	needed 6:25	103:24	134:4
105:23	moved 12:20 74:7	needs 77:12 105:5	notified 101:14,18	officer 2:8 8:5 22:6
mistreating 18:9	82:7	netting 122:16,18	November 32:1,24	24:11 30:14 34:1
mistreatment 18:6	movement 15:23	never 6:19,24	119:23	49:21,22 56:4
mistyped 119:18	multiple 27:21	10:12 13:18,25	nuisance 52:24	65:19 78:2 81:2
misunderstand	28:7 75:2	23:1,6,15,16,21	number 8:10	82:3 95:3 100:9
20:2	mum 48:17 49:11	29:25 38:10	18:25 25:16	100:24,24 107:19
misunderstanding	49:15	44:19 50:8 51:4	41:18 134:10	107:21 109:5,21
20:2,7,12 32:8	Munroe 1:5,6,8,11	52:24,25 54:6	numbers 45:22	119:3,9 124:6
misunderstood	1:16,23 5:2 19:4	58:17 59:8 64:15		130:8 135:7,18
111:12	21:8 22:3,20	64:16 77:19,19	0	135:20,22,23
mixed 72:16,17	29:9 39:10,18,20	79:25 84:18,18	ob' 115:4,5	136:5
Mmm 14:23 24:2	55:6 56:22 57:2	86:1 88:21	obs 115:4	officers 9:19 20:13
47:3 101:4	59:16,23 68:5,5	128:14,14 130:10	observation	20:19 25:8,14,17
104:16	70:12 85:1 138:3	130:13 131:2	110:12 125:6,8	25:20 26:6 31:11
Mmm-hmm 18:24		new 16:14,15	126:4	56:2 63:7,9
moment 33:25	N	56:17 63:24	observations	65:11 68:3 72:11
34:22 37:22 41:7	N 36:13 138:1	124:24 126:9	111:21 112:17	73:7 74:21 80:11
72:2,3 110:14	name 14:3 86:19	nice 15:16 17:15	123:19 124:16	80:22 81:3,6
127:5	100:10 101:5	nicest 76:13	observe 112:24	86:8,10,11,17
moments 109:13	106:21 107:20	nickname 95:4	obviously 4:11 9:8	87:12,14,15
129:19	118:23 119:12	Nicola 37:9	33:14 36:12 40:8	89:21,24 90:25
Monday 36:7	120:10 136:3,9	night 46:21 47:8	61:5 67:22 83:23	97:6 99:25
37:24 119:15	names 9:24 10:2,6	47:11 53:17	85:15 93:13 94:5	101:22 127:6,11
	10:8,9,10,13,15		104:14 105:16	
	1		<u> </u>	1

Brook House Inquiry

				1 age 130
127:13,15 130:15	30:17	22:20 29:9 30:5	70:21 73:9 74:14	100:10
134:16,20,22	outright 89:13	31:1 34:11 38:18	88:11,18 89:23	pick 16:22 68:1
136:3,3	outside 37:13	41:14 45:21	98:2 103:1,5	101:9
officials 62:9	102:22 122:22	55:21 77:8 79:23	111:6,9 125:13	picture 104:9
Oh 5:10 8:4 14:1	overall 82:6	84:8 89:7 120:1	126:13,14,21	pictures 38:20
14:16 15:10 20:1	overfriendly 11:7	134:12 136:15	127:1,5 129:1	piece 127:14
23:17,19 26:15	overheard 37:7	paragraphs 83:8	131:16,17,20,25	pillow 76:8 129:20
32:14 34:20 35:4	46:22	134:12	132:5,9 133:21	pillows 76:20
37:15 38:3 40:25	overseas 3:2,8	Pardon 83:22	133:25	place 6:13 12:14
42:9 102:12	overshadowed	102:1	people's 16:3	42:4 55:17 63:16
okay 3:13,17 5:12	65:6	part 7:18 13:8,11	20:24 111:11	116:2,16 133:5
9:7 10:14 24:3	overt 35:16	13:16 44:10	perceived 23:5	134:2 136:24
24:13,17 26:22	overtly 29:11	55:19 60:19 61:9	55:12	placing 129:20
36:2 37:19 49:5	overview 15:24	66:17 68:2,12	perception 26:8	planned 6:22
53:25 60:12		71:6 77:25 78:19	perfectly 52:21	128:15,16 135:11
65:15 75:15	P	81:12 88:25	performance	play 61:9 81:16
77:20 78:21 81:9	pack 64:22	92:14 95:14	28:21	88:15
82:2 96:8 98:11	page 51:20 76:1	107:19 108:14	period 2:20 44:4	played 33:13 72:1
103:10 114:6	77:8 79:2,9,20	particular 6:7	63:13 68:21,25	playing 36:23
old 105:21	81:11,15 82:18	7:19 27:17 76:15	83:12 118:14	42:12
older 41:25	84:4,4,7 87:20	88:10 113:10	120:7	plays 37:16
once 6:17 8:21	88:25 90:21 91:6	114:19	periods 9:8,9	plead 133:16
9:12 62:21 85:2	91:16 95:2 96:13	particularly 10:7	44:15 83:9,13	pleas 75:17
85:16,16,16,17	96:23 97:17,18	89:8	permanent 71:21	please 58:3 75:25
85:18,19,20 86:2	107:15 108:24	partly 36:19	persist 127:9	76:1 79:3,20
110:21	109:8 110:12	partway 28:5	person 17:8 18:8	81:12 82:18,19
one-off 52:14	111:19,19,22	Paschali 113:13	22:20 23:24,25	84:7 88:24 90:21
94:16	112:12 117:11,11	pass 78:3	31:3 42:10 45:25	91:5,13,16 95:1,2
onwards 10:25	118:16 119:14,14	passed 108:19	61:16 74:22 86:7	96:23 97:17
open 4:23 27:5	119:24 121:1	110:21	86:25 87:1 88:5	104:13 105:2,9
115:24,25,25	122:15	paths 43:4	89:5 90:1,9	106:22 107:7
116:3 135:7,10	paid 17:19	patient 27:2	105:22,23 108:20	108:24 111:18
opened 27:8	pain 72:19,20,23	Pause 39:19	person's 24:13	120:22
105:21	73:8,12,13,15,22	paused 120:16	personal 20:20	pm 105:12,14
operated 28:12	105:21	pay 126:23	personally 23:9	106:13,14,16,18
operation 61:24	panel 116:4,9,10	people 4:7,11,15	persons 64:5 90:2	137:15,21
Operations 117:8	Panorama 6:19	6:23,25 7:1,2,8	perspective 39:7	point 3:11,13
opinion 31:5 57:12	16:25 17:4 30:12	7:10,24 8:10	persuading 117:20	11:12 12:24 32:3
opportunity 60:25	42:3 55:6,13,14	14:22 15:13	Petherick 80:6	33:2 37:18,20
61:5 62:22 70:16	56:3 68:22,22	16:10,17 17:10	phase 64:13	43:25 45:23 52:5
105:16,17	73:23,24 74:21	21:6 22:8,15,23	phones 9:22 13:25	62:17 74:5 78:12
order 126:16,23	74:24 75:7 100:2	22:25 23:3,3,5,6	phrase 37:5 38:2	85:15 87:22
original 79:4	100:16,22 101:10	23:13 24:7,15	48:24 49:15 68:4	112:3 119:10
109:8	101:13,14,19,20	27:1,1 28:4	75:2,3 92:14	120:16 125:20,24
Oscar 72:14	101:21,22 102:18	32:18 35:2 36:11	physical 5:2 63:21	125:25 130:9,21
outcome 34:7 35:9	104:3,5,12,15	40:10 41:15 42:4	109:19 133:6,9	police 86:13
35:13 36:24 44:2	121:4	54:20 57:5,6,11	physicality 131:12	119:23 137:5
55:9,10,11,18	pans 103:2,13	58:1,2,9 59:2	physically 18:10	polite 43:5
outcomes 30:6,11	paragraph 1:24	61:8 64:20 67:23	41:22 98:14	poor 27:13 82:25
	6:8 16:21 22:3			
	-	-	-	-

	ĺ	1	ĺ	1
poorer 16:11	115:18 116:19	programme 121:4	pyramid 62:8	34:21,24,25
portrayed 46:18	prevention 87:22	progress 68:4		35:12,20,24
position 32:6 71:1	previous 3:15 79:2	promoted 72:5	Q	36:10 38:9 43:19
107:2 109:18	91:22 112:22	promotion 68:7,9	quality 6:9 27:13	50:11 67:10,12
positions 57:11	116:25 131:10	68:9 98:1	56:8	71:15 89:8,14
70:14	135:1	proper 132:23	question 39:23	90:5
positive 53:4	previously 61:24	properly 67:14	46:4 56:11 58:11	racists 42:5
possessions 112:8	69:21	81:8 133:5	66:16 67:1,9	radio 98:22,24
113:4	prick 47:18 121:9	proportion 40:10	93:21 102:24	raise 20:24 56:9
possible 112:9	124:4 127:20	prospects 111:13	104:17,20 128:21	raised 18:21 19:20
Possibly 25:3	130:6	protect 32:22 40:7	132:13 133:11,24	31:21 33:8 35:22
post 12:5	prick' 120:20	protected 28:15	136:19 137:4	42:15 43:7,7
posted 3:11	prior 80:14 100:1	32:10,11	questions 12:16	44:16 69:19,20
potentially 15:12	126:21	protection 17:22	56:21,25 57:1,24	75:24 80:1,13
15:17 55:12	prison 5:6,8,10,21	protest 108:16	59:15 60:23	99:8,9
108:12	23:20 24:5,11	protesting 122:18	106:4,5,24	raising 80:23
Povey 117:14	64:15	prove 66:2	125:16 137:10	ramifications 58:5
126:6	prison-like 62:9	provided 1:18	138:7	random 8:20 9:13
powers 24:10	prisoners 64:15	35:22	quickly 135:3	ranks 32:21
pray 126:8	privacy 58:14	provoke 89:10	quiet 67:18	rapidly 115:11
precedent 53:8	privy 17:7 41:13	provoked 98:20	quieter 4:18,21	rarely 13:18
predominant	probabilities	pry 110:20	quite 11:6 12:21	reached 55:18
12:24	129:13	PSU 89:6 129:12	16:15 18:22	reacted 31:17
preferred 17:13	probably 9:5	134:14,15 135:18	21:23 26:13 28:9	89:20
premises 84:25	11:11 76:25	136:2,14,15,18	28:15 30:12,15	reaction 40:23
85:6,8	85:18,20 92:25	pub 11:9	32:10,11 43:4	41:23 89:10
prepare 6:3,5,6	100:17 103:21,21	pull 15:11 42:11	45:20 52:11,12	read 88:2 89:3
133:3	104:24 111:14	107:6 116:19	52:21,22,23	90:10 95:15
prepared 8:13	121:21	129:23	54:20 56:1	112:18 117:24
136:20	probation 8:1,5	pumped 135:4,6	118:20	reading 87:9
presence 31:16	problem 12:4,8	punch 122:10,12	quote 31:16	ready 61:18
35:3	23:9 27:19 53:6	purpose 45:1	quotes 102:25	real 17:21 92:22
present 29:12	78:6	pursue 21:24	quoting 64:2	92:22 128:17
32:13 50:22	problems 7:21,24	pushing 122:6		132:21
121:20 124:15	8:11 15:17 27:17	123:7	<u>R</u>	real-life 109:17
134:4	28:24 134:7	put 6:18 19:7	race 29:21 33:13	realise 3:5 113:8
pressed 121:16	proceedings 42:19	37:24 43:3 47:1	33:14 36:23 37:5	129:12
pressing 121:12	55:8	50:1 51:11 61:2	37:16,21 38:1,11	realised 3:13
pressure 64:11	process 3:24 27:3	66:11 67:13	55:19 68:13	114:21 123:17
74:2 110:4,7	30:6 56:13 63:19	77:24 79:17 92:7	71:24 72:1 100:5	reality 129:19
116:16	86:5 130:12	93:13 96:24 98:5	racial 95:18	really 4:10 7:2
pressured 33:1,2	professional 11:8	98:20 99:1	racially 72:17	12:3,9 13:22
pressures 57:7	20:20 129:7	100:13 105:18	100:11	14:5,24 16:10
Presumably	134:10	107:11 109:3,17	racism 29:7,12,17	21:25 27:15,16
116:14	professionalism	111:18,20 114:21	30:20 31:5 35:17	28:17,18 41:8,19
presume 72:17	81:16	121:25 123:17	42:7 55:23 65:4	47:8 56:19 57:15
pretend 13:4	profile 38:21	134:24	65:6,9,15 66:18	57:17,21 65:13
pretty 56:1	profit 64:1,4,23,24	putting 5:7 11:13	70:24 71:2,10	67:20 105:4,6
prevent 8:18	65:1 75:16	19:10 112:4	racist 29:10 32:5	112:21 113:8,13
			32:14,15 34:20	
	1	1	ı	1

				Page 152
116.11 126.17	112.12 110.4	120.4 11 11	washad 7.1	60.10 71.22 74.2
116:11 126:17 128:6	112:13 118:4	129:4,11,11	respected 7:1	69:10 71:22 74:3
	refusing 71:22	130:22 133:16	respond 96:1	74:7 83:17 84:15
reason 10:7 16:12	regard 70:8,9	135:5,7,8,9,12,16	responded 26:6	86:18 88:14,17
37:23 39:2 78:9	regarded 129:2	135:18 136:7,10	48:16 91:19	95:7 109:6
88:10 101:15	regarding 77:21	136:11,12,13,18	98:15	110:15 111:3
113:7 131:4	84:6	remembered	responding 17:25	112:23 116:6,7
136:20	regardless 66:21	32:19	response 17:23	117:12 121:9
recall 15:22 57:15	67:5	removal 40:9	34:16 48:20	122:25 123:6,7
81:22 83:16 96:5	regards 43:15 44:7	128:16 135:11	49:12 69:23 81:4	128:7 137:6
107:20 114:15	44:22 80:12	remove 76:8 108:6	82:5 88:22 93:18	rights 63:6
128:11	130:23	108:10,11 129:22	94:2,23 115:9	Ring 112:2,9
recalled 34:13	regretted 136:17	removed 80:17	responsibilities	113:11
107:19 119:7	regularly 89:9	112:6	66:7	riot 135:8
recalling 34:17	90:7 94:16 95:25	repeat 97:3	responsible 80:5	ripped 107:21
receive 76:14	133:20	repeatedly 115:18	rest 2:9,21 98:5	rise 39:14 105:10
133:2	relate 65:12	repeating 2:13	restraint 6:21	137:16
received 42:20	124:16 131:20,21	rephrase 41:21	25:12 26:1 72:9	risk 80:4
recognise 111:23	related 55:19 88:6	104:13	72:13 73:8 108:1	Rob 1:9
recollection 9:4	100:5	replies 79:10	108:23 109:12	Roffey 28:1 29:1,2
54:16 93:23	relation 16:3 84:1	replying 95:17	125:5 129:24	33:20 44:24
reconvened 99:16	relationship 42:25	report 6:12,14	restraints 129:22	role 3:19 6:3 12:20
record 11:2 33:9	relationships	10:17 20:13	result 82:2 108:13	18:22 24:9 28:10
43:8 49:10 51:1	20:17,20 24:24	26:14 33:21 42:6	112:10	28:11 29:25
54:2 91:17 99:17	29:8	85:4 91:15,16,17	resulted 55:3 59:7	63:19 71:21,25
99:17 107:22	relevant 2:19	92:2 93:13 94:7	99:20	72:1
111:24 137:3	relied 60:21	129:8 136:15	results 122:4	roles 29:25 66:6
recorded 36:9,13	relieved 30:13	reported 51:20	retake 108:18	room 17:11,13
recordings 15:20	remained 43:4	79:24 80:10 83:3	retaliation 19:12	36:20 50:24 62:2
records 15:21,23	remark 34:25	91:18	19:15	62:14,19,22 66:2
16:6	remember 7:14,18	reporting 38:25	retract 35:5	67:16,17,19,24
recruit 64:20	8:22 9:12 10:17	41:5	return 59:21 83:11	76:8,10,18,19,19
recruiting 64:19	10:19 25:20	reports 8:16 10:16	105:7 106:14	76:25 78:15
recruitment 63:19	32:18 37:13 46:7	10:21 11:2 80:1	returned 42:23	84:18 99:2
69:22	47:1 48:25 49:3	81:7	80:17	108:10 113:10
refer 38:18 41:15	52:11 65:19,22	represent 57:22	reviews 28:21	114:10,13 116:5
45:21 71:19 89:1	71:5,12 73:10	representation	right 2:6,21 3:3	116:7 117:9
reference 1:19	76:16,17 77:4,16	22:13 29:19	4:24 8:7 12:22	120:12 121:8
19:1 87:20	78:14 81:24 82:1	35:18 57:13,18	16:9,25 19:12,18	122:20 132:14
118:11 134:12	82:15 83:3,4,6,7	request 26:24	22:24 25:18 26:9	rooms 112:15
referral 107:23	83:12,24 85:14	requesting 75:20	26:25 29:12,13	rough 24:8
109:9	86:17,19,21,24	requests 19:5 69:9	29:16 30:8 31:8	roughly 34:10
referred 53:16	87:16,16 89:14	resembles 5:7	31:19 33:16,22	routinely 84:23
58:5	91:24 92:25	resentment 19:17	42:13,21 43:1,12	85:21
referring 27:21	93:25 94:1 99:4	19:20	43:23 46:23	rubbish 22:13
47:5 58:2 96:10	99:7 100:10,15	resident 52:20	49:12 51:22	rude 22:23 27:22
114:4 120:11	100:25 101:5,5,8	resolution 37:1	53:17,21 56:4,9	28:8 43:17,18
reflect 3:21 61:5	106:25 107:3,17	resolve 33:19	56:16 61:3 62:5	rumours 9:18
reflection 3:19	116:11 121:3	resolved 39:13	62:15 63:3,15,21	24:22,23 31:2,10
refused 22:21	123:15,23 129:1	respect 103:1,5	64:6 65:12 68:10	101:20 102:17,21
	120.10,20 127.1		0 05.12 00.10	101.20 102.17,21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 153
run 54:14 56:18	110.7 19 124.1	33:21 44:25	sellout 91:20 94:15	120:23
running 63:1,7	119:7,18 124:1 125:9 126:21	91:14 92:1 94:6	97:5	shortage 64:10
128:6	127:4,6 128:2,6	109:25 111:9	senior 11:21 13:7	shortages 74:2
120.0	128:22 129:15	see 13:22,24,25	13:9 14:13 18:18	shortages 74.2 shoulder 58:15
S	130:3,15,21	22:6 23:9 25:22	18:20 20:17 21:1	shouted 76:11
sacked 86:23	130:3,13,21	27:15,19 30:11	21:3 27:13,18	shouting 46:23
104:2 105:21	says 38:10 47:16	40:13 41:1,10,19	29:4,12,16,18	48:8 77:4 96:25
safe 64:5 128:16	76:3 77:8 79:23	41:21,22 43:18	57:17 70:23 71:3	97:2
sanctions 55:22	80:6,8 82:8,23	43:19 55:23	78:1,2	show 6:19 30:12
Sanders 1:12	83:2 84:8 89:5,6	58:16 66:17	sense 58:11 104:10	56:3 81:8 88:11
106:19,21,23,24	90:10,23,24 91:8	67:10 74:1,12,23	sensed 19:17	showed 114:3
107:6,16 110:19	95:19,25 96:13	77:18 79:3,14	sent 32:1,24 79:4	showing 40:22
111:4,16 113:22	97:1,4,11,23	82:21 83:19	80:15,16	showing 40.22 shown 6:10 17:4
115:4,10,20	114:2,3 117:20	87:25 89:2 91:15	sentence 60:22	62:7 104:15
117:11 118:17	121:17,20 122:4	92:11 99:3 104:9	118:22	shows 113:10
119:11,16 120:3	123:14 124:17,20	104:12,21 107:12	sentences 89:6	114:10 121:11,15
120:10,22 121:1	scenario 109:13	107:23 108:25	separate 20:19	Shut 48:21
124:13 125:19	scenarios 109:17	107:23 100:23	September 2:6	sick 45:11,12
128:21 129:10	sceptical 19:9	114:11,12 117:9	53:21 55:4	sickness 80:23
131:22 136:20	Scott 106:19,23	118:18,22,23	Serco 56:18	side 5:19 25:9
137:10,13,20	138:13	119:8,16,25	serious 132:21	116:13,15 121:12
138:13	screaming 46:10	122:24 125:23	seriously 26:7	121:16
Sanders' 137:3	46:14,17 50:14	seeing 24:12 39:5	132:16,20	sign 5:13
Sara 44:24	screen 2:3 34:8	74:21 90:6 94:19	served 5:11,16	signed 81:9
sarcastic 89:9,20	75:25 79:17 84:3	105:24 123:23	serves 114:13	significant 55:8
90:7	87:18 88:24	127:6	set 24:19 53:8	83:9,12
sat 112:24 122:21	90:20 91:5,13	seekers 129:2	122:21	significantly 37:10
122:25	92:5 95:1 99:19	seeking 124:4,10	setting 34:7	similar 35:21
Saunders 68:18	107:11,12 109:1	128:4 130:6,18	seven 76:22 90:25	70:12 91:8
76:2 119:18	111:23 118:17	132:17	severe 30:7 52:10	120:20 126:23
save 129:9	127:18	seen 18:9 23:6	sexism 29:8 40:17	simply 77:14
saw 5:22 13:18,18	screens 58:14	30:12 31:25 38:7	shaken 51:15	136:12,13
13:25 16:25	scroll 109:2	39:3 40:2,5 45:6	shakes 99:12	single 84:11
27:15 38:24	111:22	54:18 55:13 56:1	Shayne 1:5,6 68:5	sir 33:21 109:7
39:24 41:3 89:22	search 9:3,13	57:4 67:3 71:13	70:12 85:1 138:3	SIRs 10:16 11:1,13
121:4 127:17	76:18,19,19,25	84:18 90:23	she'd 45:14	sit 116:1,23
saying 2:15 14:25	84:11,19	104:14 112:5	sheets 15:23	sitting 1:13 45:10
20:12 25:17	searched 9:13,14	121:2 123:19	shield 135:8,11,19	67:16,17 104:1
31:17 32:15,24	84:23 85:2,2,5,6	129:7	136:1	121:7 122:22
33:11 36:9,10	85:15	selected 14:15	shift 8:25 92:18	123:21
48:16 49:12 66:5	searches 8:20 12:6	72:10,13	shit 22:23 23:14,19	situation 26:9,18
67:24 69:4,13	78:15	self-harm 26:3,20	23:24,25 35:4,14	31:19 92:16,23
72:21,24 74:21	seat 64:5	27:5,7,10 112:4	48:17	103:23
78:12 79:6,10	sec 128:21	132:15,18	shock 63:5,6	situations 7:9,9,11
80:25 81:1 85:4	second 34:11 44:4	self-harmed	shocked 16:25	19:8 25:13 28:17
89:14,19 91:2,10	63:23 64:2 84:7	112:10 113:14	66:13	59:2,4,6
91:12,22 92:15	100:18,23 110:12	self-harming 26:2	shop 75:6	six 31:16 67:17
93:14,18 94:2	security 8:16	26:3 120:19	short 39:12 59:25	76:22 91:9
95:18 97:24 99:9	10:16,21 11:1,4	sell 4:8,8	105:13 106:17	skills 59:4
111:8 112:25				

4 March 2022

				Page 154
Skitt 53:25 55:4	7:5 12:25 14:5,9	spice 9:23 61:12	87:1 91:3,9 93:1	statements 60:8,19
76:2 87:19 99:14	15:2,6 20:11,23	84:10,17 85:3,23	132:16 133:12,22	60:20 78:18
Skitt's 78:22	25:4,5,8 26:11	87:6,11	133:22 134:4	93:11
slammed 120:4	28:9,12 30:3,19	split 42:24 82:3	136:25	statutory 133:20
slamming 123:4	34:15 37:4 40:12	splitting 77:10	staffing 40:14	stayed 62:11 63:14
slap 31:18 33:25	42:14,19 51:9	spoke 9:20 23:7	stage 61:18	115:24
slave 91:21	52:12,13,16	24:7,8 64:18	stand 34:4 124:5	staying 89:17
slight 39:10	63:13 66:17	74:11 108:2	125:25 130:7	Stephen 82:20
slightly 57:23	69:12,13 71:18	128:13 132:25	Standards 129:8	stepped 51:17
106:13 132:12	85:12 88:18 90:2	134:15 136:21	134:10	103:22,25,25
slip 35:3	94:5,15 109:24	spoken 81:22,24	standing 37:13	stepping 3:2
SM 13:7	110:18,21 111:5	88:21 106:9	start 1:9 23:20	stereotype 42:12
smacked 119:18	124:25 125:3	112:14 126:5	67:19 111:19	stereotyping 31:6
small 36:7 37:24	126:23 127:16	spontaneous 6:24	137:17	50:12
105:7	128:17 131:2	7:7	started 17:16	Steve 28:6,25
smaller 116:10	132:2 134:6	square 121:11	18:22 46:23	53:25 55:4 76:2
smart 9:22	sound 77:15	122:9	47:14 62:14,21	78:22 80:11,16
smashed 135:19	sounds 110:15	squeeze 121:15	63:1 68:1 69:3,5	84:12 87:19
135:22 136:1	sour 19:10	123:11 136:6	71:21 107:3,9	99:14
smoking 37:14	sources 56:15	squirming 136:5	119:10 126:17	Stewart 117:14
66:9	south 31:8	Stacie 76:2 77:8	stated 10:24	stick 35:7 88:16
smuggled 8:18	space 65:24,25	78:5,6,20 79:5,11	statement 1:18,24	sticks 56:4
smuggling 8:16	66:3,10 91:2	79:22,23 80:25	2:1 3:1,17 4:17	stint 112:16
snake 91:19 94:14	Spark 31:14 32:10	84:5 86:19 87:4	5:1,9 6:8 7:6	Stokes 120:3
snapped 47:22	32:22 33:11,15	staff 4:21 5:22	8:17 9:17 10:14	121:20 122:4,8
sobbing 37:15	33:20 34:14	8:17 9:3,18,21,25	11:1,6,18 12:17	stone 3:2
social 11:9	36:23 38:8 42:15	10:22 12:6 13:16	12:25 13:10 16:8	stood 62:8 70:5
socialise 68:14	44:17	13:16,19,21	16:22 17:5 19:14	stop 11:13 42:11
78:25	sparked 53:4	14:13 15:5,15,20	20:1,16 21:8	42:12 48:8
socialising 68:14	Sparks 56:5,6	16:4,9,13 17:11	22:2,19 23:1	113:22 116:16
socially 15:8	speak 2:15 6:25	17:12 18:1,4,5,9	24:3 26:5 30:5	117:23 120:17
sold 4:13 64:21	7:1 11:11 36:11	19:8 20:25 21:9	30:19 33:18	123:8 129:20
somebody 27:5	51:23 66:14,24	22:9 23:2,14	35:10 38:8,18,22	136:5
45:4 50:15 62:24	70:25 89:23,24	24:4,22,24 25:1	40:18 41:15,24	stopped 34:2
115:12 121:18	90:15 117:14	26:12 29:8,11	42:2 45:21 47:2	stories 126:18
136:7	speaking 48:16	30:6,7 31:2	48:4 49:5,17	127:7,15 134:17
someone's 77:4	102:22 127:14	32:10,11,12,21	50:1,19 51:15	story 51:11 92:24
85:4	specific 9:19 11:17	36:14,16,19 37:7	52:1 53:3,10	92:24 125:1,6,21
son 91:23 93:14	23:16,21 26:12	37:13 40:1,3,17	55:5 56:7,12	125:23 126:1,16
soon 18:22 54:20	27:23 42:6,17	41:11 46:8 56:15	60:24 61:20	127:1,2,9 131:5
67:18	57:24 69:12	56:17,19 57:7,10	63:18,23 64:2	straight 63:1
sorry 8:4,4 39:20	73:19 90:1	59:3,6 64:10	65:5 66:20 68:17	86:13
41:21 54:9 73:16	specifically 52:16	65:21 66:23 67:3	70:11 72:16	straw 93:15
75:14 93:21	specify 65:13	67:4,4 69:9,14,18	74:20 75:9 77:23	stream 39:11
96:11 101:8	speculate 4:3	70:2,19 71:11,14	83:15 84:14,22	stressful 109:16
102:13,13,23 103:11 105:20	speculative 51:7 speech 130:1	73:14,22 74:2,3 75:4,17 76:9	86:3 87:12 88:3 89:1 93:6,11,15	string 100:20 struggle 61:15
105:11 105:20	speech 130:1 spelling 119:12	77:9,13 80:1,5,7	95:6 96:5 98:22	108:8 114:24
sort 2:13 4:4,13	spent 76:6	80:22,23 84:11	102:25 119:23	struggled 64:17,18
3011 2.13 7.7,13	spent /0.0	00.22,23 04.11	102.23 117.23	struggicu 07.17,10
		I	I	l

				Page 155
110:4	52.0 65.15	110.15 110.24 24	131:9 135:20,23	137:19
	52:8 65:15 102:17 104:17	118:15 119:24,24 120:25 122:14	135:24	Thanks 79:11
struggles 110:23 111:1,3	sure 37:17 58:20	120.23 122.14	Tascor 3:8	137:20
· ·	74:16 86:24	table 119:20	task 64:4	
struggling 69:8 103:24 132:1	99:11 102:6	120:14,15 122:25		theory 63:20
			tasks 14:15	they'd 14:23 34:17
Stuart 126:6 stuck 91:11	113:25 119:13 120:13 121:4	123:21	tattoos 86:20	64:13,19 69:22 108:21
	120:13 121:4	tabs 60:9 tactics 78:1	taunting 91:12	
stuff 2:1,14 15:23 17:4 58:13	125:18,19 126:3	take 7:20 39:12,18	teach 108:6,9 133:6,6,7,9	thing 4:9,13 6:4 14:25 15:6 52:8
131:18 132:7	134:3 135:14,15	41:7,25 48:8	team 11:4 13:8	52:13 79:12 90:2
133:7,14 136:4	surprise 74:23	59:21 60:21	21:1 27:18 70:18	92:22 96:12,23
stupid 89:11	83:19 87:25	61:10 80:2 96:22	70:23 71:3 72:4	100:16 112:21
136:17	133:21 134:7	103:4,8,9,11,12	72:10,22 73:2	113:7 125:20
subject 42:18	surprised 77:18	103:4,8,9,11,12	110:11	130:20 132:2
subject 42.18 subjected 83:20	surprised 77.18 surprising 29:24	103.22 103.2,7	teams 72:9,13	things 3:17 4:2,17
subjected 83.20 submit 33:20	34:18	117:10 118:12	110:10	5:1,4,25 6:1 7:19
submitted 45:14	surrounded 90:25	125:24,25 129:6	Teamwork 110:2	9:17,19,21 10:14
45:14	suspect 90:22	137:19	technical 39:10	11:4,5 14:9,11,17
subsequent 31:25	132:1	taken 100:5 108:7	techniques 129:24	14:23 15:7 16:8
substances 86:6,9	suspended 44:17	114:11 119:6,21	tell 14:20 47:17	16:21 17:6,20
87:13 88:4	44:21 45:13,15	126:7 132:20,21	55:16 58:7 74:17	18:25 21:23 22:2
substantiated	45:17 53:12 54:4	137:5	82:22 89:21	24:3,17,23 25:11
82:16 83:2	95:17 96:9,17	talk 7:6 8:17 11:9	107:8 108:5	25:17 30:4,25
suck 49:11,15	101:25 102:2,4,6	13:20 14:5 15:14	117:12 123:16	35:2,18 36:9,15
sucked 104:8	101:23 102:2,4,0	20:16 22:2 24:15	131:10 134:5	36:21 40:6,18
sufficient 22:9	102:7,10,11,12	26:17 27:20 34:5	136:11	42:2,4 50:5,5,9
suggest 79:15	suspension 44:4	42:13 45:20 59:1	telling 36:11	51:10 54:17 55:5
136:22	44:15	72:8 73:11 74:5	124:14 125:7	60:24 63:18
suggested 33:14	swapped 62:23	74:9 78:18	135:2,18 136:7	65:18,18 67:24
43:22 120:4	swapping 127:7,15	116:23,23 128:3	136:18	68:1,19 69:6
122:8	134:17	131:17	ten 65:24,25 66:3	70:11 71:3,7,25
suggesting 78:9,10		talked 7:19 14:13	91:1 115:7	72:2,8 74:20
92:11	swearing 42:19	20:15 21:13	tended 29:4	75:9 84:22 86:3
suggestion 48:24	97:16 100:24	22:14 25:11	term 49:20,22 50:2	89:24 90:15
90:4 99:1	swing 124:7	28:11 34:15	50:6,6,8 75:5	91:10 94:11,15
suggests 130:15	swingers 40:22	35:16 36:21	terms 2:25 14:22	94:19 96:12
suicidal 113:6,15	swinging 119:4	55:22 57:10 69:7	29:16 53:9 57:6	104:9,22,23,24
suicide 112:4	121:21	talking 6:4 13:1	57:11 91:8	105:5,24 109:10
118:3 127:24	swore 100:11	15:15,19 33:22	109:22	110:8 111:7
summarise 95:16	SXP000120	40:24 41:8 46:20	thank 1:3,8,15	118:12,13 127:7
98:5 112:2	119:23	46:24 48:12 50:9	19:3 39:22 57:2	128:2 129:25
summarising	sympathy 124:12	55:21 65:15	57:2,23 58:25	131:19 134:10,13
82:24	128:7,23	67:19,20 68:11	59:15,17,20,23	136:4
supervised 111:25	system 75:17	69:12 75:18 84:5	59:23 60:17	think 1:9 3:1,14
supplying 84:10	88:15	85:16 87:2 88:3	61:21 79:19	4:6,7,12 5:2,4,17
support 51:17		113:23 114:1,5	105:11 106:3,10	5:18,20 6:16 7:7
72:20 73:12	T	117:5 119:9	106:15 107:7,14	7:10,10 10:20
supportive 94:23	tab 1:19 60:14,14	122:17 123:1,18	118:24 137:8,9	11:5 13:10,21
suppose 5:19 25:4	60:15 79:18	126:21 127:5	137:12,13,13,19	14:14 15:2 17:2
	107:13 111:18			
	1	1	1	1

				Page 156
	1	1	l	1
18:1,9,17,23	68:18 98:2	Tinsley 4:18,19,21	127:17 129:25	122:15 123:14,18
19:20 20:11,14	111:13 126:22	45:3,9,10 135:19	134:25	124:14 134:17
20:23 21:4,5,7,8	130:12 132:17	135:20,22	transcripts 37:23	turn 2:1 19:2 40:6
22:14,16 24:21	thoughts 12:10,10	today 1:11,13 5:13	120:23,23 124:14	45:22 60:10 76:7
24:25,25 26:4,13	17:3 104:20	45:7 104:2 106:7	132:13 134:25	82:18 97:17
28:11,14 29:4	threat 45:8	106:9 137:12	transfer 61:17	121:21
30:19 31:22	threaten 26:20	told 4:1 8:19 9:20	trauma 50:4	turning 40:12,17
33:15,18 34:10	threatened 26:21	10:15,24 31:1,11	traumatic 50:4,5	turnover 69:9
35:1,4,22 36:24	27:5,7 45:4,5	33:11 36:22	treat 22:25 23:6,19	turns 113:13
37:10 38:21	threatening 26:3	44:21 45:8,12	67:7,8 103:1,5	twice 6:17
39:16,21 40:12	26:25	47:4,7,20 48:8	treated 26:19	twisted 92:14,19
41:6 42:18 43:21	three 1:11 60:8	52:1,2 53:12	66:20 67:4 70:19	119:20
44:19 49:3,5	63:6,9 65:21	54:4 57:6 58:1	treating 16:4 23:2	twisting 120:18
52:1 53:19,22,24	66:8 75:3 82:12	61:11,19 77:9	23:3,5,14,20 67:6	two 4:2 9:9 22:10
55:6,10,13,16,18	83:20 116:18	83:23 91:17	treatment 18:2	34:10 39:17
55:20 56:13,17	117:11	95:19,20 101:12	76:5	44:15 57:16,23
57:16 59:21 61:6	throat 136:6	101:17 108:16,20	treats 22:23 23:24	63:7,9 65:24
61:8 62:11,14,23	throw 5:15	110:15 112:9,24	tried 34:1 112:3	66:1,2,10 75:3
64:23 66:23 67:6	thrown 37:21	113:2,4,5 118:3	114:21 117:20	76:15 80:1 83:8
67:14 68:22,24	107:24 108:9,9	118:13,19 123:8	129:23	86:17 87:15
69:21 70:23 71:7	thumb 123:8,10,12	134:14,18,21	TRN0000096	101:2,21 112:2
71:25 72:11	till 63:16 81:6	135:6 136:2	120:25	115:16 120:23
73:11,16,19 74:5	time 2:2,9,10,21	Tomsett 22:22	TRN0000097	123:17,17 124:18
74:6 75:11 77:7	5:11,16 6:14	tone 19:17 43:21	122:14	127:11
77:12 85:21	8:24 9:8,9 10:20	tonight 25:18	TRN0000099 95:1	type 6:4 17:7 18:8
86:23 87:18 88:5	19:8 21:17,18,19	top 62:9 70:14	trouble 107:5	30:1 57:18 94:23
88:10 91:7,18	33:20 34:6 35:3	79:3,9 81:15	true 31:4 90:6,8,9	109:25
92:5 94:9,22,22	35:4 37:4 38:21	84:7 108:3	90:14,14 125:10	typed 45:9
95:20 96:5 98:18	50:23 51:18	109:12 112:12	trust 77:13	typescript 118:20
101:6,14,15,20	52:24 60:11	topic 132:13	truth 92:22 124:20	typical 50:16
102:12 104:11	62:12 63:13	torn 126:10	129:15	typing 2:14
106:14 107:5,16	64:18 67:1 72:3	total 70:5	try 10:20 51:22	
110:5,6,22 111:2	76:13 80:1 83:24	totally 81:5 133:22	116:24 125:12	U
112:18 113:9,9	85:1,11,24 86:22	touch 92:21	126:12 131:4,5,8	udder 40:22
114:23 115:12	87:8,9,23 90:6	toxic 131:11,14	134:19	ultimately 55:3
117:11 122:1	92:1 94:3 100:4	traffic 109:22	trying 14:7 26:8	90:19 99:19
130:12,19 131:25	101:1 102:3	trained 64:12	33:19 54:9 73:16	unable 19:16
132:23 134:9	105:1 110:16	trainer 107:17,17	88:17 89:10 91:9	107:20
137:15	113:11,21 114:11	111:10	103:15 112:15	unaware 133:22
thinking 14:7	114:15 116:4	training 3:7,18,23	114:18 116:13,23	uncomfortable
64:21 65:13 66:8	118:14 125:3	4:11,16 6:1,2,7	118:8 121:10	105:24
85:13 94:20	127:5	7:12,13,18,23	123:6 124:8,21	undercover
105:25 123:23	time's 124:3	8:19 107:4,9,22	124:25 131:9,11	118:19
130:13	times 4:20 9:20	108:5,21,23	131:14,15 132:4	underlying 113:7
third 43:6 79:23	10:17 25:12,17	109:11 132:25	132:10	understand 14:24
82:21	26:13 34:3 41:18	133:2	Tulley 95:3,16	22:15 113:15,17
thought 3:2,9 4:13	41:19 49:16	transcribers 2:14	96:13 114:9	115:20 125:13,20
11:3 16:23 18:13	58:19 129:7	transcript 15:20	118:12,18 119:7	126:15 131:7
24:4 27:9 47:5	130:5 131:8	79:21 122:14	119:22 121:17	132:2 133:24
				understanding
	l		l	<u> </u>

15:2	views 51:8 127:24	45:15,15 46:17	17:19 37:11	18:5 22:19 38:8
understood 1:15	129:16	46:24 47:20	44:19 45:11	59:5,19 71:2
24:9 49:21 70:24	vindictive 77:25	49:19 50:22,24	47:11 68:24 98:9	88:25 99:12
undertake 80:15	violent 15:25	51:5 53:3 57:17	98:10,13 115:18	102:25 104:17
undertake 80.13	visit 126:7	57:22 58:13,14	115:22 120:12,14	106:12 137:14
uneasy 33:15	visits 12:5 133:21	58:16 59:9 63:23	120:18 121:13,25	witnessed 10:13
unfairly 108:17	134:1	68:21 89:19 90:1	120.18 121.13,23	18:6 50:21 51:9
· ·	_		weren't 3:19 6:20	
unfortunately 53:7 87:17	voice 98:9,9,13	98:13 100:16 101:10 102:20		58:17 59:8 91:8
	voluntary 8:6		11:20 16:17 17:7	104:24
uniform 56:20	vulnerable 128:3	108:9 112:9	21:21 27:7 29:3	witnesses 1:11
Unit 129:8 134:10	\mathbf{W}	113:3,4 125:5,10	43:24 64:12	6:23 13:20 15:19
unlocked 47:12	wait 26:22 27:2	128:1	67:12,22 69:6	34:12 57:3
115:21,23	66:8 94:20	waste 51:3 91:2	95:14 96:7	woman 31:7
unnecessary		watch 104:3	101:13 102:18	women 57:13
125:21,22	waiting 67:23 walk 67:18	watched 104:5	110:10 118:6	wonder 37:25
untrue 84:14		watching 73:23,24	123:21 126:13	wondering 38:1
unwilling 10:7	walked 4:14 8:25	120:13 122:22	132:10	word 34:14,15
109:14	40:25 66:5 120:9	waved 48:5 99:4	whilst 9:14 42:7	36:13 60:22
upheld 82:11,15	want 2:2 8:15	waving 99:11	64:4 71:7 77:11	88:14 116:25
83:21	12:16 17:8,17	way 7:5 25:4 26:19	78:6 85:7 120:3	118:21
uphold 42:16	29:7 34:4 42:5	27:8 34:3 43:23	120:8	words 37:14 43:15
upset 51:16	44:13 47:23	58:6 67:13 70:18	white 30:7 37:25	88:17 116:18
upstairs 50:24	50:15 57:20	74:15 82:21	99:25 100:14,24	119:16 128:23
urge 122:10	75:23 79:17 84:1	94:19 98:23	widely 15:9 131:11	129:2,13 130:16
use 6:7,12,14,21	88:23 89:18	100:5 103:2,13	wider 28:12 44:5	132:20 135:5
7:2,12 17:12	90:13,18 92:15	103:16 105:20	Williams 13:13	work 3:3,9 4:19
25:12 26:1 30:14	99:3 103:3,13	106:25 112:10	83:3	8:5,6 9:10 16:18
37:4 38:1 40:20	105:6 106:2	118:25 121:18	willing 22:21	17:18,19 33:3
49:4,13 50:2,6	109:10 110:19	122:8 126:3	willingness 20:24	42:6,23 43:2
60:25 73:7 88:14	111:10,17,17	127:25 136:21	wing 16:11,18 34:2	44:19 61:15,17
93:7 107:22	115:2 118:10,11	ways 124:18	43:3,3,4 46:24	61:19 74:2,7
109:8 127:15	136:11	we're 42:10 64:13	52:20,20 63:2,7	83:11 102:18,22
useful 108:11	wanted 16:19 31:3	64:19 69:12,22	76:14 86:11 87:1	103:16,16 131:16
uses 7:7	52:8 96:18	we've 47:12 56:1	87:15 98:23	133:25
usher 6:18	103:16 111:5	64:21	112:6 113:3	worked 2:4 8:1
usually 42:11	112:7 113:14	weapon 108:11,12	114:17 117:15,21	12:18 22:11
84:23	133:4	weapons 108:6,10	120:9 122:20	23:10 44:8 61:25
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	wants 96:14,16	Webb 28:6	126:5,7	64:15 95:13
	126:11	website 3:11	wings 22:6 61:17	104:7 133:25
various 93:1	warden 109:22	week 52:13 76:6	61:20 62:23 74:7	working 2:18,25
vast 17:17	warned 61:11	85:16 101:14,19	103:23	3:22 16:13,17
verbal 99:23 100:1	warning 42:21	101:21 137:16	wish 56:22 60:25	24:5 42:25 56:5
verbally 18:10	76:11 100:17,18	week's 108:22	136:3,23	56:19 57:8 65:5
93:12	100:19 101:12	weekend 68:15	wishes 117:6,15	70:4 78:7 86:11
Verita 28:10	warnings 76:7	weeks 2:19 36:4	withdrawal	111:14 118:19
video 99:8	wasn't 4:10 7:16	52:14 55:7 75:2	109:13	134:3
view 87:22 96:17	18:4 29:24 31:4	99:16 101:21	withdrew 59:19	works 30:15 38:17
127:20	33:12 34:18,18	weight 46:5	106:12 137:14	56:6 100:9
viewing 79:13,16	35:14,24 37:6	went 8:9 16:13	witness 10:9 17:3	101:11
116:4,9,10	43:22,23,24 44:8			

				Page 138
world 4:9	85:20 86:23	15:50 115:7,10	2022 1:1 137:23	7 119:24,24 137:23
worn 126:9	102:16 113:16,16	· ·	21st 91:7	1119.24,24 131.23
worried 11:15	113:24 114:15,15	15.32 113.10,20 1527 117:20	22 46:19 55:4	8
19:11 112:7	116:1 123:15,23	134:19	90:24	8 81:17 118:14
worse 11:5,5	125:9 132:11	16 99:16 101:13	23 119:23	119:15 120:8
worth 79:13 124:3	134:8 135:15	120:25	24 107:23 111:17	122:13 125:17
130:5,17,19	Yeah,this 23:24	16:03 116:12	111:21 123:14	127:10,19
wouldn't 16:7	year 85:17,18,19	16:16 116:21	124:16	83 89:7
18:15 23:5 27:8	85:20 101:2	16:35 117:1	24th 123:18	
28:25 29:2 35:23	yearly 65:1	16:47 117:4	26 88:25	9
36:15,19 38:3,3	years 50:17 61:25	166(f) 41:14	27 79:18	9 81:11 97:17
41:12 47:21 49:7	70:4 75:24 81:17	16th 101:16	2B 60:15	
50:7 71:7 72:20	101:1 103:18	17:05 117:7	2D 00.13	
84:20 89:13	yelling 46:17	17:15 117:13	3	
97:22 104:1,2	50:14	17:34 117:16	3 60:9 82:10,18	
111:15 126:2	Yep 22:18 51:19	17:42 117:19	107:15 111:19	
wrist 119:20	52:4	18 118:16	3.15 111:25 112:11	
write 10:21,22	yesterday 76:10	19 23:25 118:5	114:7	
33:1 52:2 101:6	you' 89:18	119:14	3.20 112:11	
writing 19:10	you 69.16 young 8:6	117.14	34 6:8	
38:21 105:18	young 0.0	2	37 22:3	
written 10:23	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	2 60:9,14 80:5	38 22:20	
42:20 100:18,18	Zaynab 91:13	87:20 91:6,16	39 123:25 127:18	
100:18 101:12	118:24	95:2 107:13	130:2	
wrong 3:5 8:4		111:19 122:15		
49:15 76:12	0	2.00 106:14,18	4	
115:9 117:9		2.45 137:15,21	4 1:1 79:20 109:8	
wrongly 91:18	1	20 37:11	110:12 117:11	
132:5	1 1:19 60:9,14 84:4	2009 61:22	118:14,23 119:25	
wrote 10:16,21	84:4 99:15	2010 38:20	121:1 122:18	
26:14	108:24 138:3,5	2013 68:18,24 69:8	127:3	
20.14	1.05 105:14 106:13	2015 76:3 79:4	46 124:11	
X	1.08 106:16	82:10,13 83:20		
X 138:1	10 96:20 129:9	2015/2016 101:3	5	
	10.00 1:2 137:17	2016 2:5 18:23	5 111:18	
Y	137:23	31:15 32:1 34:9	5.15 117:13	
Yan 113:13 118:25	106 138:13,15	68:25 69:8 81:25	50 29:9 30:5 55:21	
yeah 2:12,24 3:11	11 16:21	82:3,10,15,24	54 31:1	
5:18 9:12 10:23	11.22 59:24	83:21	56 38:18	
13:3,19 14:16,18	11.40 59:21 60:1	2016/2017 85:14	57 138:7	
14:18 15:4 16:10	12 31:15	2017 2:6,23 43:7		
17:1 21:15,22	12.52 105:12	44:14 45:19	6	
23:16,23 25:14	120 63:2	46:19 53:21	6 96:23 109:1	
25:15,24 26:10	13-hour 92:18	54:23 55:4 61:6	118:15	
27:25 29:2,3,6,24	15 34:9	63:14,15 75:24	6.33 134:13	
31:13 36:5 37:10	15:15 112:1	79:22 81:6 82:20	6.34 134:12,13	
38:6,15 41:3,20	15:20 112:1	83:23 87:19	6.36 136:15	
43:2 46:16 48:23	15:37 112:12	90:19,24 96:20	60 138:9,11	
49:4 53:22 56:17	15:40 112:14,16	102:11 107:4,8	7	
58:19 59:14	115:3	107:23 109:1		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>