		1	
1	Wednesday, 23 February 2022	1	was on ACDT and was given antidepressants and received
2	(10.00 am)	2	very limited mental health support, was not seen by
3	THE CHAIR: Good morning, Ms Luh. I understand that we are	3	a psychiatrist, despite reassurances that he would be.
4	hearing from you first, on behalf of D1473. Thank you.	4	He attempted suicide by using a belt around his neck.
5	MS LUH: D1473's evidence to the inquiry	5	He was put in segregation as a result. An ACDT had been
6	(Microphone not working)	6	previously opened because of ongoing thoughts of
7	THE CHAIR: It is rather quiet.	7	self-harm and suicide [ <hom029928_0012>]. He was placed</hom029928_0012>
8	MS LUH: Should I move it closer?	8	back on ACDT after his attempted suicide.
9	THE CHAIR: Let's just pause momentarily and see if we can	9	"On 14 August 2017, D1473 saw a doctor for an
10	sort that out.	10	assessment and report on his mistreatment in Nigeria.
11	(Technical problem)	11	This was a month after he'd been detained. This led to
12	THE CHAIR: We can hear you. Thank you very much. Please	12	a rule 35(3) report in which the GP expressed concerns
13	carry on.	13	that D1473 may be a victim of torture and suffered
14	Statement of D1473 (read)	14	symptoms of depression and trauma which worsened in
15	MS LUH: If I can just start again. D1473's witness	15	detention due to provoking factors such as hearing the
16	statement to the inquiry is dated 26 January 2022. It	16	keys, sounds of doors and transport in van.
17	is at <bhm000039>:</bhm000039>	17	"The Home Office accepted the rule 35(3) report
18	"I was detained in Brook House from 19 August to	18	showed that D1473 was an Adult at Risk level 3.
19	29 September 2017 for a total of 41 days. The	19	However, detention was maintained because the
20	experience of detention was very difficult for me,	20	Home Office considered D1473 could be removed within two
21	especially my time at Brook House. I still find it	21	to three weeks. D1473 said this was not in accordance
22	painful to try and remember some aspects. I suffer from	22	with the Home Office policy.
23	mental health symptoms related to my past history of	23	"On 16 August 2017, my solicitors, Thompson & Co,
24	trauma, which was made worse by detention. Since being	24	confirmed to me that they had sent fresh representations
25	released from detention, I have been diagnosed with	25	to the Home Office about my family life in the UK,
	D 4		D 2
	Page 1		Page 3
1	moderate PTSD. I have some problems remembering certain	1	including my new partner and baby."
2	events that happened and when. I can also sometimes	2	D1473 understood this meant he could not be removed
3	become too distressed to continue to speak or reflect on	3	until those representations were determined, but
4	my experiences in detention.	4	detention was nevertheless maintained.
5	"I am still taking medication for my PTSD and	5	D1473 transferred to Brook House on 19 August 2017,
6	depression symptoms. I am receiving calls every couple	6	which he recalls was with little notice:
7	of weeks from a secondary mental health care team in the	7	"My detention at Brook House.
8	community who ask me how I am. I was having counselling	8	"I arrived at Brook House late at night. I felt
9	but this stopped during the pandemic. I am hoping it	9	really tired and confused as to where I was. I remember
10	will restart.	10	being seen by a nurse at some point in the early hours
11	"My background.	11	of the morning. This appointment took place at around
12	"D1473 is a Nigerian national and suffered serious	12	12.30 am, on 20 August 2017 [ <hom029928_0014>].</hom029928_0014>
13	violence there. He explains his immigration history in	13	"The nurse asked me some general questions about my
14	the UK, his conviction, and his first period of	14	health and history. I do not remember what was
15	immigration detention at the Verne IRC (from	15	discussed at this appointment. However, I have now seen
16	22 August 2014 to 24 February 2015) during which his	16	the nurse's entry in the records which state I was on
17	mental health started to deteriorate. He struggled to	17	ACDT and had a depressive disorder and appeared mentally
18	be separated from his partner and his British daughter.	18	low in mood [ <hom029928_0014>]. There is no record of</hom029928_0014>
19	Although he initially succeeded in his appeal against	19	me being asked about my history of torture or rule 35
20	deportation and asylum refusal, this outcome was	20	report."
21	subsequently overturned.	21	The following is a summary of paragraphs 28 to 29.
22	"My second period of detention.	22	D1473 explains that he did not have an induction at
23	"D1473 was redetained on 13 July 2017. He was at	23	Brook House and was taken straight to E wing. He was
24	first held at the Verne IRC before transferring to	24	placed on constant watch, having arrived at Brook House
25	Brook House. At the Verne, he became mentally unwell,	25	on an open ACDT. He notes that this was notified to the
	D 6		D 4
	Page 2		Page 4

1	Home Office via IS91 RA Part C. <hom025617_0008>:</hom025617_0008>	1	appointment. I don't understand these entries, as I had
2	"I found being in Brook House to be a real shock.	2	agreed to see a mental health nurse on that day and
3	Its setup and operation was much more like an ordinary	3	don't think I would have refused further support.
4	prison than the Verne. At the Verne, although we were	4	"The entry also refers to my attendance and an ACDT
5	in cells, the cells were not locked and you had a key to	5	review that day. I note it states, 'He becomes very
6	your own cell. The landing was locked at night but you	6	afraid whenever he is behind locked doors. He does not
7	could still leave your cell to go to the toilet.	7	want to be there' [ <hom029928_0018>]. I remember trying</hom029928_0018>
8	The Verne was still detention and made me feel really	8	to explain to staff about how unwell I felt on E wing.
9	depressed, as explained earlier. However, compared to	9	However, nothing was done in response. I was not, in
10	Brook House, it did not feel nearly as oppressive.	10	fact, reviewed by a member of the mental health team
11	E wing was next to what was called 'the block', that is	11	until a week into my detention at Brook House. From the
12	CSU, which was used to segregate detainees. My	12	medical records I have now seen, it does not appear that
13	understanding of what E wing was for developed during my	13	I had my first GP appointment until 24 August 2017,
14	time at Brook House. I came to understand that it was	14	after my attempted removal."
15	for people like me who were being monitored because of	15	The following is a summary of paragraphs 36 to 40.
16	a risk of self-harm. It was also where they put people	16	D1473 describes requesting to move from E wing, but this
17	who were obviously very seriously mentally unwell.	17	was refused at first. He was eventually moved from
18	People were also put in E wing when they were coming	18	E wing to B wing on 25 August 2017 after his unlawful
19	down from spice or if they were generally behaving	19	attempted removal. He was in a room alone due to his
20	badly.	20	mental health.
21	"Some of the people there were very ill and did not	21	D1473 describes that there were very few things to
22	make any sense when they spoke. I could not understand	22	do at Brook House and no organised activities. Whilst
23	why people who were so ill were being detained there."	23	he could speak English, he saw other detainees
24	Records note that observations about D1473 were	24	struggling to communicate or understand what was
25	reduced to every three hours after several days. D1473	25	happening:
	Page 5		Page 7
1	does not recall officers or healthcare staff regularly	1	"I thought the atmosphere in Brook House was
2	speaking to him or asking how he was on E wing:	2	oppressive. It did not seem that the officers cared
3	"I found my time in E wing really difficult. When	3	about the detainees. I remember one incident on B wing
4	I first arrived, I felt like I was just being monitored	4	at the servery when someone complained about the food
5	and watched on self-harm measures and that no-one	5	and a woman working there said, 'If you want to stay in
6	actually cared about me. I felt trapped on the wing.	6	this country, you have to take what you're given'.
7	We were locked in overnight from about 8.00 pm to the	7	I heard another officer, who said he used to be in the
8	morning and could only go out onto the E wing during the	8	military, tell a detainee, 'I used to kill people like
9	day, although we were locked in for an hour or so for	9	you for fun'. I took that to mean he was talking about
10	roll count.	10	killing black people, as the man the officer was
11	"I found being locked in the room very stressful and	11	addressing his comment to was African. This kind of
12	scary. Every time the door locked behind, I felt really	12	attitude and language was common. Officers would even
13	claustrophobic and I could not escape my bad thoughts	13	say that they didn't care when you asked them for help.
14	and memories of my past mistreatment. I started to feel	14	"I tried to keep to myself and keep out of harm's
15	less like myself, less like a human at all. I started	15	way. I felt like staff at Brook House wanted
16	to have bad dreams and more intrusive thoughts about	16	deliberately to put you down, to kill your spirit,
16 17	to have bad dreams and more intrusive thoughts about what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in	16 17	deliberately to put you down, to kill your spirit, because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and
17	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in	17	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and
17 18	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them,	17 18	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.
17 18 19	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation	17 18 19	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had
17 18 19 20	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation panel in the door, it felt like I had no privacy and	17 18 19 20	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had information about how to complain and useful numbers on
17 18 19 20 21	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation panel in the door, it felt like I had no privacy and added to my feeling of claustrophobia.	17 18 19 20 21	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had information about how to complain and useful numbers on it. However, at Brook House, there didn't seem to be
17 18 19 20 21 22	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation panel in the door, it felt like I had no privacy and added to my feeling of claustrophobia.  I felt increasingly depressed and anxious about my	17 18 19 20 21 22	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had information about how to complain and useful numbers on it. However, at Brook House, there didn't seem to be any information about how to complain. I didn't see
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation panel in the door, it felt like I had no privacy and added to my feeling of claustrophobia.  I felt increasingly depressed and anxious about my situation whilst at Brook House. The medical records	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had information about how to complain and useful numbers on it. However, at Brook House, there didn't seem to be any information about how to complain. I didn't see there was any complaints procedure I could use. I would
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what had happened to me in Nigeria. Both of my rooms in E wing were small, with an unscreened toilet in them, and because the staff could look through the observation panel in the door, it felt like I had no privacy and added to my feeling of claustrophobia.  I felt increasingly depressed and anxious about my situation whilst at Brook House. The medical records indicate that, on 20 August 2017, I declined to see	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because then you feel less of yourself, less human, and you are less likely to speak up or resist.  "When I was at the Verne, there was a board that had information about how to complain and useful numbers on it. However, at Brook House, there didn't seem to be any information about how to complain. I didn't see there was any complaints procedure I could use. I would verbally complain to staff. For example, I complained

1	to remove me. But they didn't take that forward, or	1	leave them as it was a drugs reaction and assumed staff
2	suggest how I could do that myself. I think the	2	knew that it would pass. As far as I was aware, there
3	atmosphere in Brook House was so oppressive that people	3	wasn't any rehabilitative programmes or support for drug
4	didn't feel they had the power to complain anyway and	4	users at Brook House.
5	were scared to do so."	5	"The unlawful attempt to remove me on
6	The following is a summary of paragraphs 44 to 47.	6	23 and 24 August 2017.
7	D1473 explains that he didn't see a member of IMB at	7	"Although I had been given some documents saying
8	Brook House but regularly did at the Verne. He says	8	I could be removed from the UK, I hadn't been given
9	that there was no explanation of what support groups	9	a specific date and didn't think this could happen until
10	were available. D1473 explains that, on one occasion,	10	my representations had been dealt with. I was told by
11	an officer recorded he'd already eaten when he hadn't,	11	staff at Brook House they contacted the Home Office and
12	so he didn't get a meal. No action was taken against	12	they had received my outstanding representations. I was
13	that officer, despite him being told that the officer	13	not worried, as I wouldn't be removed. It was therefore
14	would be disciplined. This made him feel hopeless.	14	a shocking and terrifying experience for me when the
15	He describes receiving only limited mental health	15	Home Office tried to remove me to Nigeria."
16	care comprising intermittent support sessions with	16	The inquiry already has a full statement of D1473's
17	a nurse:	17	experiences, dated 20 November 2017:
18	"I felt that healthcare at Brook House was really on	18	"I think it is important, though, to set out the key
19	the side of the detention centre, rather than being	19	features of what happened to me here. But I hope that
20	focused on looking after vulnerable detainees such as	20	whatever I don't mention here is not taken as not being
21	myself. Healthcare never sent a rule 35 report to the	21	important or affecting me in particular. I have been
22	Home Office about my situation, despite knowing that	22	asked various questions by the inquiry about this
23	I am a victim of torture and was suffering from serious	23	attempted removal and use of force but I still haven't
24	mental health problems [ <hom029928_0021]. td="" there="" were<=""><td>24</td><td>received disclosure of the CCTV footage of the removal.</td></hom029928_0021].>	24	received disclosure of the CCTV footage of the removal.
25	a lot of drugs in Brook House. They were much more	25	I have been asking for that footage since 2017, when
	,		
	Page 9		Page 11
1	available than in the Verne. The drug most commonly	1	I made my complaint to the Home Office, but still
2	used was spice. You would see people quite often in	2	haven't seen it.
3	a very bad way, hallucinating or screaming and banging	3	"I had been aware that day, from other people at
4	their heads. Occasionally, when someone overdosed on	4	Brook House, that there was going to be a charter flight
5	spice, they would disappear and we would never see them	5	to Nigeria on 23 August 2017, but because of what I was
6	again. I took spice a couple of times in Brook House.	6	told by my solicitors and the manager at Brook House,
7	I was given it by people in the exercise yard. I did	7	I was therefore not worried about being affected by this
8	this just to try and make myself feel better as my time	8	charter flight.
9	at Brook House was so difficult.	9	"Throughout the day on 23 August, people were told
10	"However, it didn't help and I didn't use spice	10	that they would be on the charter flight and people were
11	again, nor did I try any other drugs after these times.	11	being moved around as a result. No-one came to speak to
12	"I think there were multiple ways to get spice or	12	me about this, which made me feel more assured.
13	other drugs into Brook House. I understood that people	13	"Then, in the early evening, around 6.10 pm,
14	on the outside would fly the drugs into the outside area	14	a manager at Brook House came and told me that I would
15	using a drone or to a helicopter. I also heard rumours	15	be on the flight. I explained I shouldn't be on the
16	that staff would facilitate detainees getting drugs by	16	flight because the Home Office had yet to consider my
17	taking drugs from visitors who would bring it into	17	further representations for leave to remain. The
18	Brook House and then the officer would pass the drugs on	18	manager told me to go get a copy of the application form
19	to the detainee.	19	from my room and then speak to the Home Office officials
20	"I didn't report drug use to staff because it was so	20	in reception. I was shocked and frightened about what
21	obvious that staff knew. When someone was being really	21	was going to happen to me.
22	disruptive on drugs, staff would call healthcare. But	22	"I remember that an officer then escorted me to my
23	when people were calm but clearly high or stoned, staff	23	room in E wing to collect my papers. I returned to
24	would just walk past and ignore them. I remember	24	reception to wait to speak to the Home Office officials.
25	someone fitting, seizing, and staff telling detainees to	25	Whilst I was waiting, I noticed that several bags had
23	someone name, seizing, and start terming detainees to	23	
	Page 10		Page 12
		-	

1	been put behind me containing my belongings. This	1	very tight around his stomach and caused him a lot of
2	happened so quickly and I was upset and embarrassed that	2	pain. At the military base, he suffered further
3	someone had packed my personal things without my	3	humiliation as two officers watched him whilst he went
4	knowledge. I didn't know who to trust. I knew that my	4	to the toilet with the waist restraint belt still on
5	immigration solicitors wouldn't be able to help me	5	him. He was returned to Brook House in the early hours
6	because their offices were closed. I just carried on	6	of the morning after it was confirmed that he had
7	waiting to speak to a Home Office official to explain	7	outstanding representations. The waist restraint belt
8	again that I should not be removed. I never got the	8	was removed for the journey back. He was told this was
9	chance to do that.	9	because the risk had gone down:
10	The next thing that happened was two officers came	10	"I found the experience of that night terrifying and
11	to find me. They seemed to be security guards of some	11	humiliating from start to finish. I was treated like an
12	kind, though I now know they were Tascor escort officers	12	animal you were transporting. I had no experience like
13	contracted by the Home Office. I told them I was	13	this before. I couldn't believe this was happening to
14	waiting to see a Home Office official and that	14	me. The whole experience lasted about ten and a half
15	I shouldn't be put on a plane that evening to Nigeria.	15	hours. The terror of facing removal to Nigeria, of
16	They didn't listen and just gave me instructions on	16	being ignored when I tried to explain I had an
17	signing some forms. I complied. I didn't resist, even	17	application preventing this, and being placed in
18	though I didn't want to be removed, and didn't think it	18	prolonged restraint throughout was indescribable.
19	was right that I was being forcibly removed when the	19	I still find it difficult to think about the experience
20	Home Office had yet to make a decision on my	20	and it makes me feel very uneasy remembering it. I am
21	representations.	21	often having nightmares about it, waking up sweating so
22	"Despite this, I was put in a waist restraint belt	22	much that my bedsheets are wet."
23	by the two officers. This was most painful and	23	Next, "The remainder of my experience at
24	humiliating. Both my hands were tied in front of me to	24	Brook House". The following is a summary of paragraphs
25	the belt so I could barely lift my arms. I kept telling	25	65 to 67. D1473 saw a nurse after returning to
	72 40		75 45
	Page 13		Page 15
1	the officers that the belt was too tight but they didn't	1	Brook House on 24 August 2017. <hom029928_0019>. He</hom029928_0019>
2	seem to be listening to me. I continued to complain	2	told the nurse of pains to his stomach and shoulder
3	about the stomach pain and discomfort it was causing me	3	caused by the waist restraint belt. The abdominal pains
4	throughout the journey to the airport but no-one	4	continued for several days. He was not seen by a GP
5	listened to me or made any attempt to loosen the belt.	5	until 5 September 2017. The stomach pains eventually
6	I was kept in a waist restraint belt for a total of five	6	resolved but his shoulders are still very sore from time
7	and a half hours and didn't ever resist.	7	to time. He also asked to see a psychiatrist, as he
8	"Being held in that belt for so long made me recall	8	felt mentally unwell. A medical record dated
9	my traumatic experiences in detention in Nigeria.	9	26 August 2017, <hom020028>, noted that D1473 was</hom020028>
10	I understand from the documents that Tascor disclosed in	10	traumatised by his unlawful removal and that it brought
11	my litigation against them that they say the waist	11	back memories of flashbacks of previous mistreatment
12	restraint belt was used because I had a history of being	12	in Nigeria. He was noted to be hearing voices. He
13	disruptive and was on ACDT. I understand that this	13	started to feel paranoid. No rule 35 report was raised.
14	information had come, at least in part, from the	14	Instead, D1473 was downgraded from Adult at Risk level 3
15	Home Office. However, this is just not correct.	15	to level 2 by the Home Office after a detention review
16	I wasn't resisting at all. They weren't listening to me	16	on 7 September 2017, <hom024617>.</hom024617>
17	and I couldn't access a Home Office official, either in	17	He understands that this was owing to healthcare
18	the detention centre or on arrival at the airport.	18	telling the Home Office that D1473's mental health was
19	Moreover, I can't see how my being on self-harm measures	19	being managed well and that detention didn't appear to
20	should have resulted in me being put in this kind of	20	be making his health worse. I don't understand why
21	restraint."	21	healthcare would have said that, as it clearly wasn't
22	I'm summarising paragraphs 61 to 62. On the way to	22	true. The doctor had said the opposite of that in my
23	the military base where the flight was going, D1473 says	23	rule 35(3) report. I would have thought I would need to
24	he kept trying to explain he shouldn't be removed. The	24	be assessed by a psychiatrist before I could be
25	officers didn't respond. The waist restraint belt was	25	downgraded in this way. It doesn't make sense to me
	•		
	Page 14		Page 16

1 1 that it could be suggested I was improving, especially mental health problems was a serious issue. The 2 Home Office claimed it was reasonable for me to be 2 when I was feeling even worse after the failed removal 3 and had told healthcare that I felt traumatised, I was 3 restrained by the body belt for five and a half hours, having flashbacks and hearing voices." 4 4 even though I was compliant. 5 The summary of paragraphs 69 to 70. D1473 describes 5 "The response to the complaint about being 6 how Tascor escorts refused to take him to a bail hearing 6 unlawfully removed stated that I would not have been 7 on 18 September 2017 because he was on ACDT. 7 removed without the representations being dealt with. 8 <HOM025617\_0007>. He couldn't understand this, given 8 I didn't understand this. Why was I taken to the q his recent attempted removal whilst on ACDT. He stopped 9 airport under forced restraint and only released from 10 eating for a few days. The Home Office refused his 10 them at early in the morning then? I think the 11 fresh claim and set a removal window which was only 11 Home Office can't properly investigate its own staff or 12 deferred following a judicial review. He described 12 Tascor because they work together. They don't want to 13 further thoughts of self-harm at this time: 13 own up when something like this happens because it's 14 "The day before I was released on bail on 14 good business to work together and they don't want 15 28 September 2017, the IRC staff tried to make me share 15 problems. But if the Home Office doesn't deal with 16 16 a room with someone I didn't know and I was segregated these types of complaints properly, they will never 17 for refusing to do this. At this point, I was feeling 17 learn from their mistakes and detainees will continue to 18 desperate and couldn't face sharing a cell on B wing. 18 be treated badly. 19 I understand from my solicitors that detainees should 19 "The Home Office didn't even think about whether, if 20 only be segregated in what I would call 'the block' at 20 they did refuse my representations, I should be able to 21 the end of E wing on grounds of security or safety under 21 get legal advice and challenge the decision before I was 22 rule 40 of the Detention Centre Rules. I don't know why 22 removed. My solicitors have advised me that this is an 23 it was necessary to do this to me. Being segregated 23 important right I had. In the end, the Home Office did 24 made me feel vulnerable and scared again. This is the 24 refuse my representations, but they accepted I should 25 2.5 only time I was in segregation in Brook House. have another right of appeal. This would not have Page 17 Page 19 1 happened if I had been forcibly removed as they'd 1 "Complaints. 2 "I did ask the Home Office, through my solicitors, 2 planned." D1473 describes his complaint was referred to 3 3 to investigate a complaint about my treatment when I was Sussex Police but they didn't investigate. 4 taken from Brook House for removal by the escort on 4 5 5 D1473 describes how Bhatt Murphy brought civil 23 and 24 August. I also made a complaint about the 6 fact that the Home Office had tried to remove me. At claims against the Home Office for unlawful detention 7 and Tascor for the use of force, both of which settled first, I understand that the Home Office referred the 8 complaint about my restraint back to Tascor to deal with for compensation without an admission of liability or Q internally. I have seen email correspondence between 9 apology: 10 Tascor and the Home Office [<CAP000539>] confirming that 10 "I'm not aware that any steps have been taken by the 11 the Home Office's initial view was my complaint was 11 Home Office or Tascor to hold any of their staff 12 unlikely to constitute serious or gross misconduct. It 12 responsible for these actions and the harm caused to me. 13 was minor. I think this shows that they didn't take the 13 That is one of the reasons I am participating in this 14 complaint seriously. I don't think being wrongly 14 inquiry. Paying me compensation without acknowledging 15 restrained for five and a half hours was a minor matter. 15 that they did wrong is not enough. I don't see how 16 16 things will change if nobody is held to account for My solicitors made representations that the Home Office 17 17 their decisions and actions. They just seem to get away should investigate this complaint, which was accepted. 18 18 with it. I'm also frustrated that my complaints were "Both complaints were rejected. I was disappointed 19 19 just dismissed. I was made to feel, again, like they because they didn't seem to deal with my complaints 20 20 didn't listen, didn't care about what had happened to properly. The complaint response in relation to the 21 restraints stated that there was CCTV from inside the 21 me. Feeling worthless is one of the worst feelings you 22 22 can have. It still really affects me." vehicle which took me to the airport. My solicitors 23 asked for a copy of the CCTV but it was never disclosed. 23 D1473 has provided a signed statement of truth. 24 The response to the complaint on restraint didn't seem 24 THE CHAIR: I understand that we are now hearing from you on 25 to recognise that the use of a body belt when I had 25 behalf of D2158?

Page 18

Page 20

		Π	_
1	MS LUH: Yes.	1	knowledge of English to understand a few things, like
2	Statement of D2158 (read)	2	the healthcare assistant said. Even on the questions
3	MS LUH: D2158's witness statement to the inquiry is dated	3	I understood, I didn't feel I was able to give proper
4	13 January 2022. It is at <bhm000029>:</bhm000029>	4	answers because there was no interpreter and I didn't
5	"I was detained at Brook House over four years ago,	5	feel I could explain myself.
6	between 10 April and 15 May 2017, for a total of	6	"I do remember being asked whether I had been
7	35 days. I found my time there difficult and	7	tortured and I said yes, I had been tortured in Iran.
8	distressing and for a long time I tried to forget what	8	"An IS91 RA Part A risk factor sheet [ <hom014697>],</hom014697>
9	had happened to me there in order to cope with it.	9	dated 10 April 2017, recorded D2158 had had a stomach
10	I have asked my barrister to read my statement on my	10	operation in Iran after being stabbed. He continued to
11	behalf for this reason.	11	suffer stomach pains at the time. D2158 had not been
12	"My background.	12	able to see a doctor or receive medication for his pain
13	"D2158 was born in Iran. He is a victim of child	13	since arriving in the UK.
14	sexual abuse. In 2016, he had to flee Iran because he	14	"The conditions at Brook House and the deterioration
15	had been detained and tortured by police after he	15	in my health.
16	converted to Christianity. He was also violently	16	"After the appointment with the healthcare
17	attacked and stabbed in the street for wearing a cross,	17	assistant, I was led to another room. I was initially
18	sustaining serious injuries. He arrived in the UK	18	placed on what I believed was the induction wing,
19	in September 2016, after passing through several	19	B wing. I was locked into the cell. I would soon find
20	European countries, including Germany. He made his	20	out that every day in the evening we were locked in the
21	asylum claim on arrival, was interviewed briefly and	21	cell by officers and remained locked in until about
22	then was granted temporary admission by the Home Office.	22	9.00 am the following morning. I found this really hard
23	"In November 2016, D2158 received a letter from the	23	to cope with. Often, I felt claustrophobic. But I felt
24	Home Office informing him of its intention to request	24	there was nothing I could do once I was locked in, that
25	Germany to take responsibility for his asylum claim	25	I couldn't ask for help. I was afraid something worse
	Page 21		Page 23
	O		Ö
1	under the Dublin III regulations. D2158 didn't	1	would happen to me if I asked to be let out because,
2	understand what the letter meant.	2	more than once, I heard officers take other detainees
3	"In February 2017, D2158 was asked to report to the	3	out of their cells and the detainee sounding like they
4	Home Office every two weeks. He did this regularly	4	were in distress.
5	until he was detained on 10 April 2017 when he reported	5	"I was in my cell on my own for one or two nights.
6	to the Home Office. He was handcuffed and taken to	6	Then another detainee was put in the cell to share it
7	Brook House IRC with several other men that day. This	7	with me. The cell was small and the toilet was inside
8	was late in the night.	8	it. There were two walls on either side of the toilet,
9	"My detention at Brook House.	9	but there was a gap between them and no door to close
10	"At first, I didn't know what the place was, but	10	the gap. So if I was in the cell, even sitting or lying
11	when I saw the layout of the centre, the doors which	11	on the bed, and the person I shared the cell with was on
12	were like those of a prison, and that there were people	12	the toilet, I could see him or vice versa. It was just
13	of different nationalities there, I realised that I must	13	humiliating for both of us. I still find it very
14	have been taken to a detention centre. I still didn't	14	difficult to think about the cells I lived in at
15	know where I was, what was happening or why I had been	15	Brook House.
16	taken there. By the time we arrived at Brook House, it	16	"The conditions generally at Brook House were
17	was dark and late. I wanted to say to the officers,	17	unhygienic and unsanitary. The shower rooms in
18	'Please do not send me back' but my English was very	18	particular were always dirty. I remember that I once
19	limited so I think all I managed to say was 'No back'.	19	went into the showers and, soon after I turned on the
20	I was then seen by a person who I now know as	20	water, what looked like dirty toilet water started
21	a healthcare assistant. I was tired, disorientated and	21	coming out of the shower drain. It was awful and
22	confused when the appointment with the healthcare	22	smelled badly. I also started having palpitations at
23	assistant started. I didn't understand many of	23	night. I had suffered from these before but in
24	the questions that I was being asked, because there was	24	detention they became worse. Often, at night, I also
25	no interpreter at the appointment. I only had enough	25	felt like someone was putting their hands around my
	Page 22		Page 24

1	throat and I struggled to breathe. I started having	1	he would do with the information I was providing.
2	nightmares, but while I was not fully asleep. They were	2	I didn't know what a rule 35 report was."
3	very vivid. I had never felt like that before. And it	3	D2158 has not seen a copy of the rule 35 report. It
4	frightened me.	4	has not been disclosed by the Home Office to his
5	"I couldn't stop them from happening. I also	5	solicitors or the inquiry. An entry in the medical
6	started suffering from headaches almost every day.	6	records summarised the report and the Home Office's
7	"On 17 April 2017, I complained to a nurse that	7	response, <hom014757>. The entry in my medical records</hom014757>
8	I was having palpitations and tried to explain that	8	say no scars declared observed. But later records
9	I felt like someone was putting their hands around my	9	'small scars on the abdomen noted on left side'. I'm
10	throat. There was no interpreter available, so I had to	10	confused by this as it appears to be clearly
11	ask another Iranian detainee, who spoke better English	11	contradictory. I also find the Home Office's response
12	than me, to attend with me so he could help me	12	to the report confusing, as it first says that, 'The
13	communicate with the nurse. I had my first appointment	13	doctor has noted that you have small scars on the left
14	with the doctor at Brook House after more than two weeks	14	side of the abdomen' but then says, 'In their final
15	there. My solicitors have told me that I should have	15	assessment, the doctor has noted that, whilst you have
16	seen a doctor within 24 hours of being at Brook House.	16	visibly poor dentition, you have no other scars or
17	I didn't know this. No-one told me anything about what	17	mental health issues which explicitly suggest torture'.
18	I should expect in detention or what rights I had. The	18	"Moreover, I know now that the entry in the medical
19	healthcare records say that the doctor was called	19	records state that I was suffering from palpitations at
20	Dr Oozeerally.	20	night and the Home Office's response says that,
21	"I do not feel like the doctor examined me in detail	21	according to the doctor, this suggests that I suffered
22	at the appointment and I struggled to explain myself	22	from anxiety. Again, confusingly, however, the entry in
23	because there was no interpreter in the room. There was	23	the medical records state that I had never had any
24	someone who spoke Farsi on the phone who assisted with	24	mental health issues. The doctor didn't ask me about my
25	the appointment but I found it difficult to properly	25	mental health. Having reviewed the records, and the
	D 25		D 27
	Page 25		Page 27
1	communicate with the doctor through the interpreter on	1	Home Office's response, I do not feel that I was
2	the telephone. I'm not sure whether the interpreter was	2	listened to or assessed properly.
3	a native Farsi speaker but they didn't sound fluent and	3	"The day after my appointment with Dr Oozeerally,
4	I struggled to understand them and felt that they	4	a nurse told me I had to sign something. I tried to
5	struggled to understand me.	5	explain I couldn't sign because I didn't understand what
6	"The doctor asked me questions about what happened	6	the form they were asking me to sign said. I didn't
7	to me in the past. I tried to explain that I had been	7	sign the form. I still don't know what it was that
8	detained by the police in Iran, that I had been tortured	8	I was asked to sign. I was never given a copy of it.
9	during the time. I explained that the officers had tied	9	There were rarely interpreters available at Brook House
10	me to a chair, handcuffed me, put a cloth soaked in oil	10	and I remember other Iranian detainees also struggled to
11	in my mouth and broken my nails. I also told the doctor	11	understand what was being said to them and communicated
12	that I had been stabbed with a knife in a separate	12	with the staff.
13	attack in Iran and told him about my scars and the	13	"Throughout my detention, I continued to feel really
14	surgery I had had after being stabbed.	14	anxious and have palpitations, especially at night.
15	"I remember the doctor saw the scars on my abdomen.	15	I went to healthcare several times to ask for help
16	This was the first time I told anyone about what	16	because of this but, more than once, I was told no
17	happened to me in Iran since I had fled. It was	17	doctor could see me. I also had really severe toothache
18	difficult to talk about it, especially through an	18	and bleeding from my gums whilst I was at Brook House
19	interpreter, who I felt didn't understand me, and who	19	and reported this to healthcare several times since my
20	I couldn't see. It was made even harder because I also	20	first week in detention. But I was just given some
21	didn't know why I was being asked questions about it and	21	painkillers and wasn't seen by a dentist for several
22	I felt the doctor wasn't really listening to me or	22	weeks. The pain and bleeding made it difficult for me
23	didn't care. I felt as if he didn't really see me. The	23	to eat or drink properly. It also made it hard for me
24	purpose of the appointment with the doctor wasn't	24	to sleep and I felt anxious. After I finally saw the
25	explained to me. I didn't understand at the time what	25	dentist, I was given antibiotics. I felt frustrated by
	Page 26		Page 28

		1	
1	this, that no-one cared. I felt as though the	1	through the first door which led to the corridor. There
2	healthcare professionals at Brook House were not	2	was then a second door at the end of the corridor
3	treating the medical issues I raised seriously.	3	through which you accessed the main building. There was
4	"Violence and mistreatment by staff at Brook House.	4	an officer standing near the first door. When
5	"After I moved from B to C wing, things became much	5	I entered, he just kicked the back of my knees with his
6	worse. I was already very anxious. In the new wing,	6	boots. The kick hurt, especially because he was wearing
7	I and other detainees were treated badly and often	7	boots, and I stumbled forward. The officer also said
8	bullied by the officers. I felt like the officers at	8	something to me which I didn't understand. There were
9	Brook House, particularly the ones in C wing, often	9	no other detainees or members of staff present at that
10	treated us more like animals than human beings.	10	time. When I went back to my cell, I saw that my
11	I remember two episodes when officers kicked me	11	trousers had been stamped with dirt from his boot. It
12	completely without justification."	12	was just humiliating. I don't know why he did that.
13	D2158 understands that the inquiry will want to know	13	I didn't know the officer. I hadn't spoken to him
14	the names of the officers who mistreated him or	14	before, so far as I can remember. And I hadn't said
15	mistreated others, but this is difficult because the	15	anything to him when I was in the outdoor yard.
16	officers didn't normally introduce themselves to the	16	"I didn't understand what reason he would have to
17	detainees or tell them their names:	17	treat me like that. I don't know the officer's name,
18	"The first time I was in the corridor with other	18	but I remember he had short hair and was wearing a dark
19	detainees and we were about to go into our cells for the	19	navy shirt with G4S logo on it. It wasn't the same
20	night lock-up, I was entering my cell on my own because	20	officer who kicked me in the first incident that
21	my cellmate wasn't there, when an officer approached me	21	I mentioned.
22	from behind. At first, I didn't think anything of it	22	"There were also other ways in which officers
23	because I knew he was there to lock my room. However,	23	mistreated us. For example, I once accidentally dropped
24	he then kicked me in the bottom of one of my feet,	24	a plastic spoon I had been given with my meal in the bin
25	pushing me further into the cell. I was shocked when	25	by mistake and had no cutlery to eat as a result.
	Page 29		Page 31
1	the officer kicked me. I didn't expect it and	1	I tried to ask an officer for a spoon and a fork to eat
2	I stumbled forward. I was wearing flip-flops and he was	2	and explained that I had dropped my spoon in the bin by
3	wearing boots, so it hurt the sole of my foot. The	3	accident. The officer shook his head at me and said no.
4	officer said something in a low voice which I couldn't	4	The officer didn't give me any cutlery and gestured to
5	understand. He then left and locked the door to my	5	one of the bins. An Iranian detainee translated the
6	cell. I don't know the officer's name. He was white,	6	officer's response for me, which was that I would just
7	of medium or large build and had short hair. He looked	7	have to take the spoon out of the bin and wash it and
8	like he was in his mid 30s at the time. I felt too	8	use it. I was eventually able to get a clean fork from
9	scared to react when I was kicked so I didn't say	9	another detainee but it felt humiliating and
10	anything at that time. In fact, I was scared all the	10	unnecessary. I don't remember having any dealings with
11	time of what the officers could do to me. I had	11	this officer in the past. I don't know why it would
12	previously, not on the same day, heard other detainees	12	have been a problem to give me a clean fork or spoon.
13	screaming when my cell door was locked at night and it	13	It felt like he was just trying to make me feel like
14	sounded like they were being physically assaulted by	14	I was not a human being.
15	officers.	15	"After I was moved to C wing, I also witnessed
16	"I couldn't see them, but they sounded like they	16	people self-harming and getting no proper help. It was
17	were in pain. I was scared something like that would	17	horrible. I find remembering these events particularly
18	happen to me, so I never put the complaint in about this	18	difficult. On one occasion, I was coming back from the
19	incident.	19	outdoor yard with other detainees and I heard people
20	"I also didn't know about the complaint system in	20	shouting that a man had cut himself. I went towards the
21	the centre, nor of any organisations that I could speak	21	place where I thought the shouting was coming from.
22	to about complaints. No-one ever told me a complaints	22	There were several detainees crowding at the door of
		23	the cell of another Iranian detainee. I can't remember
23	system existed or how to access it.	2.5	the cen of another framan actainee. I can t remember
23 24	system existed or how to access it.  "The second time an officer kicked me, I was coming	24	his name. But I remember he was from Southern Iran. He
24	"The second time an officer kicked me, I was coming	24	his name. But I remember he was from Southern Iran. He

1	cell and saw that he had blood on one of his wrists and	1	it to the door frame. I could see the wire wrapped
2	his hand. He had cut himself with a razor. I started	2	around his neck. There was another detainee shouting
3	talking to him in Farsi, just trying to reassure him	3	and calling for help. The man with the wire around his
4	that he would be okay. There were other people watching	4	neck looked like he was suffocating. It was distressing
5	from the doorway. Not long after I entered, a G4S	5	to watch.
6	officer entered. He just grabbed me and pushed me out	6	"Two officers arrived and took him away. I don't
7	of the cell. He said something I couldn't understand.	7	remember healthcare ever arriving. I do not know what
8	This officer was a white male, large build. I recall	8	happened to him."
9	him having very big feet and a shaved head. He appeared	9	Next, "The attempt to remove me and my decision to
10	in his 40s.	10	refuse food".
11	"As I was pushed out of the cell, I saw that there	11	On 9 May 2017, the Home Office sought to remove
12	was another officer present just outside the room near	12	D2158 to Germany. He wasn't told in advance and he
13	the door. I didn't sustain any injuries as a result of	13	didn't know what was going on. He refused to go by
14	the push but I was shocked that the officer had grabbed	14	shaking his head. He was very scared. The removal was
15	me and forced me out of the cell when I was just trying	15	later cancelled:
16	to help the other detainee. I have been asked whether	16	"After that day, I felt even more scared and anxious
17	I was given any form to fill out after this incident	17	that I would be removed and I stopped eating. I did
18	happened. I didn't know that there was a procedure	18	this because I was struggling to cope with detention and
19	whereby I may be asked to give my account of what I saw.	19	felt I needed something to happen. I couldn't take it
20	I was not given any form to fill out. I don't know what	20	anymore. I can't remember how many days I went without
21	happened to the young man in the cell after the	21	eating but I think it was three to four days. I was
22	incident, but I know that when I left Brook House he was	22	checked by healthcare during this time but their checks
23	still detained there.	23	just consisted of asking me whether I had eaten and
24	"I also witnessed a second incident where someone	24	I think ticking a box when I said I hadn't. It looked
25	cut his wrist with a razor while we were in the area	25	like they did this on a form, but I was never given
23	cut his wrist with a razor while we were in the area	23	the they did this on a form, but I was never given
	Page 33		Page 35
1	where we normally ate. I didn't know that detainee. It	1	a copy of it. I don't believe they took any
2	was horrible. There was blood everywhere. I was not	2	observations. I remember, once, during this period,
3	closely involved but I saw it. Officers came and took	3	a male member of staff asked me why I was not eating or
4	him away from the area.	4	drinking and I just said no and shook my head. He
5	"There was a third incident that I witnessed through	5	didn't ask me any further questions. There were no
6	my time in Brook House. I was watching television in	6	interpreters present during this exchange or any other
7	the indoor recreation area when suddenly I heard a loud	7	checks from officers about my food or drink refusal.
8	noise. It sounded like people shouting. I went towards	8	"On 12 May 2017, I had an appointment with the
9	where the noise was coming from in the eating area	9	nurse. I struggle to remember exactly what happened
10	opposite where I was and there was already other	10	exactly at the appointment as I was going through a very
11	detainees gathered around the table where we normally	11	difficult time. I was not eating and I felt weak.
12	ate. We were on the ground floor of the building and	12	I remember I just had my head down and I felt weak.
13	the noise was coming from the floor above us. You could	13	•
14	see the landing of that floor; if you stood on top of	14	I remember saying I wanted to leave Brook House. I felt
15	the table at the central area, it was open and there was	15	depressed and just didn't want to be there. The women,
16	no ceiling there dividing it from the floor above.	16	who could speak Farsi, asked me to try and speak to them
17			as they may be able to help me. I did speak to them for
18	"When I got onto the table, I saw that on the floor above us there was a detainee who had wrapped what	17	some time, although I don't remember what was discussed
19	I believe was the wire of an electric shaver around his	18	exactly. However, after a while, I felt trapped and
20		19	claustrophobic in the room, like I was in a cage, and
	neck and was trying to either strangle or hang himself	20	I asked to leave and they allowed me to do so.
21	with it. He was standing in the doorway of a cell. I'm	21	"My release from Brook House and the impact on me.
22	not sure whether his feet were fully on the floor.	22	"I was released from Brook House on 15 May 2017. My
23	I didn't know the detainee but I remember he was a black	23	solicitor has told me that my release was in fact
24	man. I couldn't see well, from where I was standing,	24	authorised on 11 May 2017. I don't know why I was not
25	where the wire went, but I believe he had tried to tie	25	told that my release had been authorised and the reasons
	Page 34		Page 36

		ı	
1	for this. I have now been told by my solicitor that the	1	an article 3 basis.
2	decision was made because I couldn't be removed to	2	He explains that he has suffered from a drug
3	Germany. I find it difficult to understand why the	3	addiction for some years, which has resulted in him
4	Home Office didn't tell me this and left me without any	4	spending time in prison. D313 stopped using drugs when
5	knowledge that I would be released, especially when it	5	he was released from immigration detention in 2019. He
6	was such a distressing time for me. I find talking	6	is now drug free and his life has completely changed for
7	about my detention at Brook House really difficult and	7	the better.
8	thinking about what happened to me there makes me really	8	D313 has not been detained nor had any legal issues
9	anxious. Since being released, I have struggled to	9	since, which allows him to take care of his elderly
10	sleep properly. I have had nightmares and flashbacks to	10	mother and unwell brother.
11	my treatment in Iran and to my time at Brook House and	11	When his appeal was allowed, the immigration judge
12	the things I witnessed there. I also still suffer from	12	complimented his hard work.
13	heart palpitations. In December 2017, my GP diagnosed	13	D313 also explains that he has suffered from mental
14	me with PTSD. I was also, more recently, in June 2021,	14	ill-health for many years, which he still struggles to
15	assessed by a psychiatrist, Dr Paula Murphy, who	15	cope with. He has been diagnosed as suffering from
16	prepared a report for me and diagnosed me with PTSD and	16	severe depression and anxiety. He has self-harmed for
17	said, in her opinion, this was made worse by my	17	a number of years, including whilst in detention.
18	detention at Brook House."	18	D313 explains that, whilst detained at
19	D2158 has provided a statement of truth. He	19	Harmondsworth IRC, Dr Lisa Wootton diagnosed him with
20	confirms that the statement has been translated and read	20	a learning difficulty, attention deficit hyperactivity
21	to him in Farsi by a professional interpreter.	21	disorder and conduct disorder, a personality disorder,
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Luh. I would like to	22	a mood disorder and substance dependence. Dr Wootton
23	thank your clients, D1473 and D2158, for providing that	23	also stated in her report that detention was likely to
24	information to the inquiry.	24	increase D313's stress levels and have a negative effect
25	I'm going to ask that we take a short five-minute	25	on his mental health, which led to an increased risk of
	D 27		D 20
	Page 37		Page 39
1	comfort break before we then hear from you, Mr Lee.	1	self-harm and suicide in detention.
2	Thank you very much.	2	She noted that D313 was likely to continue to
3	(11.00 am)	3	self-harm and that he had a number of risk factors for
4	(A short break)	4	completed suicide.
5	(11.12 am)	5	Dr Wootton also noted D313's achievements, as the
6	THE CHAIR: Mr Lee, I understand we are hearing from you on	6	immigration judge had, when she further assessed him and
7	behalf of your client D313.	7	prepared another report in 2020. At that time, she
8	MR LEE: Thank you, chair. D313, in fact, attends. He sits	8	considered the risk of self-harm to be moderate, and his
9	in the public gallery but would prefer me to read and	9	risk of suicide to be reduced by his relative stability,
10	summarise his statement.	10	the family support available to him and his abstinence
11	Statement of D313 (read)	11	from illicit substances.
12	MR LEE: His statement is dated 21 February 2022 and has	12	Dr Wootton identified a number of factors, including
13	been given the reference <dl0000233> and in common with</dl0000233>	13	detention and return to Morocco, which were likely to
14	the other witnesses, there is both a witness statement	14	render the risk of self-harm and suicide as high.
15	and a summary note, chair, which I hope you have.	15	D313 also explains that he has suffered from
16	THE CHAIR: I do, thank you.	16	a severe learning disability since childhood. He was
17	MR LEE: The first 17 paragraphs can be summarised as	17	assessed by a learning psychologist, Dr Tim Green, who
18	follows. D313 explains that he is a national of Morocco	18	prepared a report in 2020. Dr Green noted in his report
19	and that he was detained at Brook House between	19	that D313's IQ falls well below the fifth percentile and
20	30 May 2017 and 28 June 2017, when he was released on	20	that his intellectual functioning and memory were
21	bail.	21	significantly impaired. D313 was deemed not to have
22	It was not his first time in immigration detention,	22	litigation capacity during his successful appeal.
23	nor his last. However, in June 2021, he was granted	23	Paragraphs 23 to 24, chair, can be summarised as
24	30 months leave to remain, after his appeal against the	24	follows. D313 states that he was transferred to
		1	D 1 H C H 1 4 IDC 20 M 2017 L
25	decision to revoke his deportation order was allowed on	25	Brook House from Harmondsworth IRC on 29 May 2017. It
25	decision to revoke his deportation order was allowed on  Page 38	25	Page 40

		1	
1	was not his first time in Brook House. There was a plan	1	aspects of culture."
2	to deport him to Morocco on 30 May 2017. He was held in	2	Paragraph 39 is summarised as:
3	rule 40 isolation before the proposed flight.	3	"D313 talks about officers taking a lot of time to
4	Picking up the witness statement at paragraph 25:	4	respond when other detainees pushed their cell bells."
5	"It was really frightening to be held in isolation	5	He states that he could hear noises of officers
6	at this point. I felt like harming myself. I was	6	talking and moving around in a room nearby when this
7	getting removed to a country that I hadn't been to for	7	happened. He also describes difficulties in sleeping
8	so many years. I didn't know when my flight was and	8	due to the noise at Brook House.
9	I couldn't prepare for it. I was by myself and I was	9	Paragraphs 42 and 45 are summarised as:
10	just walking up and down. I had no-one to talk to.	10	"D313 refers to his first night healthcare screening
11	I was very upset and I was in tears."	11	which took place when he arrived at Brook House during
12	Paragraph 26 is summarised as, a.	12	the relevant period."
13	"N application for judicial review stopped D313's	13	He refers to the record of that screening at
14	deportation."	14	<cjs006629_001>. It suggests that he had not tried to</cjs006629_001>
15	Picking up the statement again, chair, at	15	harm himself in prison or out of prison and he did not
16	paragraph 28:	16	have thoughts of deliberate self-harm.
17	"My experiences at Brook House IRC are extremely	17	D313 states that he cannot recall whether he was
18	negative. In particular, the conditions at Brook House	18	asked questions about these matters, but explains the
19	were very similar to a prison, as we were locked in for	19	difficulties he would have had in talking about
20	much of the day and we were treated like prisoners."	20	self-harm to a stranger who he had just met shortly
21	Summary of paragraphs 29 to 30. D313 explains that	21	after arriving at Brook House.
22	the mobile phones only worked outside at Brook House and	22	D313 explains that he needs a lot of support to talk
23	only in some areas indoors. He states that detainees	23	about his problems. However, there were numerous
24	were locked in at night between 9.00 pm and 8.00 am and	24	entries in D313's medical records which confirmed D313's
25	at lunch time.	25	history of self-harm and attempts at suicide. He notes
			·
	Page 41		Page 43
1	Paragraph 31:	1	that the entry relating to the first night's screening
2	"The worst thing for me about being in a detention	2	states that health information had not been received
3	centre, including whilst at Brook House, is that I was	3	from an outside source. He states that it seems his
4	so worried about getting deported all of the time."	4	history was not considered. He also notes that the
5	Paragraph 32. D313 states he had his own cell at	5	Home Office was aware of the contents of Dr Wootton's
6	Brook House and he is aware that he had been assessed as	6	report of 25 May 2017 by 30 May 2017, at the latest, due
7	not suitable for a shared cell.	7	to the available records.
8	Paragraphs 35 and 36:	8	He also notes, in relation to the first night's
9	"At Brook House, I felt depressed and lonely, as	9	screening, that, while the entry states that he had not
10	I had no-one to talk to during long periods locked into	10	received medication for mental health problems, he had
11	my cell. The cell was cold and I had nothing to do.	11	been taking medication for his mental health whilst in
12	I would often self-harm whilst I was alone at night.	12	prison, as confirmed by his medical records.
13	I felt I had no hope and I thought about ending my life.	13	Paragraphs 48 to 50. D313 believes he saw a nurse
14	I didn't report how I was feeling as there was no-one	14	once or twice more during this period of detention at
15	I trusted enough to open up to. I didn't want everyone	15	Brook House. He refers to an entry in his medical
16	to know how bad my mental health was. I was really	16	records at <cjs006629_0003, complaining<="" he="" td="" was="" where=""></cjs006629_0003,>
17	worried that if I trusted one staff member and opened up	17	about pain which he thought was stress related. He
18	to them, that they would tell someone else and everyone	18	believes he was given some tablets by healthcare but did
19	would find out. I was very scared that people would	19	not know exactly what they were, but thought they were
20	know how I was truly feeling.	20	for his mental health. D313 states that he did not
21	"It was normal for detainees at Brook House to spend	21	attend some prebooked appointments either because he was
22	time with people from the same country as it was	22	unwell or didn't feel with it. Also, he didn't
23	difficult to survive in a place like that without any	23	particularly trust anyone who was working at
24	friends, and it was often easier to establish	24	Brook House.
25	friendships with people who shared a language and	25	He explains that he wanted to build a relationship
I		I	
	Page 42		Page 44

		1	
1	before he would come to trust a medical professional,	1	and was, at the time, coming back down to normal.
2	but this never happened at Brook House. He thought they	2	DCO Sayers took D313 back to his cell. D313 does not
3	were part of the system and he was scared of asking for	3	know what provoked the attack by DCO Sayers. He did not
4	help.	4	assault DCO Sayers. D313 states that he thinks
5	Paragraphs 53 and 54. D313 discusses having	5	DCO Sayers was just angry at something and did not like
6	a reduction in dosage of medication to prepare him for	6	him and gave him a full punch to the face.
7	being deported to Morocco. He describes it as inhumane	7	Picking up the witness statement at paragraph 68:
8	and states it made him feel really unwell. D313 states	8	"It was extremely painful and I was knocked
9	that it made him want to hurt himself. He refers to	9	completely over. Officer Sayers then picked me up by my
10	previous examples of the negative impact of withdrawal	10	face and threw me back on the bed. There was nothing
11	on him during his detention, including sweating, chills,	11	I could do to stop him hurting me. He was so much
12	aches and pains.	12	bigger and more powerful than me.
13	Picking up the witness statement, chair, at	13	"I remember that when Officer Sayers assaulted me,
14	paragraph 55:	14	there was at least one other officer with him. Nobody
15	"I didn't get clean or think about getting clean at	15	offered me any help and support afterwards.
16	Brook House because I was too worried about getting	16	"After he attacked me, I just sat there on the bed
17	deported. My experience was that the people who worked	17	and cried. I wondered why he'd done this to me, but
18	at Brook House were extremely aggressive and they seemed	18	there didn't appear to be any explanation, except that
19	to enjoy punishing detainees. The officers maintained	19	he wanted to hurt me and felt able to abuse me in this
20	a culture of intimidation and fear within Brook House,	20	way without any negative consequences. I remember
21	which they used to control the detainees. I remember	21	self-harming and thinking about what had happened to me
22	many of us were scared of the staff. I was worried that	22	and how unfair it was.
23	if I did anything that they thought was bad, I would be	23	"I was in a lot of pain afterwards in my cheek. It
24	targeted by the officers. This was a very common topic	24	was red and bruised. My mental health suffered for some
25	of conversation amongst detainees. A lot of people said	25	time afterwards. I still think about this incident.
	Page 45		Page 47
1	they were scared of what might happen to them. There	1	I remember that my family came to visit me when I still
2	was a horrible tension in the air. I know that a lot of	2	had marks from this assault. I didn't want to tell them
3	individuals were assaulted by the officers because	3	what had happened. They were already suffering because
4	I either witnessed it or heard about it from other	4	I was in detention and I didn't want them to suffer
5	detainees. However, on many occasions, the detainees	5	more."
6	who had been assaulted would be removed from the country	6	Paragraphs 72 and 73 are summarised as follows.
7	and nobody would hear from them again. Individuals who	7	D313 now understands that DCO Sayers was investigated
8	didn't speak English had it particularly bad. The	8	for this assault after footage from the BBC showed what
9	officers would therefore get away with it. This allowed	9	he had done. It led to an investigation report which
10	the violence to continue."	10	notes, at <hom001585 0006="">, that DCO Sayers had claimed</hom001585>
11	Paragraphs 60 to 67 are summarised as follows.	11	that he'd accidentally used D313's face to get up off
12	D313, who is very slight, remembers when he was	12	the bed. However, according to a witness, DCO Sayers
13	assaulted by DCO Sayers on 15 June 2017. He states that	13	had struck D313 with the back of his hand. It's also
14	he knew DCO Sayers as a big man who was very aggressive	14	noted that a fellow officer had asked DCO Sayers whether
15	towards the detainees. He was aware of DCO Sayers'	15	he had a good team, which was taken to mean if he had
16	reputation, even before the assault, and found him	16	officers who would cover up his actions. DCO Sayers
17	extremely intimidating. D313 refers to an incident when	17	replied, 'No, that's why I did it on my own'.
18	DCO Sayers used force on a Romanian detainee who didn't	18	D313 explains that the allegation was only partially
19	speak English. D313 believed the man had mental health	19	substantiated, including, at <hom001585_0009>, that</hom001585_0009>
20	issues. He witnessed the detainee say something and	20	there was insufficient evidence to support the
21	DCO Sayers grabbed him, put him on the floor and put	21	allegation of assault on D313, but that there was
22	a knee on the man's back. The bell went and lots of	22	sufficient evidence to demonstrate that DCO Sayers
23	officers arrived, put handcuffs on the detainee and took	23	employed an unapproved use of force and lied when he
24	him away screaming and crying.	24	stated that he was alone during the incident.
25	On 15 June 2017, D313 had suffered a spice attack	25	Picking up the witness statement, chair, at
	Page 46		Page 48

Think that DCO Sayers and the other officers a strepped to cover up what happened to me. It's clear from the investigation report that the officers stuck beginning and tried to help each other out. Treely thought that they would get away with it because ment detained:  Paragraphs 75 and 77 are assummarical as follows.  D313 notes: that it appears from the investigation report they also did not ask for him to get medical care, which that they do did not ask for him to get medical care, which shows that they did not aut anyone to find out what had become that they did not aut anyone to find out what had become that they did not away anyone to me and the control of a special care, which is leaded to the witness statement, chair, at paragraphs 78.  Twas not saked any questions by the investigators and low as not given an opportunity to explain what had beginned to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78.  Twas not asked any questions by the investigators and low as not given an opportunity to explain what had beginned to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78.  Twas not asked any questions by the investigators and low as not given an opportunity to explain what had beginned to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78.  Twas not asked any questions by the investigators and low as not given an opportunity to explain what had beginned to the witness statement ask and the paragraph 78.  Twas not asked any questions by the investigators and low as not given an opportunity to explain what had beginned to the control of the story, which wast't true. I remember another incident at Brook Houses the himself of the paragraph 78.  Twas not asked any questions, the low and the paragraph 78 is and 79 are as a serious for men. Twas dependent of the first of a same and the paragraph 78 is an opportunity to explain what had be appeared before It was granted hall. The foffices the relation to the paragraph 78 is more as a serious for me. Twas despectate to a same though the paragraph 78 is more as a serious for me.				
a mitempted to cover up what happened to me. It's clear from the investigation report that the officers stack together and tried to help each other out. They shought that they would get away with the beause most detainese couldn't speak up or out for themselves."  Paragraphs 75 and 77 are assumarrised as follows. D313 notes that it appears from the investigation report they also do flort safe for this together day of the theory of the fire of the state of	1	paragraph 74:	1	no-one there who I felt that I was able to confide in
antempted to cover up what happened to me. It's clear from the investigation report that the officers stack bogether and fried to help each other out. They thought that they would get away with it because most detainces couldn't speek, up or out for themselves."  Paragraphs 75 and 77 arc summariced as follows.  D313 notes that it appears from the investigation report they also did not ask for him to get medical cure, which shapened. D313 is cally upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had lied about what happened, that mything he had to say about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why amount of the complaint of the state	2		2	and who may have been able to help me with any
from the investigation report that the officers stack together and fried to help each other out. They thought that they would get awy with it because most detaines couldn't speak up or out for themselves."  Paragraphs 75 and 72 mes turnarised as follows. D313 notes that it appears from the investigation report that the officers did not report the incident and that they sould not sake from the percent of the officers of the off	3	-	3	complaints. In any event, I was too frightened to say
that they would get away with it because most detainees couldn't speak up or our for themselves."  Paragraphs 78 and 77 are summarised as follows.  Basiled and I did not want to raise too mash of a fass. I would have been too scared to speak out.  I also did not take for birn to get neclical care, which they also did not ask for him to get neclical care, which also proceed. Dil 3 is really upset by the outcome. He's not seem to speak out.  I also did not take for him to get neclical care, which also proceed. Dil 3 is really upset by the outcome. He's not seem to speak out.  I also did not take for birn to get neclical care, which also proceed. Dil 3 is really upset by the outcome. He's not seem to speak out.  I on stare why, when it had been found that DOS Sayers had lied about what happened, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. Dil 3 does not know with a paragraph 78:  I was not saked any questions by the investigators and I was not give an outpound the process of the season of the was compared to him.  Page 49  I was the victim and they should have saked on what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have saked on what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the process of the story, which was fiftened on the process of the story of the season of the process of the story of the season of the season of the process of the process of the season of the process of the season of the process	4	• • •	4	
that they would get away with it because most detainees couldn't speak, up or out for themselves."  Paragraphs 75 and 77 are summarised as follows.  Paragraphs 80 id not sake for him to get medical care, which they also did not sake for him to get medical care, which shows that they did not want anyone to find out what had happened. D313 is really used by the outcome. Ho's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had it lied about what happened, that anything he had to say also the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe he tried to assuit DCO Sayers, given by the was on the follows believe be with the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe he tried to assuit DCO Sayers, given by the was on the follows believe as the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  Paragraphs 80 to 90 are summarised as:  "Two san to asked any questions by the investigation and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had papened. Without asking me any questions, the paragraph 78:  Paragraphs 99 and 92 are summarised as to complain and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had papened. Without asking me any questions, the paragraph 78:  Paragraph 78 are for member another incident at Brook House and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had anything and the paragraph 78 are told me that I was going to be moved to another explain what had anything and the paragraph 78 are told me that I was going to be moved to another explain what had anything and paragraph 78 are told me that I was going to be moved to another explain what had anything and paragraph 78 are told me that I was going to be moved to another exp	5		5	
assaulted and I did not want to nise ton much of Brangraphs 73 and 73 are saminarised as follows.  Built note that it appears from the investigation report that the officers did not report the incident and that they also did not ask for him to get medical care, which shows that they did not want anyone to find out what had happened. D313 is really upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had lifed about what happened, that anything he had to say about the nicident was believed. D313 does not know why a show would believe he rist do assault DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the wimess statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was no given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong. Lives the victim and they should have asked me what which happened before I was granted bail. The officers to the that I was going to be moved to annother detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was couning up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew part funders detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was couning up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew part funders detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was couning up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew as I state that court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to be released. I also knew as I state that detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was couning up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew as I state that detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was couning up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew as I state that I was going to be moved to annother detention centre, Morton Hall. Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "I was the funder of the story, which as was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is a summarised as: "To Balls had be abl	6		6	, ,
a fiss. I would have been too scared to speak out.  But the officers did not perport the incident and that they also did not ask for him to get medical care, which shows that they did not want anyone to find out what had la happened. Did it is about the incident was tally upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had lied about whan happened, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. Did 3 does not know why anyone would believe he tried to assault DCO Sayers, lag given how high be was compared to him.  Buck to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is complectly wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened to me. I think this is complectly wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what swark true. I remember another incident at Brook House, and the complain, the complain of the story, which seems and the complain of the paragraph of the story, which seems and the complain of the wind the paragraph of the story, which seems and the state and palled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Page 49  I investigation only had one side of the story, which seems and the paragraph and the state and palled my hands back. This was extremely painful.  Page 51  I was not sweed on and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend to the transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and palled my hands back. This was extremely painful.  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "Did 3 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "See of force and complains.  "I was not aware of how to complain, as a complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House, cigrettes and mobile phones.  Finance of the story, which is the release of the story, which is the	7		7	
9 D313 notes that it appears from the investigation report that the officers did not report in incident and that the officers did not report bit in the jate officer and that the officers did not report bit they also did not as for him to get medical care, which appeared. D313 is really upset by the outcome. He's an otsure why, when it had been found that D2C Suyers had lied about what happeared, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why amone would believe he tried to assault D2C Suyers, given how big he was compared to him.  9 Back to the winess statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  10 I was not asked any questions by the investigators and bappened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  21 I was not asked any questions by the investigators and they should have asked me what 23 happened. Without asking me any questions, the Page 49  11 investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened befrore I was generated bail. The officers wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcurfted me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  10 Paragraph 83:  11 "Use of force and complains.  11 "Was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complain taffer laws assaulted by Officer Sayers of for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a accomplain taffer laws assaulted by Officer Sayers of the story, which was assaulted by Officer Sayers of for the case and then I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to complain to the transferred. The officers were very angry a	8		8	
that the officers did not report the incident and that they also did not ask for him to get medical care, which a show that they did not ask for him to get medical care, which also show that they did not wan hand to a happened. D313 is really upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers, about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe he tried in assault DCO Sayers, good with the propered, that anything he had to say anyone would believe he tried in assault DCO Sayers, good with the propered to him. The sayer had been found that DCO Sayers, good with the propered to him. The sayer had been so white sayers compared to him. The sayer had been so which have seed any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened before low agar granted hair. The officers which happened before low agar granted hair. The officers to detention certire, Mortron Hall. My bail hearing was compained to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance a being released from detentions. So I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "Use of force and complaints."  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processor were whils at Brook House at the detention centre, Mortron Hall. My bail hearing us a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me befo				
they also did not ask for him to get medical care, which shows that they did not wart anyone to find out what had happened. D313 is really upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had ited about what happened, that anything he had to say anyone would believe he tried to assault DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was not asked any questions by the investigators and happened. Without asking me any questions, the Page 49  investigation only had one side of the story, which was attremed a paragraph 78.  I investigation only had one side of the story, which awart true. I remember another incident at Brook House, and the vast of which happened before I was granted bail. The officers which happened before I was granted bail. The officers of have my hail hearing and to have a chance at being released. I also knew my family was going to attend complaints.  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain, there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was	10			•
shows that they did not want anyone to find out what had happened. Di31 is really used by the outcome. He's not save why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers and it icd about what happened, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe be tried to assault DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the wifness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened on me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened be me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened before I was granted bail. The officers to did me that I was going to be moved to another detention entre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was to the transferred. The officers were very angry at me released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referces for me. I was desperate to be released. I also knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referces for me. I was desperate to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me.  Paragraphs 80 to 90 are summarised as:  "D313 did not have a rule 35 assessment at Brook House, the did restricted and the thinset. He did not understand what and was on ACDT whits at Brook House and the describes spice use and ACDT whits at Brook House and the describes and the timest. He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and restricted the same that the describes spice use as absolutely widespread and but was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time.  Back to the witness datement at Brook House and I file the describes spice was getting in or ben involved, as it was obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detained to a treaspraph 82. w				
happened. D313 is really upset by the outcome. He's not sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had lied about what happened, that anything he had to say anyone would believe he tried to assault IDCO Sayers, given how hig he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what the happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what told means the paragraph 80 one side of the story, which which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another complain and to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Pagraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had not have a rule 25 sussessment at Brook House, but on did feel suicidal and turn timeself. He notes he had regularly been pelaced on ACDT whilst at prook House although he did feel suicidal and turn timeself. He notes he had regularly been placed on ACDT whilst in prison. D313 used spice whilst at Prook House at three. He describes spice was a despitable to three at the was suggestible in relation to drugs at that mic. He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and it. He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and it. He describes only the describes pice use as absolutely widespread and it. He describes only the sure suggestible in relation to drugs at that mic. He describes only the describes only to get in the D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detentions could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones. Finally,				
no sure why, when it had been found that DCO Sayers had lied about what happened, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe he tried to assualt DCO Sayers, given how hig he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at 20 paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  I was the victim and they should have asked me what to do the story, which was rut true. I remember another incident at Brook House but not very often. He knew it was bad for him and saw how in affected others but was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time.  He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and  Page 51  I states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aver of how a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Paragraph 82 is unwhappened to me incident at Brook House, including any drugs, eigerettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to		•		
liced about what happened, that anything he had to say about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why and the lice the tried to assualt DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  'I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened be with the strength of the same		* * *		• •
about the incident was believed. D313 does not know why anyone would believe he tried to assault DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  1 "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened for me. I think this is completely wrong.  1 was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the Page 49  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House at house obtious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detaines could get hold of any large processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or gor the was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were an action of the story which happened before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were an action and the action of the witness statement at paragraph 82 a valience of the story. Which was statement at paragraph 82 a valience of the story which happened before I was granted bail. I have a complain after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or gor the same and publicable telling me how to complain, 1 wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 1 wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 2 was constrained a valiable telling me how to complain, 2 wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 2 was constrained a valiable telling me how to complain, 2 wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 2 was constrained a valiable telling me how to complain, 2 wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 2 was constrained a valiable telling me how to complain, 2 was a constrained bail. I have a second to a second them and publicable and and publicable and and publicable and and publicable and publicable and publicable and publicable and publicable and				
anyone would believe he tried to assault DCO Sayers, given how big he was compared to him.  Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the page 49  "I was not asked any questions, the page 49  "I was not without asking me any questions, the page 49  "I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the page 49  "I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the page 49  "I investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referes for me. I was desperate to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  He are a page 49  "I was not aware of hove and and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "I said they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of hove to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complain fater I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or a complain after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if here were a materials available telling me how to complain, a serious learning disability, so, even if here were a materials				
Back to the witness statement, chair, at  paragraph 78:  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  "Page 51  I investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bal hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and well happened as a complain after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or a serious learning disability, so, even if there were a a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was		-		
Back to the witness statement, chair, at paragraph 78:  21 "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  22 and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  23 happened to me. I think this is completely wrong.  24 I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the  25 happened. Without asking me any questions, the  26 Page 49  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers which happened before I was granted bail. The officers our to act as referees for me. I was desperate to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  28 a paragraph 82:  29 believe he was on ACDT whilst at Brook House bail deel feel suicidal and burt himself. He notes he had regularly been placed on ACDT whilst at Brook House although he did feel suicidal and hurt himself. He note who to very fem. He knew it was bad for him and saw how it affected others but was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time. He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and Page 51  21 states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detantion centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to a saver of spice coming in through visits. He states that detantions court of act are fererees for me. I was desperate to a saver of spice coming in through visits. He states that detantions of a ware of spice coming in through visits. He st				
20 paragraph 78: 21 "I was not asked any questions by the investigators and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had happened to me. I think this is completely wrong. 22 I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew my family was going to attend coming up soon and I knew my family was going to attend to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  1 Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "Use of force and complaints.  1 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  2 I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House by the not very one had it. He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and Page 51  2 states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 count to act as referces for me. I was desperate to 8 paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  10 "I still have bad memories about what happened to me 10 miles and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  11 A paragraph 82 is summarised as:  12 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  13 Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees on the detention centre between officers and other detainees in the detention."  13 Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep				
21 regularly been placed on ACDT whilst in prison. D313 22 and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had 23 happened to me. I think this is completely wrong. 24 I was the victim and they should have asked me what 25 happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  Page 51  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which 2 wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House 3 which happened before I was granted bail. The officers 4 told me that I was going to be moved to another 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 7 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 8 court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This 13 was extremely painful. 14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as: 15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and Level what I had on the back 21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  26 the describes between placed on ACDT whilst in prison. D313 27 used spice was bad for him and saw how it affected others 28 hew was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time. 29 the describes spice use as absolutely widespread and  20 the describes spice use as absolutely widespread and  21 states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had 22 it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of 23 how spice was getting in or been involved, as it				_
22 and I was not given an opportunity to explain what had 23 happened to me. I think this is completely wrong. 24 I was the victim and they should have asked me what 25 happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  Page 51  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which 2 wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House 3 which happened before I was granted bail. The officers 4 told me that I was going to be moved to another 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing aws 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 7 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 8 court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This 13 was extremely painful." 14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as: 15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House also from in and saw how it affected others 24 but was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time. 25 He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and 26 lit. He is sure that officers must have been aware of 3 how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was 4 obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not 4 aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that 4 detention, centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 8 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This				
23 happened to me. I think this is completely wrong. 24 I was the victim and they should have asked me what 25 happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  Page 51  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which 2 wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers 4 told me that I was going to be moved to another 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 9 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 8 court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This 13 was extremely painful." 14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as: 15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a complaint after I was granted bail. I have 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was				
I was the victim and they should have asked me what happened. Without asking me any questions, the  Page 49  Page 51  investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention, centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  but was suggestible in relation to drugs at that time.  He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and  Page 51  Batas it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainees ould get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarcettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bed memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. Everything th				*
Page 49  1 investigation only had one side of the story, which 2 wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House 3 which happened before I was granted bail. The officers 4 told me that I was going to be moved to another 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 9 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 8 court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This 13 was extremely painful." 14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as: 15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  25 He describes spice use as absolutely widespread and  Page 51  1 states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was how spice was getting in or been in volved, as it was detention, centre for the in. D313 was not adetention, and the winess statement at paragraph 82.  10 "I				
Page 49  Page 51  investigation only had one side of the story, which wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "Use of force and complaints.  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainees could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	25	•	25	
1 investigation only had one side of the story, which 2 wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House 3 which happened before I was granted bail. The officers 4 told me that I was going to be moved to another 5 detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was 6 coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to 7 be released. I also knew my family was going to attend 8 court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to 9 have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being 10 released from detention, so I said that I did not want 11 to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me 12 and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This 13 was extremely painful." 14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as: 15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 26 the states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of it. He is sure that officers must have been involved, as it was 6 bovious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not 6 detention centre, Morton fall. The obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not 6 detention centre, Morton fall and availe and obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not 6 detention centre, Morton fall and avare of spice was getting in or been involved, as it was 6 obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not 8 detention centre, Morton fall avare of spice was getting in or been involved, as it was 6 detention fall panily was going to attend 7 including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile plot hou				1 7 1
wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another  told me that I was going to be moved to another  detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was  coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to  be released. I also knew my family was going to attend count to act as referees for me. I was desperate to  have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainces could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainces and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you, wery much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.		Page 49		Page 51
which happened before I was granted bail. The officers told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  a told me that I was going to be moved to another dobuvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainces could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the	1	investigation only had one side of the story, which	1	states it was really easy to get hold of, everyone had
told me that I was going to be moved to another detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "Use of force and complaints.  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainees could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bead memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	2	wasn't true. I remember another incident at Brook House	2	it. He is sure that officers must have been aware of
detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints. "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  a ware of spice coming in through visits. He states that detainees could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainces and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. I	3	which happened before I was granted bail. The officers	3	how spice was getting in or been involved, as it was
coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  detainees could get hold of anything at Brook House, including any drugs, eigarettes and mobile phones.  Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in la Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in la Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in la Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in la Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in la Brook House. I still think about the bad things that la Granted La Grante La Grante La Grante La Grante La Grante La Gran	4	told me that I was going to be moved to another	4	obvious someone was helping to get it in. D313 was not
be released. I also knew my family was going to attend court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were a serious learning disability, so, even if there were I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I was not aware of form detention, so I said that I did not want a count to act as referees for me. I was desperate to Brinally, chair, back to the witness statement at paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in for Still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in for Still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in for Still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in for Brook House. I still think about the bad things that	5	detention centre, Morton Hall. My bail hearing was	5	aware of spice coming in through visits. He states that
secourt to act as referees for me. I was desperate to have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints. "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I have happened in the past, including my experiences in the bad paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that I have happened in the past, including my experiences in In Brook House. Is till think about the bad things that I paragraph 82 is the have happened in the past, including my experiences in In Brook House. Is the sall the bad things that I	6	coming up soon and I knew that I had an opportunity to	6	detainees could get hold of anything at Brook House,
have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or complaint after I was granted bail. I have materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in land have happened in the past, including my experiences in land have happened in the past, including my experiences in land have happened to me in Brook House. I still have bad memories about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about what happened to me in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in land they handcuffed me and pulled my hands base. I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed	7	be released. I also knew my family was going to attend	7	including any drugs, cigarettes and mobile phones.
released from detention, so I said that I did not want to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a serious learning disability, so, even if there were and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the sat, including my experiences in have happened in the past, i	8	court to act as referees for me. I was desperate to	8	Finally, chair, back to the witness statement at
to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  "I in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including my experiences in have happened in the past, including the time base the have labeled to seep at night.  I remember the violence in the detaines and when I was assaulted.  Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and the ferover fully. I spend most of my time indoors	9	have my bail hearing and to have a chance at being	9	paragraph 98, under the heading "Impact":
and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This was extremely painful."  Paragraph 82 is summarised as: "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  12 have happened in the past, including my experiences in Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night.  I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	10	released from detention, so I said that I did not want	10	"I still have bad memories about what happened to me
13 Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night.  14 Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released."  17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  18 "Use of force and complaints.  19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  18 Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night.  19 I remember the violence in the detention centre between 15 officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted.  16 Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and 18 I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted 19 leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past 20 experiences in immigration detention." 21 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the 22 usual statement of truth at the end. 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and 24 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 25 today. It is very much appreciated.	11	to be transferred. The officers were very angry at me	11	in Brook House. I still think about the bad things that
Paragraph 82 is summarised as:  "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  I remember the violence in the detention centre between officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted.  Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	12	and they handcuffed me and pulled my hands back. This	12	have happened in the past, including my experiences in
15 "D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was 16 granted bail and released." 17 Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83: 18 "Use of force and complaints. 19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 26 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 27 Officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted. 28 Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and 29 I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention." 29 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end. 20 Usual statement of truth at the end. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	13	was extremely painful."	13	Brook House. This makes me struggle to sleep at night.
granted bail and released."  Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  16 Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  21 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	14	Paragraph 82 is summarised as:	14	I remember the violence in the detention centre between
Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:  "Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention." That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	15	"D313 had his bail hearing the next day and was	15	officers and other detainees and when I was assaulted.
"Use of force and complaints.  "I was not aware of how to complain or what the processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  18 I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past experiences in immigration detention."  21 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end. 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	16	granted bail and released."	16	Everything that I've been through makes it difficult to
19 "I was not aware of how to complain or what the 20 processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make 21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 26 leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past 27 experiences in immigration detention." 28 21 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the 29 usual statement of truth at the end. 20 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and 21 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 22 today. It is very much appreciated.	17	Back to the witness statement at paragraph 83:	17	recover fully. I spend most of my time indoors and
processes were whilst at Brook House and I did not make a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  20 experiences in immigration detention."  That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the usual statement of truth at the end.  THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend today. It is very much appreciated.	18	"Use of force and complaints.	18	I find it difficult to go out. Whilst I've been granted
21 a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or 22 for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have 23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 26 That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the 27 usual statement of truth at the end. 28 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and 29 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 20 today. It is very much appreciated.	19	"I was not aware of how to complain or what the	19	leave to remain now, I've not escaped my past
for the assault on me before I was granted bail. I have a serious learning disability, so, even if there were materials available telling me how to complain, I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was  22 usual statement of truth at the end. 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and 24 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 25 today. It is very much appreciated.			20	experiences in immigration detention."
23 a serious learning disability, so, even if there were 24 materials available telling me how to complain, 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and 24 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 25 today. It is very much appreciated.		a complaint after I was assaulted by Officer Sayers or	21	That witness statement is signed, chair, and has the
24 materials available telling me how to complain, 24 thank you, too, to D313 for taking the time to attend 25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 25 today. It is very much appreciated.	22		22	usual statement of truth at the end.
25 I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was 25 today. It is very much appreciated.	23		23	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Lee, and
			24	• ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
Page 50 Page 52	25	I wouldn't have been able to read them. There was	25	today. It is very much appreciated.
rage 50 Page 52		Dama EO		Daga 52
		rage 30		rage 32

1	I suggest we now take our 15-minute break. We are	1	A. I worked for Safeway, later Morrisons, for 20 years as
2	going to be hearing from a live witness following that	2	a deputy store manager.
3	break, so that should give us time to organise that. We	3	Q. How did that equip you for work at Brook House?
4	will reconvene at 11.45 am. Thank you.	4	A. It taught me to manage teams of people and also dealing
5	(11.33 am)	5	with the public, which I had to do quite a lot in my
6	(A short break)	6	role. So, yes, it was fairly good preparation.
7	(11.50 am)	7	Q. Fairly good, but was it, in your view, adequate
8	MR ALTMAN: Chair, the next witness is Chris Donnelly.	8	preparation for the kind of people, if you like, the
9	MR CHRISTOPHER MARTIN DONNELLY (affirmed)	9	customers, who you were dealing with at Brook House?
10	Examination by MR ALTMAN	10	A. Probably not. It was a bit of a shock.
11	MR ALTMAN: Let us have your full name, please.	11	Q. Yes. "Shock" in the sense that dealing with customers
12	A. Christopher Martin Donnelly.	12	in a Safeway supermarket is not going to confront you
13	Q. Mr Donnelly, you will confirm that you have provided two	13	with the kind of issues, of which there were many, that
14	witness statements to the inquiry; is that right?	14	confronted you at Brook House, presumably?
15	A. That's correct.	15	A. That's fair to say.
16	Q. The first of them dated 17 February of this year, which	16	Q. And almost the same would be true of any other custody
17	is <ser000444>, and the second of which, I think, is</ser000444>	17	officer, whether a DCM or DCO, at Brook House during
18	dated, curiously, 16 February, but that is <ser000442>.</ser000442>	18	that period?
19	Chair, I invite you to adduce those two statements,	19	A. I would say so.
20	please, in full.	20	Q. You say it was, I think, a bit of a shock?
21	THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you.	21	A. Yes.
22	MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, first of all, I want you to tell us	22	Q. In what way?
23	something about yourself. Clearly, you worked at G4S,	23	A. Just when the centre first opened, a huge percentage of
24	becoming a detainee custody manager, or a DCM. When did	24	the detainees were
25	you become a DCM at Brook House?	25	Q. "Detainees", detained persons?
	D 52		D 55
	Page 53		Page 55
1	A Ligined the company in 2008, and Lapplied, before the	1 1	A. Detained persons, sorry, were ex-HMP, and the level of
1 2	A. I joined the company in 2008, and I applied, before the centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager.	1 2	A. Detained persons, sorry, were ex-HMP, and the level of verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a
2	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager.	2	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a
2 3	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager.		verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.
2 3 4	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it?	2 3	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few
2 3	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right.	2 3 4	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.
2 3 4 5 6	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008?	2 3 4 5	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008.	2 3 4 5 6	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out
2 3 4 5 6	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008?	2 3 4 5 6 7	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager.  So, when the centre opened, I was a manager.  Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it?  A. That's right.  Q. You joined the company when in 2008?  A. December 2008.  Q. December?  A. Yes.  Q. So a few months before Brook House opened?  A. Yes.  Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave?  A. I am still  Q. You're still there with Serco?  A at Brook House, yes.  Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still a DCM at Brook House?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?  A. Just that they were more familiar with the environment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still a DCM at Brook House? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?  A. Just that they were more familiar with the environment than we were.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still a DCM at Brook House? A. Yes. Q. Prior to you gaining that appointment in 2008 and when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?  A. Just that they were more familiar with the environment than we were.  Q. So they had experience of having served time in prison,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still a DCM at Brook House? A. Yes. Q. Prior to you gaining that appointment in 2008 and when the centre opened in March 2009, what previous experience did you have?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?  A. Just that they were more familiar with the environment than we were.  Q. So they had experience of having served time in prison, however long that time had been, whereas Christopher Donnelly, in your case, was, effectively,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	centre opened, to become a detainee custody manager. So, when the centre opened, I was a manager. Q. The centre opened in March 2009, didn't it? A. That's right. Q. You joined the company when in 2008? A. December 2008. Q. December? A. Yes. Q. So a few months before Brook House opened? A. Yes. Q. You left, just so we can get an idea of the period of time when you were a DCM at Brook House, when did you leave? A. I am still Q. You're still there with Serco? A at Brook House, yes. Q. When the company lost its contract, you carried on when Serco took over? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still a DCM at Brook House? A. Yes. Q. Prior to you gaining that appointment in 2008 and when the centre opened in March 2009, what previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	verbal abuse that we used to receive was quite a — quite a shock.  Q. We will come to what you have to say about it in a few moments, but it was, as you have just described it, the treatment that the detained persons were meting out towards the officers?  A. Yes.  Q. Not the other way around?  A. No, not the other way around.  Q. Amongst the population of Brook House were also time-served foreign national offenders?  A. Yes.  Q. What impact did they have on the life and running of the centre?  A. When we first opened, the detained people were much more familiar with the sort of regime of a prison or detention centre than we were, initially, so we did find it quite difficult to find the right level of care.  Q. By which you mean?  A. Just that they were more familiar with the environment than we were.  Q. So they had experience of having served time in prison, however long that time had been, whereas

1	fresh faced from Safeway or Morrisons, wherever it was,	1	Q. Managing people?
2	as a manager in a supermarket, and there you were	2	A. Yes.
3	confronted by a completely different ball game, really?	3	Q. You say in paragraph 6 have you got your statement in
4	A. Yes.	4	front of you?
5	Q. You say in your first witness statement we don't have	5	A. Yes.
6	to put it up, but for reference, it's at paragraph 6:	6	Q. By all means, you can refer to them. As I say, I'm not
7	"In retrospect, I do not believe the recruitment	7	going to put this up on screen. It's your first witness
8	process prepared any of us for the reality of life in an	8	statement ending, for our purposes, 444. It is your
9	IRC."	9	paragraph 6 on page 2. Chair, you should have this
10	A. Yes.	10	behind your first flag.
11	Q. What was it about the recruitment process that you think	11	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
12	didn't prepare you?	12	MR ALTMAN: You say at the end of that paragraph,
13	A. It didn't make clear the sort of environment that we	13	paragraph 6:
14	would be going into. There was nothing specifically	14	"To be fair to G4S, the existing IRCs [immigration
15	about we were going to be dealing with so many foreign	15	removal centres] Tinsley House and Oakington, which the
16	national prisoners, ex-foreign national prisoners.	16	company managed, were a far smaller, calmer and less
17	There was nothing like that in the recruitment.	17	challenging centre than Brook House turned out to be."
18	Q. When you talk about the recruitment process, what part	18	Had you ever worked at them?
19	of the process do you have in mind? What do you mean by	19	A. No.
20	"recruitment"?	20	Q. Did you ever work at them?
21	A. The initial job advertisement, I think, which was in	21	A. No.
22	I can't remember which paper, but it was in the paper.	22	Q. How do you know that?
23	Q. That's what you reacted to to take the job?	23	A. Through the passage of time. Obviously, Tinsley was
24	A. Yes.	24	opened before Brook House. I went to Tinsley
25	Q. I suppose you're not going to expect G4S or any other	25	subsequently and we found out from detained people and
	D 57		D 50
	Page 57		Page 59
1	company to advertise working at Brook House as, "This is	1	staff transferring down to Brook House what Oakington
2	a rotten place to come. The detainees are awful and	2	what sort of centre it was. So it was over a period of
3	it's going to be a terrible shock because they're going	3	time that I found out that Tinsley was much calmer, and
4	to be abusive to you". So, presumably, it was just	4	Oakington similarly.
5	a fairly mundane advertisement inviting people to apply	5	Q. Calmer in what sense? What does that mean "calmer"?
6	for, what, jobs as DCOs and DCMs?	6	A. Less incidences of violence, abuse, much less recourse
7	A. I think it was just DCOs.	7	to use of force. I think the profile of a detained
8	Q. Did you start life there as a DCO, then, and get	8	person was different in these centres.
9	promoted?	9	Q. By which you mean?
10	A. I applied and got the DCO position and, in between then	10	A. Well, Tinsley, for example, I don't think sex offenders
11	and the centre opening, the advertisement for DCMs came	11	can go to Tinsley House because they sometimes have
12	up and I applied.	12	families, for example.
13	Q. So that we understand it, did you go straight in as	13	Q. What about Oakington? These were presumably rumours you
14	a DCM?	14	were picking up from others who had experience of these
15	A. Yes.	15	places?
16	Q. What was the fundamental difference between working	16	A. One of our managers, Jules
17	there as a DCO and as a DCM? What was it that gave you	17	Q. Jules Williams?
18	managerial status rather than officer status?	18	A. Yes, came from Oakington, and he told us quite a bit
19	A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.	19	about what life was like at Oakington.
20	Q. Well, what was it that distinguished you to take the	20	Q. Where is Oakington? Or was Oakington?
21	more senior position rather than the more junior	21	A. I think it's up near in Cambridgeshire.
22	position, when you hadn't, as it were, done the hard	22	Q. While we have Jules Williams in mind, he was the
23	yards as a DCO?	23	residential manager?
		1 -5	
	·	24	A. That's right.
24	A. I can only imagine that it was my experience as	24 25	A. That's right.  O. Were you close to him?
	·	24 25	A. That's right.  Q. Were you close to him?
24	A. I can only imagine that it was my experience as		· ·

		1	
1	A. Not really, no.	1	Have you changed your mind about that, Mr Donnelly?
2	Q. Were others close to him?	2	A. No.
3	A. He had his own set of DCMs which he managed.	3	Q. I take it you've seen Panorama?
4	Q. "Managed" in a professional way or they were his close	4	A. Yes.
5	chums?	5	Q. And nothing you saw there makes you change your mind
6	A. From what I saw, professional.	6	about what you say here?
7	Q. Were there any cliques?	7	A. The vast majority, I still believe, are decent, ordinary
8	A. There were groups of friends, but I wouldn't say	8	people.
9	cliques, no.	9	Q. Obviously, you don't use the words "vast majority" in
10	Q. It may be a use of language, Mr Donnelly, but just think	10	this sentence, but is that, having seen Panorama, what
11	about Jules Williams for the moment. Did he have people	11	you now think?
12	who were part of a group who were particularly close to	12	A. Some of them were shown to be not
13	him, like Nathan Ring, perhaps, and one or two others?	13	Q. Yes.
14	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	14	A. – very decent.
15	Q. Did carry on.	15	Q. Who do you have in mind?
16	A. Sorry. I wasn't aware of any specific friendship	16	A. Yan, John Connolly.
17	between them.	17	Q. Is it Pascali or Paschali?
18	Q. So whether we call it friendships, groups or cliques, so	18	A. Paschali, I think.
19	that we understand it, in all the time that you were	19	Q. So Yan, John Connolly. Who else?
20	there, up to and including the end of August 2017, and	20	A. Nathan Ring. Some of the others I can't quite
21	you were there from March 2009, you were completely	21	remember the names.
22	unaware, so that we understand your evidence, of any	22	Q. You say:
23	groups, cliques, personal relationships, which impacted	23	"Within my own work group, Oscar 1 and DCMs, we
24	on the professionalism of the individuals who worked	24	would all endeavour to work co-operatively and help each
25	there?	25	other in difficult situations and share workloads. This
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. I would say so, yes.	1	was a particular source of pride and pleasure for me and
2	Q. You were totally unaware, hadn't heard about it, hadn't	2	one of the best aspects of the job. The majority of
3	seen it for yourself, or anything like that?	3	detainees were also a pleasure to work with, and had
4	A. Not that impacted any the performance of the managers	4	positive and cordial working relationships with them,
5	in question, no.	5	assisting many of them, particularly those in distress,
6	Q. Can we just have a look at what you say about culture at	6	through ACDT case reviews. This cooperative teamworking
7	D 1 II IC 1		through ACD1 case reviews. This cooperative teamworking
0	Brook House. If you have your statement open, you will	7	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant
8	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:	7 8	
9		1	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant
	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:	8	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."
9	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned,	8 9	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have
9 10	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"	8 9 10	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do
9 10 11	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned,  I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?	8 9 10 11	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?
9 10 11 12	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned,  I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.	8 9 10 11 12	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes	8 9 10 11 12 13	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as
9 10 11 12 13 14	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned,  I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement,
9 10 11 12 13 14	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned,  I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.  Q. "The ethos", you continue, "was to try our best to care	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:  "The values and culture of G4S at Brook House were,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.  Q. "The ethos", you continue, "was to try our best to care for detainees, and also to support coworkers, and try to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:  "The values and culture of G4S at Brook House were, on the whole, professional and caring. Officers, DCMs,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.  Q. "The ethos", you continue, "was to try our best to care for detainees, and also to support coworkers, and try to be professional at all times. The staff and managers	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:  "The values and culture of G4S at Brook House were, on the whole, professional and caring. Officers, DCMs, senior management, were genuinely interested in the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.  Q. "The ethos", you continue, "was to try our best to care for detainees, and also to support coworkers, and try to be professional at all times. The staff and managers were all ordinary, decent people in a very challenging situation."	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:  "The values and culture of G4S at Brook House were, on the whole, professional and caring. Officers, DCMs, senior management, were genuinely interested in the welfare of detainees and staff. Protection of vulnerable detainees was, and is, taken very seriously
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	find it at paragraph 7. That's your first statement:  "As far as 'culture' at Brook House is concerned, I would characterise it as co-operative"  Do you still agree with that word "co-operative"?  A. Yes.  Q. " working together as a team in the face of sometimes horrendous abuse and violence from some detainees."  So that we are clear about what you are saying here, the abuse and the violence is from whom to whom?  A. From detained persons towards staff.  Q. When you use the word "violence", what do you mean by "violence"?  A. Assaults, spitting, kicking, punching.  Q. "The ethos", you continue, "was to try our best to care for detainees, and also to support coworkers, and try to be professional at all times. The staff and managers were all ordinary, decent people in a very challenging	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ethos, from my perspective, has been a constant throughout my time in Brook House."  So, subject to the few qualifications which I have asked you about to what you say here at paragraph 7, do you stand by every word of this, even today?  A. Yes.  Q. Let me ask you, please, about the values, as well as aspects of culture, that you mention in this statement, paragraph 10. All of your statement will be published, subject to redactions in due course, Mr Donnelly, so if I skate over anything, that means it is not going to be missed. But obviously, in the time we have, I can only take you to parts of it. But let me ask you about paragraph 10:  "The values and culture of G4S at Brook House were, on the whole, professional and caring. Officers, DCMs, senior management, were genuinely interested in the welfare of detainees and staff. Protection of

1	by everyone who works at Brook House. The culture and	1	Q. C?
2	values of G4S were perfectly appropriate to the care of	2	A. Yes.
3	all detainees and, in my opinion, the care for the	3	Q. D? E
4	vulnerable through the management of ACDTs, raised	4	A. Mmm-hmm.
5	concern documents, the violence reduction programme,	5	Q care and separation?
6	etc, were of a high standard, and I believe this has	6	A. Yes.
7	been borne out during HMIP inspections. I personally	7	Q. Reception?
8	conducted numerous ACDT case reviews daily with	8	A. No.
9	vulnerable detainees and had a very positive and	9	Q. Never reception. Activities?
10	collaborative relationship with all the RMNs [mental	10	A. No.
11	health nurses] and healthcare staff and I can state very	11	Q. Any other parts of Brook House?
12	strongly that the level of care for detainees was of	12	A. Visits.
13	a high standard. Attitudes towards detainees, for my	13	Q. Visits?
14	own part, and from what I saw and heard from other	14	A. Yes.
15	officers, were overwhelmingly positive and professional.	15	Q. Admin?
16	As I have stated before, the vast majority of detainees	16	A. No.
17	were polite, well behaved and staff had no issues	17	Q. And you were never part of the SMT?
18	working with them. The minority of detainees who were	18	A. No.
19	rude and/or violent to others, staff and detainees, were	19	Q. We can assume, in all of those years, Mr Donnelly, that
20	more challenging, but I have always been surprised and	20	you had walked the corridors, unlocked the rooms, done
21	impressed by the resilience and professionalism of	21	the roll counts, the food refusal lists, you had worked
22	the officers at Brook House."	22	night shifts, day shifts, weekends, you'd done it all?
23	Paragraph 11, just the first line:	23	A. Yes.
24	"I believe the culture and values were to provide	24	Q. So that we understand your position, not once and
25	a genuinely good environment for detainees and staff."	25	I don't want to put words into your mouth, but I want to
23	a genamely good environment for detainees and sain.	23	r don't want to put words into your mount, out r want to
	Page 65		Page 67
1	If that was all we had, Mr Donnelly, it painted	1	understand your position had you seen anything of
2	a rather positive picture of Brook House during the time	2	the kind that Callum Tulley was able to covertly record?
3	you were there, yet Panorama shows a different side to	3	A. That's right.
4	it. Do you agree?	4	Q. Not once?
5	A. Yes, it does.	5	A. No.
6	Q. What the inquiry might struggle to understand is why,	6	Q. Ever?
7	Mr Donnelly, the picture you paint in this statement is	7	A. No.
8	so out of kilter to what we saw on Panorama? Can you	8	Q. Not in terms of physical abuse?
9	·	9	A. No.
10	explain it?	10	Q. Not in terms of verbal abuse?
11	A. What I have said is how I genuinely feel about  Brook House and the environment that we worked in. What	11	A. No.
		12	
12 13	was shown on Panorama is not something that I witnessed	13	Q. Nothing? No racism? No homophobic or misogynistic language? No?
13	in my time at Brook House.  Q. I assume, maybe wrongly you tell us that you	14	A. No.
		15	Q. Nothing?
15	worked in all parts of Brook House during the time that	16	
16	you were there.	17	A. No.
17	A. Yes.		Q. You deal with before I move on, you deal with just
18	Q. I'm talking about forget the Serco years. I'm	18	for completeness, you were asked about staff morale, and
19	talking about the G4S years?	19	you deal with that at your paragraph 8 in your first
20	A. Mmm-hmm.	20	witness statement. You say:
21	Q. We can assume, can we, from your evidence, you worked on	21	"I believe that staff morale was what it usually was
22	A wing?	22	at Brook House; most just getting on with the job,
23	A. Yes.	23	others genuinely not happy. Staff morale is something
24	Q. B wing?	24	that is always fluctuating, with the most dissatisfied
25	A. Yes.	25	people usually being the most vocal."
	Page 66		Page 68
	Fage 00	l .	1 420 00

		Г	
1	Who do you remember now as being the most	1	diagonally opposite, the toilet side?
2	dissatisfied people among your colleagues?	2	A. It was on the same side as the toilet, so the bunk would
3	A. Nobody in particular springs to mind. Just as a general	3	attach to the surrounding toilet wall.
4	principle, the ones who are unhappy usually are more	4	Q. You say a stable-type door was fitted to the toilet.
5	vocal.	5	Was that at the same time as those bunks were fitted?
6	Q. Were you unhappy?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. No.	7	Q. When you say "a stable door", was that a fixed type of
8	Q. Never?	8	door? Did it allow any privacy?
9	A. Challenged, but I wouldn't say unhappy.	9	A. I believe it had some space at the bottom and space at
10	Q. What about understaffing? Was that anything that ever	10	the top, but more privacy than the curtain that we used
11	affected your morale?	11	to use.
12	A. Sometimes, yes.	12	Q. You say, "This increased our capacity by", as you have
13	Q. I will come to that in a second and we will see what you	13	already indicated, "60 beds, but was hardly ever used".
14	have to say about it.	14	Why?
15	One of the other things that you say, and if you	15	A. We didn't well, we didn't think it was safe.
16	turn the page to page 4, paragraph 14, you talk about	16	Q. "We"? Who is "we"?
17	your duties as a DCM. You say:	17	A. The staff.
18	"As part of my DCM duties, I deal with formal	18	Q. What do you mean
19	detainee complaints and I have dealt with dozens over	19	A. Myself and other staff.
20	the years. These complaints have included allegations	20	Q. Well, at what level? Your level, senior management, the
21	of victimisation or unfair treatment by staff. I can't	21	company?
22	recall the exact details, but after rigorous, documented	22	A. Certainly at my level and DCOs'.
23	investigations, I didn't uphold a single complaint.	23	Q. Where did the order come from for these beds to be
24	Every one of them, without exception, was entirely	24	fitted company level or the Home Office?
25	without merit. Other complaints, regarding missing	25	A. I believe it was the Home Office.
	1 / 8 8 8		
	Page 69		Page 71
1	property for example. I have unheld and recommended	1	O To increase canacity?
1	property, for example, I have upheld and recommended	1	Q. To increase capacity?
2	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."	2	A. Yes.
2 3	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?	2 3	A. Yes. Q. Why was it a bad idea?
2 3 4	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li><li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li><li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li><li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation." Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was —  Q. Which wing or wings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was —  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was —  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was —  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have the loo on one side, where the third bed was added was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> <li>Q. So how long did this experiment last?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have the loo on one side, where the third bed was added was as a bunk; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> <li>Q. So how long did this experiment last?</li> <li>A. Several months.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have the loo on one side, where the third bed was added was as a bunk; is that correct?  A. That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> <li>Q. So how long did this experiment last?</li> <li>A. Several months.</li> <li>Q. Was it eventually abandoned?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have the loo on one side, where the third bed was added was as a bunk; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> <li>Q. So how long did this experiment last?</li> <li>A. Several months.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reimbursement, but nothing regarding victimisation."  Anything you want to change about that?  A. No.  Q. You were asked, for the purposes of your first witness statement, to consider the layout of Brook House. There is one thing I'd like to ask you, please, which is about the addition of a third bunk. You deal with this at your paragraph 18 of your first statement. We have been told that, in May 2017, a third bunk was added to a number of the rooms. Do you remember which rooms they were?  A. It was  Q. Which wing or wings?  A. I think it was A, C and D wings, the ground floor rooms, I think there was another 60 bunks put in.  Q. Yes, 6-0. So that we understand, we have seen a few video clips of some of the rooms, but so that we understand it, whereas a room would have, ordinarily, a bed either side with a window and a sort of joined desk-type contraption under the window, and you'd have the loo on one side, where the third bed was added was as a bunk; is that correct?  A. That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was it a bad idea?</li> <li>A. I had concerns that three people in one room would be unpleasant for those involved. The top bunk, I thought it would be unsafe getting up and getting down from it, especially at night.</li> <li>Q. Did it have a ladder, or a ladder fixed to it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How much for those who haven't been there, how much head space would it have afforded for the person in the top bunk?</li> <li>A. Possibly three feet, something of that order.</li> <li>Q. "Hardly ever used" suggests it was used, or they were used?</li> <li>A. From memory, some groups of detained persons were very eager to share three to a room, principally Chinese and Vietnamese.</li> <li>Q. Because?</li> <li>A. Because they'd just like that. Everybody else wasn't keen.</li> <li>Q. So how long did this experiment last?</li> <li>A. Several months.</li> <li>Q. Was it eventually abandoned?</li> </ul>

1	Q. Do you remember when?	1	the period?
2	A. Not exactly, no.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Several months?	3	Q. What did that mean to you, as Oscar 1? How were the
4	A. I think, of that sort of time, yes.	4	terms of the contract to be met when you were on duty?
5	Q. You say staff looking at your statement again:	5	What was it you were looking out for? What was it your
6	"Staff had major concerns about 3 detainees in such	6	job to do?
7	a confined space, health and safety concerns about	7	A. Principally, that all the activity departments were
8	accessing the top bunk via a very small, thin ladder	8	opened library, IT, that sort of thing; that the
9	risk of serious injury if a detainee had to be removed	9	visits function was operating normally, and that
10	from the top bunk using force"	10	reception had enough staff to process arrivals within
11	You say:	11	the time period.
12	"In the end, the third bunk was never used, and the	12	Q. What was the consequence, from your perspective, of
13	idea was eventually abandoned and the extra bunk	13	the contract not being met?
14	removed, quite rightly, in my opinion."	14	A. I'm not sure what you mean.
15	So we absolutely understand what you are telling us,	15	Q. Well, weren't there penalties
16	"hardly ever used" or "never used"?	16	A. There were financial
17	A. Hardly ever used.	17	Q that the Home Office could impose on the company?
18	Q. I asked you a little earlier about understaffing. Can	18	A. Yes.
19	we go to paragraph 40 of your first statement, please.	19	Q. You must have known about that?
20	When I say 40, we have to go to page 10 because I think	20	A. Yes.
21	the numbering went out. That's not your fault, it's the	21	Q. You sound unsure?
22	way you were asked the questions. It's 40 with	22	A. It wasn't referred to very often, but, yes, reference
23	a closing bracket on page 10 of your statement. You	23	was made if the Home Office fined the company.
24		24	Q. When you say "reference", reference by whom to whom?
25	Say:	25	
23	"The staffing levels always met the minimum contract	23	A. From senior management to us.
	Page 73		Page 75
1	standard."	1	Q. What did "reference" really look like? What did it
2	Just tell us what you mean by that?	2	mean? You know, "Chris, you've cost the company
3	A. Two officers to a wing and the activity functions open	3	a fortune because you took your eyes off the ball"? Is
4	and available for detained persons.	4	that what "reference" looked like, or was "reference"
5	Q. You continue by saying:	5	a nice sitdown and saying, "The company can't afford to
6	"Sometimes we struggled to provide emergency	6	be fined by the Home Office for this, that or the
7	escorts, bed watches"	7	other"? What did it look like?
8	What do you mean by a "bed watch"?	8	A. It was a sitdown sort of lessons learned. "We have just
9	A. Where a detained person is admitted to hospital and we	9	picked up this fine. How did it happen? What are we
10	have to	10	going to do to make sure it doesn't happen again?"
11	Q. What, travel with them?	11	Q. To the extent that picking up penalties from the
12	A. Yes, and stay with them.	12	Home Office for non-compliance with aspects of the
13	Q. " but as Oscar 1, I would say that we met the	13	contract impacted on you, how did that impact on
14	contract during this period."	14	detainees?
15	Oscar 1, just remind us what that means, please?	15	A. They didn't get the service that they were entitled to,
16	A. Oscar 1 is the duty operations manager.	16	basically.
17	O. Yes.	17	Q. What service was that?
18	A. So it's my sort of job to oversee the delivery of	18	A. Whether it was not having enough staff, so the IT wasn't
19	the contract.	19	open or the cultural kitchen or some aspect of provision
20	Q. Was that your job every day of every week, or was it	20	of service didn't happen, then that would be detrimental
21	just you were sometimes Oscar 1?	21	to their experience at Brook House.
22	A. Mostly Oscar 1. Not all the times. Occasionally, there	22	Q. It was pretty dismal anyway, wasn't it?
23	would be two of us in and one of us would take the	23	A. It was, to begin with. But we did make efforts,
24	Oscar 1 role and somebody would do another role.	24	concerted efforts, to make the place more a softer
25	Q. So your job was to make sure the contract was met during	25	environment and less daunting.
			, and the second
	Page 74		Page 76
_			

1	Q. If you didn't know better, you'd think it was a prison,	1	a problem for the company. I think, as well, that we
2	just looking at the place?	2	the DCOs were working a 46-hour week, and it just wasn't
3	A. Yes.	3	a very attractive proposition for a lot of people.
4	Q. It was modelled, as you must know, on a category B	4	Q. So, what, they came and they went?
5	prison?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. That's right.	6	Q. And getting new staff was, what, problematic, or did
7	Q. It looked like one and almost certainly felt like that?	7	they just recruit more and they came and went as well?
8	A. I imagine it did.	8	A. That, yes.
9	Q. Imagine?	9	Q. And only the die-hards remained behind?
10	A. Well, I haven't been a detained person, but yes	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. No, but you were an officer in one.	11	Q. You say at your paragraph 45 on page 11:
12	A. Yes, it was very prison-like.	12	"I believe that the impact of perceived staff
13	Q. You say, going to the next page:	13	shortages had a negative effect on morale for some, but
14	"To my recollection"	14	not all, staff."
15	This is paragraph 44:	15	You say:
16	" although staffing levels were 'tight', we	16	"I have worked at Brook House since it opened and do
17	offered, by and large, the contracted level of	17	not consider that particular period as drastically worse
18	activities and services under the contract. This was	18	than any other period. I don't believe staff safety was
19	monitored constantly by the Home Office, and I do not	19	compromised."
20	remember any significant discussion regarding any	20	Thinking about life now under Serco, Mr Donnelly,
21	shortfall."	21	while I have it in mind, have things improved? I mean,
22	You talked a little earlier about staff being	22	if you want to compare your experience now with that
23	dissatisfied and staff morale. Understaffing was one of	23	during the G4S years, is it favourable? I mean, I know
24	the key frustrations, wasn't it? Understaffing was one	24	I'm putting you in a difficult position because you're
25	of the issues and one of the frustrations, presumably,	25	almost certainly a company man, but is it more
23	of the issues and one of the frustrations, presumatory,	23	amost ceramy a company man, out is a more
	Page 77		Page 79
1	for other officers: is that right? We have heard it	1	favourable naw?
1	for other officers; is that right? We have heard it	1	favourable now?
2	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going	2	A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge
2	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.	2 3	A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the
2 3 4	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.	2 3 4	A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from
2 3 4 5	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around	2 3 4 5	A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?
2 3 4 5 6	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down Q. Yes.</li> <li>A at all.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down Q. Yes.</li> <li>A at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. — at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. — at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company recruit or why didn't they have sufficient staff to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. — at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read any of the transcripts that are online, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company recruit or why didn't they have sufficient staff to do the job that they were contracted to do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down Q. Yes.</li> <li>A at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read any of the transcripts that are online, but Callum Tulley, who gave evidence to us back in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company recruit or why didn't they have sufficient staff to do the job that they were contracted to do?  A. I think that the environment came as quite a major shock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. — at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read any of the transcripts that are online, but Callum Tulley, who gave evidence to us back in late November and early December, said, in particular on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company recruit or why didn't they have sufficient staff to do the job that they were contracted to do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A Serco from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down Q. Yes.</li> <li>A at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read any of the transcripts that are online, but Callum Tulley, who gave evidence to us back in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from others in their evidence, and I'm sure we are going to hear it again.  A. Yes.  Q. But you don't remember any significant discussion around it?  A. Certainly not regarding not providing the activities under the contract. Sometimes if you had sick, then things were quite tight.  Q. We have heard, and we will hear again, I'm sure, about roll counts, lock-ins, covering the wings, too few DCOs on the wing at any given time. All of those sorts of problems. And you must have been aware of that kind of issue during your time there?  A. Mmm-hmm.  Q. Was it a constant or do you think it was just the odd rare exception?  A. I don't think it's constant. I think it was by exception.  Q. What was the problem of understaffing, from your perspective? What was it why couldn't the company recruit or why didn't they have sufficient staff to do the job that they were contracted to do?  A. I think that the environment came as quite a major shock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I would say it is. The staffing profile is huge — hugely increased from what it was at G4S. I think the company undertook to hire an extra 180 staff from — Q. We are talking about Serco?</li> <li>A. — Serco — from what the G4S profile was. But it was a different contract.</li> <li>Q. A new contract.</li> <li>A. So I would say things have improved, yes.</li> <li>Q. From your perspective, did it suit G4S to keep staff numbers low? It saved the expenses bill a bit, didn't it? It would have helped their profits?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that to be the case. I think constant recruitment is very, very expensive. So I don't think there was any financial benefit to keeping numbers down —</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. — at all.</li> <li>Q. Or certainly nothing you ever heard along those lines?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I don't know if you watched his evidence or have read any of the transcripts that are online, but Callum Tulley, who gave evidence to us back in late November and early December, said, in particular on</li> </ul>

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	staffed detention centre, and, to use his term, staffing	1	Q. Have you reviewed it?
2	was abysmal. Do you agree with that or disagree?	2	A. I have.
3	A. I disagree.	3	Q. How often?
4	Q. In what way?	4	A. Once.
5	A. I wouldn't say it was abysmal; certainly not abysmal.	5	Q. So you have seen it twice: live and a recording?
6	Q. How do you describe it, then?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Adequate, just.	7	Q. You were asked the question, and your response is to be
8	Q. Now, a little earlier, I was asking you about values and	8	found at 85, of what your view was about it:
9	culture, and do you remember telling us, by reference to	9	"Devastatingly negative."
10	paragraph 10 on page 3, that the minority of detainees	10	I suppose you could say that. You were outraged;
11	who were rude and/or violent to others were more	11	yes?
12	challenging?	12	A. I was, and I think most of the, if not all of the, staff
13	A. Yes.	13	at Brook House were.
14	Q. So that we understand the picture, from your experience,	14	Q. Outraged by what?
15	it was only a minority of detainees who were rude?	15	A. Just how unfair they thought it was.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Because it was all one way?
17	Q. On page 12, you say:	17	A. Basically.
18	"To my recollection"	18	Q. Unfair. Biased?
19	Forgive me, paragraph 12 on page 4:	19	A. Yes.
20	"To my recollection, I cannot recall a situation	20	Q. You say:
21	regarding mistreatment of individuals or groups or	21	"People felt betrayed, cheated and lied to."
22	allegations thereof."	22	By whom about what?
23	So you will remember I was asking you about that	23	A. Callum Tulley.
24	a little earlier, and then, if we go, please, on to	24	Q. Did you know Callum Tulley.
25	page 15, just to pick up the same theme, at paragraph 77	25	A. I'd worked with Callum Tulley, yes, all the time he was
			•••
	Page 81		Page 83
1	on page 15:	1	there.
2	"I didn't witness any verbal or physical abuse of	2	Q. You say:
3	detainees by officers at Brook House. Verbal and	3	"Overwhelmingly, every staff member said it was an
4	physical abuse of officers, myself included, by	4	outrageous travesty, unfair, biased and deceitful. They
5	detainees was a regular, if not daily, occurrence."	5	did not recognise it as the place where they worked."
6	And paragraph 78:	6	Presumably, that final sentence applies to you as
7	"No, I did not."	7	well?
8	And the, "No, I did not", is a reference to the	8	A. Yes.
9	question whether you witnessed any abuse going the other	9	Q. So, for you, completely unrecognisable?
10	way. You say:	10	A. Yes.
11	"In my experience, abuse was a one-way street:	11	Q. You accept that Callum Tulley didn't make it all up?
12	detainees, a small minority, abusing staff and others."	12	A. I do accept that.
13	So something you have already told us, but I want to	13	Q. And you accept that these things happened, and, for the
14	be absolutely clear, from the perspective of	14	reasons he has given us, he worked as an undercover
15	Chris Donnelly, you mean what you say here, it was all	15	operative for the BBC and recorded these things, and so
16	a one-way street?	16	they played out in front of a camera, and he was simply
17	A. Yes.	17	the vehicle by which that happened. So can you explain,
18	Q. Despite what we see in Panorama?	18	Mr Donnelly, the rather pleasant picture you have
19	A. Yes.	19	painted for us of the Brook House you knew with the
20	Q. Let me ask you about Panorama, please, because, if you	20	Panorama one that he recorded for us which you say you
21	go to your page 16, I want to understand your takeout	21	didn't? Can you explain how all of that you failed to
22	from having viewed it. Did you view it on the evening	22	observe?
23	it went out, which was, if my memory serves me, Monday,	23	A. All I can tell you is that I didn't see anything like
24	4 September 2017?	24	that that Callum Tulley recorded.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. No. Either he was very lucky, in that during the period
	Page 82		Page 84

		1	
1	that he recorded these things, between 24 April and	1	about it as you were?
2	around the middle of early/middle of July, and he was	2	A. I can't give you a specific name. I don't think any of
3	able to capture a number of incidents, not just physical	3	the guys that you mentioned were still there after
4	but verbal, or they had been going on all along. Do you	4	the
5	agree with that? I mean, these things don't just happen	5	Q. True, some had left.
6	by coincidence, do they?	6	A. Yeah. But it was just a general consensus amongst the
7	A. No. What I've said, I do not recall any seeing	7	staff that it was they didn't recognise it as the
8	anything that he recorded in front of me. Never seen	8	place where they worked.
9	that.	9	Q. Were you in touch with any of the people who had left?
10	Q. So he was just lucky?	10	A. No.
11	A. I don't know.	11	Q. I think Paschali had left by then. You're right about
12	Q. Now, who were the other staff who were as outraged as	12	that?
13	you were by this travesty of a BBC broadcast? Who were	13	A. No.
14	the others?	14	Q. You were asked, if you look on page 16 and onwards, for
15	A. Virtually everyone.	15	your views about individuals. I'm not going to go
16	Q. Well, that doesn't help us.	16	through them all because they are there set out in your
17	A. Right.	17	statement. But can I just pick you up on a few of them.
18	Q. Did you speak to them afterwards?	18	You deal with Derek Murphy at 89(e):
19	A. Yes, it was a topic of conversation the next day,	19	"Derek Murphy I worked with Derek, he was one of
20	certainly.	20	the DCMs I worked with."
21	Q. What, on phones or messaging?	21	In actual fact, you may remember, Mr Donnelly, he
22	A. No, actually at work.	22	wasn't a DCM until he was acting up at the end of July,
23	Q. At work. So you all go back to work and say, "This is	23	so in the period we are talking about, to the end
24	all a terrible travesty. Brook House is a wonderful	24	of August, he was only a DCM for a month. For the rest,
25	place to work and look what the BBC has done". Who in	25	he was a DCO. But be that as it may, you worked with
23	place to work and look what the BBC has done. Who in	23	ne was a Bee. But se that as a may, you worked with
	Page 85		Page 87
1	particular were the most vocal with their outrage?	1	him, you had no concerns, you say, about his
2	A. I don't understand what you mean.	2	professionalism; is that right?
3	Q. Who were the most vocal with their outrage? Who made	3	A. Yes.
4	the most noise about how outrageous the BBC programme	4	Q. Never saw him verbally abusing anyone?
5	was? Who were the ones who were saying, "That's not the	5	A. No.
6	Brook House we know"?	6	Q. Never saw him physically attack anyone?
7	A. Generally, everyone. Nobody in particular sticks out.	7	A. No.
8	Q. Well, who did you speak to?	8	Q. Or use unnecessary or excessive force in any use of
9	A. I go around the building, so I speak to virtually	9	force incident?
10	everyone.	10	A. No.
11	Q. All right. Did you speak to Yan Paschali?	11	Q. This is Derek Murphy we are talking about. Big guy?
12	A. Not that I recall, no.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So we don't know what he told you. Did you speak to	13	Q. Beard?
14	Nathan Ring?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. No.	15	Q. You can't recall any instances of verbal abuse by him?
16	Q. Did you speak to John Connolly?	16	A. No.
17	A. No.	17	Q. You worked with him, presumably?
18	Q. Derek Murphy?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Not that I recall, no.	19	Q. Pretty often, one imagines?
20	Q. Dan Small?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. No.	21	Q. On use of force incidents?
22	Q. Dan Lee?	22	A. Sometimes, yes.
23	A. No.	23	Q. And around the wings?
24	Q. And any number of others. Who, in your mind, sticks out	24	A. Yes.
25	as somebody whom you did speak to who was as outraged	25	Q. And on E wing?
23	as someody whom you did speak to will was as outlaged	23	€ on ∠g.
	Page 86		Page 88
	<u> </u>		U

1	A. Yes.	1	officer."
2	Q. You say of John Connolly:	2	What do you mean by an "E wing officer"?
3	"I very seldom worked with John as he was based	3	A. That was his usual area of work, E wing.
4	mostly at Tinsley House. I never had any concerns about	4	Q. "He was an experienced ex-HMP [Her Majesty's Prisons]
5	John's professionalism never witnessed any verbal	5	officer."
6	abuse [or] any physical abuse [by him]."	6	Pausing there, how did you know that, that he was
7	John Connolly was a C&R or use of force trainer.	7	a former prison officer?
8	You clearly must have done control and restraint	8	A. I'm sure he mentioned it.
9	training	9	Q. Did you ever pick up any stories from him about what he
10	A. Yes.	10	would do or what he got up to when he was working in the
11	Q when you began and you must have done several	11	Prison Service?
12	refresher courses?	12	A. Not that I recall, no.
13	A. Mmm-hmm.	13	Q. How he would assault people?
14	Q. Did he ever train you?	14	A. No.
15	A. I believe I have been trained by John, yes.	15	Q. No?
16	Q. How did you find his training and his instruction?	16	A. No.
17	A. Fine.	17	Q. You continue:
18	Q. Yes?	18	"I always thought he was very capable and
19	A. Good.	19	knowledgeable."
20	Q. Professional?	20	In what way was he capable and knowledgeable?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. He knew a lot about regimes, how
22	Q. Do you remember, on Panorama, that part of Panorama	22	Q. About, forgive me?
23	where he is in a stairwell where one of the detainees,	23	A. Regimes and how places like Brook House or an HMP
24	D275, on 17 May, is on the netting and they are all	24	worked. In my experience, the way he dealt with
25	waiting there and some of them are in riot gear and they	25	detained persons was well, I thought he was good with
	Page 89		Page 91
1	are waiting for the Nationals to turn up. Do you	1	thom
1	are waiting for the Nationals to turn up. Do you	1 2	them.  O How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him
2	remember that?	2	Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him,
2 3	remember that? A. Yes.	2 3	Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?
2 3 4	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li><li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had?  Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.  Q. Straight guy, never said anything untoward?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> <li>Q. Was he better than others at doing it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.  Q. Straight guy, never said anything untoward?  A. No.  Q. Then on the next page, Yan Paschali, you deal with at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> <li>Q. Was he better than others at doing it?</li> <li>A. He was competent.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.  Q. Straight guy, never said anything untoward?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> <li>Q. Was he better than others at doing it?</li> <li>A. He was competent.</li> <li>Q. A physical man?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.  Q. Straight guy, never said anything untoward?  A. No.  Q. Then on the next page, Yan Paschali, you deal with at (p):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> <li>Q. Was he better than others at doing it?</li> <li>A. He was competent.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. One of the things he advises Callum Tulley to do is to say to the guy, "Listen here, nigger". Did that surprise you?  A. It did.  Q. You'd never heard that word or anything like it out of his mouth before?  A. Never.  Q. So, it so happens, Callum Tulley catches it for the camera on 17 May, but you'd never heard it in all the time that you had been there? Am I right in thinking Connolly had been there almost as long as you had? Although he'd worked at Tinsley House, but you'd known him, presumably?  A. Yes.  Q. Or anything misogynistic from him or homophobic or nothing like that from John  A. No.  Q. Straight guy, never said anything untoward?  A. No.  Q. Then on the next page, Yan Paschali, you deal with at (p):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. How did his experience in the Prison Service equip him, do you think, for work in a detention centre?</li> <li>A. I guess he wasn't easily shocked.</li> <li>Q. What about in terms of control and restraint? Do you think that impacted on the way he went about his business?</li> <li>A. I think he was experienced.</li> <li>Q. Yes. How did he show his experience in the physical use of force?</li> <li>A. I'm not quite sure what you mean.</li> <li>Q. Well, you say he was experienced. What I want to understand is how he demonstrated that level of experience? How did he bring his experience from the Prison Service into the detention centre? What was it he was equipped to do that others who had not had his experience were not equipped to do? What did he bring to the party, if you like?</li> <li>A. Right. I can only say that when he did control and restraint, his experience. I don't know what else to say, really.</li> <li>Q. Was he better than others at doing it?</li> <li>A. He was competent.</li> <li>Q. A physical man?</li> </ul>

1	Q. Did he do boxing or MMA or something like that?	1	A. Staffing is the main one that springs to mind.
2	A. I don't know.	2	Q. Anything else?
3	Q. You say:	3	A. Not that I can think.
4	"I found him to be helpful and professional when	4	Q. So do we understand that there are the same sort of
5	dealing with detainees."	5	levels of dissatisfaction among certain staff, in terms
6	So you never had any doubts or concerns about his	6	of morale?
7	dealings, verbal or physical, with any of the detainees	7	A. No, that has improved.
8	at Brook House?	8	Q. That has improved?
9	A. No.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So not verbal, not physical; again, nothing you had ever	10	Q. And the culture and values of Serco against the culture
11	witnessed Paschali doing?	11	of values of G4S the same or different?
12	A. No, I thought he was like I said before, I always	12	A. Like I said in my statement, my understanding of
13	thought he was a good officer.	13	the values of G4S were about decency and providing
14	Q. Say that again?	14	a good environment for the detained people and staff,
15	A. I thought he was a good officer.	15	and that's obviously the same for Serco.
16	Q. A good officer. Let's go to the final page of your	16	Q. Obviously, times have changed. We have gone through
17	statement, paragraph 90, please. I've asked you one	17	a couple of years of a pandemic, which will have reduced
18	thing on this topic but let's just, as it were, clear it	18	the numbers at Brook House.
19	out of the way for now:	19	A. Mmm-hmm.
20	"Many things were changed after the programme came	20	Q. What's capacity like now?
21	out. Those things were changed again when Serco took	21	A. Very low. Probably about a third of around about the
22	over in May 2020."	22	150 mark.
23	First of all, to your recollection, what changed	23	Q. So we have to recall that, since Serco have taken over,
24	after September 2017 while G4S were still in harness?	24	those have been the pandemic years?
25	A. The main thing that I remember is that the DCO contract	25	A. Yes.
	Page 93		Page 95
1	was brought down from 46 to 40 hours on the same money,	1	Q. So Serco have had to deal with a very different set of
2	and the all the staff rosters were reviewed and	2	circumstances, and one which is almost certainly more
3	changed, with staff voting on them, and I think it was	3	favourable to them than it was to G4S during the period
4	a very, very positive move.	4	that they were in charge?
5	Q. The point of bringing down the working week and the	5	A. I think that's fair to say.
6	rosters, what impact was that designed to have?	6	Q. So what, to your knowledge, has been I don't want
7	A. To improve officers' work/life balance, I guess.	7	a precise figure, but a ballpark. What has been the
8	Q. Make the job more attractive?	8	maximum capacity since May 2020, do you think? Very
9	A. To make the job more attractive, to stop the turnover of	9	roughly?
10	staff, to improve retention.	10	A. I think the most that we have had is probably what we
11	Q. Did it have that impact?	11	- · ·
12	A. I believe it did.	12	have got now, around about 150.  Q. Which is at least a third of what Brook House was
13	Q. What else do you remember G4S changing, apart from the	13	supposed to hold, I think, before the third beds went in
14	sweep-out of certain people, resignations and	14	in May 2017. What about staff numbers? What's the
15	dismissals, but apart from that, what else do you	15	in May 2017. What about starr numbers? What's the ratio?
16	remember?	16	A. What, staff to residents?
17	A. Not much, to be honest.	17	
18	Q. That was September 2017. Serco G4S retains	18	Q. Now, yes.
19		19	A. I'm not sure.
	a contract, or at least an interim contract, until Serco		Q. Because you say it's better.
20	come in in May 2020. So you're talking about at least	20	A. Yes.
21	two and a half years of G4S continuing in Brook House.	21	Q. But we have to be slightly careful because the
22	Serco. How did they change things after the initial	22	demographics and the population is different?
23	changes by G4S, apart from staffing, which you say is	23	A. That's true.
24	better. What else do you think is a marked improvement	24	Q. But looking at your general daily work, is your sense
25	by Serco?	25	that, even though the capacity of the detainee
		1	T
	Page 94		Page 96

		1	
1	population is far less than it was during the period	1	If we can expand the top, this is the BBC incident log,
2	that this inquiry is examining, is, nonetheless, the	2	Mr Donnelly, which you should have seen before. It
3	staffing better pro rata than it was during that period?	3	relates to 4 July, Tuesday:
4	A. Definitely.	4	"Something on the kit broke or stopped working
5	Q. What about the detainee population? Do they appear to	5	need to establish why.
6	you to be better disposed than they were during the	6	"Did manage to record though around lunchtime
7	period we are looking at?	7	first response ran over Chris Donnelly stood with two
8	A. Again, it's all about proportion. We have still got	8	other officers outside room peering at detainee. XXX
9	some challenging guys in there, but, overall, I would	9	
10		10	believes must have been stood for at least one minute,
	say the profile I would say it's probably about the		maybe two. Chris D was saying 'not hung himself when
11 12	same.	11 12	XXX could see clearly that there was a ligature around detainee's neck attached to the TV bracket. XXX cannot
	Q. Is there anything else, Mr Donnelly, that you can tell		
13	us about, in terms of Serco, that has improved, taking	13	prove CD knew it was there but would be extreme
14	into account all of those factors and different	14	incompetence not to see it can't believe didn't see
15	circumstances. Is there anything else that you feel	15	and two other officers too. XXX believes unlikely to
16	makes it a happier place? I accept you say it was	16	kill himself (brackets made so come off wall if enough
17	a pretty happy place at the time under G4S, but has it	17	force) but Algerian detainee in question D865 (known to
18	improved markedly? Would those of us who might have	18	have committed serious crimes previously) was
19	seen it during the G4S years come back under Serco's	19	unconscious and could have meant to commit himself
20	charge and say, "This is so different. It's just	20	serious harm. XXX said what's that on the bracket and
21	unbelievable", or are we saying it's really very much	21	the CD went in and took it off."
22	the same place, with a few tweaks here and there?	22	So that's a fairly contemporaneous narrative
23	A. Like we discussed before, the staffing is hugely	23	account, clearly, by Callum Tulley, to the BBC producers
24	improved. A lot of the softening of the environment	24	about what he had seen. Now let's have a look at what
25	happened in the G4S years. But I would say staff morale	25	he said in a witness statement he made on
	Page 97		Page 99
	rage //		1 age //
1	has improved under Serco.	1	23 November 2017. Chair, that's tab 32. If we can take
2	Q. What's your job there now?	2	this down and if we can put up, please,
3	A. I'm still the	3	<sxp000120_0008>.</sxp000120_0008>
4	Q. Same position?	4	If we scroll down to about the bottom half:
5	A. Yes.	5	"On 4 July"
6	Q. Doing the same work, operations?	6	This is Callum Tulley's statement to the police:
7	A. Yes.	7	" I was working my normal 13-hour shift. At some
8	Q. Oscar 1, or do they have the same terminology?	8	point during the afternoon, a first response was called
9	A. Yes, we do.	9	to a self-harming incident. I arrived after about
10	MR ALTMAN: That's all I'm going to ask you, for the most	10	30 seconds from when the first response was called. As
11	part, on the generality.	11	I arrived, I could see three or four other DCOs stood
12	Chair, it is slightly early, but I'm going to move	12	outside the detainee's room. I knew the detainee as
13	to specifics next, and so it may be slightly early, but	13	D865. The other DCOs were just looking at him. I could
14	not very much, a good time to rise, and come back at	14	see that he had something similar to a thick lace tied
15	2.00 pm.	15	around his neck. It was obvious that there was
16	THE CHAIR: Indeed. Thank you very much. We will see you	16	something around his neck and I could not understand why
	at 2.00 pm.	17	no-one else could see it. A DCM present at the time was
17	at 2.00 pm.	1 1	
17	(12.54 pm)	18	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me
	*		
18	(12.54 pm)	18	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me
18 19	(12.54 pm) (A short break) (2.00 pm)	18 19	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand
18 19 20	(12.54 pm)  (A short break) (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some	18 19 20 21	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck.
18 19 20 21	(12.54 pm)  (A short break)  (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some specific incidents, the first of which relates to	18 19 20 21 22	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck. I was still stood outside the room and yet I could see
18 19 20 21 22 23	(12.54 pm)  (A short break)  (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some specific incidents, the first of which relates to a ciphered detained person, D865, on 4 July 2017. The	18 19 20 21 22 23	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck. I was still stood outside the room and yet I could see it clearly. I said, 'No, what's that tied around his
18 19 20 21 22	(12.54 pm)  (A short break)  (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some specific incidents, the first of which relates to a ciphered detained person, D865, on 4 July 2017. The first thing I want to do is ask the evidence handler to	18 19 20 21 22	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck. I was still stood outside the room and yet I could see it clearly. I said, 'No, what's that tied around his neck'. At this point, Chris Donnelly took his fish
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(12.54 pm)  (A short break)  (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some specific incidents, the first of which relates to a ciphered detained person, D865, on 4 July 2017. The	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck. I was still stood outside the room and yet I could see it clearly. I said, 'No, what's that tied around his
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(12.54 pm)  (A short break)  (2.00 pm)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I am going to come on to some specific incidents, the first of which relates to a ciphered detained person, D865, on 4 July 2017. The first thing I want to do is ask the evidence handler to	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chris Donnelly. He was stood directly in front of me and had direct sight of D865. Donnelly said, 'there is nothing around his neck'. I could not really understand why no-one could see the ligature around his neck. I was still stood outside the room and yet I could see it clearly. I said, 'No, what's that tied around his neck'. At this point, Chris Donnelly took his fish

1	stood about 2 metres away and could clearly see the	1	Q. Why didn't you know that Callum Tulley gave evidence?
2	ligature. D865 was lying on his front, head to the	2	Had you nowhere seen any media about the fact that
3	side, was motionless on the floor and appeared	3	Callum Tulley had given evidence?
4	unconscious. I could see that the thick lace was tied	4	A. I don't I haven't followed it at all.
5	to a TV bracket which would have been fixed to the wall	5	Q. At all?
6	around 7 feet up and would have held the TV which was	6	A. No.
7	also on the floor with the bracket. He tried to use the	7	Q. So my telling you that he gave evidence to the inquiry
8	bracket to hang himself."	8	in November and December last year, is that a complete
9	Then he says this:	9	surprise?
10	"Chris Donnelly demonstrated hatred towards the	10	A. No.
11	detainees. I am sure he was intent on letting the	11	Q. It's not a surprise?
12	detainees suffer. I would say that it was at least	12	A. No.
13	2 minutes between the first response being called and	13	Q. So you did know?
14	Chris Donnelly removing the ligature from the detainee's	14	A. I didn't know specifically that he'd given evidence, but
15	neck. The nurse came in soon after to check him over.	15	it's not a surprise to me that he would be called to
16	At this point, D865 was sat on the bed. Nurse tried to	16	give evidence.
17	establish why he appeared down, and Connolly just said	17	Q. Let me be clear. When is the first time that you
18	[it should be Donnelly] 'Were you trying to kill	18	understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the
19	yourself?!."	19	inquiry? Not whether it was a surprise or not, but when
20	So that's what Callum Tulley had to say, and he	20	is the first time that you have understood that
21	dealt with this in his evidence as well. I don't know	21	Callum Tulley gave evidence, in fact, over four days?
22	if you have followed it, Mr Donnelly, but we can see	22	A. I can't remember when I became aware.
23	that as well. It is tab 37, <inq000098>. He dealt with</inq000098>	23	Q. But, presumably, before you are sitting there now in the
24	this on 2 December, in the course of his evidence.	24	witness box?
25	First of all, at page 6. It begins at the top on	25	A. Yes.
	Page 101		Page 103
1	page 6. You will see that the transcript is divided	1	Q. But you've not tried to find out what he actually said?
2	into four pages, so it is page 23 of the transcript, but	2	A. No.
3	page 6 of the overall document. This was me asking him	3	Q. Because?
4	questions on 2 December, asking him about the 4 July	4	A. Because I haven't followed the case at all, the inquiry,
5	incident. You can see, and I'm not going to read it	5	and I've just come to give my evidence.
6	all, that this is where I started asking him questions.	6	Q. I get that. But don't you think that's something that
7	•		
7	Mr Donnelly, have you had a chance to look at what he	7	you might have shown a little interest in doing? I'm
8	Mr Donnelly, have you had a chance to look at what he had to say?		
	had to say?	7	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the
8		7 8	
8 9	had to say? A. No.	7 8 9	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what
8 9 10	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?	7 8 9 10	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?
8 9 10 11	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.
8 9 10 11 12	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?	7 8 9 10 11 12	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't
8 9 10 11 12 13	had to say?  A. No. Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes. Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	had to say?  A. No. Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes. Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened. Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had to say?  A. No. Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes. Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened. Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.  Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.  Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances it is page 26. In
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.  Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances it is page 26. In bold:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>had to say?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You know it's been available to you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But you've not bothered to look?</li> <li>A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.</li> <li>Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.</li> <li>Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?</li> <li>A. It doesn't surprise me, but I hadn't followed it.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances it is page 26. In bold:  "Answer: I wouldn't say this was widespread.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>had to say?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You know it's been available to you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But you've not bothered to look?</li> <li>A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.</li> <li>Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.</li> <li>Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?</li> <li>A. It doesn't surprise me, but I hadn't followed it.</li> <li>Q. It hadn't registered?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances — it is page 26. In bold:  "Answer: I wouldn't say this was widespread. I mean, most staff at Brook House when faced with
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.  Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?  A. It doesn't surprise me, but I hadn't followed it.  Q. It hadn't registered?  A. No.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't — didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances — it is page 26. In bold:  "Answer: I wouldn't say this was widespread. I mean, most staff at Brook House when faced with a detainee with a ligature around his neck who has
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>had to say?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You know it's been available to you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But you've not bothered to look?</li> <li>A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.</li> <li>Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.</li> <li>Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?</li> <li>A. It doesn't surprise me, but I hadn't followed it.</li> <li>Q. It hadn't registered?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. All right. Why is that, do you think?</li> <li>A. What, that I hadn't watched the?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances it is page 26. In bold:  "Answer: I wouldn't say this was widespread.  I mean, most staff at Brook House when faced with a detainee with a ligature around his neck who has either fallen to the ground or was swinging from a banister or bracket, or whatever it was, would make
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	had to say?  A. No.  Q. You know it's been available to you?  A. Yes.  Q. But you've not bothered to look?  A. Well, I didn't know that it happened.  Q. You didn't know that he gave evidence in November and December; is that what you mean? Or what didn't you know happened?  A. No, I didn't know he'd given evidence.  Q. So my telling you, is that the first time you've understood that Callum Tulley gave evidence to the inquiry?  A. It doesn't surprise me, but I hadn't followed it.  Q. It hadn't registered?  A. No.  Q. All right. Why is that, do you think?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just struggling to understand why you weren't, at the very least, curious to see what he'd said about you?  A. Well, like I said, I haven't didn't want to hear what he said about anybody, so I haven't followed it.  Q. So is it because you are angry with him and you don't want to see what he had to say?  A. Not especially that. I just wanted to come and give my evidence without looking at what he said or  Q. All right. Let's have a look on the next page, page 7, which is page 26, because one of the things I asked him about was whether delaying going to the aid of a detainee in these circumstances it is page 26. In bold:  "Answer: I wouldn't say this was widespread.  I mean, most staff at Brook House when faced with a detainee with a ligature around his neck who has either fallen to the ground or was swinging from

1	every effort to preserve life. This was just	1	immediately.
2	a particularly sickening incident in which DCM"	2	Q. Immediately. Because what Callum Tulley was telling the
3	He meant Donnelly:	3	police and the impact, or the import, of what he is
4	" appeared to be intent on allowing the detainee	4	saying is that it was pointed out to you but you
5	to suffer."	5	deliberately delayed going to his aid. You understand
6	I take it you don't agree with that?	6	the allegation?
7	A. No, I don't.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Can you think of any reason why Callum Tulley might have	8	Q. It is a serious allegation?
9	said that?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. Not really, no.	10	Q. But you say, "Callum Tulley is completely wrong because
11	Q. Either he's made it up, for reasons of his own, or that	11	I, Chris Donnelly, went to his aid immediately"? Is
12	was his honest, general appraisal of you as a person.	12	that what you mean? There was no delay?
13	Which would you say it is?	13	A. Yes, we went into the room, with me and another
14	A. I can't really put my mind in his mind. If he thinks	14	officer. I assumed he was first on scene, the other
15	if that's his genuine opinion of me as a person, that	15	officer.
16	I'd deliberately let somebody suffer, then he's	16	Q. The other officer Opoku?
17	mistaken.	17	A. That's right. I hold my hands up. I should have
18	Q. Mistaken. Let's actually look at the transcript of	18	carried out the checks myself, but I didn't.
19	these events, which, as I say, took place on 4 July. We	19	Q. Yes.
20	can take that down, thank you, and put up the transcript	20	A. Then, when Callum turned up, he pointed out that there
21	<trn0000033>. Chair, tab 39. The second page, please.</trn0000033>	21	was a ligature around his neck, and as soon as he said,
22	This is a transcript of the events. This is	22	"There is a ligature around his neck", I took my fish
23	a transcript taken from Callum Tulley's recording of	23	knife out and removed it.
24	these events. Do you see, at line 21, he says:	24	Q. So, so that we understand from your perspective, the
25	"Has he tied something to the?"	25	other officer, who was I think a DCO, Opoku, was there
	D 405		D 407
	Page 105		Page 107
1	At line 22, you say:	1	first. He points something out to you. You go into the
1 2	At line 22, you say: "No. It's not round his neck."	1 2	first. He points something out to you. You go into the room. Is that what we should understand?
2	"No. It's not round his neck."	2	room. Is that what we should understand?
2 3	"No. It's not round his neck." Tulley says:	2 3	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No
2 3 4	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual	2 3 4	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No  Q. Or are you outside the room?
2 3 4 5	"No. It's not round his neck." Tulley says: "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"	2 3 4 5	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in
2 3 4 5 6	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:	2 3 4 5 6	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"	2 3 4 5 6 7	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No — Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No — Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing? A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee? A. No. Q. It wasn't? A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No — Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say: "Need to get some air in him."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing? A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee? A. No. Q. It wasn't? A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing? A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing? A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No — Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove a ligature from the man's neck, even though it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that. Q. So you don't know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove a ligature from the man's neck, even though it was pointed out to you. You accept that, don't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that. Q. So you don't know?  A. All I'm saying is that I should have checked for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove a ligature from the man's neck, even though it was pointed out to you. You accept that, don't you?  A. No, I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that. Q. So you don't know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove a ligature from the man's neck, even though it was pointed out to you. You accept that, don't you?  A. No, I don't.  Q. You don't accept it? What don't you accept?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that. Q. So you don't know?  A. All I'm saying is that I should have checked for the ligature, and I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"No. It's not round his neck."  Tulley says:  "No, but what's that tied around the actual bracket?"  And you say:  "Is he he's not charged [?] is he?"  That may be a mistranscription. Then he offers you a fish knife. You say something inaudible, and then he says:  "Here you are, use it."  And then you produce a fish knife from your belt and you cut the lace, or whatever it was, from D865's neck and discard it on the ground:  "That's all I need.  "Oh, that will help him."  A member of the medical staff is present to say:  "Need to get some air in him."  His allegation, Callum Tulley's allegation, is that it took you a couple of minutes for you to remove a ligature from the man's neck, even though it was pointed out to you. You accept that, don't you?  A. No, I don't.  Q. You don't accept it? What don't you accept?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	room. Is that what we should understand?  A. No Q. Or are you outside the room?  A. No, I attended, and I think Officer Opoku was already in the room and I went into the room as well. Q. This was what, E wing?  A. I can't remember which wing it was. Q. Was this a vulnerable detainee?  A. No. Q. It wasn't?  A. It wasn't Echo wing, which is the vulnerable detainee wing. Q. E wing. So you're sure he wasn't on E wing?  A. Yes. Q. And you assume he wasn't vulnerable because he wasn't on E wing?  A. No, I don't think I would make that assumption, no. Q. So are you saying that, as far as you're concerned, 865 was not vulnerable?  A. No, I'm not saying that. Q. So you don't know?  A. All I'm saying is that I should have checked for the ligature, and I didn't.

		1	
1	the moment, which is whether, at the time you went into	1	judge says they will be released, then I will write
2	that room, obviously somebody who makes an attempt on	2	a ticket and shake their hand. I believe
3	his own life is vulnerable	3	Callum Tulley's remark comes from his preconceived
4	A. Yes.	4	political and professional agenda, and his naive
5	Q but did you know, before he did that, that that man	5	misunderstanding of the environment he worked in."
6	in particular had vulnerabilities or was prone to	6	Do you still hold to those views, Mr Donnelly?
7	self-harm or to commit, or attempt to commit, suicide?	7	A. Yes, I do.
8	A. I believe he was on an ACDT, so, yes, I did, yes.	8	Q. So you think that, although I asked you a few minutes
9	Q. Because, if Callum Tulley is right, that you	9	ago why Callum Tulley, in your view, was saying this, or
10	deliberately delayed going to this man's aid, then	10	what the options available were, but according to this,
11	you'll admit, Mr Donnelly, that it shows a certain	11	a statement you signed off only days ago, it was all
12	callousness, doesn't it?	12	about a preconceived political and professional agenda?
13	A. It certainly would show a degree of callousness, yes.	13	So, what, just making it up for his own ends?
14	Q. But you deny it?	14	A. The fact that he said that I acted out of hatred,
15	A. Yes.	15	I believe that's the case.
16	Q. Can we go back to one of your witness statements, and it	16	Q. "I'd further like to comment that I, unlike
17	is the second witness statement that you made, on	17	Callum Tulley, didn't break the law, breach my contract
18	16 February. Do you have it in front of you,	18	with my employer, deliberately refuse to follow company
19	Mr Donnelly?	19	and Home Office policies, failing my duty of care
20	A. Yes.	20	towards detainees or betray the trust of fellow officers
21	Q. What you say, at paragraph 11, on page 7 we don't	21	for financial and professional gain. I find it
22	have to put it up is:	22	ridiculous that someone like Callum Tulley is asked to
23	"I have reviewed <cps000025> page 37"</cps000025>	23	comment on my inner thoughts and emotions and his
24	So that was the BBC incident log:	24	opinions are recorded and placed in the public domain as
25	" and <sxp000120> page 8. <cps000025> page 37</cps000025></sxp000120>	25	if they carried some credibility, which I believe, by
	Page 109		Page 111
1	does not mention my name, or indicate I was involved."	1	the end of this inquiry, it will be public knowledge
2	Certainly the document we have seen does mention	2	that they do not. I have always acted in good faith.
3	your name, but be that as it may, at (a):	3	Callum Tulley clearly did not."
4	"Callum Tulley states it was two minutes between the	4	You stick by those views, I assume, Mr Donnelly?
5	first response being called and me entering the room.	5	A. I said them, so yes.
6	He doesn't state I waited outside the room for two	6	Q. So the picture we have is, not only from your
7	minutes. I wouldn't do that as Oscar 1. He does not	7	perspective, by and large Brook House was a happy place,
8	state, and I do not recall, where in the building I was	8	but the spin that the BBC, with Callum Tulley's
9	when the first response was called. I may have been at	9	assistance, put on Brook House was, as you said earlier,
10	the other end of the building or in a separate building	10	unfair, biased and, really, based on preconceptions,
11	entirely. I always respond immediately to first	11	political ideology and all the rest of it?
12	response calls and I reject the allegation I waited	12	A. Yes.
13	outside the room. As soon as I saw the ligature, I cut	13	Q. Can I show you, please, something you ought to have
14	it off. As I have already stated, it was an oversight	14	seen. It's at tab 19 for you, chair, and I'm going to
15	that it had not been cut off sooner. I learned from	15	ask for this document to go up. <cjs005952>. What this</cjs005952>
16	this incident and it has not been repeated."	16	is, Mr Donnelly, and you should have seen it before
17	And (b):	17	ordinarily, there is a photograph of you there, but it's
18	"I utterly and categorically deny that I expressed	18	been removed from the document and redacted out is
19	hatred towards any detainee at any time at Brook House.	19	G4S's investigation report. You have seen this?
20	The detainees are there for us to look after. We	20	A. Yes.
21	provide them with care. We do our best for them. If	21	Q. If we look on the next page, we find two allegations
22	they need extra help then we provide that. We treat	22	which were to be investigated:
23	them with respect and we let judges decide which door of	23	"BBC raised allegations which may constitute
24	the centre they leave. If the judge says that they have	24	misconduct in a letter dated 24 August 2017 to G4S about
25	to be returned, then we will facilitate that. If the	25	DCM Donnelly's alleged involvement in the following
			, ,
	Page 110		Page 112

1	incidents"	1	preservation of life is his priority which should have
2	The first of which I will come back to is an	2	included checking for ligatures.
3	incident on 3 July; the second of which:	3	" stated that he assumed wrongly that DCO Opoku
4	"On the 4th of July, after detainee D865 tried to	4	who raised the original alarm and was first on the scene
5	hang himself in his room, you said [he] had not tied	5	had removed the ligature.
6	anything to his neck when it was apparent that the	6	" acknowledged there was a delay in removing the
7	detainee had, in fact, tied a television cable around	7	ligature and the allegation made by the BBC may be
8	his neck and was unconscious. You did not remove the	8	accurate."
9	ligature around his neck until approximately two minutes	9	Pausing there, do you accept that the allegation
10	after the medical emergency was announced."	10	that was made by Callum Tulley was, in fact, accurate?
11	If we go, then, please, to page 3, at the foot of	11	A. What, that I did delay?
12	the page, allegation 2, it sets out more fully. We find	12	Q. Yes.
13	the same allegations in bold type:	13	A. Yes.
14	"DCM Connolly [it says all the way through; clearly	14	Q. "He was very clear that there was no intent on his part
15	it's about you, Donnelly] confirmed he was on duty	15	to cause D865 any further harm or distress and he
16	4 July 2017.	16	genuinely did not see the ligature when he arrived.
17	"He was asked to explain the incident and the	17	" all the relevant documentation was completed.
18	allegation made against him."	18	" stated that he has worked here a long time, he
19	Next page, please, at the top:	19	takes his job very seriously and he responds to
20	"DCM [Donnelly] stated that he responded to a first	20	incidents quickly and has saved many lives."
21	response call on A wing."	21	Just focusing on what you said, this is clearly
22	So that helps us as to where it was:	22	a summary of an interview that you had with G4S. So
23	"He stated he made his way from B wing to the	23	that we understand it, you accept, as you have just told
24	emergency and commented that it took him about a minute	24	us, there was a delay. When did the time start ticking
25	to arrive.	25	for that delay to have occurred, from your perspective?
	Page 113		Page 115
1	"On his arrival stated he observed PCO"		
-	On his arrivar stated he observed i CO	1	When was it obvious that you should have done what you
2	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?	2	When was it obvious that you should have done what you failed to do and what do you say the delay was?
2	Is that right or should it be "DCO"? <b>A. DCO.</b> Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on	2	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?
2 3	Is that right or should it be "DCO"? <b>A. DCO.</b>	2 3	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in
2 3 4	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could	2 3 4	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.	2 3 4 5	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and	2 3 4 5 6 7	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.  "When asked about the delay [Donnelly]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out—fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or outside?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.  "When asked about the delay [Donnelly] acknowledged that on arrival at the scene in the room he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or outside?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.  "When asked about the delay [Donnelly] acknowledged that on arrival at the scene in the room he 'missed' the ligature acknowledged when questioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or outside?  A. Yes.  Q. It's not much of a quibble in the scheme of things, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.  "When asked about the delay [Donnelly] acknowledged that on arrival at the scene in the room he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or outside?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that right or should it be "DCO"?  A. DCO.  Q. " Opoku in room A110 and the detainee was lying on his side with a television beneath his head.  "DCM Donnelly stated that on his arrival he could see the detainee was breathing, semi-conscious and groaning.  "Stated he was joined by other staff members responding to the incident.  " recalled someone asking him in the room if something was tied around his neck. On closer inspection, DCM [Donnelly] noticed a thin cord tied loosely around the detainee's neck.  " stated that the cord was loose enough for him to get his fingers underneath and cut the ligature off with his fish-knife. He described the ligature as a blue shoelace and not a black TV cable, commenting that a black TV cable would be more difficult to cut with a fish-knife and the shoelace cut easily and was removed quickly.  "When asked about the delay [Donnelly] acknowledged that on arrival at the scene in the room he 'missed' the ligature acknowledged when questioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	failed to do and what do you say the delay was?  A. I should have checked for myself the minute I arrived in the room, and I didn't.  Q. Yes.  A. As soon as Callum mentioned that there was actually a ligature around his neck, I got my fish out — fish knife out and removed it, but I accept that I didn't do what I should have done.  Q. No. Are you prepared to accept, although, of course, nobody is going to hold anybody to a precise time, that it could have been to the order of two minutes, or do you not accept that?  A. In the room, possibly two minutes.  Q. So that rather suggests that what Callum Tulley had to say was accurate?  A. Well, I think he said that I was outside the room, hovering outside the room.  Q. Yes.  A. Which isn't accurate.  Q. So that's the quibble is it, what you were inside or outside?  A. Yes.  Q. It's not much of a quibble in the scheme of things, is

		1	
1	A. Well, I think if I'd stayed outside the room, that would	1	consult with his colleague:
2	have been even more unforgivable.	2	"On his return, he changed his version of events and
3	Q. Which is better: being in the room and hanging around	3	stated he did not see any ligature when he observed the
4	for two minutes before you do anything, or staying	4	detainee lying on the floor.
5	outside and hanging around for two minutes before doing	5	"Stated he was in shock and froze and this is why he
6	anything?	6	took no action.
7	A. Neither of them are good.	7	" stated that when DCM [Donnelly] arrived he
8	Q. No.	8	provided no handover."
9	A. But certainly	9	Although this is a summary, there is no mention of
10	Q. So	10	Callum Tulley there either. Was there any possibility
11	A being in the room is marginally less bad than not	11	you and he spoke about events afterwards?
12	entering the room.	12	A. No.
13	Q. Not much between them, really, is there, Mr Donnelly?	13	Q. No. A bit of a curiosity here that Opoku says
14	A. Not really, no.	14	originally that he saw the man lying on the floor with
15	Q. Let me ask you something else. We have page 4 up.	15	a ligature tied around his neck and after a break
16	Right at the top of the page, as we have it on screen,	16	completely does a 180 and changes his story immediately.
17	the fifth paragraph down:	17	Do you understand that, why he changed his story?
18	"DCM [Donnelly] recalled someone asking him in the	18	A. I don't know why he changed his story, no.
19	room if something was tied around his neck."	19	Q. Then over the page, please, page 6, allegation 2 was
20	Who was the "someone"?	20	substantiated. So the conclusions of G4S are:
21	A. I'm guessing it was Callum.	21	"This incident was captured on the Panorama
22	Q. Are you guessing?	22	programme"
23	A. I think it was Callum.	23	Opoku raised the alarm and saw him lying on the
24	Q. Who else was there?	24	floor and failed to render immediate first aid and
25	A. There were several people there.	25	remove the ligature and Opoku waited for the first
	D 117		D 110
	Page 117		Page 119
1	Q. You see, by this time, you knew what the allegation was,	1	response to arrive and then entered the room. You see,
2	and you knew that it was Callum Tulley who was alleging	2	if Opoku had seen a ligature around a man's neck, which
3	that you had delayed. Had you deliberately just avoided	3	was his first account, then he would have said to you
4	his name? Rather than say Callum Tulley asked you in	4	when he arrived, he not having done what he should have
5	the room if something was tied around this man's neck	5	done, which is to remove the ligature, he would have
6	I'm just interested why it was "someone" rather than	6	said to you, "Chris, this man has got a ligature around
7	Callum Tulley when it was obviously Callum Tulley?	7	his neck. We need to take it off", but having changed
8	A. I really don't have an answer for that.	8	his story, having originally suggested that he saw the
9	Q. You don't have an answer. Let's look at what Ben Opoku	9	ligature and then changed it to say he hadn't after all,
10	had to say. If we go to page 5, this is a summary of	10	it rather suggests that (a) he avoids any responsibility
11	what he had to tell G4S. He confirmed he raised the	11	himself, but also, not having seen the ligature, avoids
12	alarm on discovery of 865 lying on the floor in his	12	responsibility for not giving you the information that
13	room. Initially stated that he saw 865 lying on the	13	you needed. Did you two discuss this after the event?
14	floor with a ligature tied around his neck and a TV	14	A. No.
15	bracket had come away from the wall:	15	Q. Not at all?
16	"When challenged stated that he waited at the	16	A. I haven't spoken to Ben. Not at all.
17	door until first responders arrived and did not render	17	Q. You never once mentioned this to him?
18	first aid or remove the ligature.	18	A. No.
19	" stated when enough people arrived he left the	19	Q. He not to you?
20	unit and took no further part in the management of	20	A. Absolutely not, no.
21	the incident.	21	Q. "Opoku waited for the first response to arrive then
22	" asked about his responsibilities as first	22	entered the room.
23	on scene. He acknowledged that his role was to provide	23	"DCM [Donnelly] arrived approximately 1 minute later
24	emergency aid and preserve life."	24	and entered the room and did not initially observe
25	Then he requested a break from the interview to	25	a ligature tied around the neck of D865.
	Page 118		Page 120
	1 age 110	1	1 agc 120

1 "DCM [Donnelly] was prompted by DCO Tulley who 1 Now, this is an incident report that you com	
	pleted;
2 arrived in the room that there may be a ligature tied 2 is that right? You have seen this before?	
3 around his neck." 3 A. Yes.	
4 G4S may have put two and two together because it 4 Q. We can see it is for 4 July. It was timed at 12	.25. If
5 seems you didn't mention the name Callum Tulley when you 5 we look on page 2, is this your handwriting,	
6 spoke to them, whereas, in their conclusions in 6 Mr Donnelly?	
7 substantiating the allegations against you, here we see 7 <b>A. It is.</b>	
8 Callum Tulley's name mentioned? 8 Q. This is your statement, your incident stateme	nt. It
9 <b>A. Mmm.</b> 9 reads this way because it is your handwritin	g, if
10 Q. Do you understand how that happened? 10 I get anything wrong, please correct me:	
11 A. I'm not sure what you mean. 11 "I DCM Chris Donnelly was on duty at Bro	ok House
12 Q. Well, do you agree it appears as if G4S must have 12 IRC, Gatwick, Tuesday, 4 July 2017, as detain	ee custody
13 concluded it was only Callum Tulley who told you about 13 manager, Oscar 2, in charge of B wing and de	ainee
14 the ligature around the man's neck because, if Opoku was 14 reception."	
15 telling the truth, he never saw it? 15 So on this occasion, you weren't Oscar 1, you	u were
16 A. Yes. 16 Oscar 2, which is what, residential duties?	
17 Q. And you never saw it until you were prompted to go to 17 A. Oscar 2 is mainly reception, overseeing rec	eption and
him and then remove it from his neck? 18 visits and, I think, Bravo wing, which was t	ne induction
19 A. I'm not sure why I didn't mention Callum Tulley's name, 19 wing at that time.	
20 <b>but it was even on the footage, it I recognise</b> 20 Q. Well, it was supposed to be?	
21 Callum Tulley's voice prompting me that there was 21 A. Yes.	
22 <b>something around his neck.</b> 22 Q. "At 12.25, I was on B wing when I heard an	emergency
23 Q. Presumably you saw the footage, did you, before or 23 response call on the radio, announcing 'First re	sponse'
24 during this investigation? 24 to A110.	
25 A. Yes, I think the interview was after the programme had 25 "I made my way there immediately with other	er
Page 121 Page 123	
1 aired. I can't quite remember. 1 officers. On arrival, I saw DCO B Opoku by	tha aman
, 1	-
2 Q. Your interview, you mean? 2 door of A110. Inside on the floor facedown v 3 A. Yes. 3 a detainee I knew to be D865. He was lying v	
4 Q. Yes. It would have been probably around September, 4 place where the TV should be in recovery pos	
5 a couple of days after? 5 TV was off the wall and he was lying facedow	
6 A. Yes. 6 it. There was a thin blue cord like a shoelace	_
7 Q. Most people were interviewed around 6 or 7 September, 7 D865's neck and attached to the TV bracket v	
8 I think. 8 broken off the wall. I used my fish-knife to c	
9 <b>A. Mmm.</b> 9 ligature off from around his neck. It was tigh	
10 Q. So: 10 not so tight I could not easily get my fingers in	
11 " [Donnelly] was prompted by DCO Tulley who 11 it. At that point, healthcare arrived."	10 • • • •
12 arrived in the room that there may be a ligature tied 12 Next page, please:	
around [his] neck. On further inspection of the neck, 13 "I could see when I cut the ligature that D8	65 was
14 DCM [Donnelly] observed a ligature around [the] neck and 14 still alive but not visibly conscious because h	
15 immediately used his fish-knife to cut the ligature 15 and reacted when I cut the ligature but would	
16 away." 16 or open his eyes when I called to him. After	
Then there is a bit more information about it.  17 had been with him several minutes, he regain	
18 Can we look, please, at another document 18 consciousness and got up and sat on his bed.	
19 <cjs004312>. Can I add, I ought to say we don't have 19 "By this time Oscar 1, DCM Loughton and</cjs004312>	A wing
20 to put it back up that on page 7, the conclusions of 20 manager DCM R Giraldo had arrived. It was	_
21 G4S were that the team was satisfied you didn't act with 21 an ACDT would be opened by DCM Giraldo	•
22 any intent to cause harm or distress. You will remember 22 him to do so, and also that D865 should move	
23 that? 23 on ACDT constant supervision.	
	ed him if
24 A. Yes. 24 "When he had recovered sufficiently, I ask	
	t
24 A. Yes. 24 "When he had recovered sufficiently, I ask	't

1	know'.	1	A. Yes.
2	"His roommate, D1538, said when I asked him, that he	2	Q. So why did you leave it out?
3	had gone to the toilet, heard a loud bang, had come out	3	A. Probably because I didn't want to make myself look bad.
4	of the toilet and found D865 on the floor. Just as	4	Q. No.
5	I had described he then called A wing office on cell	5	A. And the guy the resident was recovered.
6	call and DCO Opoku had arrived and called first	6	Q. Yes. But for Callum Tulley recording what had happened,
7	response. This ends my report."	7	nobody would have been the wiser, would they?
8	At 1.30. So the writing of this report must have	8	A. No.
9	taken you the better part of an hour?	9	Q. Can we think a little more about D865, because the ACDT
10	A. Quite possibly, yes.	10	was opened that day; is that right?
11	Q. Is there anything that's wrong about it?	11	A. It looks that way.
12	A. It doesn't mention that Callum Tulley had to point out	12	Q. Can we open it up on screen. It's <cjs001034>, tab 7,</cjs001034>
13	the ligature to me.	13	chair. If we scroll down to the bottom, 4 July it was
14	Q. Again, we don't see the name Callum Tulley, do we?	14	opened. 2 August closed. Is that correct?
15	A. No.	15	A. It appears to be, yes.
16	Q. We don't see the fact that Callum Tulley drew your	16	Q. If we go to the next can we just scroll up to the top
17	attention to the fact that there was a ligature around	17	again, please. Then if we can go to the next page:
18	this man's neck. It doesn't draw attention to the fact	18	"Triggers/warning signs to prompt immediate review
19	that there was a delay before you attended him?	19	and persons/department to be called
20	A. Sorry?	20	"Being in detention for two years.
21	Q. It doesn't draw attention to the fact that there was	21	"Possibly suffering from depression."
22	a delay before you attended on him. In fact, if you	22	If we scroll down, we see the date of that, 4 July,
23	read this, particularly the middle or third paragraph	23	Angela O'Connor. Who was she?
24	and the fourth paragraph on page 2, which we can go back	24	A. She would be the ACDT assessor who asked the preliminary
25	to, the green highlighted parts, "shoelace around neck	25	questions about the background to the resident, what
	Page 125		Page 127
	0		0
1	attached to TV bracket which had broken off the wall.	1	their current state of mind is.
2	I used my fish-knife", there should be at least another	2	Q. If we go, then, to the next page, page 3, at the top, we
3	paragraph in between there, shouldn't there,	3	see the notification form to be completed by the DCM:
4	Mr Donnelly?	4	"Has the detainee self-harmed: yes. Tried to kill
5	A. Yes, there should.	5	himself by hanging from the TV cables in his room."
6	Q. Why did you leave out information which, of course,	6	Who completed that?
7	wouldn't have made you look particularly good	7	A. I'm not sure.
8	"unforgivable" is your word? Why did you leave it out?	8	Q. I think we will find at the bottom it was Mr Giraldo.
9	A. I'm not sure, to be honest.	9	TC1: 1 41 - 4 41 - 4
10		1	If he said that, that was wrong?
10	Q. It feels a bit like a coverup, doesn't it?	10	A. What, about trying to kill himself.
11	A. Possibly.	11	<ul><li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li><li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li></ul>
11 12	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to	11 12	<ul><li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li><li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
11 12 13	<ul><li>A. Possibly.</li><li>Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done</li></ul>	11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Possibly.</li> <li>Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand,	11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"</li> <li>The DD is the duty director?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to	11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. In deliberately omitting important information, if this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or statement of intent to kill self" under a "Concern and</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. In deliberately omitting important information, if this man had died, you would be looking at the wrong end of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or statement of intent to kill self" under a "Concern and keep safe form". What is a "Concern and keep safe</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. In deliberately omitting important information, if this man had died, you would be looking at the wrong end of a criminal accusation, wouldn't you, of at least	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or statement of intent to kill self" under a "Concern and keep safe form". What is a "Concern and keep safe form"?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. In deliberately omitting important information, if this man had died, you would be looking at the wrong end of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or statement of intent to kill self" under a "Concern and keep safe form". What is a "Concern and keep safe</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Possibly.  Q. In the end, Mr Donnelly, nobody wants to 'fess up to omissions, mistakes, things they should have done differently or better, and certainly nobody wants to 'fess up to deliberate omissions, but do you understand, in leaving out a narrative which was very important to what happened, it lends itself to the suggestion that what you had done is exactly what Callum Tulley suggests you did, which was deliberately failing to go to this man's aid? Do you understand that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. In deliberately omitting important information, if this man had died, you would be looking at the wrong end of a criminal accusation, wouldn't you, of at least	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. What, about trying to kill himself.</li> <li>Q. No, TV cables. Weren't we told it was a shoelace?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "Has an incident report been completed and passed to the DD?"  The DD is the duty director?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. "Awaiting completion by the DCM". That was you. And the incident report is the document I have just read from.  If we go to page 10, again, Mr Giraldo, 4 July, if we go to the top. He ticks the box "Suicide attempt or statement of intent to kill self" under a "Concern and keep safe form". What is a "Concern and keep safe form"?</li> </ul>

reasons why the document was opened.  Q. "Today at approximately 12.30 pm, D865 tried to hurt himself by hanging himself from the TV cable in his himself by hanging himself from the TV cable in his room."  Hurt himself" is probably a bit of an understatement. If we go to the next page, which is a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, please. Is this your document?  A. Yes.  Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter to 1. At the top of the page:  "Moved to E001 on constant supervision."  harming himself or taking his own life he see his family again. The nurse also advised an appointment to see the nurse at 2.30 today an ap	I him he had y. He it he is il I remain open ues. It was
himself by hanging himself from the TV cable in his room."  4 stated that he does not have solicitor and tha Thurt himself" is probably a bit of an understatement. If we go to the next page, which is a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, please. Is this your document?  A. Yes.  Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter to 1. At the top of the page:  "Moved to E001 on constant supervision."  3 an appointment to see the nurse at 2.30 today 4 stated that he does not have solicitor and tha 5 using BID and that he has not yet made a bai application through them. 7 "All present agreed that the ACDT should 8 due to his low mood and unresolved bail issu agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm we that, accordingly, the observation level could 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S?  14 So are you prepared to accept, having seer	y. He it he is il I remain open ues. It was
4 room." 4 stated that he does not have solicitor and tha 5 "Hurt himself" is probably a bit of an 6 understatement. If we go to the next page, which is 7 a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, 8 please. Is this your document? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S?  4 stated that he does not have solicitor and tha 5 using BID and that he has not yet made a bai 6 application through them. 7 "All present agreed that the ACDT should 8 due to his low mood and unresolved bail issu 9 agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed to accordingly, the observation level could be reduced." 11 So are you prepared to accept, having seen 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	it he is il I remain open ues. It was
5 "Hurt himself" is probably a bit of an 6 understatement. If we go to the next page, which is 7 a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, 8 please. Is this your document? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 15 using BID and that he has not yet made a bath application through them. 16 application through them. 17 "All present agreed that the ACDT should due to his low mood and unresolved bail issued agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed to accordingly, the observation level could be a greed. 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seed that the ACDT should due to his low mood and unresolved bail issued to his low mood and unr	il I remain open ues. It was
6 understatement. If we go to the next page, which is 7 a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, 8 please. Is this your document? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 16 application through them. 7 "All present agreed that the ACDT should due to his low mood and unresolved bail isst of use to his low mood and unresolved bail isst of the page agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed. 10 that, accordingly, the observation level could be reduced." 11 So are you prepared to accept, having seen and the properties of the page. 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen and the properties of the page. 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	l remain open ues. It was
7 a page I think you completed, if we look to the bottom, 8 please. Is this your document? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 17 "All present agreed that the ACDT should 8 due to his low mood and unresolved bail isst 9 agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm w 10 that, accordingly, the observation level could 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen	ues. It was
8 please. Is this your document?  9 A. Yes.  9 agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm w 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 11 reduced." 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 14 due to his low mood and unresolved bail issu 9 agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm w 10 that, accordingly, the observation level could 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	ues. It was
9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 19 agreed, however, that the risk of self-harm we have a greed to accordingly, the observation level could reduced." 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen a greed to accept a greed to accept, having seen a greed to accept, having seen a greed to accept a greed to ac	
10 Q. And your handwriting on the same occasion, at a quarter 11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 10 that, accordingly, the observation level could reduced." 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	as low and
11 to 1. At the top of the page: 12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 11 reduced." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having see: 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	ras row, and
12 "Moved to E001 on constant supervision." 12 So are you prepared to accept, having seen 13 By whom? Was that G4S? 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	d be
13 By whom? Was that G4S? 13 Mr Donnelly, that, by the time the events of	
	n that,
	4 July came
14 A. Yes. 14 around, you knew something about this man	and knew that
15 Q. Completed 4 July. 15 there were risks around his mental health?	
16 "Frequency of staff support: constant. 16 A. Yes.	
17 "Phone access: Mobile in possession." 17 Q. Of course he wasn't the only person who bo	ore witness to
18 So this is an immediate action plan for what, his 18 what had happened, because he had a roomn	
move to E wing, and the support that would be offered to 19 we look at another document, chair, behind t	tab 21,
20 him? 20 <cjs007239>, and if we go, please, to page</cjs007239>	
21 A. The action plan is completed for every ACDT within an 21 bottom, this is 5 July.	
22 <b>hour of the document being opened.</b> 22 These notes, medical notes, relate to D153	38, not to
23 Q. Did you know that D865 had been on ACDT previously? On 23 D865. It is 5 July, 15:20:	
24 4 July, at the time that you entered that room, A110 on 24 "Surgery: Churcher [Karen Churcher, the	mental
25 A wing, did you know that this man had previously been 25 health nurse]."	
Page 129 Page 131	
1 on ACDT? 1 Top of the next page, please:	
2 A. I believe I did. 2 "Examination: seen on wing after request from	m
3 Q. Let's look at the document, <cjs000955>, which, chair, 3 DCM Donnelly."</cjs000955>	
4 I think you will find at tab 48. If we look at the 4 So it was your request, was it, for D1538 to b	e seen
5 front page, again, it relates to 865. If we scroll down 5 by the nurse?	
6 to the bottom, we will see he was on ACDT between 6 A. Yes.	
7 18 and 28 May. Can we go to page 20. If we look at the 7 Q. Because?	
8 top, you were the case manager; yes? This was a case 8 A. Because he'd witnessed something traumati	c and he might
9 review document? 9 need some assistance or be seen by a mental l	health
10 A. Yes. 10 nurse.	
11 Q. Dated 22 May at 10.00 o'clock in the morning.	
12 "C Donnelly", you're the case manager. Karen Churcher 12 A. Sorry, because he'd witnessed something tra	aumatic and he
13 is the RMN and two others, DCO and Home Office are 13 might need some assistance.	
named. The summary of the review, was this written by 14 Q. Clearly, he was traumatised by the event. Share	red
15 you, Mr Donnelly? 15 a room, as this note says, "with a fellow detaine	e who
16 A. I think it would be, yes.	
17 Q. "D865 subdued at interview, appeared to be in low mood. 17 "States he is shaken and has recurrent though	its and
18 I asked how he was feeling today, he said okay but 18 dreams about it. Is also stressed about being de	tained
19 looked down in mood. I asked him for an update on his 19 and has been placed on a raised concern."	
20 case. He said that the Probation Service had contacted 20 What does that mean, "a raised concern"?	
21 his friend outside on Friday, and that they [Probation] 21 A. It's a document that should be opened for n	o more than
would be visiting the friend's property sometime this  22 two days. It involves regular observations by	y officers
23 week to assess whether that address was suitable for him 23 and a daily review with a DCM to assess whe	ether it needs
24 to be bailed there. He seemed quite upbeat about that. 24 to if it can be closed or an ACDT needs to I	
25 He reiterated when asked that he had no thoughts of 25 Q. "Had a fellow detainee in his room when visite	ed and
25 The reflectation when above that he had no thoughts of	
Page 130 Page 132	

1	suggested that he ask to share with his friend. Would	1	class that as desensitised.
2	like to be referred to the mental health team."	2	Q. No? Having seen a lot of it, however you class it, do
3	First of all, Mr Donnelly, and I'm asked in	3	you think it had an impact on you, how you reacted to
4	particular to ask you these questions on behalf of	4	these things, what you thought about it?
5	Duncan Lewis Solicitors, what training did you receive	5	A. No, I honestly don't. I think, in that incident,
6	to equip you to care for someone who had been an	6	I didn't do what I should have done, but that was an
7	eyewitness to an attempted suicide?	7	oversight and it's certainly not something that's
8	A. Just the basic Safer Community training about the	8	happened since or before.
9	options that are open to us. So does an ACDT need to be	9	Q. What explains it, though?
10	opened	10	A. My wrong assumption that somebody else had done the
11	Q. Can you just speak up? It may be just me.	11	checks. It's as simple as that.
12	A. Sorry. Does an ACDT need to be opened, is it a raised	12	Q. We know nobody else had.
13	concerns, do we need to refer him to an RMN? That's	13	A. Yes.
14	basically the training that we had.	14	Q. And we know that Mr Opoku decided to change his mind
15	Q. Do you think that equipped you to deal with, in	15	about what he had and hadn't seen. The moment you
16	particular, D1538 and his traumatisation by what he'd	16	entered that room and you saw what was laid out in front
17	witnessed?	17	of you a man on the ground with a television off the
18	A. No. That's why I referred him to a mental health	18	wall you didn't need many more triggers to understand
19	professional.	19	what might have happened, did you?
20	Q. Do you know offhand whether there was a follow-up to his	20	A. No.
21	request for mental health, a referral, as we see in this	21	Q. What the inquiry would struggle to understand is why you
22	note?	22	didn't react, given your experience?
23	A. I believe he was seen by the RMN.	23	A. Like I said, it was an oversight. I should have
24	Q. As far as that what, you don't personally follow it	24	I shouldn't have assumed that somebody else would have
25	up? You leave it with healthcare?	25	done the first checks and
	•		
	Page 133		Page 135
1	A Vos	1	O Well you can only make assumptions based on what you
1 2	A. Yes.  O. Do you hannen to know at that time in the G4S years	1 2	Q. Well, you can only make assumptions based on what you see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you
2	Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years,	2	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you
2 3	Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration	2 3	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had
2 3 4	Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed	2 3 4	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you
2 3 4 5	Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?	2 3 4 5	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li><li>A. No, I don't.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there all of those years, in 2017, as you were, that there was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea that self-harm and suicidal behaviour was attention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there all of those years, in 2017, as you were, that there was an element of desensitisation to self-harm and attempted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea that self-harm and suicidal behaviour was attention seeking or manipulation by detainees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there all of those years, in 2017, as you were, that there was an element of desensitisation to self-harm and attempted suicide? In other words, was it normalised?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea that self-harm and suicidal behaviour was attention seeking or manipulation by detainees?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there all of those years, in 2017, as you were, that there was an element of desensitisation to self-harm and attempted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea that self-harm and suicidal behaviour was attention seeking or manipulation by detainees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Do you happen to know at that time, in the G4S years, what measures were in place across the immigration removal centre to support detainees who witnessed self-harm and suicide?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. What measures are in place now at Serco?</li> <li>A. I would imagine it would be the same for any resident. If there's a suspicion that they might harm themself, we'd open an ACDT. Otherwise, we might open a raised concern. But we'd refer them to healthcare and if they thought they needed a supported living plan, then that would be opened.</li> <li>Q. So that applies now as well at Serco, does it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. A similar system or identical system?</li> <li>A. Pretty much identical.</li> <li>Q. We saw, didn't we, in the G4S investigation report that they substantiated the allegation that you were inappropriately slow in removing the ligature from 865's neck on 4 July. Do you think that, having been there all of those years, in 2017, as you were, that there was an element of desensitisation to self-harm and attempted suicide? In other words, was it normalised?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see around you or perhaps what you are told. Did you make any enquiry of anybody else, whether they had actually done the check that you, yourself, accept you should have done?  A. No, I didn't.  Q. So what explains all of this, Mr Donnelly? You don't get the information from anyone around you. Nobody says anything to you. There's a man on the ground with a television on the floor. And then Callum Tulley comes along and says, "Look, there's a ligature around his neck". Before he points that out to you and before you actually react to it, what everybody might be struggling to understand is why you've done nothing, and, had it not been for Callum Tulley, who knows what the outcome might have been. So what's the explanation?  A. I'm not honestly sure what the explanation is.  Q. You have had a long time to think about it.  A. It was a wrong assumption on my part that Ben had done the check. I can't say any more than that.  Q. Were you one of those who perhaps subscribed to the idea that self-harm and suicidal behaviour was attention seeking or manipulation by detainees?  A. No.

1	A Comment of Lordina		A. W
1	A. Some residents, I would say yes.	1	A. Mmm-hmm.
2	Q. So some residents, what you're telling us is, would,	2	Q. In the case of D865, we have seen two ACDT forms: one
3	what, use self-harm as a means of seeking attention?	3	that was opened on 17 May and closed on the 28th; and
4	A. They would use the threat of self-harm in the belief it	4	the other that was opened on 4 July, because of these
5	would help their case.	5	events, and ultimately closed on 2 August. What I would
6	Q. How could you tell the difference between those who were	6	like to know is, in the gap in between, assuming there
7	genuine and those who were simply being manipulative or	7	were no other ACDT forms in his case, has he been
8	even disruptive?	8	unsupervised?
9	A. We always opened the ACDT anyway so that we didn't have	9	A. He would be supervised in the same way that all the
10	to guess.	10	residents would be supervised, that the staff would
11	Q. No, but somebody could be very good at manipulation and	11	interact with him, observe him
12	be manipulating every officer around him and the	12	Q. But not in the way a mentally unwell person should be?
13	healthcare team. What training did you and your brother	13	A. Possibly not, no.
14	and sister officers have to say, "This is clearly a case	14	Q. Well, definitely not. Because if there is no ACDT or if
15	of a man who is ill", as against, "This is clearly the	15	he's not in E wing on constant observations, then there
16	case of a man who is just disruptive and not ill". How	16	is a risk that somebody like him falls through the gap,
17	did you know the difference? Opening an ACDT doesn't	17	through the crack, and then does what he does on 4 July.
18	tell you one way or the other because it's all	18	That's what happened here. There must be many 865s who
19	subjective. How did you objectively make that	19	came and went through Brook House who performed in
20	determination?	20	exactly the same way. Is that something you're prepared
21	A. I wouldn't.	21	to agree with?
22	Q. Who did?	22	A. I'll agree it's a possibility. The ACDT is not closed
23	A. What we would do is open a document and, subsequent to	23	lightly. It's multi-disciplinary, and with the input of
24	that, we'd have multi-disciplinary case reviews. We	24	a mental health professional. But it is possible.
25	would have the Home Office the mental health	25	Q. Let's move on. We are going slightly backwards in time,
	Page 137		Page 139
1	professionals, chaplaincy, Home Office input. So we	1	to 13 May. But I want to ask you about another detained
2	wouldn't make that determination.	2	person, D687. If we can please put up on screen a use
3	Q. One of the problems with you officers not making that	3	of force form, which is <cjs005652>. D687. The date is</cjs005652>
4	determination is, do you agree, it could be very easy to	4	13 May. If we go to page 15 of this document, there is
5	slip into the idea that they're all attention seekers?	5	footage and you will have seen it, Mr Donnelly. Do
6	A. What I would say is that we always erred on the side of	6	you remember, it was a detained man who was due to be
7	caution.	7	transported away from Brook House to the Verne?
8	Q. Which means?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. That we would open an ACDT document and begin that	9	Q. He tied himself to either the cistern or a handle in
10	process.	10	a disabled lavatory, sitting on the loo, refusing to
11	Q. So you would assume, in all cases, that the man was	11	move, and telling you of his distress, of how long he'd
12	vulnerable and potentially mentally unwell?	12	been at Brook House, that he wanted to die with his
13	A. We would assume that if somebody says that they're going	13	be buried next to his brother, and so on and so forth.
14	to harm themselves, that they might.	14	Do you remember that?
15	Q. In between the ACDT that was opened in respect of D865	15	A. Yes.
16	and closed on 28 May and the one that was opened on	16	Q. Yes, hard to forget. Here, on page 15, is your
17	4 July and ultimately closed on 2 August, what was going	17	statement within the use of force pack, annex A, and if
18	on in his life? How do we know, if no other ACDTs were	18	we can go to the bottom, please. You were the handcuff
19	opened, whether this very vulnerable man was being	19	officer
20	looked after and properly cared for?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Sorry, I'm not sure.	21	Q according to this. If we go over the page:
22	Q. Well, you set great store by the opening of an ACDT.	22	"I, DCM Chris Donnelly, was on duty at Brook House
23	A. Yes.	23	on Saturday, 13 May, Oscar 2, in charge of detainee
24	Q. Because the idea of that is to monitor somebody who is	24	reception/discharge and B wing.
25	believed to be at risk?	25	"At approximately 1.30, I was informed that
	Page 138		Page 140
	- uge 100		35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1	a detainee that I know to be 687 was in discharge	1	chair, it's tab 24 and it's <hom002652>. This is your</hom002652>
2	waiting room toilet with a ligature around his neck. He	2	account to the PSU, who were asking you about it on
3	was in the waiting room awaiting suitable crew move to	3	17 January 2018. One of the questions was, why, if you
4	the Verne IRC."	4	were wearing body-worn camera, you didn't activate it,
5	Clear up a mystery, at least for me, if for no-one	5	and you will remember that. If you look about
6	else. "Suitable crew", what does it actually mean?	6	two-thirds of the way down in fact, at the bottom of
7	A. It means that, as the contractor, we are obliged to	7	the page as it is up on the screen as it was up on
8	present the detainee under force if necessary. It just	8	the screen as it is up on the screen, he said that
9	means that there's enough officers in the escorting crew	9	the slings were to hold body-worn camera. In response
10	to take him under restraint.	10	to why there was no body-worn BWV, BWC, it doesn't
11	Q. Right.	11	really matter:
12	A. So it has to happen.	12	" given there were cameras worn by two managers
13	Q. It's just the words "suitable crew" which seem pretty	13	and why the camera was not switched on, he had
14	meaningless?	14	'evidently not' switched it on and he could not remember
15	A. Yeah.	15	why not."
16	Q. Is that a sort of	16	What is your explanation for not switching it on?
17	A. Jargon.	17	You were, at the time, a very experienced man. Why
18	Q Brook House jargon?	18	didn't you put it on?
19	A. It's not just Brook House. It comes from the	19	A. Not experienced with body-worn cameras. I'm not sure
20	Home Office. It's on the movement orders. It will say	20	how long they'd been introduced. I just simply forgot
21	"in country" or "suitable crew".	21	to put it on.
22	Q. "I went to speak to him with some other officers. He	22	Q. I mean, do you accept you weren't most directly involved
23	was sitting on the toilet of the detainee discharge	23	in this; it was Mr Haughton who removed the ligature?
24	toilet with a white ligature around his neck saying he	24	Once he was, I think, taken to the ground, you applied
25	didn't want to go to the Verne. Too far away from his	25	the handcuffs. Is that right?
			-
	Page 141		Page 143
1 1	family He wouldn't design or remove the lighture	1 1	A Voc
1 2	family. He wouldn't desist or remove the ligature	1 2	A. Yes.
2	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?]	2	Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was
2 3	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton	2 3	Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you
2 3 4	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate	2 3 4	Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any
2 3 4 5	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated	2 3 4 5	Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?
2 3 4 5 6	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell"  Is it Farrell or Farnell?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell"  Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell"  Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell.  Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell"  Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell.  Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:</hom002725></li> <li>"The Panorama footage did not show the use of force.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force.</hom002725></li> <li>Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away'</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31: "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that report presumably was written by you on the same date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?  A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.  Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31:  "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on but had not activated this (DCMs Donnelly and Farrell)</hom002725>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that report presumably was written by you on the same date because it is dated 13 May.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31: "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on but had not activated this (DCMs Donnelly and Farrell) as this would have been overwhelming evidence of events</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that report presumably was written by you on the same date because it is dated 13 May.  A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31: "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on but had not activated this (DCMs Donnelly and Farrell) as this would have been overwhelming evidence of events in the toilet area.</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that report presumably was written by you on the same date because it is dated 13 May.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31: "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on but had not activated this (DCMs Donnelly and Farrell) as this would have been overwhelming evidence of events</hom002725></li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	despite several DCOs, myself and DCM [is it 'Farnell'?] trying to persuade him. Duty Director Dan Haughton arrived and after further attempts to de-escalate peacefully, Duty Director Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force to prevent self-harm. He grabbed the ligature from 687. Other officers DCM Farrell" Is it Farrell or Farnell?  A. Farrell. Q. "DCOs Martin and Tulley then controlled [687] on the floor. I made the decision that to move [687] from the area he would need to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to [687] when he was on the floor. He was then helped to his feet and he walked compliantly to detainee discharge to talk to waiting Tascor suitable crew.  "After five to ten minutes he had de-escalated sufficiently that the Tascor crew asked for the cuffs to be removed and they accepted him into their custody." So that's what you had to say at the time, and that report presumably was written by you on the same date because it is dated 13 May.  A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So can you explain, it wasn't just one of you, it was two of you, who didn't activate it wasn't just you who forgot to do so, it was also Mr Farrell. Any explanation for that?</li> <li>A. Apart from the fact that the body-worns were a fairly new introduction to our way of life and we just weren't in the habit of activating them.</li> <li>Q. If we go to tab 30 for you, chair, and to a different document, the investigation report, which you will have seen, into this by the PSU, <hom002725>. If we go, once we get there, to page 44, please. We will see the paragraph at the bottom, 7.5.31: "The Panorama footage did not show the use of force. Officers could be heard trying to de-escalate and someone said 'Okay it's off. Right the ligature's away' soon after all the officers moved forward and the footage ended. I was satisfied the footage ended because DCO Tulley had become involved in the use of force so was unable to continue covert filming. A major concern was that two managers had body-worn cameras on but had not activated this (DCMs Donnelly and Farrell) as this would have been overwhelming evidence of events in the toilet area.</hom002725></li> </ul>

1	This is obviously from the interview that I just	1	broke, to look at the transcript, or part of
2	touched on in January 2018:	2	the transcript, of the events of 13 May. If we can put
3	" he could not remember why he had not switched	3	up, please, <trn0000095> at page 33. That's tab 36 for</trn0000095>
4	his on. DCM Farrell said he could not remember if he	4	you, chair oh, no, 38. If we go to the top of
5	had had a camera on the strap or was just wearing the	5	the page, this is part of the key footage which was
6	strap. He said the cameras were small and there had	6	shown on Panorama, I'm reasonably confident, and was
7	7 only been a limited amount. He could not remember		shown during an earlier part of the inquiry. You see
8	switching it on. The policy said 'BWC shall be worn	8	687 at the top saying:
9	at all times while the manager is on duty 24/7, unless	9	"You put me in a body bag and out of here lot going
10	an exception is granted by the G4S duty director	10	to take me I've explained to you bruv fuck off.
11	staff should activate the [camera] to record all	11	"I swear to God I'll let myself don't force me.
12	contacts with detainees in the performance of official	12	"Don't come near me bruv. Come near me and I swear
13	duties dealing with incidents and responding to alarm	13	to God I'll, I'll let myself go.
14	calls use of force'. If it is not activated	14	"That's the only way I'm leaving this toilet bruv
15	a record should be made of the reasons. A BWC recording	15	I'm telling you this now I'm not having it: I've had
16	should not be made in an area where individuals have an	16	enough I don't want to live no more"
17	expectation of privacy, ie toilet'.	17	You'll see there is a reference to Officer 4.
18	"None of the officers said they had not switched the	18	That's you. Are you prepared to accept you were
19	camera on because of the latter, so it is reasonable to	19	Officer 4 and you were doing some of the talking here?
20	assume this was not a factor in their decision"	20	A. Yes.
21	The report continues in that vein until 7.5.35:	21	Q. He says:
22	"Whilst an unsatisfactory response in relation to	22	"The reason I given because of my mum."
23	events on 13 May it does show these significant	23	And then Officer 4 at line 1068, being you:
24	organisational failings in respect of body-worn cameras	24	"Then we'll wait for a minute until you pass out and
25	have now been addressed. It does not detract from the	25	then we'll cut you down. Nobody is dying today."
	Page 145		Page 147
1	C and and	,	A 11
1	fact that the report said it was used, yet none is	1	And he says:
2 3	available."  Do you accept that you had plenty of time to	2 3	"There's no passing out bruv. I'm looking to die bruv, you got to understand bruv I've had enough bruv
4	activate it, had you remembered to do so?	4	I don't want to live no more bruv."
5	A. Yes.	5	We could go further through the transcript I'm
6	Q. It was several minutes, I think, you were there before,	6	not going to in the interests of time, but you'll
7	as it were, any action took place. Are you prepared to	7	remember I said earlier that, later on, he says he wants
8	accept that this was a serious failure, in the	8	to be buried next to his brother, he felt alienated
9	circumstances?	9	going to the Verne and, on page 11 or 12, if we were to
10	A. Yes, it was.	10	look, he talks about his mental health deteriorating.
11	MR ALTMAN: Now I'd like to take you to the transcript,	11	Going back to the first page where we were, you will
12	because I've got some other questions to ask you about	12	remember you said:
13	this.	13	"Then we'll wait for a minute until you pass out and
14	Chair, Mr Livingston reminds me that it is break	14	then we'll cut you down."
15	time it may be his break time, anyway. Can	15	One of the things that D687 says in a statement he
16	I suggest, before I move on to that, that we break for	16	made to the inquiry it's not in your bundle he
17	15 minutes? I make it 20 past. We can come back at 25	17	said:
18	to?	18	"I remember that."
19	THE CHAIR: Yes. We can have a cup of tea, Mr Donnelly, and	19	In other words, he remembered you saying, "We'll
20	return in 15 minutes.	20	wait for a minute until you pass out and then we'll cut
21	A. Thank you.	21	you down."
22	(3.20 pm)	22	He said:
23	(A short break)	23	"It added to my feeling of worthlessness."
24	(3.40 pm)	24	Do you accept, Mr Donnelly, that it is something
25	MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, I was inviting you, before we	25	that you ought not to have said?
	Page 146		Page 148

1	A. Yes.	1	the events of 13 May:
2	Q. Why did you say it?	2	"The use of force was 'calm, reasonable use of force
3	A. To point out the futility of him harming himself,	3	and no excessive violence (no-one lost their cool). He
4	bearing in mind that the ligature point was a foot and	4	was putting up quite a fight (lashing out with his arms
5	a half off the floor, so he wouldn't have been able to	5	and legs), so I applied the cuffs for his safety and
6	harm himself, and we were all there on hand to make sure	6	ours'. D687 had been facedown (position for
7	that he didn't. I was trying to persuade him to give up	7	handcuffing) and his arms behind him when handcuffs were
8	the ligature.	8	applied."
9	Q. This was another man that you surely knew had mental	9	Pausing there, Mr Donnelly, how do you think you
10	health problems. In fact, you didn't need to know the	10	forgot when you made the statement in your annex A on
11	history. You just needed to know this was a man sitting	11	13 May, how do you think you forgot the justification
12	on a toilet with a ligature around his neck fastened to	12	for applying the handcuffs was because he was putting up
13	some item on the wall. That's enough information for	13	quite a fight, lashing out with his arms and legs?
14	anybody to say this man is not well, or potentially	14	Because it is not there, is it?
15	unwell, and is certainly vulnerable and is certainly	15	A. No.
16	upset and distressed, by the way he was speaking. You	16	Q. How is it, by the time the PSU is interviewing you on
17	didn't need any more information than that, did you?	17	17 January 2018, several months later, the story is
18	A. No.	18	embellished a little? How does that happen?
19	Q. So it was the wrong thing to say. It was certainly an	19	A. Well, I can say it's not embellished, and I don't know
20	unwise thing to say. Do you agree, Mr Donnelly?	20	why it wasn't in the original report. It was in front
21	A. On reflection, possibly, yes, but the message I wanted	21	of colleagues, in front of the duty director, and so it
22	to get across to him was that, "Nobody is going to die	22	was, in my mind, necessary to apply cuffs.
23	today, you're not going to die today".	23	Q. Either it's been embellished because it didn't happen,
24	Q. You did say that. When you look at what you said here	24	and you sought to improve your position with the PSU, or
25	on 13 May and when you look at 4 July, it lends itself,	25	it did, and somehow you and the other officers forgot to
	Page 149		Page 151
1	don't you think, Mr Donnelly, to the suggestion that	1	put it in their annex As in the use of force form
2	what you said to this man on 13 May is what you actually	2	package. What do you think it is? Because nobody else
3	put into practice on 4 July? Unfortunate, isn't it?	3	talks about him lashing out with his arms and legs. So
4	A. It is, yes, and that's not the case.	4	how do you think it comes about that, by
5	Q. Can I ask you about another thing in relation to this	5	17 January 2018, you are adding to the story?
6	event. We looked let's go back to it, please, at	6	A. I think it should have been in the original statement.
7	tab 16 for you, chair the use of force form,	7	I don't know why it wasn't.
8	<cjs005652>. We looked at what you had to say at</cjs005652>	8	Q. There is one obvious answer, Mr Donnelly: because it
9	page 15 of this document about what you did and why you	9	didn't happen that way.
10	did it. Go to the next page, just to remind ourselves	10	A. I don't think that's the case.
11	briefly.	11	Q. You don't think.
12	If we go to the second page, do you remember you	12	A. No.
13	wrote that Haughton initiated a spontaneous use of force	13	Q. The reality is that he wasn't lashing out, either with
14	to prevent self-harm, grabbed the ligature and Tulley	14	his arms or with his legs, and he was, in the
15	and Martin and other officers controlled 687 on the	15	circumstances, fairly easily subdued, wasn't he?
16	floor and it was you who made the decision to move him	16	A. I don't think so, no.
17	from the area for which he would need to be handcuffed	17	Q. Well, we have only just read the statement that you made
18	for his own safety and the safety of officers who	18	on the day, which rather suggests he was. I mean, you
19	applied the cuffs. When he was on the floor, he was	19	applied handcuffs for what reason? We can go back and
20	then helped to his feet and you described how he, as it	20	look at what you had to say in the annex A at tab 16
21	were, self-de-escalated.	21	for you, chair <cjs005652> at page 16:</cjs005652>
22	Can I ask you to look, please, again, but for	22	"He was controlled on the floor. I made the
23	a different reason, at your interview to the PSU on	23	decision that I move [687] from the area. He would need
24	17 January 2018? It is tab 24, <hom002652>, at page 3.</hom002652>	24	to be handcuffed for his own safety and the safety of
25	Towards the top of the page, here you are talking about	25	officers. I applied the ratchet cuffs to him when he
	Page 150		Page 152

1	was on the floor."	1	A. They were inappropriate because we shouldn't be talking	
2	I mean, if ever there was an opportunity for you to	2	about residents in that way.	
3	say he was thrashing around with his legs and arms, that	3	Q. So where do we end up with this? You said them? Do you	
4	was it, don't you think? I mean, this is when it was	4	accept you said those words? Where are we going to end	
5	fresh in your memory, not January 2018?	5 up with this, Mr Donnelly: you accept you said them;		
6	A. It was implicit, not explicit and I should have made it	6 may have said them; you don't remember saying them,		
7	explicit.	7	you accept, if you said them, they were inappropriate?	
8	Q. Can I suggest it is not even implicit. What is explicit	8	What is it?	
9	is it was generally for the safety of him and for the	9	A. If I said them, they were inappropriate.	
10	safety of you and your brother officers, but there is	10	Q. If you said them?	
11	nothing here, you would accept, Mr Donnelly you can	11	A. Yeah, I don't have any recollection of saying those	
12	shake the page but the letters are still there. There	12	words.	
13	is nothing there about legs and arms flailing or lashing	13	Q. Because, if you did, they provide a little window into	
14	around, is there?	14	you, don't they, into what you thought about the	
15	A. No, there isn't.	15	detainees who you say you cared for?	
16	Q. So some listening to this may think that you have	16	A. And I do.	
17	embellished your account with the PSU in January 2018 to	17	Q. Yes.	
18	improve your position when you were being quizzed about	18	A. On that point, the food and fluid refusal, in ACDT	
19	what happened. Is that the truth of it?	19	reviews, if somebody is on food and fluid refusal, I'm	
20	A. No, it's not.	20	quite open with them, trying to encourage them to stop	
21	Q. You will remember the G4S investigation report, and we	21	it, because it's not a substitute for a legal defence.	
22	can go back to that, tab 19. I'm moving on to something	22	Q. So that rather suggests that you are prepared to accept	
23	different, Mr Donnelly. Tab 19, <cjs005952>. If we go</cjs005952>	23	that you may have said something like this?	
24	to page 2, allegation 1 happened to precede the events	24	A. I may have said it, but I don't remember saying it.	
25	with D865 by one day, because this is on 3 July, when	25	Q. Did you think that they thought you were all as soft as	
	77		77	
	Page 153		Page 155	
1				
1	you said of detainees who go on hunger strike that	1	shite and would let them out? Is that something you	
2	you said of detainees who go on hunger strike that "I don't know if these guys here have all got	1 2	shite and would let them out? Is that something you said or are likely to have said?	
	"I don't know if these guys here have all got			
2	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby	2	said or are likely to have said?	
2 3	"I don't know if these guys here have all got	2 3	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.	
2 3 4	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".	2 3 4	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?	
2 3 4 5	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and	2 3 4 5	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.	
2 3 4 5 6	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half,	2 3 4 5 6	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means	
2 3 4 5 6 7	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down,	2 3 4 5 6 7	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm not going to deny that I said it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the morning, 11 June:</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm not going to deny that I said it.  Q. So why did you acknowledge the comments were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the morning, 11 June:  "The current situation is that detainee D1853.</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm not going to deny that I said it.  Q. So why did you acknowledge the comments were inappropriate? What was the point in genuine regret, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the morning, 11 June:  "The current situation is that detainee D1853. "Currently in B/115."</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm not going to deny that I said it.  Q. So why did you acknowledge the comments were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the morning, 11 June:  "The current situation is that detainee D1853.</cjs005591>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"I don't know if these guys here have all got overprotective mums", imitated a mother feeding a baby and said, "They think we are all as soft as shite and will let them out".  Can we go, please, to the next page, page 3. We will see allegation 1 is there set out in the top half, the top two-thirds of this page. About halfway down, you were asked about this allegation and initially said:  " he did not remember the incident and said it was not usually how he would talk.  "When pressed further, [you] accepted that [you] may well have made these comments to colleagues."  When asked again why, you said, "This was relating to when they misbehave, we just let them back out again". And then you acknowledged the comments were inappropriate and you appeared genuinely regretful.  So we understand, Mr Donnelly, did you end up actually accepting, despite denial, that you did say these things?  A. I don't have any recollection of saying that, but I'm not going to deny that I said it.  Q. So why did you acknowledge the comments were inappropriate? What was the point in genuine regret, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	said or are likely to have said?  A. I don't remember saying it.  Q. Did you think food refusal was a kind of misbehaviour?  A. For some detainees, they did believe that it was a means of being released from the centre.  Q. Those were the manipulative ones, not the genuinely ill ones?  A. Yes.  Q. I assume, if I was to ask you whether there was a toxic culture at Brook House during this period, it is nothing you would recognise?  A. I didn't find it toxic, no.  Q. I'm going to move on now to something else that you have been asked to consider: D1853, in relation to 11 June.  Now, on that occasion, you were the DCM in charge of a use of force, and we can look, please, at another use of force form. It's tab 15, chair, <cjs005591>. When we get there, if we go to page 22, please, this is the briefing document. If we look at the top, you will see your name, "Briefing script". It is 5.00 in the morning, 11 June:  "The current situation is that detainee D1853. "Currently in B/115."</cjs005591>	

		1	
1	B wing?	1	We were given the initial brief by Chris Donnelly
2	A. Yes.	2	and were informed of the situation and our roles."
3	Q. "Has escorted removal directions to the Netherlands"	3	He talks about the role he was given, and then,
4	Can you tell us what "escorted removal directions"	4	a few lines down:
5	means for anybody watching who doesn't understand the	5	"As DCM Donnelly asked 1853 if he was going to walk
6	jargon. What does that mean?	6	down with us, he then proceeded to say 'I've got
7	A. It means the crew that's despatched will be on the	7	razorblades in my mouth and I'm going to swallow them'.
8	aeroplane with the resident and, therefore, they can	8	At no point [says White] did I see any razorblades in
9	take him under restraint and, therefore, we're obliged	9	the mouth of the detainee when he opened it and after
10	to present him under restraint if necessary.	10	being asked for a second time by Donnelly we were given
11	Q. And removal directions are the directions from the	11	instructions to advance into the room."
12	Home Office to remove someone from the country?	12	Then at the foot of the page:
13	A. That's correct.	13	"He wasn't taken by the Tascor escorts due to them
14	Q. So he was off to the Netherlands that morning with	14	not having a nurse present with their escort team as he
15	Tascor:	15	said he still had a blade in his mouth. Because of this
16	"After speaking with D1853 he has refused several	16	he was placed into one of the CSU rooms at 5.16."
17	requests to walk compliantly with us to meet with Tascor	17	If we look, please we can look at other officers.
18	stating his solicitor has told him to stay here."	18	I'm not going to, in the interests of saving time. But
19	Do we understand it that you had been to see him	19	what I will ask us all to look at is the final page of
20	originally to tell him, "You're off to the Netherlands,	20	this document, page 25. This is a report written by
21	you've got removal directions, will you go peacefully?"	21	Grace Sihlali, who I think was one of the healthcare
22	His response to you was "No" and, therefore, you decided	22	nurses?
23	there has to be a planned use of force in order to	23	A. Yes.
24	effect his removal to the Tascor escort?	24	Q. In relation to this individual. It's part of her report
25	A. Yes.	25	of injury to detainee. The time and date of
	Page 157		Page 159
1	O. Voyadd	1	avanciantian 11 Ivan at a favo minutes often 5 00 in the
1	Q. You add:	1	examination, 11 June, at a few minutes after 5.00 in the
2	"[He] has been in prison history of poor	2	morning:
2 3	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.	2 3	morning: "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee
2 3 4	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting. "He has previously refused to transfer for removal	2 3 4	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in
2 3 4 5	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].	2 3 4 5	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU
2 3 4	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"	2 3 4 5 6	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."
2 3 4 5 6 7	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with	2 3 4 5 6 7	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document. If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?  A. Not specifically, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge, please.  About five lines down, he says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?  A. Not specifically, no.  Q. Once he told you that he had razorblades in his mouth —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document. If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge, please.  About five lines down, he says:  "We got kitted up in a team of four officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?  A. Not specifically, no.  Q. Once he told you that he had razorblades in his mouth—these are questions I'm asked to ask you on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document.  If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge, please.  About five lines down, he says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?  A. Not specifically, no.  Q. Once he told you that he had razorblades in his mouth —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"[He] has been in prison history of poor custodial behaviour, assaults, threats and fighting.  "He has previously refused to transfer for removal directions in April [of that year].  "The Plan"  That is then set out. You will go to the room with a team of officers in full PPE. That's, what, effectively riot gear, personal protective equipment.  And then you describe the entry to the room which will be facilitated by you. You will explain to him again that he has a flight that morning and you need him to get up and come with you to meet with the escorts. I'm not going to read any more of that out, at least for the moment.  Then we look, please, at page 9 of this document. If we look at page 9, for example, and I'm just plucking an example, of the same document, we see the statement which was compiled by one of the DCOs, Ollie White, who was involved in the use of force. It is a bit difficult to read. I wonder if you can just expand it a smidge, please.  About five lines down, he says:  "We got kitted up in a team of four officers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	morning:  "Went to room with officers. They informed detainee that he has a flight. He stated that he has blades in his mouth. Team went in and was transferred to CSU where he was handed over to overseas."  Does that mean handed over to Tascor?  A. Yes.  Q. "One blade fell out from his mouth according to the team of escort. They saw one blade and was unable to take him. No medic on site with them. No injuries sustained."  So that seems to have been what happened. He says he's got blades in his mouth, the use of force is executed and he's removed to the CSU, but after all, he doesn't go because Tascor weren't prepared to take him in the circumstances where he might have, and in fact did have, a razor blade or blades in his mouth; yes?  First of all, a few questions, please. Had you, yourself, received training on how to respond to and de-escalate episodes such as this?  A. Not specifically, no.  Q. Once he told you that he had razorblades in his mouth—these are questions I'm asked to ask you on behalf of

1	emergency medical response was required, rather than	1	where a detainee was telling you he had razorblades in
2	execute the use of force plan?	2	his mouth?
3	A. It was an escorted removal, so we were obliged to	3	A. There was pressure, yes, to present residents.
4	present him. If he had swallowed a blade, it would not	4	Q. Do you think you took risks, unnecessary risks, because
5	have presented a huge medical problem. They pass	5	of it?
6	straight through the body. So I thought it was safe to	6	A. I don't feel so, no.
7	continue.	7	Q. Against that, Mr Donnelly, you will have seen this
8	Q. How confident can you be, Mr Donnelly, that when	8	footage which we have quite recently received from G4S,
9	somebody swallows razorblades, no great injury is going	9	and I'm going to show it now, but, chair, because it's
10	to be occasioned to them?	10	unpixelated, it's not redacted, and because it shows the
11	A. It's happened quite a few times.	11	detainee's face and identifies him, for that reason, I'm
12	Q. How often has it happened that somebody has done	12	going to ask that the inquiry, this hearing, goes into
13	themselves some serious damage?	13	closed session, which means, I'm afraid, that it will
14	A. Never.	14	not be open to the public and what I am about to show
15	Q. Never?	15	will not be live streamed. Then, when it is completed,
16	A. No.	16	which won't take very long, we will come back into open
17	Q. In your experience?	17	session, and I will ask Mr Donnelly some questions about
18	A. Yes.	18	it.
19	Q. So, as far as you're concerned, the threat to swallow	19	So if somebody can tell me when we are ready to
20	razorblades is an empty threat?	20	proceed.
21	A. Yes.	21	(In closed session)
22	Q. Why did the escort crew refuse to take him?	22	MR ALTMAN: While we are waiting, I know we may not be in
23	A. Because he still had one in his mouth, which he may	23	public, it is just a timing issue. I'm not going to
24	subsequently have produced on the plane and maybe	24	finish at 4.30. I have told those behind me I have had
25	self-harmed.	25	to cut out certain things in the interests of
23	sen-nai meu.	23	to cut out certain timigs in the interests of
	Page 161		Page 163
1	O What about your officers and yourself? When he tells	1	timekeeping, but I will finish this evening, if we sit
1	Q. What about your officers and yourself? When he tells	1 2	timekeeping, but I will finish this evening, if we sit
2	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you	2	a little longer.
2 3	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against	2 3	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly
2 3 4	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was	2 3 4	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back
2 3 4 5	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to	2 3 4 5	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly,
2 3 4 5 6	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes	2 3 4 5 6	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?
2 3 4 5 6 7	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your	2 3 4 5 6 7	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.  Q. Yes, I know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.  Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played) (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.  Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up? A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know. A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you? A. No. Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.  Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident.  Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you?  A. No.  Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up? A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know. A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you? A. No. Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal in those circumstances?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is opened, he says, "I've got two blades in my mouth", and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you?  A. No. Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal in those circumstances?  A. I don't accept that, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is opened, he says, "I've got two blades in my mouth", and then obviously you order the officers in to commence the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up? A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know. A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you? A. No. Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal in those circumstances? A. I don't accept that, no. Q. Did you ever feel that there was pressure on you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is opened, he says, "I've got two blades in my mouth", and then obviously you order the officers in to commence the control and restraint. Again, you felt there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive.  Q. Can you speak up?  A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE.  Q. Yes, I know.  A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident.  Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you?  A. No.  Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal in those circumstances?  A. I don't accept that, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is opened, he says, "I've got two blades in my mouth", and then obviously you order the officers in to commence the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you he's got two razorblades in his mouth and you execute the use of force plan, how did you guard against the risk, even if what you say is right, that there was no possibility of him doing any personal injury to himself, how do you guard against the risk he takes a razor blade out of his mouth and slashes one of your officers around the neck, or even if they're wearing a helmet, maybe not, but to an arm or to the back or to the front? How do you guard against that?  A. Basically, the PPE and the training that they receive. Q. Can you speak up? A. Sorry, they were all wearing PPE. Q. Yes, I know. A. And the training they'd received, so they would enter the room quickly, very quickly, and take control of the resident. Q. You weren't wearing PPE, though, were you? A. No. Q. Do you accept, or do you not, that this was a fairly dangerous thing, to effect control and restraint removal in those circumstances? A. I don't accept that, no. Q. Did you ever feel that there was pressure on you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a little longer.  THE CHAIR: Mr Donnelly, that will mean it will be slightly later, but it will prevent us having to call you back tomorrow morning, if I'm understanding correctly, Mr Altman. Is that the case?  MR ALTMAN: I hope so, yes.  THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, if we continue slightly longer than 4.30?  A. Yes.  MR ALTMAN: Can we put up, please, footage S215002. I am not going to play a huge amount of it, but just enough for my purposes, please.  (Video played)  (In open session)  MR ALTMAN: Mr Donnelly, you were the manager, obviously, shown on this footage.  A. Yes.  Q. You were clearly supervising this use of force; yes?  A. Yes.  Q. As we clearly hear for ourselves, the moment the door is opened, he says, "I've got two blades in my mouth", and then obviously you order the officers in to commence the control and restraint. Again, you felt there was

1	A. Yes.	1	that detainee 1853 in B115 had escorted removal
2	Q. That's what you are telling us. Do you remember hearing	2	directions to the Netherlands today, with an approximate
3	yourself saying to him, at the time that the other	3	pick-up time of 4.30. We had been informed by day staff
4	officers have control of him, and when he's telling you	4	that he had been spoken to regarding his flight and we
5	that "I've got razors in my mouth" and he screams, and	5	were informed he was happy to go. He had been left on
6	we can hear some grunting, and then he says,	6	his own in room [whatever it was] as a precaution. At
7	"I swallowed a razor" and about 40 seconds in, you say,	7	approximately 4.30, myself and Oscar 1 DCM Dave Aldis
8	8 "Doesn't matter about razors, mate. Swallow as many as		went to talk to him about his flight. He told us he was
9	you want". Do you remember saying that?	9	refusing to go on his flight. He said his solicitor had
10	A. Well, it's on the footage.	10	told him to refuse, as he had a good case. We explained
11	Q. Why did you say that?	11	that we had to present him to the escorts, as it was an
12	A. Just to let him know that he's not going to harm himself	12	escorted flight, due to a previous refusal of unescorted
13	by swallowing razorblades.	13	RDs."
14	Q. "Doesn't matter about razors, mate. Swallow as many as	14	That's removal directions:
15	you want". So that was designed to tell him that it was	15	"We tried at length to get D1853 to come down to
16	open to him to swallow as many razors as he had in his	16	talk to the escorts. He refused. We informed him that
17	mouth?	17	if he did not comply, force would be necessary, as it
18	A. It wouldn't do him any harm, no.	18	was a lawful instruction from the Home Office."
19	Q. Then, when he's taken down the stairs, he says, "I've	19	Then to the next page:
20	got razors in my fucking mouth. See that, nurse, yeah,	20	"He still refused. We had no option then but to
21	blood, blood. Look, fucking blood". Do you remember	21	assemble a team of officers in PPE for a planned use of
22	seeing any blood?	22	force to present him to Tascor overseas escorts. The
23	A. No.	23	team was assembled"
24	Q. Was he making that up?	24	And you deal with that:
25	A. It's the first time I've seen the footage, today.	25	"DCM Aldis had spoken to Tascor overseas, who said
	Page 165		Page 167
1	I didn't see any blood.	1	they would take him as long as he had not started
2	Q. So, looking at that now, Mr Donnelly, you see nothing	2	a dirty protest. They said they would accept him under
3	wrong with any of it: what you said, what you did, what	3	restraint. I went to the door with the team behind me.
4	you directed your officers to do?	4	I opened the door to D1853 to walk compliantly. He
5	A. I probably shouldn't have said, "Swallow as many as you	5	immediately said 'I've got blades in my mouth'. I told
6	like".	6	the team to enter and take control of D1853, which they
7	Q. No, "probably shouldn't have said"?	7	did. Handcuffs were applied and he was moved under
8	A. Shouldn't have said.	8	restraint down the C&R stairwell to CSU to meet waiting
9	Q. No.	9	Tascor escorts. During Tascor search he showed
10	A. But I think the use of force	10	them"
11	Q. Can you say that again?	11	What does that read? "A blade", what's the next
12	A. The use of force needed to go ahead.	12	word, "he had in his mouth"?
13	Q. Because you were under pressure or it just needed to go	13	A. Yes.
14	ahead?	14	Q. "One blade fell out of his mouth during the search.
15	A. Well, we were obliged to present the residents for	15	Tascor refused to take him due to the blades as he did
16	escorted removal.	16	not have a medic. He was then placed in CSU under
17	Q. Can we put up another document, please, <hom004424>.</hom004424>	17	rule 40 for disruptive behaviour."
18	Chair, you will find this in the final tab in the second	18	I assume what you said to him, the comment you made,
19	volume.	19	"Swallow as many as you want", was left out of account
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	20	here because it wouldn't have made you look particularly
21	MR ALTMAN: This is your incident report, written up by you	21	good, would it, Mr Donnelly, if you had included it? It
22	at 5.08 that morning. If we go to the narrative account	22	is not a complete account, is it?
23	on the next page, which should be on page 3:	23	A. No, it's not.
24	"I, DCM Chris Donnelly, was on duty at Brook House	24	Q. Why did you leave out of account, however bad it made
25	on the 11 June night shift as Oscar 2. We were aware	25	you look, the comment you made to him?
	-		•
	Page 166		Page 168
	<del>-</del>		<del></del>

1	1 A. I don't honestly remember making it. I obviously didn't		involved? Did you speak to everybody involved?
2	mention that we let his head up during the use of force.	2	A. That's what we would normally do. I don't actually
3	Q. Can you say that again?	3	remember the incident, but, yeah, you speak to everybody
4	A. I made the decision to get the head officer to release	4	you can, really.
5	his head. I didn't put that in either.	5	Q. "This investigation was conducted by myself and involved
6	Q. What I'm just wondering, Mr Donnelly, is whether,	6	speaking to the staff involved and reviewing security
7	whenever we look at these incident reports or use of	7	reports.
8	force reports because if we went through the use of	8	"Your complaint contained one main issue which has
9	force reports, we won't find, I think, any of your	9	been investigated.
10	brother officers mentioning it either. Do people pick	10	"You felt unhappy that a particular officer did not
11	and choose what they're prepared to put in their	11	let you out to complete your cleaning duties on
12	statements?	12	2 separate occasions and was rude to you.
13	A. I don't remember saying it. You don't write down every	13	"You stated that on the 21st of July"
14	single thing that you say during an incident.	14	And here you simply recite the complaint which
15	Q. The final thing I'm going to ask you about is an	15	I have already read:
16	entirely different incident, and this is one that	16	"After receiving your complaint, I went to speak to
17	concerns you in an indirect kind of way. It is	17	the officer in question and Detainee Custody Manager
18	a complaint made by another detained person, D119,	18	S Dix, who you mentioned, to discuss the incident
19	in July 2017 about two incidents on the 21st and 23rd of	19	further. I also reviewed security reports submitted at
20	that month. So can we go, please, to an entirely	20	the time by the officer regarding the events of that
21	different document, tab 10, chair, <cjs001415>, please.</cjs001415>	21	day.
22	This is a complaint document, Mr Donnelly, completed by	22	"The Deputy Director and Head of Brook House,
23	D119 at Brook House, and if we go to the next page,	23	Steve Skitt, has commissioned a separate internal
24	page 2, we see written out, presumably by the detained	24	investigation to be completed under the company
25	man, or on his behalf:	25	disciplinary procedures and I can confirm at this point
	Page 169		Page 171
	<u> </u>		
1	"On Friday, 21st July I spoke to DCO Teyron Evans as	1	that concerns have been identified.
2	she did not let me out of my room for my lunchtime	2	"The internal investigation is still ongoing at
3	cleaning duties. She was rude to me and slammed the	3	present, but Mr Skitt has confirmed that he will advise
4	door, narrowly missing my face. Today, she once again	4	the Home Office with the full outcome from this
5	refused"	5	investigation."
6	"Today", therefore, being the 23rd, which is the	6	Over the page, please:
7	date of the document:	7	"At this point, I can confirm that your complaint
8	"Today she once again refused to let me out for my	8	will be upheld due to you not being allowed out of your
9	cleaning duties despite letting other workers out on the	9	room to carry out your cleaning duties. I would also
10	same floor as me. When I rang my call bell to speak to	10	like to apologise for the behaviour displayed by the
11	the officer she called me a knobhead and hung up. She	11	member of staff in question and I can assure you that we
12	was very rude and I asked to speak to DCM Steve Dix.	12	do not condone bad behaviour from residents or staff and
13	I spoke to DCM Dix with DCO Evans and she confirmed she	13	this is what the ongoing internal investigation is
14	had called me a knobhead. She was rude to myself and	14	looking into further.
15	the manager and stormed out of the office."	15	"I hope you feel satisfied with the way in which
16	That was the nature of the complaint. If we go,	16	your complaint has been handled, however, should you
17	please, to a letter that you were responsible for on the	17	wish to discuss any aspect of it, please feel free to
18	next page, page 3, we see at the top the date is	18	contact me."
19	21 August:	19	Now, you upheld that complaint; yes?
20	"Thank you for your complaint dated the 23rd	20	A. Yes.
21	of July 2017 treatment you received from an officer at	21	Q. In your first witness statement, and something you told
22	Brook House. I am writing to advise that I have now	22	us a little earlier, I thought you said you had never
23	completed my investigation and would like to offer the	23	witnessed any verbal abuse by staff to detained persons.
24	following response."	24	So when you use the word "witness" in your statement,
25	First of all, do you remember what the investigation	25	you mean actually hear it
		I	
	Page 170		Page 172

1	A. Yes.	1	Questions from THE CHAIR
2	Q or experience it yourself?	2	THE CHAIR: Just two, Mr Donnelly. I won't keep you any
3	A. Yes.	3	longer than necessary.
4	Q. But here the allegation, amongst others, was that this	4	The first is, you obviously as Mr Altman was just
5	DCO had called this man a knobhead?	5	saying, you have told us, and you have put it in your
6	A. Mmm.	6	witness statement as well, that you didn't witness any
7	Q. But because you hadn't witnessed it, that is something	7	of the types of behaves or language that we saw on the
8	qualitatively different to, as it were, what you had to	8	Panorama documentary.
9	investigate? So here was something indirect, you hadn't	9	In your opinion, is it possible that staff could
10	directly heard anybody use any such language towards any	10	have deliberately not used certain language or not
11	detainee in all the years you'd been there?	11	behaved in a certain way around you or other members of
12	A. Yes.	12	staff if they believed that certain there would be
13	Q. That's what you're telling us. Because, as you have	13	certain repercussions for that?
14	said, abuse was a one-way street?	14	A. I think that it's possible, yes. I mean, the DCMs are
15	A. I didn't witness this.	15	kind of like the bosses on the day not obviously
16	Q. No. But you knew about it, so abuse clearly wasn't	16	senior management but it's possible that they
17	a one-way street, was it?	17	wouldn't do it in front of us.
18	A. No.	18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Related to that, when there were,
19	Q. You apologised for the behaviour of this DCO, and that	19	for example, inspections taking place and you've
20	behaviour included the rudeness, presumably?	20	obviously worked at Brook House for a number of years
21	A. Yes.	21	and, therefore, will have experienced a number of
22	Q. And calling this man a knobhead.	22	inspections in your own experience, do you think
23	Something else you told us a little earlier as well,	23	there's ever a change in behaviour of staff or managers
24	and this is in paragraph 14 of your first witness	24	or senior managers when they are aware that they're
25	statement; do you remember in the witness statement you	25	under scrutiny?
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·
	Page 173		Page 175
1	said you had never once upheld a complaint, apart from	1	A. What, when the inspectors are in? Not in my opinion.
1 2	said you had never once upheld a complaint, apart from	1 2	A. What, when the inspectors are in? Not in my opinion.  I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the
2	those dealing with loss of personal items.	2	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the
2 3	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.	2 3	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.
2 3 4	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?	2 3 4	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one
2 3 4 5	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.
2 3 4 5 6	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by	2 3 4 5 6	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic
2 3 4 5 6 7	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint. Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint. Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes. Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes. Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint. Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.  MR ALTMAN: You don't think so, all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or anything like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.  MR ALTMAN: You don't think so, all right.  Chair, that's all I'm going to ask. Do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or anything like that.  THE CHAIR: Do you ever remember seeking them out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.  MR ALTMAN: You don't think so, all right.  Chair, that's all I'm going to ask. Do you have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or anything like that.  THE CHAIR: Do you ever remember seeking them out?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said,  "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.  MR ALTMAN: You don't think so, all right.  Chair, that's all I'm going to ask. Do you have any questions?  THE CHAIR: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or anything like that.  THE CHAIR: Do you ever remember seeking them out?  A. No.  THE CHAIR: Are you aware of any other staff seeking that care team out following incidents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those dealing with loss of personal items.  A. Yes.  Q. Well, here is just one example?  A. Yes.  Q. So how accurate is the evidence that you have given by way of your witness statement to this inquiry, do you think, Mr Donnelly, or are you painting a picture of Brook House and of your own duties and responsibilities which plainly isn't accurate?  A. I'd completely forgotten about that complaint.  Q. Well, you have had this material and you could have corrected it, couldn't you, at any time and said, "Actually, I have made a terrible mistake, because this is one example of where I did uphold a complaint of verbal abuse by a DCO towards a detainee". Do you think, Mr Donnelly, that you're a little in denial about Brook House during the G4S years? Do you think you're a little in denial about the reality of life there?  A. I don't think so, no.  MR ALTMAN: You don't think so, all right.  Chair, that's all I'm going to ask. Do you have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think we just carry on as normal and wait for the report to come out and do a remedial action plan.  THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, three questions, there is one final one as well.  Mr Altman asked you about exposure to traumatic events, such as somebody self-harming.  A. Mmm-hmm.  THE CHAIR: Mr Altman asked you questions about what was available in terms of services for detained people who may have witnessed traumatic events. As a member of staff witnessing traumatic events, whether that's literally seeing something happen, whether it's being part of somebody who may cut somebody down or respond to a self-harm incident, what happens to a member of staff following an incident?  A. From memory, there is there was a care team, which is a team of people dedicated, but I think you had to sort of reach out to them, they wouldn't routinely come and seek you out, if you needed sort of to talk about it or anything like that.  THE CHAIR: Do you ever remember seeking them out?  A. No.  THE CHAIR: Are you aware of any other staff seeking that

1 A. Not that I can recall. I'm not saying it didn't happen; 2 just that it's kind of a private thing. So they 3 wouldn't — nobody knew — the whole place wouldn't know 4 that somebody had sought support. 5 THE CHAIR: They wouldn't be visible necessarily following 6 an incident; would make themselves known to you, follow 7 up with people, for example. 8 A. Not really, no. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would 10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 24 a member of the care team or a social worker will come	175
wouldn't – nobody knew – the whole place wouldn't know that somebody had sought support.  THE CHAIR: They wouldn't be visible necessarily following an incident; would make themselves known to you, follow up with people, for example.  A. Not really, no.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would a senior manager ever come and speak to you following a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic incident?  A. No, not to my recollection.  THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now?  A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more proactive now than they were.  THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance – is it easier to access talking to somebody after an incident like that?  A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather than the other way around. They will seek you out,	175
wouldn't – nobody knew – the whole place wouldn't know that somebody had sought support.  THE CHAIR: They wouldn't be visible necessarily following an incident; would make themselves known to you, follow up with people, for example.  A. Not really, no.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would a senior manager ever come and speak to you following a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic incident?  A. No, not to my recollection.  THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now?  A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more proactive now than they were.  THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance – is it easier to access talking to somebody after an incident like that?  A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather than the other way around. They will seek you out,	
5 THE CHAIR: They wouldn't be visible necessarily following 6 an incident; would make themselves known to you, follow 7 up with people, for example. 8 A. Not really, no. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would 10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 11 incident? 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 20 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out,	
an incident; would make themselves known to you, follow up with people, for example.  A. Not really, no.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would a senior manager ever come and speak to you following a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic incident?  A. No, not to my recollection.  THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now?  A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more proactive now than they were.  THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to access talking to somebody after an incident like that?  A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather thank in charge of the care way around. They will seek you out,	
yu with people, for example.  8 A. Not really, no.  9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would 10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
8 A. Not really, no. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would 10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 11 incident? 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. During the relevant period, would 10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out,	
10 a senior manager ever come and speak to you following 11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
11 a self-harm incident or another potentially traumatic 12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance — is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
12 incident? 13 A. No, not to my recollection. 14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
13 A. No, not to my recollection.  14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now?  15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more  16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question  17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is  18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more  19 proactive now than they were.  20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to  21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that?  22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather  23 than the other way around. They will seek you out,	
14 THE CHAIR: Does that ever happen now? 15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
15 A. I would say it's getting better. We have sort of more 16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
16 formalised kind of debriefs and, you know, the question 17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
17 is asked and, you know, we've got a social worker who is 18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
18 in charge of the care team, so they are a lot more 19 proactive now than they were. 19 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 21 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
19 proactive now than they were. 20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
20 THE CHAIR: Would you say, on balance is it easier to 21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
21 access talking to somebody after an incident like that? 22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
22 A. Yes, and I think, at Serco, they approach you, rather 23 than the other way around. They will seek you out, 23	
than the other way around. They will seek you out,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
25 and say, "How are you?" 25	
Page 177 Page 179	
1 THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions. Thank	
2 you very much, Mr Donnelly. I understand it is not an	
3 easy experience, but I'm grateful for your evidence.	
4 A. Thank you.	
5 (The witness withdrew)	
6 MR ALTMAN: 10.00 am tomorrow is when we have Mr Paschali.	
7 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. See you tomorrow at	
8 10.00 am.	
9 (4.34 pm)	
10 (The hearing was adjourned to	
11 Thursday, 24 February 2022 at 10.00 am)	
12	
13	
14 INDEX	
15	
16 Statement of D1473 (read)1	
17	
18 Statement of D2158 (read)21	
19	
20 Statement of D313 (read)38	
21	
22 MR CHRISTOPHER MARTIN DONNELLY53	
23 (affirmed)	
24	
25 Examination by MR ALTMAN53	
Page 178	

				Page 160
	20.16	77.10.70.7	120.21.22.140.20	01.11
A	account 20:16	77:18 78:7	139:21,22 149:20	81:11
<b>A110</b> 114:4 123:24	33:19 51:12	activity 74:3 75:7	agreed 7:2 124:20	Andy 158:25
124:2 129:24	97:14 99:23	actual 87:21 106:4	131:7,9	Angela 127:23
abandoned 72:24	120:3 143:2	add 122:19 158:1	ahead 166:12,14	angry 47:5 50:11
73:13	153:17 166:22	added 6:21 70:10	aid 104:18 107:5	104:12
abdomen 26:15	168:19,22,24	70:22 148:23	107:11 109:10	animal 15:12
27:9	accurate 115:8,10	addiction 39:3	118:18,24 119:24	animals 29:10
abdomen' 27:14	116:16,20 174:6	adding 152:5	126:20	annex 140:17
abdominal 16:3	174:10	addition 70:8	air 46:2 106:18	151:10 152:1,20
able 13:5 19:20	accusation 126:24	address 130:23	aired 122:1	announced 113:10
23:3,12 32:8	ACDT 3:1,5,8 4:17	addressed 145:25	airport 14:4,18	announcing
36:16 47:19	4:25 7:4 14:13	addressing 8:11	18:22 19:9	123:23
50:25 51:1,2	17:7,9 51:19,21	adduce 53:19	alarm 115:4	answer 104:21
68:2 85:3 149:5	64:6 65:8 109:8	adequate 55:7	118:12 119:23	118:8,9 124:15
absolutely 51:25	124:21,23 127:9	81:7	145:13	152:8
73:15 82:14	127:24 128:25	adjourned 178:10	<b>Aldis</b> 167:7,25	answers 23:4
120:20	129:21,23 130:1	<b>Admin</b> 67:15	Algerian 99:17	antibiotics 28:25
abstinence 40:10	130:6 131:7	admission 20:8	alienated 148:8	antidepressants
abuse 21:14 47:19	132:24 133:9,12	21:22	alive 124:14	3:1
56:2 60:6 62:14	134:10 137:9,17	<b>admit</b> 109:11	allegation 48:18	anxiety 27:22
62:16 68:8,10	138:9,15,22	admitted 74:9	48:21 106:19,19	39:16
82:2,4,9,11 88:15	139:2,7,14,22	<b>Adult</b> 3:18 16:14	107:6,8 110:12	anxious 6:22 28:14
89:6,6 172:23	155:18	advance 35:12	113:12,18 115:7	28:24 29:6 35:16
173:14,16 174:16	ACDTs 65:4	159:11	115:9 118:1	37:9
abusing 82:12	138:18	advertise 58:1	119:19 134:19	anybody 104:11
88:4	aches 45:12	advertisement	153:24 154:7,9	116:11 136:3
abusive 58:4	achievements 40:5	57:21 58:5,11	173:4	149:14 157:5
abysmal 81:2,5,5	acknowledge	advice 19:21	allegations 69:20	173:10
accept 84:11,12,13	154:23	advise 170:22	81:22 112:21,23	anymore 35:20
97:16 106:22,24	acknowledged	172:3	113:13 121:7	anyway 9:4 51:4
106:24 115:9,23	114:23,24 115:6	advised 19:22	alleged 112:25	76:22 137:9
116:8,10,13	118:23 154:16	131:2	alleging 118:2	146:15
131:12 136:4	acknowledging	advises 90:4	allow 71:8	apart 94:13,15,23
143:22 146:3,8	20:14	aeroplane 157:8	allowed 36:20	144:6 174:1
147:18 148:24	act 50:8 122:21	affirmed 53:9	38:25 39:11 46:9	apologise 172:10
153:11 155:4,5,7	acted 111:14 112:2	178:23	172:8	apologised 173:19
155:22 162:20,23	acting 87:22	afford 76:5	allowing 105:4	apology 20:9
168:2	action 9:12 119:6	afforded 72:11	allows 39:9	apparent 113:6
accepted 3:17	129:18,21 146:7	afraid 7:6 23:25	<b>Altman</b> 53:8,10,11	appeal 2:19 19:25
18:17 19:24	176:3	163:13	53:22 59:12	38:24 39:11
	actions 20:12,17	African 8:11	98:10,21 146:11	40:22
142:20 154:12	48:16	afternoon 100:8	146:25 163:22	appear 7:12 16:19
accepting 154:19	activate 143:4	agenda 111:4,12	164:6,7,11,16	47:18 97:5
access 14:17 30:23	144:3 145:11	aggressive 45:18	166:21 174:21	appeared 4:17
129:17 177:21	146:4	46:14	175:4 176:6,9	33:9 101:3,17
accessed 31:3	activated 144:22	ago 21:5 111:9,11	178:6,25	105:4 130:17
accessing 73:8	145:14	agree 62:11 66:4	amount 145:7	154:17
accident 32:3	activating 144:8	81:2 85:5 105:6	164:12	appears 27:10
accidentally 31:23	activities 7:22 67:9	121:12 138:4	and/or 65:19	49:9 121:12
48:11	activities 1.22 01.9	121.12 130.4	anu/or ob.13	77.7 121.12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				Page 181
107.15		01.12		176.04
127:15	arrivals 75:10	91:13	attempt 11:5 14:5	176:24
application 12:18	arrive 113:25	assaulted 30:14	35:9 109:2,7	away' 144:16
15:17 41:13	120:1,21	46:3,6,13 47:13	128:21	awful 24:21 58:2
131:6	arrived 4:8,24 6:4	50:21 51:7 52:15	attempted 3:4,8	В
applied 54:1 58:10	21:18 22:16 35:6	assaults 62:20	7:14,19 11:23	<b>b</b> 7:18 8:3 17:18
58:12 142:14	43:11 46:23	158:3	17:9 49:3 132:16	23:19 29:5 66:24
143:24 150:19	100:9,11 115:16	assemble 167:21	133:7 134:23	77:4 110:17
151:5,8 152:19	116:3 118:17,19	assembled 167:23	attempts 43:25	113:23 123:13,22
152:25 168:7	119:7 120:4,23	assess 130:23	142:4	124:1 140:24
<b>applies</b> 84:6	121:2 122:12	132:23	attend 6:25 25:12	156:25 157:1
134:14	124:11,20 125:6	assessed 16:24	44:21 50:7 52:24	<b>B/115</b> 156:24
apply 58:5 151:22	142:4	28:2 37:15 40:6	attendance 7:4	<b>B115</b> 167:1
applying 151:12	arriving 23:13 35:7 43:21	40:17 42:6	attended 108:5	baby 4:1 154:3
<b>appointment</b> 4:11	article 39:1	assessment 3:10 27:15 51:15	125:19,22 attends 38:8	back 3:8 15:8
4:15 7:1,13			attention 39:20	16:11 18:8 30:25
22:22,25 23:16 25:13,22,25	asked 4:13,19 8:13 11:22 16:7 18:23	assessor 127:24 assistance 112:9	125:17,18,21	31:5,10 32:18
26:24 28:3 36:8	21:10 22:3,24	132:9,13	136:22 137:3	46:22 47:1,2,10
36:10 54:23	23:6 24:1 26:6	assistant 22:21,23	138:5	48:13 49:19
131:3	26:21 28:8 33:16	23:2,17	attitude 8:12	50:12,17 52:8
appointments	33:19 36:3,15,20	assisted 25:24	Attitudes 65:13	80:23 85:23
44:21	43:18 48:14	assisting 64:5	attractive 79:3	97:19 98:14
appraisal 105:12	49:21,24 64:10	assume 66:14,21	94:8,9	109:16 113:2
appraisal 103.12	68:18 70:5 73:18	67:19 108:16	August 1:18 2:16	122:20 125:24
approach 177:22	73:22 83:7 87:14	112:4 138:11,13	3:9,23 4:5,12	146:17 148:11
approached 29:21	93:17 104:17	145:20 156:10	6:24 7:13,18	150:6 152:19
appropriate 65:2	111:8,22 113:17	168:18	11:6 12:5,9 16:1	153:22 154:15
appropriate 03.2	114:22 118:4,22	assumed 11:1	16:9 18:5 61:20	162:9 163:16
167:2	124:24 125:2	107:14 115:3	87:24 112:24	164:4
approximately	127:24 130:18,19	135:24	127:14 138:17	back' 22:18,19
113:9 120:23	130:25 133:3	assuming 139:6	139:5 170:19	background 2:11
129:2 140:25	142:19 154:9,14	assumption	authorised 36:24	21:12 127:25
167:7	156:15 159:5,10	108:18 135:10	36:25	backwards 139:25
<b>April</b> 21:6 22:5	160:24 170:12	136:19	available 9:10	<b>bad</b> 6:13,16 10:3
23:9 25:7 85:1	176:6,9 177:17	assumptions 136:1	10:1 25:10 28:9	42:16 45:23 46:8
158:5	asking 6:2 11:25	assure 172:11	40:10 44:7 50:24	51:23 52:10,11
area 10:14 33:25	28:6 35:23 45:3	assured 12:12	74:4 102:10	72:3 117:11
34:4,7,9,15 91:3	49:25 81:8,23	asylum 2:20 21:21	111:10 146:2	127:3 168:24
142:13 144:24	102:3,4,6 108:25	21:25	176:10	172:12
145:16 150:17	114:11 117:18	ate 34:1,12	avoided 118:3	<b>badly</b> 5:20 19:18
152:23	143:2	atmosphere 8:1	avoids 120:10,11	24:22 29:7
areas 41:23	asleep 25:2	9:3	awaiting 128:17	<b>bag</b> 147:9
arm 162:9	aspect 76:19	attach 71:3	141:3	bags 12:25
arms 13:25 151:4	172:17	attached 99:12	aware 11:2 12:3	<b>bail</b> 17:6,14 38:21
151:7,13 152:3	aspects 1:22 43:1	124:7 126:1	20:10 42:6 44:5	50:3,5,9,15,16,22
152:14 153:3,13	64:2,14 76:12	attack 26:13 46:25	46:15 50:19 52:2	131:5,8
arrival 14:18	assault 46:16 47:4	47:3 88:6	52:5 61:14,16	<b>bailed</b> 130:24
21:21 114:1,6,23	48:2,8,21 49:17	attacked 21:17	78:13 103:22	balance 94:7
124:1	50:22 51:10	47:16	166:25 175:24	177:20
				<b>ball</b> 57:3 76:3
	<u>'</u>	•		<u>'</u>

ballpark 96:7	89:15 94:12	black 8:10 34:23	111:17 118:25	91:23 93:8 94:21
bang 125:3	99:14 109:8	114:18,19	119:15 146:14,15	95:18 96:12
banging 10:3	111:2,15,25	blade 159:15	146:16,23	104:22 110:19
banister 104:25	130:2 133:23	160:9,10,18	breathe 25:1	112:7,9 123:11
barely 13:25	156:5	161:4 162:7	breathing 114:7	139:19 140:7,12
barrister 21:10	believed 23:18	168:11,14	brief 159:1	140:22 141:18,19
base 14:23 15:2	46:19 49:16	blades 160:4,14,18	<b>briefing</b> 156:20,21	156:11 166:24
based 89:3 112:10	138:25 175:12	164:22 168:5,15	briefly 21:21	169:23 170:22
136:1	believes 44:13,18	bleeding 28:18,22	150:11	171:22 174:9,18
basic 133:8	99:9,15	block' 5:11 17:20	bring 10:17 92:14	175:20
basically 76:16	bell 46:22 170:10	blood 33:1 34:2	92:17	brother 39:10
83:17 133:14	bells 43:4	165:21,21,21,22	bringing 94:5	137:13 140:13
162:11	belongings 13:1	166:1	British 2:18	148:8 153:10
basis 39:1	belt 3:4 13:22,25	<b>blue</b> 114:18 124:6	broadcast 85:13	169:10
BBC 48:8 84:15	14:1,5,6,8,12,25	board 8:19	broke 99:4 147:1	<b>brought</b> 16:10
85:13,25 86:4	15:4,7 16:3	body 18:25 19:3	broken 26:11	20:5 94:1
99:1,23 109:24	18:25 19:3	147:9 161:6	124:8 126:1	bruised 47:24
112:8,23 115:7	100:25 106:12	body-worn 143:4	Brook 1:18,21	bruv 147:10,12,14
Beard 88:13	Ben 118:9 120:16	143:9,10,19	2:25 4:5,7,8,23	148:2,3,3,3,4
bearing 149:4	136:19	144:21 145:24	4:24 5:2,10,14	<b>build</b> 30:7 33:8
becoming 53:24	beneath 114:5	body-worns 144:6	6:23 7:11,22 8:1	44:25
bed 24:11 47:10,16	benefit 80:15	<b>bold</b> 104:20	8:15,21 9:3,8,18	<b>building</b> 31:3
48:12 70:20,22	best 62:21 64:2	113:13	9:25 10:6,9,13,18	34:12 86:9 110:8
74:7,8 101:16	110:21	<b>boot</b> 31:11	11:4,11 12:4,6,14	110:10,10
124:18	betray 111:20	boots 30:3 31:6,7	15:5,24 16:1	<b>bullied</b> 29:8
beds 71:13,23	betrayed 83:21	bore 131:17	17:25 18:4 21:5	<b>bundle</b> 148:16
96:13	better 10:8 25:11	born 21:13	22:7,9,16 23:14	bunk 70:8,10,23
bedsheets 15:22	39:7 77:1 92:22	borne 65:7	24:15,16 25:14	71:2 72:5,12
	94:24 96:19 97:3	bosses 175:15	25:16 28:9,18	73:8,10,12,13
began 89:11 begins 101:25	97:6 117:3 125:9	<b>bothered</b> 102:12	29:2,4,9 33:22	bunks 70:16 71:5
behalf 1:4 20:25	126:14 177:15	<b>bottom</b> 29:24 71:9	34:6 36:13,21,22	buried 140:13
21:11 38:7 133:4	Bhatt 20:5 160:25	100:4 127:13	37:7,11,18 38:19	148:8
160:24 169:25	BHM000029 21:4	128:8 129:7	40:25 41:1,17,18	<b>business</b> 19:14
behaved 65:17	BHM000039 1:17	130:6 131:21	· · ·	92:7
175:11	biased 83:18 84:4	140:18 143:6	41:22 42:3,6,9,21	<b>BWC</b> 143:10
behaves 175:7	112:10	140:18 143:6	43:8,11,21 44:15 44:24 45:2,16,18	
behaving 5:19	BID 131:5	<b>box</b> 35:24 103:24	45:20 50:2,20	145:8,15 <b>BWV</b> 143:10
behaviour 136:22	big 33:9 46:14	128:21	51:16,19,22 52:6	D VV V 143.10
158:3 168:17	49:18 88:11	boxing 93:1	52:11,13 53:25	<u>C</u>
172:10,12 173:19	92:25	bracket 73:23	54:10,13,17,21	C 5:1 29:5,9 30:25
172:10,12 173:19		99:12,20 101:5,7	55:3,9,14,17	32:15 67:1 70:15
beings 29:10	<b>bigger</b> 47:12 <b>bill</b> 80:11	101:8 104:25	56:11 58:1 59:17	130:12
belief 137:4	bin 31:24 32:2,7	101:8 104:23	59:24 60:1 62:7	C&R 89:7 168:8
believe 15:13	bins 32:2,7 bins 32:5	124:7 126:1	62:9 64:8,21	cable 113:7 114:18
			· ·	114:19 129:3
34:19,25 36:1	bit 55:10,20 60:18	<b>brackets</b> 99:16	65:1,22 66:2,11	cables 128:5,11
49:17 51:19 57:7	80:11 119:13	Bravo 123:18	66:13,15 67:11	cage 36:19
63:7 65:6,24	122:17 126:10	breach 111:17	68:22 70:6 76:21	call 10:22 17:20
68:21 71:9,25	129:5 132:11	break 38:1,4 53:1	79:16 82:3 83:13	61:18 113:21
79:12,18 80:13	158:20	53:3,6 98:19	84:19 85:24 86:6	123:23 125:6
				123.23 123.0

				Page 183
164.4 170 10	2.7.0.12.0.16		-h -ll 1 (0 0	.h
164:4 170:10	care 2:7 8:13 9:16	cells 5:5,5 24:3,14	challenged 69:9	chums 61:5
called 5:11 25:19	20:20 26:23 39:9	29:19	118:16	Churcher 130:12
100:8,10 101:13	49:11 56:19	central 34:15	challenging 59:17	131:24,24
103:15 110:5,9	62:21 65:2,3,12	centre 9:19 14:18	62:24 65:20	cigarettes 52:7
124:16 125:5,6	67:5 110:21	17:22 22:11,14	81:12 97:9	ciphered 98:23
127:19 170:11,14	111:19 133:6	30:21 42:3 50:5	chance 13:9 50:9	circumstances
173:5	176:17,25 177:18	52:14 54:2,3,4,24		96:2 97:15
calling 35:3	177:24	55:23 56:15,18	<b>change</b> 20:16 63:5	104:19 146:9
173:22	cared 6:6 8:2 29:1	58:11 59:17 60:2	70:3 94:22	152:15 160:17
callousness 109:12	138:20 155:15	81:1 92:3,15	135:14 175:23	162:22 164:25
109:13	careful 96:21	110:24 134:4	<b>changed</b> 39:6 63:1	cistern 140:9
calls 2:6 110:12	caring 64:22	156:6	93:20,21,23 94:3	civil 20:5
145:14	carried 13:6 54:18	centres 59:15 60:8	95:16 119:2,17	CJS000955 130:3
Callum 68:2 80:23	107:18 111:25	certain 2:1 94:14	119:18 120:7,9	CJS001034 127:12
83:23,24,25	carry 1:13 61:15	95:5 109:11	changes 94:23	CJS001415 169:21
84:11,24 90:4,11	172:9 176:2	163:25 175:10,11	119:16	CJS004312 122:19
99:23 100:6	case 56:25 64:6	175:12,13	changing 94:13	122:25
101:20 102:19	65:8 80:13 104:4	certainly 71:22	chaplaincy 138:1	CJS005591 156:18
103:1,3,18,21	111:15 130:8,8	77:7 78:7 79:25	characterise 62:10	CJS005652 140:3
105:8,23 106:19	130:12,20 137:5	80:19 81:5 85:20	<b>charge</b> 96:4 97:20	150:8 152:21
107:2,10,20	137:14,16,24	96:2 109:13	123:13 140:23	CJS005952 112:15
109:9 110:4	139:2,7 150:4	110:2 117:9	156:16 177:18	153:23
111:3,9,17,22	152:10 164:6	126:14 134:25	charged 106:7	CJS006629_0003
112:3,8 115:10	167:10	135:7 149:15,15	<b>charter</b> 12:4,8,10	44:16
116:6,15 117:21	cases 138:11	149:19	cheated 83:21	CJS006629_001
117:23 118:2,4,7	catches 90:11	<b>chair</b> 1:3,7,9,12	check 101:15	43:14
118:7 119:10	categorically	20:24 26:10	136:4,20	CJS007239 131:20
121:5,8,13,19,21	110:18	37:22 38:6,8,15	checked 35:22	<b>claim</b> 17:11 21:21
125:12,14,16	category 77:4	38:16 40:23	108:23 116:3	21:25
126:18 127:6	cause 115:15	41:15 45:13	checking 115:2	claimed 19:2
136:10,15	122:22	48:25 49:19 52:8	checks 35:22 36:7	48:10
<b>calm</b> 10:23 151:2	caused 15:1 16:3	52:21,23 53:8,19	107:18 135:11,25	claims 20:6
<b>calmer</b> 59:16 60:3	20:12	53:21 59:9,11	<b>cheek</b> 47:23	class 135:1,2
60:5,5	causing 14:3	98:12,16,25	<b>child</b> 21:13	claustrophobia
Cambridgeshire	caution 138:7	100:1 105:21	childhood 40:16	6:21
60:21	<b>CCTV</b> 11:24 18:21	112:14 122:25	<b>chills</b> 45:11	claustrophobic
camera 84:16	18:23	127:13 130:3	Chinese 72:17	6:13 23:23 36:19
90:12 143:4,9,13	<b>CD</b> 99:13,21	131:19 143:1	<b>choose</b> 169:11	<b>clean</b> 32:8,12
145:5,11,19	ceiling 34:16	144:9 146:14,19	<b>Chris</b> 53:8 76:2	45:15,15
cameras 143:12,19	cell 5:6,7 17:18	147:4 150:7	82:15 99:7,10	cleaning 170:3,9
144:21 145:6,24	23:19,21 24:5,6,7	152:21 156:18	100:18,24 101:10	171:11 172:9
cancelled 35:15	24:10,11 29:20	163:9 164:3,8	101:14 107:11	<b>clear</b> 49:3 57:13
<b>CAP000539</b> 18:10	29:25 30:6,13	166:18,20 169:21	120:6 123:11	62:15 82:14
<b>capable</b> 91:18,20	31:10 32:23 33:1	174:22,24 175:1	140:22 159:1	93:18 103:17
capacity 40:22	33:7,11,15,21	175:2,18 176:4,9	166:24	115:14 141:5
71:12 72:1 95:20	34:21 42:5,7,11	176:22,24 177:5	Christianity 21:16	clearly 10:23
96:8,25	42:11 43:4 47:2	177:9,14,20	Christopher 53:9	16:21 27:10
capture 85:3	125:5	178:1,7 179:2	53:12 56:25	53:23 89:8 99:11
captured 119:21	cellmate 29:21	challenge 19:21	178:22	99:23 100:23
		_		
L	ı	ı	ı	ı

101:1 112:3					Page 184
1131:14 115:21   132:14 137:14,15   164:19,21 173:16   172:19   174:11,21 173:17   174:12,12 173:17   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12   174:12,12 173:13   174:12   174:12   174:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12   174:12   174:13   174:12   174:13   174:12,12 173:13   174:12   174:13   174:13   174:14   174:15   174:14   174:15   174:14   174:15   174:14   174:15   174:14   174:15   1	101 1 112 2	50.650.5	50.21.60.22	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	124 22 120 12 16
132:14   137:14,   15					
164:19.21 173:16   168:25   171:16 172:71.6   122:20   constitute 18:12   112:23   commented 13:24   commented 13:24   commenting 14:18   13:24 138:16.17   committed 13:224 138:16.17   committed 13:22 13:28   comment 19:19   complete 103:8   168:22 171:11   complete 40:4   comfined 73:7   confined 13:2   contacted 17:18   contacted 13:20   contact 145:12   contacted 13:32   contact 145:12   contacted 13:32   contact 145:12   contacted 13:32   contact 145:12   contact 145:12   contacted 13:32   contact 17:218   contacted 13:32   contide 14:22   contined 14:5   contide 14:5   contacted 13:32   contide 13:32   contide 13:32   contide 13:32   contact 17:218   contacted 13:32   contide 13:32   contact 17:218   contacted 13:32   contide 13:32   contact 17:218   contacted 13:32   contact 17:218   contact 14:51:19   contacted 13:32   contide 13:32   contact 14:51:19   contend 3:32   contact 14:51:19   contacted 13:32   contact 14:51:19   contide 13:32   contined 13:32   contined 13:32   contined 13:32   contined 13:32   c			· ·		
168:25   172:19   174:1,11   174:15   172:19   174:1,11   174:15					
clients 37:23 clips 70:18 cliques 617.9;18 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.23 dilques 618.24 dil		-			
clips 70:18			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
comments   14:18   13:11,18,19   19:16   20:18   30:22,22   22   22   22   23   23   23   23			-		
14:18   comments 154:13   comments 154:13   comments 154:13   commissioned   co	_				
close 24:9 60:25   61:2,4,12   154:16,23   154:16,23   171:25   154:16,23   171:25   169:19,20.25   169:19,216   171:23   169:22 171:11   139:3,5,22   109:7,7   15:17 123:1   128:3,6,13 129:7   109:7,7   15:17 123:1   128:3,6,13 129:7   109:7,7   15:17 123:1   160:82 171:1   128:3,6,13 129:7   160:10   171:24   169:22 170:23   170:13 172:3   160:10   171:24   170:13 172:3   163:15   171:25 172:7   170:13 172:3   163:15   171:25 172:7   170:13 172:3   163:15   171:25 172:7   170:13 172:3   163:15   171:24   170:13 172:3   163:15   171:24   170:13 172:3   163:15   170:13 172:3   170:13 172:3   163:15   170:13 172:3   170:13 172:3   170:13 172:3	_ · · ·	O O			
61:2,4,12 closed 13:6 127:14 commissioned 13:2:24 138:16,17 171:23 commit 99:19 109:7,7 commit 99:19 109:7,7 common 8:12 13:13,21 closer 1:8 114:12 closing 73:23 cloth 26:10 co-operative 62:10 63:24 common series cold 42:11 communicated 25:13 26:1 communicated 26:10 63:24 common series cold 42:11 community 2:8 cold 42:11 coincidence 85:6 cold 42:11 coincidence 85:6 cold 42:11 coincidence 85:6 cold 26:10 colleague 119:1 colleague 69:2 15:12 15:12 154:13 colleague 69:2 15:12 15:12 15:43:3 ecome 14:14 45:1 5coil 46:17 75:23 376:2,5 15:12 15:12 15:43:3 ecome 14:14 45:1 5coil 46:17 17:24 complain series complain series complement of 32:1 20:8,14 complain series complaining 44:16 complaint 12:1 ecomplaining 44:16 complaint 12:1 ecomplain 44:16 complaint 12:1 ecomplaining 44:16 ecomplain 12:1 ecomplaining 44:16 ecomplain 12:1 ecomplaining 44:16 ecomplain			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
commot   13:224   138:16,17   139:35,522   163:13,21   109:7,7   109:7,7   109:7,7   109:7,7   128:3,6,13   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:7   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   129:17   128:3,6,43   128:3,5,4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
132:24 138:16,17   171:23   168:22 171:11   completed 40:4   115:17 123:1   171:25	1 -	-			
139:3,5,22					
163:13,21   109:7,7   committed 99:18   128:3,6,13 129:7   comfirme 53:13   171:25 172:7   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 44:5   common 8:12   138:13 45:24   169:22 170:23   15:6 43:24 44:12   170:13 172:3   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 42:5   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 42:5   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 43:5   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 42:5   documents 42:5   continue 2:3 19:17   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:4   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:5   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:4   documents 2:5   documents 2:5   documents 3:2   documents 42:5   documents 2:5   documents 2:5   documents 2:5   documents 42:5   documents 2:5   docu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>-</u>
closely 34:3         closen 1:8 114:12         committed 99:18         128:3,6,13 129:7         171:25 172:7         confirmed 3:24         confirmed 3:24         40:2 46:10 62:21         confirmed 3:24         40:2 46:10 62:21         40:2 46:10 62:21         confirmed 3:24         40:2 46:10 62:21         74:5 91:17         7					
closer 1:8 114:12 closing 73:23         common 8:12 38:13 45:24         129:15,21 163:15 169:22 170:23 15:6 43:24 44:12 171:24 170:13 171:23 164:8         40:2 46:10 62:21 74:5 91:17 74:5 91:1	-	7			
closing 73:23         38:13 45:24         38:13 45:24         169:22 170:23         15:6 43:24 44:12         74:5 91:17           co-operatived 62:10         communicated 7:24         25:13 26:1         39:6 47:9 49:23         15:6 43:24 44:12         74:5 91:17           63:24         28:11         communicated 28:11         25:13 26:1         39:6 47:9 49:23         confirming 18:10         confirmes 37:20         16:423:10 28:13         confirmes 37:20         20:41 18         confirmes 37:20         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10         20:41 19:10			7 7		
cloth 26:10         commonly 10:1         171:24         171:24         113:15 118:11         144:20 161:7           co-operative 62:10         communicate 7:24         completely 29:12         39:6 47:9 49:23         confirming 18:10         164:8           co-operatively         communicated         39:6 47:9 49:23         confirming 18:10         confirming 18:10         confirming 18:10         confirming 37:20         164:8         confirming 18:10         confirming 37:20         164:4 23:10 28:13         confirming 18:10         confirming 37:20         164:4 23:10 28:13         confirming 18:10         confirming 37:20         164:4 23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         164:8         confirming 37:20         164:4 23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         164:23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         164:4 23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         164:23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         162:21         164:23:10 28:13         confirming 37:20         162:22         27:10         27:10         27:10         27:10         27:10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
co-operative 62:10 62:11         communicate 7:24 25:13 26:1         completely 29:12 39:6 47:9 49:23         170:13 172:3 confirming 18:10 con					
62:11					
co-operatively         communicated         57:3 61:21 84:9         confirms 37:20         16:4 23:10 28:13           63:24         28:11         community 2:8         174:11         confront 55:12         confirming 94:21           cold 42:11         company 54:1,6         68:18         completeness         68:18         confronted 55:14         confused 4:9 22:22         confusing 94:21         confusing 94:12	_				
63:24 coincidence 85:6 cold 42:11 compony 54:1,6 65:10	=			<u> </u>	
coincidence 85:6 cold 42:11 coldborative         community 2:8 company 54:1,6 54:18 58:1 59:16 54:18 58:1 59:16 colleague 119:1 71:21,24 75:17 75:23 76:2,5 75:23 76:2,5 75:23 76:2,5 75:23 76:2,5 75:23 76:2,5 75:23 76:2,5 76:4 58:2 69:13 71:23 94:20 97:19 98:14,21 99:16 104:5,14 113:2 118:15 125:3 146:17 complain 12:23 complain 18:20,22 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:25 17:23 14:21 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:25 17:23 14:21 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:25 17:24 complain 18:20,22 17:25 17					
cold 42:11         133:8         company 54:1,6         68:18         completeness         confronted 55:14         contract 54:18           65:10         54:18 58:1 59:16         54:18 58:1 59:16         completion 128:17         confused 4:9 22:22         73:25 74:14,19           colleagues 69:2         75:23 76:2,5         75:23 76:2,5         compliant 19:4         compliantly         confusing 27:12         80:413 77:18 78:8           collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         Connolly 63:16,19         94:19,19 111:17           56:4 58:2 69:13         71:24         complimented         39:12         conscious 124:14         contract 54:18           77:23 94:20         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         confusingly 27:22         94:19,19 111:17           99:16 104:5,14         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         79:19         conscious 124:14         contractor 141:7           13:21 18:15         20:8,14         competent 92:23         79:19         compensus 87:6         92:5,19 162:16           163:16 167:15         complain 8:20,22         8:24 9:4 14:2         132:20 134:11         14:21         consequence 75:12         consequences           152:4         complaining 44:16         complaining 44:16         concerne 62:9         73:6,7		_		_	
collaborative         company 54:1,6         68:18         57:3         73:25 74:14,19           65:10         54:18 58:1 59:16         completion 128:17         completion 128:17         comfused 4:9 22:22         74:25 75:4,13         76:13 77:18 78:8           colleagues 69:2         75:23 76:2,5         78:21 79:1,25         compliantly         confusing 27:12         80:7,8 93:25         94:19,19 11:17           collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         Connolly 63:16,19         86:16 89:2,7         contracted 13:13           56:4 58:2 69:13         71:24         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         86:16 89:2,7         contracted 13:13           99:16 104:5,14         133:2 118:15         20:8,14         complied 158:19         complied 158:19         complied 158:19         complied 158:19         competent 92:23         complain 8:20,22         79:19         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24         165:4 168:6         controlled 142:11         150:15 150:25         150:15 150:25         150:15 150:25         conversation         45:25 85:19         150:15 160:25         converted 21:16					<u> </u>
65:10         54:18 58:1 59:16         completion 128:17         confused 4:9 22:22         74:25 75:4,13           colleague 119:1         71:21,24 75:17         compliant 19:4         compliant 19:4         confused 4:9 22:22         74:25 75:4,13           colleagues 69:2         75:23 76:2,5         78:21 79:1,25         142:16 157:17         confusing 27:12         80:7,8 93:25           collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         complied 13:17         Connolly 63:16,19         94:19,19 111:17           56:4 58:2 69:13         71:23 94:20         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         77:17 78:23           99:16 104:5,14         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         79:19         conscious 124:14         cons					
colleague 119:1         71:21,24 75:17         compliant 19:4         27:10         76:13 77:18 78:8           colleagues 69:2         151:21 154:13         75:23 76:2,5         compliantly         142:16 157:17         confusing 27:12         80:7,8 93:25         94:19,19 111:17           collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         complied 13:17         complied 13:17         connolly 63:16,19         86:16 89:2,7         90:14 101:17         contracted 13:13           56:4 58:2 69:13         71:24         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         113:14         contractor 141:7           99:16 104:5,14         49:18         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         79:19         conscious 124:14         contractor 141:7           125:3 146:17         20:8,14         competent 92:23         complied 158:19         compensus 87:6         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         consider 12:16         162:21 164:24         165:4 168:6         controlled 142:11         150:15 152:22         converted 21:16         conver					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
colleagues 69:2         75:23 76:2,5         compliantly         confusing 27:12         80:7,8 93:25         94:19,19 111:17           collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         comfusingly 27:22         94:19,19 111:17         confusingly 27:22         94:19,19 111:17         confusing 27:12         94:19,19 111:17         confusingly 27:22         94:19,19 111:17         confusing 27:12         94:19,19 111:17         confusingly 27:22         94:19,19 111:17         confusing 27:12         94:19,19 111:17         confusing 97:12         confusing 27:12         94:19,19 111:17         confusing 27:12         66:16 89:2,7         90:14 101:17         confusing 97:12         c					
151:21 154:13       78:21 79:1,25       142:16 157:17       confusingly 27:22       94:19,19 111:17         collect 12:23       80:4 111:18       168:4       complied 13:17       complied 13:13       77:17 78:23         56:4 58:2 69:13       71:23 94:20       compared 5:9       39:12       90:14 101:17       contracted 13:13         79:19 98:14,21       49:18       complimented       39:12       conscious 124:14       contractor 141:7         99:16 104:5,14       compensation       20:8,14       compromised       79:19       consciousness       124:18       contractor 145:21 89:8         136:16 167:15       complain 8:20,22       8:24 9:4 14:2       79:19       consequence 75:12       consequence 75:12       consequences       165:4 168:6       20:21 164:24         177:24       complained 8:4,24       25:7 51:10       concerned 62:9       108:19 161:19       70:6 79:17       156:15 160:25       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       73:6,7 88:1 89:4 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		1.5			
collect 12:23         80:4 111:18         168:4         Connolly 63:16,19         contracted 13:13           come 14:14 45:1         71:24         complied 13:17         66:16 89:2,7         77:17 78:23           56:4 58:2 69:13         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         77:17 78:23           71:23 94:20         compared 5:9         49:18         complimented         39:12         conscious 124:14         contractor 141:7           99:16 104:5,14         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         124:18         contractor 14:7           113:2 118:15         20:8,14         competent 92:23         complied 158:19         consern 65:5         consensus 87:6         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24           163:16 167:15         complain 8:20,22         128:22,23 132:19         47:20         controlled 142:11         150:15 152:22           177:24         complained 8:4,24         25:7 51:10         concerned 62:9         108:19 161:19         70:6 79:17         conversation           152:4         complaining 44:16         complaining 44:16         considered 3:20         40:8 44:4         converted 21:16           coming 5:18 24:21         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         93:6 133:13         consisted 35:23 <t< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>C</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td></t<>	<u> </u>	1		C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
come 14:14 45:1         171:24         complied 13:17         86:16 89:2,7         77:17 78:23           56:4 58:2 69:13         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         contractor 141:7           71:23 94:20         49:18         complimented         39:12         contractor 141:7           99:16 104:5,14         compensation         comprising 9:16         conscious 124:14         contractor 141:7           113:2 118:15         20:8,14         compromised         ronsciousness         contractor 141:7           147:12,12 158:13         completent 92:23         concern 65:5         consensus 87:6         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24           177:24         50:19,24         144:21         concerned 62:9         47:20         controlled 142:11           152:4         complained 8:4,24         25:7 51:10         concerns 3:12 72:4         considered 3:20         45:25 85:19           comfort 38:1         complaint 12:1         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         93:6 133:13         considered 3:23         converted 21:16           coming 5:18 24:21         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         constant 4:24 64:7         cooperative 64:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		O C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
56:4 58:2 69:13         compare 79:22         complimented         39:12         contractor 141:7           71:23 94:20         49:18         comply 167:17         conscious 124:14         contractor 141:7           99:16 104:5,14         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         consciousness         contractor 141:7           113:2 118:15         20:8,14         competent 92:23         compromised         124:18         contractor 141:7           147:12,12 158:13         competent 92:23         complied 158:19         concern 65:5         consequence 75:12         92:5,19 162:16           163:16 167:15         complain 8:20,22         8:24 9:4 14:2         132:20 134:11         142:20         consequences         165:4 168:6           177:24         50:19,24         complained 8:4,24         concerned 62:9         70:6 79:17         156:15 160:25         conversation           152:4         complaining 44:16         complaining 44:16         complaining 44:16         73:6,7 88:1 89:4         40:8 44:4         conviction 2:14           coming 5:18 24:21         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         consider d3:20         cooperative 64:6					
71:23 94:20         97:19 98:14,21           99:16 104:5,14         49:18         comply 167:17         conscious 124:14         27:11           99:16 104:5,14         113:2 118:15         compensation         20:8,14         compromised         124:18         control 45:21 89:8           125:3 146:17         competent 92:23         compiled 158:19         concern 65:5         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24           163:16 167:15         176:3,19 177:10         8:24 9:4 14:2         132:20 134:11         47:20         controlled 142:11           177:24         50:19,24         144:21         concerned 62:9         70:6 79:17         156:15 160:25         conversation           152:4         complained 8:4,24         25:7 51:10         concerns 3:12 72:4         70:6 79:17         45:25 85:19           comfort 38:1         complaint 12:1         73:6,7 88:1 89:4         40:8 44:4         converted 21:16           coming 5:18 24:21         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         93:6 133:13         consisted 35:23         cool 151:3           30:24 32:18,21         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         constant 4:24 64:7         cooperative 64:6					
97:19 98:14,21         49:18         comply 167:17         conscious 124:14         27:11           99:16 104:5,14         20:8,14         comprising 9:16         consciousness         124:18         control 45:21 89:8           125:3 146:17         competent 92:23         compiled 158:19         concern 65:5         consequence 75:12         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24           163:16 167:15         complain 8:20,22         8:24 9:4 14:2         132:20 134:11         consider 12:16         consider 12:16         conversation           177:24         complained 8:4,24         concerned 62:9         70:6 79:17         conversation         45:25 85:19           comfort 38:1         complaining 44:16         concerns 3:12 72:4         considered 3:20         converted 21:16           coming 5:18 24:21         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         93:6 133:13         consisted 35:23         cool 151:3           30:24 32:18,21         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         constant 4:24 64:7         cooperative 64:6		_	_		
99:16 104:5,14         compensation         comprising 9:16         consciousness         contraption 70:21           113:2 118:15         20:8,14         componised         124:18         control 45:21 89:8           125:3 146:17         competent 92:23         compiled 158:19         concern 65:5         consequence 75:12         162:21 164:24           163:16 167:15         complain 8:20,22         128:22,23 132:19         consequences         165:4 168:6           177:24         50:19,24         144:21         concerned 62:9         156:15 160:25         conversation           136:10 141:19         25:7 51:10         complaining 44:16         concerns 3:12 72:4         considered 3:20         converted 21:16           comfort 38:1         complaint 12:1         73:6,7 88:1 89:4         40:8 44:4         conviction 2:14           coning 5:18 24:21         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         constant 4:24 64:7         cooperative 64:6					
113:2 118:15       20:8,14       compromised       124:18       control 45:21 89:8         125:3 146:17       147:12,12 158:13       compiled 158:19       concern 65:5       consequence 75:12       92:5,19 162:16         163:16 167:15       176:3,19 177:10       8:24 9:4 14:2       132:20 134:11       14:21       consequences       165:4 168:6         177:24       50:19,24       complained 8:4,24       concerned 62:9       70:6 79:17       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       conviction 2:14         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6	*				
125:3 146:17       competent 92:23       79:19       consensus 87:6       92:5,19 162:16         147:12,12 158:13       compiled 158:19       concern 65:5       consequence 75:12       162:21 164:24         163:16 167:15       176:3,19 177:10       8:24 9:4 14:2       132:20 134:11       47:20       consider 12:16         177:24       complained 8:4,24       complained 8:4,24       concerned 62:9       70:6 79:17       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       complaint 12:1       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       40:8 44:4       conviction 2:14         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6	,				_
147:12,12 158:13       compiled 158:19       concern 65:5       consequence 75:12       162:21 164:24         163:16 167:15       176:3,19 177:10       8:24 9:4 14:2       132:20 134:11       47:20       consider 12:16       conversation         177:24       50:19,24       144:21       concerned 62:9       70:6 79:17       conversation       45:25 85:19         136:10 141:19       25:7 51:10       108:19 161:19       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         comfort 38:1       complaint 12:1       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       40:8 44:4       conviction 2:14         coming 5:18 24:21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6		/			
163:16 167:15       complain 8:20,22       128:22,23 132:19       consequences       165:4 168:6         176:3,19 177:10       8:24 9:4 14:2       132:20 134:11       47:20       controlled 142:11         177:24       50:19,24       144:21       consider 12:16       150:15 152:22         comes 111:3       complained 8:4,24       25:7 51:10       108:19 161:19       156:15 160:25       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         comfort 38:1       complaint 12:1       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       40:8 44:4       conviction 2:14         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6			, , ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
176:3,19 177:10       8:24 9:4 14:2       132:20 134:11       47:20       controlled 142:11         177:24       50:19,24       144:21       consider 12:16       150:15 152:22         comes 111:3       complained 8:4,24       concerned 62:9       70:6 79:17       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         comfort 38:1       complaint 12:1       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       40:8 44:4       conviction 2:14         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		-	
177:24       50:19,24       144:21       consider 12:16       150:15 152:22         comes 111:3       complained 8:4,24       concerned 62:9       108:19 161:19       156:15 160:25       conversation         152:4       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       consider d2:9       converted 21:16         comfort 38:1       complaint 12:1       73:6,7 88:1 89:4       40:8 44:4       conviction 2:14         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
comes 111:3       complained 8:4,24       concerned 62:9       70:6 79:17       conversation         136:10 141:19       25:7 51:10       108:19 161:19       156:15 160:25       45:25 85:19         152:4       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6	· ·				
136:10 141:19       25:7 51:10       108:19 161:19       156:15 160:25       45:25 85:19         152:4       complaining 44:16       concerns 3:12 72:4       considered 3:20       converted 21:16         coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cooperative 64:6         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       156:15 160:25       45:25 85:19         40:8 44:4       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
152:4 comfort 38:1 complaint 12:1 30:24 32:18,21       complaint 12:1 18:3,5,8,11,14,17 18:20,24 19:5       concerns 3:12 72:4 73:6,7 88:1 89:4 93:6 133:13 169:17 172:1       considered 3:20 40:8 44:4 conviction 2:14 consisted 35:23 constant 4:24 64:7					
comfort 38:1         complaint 12:1         73:6,7 88:1 89:4         40:8 44:4         conviction 2:14           coming 5:18 24:21         18:3,5,8,11,14,17         93:6 133:13         consisted 35:23         cool 151:3           30:24 32:18,21         18:20,24 19:5         169:17 172:1         constant 4:24 64:7         cooperative 64:6					
coming 5:18 24:21       18:3,5,8,11,14,17       93:6 133:13       consisted 35:23       cool 151:3         30:24 32:18,21       18:20,24 19:5       169:17 172:1       constant 4:24 64:7       cooperative 64:6					
30:24 32:18,21		_	· ·		
	<u> </u>				
20.3 30.10,20   concerted /0.27   /0.10,10 00.13   cope 21.9 23.23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
	JT.J,1J T/.1	20.3 30.10,20	Concerted /0.27	70.10,10 00.13	cope 21.7 23.23
					<u> </u>

				Page 163
35:18 39:15	criminal 126:24	<b>D1473's</b> 1:5,15	dark 22:17 31:18	46:18,21 47:2,3,4
copy 12:18 18:23	cross 21:17	11:16 16:18	date 11:9 127:22	47:5 48:7,10,12
27:3 28:8 36:1	crowding 32:22	<b>D1538</b> 125:2	140:3 142:22	48:14,16,22 49:2
cord 114:13,15	crying 46:24	131:18,22 132:4	159:25 170:7,18	49:14,17 55:17
124:6	CSU 5:12 159:16	133:16	dated 1:16 11:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			16:8 21:3 23:9	58:8,10,17,23
cordial 64:4	160:5,15 168:8	<b>D1853</b> 156:15,23		87:25 93:25
correct 14:15	168:16	157:16 167:15	38:12 53:16,18	107:25 114:2,3
53:15 54:20	cuffs 142:14,19	168:4,6	112:24 130:11	115:3 121:1
70:23 123:10	150:19 151:5,22	<b>D2158</b> 20:25 21:2	142:23 170:20	122:11 124:1
127:14 157:13	152:25	21:13,23 22:1,3	daughter 2:18	125:6 130:13
corrected 174:13	cultural 76:19	23:9,11 27:3	daunting 76:25	144:19 170:1,13
correctly 164:5	culture 43:1 45:20	29:13 35:12	Dave 167:7	173:5,19 174:16
correspondence	62:6 64:14,21	37:19,23 178:18	day 6:9 7:2,5 12:3	DCOs 58:6,7
18:9	65:1,24 81:9	<b>D2158's</b> 21:3	12:9 17:14 22:7	78:11 79:2
corridor 29:18	95:10,10 156:11	<b>D275</b> 89:24	23:20 25:6 28:3	100:11,13 142:2
31:1,2	culture' 62:9	<b>D313</b> 38:7,8,11,18	30:12 35:16	142:11 158:19
corridors 67:20	cup 146:19	39:4,8,13,18 40:2	41:20 50:15	DCOs' 71:22
cost 76:2	curiosity 119:13	40:15,21,24	67:22 74:20	<b>DD</b> 128:14,15
costs 162:25	curious 104:9	41:21 42:5 43:3	85:19 127:10	de-escalate 142:4
counselling 2:8	curiously 53:18	43:10,17,22	152:18 153:25	144:15 160:21
<b>count</b> 6:10	current 128:1	44:13,20 45:5,8	167:3 171:21	de-escalated
countries 21:20	156:23	46:12,17,19,25	175:15	142:18
<b>country</b> 8:6 41:7	Currently 156:24	47:2,2,4 48:7,13	days 1:19 5:25	<b>deal</b> 18:8,19 19:15
42:22 46:6	curtain 71:10	48:18,21 49:9,13	16:4 17:10 21:7	68:17,17,19
141:21 157:12	custodial 158:3	49:16 50:15	35:20,21 103:21	69:18 70:8 87:18
counts 67:21 78:11	<b>custody</b> 53:24 54:2	51:15,18,21 52:4	111:11 122:5	90:23 96:1
<b>couple</b> 2:6 10:6	55:16 123:12	52:24 178:20	132:22	133:15 167:24
95:17 106:20	142:20 171:17	<b>D313's</b> 39:24 40:5	<b>DCM</b> 53:24,25	<b>dealing</b> 55:4,9,11
122:5	customers 55:9,11	40:19 41:13	54:13,21 55:17	57:15 93:5
<b>course</b> 64:16	cut 32:20 33:2,25	43:24,24 48:11	58:14,17 69:17	145:13 174:2
101:24 116:10	100:25 106:13	<b>D687</b> 140:2,3	69:18 87:22,24	dealings 32:10
126:6,25 131:17	110:13,15 114:16	148:15 151:6	100:17 105:2	93:7
courses 89:12	114:19,20 122:15	<b>D865</b> 98:23 99:17	112:25 113:14,20	<b>dealt</b> 11:10 19:7
court 50:8	124:8,10,13,15	100:13,19 101:2	114:6,13 117:18	69:19 91:24
cover 48:16 49:3	147:25 148:14,20	101:16 113:4	119:7 120:23	101:21,23
covering 78:11	163:25 176:14	115:15 120:25	121:1 122:14	debriefs 177:16
<b>covert</b> 144:20	<b>cutlery</b> 31:25 32:4	124:3,13,22	123:11 124:19,20	deceitful 84:4
covertly 68:2		125:4 127:9	124:21 128:3,17	December 37:13
coverup 126:10	D	129:2,23 130:17	132:3,23 140:22	54:7,8 80:24
coworkers 62:22	<b>D</b> 67:3 70:15 99:10	131:23 138:15	142:2,8 144:25	101:24 102:4,15
CPS000025 98:25	178:14	139:2 153:25	145:4 156:16	103:8
109:23,25	<b>D119</b> 169:18,23	<b>D865's</b> 106:13	159:5 166:24	decency 95:13
crack 139:17	<b>D1473</b> 1:4,14 2:12	124:7	167:7,25 170:12	<b>decent</b> 62:24 63:7
credibility 111:25	2:23 3:9,13,18,20	daily 65:8 82:5	170:13	63:14
crew 141:3,6,9,13	3:21 4:2,5,22	96:24 132:23	DCMs 58:6,11	decide 110:23
141:21 142:17,19	5:24,25 7:16,21	damage 161:13	61:3 63:23 64:22	decided 135:14
157:7 161:22	9:7,10 14:23	Dan 86:20,22	87:20 144:22	157:22
cried 47:17	15:25 16:9,14	142:3	175:14	decision 13:20
crimes 99:18	17:5 20:3,5,23	dangerous 162:21	<b>DCO</b> 46:13,14,15	19:21 35:9 37:2
	37:23 178:16		/,	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				Page 186
20.25.142.12		52.24.54.2.62.12	20.22.22.5.15.53	11.004
38:25 142:12	depression 2:6	53:24 54:2 69:19	38:22 39:5,17,23	directed 166:4
145:20 150:16	3:14 39:16	73:9 96:25 97:5	40:1,13 42:2	<b>directions</b> 157:3,4
152:23 169:4	127:21	99:8,17 100:12	44:14 45:11 48:4	157:11,11,21
decisions 20:17	depressive 4:17	104:19,23 105:4	50:5,10 52:14,20	158:5 167:2,14
declared 27:8	deputy 55:2	108:9,12 110:19	56:18 81:1 92:3	directly 100:18
declined 6:24	171:22	113:4,7 114:4,7	92:15 127:20	143:22 173:10
dedicated 176:18	Derek 86:18 87:18	119:4 123:12,13	deteriorate 2:17	director 128:15
deemed 40:21	87:19,19 88:11	124:3 128:4	deteriorating	142:3,5 145:10
<b>defence</b> 155:21	describe 81:6	132:15,25 140:23	148:10	151:21 171:22
<b>deferred</b> 17:12	158:10	141:1,8,23	deterioration	dirt 31:11
deficit 39:20	described 17:12	142:16 156:23	23:14	dirty 24:18,20
definitely 97:4	56:5 114:17	159:9,25 160:3	determination	168:2
139:14	125:5 150:20	163:1 167:1	137:20 138:2,4	disability 40:16
degree 109:13	describes 7:16,21	171:17 173:11	determined 4:3	50:23
delay 107:12	9:15 17:5 20:3,5	174:16	detract 145:25	disabled 140:10
114:22 115:6,11	43:7 45:7 51:25	detainee's 99:12	detrimental 76:20	disagree 81:2,3
115:24,25 116:2	desensitisation	100:12 101:14	Devastatingly 83:9	disappear 10:5
125:19,22	134:23	114:14 163:11	developed 5:13	disappointed
delayed 107:5	desensitised 135:1	detainees 5:12	diagnosed 1:25	18:18
109:10 118:3	designed 94:6	7:23 8:3 9:20	37:13,16 39:15	discard 106:14
delaying 104:18	165:15	10:16,25 17:19	39:19	discharge 141:1
deliberate 43:16	desist 142:1	19:17 24:2 28:10	diagonally 71:1	141:23 142:17
126:15	desk-type 70:21	29:7,17,19 30:12	die 140:12 148:2	disciplinary
deliberately 8:16	despatched 157:7	31:9 32:19,22	149:22,23	171:25
105:16 107:5	desperate 17:18	34:11 41:23	die-hards 79:9	disciplined 9:14
109:10 111:18	50:8	42:21 43:4 45:19	died 126:23	disclosed 14:10
118:3 126:19,22	despite 3:3 9:13,22	45:21,25 46:5,5	difference 58:16	18:23 27:4
175:10	13:22 82:18	46:15 49:6 52:6	137:6,17	disclosure 11:24
delivery 74:18	142:2 154:19	52:15 55:24,25	different 22:13	discomfort 14:3
demographics	170:9	58:2 62:14,22	57:3 60:8 66:3	discovery 118:12
96:22	detail 25:21	64:3,24,25 65:3,9	80:7 95:11 96:1	discuss 120:13
demonstrate 48:22	details 69:22	65:12,13,16,18	96:22 97:14,20	171:18 172:17
demonstrated	detained 1:18 3:11	65:19,25 73:6	108:25 144:9	discussed 4:15
92:13 101:10	5:23 21:5,15	76:14 81:10,15	150:23 153:23	36:17 97:23
denial 154:19	22:5 26:8 33:23	82:3,5,12 89:23	169:16,21 173:8	discusses 45:5
174:17,19	38:19 39:8,18	93:5,7 101:11,12	differently 126:14	discussion 77:20
dentist 28:21,25	55:25 56:1,6,16	110:20 111:20	difficult 1:20 6:3	78:5
dentition 27:16	59:25 60:7 62:17	134:4 136:23	10:9 15:19 21:7	dismal 76:22
deny 109:14	72:16 74:4,9	145:12 154:1	24:14 25:25	dismissals 94:15
110:18 154:22	77:10 91:25	155:15 156:5	26:18 28:22	dismissed 20:19
departments 75:7	95:14 98:23	<b>detention</b> 1:20,24	29:15 32:18	disorder 4:17
dependence 39:22	132:18 140:1,6	1:25 2:4,15,22	36:11 37:3,7	39:21,21,21,22
deport 41:2	169:18,24 172:23	3:15,19 4:4,7 5:8	42:23 52:16,18	disorientated
deportation 2:20	176:10	7:11 9:19 14:9	56:19 63:25	22:21
38:25 41:14	detainee 8:8 10:19	14:18 16:15,19	79:24 114:19	displayed 172:10
deported 42:4	24:3,6 25:11	17:22 20:6 22:9	158:20	disposed 97:6
45:7,17	32:5,9,23 33:16	22:14 24:24	difficulties 43:7,19	<b>disruptive</b> 10:22
depressed 5:9 6:22	34:1,18,23 35:2	25:18 28:13,20	difficulty 39:20	14:13 137:8,16
36:14 42:9	46:18,20,23	35:18 37:7,18	direct 100:19	168:17

dissatisfaction         61:10 63:1 64:16         37:15 39:19.22         earlier 5:9 73:18         encourage 155:20         encourage 155:20 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Page 18/</th>					Page 18/
95:5   66:1,7 67:19   79:20 82:15   44:5   44:5   41:29 147:7   41:10 65:5   60:47 77:23   44:5   45:5   41:29 147:7   42:25 144:10   10:31 30	dissotisfaction	61.10.62.1.64.16	27.15 20.10 22	oorlier 5.0 72.10	ongourage 155.20
dissatisfied 68:24         79:20 82:15         44:5         112:9 147:7         ended 144:18,18			•		<u> </u>
69:277:23   84:18 87:21   97:12 98:21 99:2 97:100:18,19   100:24 101:10,14   101:18,22 102:7   140:11   105:3 107:11   109:11,19 111:6   121:4,16 113:15   149:16   113:20 114:6,13   35:4 37:6   114:22 117:13,18   114:22 117:13,18   114:22 117:13,18   117:12   123:14   123:6,11 126:4   171:18   170:12,13   133:13 136:7   171:18   133:13 136:7   100:18,19   100:22   130:12,15   133:13 136:7   101:18,14   132:14   132:15   132:13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
distinguished         97:12 98:21 99:2         drastically 79:17         173:23         English 7:23 22:18         23:12 5:13 12:25           distress 24:4 64:5         100:24 101:01,44         101:18,22 102:7         132:18         155:5 19:10 80:24         46:8.19           149:16         109:11,19 111:6         drink 28:23 36:7         112:4.16 113:15         drome 10:15         easier 42:24         enter 12:15 68:6           divided 102:1         119:7 120:23         33:2         drome 10:15         177:20         easier 42:24         enter 12:15 68:6           divided 102:1         119:7 120:23         33:2         drome 10:15         178:3         22:18 138:4         177:20         enter 12:15 68:6         enter 13:15 32:25         177:20         enter 12:15 68:6         enter 12:15 68:6         enter 12:15 68:6         enter 13:15 32:25         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         12:24 10 15:215         22:24 129:24         13:35:16         enter 16:15 15 68:6         enter 16:15 15 68:0         enter 16:15 15 68:6         enter 16:15 15 68:6         enter 16:15:15 168:6         enter 16:15 15 68:6         enter 16:15 15 68			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
58:20         99:7:100:24 101:10,14         draw 125:18,21         carty 4:10 12:13         23:1 25:13 32:25         46:8,19           distress 24:4 64:5         100:24 101:10,14         draw 125:18         carty 4:10 12:13         23:1 25:13 32:25         46:8,19         enter 62:15 16:02.24         46:8,19         enter 62:15 19:10 80:24         46:8,19         enter 62:15 18:66         46:8,19         enter 62:15 18:66         46:8,19         enter 62:15 18:66         enter 162:15 18:66         enter 162:15 18:66         enter 62:15 18:66         enter 162:15 18:66         enter 162:15 18:66         enter 62:15 18:66         enter 62:15 18:66         enter 162:15 18:66         enter 16					
distresses 24:4 64:5         100:24 101:10,14         dreams 6:16         15:5 19:10 80:24         46:8,19         enjoy 45:19         enjoy 4	_				
115:15 122:22		· ·	•		
140:11		· ·			,
distressed 2:3         109:11,19 111:6         drink 28:23 36:7         easier 42:24         enter 162:15 168:6           distressing 21:8         113:20 114:6;13         drink 28:23 36:7         easier 42:24         enter 162:15 168:6           divided 102:1         112:416 113:15         drink 28:23 36:7         easier 42:24         enter 162:15 168:6           divided 102:1         119:114:6;13         drone 10:15         casily 92:4 114:20         enter 162:15 168:6           Dix 170:12,13         123:6,11 126:4         123:6,11 126:4         178:3         entering 29:20           1DL0000233 38:13         131:3 132:3         313:13 132:3         39:2,6         drugs 9:25 10:11         32:1         eater 9:11 35:23         entering 29:20           26:11,15,22,24         148:24 149:20         148:24 149:20         44:66 416 131:8         35:17,21 36:3,11         entire 9:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:		· ·		· /	
149:16					
distressing 21:8         113:20 114:6,13         drone 10:15         easily 92:4 114:20         33:5,6 120:1,22           divided 102:1         119:7 120:23         32:2         dropped 31:23         33:5,6 120:1,22         129:12 122:15           dividing 34:16         121:1 122:11,14         23:6,11 126:4         32:2         easily 92:4 114:20         135:16         entering 29:20           171:18         126:12 130:12,15         120:12 131:3 132:3         drug 10:1,20 11:3         39:2,6         easily 92:4 114:20         135:16         entering 29:20           DL0000233 38:13         doctor 3:9 16:22         133:3 136:7         10:18,22 11:1         178:3         eaten 9:11 35:23         entirely 69:24         entirely 69:24         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:20         entirely 79:24         entirely 79:24         110:11 169:16,20         entirely 79:24         entirely 79:23         27:		7			
35:4 37:6   divided 102:1   119:7 120:23   32:2   easy 52:1 138:4   120:12:13   120:13   120:11:13   120:11:14   120:11:14   120:11:14   120:12:11.14   120:12:13.14   120:12:13.15:15   120:24 129:24   120:24 120:24   120:24   120:24 120:24   12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S		
divided 102:1         119:7 120:23         32:2         easy 52:1 138:4         135:16         entering 29:20           Dix 170:12,13         123:61 1 122:11,14         39:2,6         easy 52:1 138:4         178:3         entering 29:20           171:18         126:12 130:12,15         126:12 130:12,15         drugs 9:25 10:11         39:2,6         eath 9:13 3:23         entirely 69:24         110:15 117:12         entirely 69:24         110:11 169:16,20         entirely 69:24 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
dividing 34:16 Dix 170:12,13         121:1 122:11,14 Dix 170:12,13         drug 10:1,20 11:3 as;20;30:26         178:3 ast2:3 31:25 atch 110:15 117:12         entering 29:20 110:5 117:12         entering 29:20 110:5 117:12         introperate of the proper of t		-			
Dix 170:12,13   123:6,11 126:4   126:12 130:12,15   drugs 9:25 10:11   13:13 132:3   13:13 132:3   13:13 132:3   13:13 132:3   13:13 132:3   13:13 13:23   13:13 13:23   13:13 13:23   13:13 13:23   13:13 13:23   13:13 13:23   10:13,14,16,17   2:11:1   2:			_	1 -	
171:18	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DL.0000233 38:13   doctor 3:9 16:22   133:3 136:7   131:13 132:3   10:13,14,16,17   eaten 9:11 35:23   eating 17:10 34:9   effocts 27:24   effoct 29:24   effoct 39:24   effoct 39:24   effoct 39:24   effoct 39:24   effoct	· ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
doctor 3:9 16:22         133:3 136:7         10:18,22 11:1         eating 17:10 34:9         entitled 76:15         entitled 76:15           23:12 25:14,16         140:5,22 144:22         39:4 51:24 52:7         39:4 51:24 52:7         35:17,21 36:3,11         entires 7:1 43:24         effectively 5:25         13		· ·		_	
23:12 25:14,16 25:19,21 26:1,6 26:11,15,22,24 27:13,15,21,24 28:17 document 102:3 110:2 112:15,18 122:18 128:18,25 129:18,22 130:3 164:16 166:2,24 130:9 131:19 132:21 137:23 138:9 140:4 142:25 144:10 142:25 144:10 142:25 144:10 150:9 156:20 158:16,18 159:20 166:21 169:6,22  174:8,17 175:2 176:9 176:9 176:20 24:9 176:20 24:9 176:20 24:9 176:20 24:9 176:20 24:9 176:20 24:9 176:21 77:13 43:24 166:16 165:13 166:16 165:2,124 160:21 16			/ / /		· ·
25:19,21 26:1,6 26:11,15,22,24 27:13,15,21,24 28:17 document 102:3 110:2 112:15,18 122:18 128:18,25 129:18,22 130:3 138:9 140:4 142:25 144:10 150:9 156:20 158:13 31:1,224 142:25 144:10 150:151:9 158:13 11:25  158:13 11:25  166:17 169:21,22 170:7 documentation 115:17 documentation 115:17 documentation 115:17 document 69:22 documents 11:7 14:10 65:5 doming 92:22 93:11 98:6 104:7 117:5 147:19 162:5 domin 11:24 Donnelly 53:8,9,12 53:13,22 56:25  dozens 69:19 Donnelly 53:8,9,12 53:13,22 56:25  Donnelly 53:8,9,12 Donnelly 53:8,9,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
26:11,15,22,24 27:13,15,21,24 28:17  document 102:3 150:1 151:9 152:8 153:11,23 110:2 112:15,18 122:18 128:18,25 129:1,8,22 130:3 164:16 166:2,24 130:9 131:19 168:21 169:6,22 132:21 137:23 174:8,17 175:2 178:2,22 174:10 170:7 17	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
27:13,15,21,24   28:17   150:1 151:9   152:8 153:11,23   140:6 159:13   140:6 159:13   110:2 112:15,18   159:1,5,10 161:8   122:18 128:18,25   163:7,17 164:3   168:21 169:6,22   130:9 131:19   168:21 169:6,22   138:9 140:4   178:2,22   174:8,17 175:2   170:3,9 171:11   172:9 174:9   150:9 156:20   158:16,18 159:20   158:16,18 159:20   158:16,18 159:20   158:16,18 159:20   158:16,18 159:20   158:16,18 159:20   170:7   170:7   170:7   180cumentary   175:18   166:21 168:3,4   170:4   documentation 115:17   documentation 15:17	•	· ·			
28:17					
document 102:3         154:18 155:5         167:12 168:15         158:9         56:21 57:13           110:2 112:15,18         159:1,5,10 161:8         172:8         163:7,17 164:3         163:7,17 164:3         163:7,17 164:3         164:16 166:2,24         168:21 169:6,22         168:21 169:6,22         168:21 169:6,22         172:8         170:3,9 171:11         170:3,9 171:11         70:20 84:25         95:14 97:24         95:14 97:24         111:5         115:23 152:13         160:21 169:6,22         172:9 174:9         104:24 105:11         160:21         160:21         110:21         115:23 152:13         160:21         133:6         ether 14:17 24:8         95:14 97:24         111:5         115:5         ether 14:17 24:8         95:14 97:24         111:5         115:23 152:13         116:22         111:5         115:29 174:9         104:24 105:11         104:24 105:11         160:21         116:21         118:17 124:2         118:17 124:2         123:11 128:15         169:5,10         etherly 39:9         equipped 92:16,17         133:15         etherly 39:9         equipped 92:16,17         133:15         embarrassed 13:2         embellished         157:24 159:14         157:24 159:14         157:24 159:14         157:24 159:14         157:3,4 161:3         157:24 159:14         166:10 167:1,12         166:24         embergency 74:6         133:10,24 118:24				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
110:2 112:15,18   159:1,5,10 161:8   172:8   Duncan 133:5   effort 105:1   efforts 76:23,24   either 14:17 24:8   16:25 78:24   95:14 97:24   130:3 131:19   168:21 169:6,22   170:3,9 171:11   172:5   178:2,22   179:3,9 171:11   179:10 140:9   150:9 156:20   150:9 156:20   158:16,18 159:20   166:17 169:21,22   170:7   71:4,7,8 110:23   170:4   118:17 124:2   170:4   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:17   documentation 115:15   doing 92:22 93:11   dospase 45:6   doubts 93:6   domain 111:24   domain 111:24   Donnelly 53:8,9,12   53:13,22 56:25   dozens 69:19   Dr 25:20 28:3   Dr 25	- '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ı	
122:18 128:18,25   163:7,17 164:3   164:16 166:2,24   130:9 131:19   168:21 169:6,22   174:8,17 175:2   176:3,9 171:11   172:9 174:9   172:9					
129:1,8,22 130:3   164:16 166:2,24   130:9 131:19   168:21 169:6,22   174:8,17 175:2   170:3,9 171:11   170:20 84:25   104:24 105:11   160:21   170:9 174:9   104:24 105:11   160:21   170:9 174:9   119:10 140:9   119:10 140:9   133:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
130:9   131:19   168:21   169:6,22   123:16   145:13   70:20   84:25   episodes 29:11   138:9   140:4   178:2,22   172:9   174:9   104:24   105:11   160:21   150:9   156:20   158:16,18   159:20   158:16,18   159:20   166:17   169:21,22   172:9   133:15   123:11   128:15   166:21   133:6   equip 55:3   92:2   170:7   118:17   124:2   166:24   documentation   175:8   documentation   15:17   documented 69:22   documents 11:7   14:10   65:5   doing 92:22   93:11   dosage 45:6   doubts 93:6   doubts 93:6   doubts 93:6   domin 111:24   Donnelly 53:8,9,12   53:13,22   56:25   Dr 25:20   28:3   123:16   145:13   170:3,9   171:11   70:20   84:25   episodes 29:11   160:21   equip 55:3   92:2   133:6   equip 55:3   92:2   133:6   equip ment 158:9   equip equip 69:21:10   133:15   element 134:23   embellished   escape 6:13   e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
132:21 137:23		-	,		
138:9 140:4       178:2,22       172:9 174:9       104:24 105:11       160:21       equip 55:3 92:2         150:9 156:20       door 6:12,20 24:9       111:19 113:15       151:23 152:13       133:6       133:6       equipment 158:9         158:16,18 159:20       30:5,13 31:1,2,4       123:11 128:15       169:5,10       equipment 158:9       equipment 158:9         166:17 169:21,22       32:22 33:13 35:1       140:22 142:3,5       elderly 39:9       equipped 92:16,17         170:7       71:4,7,8 110:23       145:9,10 151:21       element 134:23       erred 138:6         documentary       170:4       doors 3:16 7:6       22:11       E       E4:23 5:11,13,18       escape 6:13         documents 11:7       doorway 33:5       34:21       6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16       153:17       embellished       157:24 159:14         160:10 161:22       67:3 88:25 90:25       91:2,3 108:7,14       123:22 161:1       166:16 167:1,12         178:14       16:25       178:14       108:14,17 124:22       emotions 111:23       escorting 141:9         178:14       160:25       178:14       employed 48:23       employer 111:18       empty 161:20       escorts 17:6 74:7					
142:25 144:10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		_
150:9 156:20       door 6:12,20 24:9       111:19 113:15       151:23 152:13       133:6         158:16,18 159:20       30:5,13 31:1,2,4       123:11 128:15       169:5,10       equipment 158:9         170:7       71:4,7,8 110:23       145:9,10 151:21       elderly 39:9       equipped 92:16,17         170:7       118:17 124:2       166:24       email 18:9       escape 6:13         175:8       164:21 168:3,4       170:4       doors 3:16 7:6       22:11       E       E4:23 5:11,13,18       embellished       escape 52:19         documented 69:22       documents 11:7       doorway 33:5       34:21       7:18 12:23 17:21       153:17       160:10 161:22         doing 92:22 93:11       dosage 45:6       doubts 93:6       doubts 93:6       40wngraded 16:14       108:14,17 124:22       123:12 16:1       133:15       ered 138:6       escape 6:13       escape 6:13       escape 6:13       escort 13:12 18:4       157:24 159:14       160:10 161:22       157:24 159:14       160:10 161:22       157:3,4 161:3       153:17       160:10 161:22       157:3,4 161:3       153:17       153:10,24 118:24       157:3,4 161:3       166:16 167:1,12       166:16 167:1,12       166:16 167:1,12       166:16 167:1,12       166:16 167:1,12       178:14       178:14       178:14       178:14       178:14		_			
158:16,18 159:20   30:5,13 31:1,2,4   123:11 128:15   169:5,10   equipment 158:9   equipped 92:16,17   170:7   71:4,7,8 110:23   145:9,10 151:21   electric 34:19   element 134:23   erred 138:6   escape 6:13   embarrassed 13:2   embarrassed					
166:17 169:21,22       32:22 33:13 35:1       140:22 142:3,5       elderly 39:9       equipped 92:16,17         170:7       71:4,7,8 110:23       145:9,10 151:21       electric 34:19       133:15         documentary       118:17 124:2       166:24       email 18:9       erred 138:6         documentation       170:4       E       email 18:9       escape 6:13         documents 11:7       doorway 33:5       34:21       6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16       151:18,19,23       157:24 159:14         166:24       7:18 12:23 17:21       embellished       157:24 159:14       160:10 161:22         doing 92:22 93:11       dosage 45:6       67:3 88:25 90:25       91:2,3 108:7,14       133:15       erred 138:6         40:10 65:5       34:21       6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16       153:17       160:10 161:22       escorted 12:22         40:10 16:25       13:10,24 118:24       157:3,4 161:3       123:22 161:1       123:22 161:1       166:16 167:1,12         40:10 17:10 16:25       160:10 16:25       178:14       108:14,17 124:22       129:19 139:15       178:14       158:13 159:13         40:10 16:25       178:14       178:14       158:13 159:13       158:13 159:13       158:13 159:13					
170:7       71:4,7,8 110:23       145:9,10 151:21       electric 34:19       133:15         documentary       118:17 124:2       166:24       email 18:9       escape 6:13         175:8       170:4       doors 3:16 7:6       E       embellished       escort 13:12 18:4         documented 69:22       doorway 33:5       34:21       6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16       151:18,19,23       157:24 159:14         doing 92:22 93:11       dosage 45:6       67:3 88:25 90:25       91:2,3 108:7,14       emergency 74:6       123:22 161:1       eccorted 12:22         domain 111:24       16:25       102:19 139:15       178:14       employed 48:23       employed 48:23       escorts 17:6 74:7         Donnelly 53:8,9,12       53:13,22 56:25       Dr 25:20 28:3       Dr 25:20 28:3       E001 129:12       empty 161:20       167:11,16,22	· ·	· / / /		•	
documentary         118:17 124:2         166:24         dying 147:25         element 134:23         erred 138:6         escape 6:13           documentation         115:17         doors 3:16 7:6         E         E         embellished         escaped 52:19         escaped 52:19           documents 11:7         doorway 33:5         34:21         6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16         151:18,19,23         157:24 159:14         160:10 161:22           doing 92:22 93:11         dosage 45:6         60ubts 93:6         67:3 88:25 90:25         113:10,24 118:24         157:3,4 161:3         166:16 167:1,12           47:19 162:5         downgraded 16:14         16:25         108:14,17 124:22         employed 48:23         escorting 141:9         escorting 141:9           53:13,22 56:25         Dr 25:20 28:3         Dr 25:20 28:3         E001 129:12         empty 161:20         167:11,16,22	1				1
175:8   164:21 168:3,4   170:4     170:4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
documentation         170:4         E         E         embarrassed 13:2         escaped 52:19           documented 69:22         22:11         E4:23 5:11,13,18         151:18,19,23         157:24 159:14           documents 11:7         doorway 33:5         6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16         153:17         160:10 161:22           doing 92:22 93:11         dosage 45:6         67:3 88:25 90:25         113:10,24 118:24         157:3,4 161:3           98:6 104:7 117:5         downgraded 16:14         108:14,17 124:22         123:22 161:1         emotions 111:23           4 domain 111:24         16:25         178:14         employed 48:23         escortts 17:6 74:7           Donnelly 53:8,9,12         53:13,22 56:25         Dr 25:20 28:3         Dr 25:20 28:3         E001 129:12         employer 111:18         158:13 159:13					
Table 12			dying 147:25		_
documented 69:22 documents 11:7 14:10 65:5         22:11 dosage 45:6 147:19 162:5 domain 111:24 Donnelly 53:8,9,12 53:13,22 56:25         E 4:23 5:11,13,18 6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 66:2,3,8,18 7:8,16 7:18 12:23 17:21 67:3 88:25 90:25 91:2,3 108:7,14 123:22 161:1 66:16 167:1,12 66:16 167:1,12 67:18 12:23 17:21 67:3 88:25 90:25 129:19 139:15 139:15 67:17:18 12:23 17:21 67:3 88:25 90:25 113:10,24 118:24 157:3,4 161:3 166:16 167:1,12 68:4 157:3,4 161:3 160:10 161:22 68:4 157:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 157:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 157:4 18:4 18:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 157:4 18:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 157:4 18:4 18:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 18:4 18:4 160:10 161:22 68:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 1					
documents         11:7         doorway         33:5         6:2,3,8,18 7:8,16         153:17         160:10 161:22           doing         92:22 93:11         dosage         45:6         67:3 88:25 90:25         113:10,24 118:24         157:3,4 161:3           98:6 104:7 117:5         doubts         93:6         40:10 161:22         93:23 108:7,14         123:22 161:1         166:16 167:1,12           domain         111:24         16:25         178:14         employed         48:23         escorting         14:9           Donnelly         53:13,22 56:25         Dr         25:20 28:3         E001         129:12         empty         161:20					
14:10 65:5       34:21       7:18 12:23 17:21       emergency 74:6       escorted 12:22         doing 92:22 93:11       dosage 45:6       67:3 88:25 90:25       113:10,24 118:24       157:3,4 161:3         98:6 104:7 117:5       downgraded 16:14       108:14,17 124:22       emotions 111:23       escorting 141:9         147:19 162:5       downgraded 16:14       16:25       178:14       employed 48:23       escorts 17:6 74:7         178:14       pr 25:20 28:3       178:14       employer 111:18       158:13 159:13         16:25       178:14       empty 161:20       167:11,16,22		22:11			157:24 159:14
doing 92:22 93:11         dosage 45:6         67:3 88:25 90:25         113:10,24 118:24         157:3,4 161:3           98:6 104:7 117:5         doubts 93:6         91:2,3 108:7,14         123:22 161:1         166:16 167:1,12           147:19 162:5         downgraded 16:14         16:25         129:19 139:15         emotions 111:23         escorting 141:9           Donnelly 53:8,9,12         dozens 69:19         178:14         employer 111:18         158:13 159:13           53:13,22 56:25         Dr 25:20 28:3         E001 129:12         empty 161:20         167:11,16,22		doorway 33:5		153:17	
98:6 104:7 117:5   doubts 93:6   downgraded 16:14   108:14,17 124:22   domain 111:24   16:25   dozens 69:19   Donnelly 53:8,9,12   53:13,22 56:25   Dr 25:20 28:3   Dr 25:20 28:3   Dr 25:20 28:3   Dr 25:20 28:3   P1:2,3 108:7,14   123:22 161:1   166:16 167:1,12   emotions 111:23   employed 48:23   employer 111:18   empty 161:20   167:11,16,22				emergency 74:6	escorted 12:22
147:19 162:5       downgraded 16:14       108:14,17 124:22       emotions 111:23       escorting 141:9         domain 111:24       16:25       129:19 139:15       employed 48:23       escorts 17:6 74:7         Donnelly 53:8,9,12       dozens 69:19       178:14       employer 111:18       158:13 159:13         53:13,22 56:25       Dr 25:20 28:3       E001 129:12       empty 161:20       167:11,16,22				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
domain 111:24       16:25       129:19 139:15       employed 48:23       escorts 17:6 74:7         Donnelly 53:8,9,12       dozens 69:19       178:14       employer 111:18       158:13 159:13         53:13,22 56:25       Dr 25:20 28:3       E001 129:12       empty 161:20       167:11,16,22					
Donnelly 53:8,9,12       dozens 69:19       178:14       employer 111:18       158:13 159:13         53:13,22 56:25       Dr 25:20 28:3       E001 129:12       empty 161:20       167:11,16,22	147:19 162:5	downgraded 16:14		emotions 111:23	
53:13,22 56:25 <b>Dr</b> 25:20 28:3 <b>E001</b> 129:12 <b>empty</b> 161:20 167:11,16,22	domain 111:24	16:25		employed 48:23	escorts 17:6 74:7
55:15,22 50:25   D1 25:20 20:5   Chipty 101:20   107:11,10,22	<b>Donnelly</b> 53:8,9,12	<b>dozens</b> 69:19		employer 111:18	158:13 159:13
eager 72:17	53:13,22 56:25	<b>Dr</b> 25:20 28:3		empty 161:20	167:11,16,22
			eager 72:17		
		1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
·			eager 72:17		

				Page 188
1.60.0	l		l	l
168:9	examining 97:2	84:17,21 113:17	factor 23:8 145:20	25:21 28:1,13
especially 1:21	example 8:24	144:2 158:11	factors 3:15 40:3	32:13 44:22 45:8
17:1 26:18 28:14	31:23 60:10,12	explained 5:9	40:12 97:14	51:20 66:10
31:6 37:5 72:7	70:1 158:17,18	12:15 26:9,25	failed 17:2 51:11	97:15 162:24
104:14	174:4,15 175:19	32:2 51:17	84:21 116:2	163:6 172:15,17
establish 42:24	177:7	147:10 167:10	119:24	feeling 6:21 17:2
99:5 101:17	examples 45:10	explains 2:13 4:22	failing 111:19	17:17 20:21
ethos 62:21 64:7	exception 69:24	9:7,10 38:18	126:19	42:14,20 130:18
European 21:20	78:17,19 145:10	39:2,13,18 40:15	failings 145:24	148:23
<b>Evans</b> 170:1,13	excessive 88:8	41:21 43:18,22	failure 146:8	feelings 20:21
evening 12:13	151:3	44:25 48:18	fair 55:15 59:14	51:12
13:15 23:20	exchange 36:6	135:9 136:7	96:5	feels 126:10
82:22 164:1	execute 161:2	explanation 9:9	fairly 55:6,7 58:5	feet 29:24 33:9
event 51:3 120:13	162:3	47:18 136:16,17	99:22 144:6	34:22 72:13
132:14 150:6	executed 160:15	143:16 144:5	152:15 162:20	101:6 142:16
events 2:2 32:17	exercise 10:7	<b>explicit</b> 153:6,7,8	<b>faith</b> 112:2	150:20
105:19,22,24	existed 30:23	explicitly 27:17	<b>fallen</b> 104:24	<b>fell</b> 160:9 168:14
119:2,11 131:13	existing 59:14	exposure 176:6	falls 40:19 139:16	fellow 48:14
139:5 144:23	expand 99:1	expressed 3:12	familiar 56:17,21	111:20 132:15,25
145:23 147:2	158:21	110:18	families 60:12	<b>felt</b> 4:8 6:4,6,12,20
151:1 153:24	expect 25:18 30:1	extent 76:11	<b>family</b> 3:25 40:10	6:22 7:8 8:15
171:20 176:7,11	57:25	extra 73:13 80:4	48:1 50:7 131:2	9:18 16:8 17:3
176:12	expectation	110:22	142:1	23:23,23 24:25
eventually 7:17	145:17	extreme 99:13	far 11:2 31:14	25:3,9 26:4,19,22
16:5 32:8 72:24	expenses 80:11	extremely 41:17	59:16 62:9 97:1	26:23 28:24,25
73:13	expensive 80:14	45:18 46:17 47:8	108:19 133:24	29:1,8 30:8 32:9
everybody 72:20	experience 1:20	50:13	141:25 161:19	32:13 35:16,19
136:13 171:1,3	11:14 15:10,12	eyes 76:3 124:16	Farnell 142:9	36:11,12,13,18
<b>evidence</b> 1:5 48:20	15:14,19,23	eyewitness 133:7	Farnell' 142:2	41:6 42:9,13
48:22 61:22	45:17 54:25		Farrell 142:8,9,10	47:19 51:1 77:7
66:21 78:2 80:21	56:23 58:24	F	144:4,22 145:4	83:21 148:8
80:23 98:24	60:14 76:21	face 17:18 47:6,10	Farsi 25:24 26:3	164:24 171:10
101:21,24 102:14	79:22 81:14	48:11 62:13	33:3 36:15 37:21	fess 126:12,15
102:17,19 103:1	82:11 91:24 92:2	163:11 170:4	fastened 149:12	<b>fifth</b> 40:19 117:17
103:3,7,14,16,18	92:9,14,14,17,20	<b>faced</b> 57:1 104:22	fault 73:21	<b>fight</b> 151:4,13
103:21 104:5,15	135:22 161:17	facedown 124:2,5	favourable 79:23	fighting 158:3
144:23 174:6	173:2 175:22	151:6	80:1 96:3	figure 96:7
178:3	178:3	facilitate 10:16	fear 45:20	<b>fill</b> 33:17,20
evidently 143:14	experienced 91:4	110:25	features 11:19	<b>filming</b> 144:20
ex-foreign 57:16	92:8,12 143:17	facilitated 158:11	<b>February</b> 1:1 2:16	final 27:14 84:6
ex-HMP 56:1 91:4	143:19 175:21	facing 15:15	22:3 38:12 53:16	93:16 159:19
exact 69:22	experiences 2:4	fact 7:10 18:6	53:18 109:18	166:18 169:15
exactly 36:9,10,18	11:17 14:9 41:17	30:10 36:23 38:8	178:11	176:5
44:19 51:4 73:2	52:12,20	87:21 103:2,21	feeding 154:3	finally 28:24 52:8
126:18 139:20	experiment 72:22	111:14 113:7	feel 5:8,10 6:14	financial 75:16
examination 53:10	<b>explain</b> 7:8 13:7	115:10 125:16,17	8:17 9:4,14 10:8	80:15 111:21
132:2 160:1	14:24 15:16 23:5	125:18,21,22	12:12 15:20	find 1:21 13:11
178:25	25:8,22 26:7	143:6 144:6	16:13 17:24	15:19 23:19
examined 25:21	28:5 49:22 66:9	146:1 149:10	20:19 23:3,5	24:13 27:11
		160:17		, 1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 189
22.17.27.27	10 2 142 10	2676721	120.7	
32:17 37:3,6	19:3 142:18	36:7 67:21	139:7	fun' 8:9
42:19 49:12	158:23	155:18,19 156:4	forth 140:13	<b>function</b> 75:9
52:18 56:18,19	five-minute 37:25	foot 30:3 113:11	<b>fortune</b> 76:3	functioning 40:20
62:8 89:16 104:1	fixed 71:7 72:8	149:4 159:12	forward 9:1 30:2	functions 74:3
111:21 112:21	101:5	footage 11:24,25	31:7 144:17	fundamental
113:12 128:8	flag 59:10	48:8 121:20,23	found 5:2 6:3,11	58:16
130:4 156:13	flailing 153:13	140:5 144:14,18	15:10 21:7 23:22	further 7:3 12:17
166:18 169:9	flashbacks 16:11	144:18 147:5	25:25 46:16	15:2 17:13 29:25
fine 76:9 89:17	17:4 37:10	163:8 164:11,17	49:14 59:25 60:3	36:5 40:6 111:16
fined 75:23 76:6	fled 26:17	165:10,25	83:8 93:4 125:4	115:15 118:20
fingers 114:16	flee 21:14	force 11:23 20:7	four 21:5 35:21	122:13 142:4
124:10	flight 12:4,8,10,15	46:18 48:23	100:11 102:2	148:5 154:12
finish 15:11	12:16 14:23 41:3	50:18 60:7 73:10	103:21 158:24	171:19 172:14
163:24 164:1	41:8 158:12	88:8,9,21 89:7	fourth 125:24	fuss 51:8
first 1:4 2:14,24	160:4 167:4,8,9	92:10 99:17	frame 35:1	futility 149:3
6:4 7:13,17 18:7	167:12	140:3,17 141:8	free 39:6 172:17	G
22:10 25:13	flip-flops 30:2	142:6 144:14,20	Frequency 129:16	
26:16 27:12	floor 34:12,13,14	147:11 150:7,13	fresh 3:24 17:11	<b>G4S</b> 31:19 33:5
28:20 29:18,22	34:16,17,22	151:2,2 152:1	57:1 153:5	53:23 57:25
31:1,4,20 38:17	46:21 70:15	156:17,18 157:23	Friday 130:21	59:14 64:21 65:2
38:22 41:1 43:10	101:3,7 118:12	158:20 160:14	170:1	66:19 79:23 80:3
44:1,8 53:16,22	118:14 119:4,14	161:2 162:3	friend 130:21	80:6,10 93:24
55:23 56:16 57:5	119:24 124:2	164:19 166:10,12	133:1	94:13,18,21,23
59:7,10 62:8	125:4 136:10	167:17,22 169:2	friend's 130:22	95:11,13 96:3
65:23 68:19 70:5	142:12,15 149:5	169:8,9	friends 42:24 61:8	97:17,19,25
70:9 73:19 93:23	150:16,19 152:22	force' 145:14	friendship 61:16	112:24 115:22
98:22,24 99:7	153:1 170:10	<b>forced</b> 19:9 33:15	friendships 42:25	118:11 119:20
100:8,10 101:13	fluctuating 68:24	forcibly 13:19	61:18	121:4,12 122:21
101:25 102:18	fluent 26:3	20:1	frightened 12:20	129:13 134:2,18
103:17,20 107:14	fluid 155:18,19	foreign 56:12	25:4 51:3	145:10 153:21
108:1 110:5,9,11	<b>fly</b> 10:14	57:15	frightening 41:5	163:8 174:18
113:2,20 114:25	focused 9:20	<b>forget</b> 21:8 66:18	front 13:24 59:4	G4S's 112:19
115:4 118:17,18	focusing 115:21	140:16	84:16 85:8	gain 111:21
118:22 119:24,25	follow 111:18	forgive 81:19	100:18 101:2	gaining 54:23
120:3,21 123:23	133:24 177:6	91:22	109:18 130:5	gallery 38:9
125:6 133:3	<b>follow-up</b> 133:20	forgot 143:20	135:16 151:20,21	game 57:3
135:25 148:11	followed 101:22	144:4 151:10,11	162:10 175:17	gap 24:9,10 139:6
160:19 165:25	102:21 103:4	151:25	froze 119:5	139:16
170:25 172:21	104:4,11	forgotten 174:11	frustrated 20:18	gathered 34:11
173:24 175:4	following 4:21	fork 32:1,8,12	28:25	Gatwick 123:12
<b>fish</b> 100:24 106:9	7:15 9:6 15:24	form 12:18 28:6,7	frustrations 77:24	gear 89:25 158:9
106:12 107:22	17:12 23:22 53:2	33:17,20 35:25	77:25	general 4:13 69:3
116:7,8	112:25 170:24	128:3,23,24	fuck 147:10	87:6 96:24
fish-knife 114:17	176:16,25 177:5	140:3 150:7	<b>fucking</b> 165:20,21	105:12
114:20 122:15	177:10	152:1 156:18	<b>full</b> 11:16 47:6	generality 98:11
124:8 126:2	<b>follows</b> 38:18	<b>formal</b> 69:18	53:11,20 158:8	generally 5:19
<b>fitted</b> 71:4,5,24	40:24 46:11 48:6	formalised 177:16	172:4	24:16 86:7 153:9
fitting 10:25	49:8	former 91:7	fully 25:2 34:22	genuine 105:15
<b>five</b> 14:6 18:15	<b>food</b> 8:4 35:10	forms 13:17 139:2	52:17 113:12	137:7 154:24
				genuinely 64:23
	ı	ı	ı	ı

				Page 190
65.05.66.10	1.55.01.150.5	10505	İ	ļ
65:25 66:10	157:21 158:7	125:25	handover 119:8	harming 41:6
68:23 115:16	160:16 166:12,13	groaning 114:8	hands 13:24 24:25	131:1 149:3
154:17 156:7	166:22 167:5,9	gross 18:12	25:9 50:12	Harmondsworth
Germany 21:20,25	169:20,23 170:16	ground 34:12	107:17	39:19 40:25
35:12 37:3	God 147:11,13	70:15 104:24	handwriting 123:5	harness 93:24
gestured 32:4	goes 163:12	106:14 135:17	123:9 129:10	hatred 101:10
getting 10:16	going 12:4,21	136:9 143:24	hang 34:20 101:8	110:19 111:14
32:16 41:7 42:4	14:23 30:25	grounds 17:21	113:5 132:16	Haughton 142:3,5
45:15,16 52:3	35:13 36:10	group 61:12 63:23	hanging 117:3,5	143:23 150:13
68:22 72:6,6	37:25 50:4,7	groups 9:9 61:8,18	128:5 129:3	head 32:3 33:9
79:6 177:15	53:2 55:12 57:14	61:23 72:16	happen 11:9 12:21	35:14 36:4,12
Giraldo 124:20,21	57:15,25 58:3,3	81:21	24:1 30:18 35:19	72:11 101:2
128:8,20	59:7 64:17 76:10	grunting 165:6	46:1 51:4 76:9	114:5 169:2,4,5
give 23:3 32:4,12	77:13 78:2 82:9	guard 162:3,6,10	76:10,20 85:5	171:22
33:19 53:3 87:2	85:4 87:15 98:10	guards 13:11	134:2 141:12	headaches 25:6
103:16 104:5,14	98:12,21 102:5	guess 92:4 94:7	151:18,23 152:9	heading 52:9
149:7	104:18 107:5	137:10	176:13 177:1,14	heads 10:4
given 3:1 10:7 11:7	109:10 112:14	guessing 117:21	happened 2:2 6:17	health 1:23 2:7,17
11:8 17:8 28:8	116:11 138:13,17	117:22	11:19 13:2,10	3:2 4:14 6:25 7:2
28:20,25 31:24	139:25 147:9	gums 28:18	20:1,20 21:9	7:10,20 9:15,24
33:17,20 35:25	148:6,9,11	<b>guy</b> 88:11 90:5,21 127:5	26:6,17 33:18,21	16:18,20 19:1
38:13 44:18	149:22,23 154:22 155:4 156:14		35:8 36:9 37:8 43:7 45:2 47:21	23:15 27:17,24 27:25 39:25
49:18,22 78:12 84:14 102:17	158:14 159:5,7	<b>guys</b> 87:3 97:9 154:2	48:3 49:3,13,15	42:16 44:2,10,11
103:3,14 135:22	159:18 161:9	134.2	49:23,25 50:3	44:20 46:19
143:12 147:22	163:9,12,23	H	52:10,12 84:13	47:24 65:11 73:7
159:1,3,10 174:6	163.9,12,23	habit 144:8	84:17 97:25	131:15,25 132:9
given' 8:6	169:15 174:22	hair 30:7 31:18	102:13,16 121:10	131:13,23 132.9
giving 120:12	good 1:3 19:14	half 14:7 15:14	126:17 127:6	137:25 139:24
go 5:7 6:8 12:18	48:15 55:6,7	18:15 19:3 94:21	131:18 135:8,19	148:10 149:10
29:19 35:13	65:25 89:19	100:4 149:5	139:18 153:19,24	healthcare 6:1
52:18 58:13	91:25 93:13,15	154:7	160:13 161:11,12	9:18,21 10:22
60:11 73:19,20	93:16 95:14	halfway 154:8	happening 7:25	16:17,21 17:3
81:24 82:21	98:14 112:2	Hall 50:5	15:13 22:15 25:5	22:21,22 23:2,16
85:23 86:9 87:15	117:7 126:7	hallucinating 10:3	happens 19:13	25:19 28:15,19
93:16 108:1	137:11 167:10	hand 33:2 48:13	90:11 176:15	29:2 35:7,22
109:16 112:15	168:21	111:2 149:6	happier 97:16	43:10 44:18
113:11 118:10	<b>GP</b> 3:12 6:25 7:13	handcuff 140:18	happy 68:23 97:17	65:11 124:11,16
121:17 125:24	16:4 37:13	handcuffed 22:6	112:7 167:5	133:25 134:11
126:19 127:16,17	grabbed 33:6,14	26:10 50:12	hard 23:22 28:23	137:13 159:21
128:2,20,21	46:21 142:7	142:13 150:17	39:12 58:22	hear 1:12 38:1
129:6 130:7	150:14	152:24	140:16	43:5 46:7 78:3
131:20 140:4,18	Grace 159:21	handcuffing 151:7	harder 26:20	78:10 104:10
140:21 141:25	granted 21:22	handcuffs 46:23	harm 20:12 43:15	164:21 165:6
144:9,11 147:4	38:23 50:3,16,22	143:25 151:7,12	99:20 115:15	172:25
147:13 148:5	52:18 145:10	152:19 168:7	122:22 134:9	heard 8:7 10:15
150:6,10,12	grateful 178:3	<b>handed</b> 160:6,7	138:14 149:6	24:2 30:12 32:19
152:19 153:22,23	great 138:22 161:9	handle 140:9	165:12,18	34:7 46:4 62:2
154:1,6 156:19	green 40:17,18	<b>handled</b> 172:16	harm's 8:14	65:14 78:1,10
,	9	handler 98:24		,
	l	I	l ————————————————————————————————————	l ————————————————————————————————————

				Page 191
	1		1	
80:19 90:8,12	143:1 150:24	hope 11:19 38:15	96:12 104:22	127:18 129:18
123:22 125:3	HOM002725	42:13 164:7	110:19 112:7,9	immediately 107:1
144:15 173:10	144:11	172:15	123:11 139:19	107:2,11 110:11
hearing 1:4 3:15	HOM004424	hopeless 9:14	140:7,12,22	119:16 122:15
16:12 17:4,6	166:17	hoping 2:9	141:18,19 156:11	123:25 168:5
20:24 38:6 50:5	<b>HOM014697</b> 23:8	horrendous 62:14	166:24 169:23	immigration 2:13
50:9,15 53:2	HOM014757 27:7	horrible 32:17	170:22 171:22	2:15 13:5 38:22
163:12 165:2	HOM020028 16:9	34:2 46:2	174:9,18 175:20	39:5,11 40:6
178:10	HOM024617	hospital 74:9	hovering 116:18	52:20 59:14
heart 37:13	16:16	hour 6:9 125:9	huge 55:23 80:2	134:3
held 2:24 14:8	HOM025617_00	129:22	161:5 164:12	impact 36:21
20:16 41:2,5	17:8	hours 4:10 5:25	hugely 80:3 97:23	45:10 52:9 56:14
101:6	HOM025617_00	14:7 15:5,15	<b>human</b> 6:15 8:17	76:13 79:12 94:6
helicopter 10:15	5:1	18:15 19:3 25:16	29:10 32:14	94:11 107:3
helmet 162:9	HOM029928_00	94:1	humiliating 13:24	135:3
help 8:13 10:10	3:7	House 1:18,21	15:11 24:13	impacted 61:23
13:5 23:25 25:12	HOM029928_00	2:25 4:5,7,8,23	31:12 32:9	62:4 76:13 92:6
28:15 32:16	4:12,18	4:24 5:2,10,14	humiliation 15:3	impaired 40:21
33:16 35:3 36:16	HOM029928_00	6:23 7:11,22 8:1	<b>hung</b> 99:10 170:11	<b>implicit</b> 153:6,8
45:4 47:15 49:5	7:7	8:15,21 9:3,8,18	hunger 154:1	<b>import</b> 107:3
51:2 63:24 85:16	HOM029928_00	9:25 10:6,9,13,18	hurt 30:3 31:6	important 11:18
106:16 110:22	16:1	11:4,11 12:4,6,14	45:9 47:19 51:20	11:21 19:23
137:5	HOM029928_00	15:5,24 16:1	129:2,5	126:16,22
helped 80:12	9:24	17:25 18:4 21:5	hurting 47:11	impose 75:17
142:15 150:20	Home 3:17,20,22	22:7,9,16 23:14	hyperactivity	impressed 65:21
helpful 93:4	3:25 5:1 8:25	24:15,16 25:14	39:20	improve 94:7,10
helping 52:4	9:22 11:11,15	25:16 28:9,18	т	151:24 153:18
helps 113:22	12:1,16,19,24	29:2,4,9 33:22	$\frac{I}{I}$	improved 79:21
hesitant 136:25	13:7,13,14,20	34:6 36:13,21,22	idea 54:12 72:3	80:9 95:7,8
high 10:23 40:14	14:15,17 16:15	37:7,11,18 38:19	73:13 136:21	97:13,18,24 98:1
65:6,13	16:18 17:10 18:2	40:25 41:1,17,18	138:5,24	improvement
highlighted 125:25	18:6,7,10,11,16	41:22 42:3,6,9,21	identical 134:16	94:24
himself' 99:10	19:2,11,15,19,23	43:8,11,21 44:15	134:17	improving 17:1
hire 80:4	20:6,11 21:22,24	44:24 45:2,16,18	identified 40:12	inappropriate
<b>history</b> 1:23 2:13	22:4,6 27:4,6,11	45:20 50:2,20	172:1	154:17,24 155:1
4:14,19 14:12	27:20 28:1 35:11	51:16,19,22 52:6	identifies 163:11	155:7,9 164:25
43:25 44:4	37:4 44:5 71:24	52:11,13 53:25	ideology 112:11	inappropriately
149:11 158:2	71:25 75:17,23	54:10,13,17,21	ignore 10:24	134:20
<b>HMIP</b> 65:7	76:6,12 77:19	55:3,9,14,17	ignored 15:16	inaudible 106:9
<b>HMP</b> 91:23	111:19 130:13	56:11 58:1 59:15	III 22:1	incidences 60:6
hold 20:11 52:1,6	137:25 138:1	59:17,24 60:1,11	ill 5:21,23 137:15	incident 8:3 30:19
96:13 107:17	141:20 157:12	62:7,9 64:8,21	137:16 156:7	31:20 33:17,22
111:6 116:11	167:18 172:4	65:1,22 66:2,11	ill-health 39:14	33:24 34:5 46:17
143:9	homophobic 68:12	66:13,15 67:11	illicit 40:11	47:25 48:24
HOM001585_00	90:18	68:22 70:6 76:21	imagine 58:24	49:10,16 50:2
48:10	honest 94:17	79:16 82:3 83:13	77:8,9 134:8	88:9 99:1 100:9
HOM001585_00	105:12 126:9	84:19 85:24 86:6	imagines 88:19	102:5 105:2
48:19	honestly 135:5	89:4 90:15 91:23	IMB 9:7	109:24 110:16
HOM002652	136:17 169:1	93:8 94:21 95:18	imitated 154:3	113:3,17 114:10
			immediate 119:24	
	•	•	•	

				Page 192
	1		1	1
118:21 119:21	149:13,17	interact 139:11	<b>involved</b> 34:3 52:3	journey 14:4 15:8
123:1,8 128:13	informed 140:25	interest 104:7	72:5 110:1	judge 39:11 40:6
128:18 135:5	159:2 160:3	interested 64:23	143:22 144:19	110:24 111:1
154:10 166:21	167:3,5,16	118:6	158:20 171:1,1,5	judges 110:23
169:7,14,16	informing 21:24	interests 148:6	171:6	judicial 17:12
171:3,18 176:15	inhumane 45:7	159:18 163:25	involvement	41:13
176:16 177:6,11	<b>initial</b> 18:11 57:21	interim 94:19	112:25	<b>Jules</b> 60:16,17,22
177:12,21	94:22 159:1	intermittent 9:16	involves 132:22	61:11
incidents 85:3	initially 2:19 23:17	internal 171:23	<b>IQ</b> 40:19	July 2:23 85:2
88:21 98:22	56:18 118:13	172:2,13	Iran 21:13,14 23:7	87:22 98:23 99:3
113:1 115:20	120:24 154:9	internally 18:9	23:10 26:8,13,17	100:5 102:4
145:13 169:19	initiated 142:5	interpreter 22:25	32:24 37:11	105:19 113:3,4
176:25	150:13	23:4 25:10,23	Iranian 25:11	113:16 123:4,12
included 69:20	injuries 21:18	26:1,2,19 37:21	28:10 32:5,23	127:13,22 128:20
82:4 115:2	33:13 160:11	interpreters 28:9	IRC 2:15,24 17:15	129:15,24 131:13
168:21 173:20	injury 73:9 159:25	36:6	22:7 39:19 40:25	131:21,23 134:21
including 4:1	161:9 162:5	interview 115:22	41:17 57:9	138:17 139:4,17
21:20 39:17	inner 111:23	118:25 121:25	123:12 141:4	149:25 150:3
40:12 42:3 45:11	<b>input</b> 138:1 139:23	122:2 130:17	IRCs 59:14	153:25 169:19
48:19 52:7,12	INQ000098	145:1 150:23	<b>IS91</b> 5:1 23:8	170:1,21 171:13
61:20	101:23	interviewed 21:21	isolation 41:3,5	<b>June</b> 37:14 38:20
incompetence	<b>inquiry</b> 1:5,16	122:7	issue 19:1 78:14	38:23 46:13,25
99:14	11:16,22 20:14	interviewing	163:23 171:8	51:11 156:15,22
increase 39:24	21:3 27:5 29:13	151:16	issues 27:17,24	160:1 166:25
72:1	37:24 53:14 66:6	intimidating 46:17	29:3 39:8 46:20	junior 58:21
increased 39:25	97:2 102:20	intimidation 45:20	55:13 65:17	justice 126:25
71:12 80:3	103:7,19 104:4	introduce 29:16	77:25 131:8	justification 29:12
increasingly 6:22	112:1 135:21	introduced 143:20	item 149:13	151:11
indescribable	147:7 148:16	introduction 144:7	items 174:2	
15:18	163:12 174:7	intrusive 6:16		K
indicate 6:24	inside 18:21 24:7	investigate 18:3,17	J	Karen 130:12
110:1	116:21 124:2	19:11 20:4 173:9	<b>January</b> 1:16 21:4	131:24
indicated 71:13	inspection 114:13	investigated 48:7	143:3 145:2	keen 72:21
indirect 169:17	122:13	112:22 171:9	150:24 151:17	keep 8:14,14 80:10
173:9	inspections 65:7	investigation 48:9	152:5 153:5,17	128:23,23 175:2
individual 159:24	175:19,22	49:4,9 50:1	jargon 141:17,18	keeping 80:15
individuals 46:3,7	inspectors 176:1	51:10 112:19	157:6	kept 13:25 14:6,24
61:24 81:21	instances 88:15	121:24 134:18	job 57:21,23 64:2	key 5:5 11:18
87:15 145:16	instructed 124:21	144:10 153:21	68:22 74:18,20	77:24 147:5
indoor 34:7	instruction 89:16	170:23,25 171:5	74:25 75:6 78:23	keys 3:16
indoors 41:23	167:18	171:24 172:2,5	94:8,9 98:2	kick 31:6
52:17	instructions 13:16	172:13	115:19	kicked 29:11,24
induction 4:22	159:11	investigations	jobs 58:6	30:1,9,24 31:5,20
23:18 123:18	insufficient 48:20	69:23	John 63:16,19	kicking 62:20
information 8:20	intellectual 40:20	investigators	86:16 89:2,3,7,15	kill 8:8,16 99:16
8:22 14:14 27:1	<b>intent</b> 101:11	49:21	90:19	101:18 124:25
37:24 44:2	105:4 115:14	<b>invite</b> 53:19	John's 89:5	128:4,10,22
120:12 122:17	122:22 128:22	inviting 58:5	joined 54:1,6	killing 8:10
126:6,22 136:8	intention 21:24	146:25	70:20 114:9	kilter 66:8
			<b>Jonny</b> 158:25	kind 8:11 13:12

				Page 193
14.20.55.0.12	150 7 154 0	126.16		l 124 12
14:20 55:8,13	152:7 154:2	leaving 126:16	ligature 99:11	living 134:12
68:2 78:13 156:4	162:14 163:22	147:14	100:21,25 101:2	Livingston 146:14
169:17 175:15	165:12 177:3,16	led 3:11 23:17 31:1	101:14 104:23	lock 29:23
177:2,16	177:17	39:25 48:9	106:21 107:21,22	lock-ins 78:11
kit 99:4	know' 125:1	Lee 38:1,6,8,12,17	108:24 110:13	lock-up 29:20
kitchen 76:19	knowing 9:22	52:23 86:22	113:9 114:16,17	locked 5:5,6 6:7,9
kitted 158:24	knowledge 13:4	left 27:9,13 30:5	114:24 115:5,7	6:11,12 7:6
knee 46:22	23:1 37:5 96:6	33:22 37:4 54:12	115:16 116:7	23:19,20,21,24
knees 31:5	112:1	87:5,9,11 118:19	118:14,18 119:3	30:5,13 41:19,24
knew 10:21 11:2	knowledgeable	167:5 168:19	119:15,25 120:2	42:10
13:4 29:23 46:14	91:19,20	legal 19:21 39:8	120:5,6,9,11,25	log 99:1 109:24
50:6,7 51:4,23	known 75:19	155:21	121:2,14 122:12	logo 31:19
84:19 91:21	90:15 99:17	legs 151:5,13	122:14,15 124:9	lonely 42:9
99:13 100:12	177:6	152:3,14 153:3	124:13,15 125:13	long 14:8 21:8
118:1,2 124:3	knows 136:15	153:13	125:17 134:20	33:5 42:10 56:24
131:14,14 149:9	L	lends 126:17	136:11 141:2,24	72:22 90:14
173:16 177:3	lace 100:14 101:4	149:25	142:1,7 143:23	115:18 136:18
knife 26:12 100:25	106:13	length 167:15	149:4,8,12	140:11 143:20
106:9,12 107:23	lad 92:25	lessons 76:8	150:14	163:16 168:1
116:8	ladder 72:8,8 73:8	let's 1:9 93:16,18	ligature's 144:16	longer 164:2,9
knobhead 170:11	laid 135:16	99:24 104:16	ligatures 115:2	175:3
170:14 173:5,22	landing 5:6 34:14	105:18 118:9	lightly 139:23	<b>loo</b> 70:22 140:10
knocked 47:8	language 8:12	130:3 139:25	limited 3:2 9:15	look 6:19 62:6
know 13:4,12	42:25 61:10	150:6	22:19 145:7	76:1,7 85:25
17:16,22 22:10	68:13 173:10	letter 21:23 22:2	line 65:23 105:24	87:14 99:24
22:15,20 25:17	175:7,10	112:24 170:17	106:1 147:23	102:7,12 104:16
26:21 27:2,18	large 30:7 33:8	letters 153:12	lines 80:19 158:23	105:18 110:20
28:7 29:13 30:6	77:17 112:7	letting 101:11	159:4	112:21 118:9
30:20 31:12,13	lashing 151:4,13	170:9	Lisa 39:19	122:18 123:5
31:17 32:11	152:3,13 153:13	level 3:18 16:14,15	listen 13:16 20:20	126:7 127:3
33:18,20,22 34:1	lasted 15:14	56:1,19 65:12	90:5 listened 14:5 28:2	129:7 130:3,4,7
34:23 35:7,13	late 4:8 22:8,17	71:20,20,22,24		131:19 136:11
36:24 41:8 42:16	80:24	77:17 92:13	listening 14:2,16	142:25 143:5
42:20 44:19 46:2	latest 44:6	131:10	26:22 153:16	147:1 148:10
47:3 49:16 59:22	lavatory 140:10	levels 39:24 73:25	lists 67:21	149:24,25 150:22
76:2 77:1,4	law 111:17	77:16 95:5	literally 176:13	152:20 156:17,20
79:23 80:21	lawful 167:18	Lewis 133:5	litigation 14:11	158:16,17 159:17
83:24 85:11 86:6	layout 22:11 70:6	liability 20:8	40:22	159:17,19 165:21
86:13 91:6 92:20	learn 19:17	library 75:8	little 4:6 73:18	168:20,25 169:7
93:2 101:21	learned 76:8	lied 48:23 49:15	77:22 81:8,24	looked 24:20 30:7
102:10,13,14,16	110:15	83:21	104:7 127:9	35:4,24 76:4
102:17 103:1,13	learning 39:20	life 3:25 39:6	151:18 155:13	77:7 130:19
103:14 108:22	40:16,17 50:23	42:13 56:14 57:8	164:2 172:22	138:20 150:6,8
109:5 119:18	leave 5:7 11:1	58:8 60:19 79:20	173:23 174:17,19	looking 9:20 73:5
129:23,25 133:20	12:17 36:13,20	105:1 109:3	live 53:2 83:5	75:5 77:2 96:24
134:2 135:12,14	38:24 52:19	115:1 118:24	147:16 148:4	97:7 100:13
137:17 138:18	54:14 110:24	131:1 138:18	163:15	104:15 126:23
139:6 141:1	126:6,8 127:2	144:7 174:19	lived 24:14	148:2 166:2
149:10,11 151:19	133:25 168:24	lift 13:25	lives 115:20	172:14
	155.45 100.44			

				Page 194
	1	1	1	1
looks 127:11	131:14 135:17	mate 165:8,14	158:13 168:8	military 8:8 14:23
loose 114:15	136:9 137:15,16	material 174:12	member 7:10 9:7	15:2
loosely 114:14	138:11,19 140:6	materials 50:24	36:3 42:17 84:3	mind 57:19 60:22
loosen 14:5	143:17 149:9,11	matter 18:15	106:17 172:11	63:1,5,15 69:3
loss 174:2	149:14 150:2	143:11 165:8,14	176:11,15 177:24	79:21 86:24 95:1
lost 54:18 151:3	169:25 173:5,22	matters 43:18	members 31:9	105:14,14 128:1
lot 9:25 15:1 43:3	man's 46:22	maximum 96:8	114:9 175:11	135:14 149:4
43:22 45:25 46:2	106:21 109:10	meal 9:12 31:24	memories 6:14	151:22
47:23 55:5 78:25	118:5 120:2	mean 8:9 48:15	16:11 52:10	minimum 73:25
79:3 90:25 91:21	121:14 125:18	56:20 57:19	memory 40:20	minor 18:13,15
97:24 134:25	126:20	58:19 60:5,9	72:16 82:23	minority 65:18
135:2 147:9	manage 55:4 99:6	62:18 71:18 74:2	153:5 176:17	81:10,15 82:12
177:18	managed 16:19	74:8 75:3,14	men 22:7	minute 99:9
lots 46:22	22:19 59:16 61:3	76:2 79:21,23	mental 1:23 2:7,17	113:24 116:3
loud 34:7 125:3	61:4	82:15 85:5 86:2	3:2 6:25 7:2,10	120:23 147:24
<b>Loughton</b> 124:19	management	91:2 92:11	7:20 9:15,24	148:13,20
low 4:18 30:4	64:23 65:4 71:20	102:15 104:22	16:18 19:1 27:17	minutes 101:13
80:11 95:21	75:25 118:20	107:12 121:11	27:24,25 39:13	106:20 110:4,7
130:17 131:8,9	175:16	122:2 132:20	39:25 42:16	111:8 113:9
lucky 84:25 85:10	manager 12:6,14	141:6 143:22	44:10,11,20	116:12,14 117:4
Luh 1:3,5,8,15	12:18 53:24 54:2	152:18 153:2,4	46:19 47:24	117:5 124:17
21:1,3 37:22	54:3 55:2 57:2	157:6 160:7	65:10 131:15,24	142:18 146:6,17
lunch 41:25	58:25 60:23	164:3 172:25	132:9 133:2,18	146:20 160:1
lunchtime 99:6	74:16 123:13	175:14	133:21 137:25	misbehave 154:15
170:2	124:20 130:8,12	meaningless 141:14	139:24 148:10 149:9	misbehaviour 156:4
lying 24:10 101:2 114:4 118:12,13	145:9 164:16 170:15 171:17			misconduct 18:12
119:4,14,23	170.13 171.17	means 59:6 64:17 74:15 137:3	mentally 2:25 4:17 5:17 16:8 138:12	112:24
119.4,14,23	managerial 58:18	138:8 141:7,9	139:12	misogynistic 68:12
124.3,3	managers 60:16	156:5 157:5,7	mention 11:20	90:18
M	62:4,23 143:12	163:13	64:14 110:1,2	missed 64:18
main 31:3 93:25	144:21 175:23,24		119:9 121:5,19	missed 04.18
95:1 171:8	Managing 59:1	99:19 105:3	125:12 169:2	missing 69:25
maintained 3:19	manipulating	measures 6:5	mentioned 31:21	170:4
4:4 45:19	137:12	14:19 134:3,7	87:3 91:8 116:6	mistake 31:25
Majesty's 91:4	manipulation	media 103:2	120:17 121:8	174:14
major 73:6 78:24	136:23 137:11	medic 160:11	171:18	mistaken 105:17
144:20	manipulative	168:16	mentioning 169:10	105:18
majority 63:7,9	137:7 156:7	medical 6:23 7:12	merit 69:25	mistakes 19:17
64:2 65:16	March 54:4,24	16:8 27:5,7,18,23	message 149:21	126:13
making 16:20	61:21	29:3 43:24 44:12	messaging 85:21	mistranscription
111:13 138:3	marginally 117:11	44:15 45:1 49:11	met 43:20 73:25	106:8
165:24 169:1	mark 95:22	106:17 113:10	74:13,25 75:4,13	mistreated 29:14
male 33:8 36:3	marked 94:24	131:22 161:1,5	meting 56:6	29:15 31:23
man 8:10 32:20	markedly 97:18	medication 2:5	metres 101:1	mistreatment 3:10
33:21 34:24 35:3	marks 48:2	23:12 44:10,11	Microphone 1:6	6:14 16:11 29:4
46:14,19 79:25	Martin 53:9,12	45:6	mid 30:8	81:21
92:24 109:5	142:11 150:15	medium 30:7	middle 85:2	misunderstanding
119:14 120:6	158:25 178:22	meet 157:17	125:23	111:5
126:23 129:25	-			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 195
	I	1	I	I
MMA 93:1	90:9 159:7,9,15	national 2:12	41:18 45:10	76:12
<b>Mmm</b> 121:9 122:9	160:5,9,14,18,23	38:18 56:12	47:20 79:13 83:9	normal 42:21 47:1
173:6	161:23 162:2,7	57:16,16	Neither 117:7	100:7 176:2
<b>Mmm-hmm</b> 66:20	163:2 164:22	nationalities 22:13	Netherlands 157:3	normalised 134:24
67:4 78:15 89:13	165:5,17,20	Nationals 90:1	157:14,20 167:2	normally 29:16
95:19 139:1	168:12,14	native 26:3	netting 89:24	34:1,11 75:9
176:8	mouth' 168:5	<b>nature</b> 170:16	never 9:21 10:5	171:2
<b>mobile</b> 41:22 52:7	move 1:8 7:16	navy 31:19	13:8 18:23 19:16	<b>not'</b> 143:14
129:17	68:17 94:4 98:12	near 31:4 33:12	25:3 27:23 28:8	note 5:24 7:5
modelled 77:4	124:22 129:19	60:21 147:12,12	30:18 35:25 45:2	38:15 132:15
<b>moderate</b> 2:1 40:8	139:25 140:11	nearby 43:6	67:9,17 69:8	133:22
Mohammed	141:3 142:12	nearly 5:10	73:12,16 85:8	<b>noted</b> 16:9,12 27:9
158:25	146:16 150:16	necessarily 177:5	88:4,6 89:4,5	27:13,15 40:2,5
moment 61:11	152:23 156:14	necessary 17:23	90:8,10,12,21	40:18 48:14
109:1 135:15	moved 7:17 12:11	141:8 151:22	93:6 120:17	notes 4:25 43:25
158:15 164:21	29:5 32:15 50:4	157:10 167:17	121:15,17 161:14	44:4,8 48:10
momentarily 1:9	124:14 129:12	175:3	161:15 172:22	49:9 51:20
moments 56:5	144:17 168:7	neck 3:4 34:20	174:1	131:22,22
<b>Monday</b> 82:23	movement 141:20	35:2,4 99:12	nevertheless 4:4	notice 4:6
money 94:1	moving 43:6	100:15,16,21	new 4:1 29:6 78:25	noticed 12:25
monitor 138:24	153:22	101:15 104:23	79:6 80:8 144:7	114:13
monitored 5:15	multi-disciplinary	106:2,13,21	nice 76:5	notification 128:3
6:4 77:19	137:24 139:23	107:21,22 113:6	Nigeria 3:10 6:17	notified 4:25
month 3:11 87:24	multiple 10:12	113:8,9 114:12	11:15 12:5 13:15	November 11:17
169:20	mum 147:22	114:14 116:7	14:9 15:15 16:12	21:23 80:24,25
months 38:24	mums 154:3	117:19 118:5,14	Nigerian 2:12	100:1 102:14
54:10 72:23 73:3	mundane 58:5	119:15 120:2,7	nigger 90:5	103:8
151:17	Murphy 20:5	120:25 121:3,14	<b>night</b> 4:8 5:6 15:10	number 39:17
mood 4:18 39:22	37:15 86:18	121:18,22 122:13	22:8 24:23,24	40:3,12 70:11
130:17,19 131:8	87:18,19 88:11	122:13,14 124:7	27:20 28:14	85:3 86:24
morale 68:18,21	160:25	124:9 125:18,25	29:20 30:13	175:20,21
68:23 69:11	mystery 141:5	134:21 136:12	41:24 42:12	numbering 73:21
77:23 79:13 95:6		141:2,24 149:12	43:10 52:13	numbers 8:20
97:25	N	162:8	67:22 72:7	80:11,15 95:18
morning 1:3 4:11	N 41:13 178:14	neck' 100:20,24	166:25	96:14
6:8 15:6 19:10	nails 26:11	need 16:23 99:5	night's 44:1,8	numerous 43:23
23:22 130:11	naive 111:4	106:15,18 110:22	nightmares 15:21	65:8
156:22 157:14	name 30:6 31:17	120:7 132:9,13	25:2 37:10	nurse 4:10,13 6:25
158:12 160:2	32:24 53:11 87:2	133:9,12,13	nights 24:5	7:2 8:25 9:17
164:5 166:22	110:1,3 118:4	135:18 142:13	no-one 6:5 12:11	15:25 16:2 25:7
Morocco 38:18	121:5,8,19	149:10,17 150:17	14:4 25:17 29:1	25:13 28:4 36:9
40:13 41:2 45:7	125:14 156:21	152:23 158:12	30:22 41:10	44:13 101:15,16
Morrisons 55:1	named 130:14	needed 35:19	42:10,14 51:1	131:2,3,25 132:5
57:1	names 29:14,17	120:13 134:12	100:17,21 141:5	132:10 159:14
Morton 50:5	63:21	149:11 166:12,13	151:3	165:20
mother 39:10	narrative 99:22	176:20	noise 34:8,9,13	nurse's 4:16
154:3	126:16 166:22	needs 43:22	43:8 86:4	nurses 65:11
motionless 101:3	narrowly 170:4	132:23,24	noises 43:5	159:22
mouth 26:11 67:25	Nathan 61:13	negative 39:24	non-compliance	
	63:20 86:14			0
	ı	ı	ı	ı

				Page 190
o'clock 130:11	12:1,16,19,24	56:7 64:22 65:15	127:12 131:7	ordinarily 70:19
O'Connor 127:23	13:7,13,14,20	65:22 74:3 78:1	133:9 134:10,10	112:17
Oakington 59:15	14:15,17 16:15	82:3,4 99:8,15	137:23 138:9	ordinary 5:3 62:24
60:1,4,13,18,19	16:18 17:10 18:2	111:20 124:1	155:20 163:14,16	63:7
60:20,20	18:6,7,10,16 19:2	132:22 137:14	164:15 165:16	organisational
objectively 137:19	19:11,15,19,23	138:3 141:9,22	opened 3:6 42:17	145:24
obliged 141:7	20:6,11 21:22,24	142:7,14 144:15	54:2,3,4,10,24	organisations
157:9 161:3	22:4,6 27:4	144:17 145:18	55:23 56:16	30:21
166:15	35:11 37:4 44:5	150:15,18 151:25	59:24 75:8 79:16	organise 53:3
observation 6:19	71:24,25 75:17	152:25 153:10	124:21 127:10,14	organised 7:22
131:10	75:23 76:6,12	158:8,24 159:17	129:1,22 132:21	original 115:4
observations 5:24	77:19 111:19	160:3 162:1,8,25	132:24 133:10,12	151:20 152:6
36:2 132:22	125:5 130:13	164:23 165:4	134:13 137:9	originally 119:14
139:15	137:25 138:1	166:4 167:21	138:15,16,19	120:8 157:20
observe 84:22	141:20 157:12	169:10	139:3,4 159:9	Oscar 63:23 74:13
120:24 139:11	167:18 170:15	officers' 94:7	164:22 168:4	74:15,16,21,22
observed 27:8	172:4	offices 13:6	opening 58:11	74:24 75:3 98:8
114:1 119:3	Office's 18:11 27:6	official 13:7,14	137:17 138:22	110:7 123:13,15
122:14	27:11,20 28:1	14:17 145:12	operating 75:9	123:16,17 124:19
<b>obvious</b> 10:21 52:4	officer 8:7,10 9:11	officials 12:19,24	operation 5:3	140:23 166:25
100:15 116:1	9:13,13 10:18	<b>oh</b> 106:16 147:4	23:10	167:7
152:8	12:22 29:21 30:1	oil 26:10	operations 74:16	ought 112:13
obviously 5:17	30:4,24 31:4,7,13	okay 33:4 130:18	98:6	122:19 148:25
59:23 63:9 64:18	31:20 32:1,3,4,11	144:16 164:8	operative 84:15	ours' 151:6
95:15,16 109:2	33:6,8,12,14 47:9	176:4	<b>opinion</b> 37:17 65:3	outcome 2:20
118:7 145:1	47:13,14 48:14	<b>Ollie</b> 158:19	73:14 105:15	49:13 136:15
164:16,23 169:1	50:21 51:11	omissions 126:13	175:9 176:1	172:4
175:4,15,20	55:17 58:18	126:15	opinions 111:24	outdoor 30:25
occasion 9:10	77:11 91:1,2,5,7	omitting 126:22	<b>Opoku</b> 107:16,25	31:15 32:19
32:18 123:15	93:13,15,16	once 23:24 24:2,18	108:5 114:4	outlining 128:25
129:10 156:16	107:14,15,16,25	28:16 31:23 36:2	115:3 118:9	outrage 86:1,3
Occasionally 10:4	108:5 137:12	44:14 67:24 68:4	119:13,23,25	<b>outraged</b> 83:10,14
74:22	140:19 147:17,19	83:4 120:17	120:2,21 121:14	85:12 86:25
occasioned 161:10	147:23 169:4	143:24 144:11	124:1 125:6	outrageous 84:4
occasions 46:5	170:11,21 171:10	160:23 170:4,8	135:14	86:4
171:12	171:17,20	174:1	opportunity 49:22	outside 10:14,14
occurred 115:25	officer's 30:6	one-way 82:11,16	50:6 153:2	33:12 41:22 44:3
occurrence 82:5	31:17 32:6	173:14,17	opposite 16:22	99:8 100:12,22
odd 78:16	officers 6:1 8:2,12	ones 29:9 69:4	34:10 70:25 71:1	108:4 110:6,13
offenders 56:12	13:10,12,23 14:1	86:5 156:7,8	oppressive 5:10	116:17,18,22
60:10	14:25 15:3 22:17	ongoing 3:6 172:2	8:2 9:3	117:1,5 130:21
offer 170:23	23:21 24:2 26:9	172:13	<b>option</b> 167:20	outstanding 11:12
offered 47:15	29:8,8,11,14,16	online 80:22	options 111:10	15:7
77:17 129:19	30:11,15 31:22	onwards 87:14	133:9	overall 97:9 102:3
offers 106:8	34:3 35:6 36:7	Oozeerally 25:20	order 21:9 38:25	overdosed 10:4
offhand 133:20	43:3,5 45:19,24	28:3	71:23 72:13	overnight 6:7
office 3:17,20,22	46:3,9,23 48:16	open 4:25 34:15	116:12 157:23	overprotective
3:25 5:1 8:25	49:2,4,10 50:3,11	42:15 62:7 74:3	164:23	154:3
9:22 11:11,15	51:5 52:2,15	76:19 124:1,16	orders 141:20	overseas 160:6

				Page 197
167.00.05				
167:22,25	23:12 28:22	23:8 45:3 57:18	5:21,23 8:8,10	perspective 64:7
oversee 74:18	30:17 44:17	61:12 65:14	9:3 10:2,7,13,23	75:12 78:21
overseeing 123:17	47:23	67:17 69:18	12:3,9,10 22:12	80:10 82:14
oversight 110:14	painful 1:22 13:23	89:22 98:11	32:16,19 33:4	107:24 112:7
135:7,23	47:8 50:13	115:14 118:20	34:8 42:19,22,25	115:25
overturned 2:21	painkillers 28:21	125:9 136:19	45:17,25 55:4,8	persuade 142:3
overwhelming	pains 16:2,3,5	147:1,5,7 159:24	56:16 58:5,25	149:7
144:23	23:11 45:12	176:14	59:1,25 61:11	perverting 126:25
overwhelmingly	paint 66:7	partially 48:18	62:24 63:8 68:25	phone 25:24
65:15 84:3	painted 66:1 84:19	participating	69:2 72:4 79:3	129:17
owing 16:17	painting 174:8	20:13	83:21 87:9 91:13	phones 41:22 52:7
own' 48:17	palpitations 24:22	particular 11:21	94:14 95:14	85:21
P	25:8 27:19 28:14	24:18 41:18 64:1	117:25 118:19	photograph
	37:13	69:3 79:17 80:24	122:7 169:10	112:17
<b>p</b> 90:24	pandemic 2:9	86:1,7 109:6	176:10,18 177:7	physical 68:8 82:2
pack 140:17	95:17,24	133:4,16 171:10	perceived 79:12	82:4 85:3 89:6
package 152:2	panel 6:20	particularly 29:9	percentage 55:23	92:9,24 93:7,10
packed 13:3	<b>Panorama</b> 63:3,10	32:17 44:23 46:8	percentile 40:19	physically 30:14
page 59:9 69:16,16	66:3,8,12 82:18	61:12 64:5 105:2	perfectly 65:2	88:6
73:20,23 77:13	82:20 84:20	125:23 126:7	performance 62:4	pick 81:25 87:17
79:11 81:10,17	89:22,22 119:21	168:20	145:12	91:9 169:10
81:19,25 82:1,21	144:14 147:6	partner 2:18 4:1	performed 139:19	<b>pick-up</b> 167:3
87:14 90:23	175:8	parts 64:19 66:15	period 2:14,22	picked 47:9 76:9
93:16 98:25	paper 57:22,22	67:11 125:25	36:2 43:12 44:14	picking 41:4,15
101:25 102:1,2,3	papers 12:23	party 92:18	54:12 55:18 60:2	45:13 47:7 48:25
104:16,16,17,19	paragraph 41:4,12	Pascali 63:17	74:14 75:1,11	60:14 76:11
105:21 109:21,23	41:16 42:1,5	<b>Paschali</b> 63:17,18	79:17,18 84:25	picture 66:2,7
109:25,25 112:21	43:2 45:14 47:7	86:11 87:11	87:23 96:3 97:1	81:14 84:18
113:11,12,19	49:1,20 50:14,17	90:23 93:11	97:3,7 156:11	112:6 174:8
117:15,16 118:10	52:9 57:6 59:3,9	178:6	177:9	<b>place</b> 4:11 22:10
119:19,19 122:20	59:12,13 62:8	pass 10:18 11:2	periods 42:10	32:21 42:23
123:5 124:12	64:10,15,20	147:24 148:13,20	person 22:20	43:11 58:2 76:24
125:24 127:17	65:23 68:19	161:5	24:11 60:8 72:11	77:2 84:5 85:25
128:2,2,20,25	69:16 70:9 73:19	passage 59:23	74:9 77:10 98:23	87:8 97:16,17,22
129:6,7,11 130:5	77:15 79:11	passed 128:13	105:12,15 131:17	105:19 112:7
130:7 131:20	81:10,19,25 82:6	passing 21:19	139:12 140:2	124:4 134:3,7
132:1 140:4,16	93:17 109:21	148:2	169:18	146:7 175:19
140:21 143:7	117:17 125:23,24	<b>Paula</b> 37:15	personal 13:3	177:3
144:12 147:3,5	126:3 144:13	pause 1:9	61:23 158:9	placed 3:7 4:24
148:9,11 150:9	173:24	Pausing 91:6	162:5 174:2	15:17 23:18
150:10,12,24,25	paragraphs 4:21	115:9 151:9	personality 39:21	51:21 111:24
152:21 153:12,24	7:15 9:6 14:22	Paying 20:14	personally 65:7	132:19 159:16
154:6,6,8 156:19	15:24 17:5 38:17	PCO 114:1	133:24	168:16
158:16,17 159:12	40:23 41:21 42:8	peacefully 142:5	persons 55:25 56:1	places 60:15 91:23
159:19,20 166:23	43:9 44:13 45:5	157:21	56:6 62:17 72:16	plainly 174:10
166:23 167:19	46:11 48:6 49:8	peering 99:8	74:4 91:25	plan 41:1 129:18
169:23,24 170:18	51:14,18	penalties 75:15	172:23	129:21 134:12
170:18 172:6	paranoid 16:13	76:11	persons/depart	158:6 161:2
pages 102:2	part 5:1 14:14	people 5:15,16,18	127:19	162:3 176:3
pain 14:3 15:2	Partoniania	people 3.13,10,10	12/.1/	102.3 1/0.3
1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 198
plane 13:15	<b>polite</b> 65:17	139:20 146:7	prisoners 41:20	programme 65:5
161:24	political 111:4,12	147:18 155:22	57:16,16	86:4 93:20
planned 20:2	112:11	160:16 169:11	Prisons 91:4	119:22 121:25
157:23 167:21	poor 27:16 158:2	present 31:9 33:12	privacy 6:20 71:8	programmes 11:3
plastic 31:24	poorly 80:25,25	36:6 100:17	71:10 145:17	prolonged 15:18
play 164:12	population 56:11	106:17 131:7	private 177:2	promoted 58:9
played 84:16	96:22 97:1,5	141:8 157:10	pro 97:3	prompt 127:18
164:14	position 58:10,21	159:14 161:4	proactive 177:19	prompted 121:1
pleasant 84:18	58:22 67:24 68:1	163:3 166:15	probably 55:10	121:17 122:11
please 1:12 22:18	79:24 98:4 124:4	167:11,22 172:3	95:21 96:10	prompting 121:21
53:11,20 64:13	151:6,24 153:18	presented 161:5	97:10 122:4	prompting 121.21 prone 109:6
70:7 73:19 74:15	positive 64:4 65:9	preservation	127:3 129:5	proper 23:3 32:16
81:24 82:20	65:15 66:2 94:4	115:1	166:5,7	properly 18:20
93:17 100:2	possession 129:17	preserve 105:1	Probation 130:20	19:11,16 25:25
105:21 112:13	possibility 119:10	118:24	130:21	28:2,23 37:10
113:11,19 119:19	139:22 162:5	pressed 154:12	<b>problem</b> 1:11	138:20
122:18 123:10	possible 139:24	pressure 162:24	32:12 78:20 79:1	property 70:1
124:12 127:17	175:9,14,16	163:3 166:13	161:5	130:22
129:8 131:20	possibly 72:13	presumably 55:14	problematic 79:6	proportion 97:8
132:1 140:2,18	116:14 125:10	58:4 60:13 77:25	problems 2:1 9:24	proposed 41:3
142:25 144:12	126:11 127:21	84:6 88:17 90:16	19:1,15 43:23	proposition 79:3
147:3 150:6,22	139:13 149:21	103:23 121:23	44:10 78:13	Protection 64:24
154:6 156:17,19	potentially 126:25	142:22 169:24	138:3 149:10	protective 158:9
158:16,22 159:17	138:12 149:14	173:20	procedure 8:23	protest 168:2
160:19 164:11,13	177:11	pretty 76:22 88:19	33:18	prove 99:13
166:17 169:20,21	power 9:4	97:17 134:17	procedures 171:25	provide 65:24 74:6
170:17 172:6,17	powerful 47:12	141:13	proceed 163:20	110:21,22 118:23
pleasure 64:1,3	PPE 158:8 162:11	prevent 142:6	proceeded 159:6	155:13
plenty 146:3	162:13,18 167:21	150:14 164:4	process 51:13 57:8	provided 20:23
plucking 158:17	practice 150:3	preventing 15:17	57:11,18,19	37:19 51:17
pm 6:7 12:13	prebooked 44:21	previous 16:11	75:10 138:10	53:13 119:8
41:24 98:15,17	precaution 167:6	45:10 54:24	processes 50:20	providing 27:1
98:18,20 129:2	precede 153:24	167:12	produce 106:12	37:23 78:7 95:13
146:22,24 178:9	precise 96:7	previously 3:6	produced 161:24	provision 76:19
point 4:10 17:17	116:11	30:12 99:18	producers 99:23	provoked 47:3
41:6 94:5 100:8	preconceived	129:23,25 158:4	professional 37:21	provoking 3:15
100:24 101:16	111:3,12	pride 64:1	45:1 61:4,6	<b>PSU</b> 143:2 144:11
124:11 125:12	preconceptions	principally 72:17	62:23 64:22	150:23 151:16,24
149:3,4 154:24	112:10	75:7	65:15 89:20 93:4	153:17
155:18 159:8	prefer 38:9	principle 69:4	111:4,12,21	psychiatrist 3:3
171:25 172:7	preliminary	Prior 54:23	133:19 139:24	16:7,24 37:15
pointed 106:22,25	127:24	priority 115:1	professionalism	psychologist 40:17
107:4,20	preparation 55:6	prison 5:4 22:12	61:24 65:21 88:2	PTSD 2:1,5 37:14
points 108:1	55:8	39:4 41:19 43:15	89:5	37:16
136:12	prepare 41:9 45:6	43:15 44:12	professionals 29:2	public 38:9 55:5
police 20:4 21:15	57:12	51:21 56:17,23	138:1	111:24 112:1
26:8 100:6 107:3	prepared 37:16	77:1,5 91:7,11	profile 60:7 80:2,6	163:14,23
policies 111:19	40:7,18 57:8	92:2,15 158:2	97:10	published 64:15
policy 3:22 145:8	116:10 131:12	prison-like 77:12	<b>profits</b> 80:12	pulled 50:12
r	110.10 101.12	F	F	F
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 199
<b>punch</b> 47:6	114:21 115:20	37:20 38:9,11	133:5 162:11	redacted 112:18
punching 62:20	162:16,16	50:25 80:21	received 3:1 11:12	163:10
punishing 45:19	quiet 1:7	102:5 125:23	11:24 21:23 44:2	redactions 64:16
purpose 26:24	quite 10:2 55:5	128:18 152:17	44:10 160:20	redetained 2:23
purposes 59:8	56:2,3,19 58:19	158:14,21 168:11	162:15 163:8	reduced 5:25 40:9
70:5 164:13	60:18 63:20	171:15 178:16,18	170:21	95:17 131:11
push 33:14	73:14 78:9,24	178:20	receiving 2:6 9:15	reduction 45:6
pushed 33:6,11	90:25 92:11	reads 123:9	171:16	65:5
43:4	122:1 125:10	ready 163:19	reception 12:20,24	refer 59:6 133:13
pushing 29:25	130:24 151:4,13	real 5:2	67:7,9 75:10	134:11
put 3:5 5:16,18	155:20 161:11	realised 22:13	123:14,17,17	referees 50:8
8:16 13:1,15,22	163:8	reality 57:8 152:13	reception/discha	reference 38:13
14:20 24:6 26:10	quizzed 153:18	174:19	140:24	57:6 75:22,24,24
30:18 46:21,21	quizzeu 133.16	really 4:9 5:8 6:3	recite 171:14	76:1,4,4 81:9
46:23 57:6 59:7	R	6:12 9:18 10:21	recognise 18:25	82:8 147:17
67:25 70:16	R 124:20	20:22 23:22	84:5 87:7 121:20	referral 133:21
98:25 100:2	<b>RA</b> 5:1 23:8	26:22,23 28:13	156:12	referred 18:7 20:3
105:14,20 109:22	racism 68:12	28:17 37:7,8	recollection 77:14	75:22 133:2,18
112:9 121:4	radio 123:23	41:5 42:16 45:8	81:18,20 93:23	refers 7:4 43:10,13
122:20 140:2	raise 51:7	49:13 52:1 57:3	154:21 155:11	44:15 45:9 46:17
143:18,21 147:2	raised 16:13 29:3	61:1 76:1 92:21	177:13	reflect 2:3
147:9 150:3	65:4 112:23	97:21 100:20	recommended	reflection 149:21
152:1 164:11	115:4 118:11	105:10,14 112:10	70:1	refresher 89:12
166:17 169:5,11	119:23 132:19,20	117:13,14 118:8	reconvene 53:4	refusal 2:20 36:7
175:5	133:12 134:10	143:11 171:4	record 4:18 16:8	67:21 155:18,19
putting 24:25 25:9	ran 99:7	177:8	43:13 68:2 99:6	156:4 167:12
79:24 151:4,12	rang 170:10	reason 21:11	145:11,15	refuse 19:20,24
	rare 78:17	31:16 105:8	recorded 9:11	35:10 111:18
Q	rarely 28:9	147:22 150:23	23:9 84:15,20,24	161:22 167:10
qualifications 64:9	rata 97:3	152:19 163:11	85:1,8 111:24	refused 7:3,17
qualitatively 173:8	ratchet 142:14	reasonable 19:2	recording 83:5	17:6,10 35:13
quarter 129:10	152:25	145:19 151:2	105:23 127:6	157:16 158:4
<b>question</b> 62:5 82:9	ratio 96:15	reasonably 147:6	145:15	167:16,20 168:15
83:7 99:17	razor 33:2,25	reasons 20:13	records 4:16 5:24	170:5,8
171:17 172:11	160:18 162:7	36:25 84:14	6:23 7:12 25:19	refusing 17:17
177:16	165:7	105:11 129:1	27:6,7,8,19,23,25	140:10 167:9
questioned 114:24	razorblades 159:7	145:15	43:24 44:7,12,16	regained 124:17
questions 4:13	159:8 160:23	reassurances 3:3	recourse 60:6	regarding 69:25
11:22 22:24 23:2	161:9,20 162:2	reassure 33:3	recover 52:17	70:2 77:20 78:7
26:6,21 36:5	163:1 165:13	recall 6:1 14:8	recovered 124:24	81:21 114:25
43:18 49:21,25	razors 165:5,8,14	33:8 43:17 69:22	127:5	167:4 171:20
73:22 102:4,6	165:16,20	81:20 85:7 86:12	recovery 124:4	regime 56:17
127:25 133:4	<b>RDs</b> 167:13	86:19 88:15	recreation 34:7	regimes 91:21,23
143:3 146:12	reach 176:19	91:12 95:23	recruit 78:22 79:7	registered 102:22
160:19,24 163:17	react 30:9 135:22	110:8 177:1	recruitment 57:7	regret 154:24
174:23 175:1	136:13	recalled 114:11	57:11,17,18,20	regretful 154:17
176:4,9 178:1	reacted 57:23	117:18	80:14	regular 82:5
179:2	124:15 135:3	recalls 4:6	recurrent 132:17	132:22
quibble 116:21,24	reaction 11:1	receive 23:12 56:2	red 47:24	regularly 6:1 9:8
quickly 13:2	read 1:14 21:2,10			
	•		•	•

				Page 200
	1			1
22:4 51:21	36:13,17 45:21	115:5 116:8	155:2 163:3	result 3:5 12:11
regulations 22:1	47:13,20 48:1	142:20 143:23	166:15 172:12	31:25 33:13
rehabilitative 11:3	50:2 52:14 57:22	160:15	resignations 94:14	resulted 14:20
reimbursement	63:21 69:1 70:11	removing 101:14	resilience 65:21	39:3
70:2	73:1 77:20 78:5	115:6 134:20	resist 8:18 13:17	retains 94:18
reiterated 130:25	81:9,23 87:21	render 40:14	14:7	retention 78:25
reject 110:12	89:22 90:2 93:25	118:17 119:24	resisting 14:16	94:10
rejected 18:18	94:13,16 103:22	repeated 110:16	resolved 16:6	retreated 51:6
relate 131:22	108:8 122:1,22	repercussions	respect 110:23	retrospect 57:7
related 1:23 44:17	140:6,14 143:5	175:13	138:15 145:24	return 40:13 119:2
175:18	143:14 145:3,4,7	replied 48:17	respond 14:25	146:20
relates 98:22 99:3	148:7,12,18	124:25	43:4 110:11	returned 12:23
130:5	150:12 153:21	report 3:10,12,17	160:20 176:14	15:5 110:25
relating 44:1	154:10 155:6,24	4:20 9:21 10:20	responded 113:20	returning 15:25
154:14	156:3 165:2,9,21	16:13,23 22:3	responders 118:17	review 7:5 16:15
relation 18:20	169:1,13 170:25	27:2,3,6,12 37:16	responding 114:10	17:12 41:13
44:8 51:24	171:3 173:25	39:23 40:7,18,18	145:13	127:18 130:9,14
145:22 150:5	176:22	42:14 44:6 48:9	responds 115:19	132:23
156:15 159:24	remembered	49:4,9,10 112:19	response 7:9 18:20	reviewed 7:10
relationship 44:25	146:4 148:19	123:1 125:7,8	18:24 19:5 27:7	27:25 83:1 94:2
65:10	remembering 2:1	128:13,18 134:18	27:11,20 28:1	109:23 171:19
relationships	15:20 32:17	142:22 144:10	32:6 83:7 99:7	reviewing 171:6
61:23 64:4	remembers 46:12	145:21 146:1	100:8,10 101:13	reviews 64:6 65:8
relative 40:9	remind 74:15	151:20 153:21	110:5,9,12	137:24 155:19
release 36:21,23	150:10	159:20,24 166:21	113:21 120:1,21	revoke 38:25
36:25 169:4	reminds 146:14	176:3	123:23 125:7	ridiculous 111:22
released 1:25	removal 7:14,19	reported 22:5	143:9 145:22	<b>right</b> 13:19 19:23
17:14 19:9 36:22	11:23,24 15:15	28:19	157:22 161:1	19:25 53:14 54:5
37:5,9 38:20	16:10 17:2,9,11	reports 169:7,8,9	170:24	56:19 60:24 68:3
39:5 50:7,10,16	18:4 35:14 59:15	171:7,19	response' 123:23	70:24 77:6 78:1
111:1 156:6	134:4 157:3,4,11	representations	responsibilities	85:17 86:11
relevant 43:12	157:21,24 158:4	3:24 4:3 11:10	118:22 174:9	87:11 88:2 90:13
115:17 177:9	161:3 162:21,25	11:12 12:17	responsibility	92:19 102:24
remain 12:17	166:16 167:1,14	13:21 15:7 18:16	21:25 120:10,12	104:16 107:17
38:24 52:19	remove 9:1 11:5	19:7,20,24	responsible 20:12	109:9 114:2
131:7	11:15 18:6 35:9	reputation 46:16	170:17	117:16 123:2
remainder 15:23	35:11 106:20	request 21:24	rest 87:24 112:11	127:10 128:16
remained 23:21	113:8 118:18	132:2,4 133:21	restart 2:10	141:11 142:24
79:9	119:25 120:5	requested 118:25	restrained 18:15	143:25 144:16
remark 111:3	121:18 142:1	requesting 7:16	19:3	156:25 162:4
remedial 176:3	157:12	requests 157:17	restraint 13:22	174:21
remember 1:22	removed 3:20 4:2	required 161:1	14:6,12,21,25	rightly 73:14
4:9,14 7:7 8:3	11:8,13 13:8,18	resident 127:5,25	15:4,7,18 16:3	rights 25:18
10:24 12:22 23:6	13:19 14:24 15:8	134:8 157:8	18:8,24 19:9	rigorous 69:22
24:18 26:15	19:6,7,22 20:1	162:17	89:8 92:5,20	<b>Ring</b> 61:13 63:20
28:10 29:11	35:17 37:2 41:7	residential 60:23	141:10 157:9,10	86:14
31:14,18 32:10	46:6 73:9,14	123:16	162:21 164:24	riot 89:25 158:9
32:23,24 34:23	106:25 107:23	residents 96:16	168:3,8	rise 98:14
35:7,20 36:2,9,12	112:18 114:21	137:1,2 139:10	restraints 18:21	risk 3:18 5:16 15:9

				Page 201
			I	I
16:14 23:8 39:25	170:14 171:12	51:11	search 168:9,14	18:9 22:20 25:16
40:3,8,9,14 73:9	rudeness 173:20	<b>Sayers'</b> 46:15	second 2:22 30:24	27:3 28:21 62:3
131:9 138:25	rule 3:12,17 4:19	<b>saying</b> 11:7 36:13	31:2 33:24 53:17	63:3,10 68:1
139:16 162:4,6	9:21 16:13,23	62:15 74:5 76:5	69:13 105:21	70:17 83:5 85:8
risks 131:15 163:4	17:22 27:2,3	86:5 97:21 99:10	109:17 113:3	97:19 99:2,24
163:4	41:3 51:15	107:4 108:19,21	150:12 159:10	103:2 110:2
RMN 130:13	168:17	108:23 111:9	166:18	112:14,16,19
133:13,23	<b>Rules</b> 17:22	141:24 147:8	secondary 2:7	120:2,11 123:2
RMNs 65:10	rumours 10:15	148:19 154:21	seconds 100:10	131:12 132:2,4,9
role 55:6 74:24,24	60:13	155:6,11,24	165:7	133:23 135:2,15
118:23 159:3	running 56:14	156:3 165:3,9	security 13:11	139:2 140:5
roles 159:2		169:13 175:5	17:21 171:6,19	144:11 163:7
roll 6:10 67:21	<u>S</u>	177:1	see 1:9 6:24 7:2	165:25
78:11	S 171:18	says 9:8 14:23	8:22 9:7 10:2,5	segregate 5:12
Romanian 46:18	<b>S215002</b> 164:11	27:12,14,20	13:14 14:19 16:7	segregated 17:16
<b>room</b> 6:11 7:19	safe 71:15 128:23	101:9 105:24	20:15 23:12	17:20,23
12:19,23 17:16	128:23 161:6	106:3,10 110:24	24:12 26:20,23	segregation 3:5
23:17 25:23	<b>Safer</b> 133:8	111:1 113:14	28:17 30:16	17:25
29:23 33:12	safety 17:21 73:7	119:13 132:15	34:14,24 35:1	seizing 10:25
36:19 43:6 70:19	79:18 142:13,14	136:8,11 138:13	69:13 82:18	seldom 89:3
72:4,17 99:8	150:18,18 151:5	147:21 148:1,7	84:23 98:16	self 128:22
100:12,22 107:13	152:24,24 153:9	148:15 158:23	99:11,14,14	self-de-escalated
108:2,4,6,6 109:2	153:10	159:8 160:13	100:11,14,17,21	150:21
110:5,6,13 113:5	<b>Safeway</b> 55:1,12	164:22 165:6,19	100:22 101:1,4	<b>self-harm</b> 3:7 5:16
114:4,11,23	57:1	scared 9:5 17:24	101:22 102:1,5	6:5 14:19 17:13
116:4,14,17,18	sat 47:16 101:16	30:9,10,17 35:14	104:9,13 105:24	40:1,3,8,14 42:12
117:1,3,11,12,19	124:18	35:16 42:19 45:3	114:7 115:16	43:16,20,25
118:5,13 120:1	satisfied 122:21	45:22 46:1 51:6	118:1 119:3	109:7 131:9
120:22,24 121:2	144:18 172:15	51:8	120:1 121:7	134:5,23 136:22
122:12 128:5	Saturday 140:23	scars 26:13,15	123:4 124:13	137:3,4 142:6
129:4,24 132:15	saved 80:11	27:8,9,13,16	125:14,16 127:22	150:14 176:15
132:25 135:16	115:20	scary 6:12	128:3 130:6	177:11
141:2,3 156:25	saving 159:18	scene 107:14	131:2,3 133:21	self-harmed 39:16
158:7,10 159:11	saw 3:9 7:23 15:25	114:23,25 115:4	136:2 144:12	128:4 161:25
160:3 162:16	22:11 26:15	118:23	147:7,17 154:7	self-harming
167:6 170:2	28:24 31:10 33:1	scheme 116:24	156:20 157:19	32:16 47:21
172:9	33:11,19 34:3,17	screaming 10:3	158:18 159:8	100:9 176:7
roommate 125:2	44:13 51:23 61:6	30:13 46:24	165:20 166:1,2	semi-conscious
131:18	63:5 65:14 66:8	screams 165:5	169:24 170:18	114:7
rooms 6:17 24:17	88:4,6 110:13	screen 59:7 98:25	178:7	send 22:18
67:20 70:11,11	118:13 119:14,23	117:16 127:12	seeing 85:7 165:22	senior 58:21 64:23
70:15,18 159:16	120:8 121:15,17	140:2 143:7,8,8	176:13	71:20 75:25
rosters 94:2,6	121:23 124:1	<b>screening</b> 43:10,13	seek 176:20	175:16,24 177:10
rotten 58:2	134:18,25 135:16	44:1,9	177:23	sense 5:22 16:25
roughly 96:9	160:10 175:7	script 156:21	seekers 138:5	55:11 60:5 96:24
round 106:2	Sayers 46:13,14,18	scroll 100:4	seeking 136:23	sent 3:24 9:21
routinely 176:19	46:21 47:2,3,4,5	127:13,16,22	137:3 176:22,24	sentence 63:10
rude 65:19 81:11	47:9,13 48:7,10	130:5	seen 3:2 4:10,15	84:6
81:15 170:3,12	48:12,14,16,22	scrutiny 175:25	7:12 12:2 16:4	separate 26:12
	49:2,14,17 50:21			
	•	•	•	•

				Page 202
110 10 171 12 22	1.52.12	162.10	L 1 4 0 6 21	76005414116
110:10 171:12,23	153:12	163:10	slightly 96:21	76:8 95:4 141:16
separated 2:18	shaken 132:17	sick 78:8	98:12,13 108:25	176:18,20 177:15
separation 67:5	shaking 35:14	sickening 105:2	139:25 164:3,8	sorts 78:12
September 1:19	share 17:15 24:6	side 9:19 24:8	slings 143:9	sought 35:11
16:5,16 17:7,15	63:25 72:17	27:14 50:1 51:5	slip 138:5	151:24 177:4
21:19 82:24 93:24 94:18	133:1 <b>shared</b> 24:11 42:7	66:3 70:20,22,25	slow 134:20 small 6:18 24:7	sound 26:3 75:21
	42:25 132:14	71:1,2 101:3 114:5 138:6		<b>sounded</b> 30:14,16 34:8
122:4,7 <b>SER000442</b> 53:18	sharing 17:18	side' 27:9	27:9,13 73:8 82:12 86:20	
SER000442 53:18 SER000444 53:17	Shaukat 158:25	sight 100:19	145:6	sounding 24:3 sounds 3:16
Serco 54:16,19	shaved 33:9	sign 28:4,5,6,7,8	smaller 59:16	source 44:3 64:1
66:18 79:20 80:5	shaver 34:19	signed 20:23 52:21	smaller 39.10 smelled 24:22	Southern 32:24
80:6 93:21 94:18	sheet 23:8	111:11	smidge 158:21	space 71:9,9 72:11
94:19,22,25	shift 100:7 166:25	significant 77:20	SMT 67:17	73:7
95:10,15,23 96:1	shifts 67:22,22	78:5 145:23	soaked 26:10	speak 2:3 7:23
97:13 98:1 134:7	shirt 31:19	significantly 40:21	social 177:17,24	8:18 12:11,19,24
134:14 177:22	shite 154:4 156:1	signing 13:17	soft 154:4 155:25	13:7 30:21 32:25
Serco's 97:19	shock 5:2 55:10,11	signs 127:18	softening 97:24	36:15,15,16 46:8
serious 2:12 9:23	55:20 56:3 58:3	Sihlali 159:21	softer 76:24	46:19 49:7 51:8
18:12 19:1 21:18	78:24 119:5	similar 41:19	sole 30:3	85:18 86:8,9,11
50:23 73:9 99:18	shocked 12:20	100:14 134:16	solicitor 36:23	86:13,16,25
99:20 107:8	29:25 33:14 92:4	similarly 60:4	37:1 131:4	132:11 133:11
146:8 161:13	shocking 11:14	Simmons 158:25	157:18 167:9	141:22 162:12
seriously 5:17	shoelace 114:18,20	simple 135:11	solicitors 3:23	170:10,12 171:1
18:14 29:3 51:9	124:6 125:25	simply 84:16	12:6 13:5 17:19	171:3,16 177:10
64:25 115:19	128:11	137:7 143:20	18:2,16,22 19:22	speaker 26:3
served 56:23	shook 32:3 36:4	171:14	25:15 27:5 133:5	speaking 6:2
servery 8:4	<b>short</b> 30:7 31:18	single 69:23	somebody 74:24	149:16 157:16
serves 82:23	37:25 38:4 53:6	169:14	86:25 105:16	171:6
service 76:15,17	98:19 146:23	sister 137:14	109:2 135:10,24	<b>specific</b> 11:9 61:16
76:20 91:11 92:2	shortages 79:13	sit 164:1	137:11 138:13,24	87:2 98:22
92:15 130:20	shortfall 77:21	sitdown 76:5,8	139:16 155:19	specifically 57:14
services 77:18	shortly 43:20	site 160:11	161:9,12 163:19	103:14 160:22
176:10	shoulder 16:2	sits 38:8	176:7,14,14	specifics 98:13
session 163:13,17	shoulders 16:6	sitting 24:10	177:4,21	spend 42:21 52:17
163:21 164:15	<b>shouting</b> 32:20,21	103:23 140:10	soon 23:19 24:19	spending 39:4
sessions 9:16	34:8 35:2	141:23 149:11	50:6 101:15	<b>spice</b> 5:19 10:2,5,6
set 11:18 17:11	show 92:9 109:13	<b>situation</b> 6:23 9:22	106:25 107:21	10:10,12 46:25
61:3 87:16 96:1	112:13 144:14	62:25 81:20	110:13 116:6	51:22,25 52:3,5
138:22 154:7	145:23 163:9,14	156:23 159:2	144:17	<b>spin</b> 112:8
158:7	<b>showed</b> 3:18 48:8	situations 63:25	sooner 110:15	spirit 8:16
sets 113:12	168:9	<b>skate</b> 64:17	sore 16:6	spitting 62:20
settled 20:7	shower 24:17,21	<b>Skitt</b> 171:23 172:3	sorry 56:1 61:16	<b>spoke</b> 5:22 25:11
setup 5:3	showers 24:19	slammed 170:3	125:20 132:12	25:24 119:11
severe 28:17 39:16	shown 63:12 66:12	slashes 162:7	133:12 138:21	121:6 170:1,13
40:16	104:7 147:6,7	sleep 28:24 37:10	162:13 176:4	spoken 31:13
sex 60:10	164:17	52:13	sort 1:10 56:17	120:16 167:4,25
sexual 21:14	shows 18:13 49:12	sleeping 43:7	57:13 60:2 70:20	spontaneous 142:6
shake 111:2	66:3 109:11	<b>slight</b> 46:12	73:4 74:18 75:8	150:13

				Page 203
spoon 31:24 32:1,2	start 1:15 15:11	110:4 132:17	28:10 37:9 74:6	suggestion 126:17
32:7,12	58:8 115:24	stating 157:18	struggles 39:14	150:1
springs 69:3 95:1	started 2:17 6:14	status 58:18,18	struggling 7:24	suggests 27:21
stabbed 21:17	6:15 16:13 22:23	stay 8:5 74:12	35:18 104:8	43:14 72:14
23:10 26:12,14	24:20,22 25:1,6	157:18	136:13	116:15 120:10
stability 40:9	33:2 102:6 168:1	stayed 117:1	stuck 49:4	126:18 152:18
stable 71:7	starters 78:25	staying 117:4	stumbled 30:2	155:22
stable-type 71:4	state 4:16 27:19,23	steps 20:10	31:7	suicidal 51:20
staff 6:1,19 7:8	65:11 110:6,8	Steve 170:12	subdued 130:17	136:22
8:15,24 10:16,20	128:1	171:23	152:15	<b>suicide</b> 3:4,7,8
10:21,22,23,25	<b>stated</b> 18:21 19:6	stick 112:4	<b>subject</b> 64:9,16	40:1,4,9,14 43:25
11:1,11 17:15	39:23 48:24	sticks 86:7,24	subjective 137:19	109:7 128:21
19:11 20:11	65:16 110:14	stomach 14:3 15:1	submitted 171:19	133:7 134:5,24
28:12 29:4 31:9	113:20,23 114:1	16:2,5 23:9,11	subscribed 136:21	<b>suit</b> 80:10
36:3 42:17 45:22	114:6,9,15 115:3	stoned 10:23	subsequent 137:23	suitable 42:7
60:1 62:17,23	115:18 118:13,16	<b>stood</b> 34:14 99:7,9	subsequently 2:21	130:23 141:3,6
64:24 65:11,17	118:19 119:3,5,7	100:11,18,22	59:25 161:24	141:13,21 142:17
65:19,25 68:18	131:4 160:4	101:1	substance 39:22	summarise 38:10
68:21,23 69:21	171:13	stop 25:5 47:11	substances 40:11	summarised 27:6
71:17,19 73:5,6	statement 1:14,16	94:9 155:20	substantiated	38:17 40:23
75:10 76:18	11:16 20:23 21:2	<b>stopped</b> 2:9 17:9	48:19 119:20	41:12 43:2,9
77:22,23 78:22	21:3,10 37:19,20	35:17 39:4 41:13	134:19	46:11 48:6 49:8
79:6,12,14,18	38:10,11,12,14	99:4	substantiating	50:14 51:14,18
80:4,10 82:12	41:4,15 45:13	store 55:2 138:22	121:7	summarising
83:12 84:3 85:12	47:7 48:25 49:19	stories 91:9	substitute 155:21	14:22
87:7 94:2,3,10	50:17 52:8,21,22	stormed 170:15	succeeded 2:19	summary 4:21
95:5,14 96:14,16	57:5 59:3,8 62:7	story 50:1 119:16	successful 40:22	7:15 9:6 15:24
97:25 104:22	62:8 64:14,15	119:17,18 120:8	suddenly 34:7	17:5 38:15 41:21
106:17 114:9	66:7 68:20 70:6	151:17 152:5	suffer 1:22 23:11	115:22 118:10
129:16 139:10	70:9 73:5,19,23	straight 4:23	37:12 48:4	119:9 130:14
145:11 167:3	87:17 93:17	58:13 90:21	101:12 105:5,16	supermarket
171:6 172:11,12	95:12 99:25	161:6	suffered 2:12 3:13	55:12 57:2
172:23 175:9,12	100:6 109:17	stranger 43:20	15:2 24:23 27:21	supervised 139:9
175:23 176:12,16	111:11 123:8,8	strangle 34:20	39:2,13 40:15	139:10
176:24	128:22 140:17	strap 145:5,6	46:25 47:24	supervising
staffed 80:25 81:1	148:15 151:10	streamed 163:15	suffering 9:23	164:19
staffing 73:25	152:6,17 158:18	street 21:17 82:11	25:6 27:19 39:15	supervision
77:16 80:2 81:1	172:21,24 173:25	82:16 173:14,17	48:3 127:21	124:23 129:12
94:23 95:1 97:3	173:25 174:7	stress 39:24 44:17	sufficient 48:22	<b>support</b> 3:2 7:3
97:23	175:6 178:16,18	stressed 132:18	78:22	9:9,16 11:3
stairs 165:19	178:20	stressful 6:11	sufficiently 124:24	40:10 43:22
stairwell 89:23	statements 53:14	strike 154:1	142:19	47:15 48:20
168:8	53:19 109:16	strongly 65:12	suffocating 35:4	51:17 62:22
stamped 31:11	169:12	struck 48:13	suggest 9:2 27:17	129:16,19 134:4
stand 64:11	states 7:5 40:24	struggle 36:9	53:1 146:16	177:4
standard 65:6,13	41:23 42:5 43:5	52:13 66:6	153:8	supported 134:12
74:1	43:17 44:2,3,9,20	135:21	suggested 17:1	suppose 57:25
standing 31:4	45:8,8 46:13	struggled 2:17	120:8 133:1	83:10
34:21,24	47:4 52:1,5	25:1,22 26:4,5	suggestible 51:24	supposed 96:13
3	.,52.1,5	20.1,22 20.1,0		-upposeu / 0.15
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 204
102.20	45.2.124.16.16	L 145.24	02.5.05.5.07.12	47.25.40.2.22
123:20	45:3 134:16,16	targeted 45:24	92:5 95:5 97:13	47:25 49:2,23
sure 26:2 34:22		Tascor 13:12	176:10	51:9 52:11 53:17
49:14 52:2 58:19	tab 98:25 100:1	14:10 17:6 18:8	terrible 58:3 85:24	55:20 57:11,21
74:25 75:14	101:23 105:21	18:10 19:12 20:7	174:14	58:7 60:7,10,21
76:10 78:2,10	112:14 122:25	20:11 142:17,19	terrifying 11:14	61:10 63:11,18
91:8 92:11 96:18	127:12 130:4	157:15,17,24	15:10	70:15,16 71:15
101:11 108:14	131:19 143:1	159:13 160:7,16	terror 15:15	73:4,20 77:1
121:11,19 126:9	144:9 147:3	167:22,25 168:9	<b>Teyron</b> 170:1	78:16,18,18,24
128:7 136:17	150:7,24 152:20	168:9,15	thank 1:4,12 37:22	79:1 80:3,13,14
138:21 143:19	153:22,23 156:18	taught 55:4	37:23 38:2,8,16	83:12 87:2,11
149:6	166:18 169:21	tea 146:19	52:23,23,24 53:4	92:3,6,8 94:3,24
surely 149:9	table 34:11,15,17	team 2:7 7:10	53:21 59:11	95:3 96:5,8,10,13
surgery 26:14	tablets 44:18	48:15 62:13	98:16 105:20	102:24 104:6
131:24	take 8:6 9:1 17:6	122:21 133:2	146:21 166:20	105:8 107:25
surprise 90:6	18:13 21:25 24:2	137:13 158:8,24	170:20 175:18	108:5,18 111:8
102:21 103:9,11	32:7 35:19 37:25	159:14 160:5,9	177:9 178:1,1,4,7	116:17 117:1,23
103:15,19	39:9 53:1 57:23	167:21,23 168:3	them' 159:7	121:25 122:8
surprised 65:20	58:20 63:3 64:19	168:6 176:17,18	theme 81:25	123:18 127:9
surrounding 71:3	74:23 100:1	176:25 177:18,24	themself 134:9	128:8 129:7
survive 42:23	105:6,20 120:7	teams 55:4 58:25	there' 7:7	130:4,16 133:15
suspicion 134:9	141:10 146:11	teamworking 64:6	thereof 81:22	134:21,25 135:3
Sussex 20:4	147:10 157:9	tears 41:11	they'd 20:1 72:20	135:5 136:18
sustain 33:13	160:10,16 161:22	Technical 1:11	143:20 162:15	143:24 146:6
sustained 160:12	162:16 163:16	telephone 26:2	thick 100:14 101:4	150:1 151:9,11
sustaining 21:18	168:1,6,15	television 34:6	thin 73:8 114:13	152:2,4,6,10,11
swallow 159:7	taken 4:23 9:12	113:7 114:5	124:6	152:16 153:4,16
161:19 165:8,14	11:20 18:4 19:8	135:17 136:10	thing 13:10 42:2	154:4 155:25
165:16 166:5	20:10 22:6,14,16	tell 8:8 29:17 37:4	70:7 75:8 93:18	156:4 159:21
168:19	48:15 51:9 64:25	42:18 48:2 53:22	93:25 98:24	163:4 166:10
swallowed 161:4	95:23 105:23	66:14 74:2 84:23	149:19,20 150:5	169:9 174:8,17
165:7	125:9 143:24	97:12 118:11	162:21 169:14,15 177:2	174:18,20,21
swallowing 165:13 swallows 161:9	159:13 165:19	137:6,18 157:4 157:20 163:19	- , , .=	175:14,22 176:2 176:18 177:22
	takeout 82:21	165:15	things 7:21 13:3 20:16 23:1 29:5	thinking 37:8
swear 147:11,12	takes 115:19 162:6	telling 10:25 13:25	37:12 52:11	47:21 79:20
sweating 15:21 45:11	talk 26:18 41:10	16:18 50:24	69:15 78:9 79:21	90:13
sweep-out 94:14	42:10 43:22	73:15 81:9	80:9 84:13,15	thinks 47:4 105:14
swinging 104:24	57:18 69:16	102:18 103:7	85:1,5 90:4	third 34:5 70:8,10
switched 143:13	142:17 154:11	107:2 121:15	93:20,21 94:22	70:22 73:12
143:14 145:3,18	167:8,16 176:20	137:2 140:11	104:17 116:24	95:21 96:12,13
switching 143:16	talked 77:22	147:15 163:1	126:13 135:4	125:23
145:8	talking 8:9 33:3	165:2,4 173:13	148:15 154:20	<b>Thompson</b> 3:23
SXP000120	37:6 43:6,19	tells 162:1	163:25	thought 8:1 16:23
109:25	66:18,19 80:5	temporary 21:22	think 7:3 9:2 10:12	32:21 42:13
SXP000120 0008	87:23 88:11	ten 15:14 142:18	11:9,18 13:18	44:17,19 45:2,23
100:3	94:20 147:19	tension 46:2	15:19 18:13,14	49:5 72:5 83:15
symptoms 1:23	150:25 155:1	term 81:1	19:10,19 22:19	91:18,25 93:12
2:6 3:14	177:21	terminology 98:8	24:14 29:22	93:13,15 134:12
system 30:20,23	talks 43:3 148:10	terms 68:8,10 75:4	35:21,24 45:15	135:4 155:14,25
system 50.20,25	152:3 159:3	Cims 00.0,10 /3.4	33.21,27 73.13	133.7 133.17,23
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

				Page 205
161 6 172 22	70 14 02 25	06 12 115 22	L 6 · 224	45 1 111 20
161:6 172:22	78:14 83:25	86:13 115:23	transferring 2:24	45:1 111:20
thoughts 3:6 6:13 6:16 17:13 43:16	90:13 97:17 98:14 100:17	121:13 128:11	60:1 translated 32:5	trusted 42:15,17
		136:2 157:18	37:20	truth 20:23 37:19
111:23 130:25 132:17	102:18 103:17,20 109:1 110:19	160:23 163:24 167:8,10 168:5		52:22 121:15 153:19
thrashing 153:3	115:18,24 116:11	172:21 173:23	transport 3:16	try 1:22 10:8,11
threat 137:4	118:1 123:19	172.21 173.23	transported 140:7 transporting	36:15 62:21,22
161:19,20	124:19 129:24	tomorrow 164:5	15:12	trying 7:7 14:24
threats 158:3	131:13 134:2	178:6,7	trapped 6:6 36:18	32:13 33:3,15
three 3:21 5:25	136:18 139:25	toothache 28:17	trauma 1:24 3:14	34:20 101:18
35:21 72:4,13,17	142:21 143:17	top 34:14 71:10	traumatic 14:9	128:10 142:3
100:11 176:4	146:3,15,15	72:5,12 73:8,10	132:8,12 176:6	144:15 149:7
threw 47:10	148:6 151:16	99:1 101:25	176:11,12 177:11	155:20
throat 25:1,10	159:10,18,25	113:19 117:16	traumatisation	Tuesday 99:3
Thursday 178:11	165:3,25 167:3	124:5 127:16	133:16	123:12
ticket 111:2	171:20 174:13	124.3 127.10	traumatised 16:10	Tulley 68:2 80:23
ticking 35:24	time-served 56:12	130:8 132:1	17:3 132:14	83:23,24,25
115:24	timed 123:4	147:4,8 150:25	travel 74:11	84:11,24 90:4,11
ticks 128:21	timekeeping 164:1	154:7,8 156:20	traver / 4:11	99:23 101:20
tie 34:25	times 10:6,11	170:18	85:13,24	102:19 103:1,3
tied 13:24 26:9	28:15,19 62:23	topic 45:24 85:19	treat 31:17 110:22	103:18,21 105:8
100:14,23 101:4	74:22 95:16	93:18	treated 15:11	106:3 107:2,10
105:25 106:4	145:9 161:11	torture 3:13 4:19	19:18 29:7,10	109:9 110:4
113:5,7 114:12	timing 163:23	9:23	41:20	111:9,17,22
114:13 117:19	Tinsley 59:15,23	torture' 27:17	treating 29:3	112:3 115:10
118:5,14 119:15	59:24 60:3,10,11	tortured 21:15	treatment 8:25	116:15 118:2,4,7
120:25 121:2	89:4 90:15	23:7,7 26:8	18:3 37:11 56:6	118:7 119:10
122:12 140:9	tired 4:9 22:21	total 1:19 14:6	69:21 170:21	121:1,5,13
tight 14:1 15:1	today 52:25 64:11	21:6	tried 8:14,25 11:15	122:11 125:12,14
78:9 124:9,10	129:2 130:18	totally 62:2	15:16 17:15 18:6	125:16 126:18
tight' 77:16	131:3 147:25	touch 87:9	21:8 25:8 26:7	127:6 136:10,15
<b>Tim</b> 40:17	149:23,23 165:25		28:4 32:1 34:25	142:11 144:19
time 1:21 5:14 6:3	167:2 170:4,6,8	toxic 156:10,13	43:14 49:5,17	150:14
6:12 10:8 16:6,7	toilet 5:7 6:18 15:4	train 89:14	101:7,16 104:1	<b>Tulley's</b> 100:6
17:13,25 21:7,8	24:7,8,12,20	trained 89:15	113:4 124:25	105:23 106:19
22:16 23:11 26:9	70:25 71:1,2,3,4	trainer 89:7	128:4 129:2	111:3 112:8
26:16,25 29:18	125:3,4 141:2,23	<b>training</b> 89:9,16	167:15	121:8,19,21
30:8,10,11,24	141:24 144:24	114:25 133:5,8	triggers 135:18	turn 69:16 90:1
31:10 34:6 35:22	147:14 149:12	133:14 137:13	Triggers/warning	turned 24:19
36:11,17 37:6,11	toilet' 145:17	160:20 162:11,15	127:18	59:17 107:20
38:22 39:4 40:7	<b>told</b> 9:13 11:10	transcript 102:1,2	TRN0000033	turnover 94:9
41:1,25 42:4,22	12:6,9,14,18	105:18,20,22,23	105:21	<b>TV</b> 99:12 101:5,6
43:3 47:1,25	13:13 15:8 16:2	146:11 147:1,2	TRN0000095	114:18,19 118:14
51:24 52:17,24	17:3 25:15,17	148:5	147:3	124:4,5,7 126:1
53:3 54:13 56:23	26:11,13,16 28:4	transcripts 80:22	trousers 31:11	128:5,11 129:3
56:24 59:23 60:3	28:16 30:22	transfer 158:4	true 16:22 50:2	tweaks 97:22
61:19 64:8,18	35:12 36:23,25	transferred 4:5	55:16 87:5 96:23	twice 44:14 83:5
66:2,13,15 71:5	37:1 50:4 60:18	40:24 50:11	truly 42:20	<b>two</b> 3:20 13:10,23
73:4 75:11 78:12	70:10 82:13	160:5	trust 13:4 44:23	15:3 22:4 24:5,8

				1 agc 200
25:14 29:11 35:6	70:19 73:15	unsupervised	vehicle 18:22	voice 30:4 121:21
53:13,19 61:13	81:14 82:21 86:2	139:8	84:17	voices 16:12 17:4
74:3,23 94:21	92:13 95:4	unsure 75:21	vein 145:21	volume 166:19
99:7,10,15 110:4	100:16,20 104:8	untoward 90:21	verbal 56:2 68:10	voting 94:3
110:6 112:21	*			vulnerabilities
	107:5,24 108:2	unwell 2:25 5:17	82:2,3 85:4	
113:9 116:12,14	115:23 119:17	7:8 16:8 39:10	88:15 89:5 93:7	109:6
117:4,5 120:13	121:10 126:15,20	44:22 45:8	93:10 172:23	vulnerable 9:20
121:4,4 127:20	135:18,21 136:14	138:12 139:12	174:16	17:24 64:25 65:4
130:13 132:22	148:3 154:18	149:15	verbally 8:24 88:4	65:9 108:9,12,16
139:2 143:12	157:5,19 178:2	unwise 149:20	Verne 2:15,24,25	108:20 109:3
144:3,21 162:2	understanding	<b>upbeat</b> 130:24	5:4,4,8 8:19 9:8	138:12,19 149:15
164:22 169:19	5:13 95:12 164:5	<b>update</b> 130:19	10:1 140:7 141:4	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$
175:2	understands 16:17	upheld 70:1 172:8	141:25 148:9	-
two-thirds 143:6	29:13 48:7	172:19 174:1	versa 24:12	waist 13:22 14:6
154:8	understatement	<b>uphold</b> 69:23	version 119:2	14:11,25 15:4,7
type 71:7 113:13	129:6	174:15	vice 24:12	16:3
types 19:16 175:7	understood 4:2	<b>upset</b> 13:2 41:11	victim 3:13 9:23	wait 12:24 147:24
U	10:13 23:3	49:13 149:16	21:13 49:24	148:13,20 176:2
	102:19 103:18,20	use 8:23 10:10,20	victimisation	waited 110:6,12
UK 2:14 3:25 11:8	undertook 80:4	11:23 18:25 20:7	69:21 70:2	118:16 119:25
21:18 23:13	uneasy 15:20	32:8 48:23 50:18	<b>video</b> 70:18 164:14	120:21
ultimately 138:17	unescorted 167:12	51:25 60:7 61:10	Vietnamese 72:18	waiting 12:25 13:7
139:5	<b>unfair</b> 47:22 69:21	62:18 63:9 71:11	view 18:11 55:7	13:14 89:25 90:1
unable 144:20	83:15,18 84:4	81:1 88:8,8,21	82:22 83:8 111:9	141:2,3 142:17
160:10	112:10	89:7 92:9 101:7	viewed 82:22	163:22 168:8
unapproved 48:23	unforgivable	106:11 137:3,4	views 87:15 111:6	waking 15:21
unaware 61:22	117:2 126:8	140:2,17 142:6	112:4	walk 10:24 157:17
62:2	Unfortunate 150:3	144:14,19 145:14	violence 2:13 29:4	159:5 168:4
unbelievable	<b>unhappy</b> 69:4,6,9	150:7,13 151:2,2	46:10 52:14 60:6	<b>walked</b> 67:20
97:21	171:10	152:1 156:17,17	62:14,16,18,19	142:16
unconscious 99:19	unhygienic 24:17	157:23 158:20	65:5 151:3	walking 41:10
101:4 113:8	unit 118:20	160:14 161:2	violent 65:19	<b>wall</b> 71:3 99:16
undercover 84:14	<b>unlawful</b> 7:18 11:5	162:3 164:19	81:11	101:5 118:15
underneath	16:10 20:6	166:10,12 167:21	violently 21:16	124:5,8 126:1
114:16	unlawfully 19:6	169:2,7,8 172:24	virtually 85:15	135:18 149:13
understaffing	unlocked 67:20	173:10	86:9	walls 24:8
69:10 73:18	unnecessary 32:10	useful 8:20	visible 177:5	want 7:7 8:5 13:18
77:23,24 78:20	88:8 163:4	users 11:4	visibly 27:16	19:12,14 29:13
understand 1:3	unpixelated	usual 52:22 91:3	124:14	36:14 42:15 45:9
5:14,22 7:1,24	163:10	usually 68:21,25	<b>visit</b> 48:1	48:2,4 49:12
14:10,13 16:20	unpleasant 72:5	69:4 154:11	visited 132:25	50:10 51:7 53:22
17:8,19 18:7	unrecognisable	utterly 110:18	visiting 130:22	67:25,25 70:3
19:8 20:24 22:2	84:9		visitors 10:17	79:22 82:13,21
22:23 23:1 26:4	unresolved 131:8	V	visits 52:5 67:12	92:12 96:6 98:24
26:5,19,25 28:5	unsafe 72:6	<b>values</b> 64:13,21	67:13 75:9	104:10,13 127:3
28:11 30:5 31:8	unsanitary 24:17	65:2,24 81:8	123:18	140:1 141:25
31:16 33:7 37:3	unsatisfactory	95:10,11,13	vivid 25:3	147:16 148:4
38:6 51:16 58:13	145:22	van 3:16	vocal 68:25 69:5	165:9,15 168:19
61:19,22 66:6	unscreened 6:18	various 11:22	86:1,3	wanted 8:15 22:17
67:24 68:1 70:17		vast 63:7,9 65:16		36:13 44:25
	I	l	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

				Page 207
47:19 104:14	143:4 145:5	30:25 32:15	Wootton's 44:5	110:7 126:7,24
140:12 149:21	162:8,13,18	66:22,24 70:14	word 62:11,18	137:21 138:2
wants 126:12,14	Wednesday 1:1	74:3 78:12 88:25	64:11 90:8 126:8	142:1 149:5
131:1 148:7	week 7:11 28:20	90:25 91:2,3	168:12 172:24	165:18 168:20
wash 32:7	74:20 79:2 94:5	108:7,8,12,13,14	words 63:9 67:25	175:17 176:19
wasn't 11:3 14:16	130:23	108:14,17 113:21	134:24 141:13	
				177:3,3,5
16:21 26:22,24 28:21 29:21	weekends 67:22	113:23 123:13,18	148:19 155:4,12	wrapped 34:18 35:1
	weeks 2:7 3:21	123:19,22 124:19	work 19:12,14	
31:19 35:12 50:2	22:4 25:14 28:22	124:22 125:5	39:12 55:3 59:20	wrist 33:25
61:16 72:20	welfare 64:24	129:19,25 132:2	63:23,24 64:3	wrists 33:1
75:22 76:18,22	went 15:3 24:19	139:15 140:24	85:22,23,23,25	write 111:1 169:13
77:24 79:2 87:22	28:15 31:10	156:25 157:1	91:3 92:3 96:24	writing 125:8
92:4 108:11,12	32:20 34:8,25	wings 70:14,15	98:6	170:22
108:14,16,16	35:20 46:22	78:11 88:23	work/life 94:7	written 130:14
131:17 144:2,3	59:24 73:21 79:4	wire 34:19,25 35:1	worked 41:22	142:22 159:20
151:20 152:7,13	79:7 82:23 92:6	35:3	45:17 53:23 55:1	166:21 169:24
152:15 159:13	96:13 99:21	wiser 127:7	59:18 61:24	wrong 20:15 49:23
173:16	107:11,13 108:6	wish 172:17	66:11,15,21	51:5 107:10
watch 4:24 35:5	109:1 139:19	withdrawal 45:10	67:21 79:16	123:10 125:11
74:8	141:22 160:3,5	withdrew 178:5	83:25 84:5,14	126:23 128:9
watched 6:5 15:3	167:8 168:3	witness 1:15 21:3	87:8,19,20,25	135:10 136:19
80:21 102:25	169:8 171:16	38:14 41:4 45:13	88:17 89:3 90:15	149:19 166:3
watches 74:7	weren't 14:16	47:7 48:12,25	90:25 91:24	wrongly 18:14
watching 33:4	75:15 104:8	49:19 50:17 52:8	111:5 115:18	66:14 115:3
34:6 157:5	123:15 128:11	52:21 53:2,8,14	175:20	wrote 150:13
water 24:20,20	143:22 144:7	57:5 59:7 68:20	worker 177:17,24	
way 8:15 10:3	160:16 162:18	70:5 82:2 99:25	workers 170:9	X
14:22 16:25	wet 15:22	103:24 109:16,17	<b>working</b> 1:6 8:5	<b>X</b> 178:14
47:20 55:22 56:9	whilst 6:23 7:22	131:17 172:21,24	44:23 58:1,16	<b>XXX</b> 99:8,11,12
56:10 61:4 73:22	12:25 15:3 17:9	173:15,24,25	62:13 64:4 65:18	99:15,20
81:4 82:10 83:16	27:15 28:18	174:7 175:6,6	79:2 91:10 94:5	*7
91:20,24 92:6	39:17,18 42:3,12	178:5	99:4 100:7	<u>Y</u>
93:19 113:14,23	44:11 50:20	witnessed 32:15	workloads 63:25	Yan 63:16,19
123:9,25 127:11	51:19,21,22	33:24 34:5 37:12	works 65:1	86:11 90:23,25
137:18 139:9,12	52:18 145:22	46:4,20 66:12	worn 143:12 145:8	yard 10:7 30:25
139:20 143:6	white 30:6 33:8	82:9 89:5 93:11	worried 11:13	31:15 32:19
144:7 147:14	141:24 158:19	132:8,12 133:17	12:7 42:4,17	yards 58:23
149:16 152:9	159:8	134:4 172:23	45:16,22	yeah 87:6 141:15
155:2 169:17	widespread 51:25	173:7 176:11	worse 1:24 16:20	155:11 165:20
172:15 174:7	104:21	witnesses 38:14	17:2 23:25 24:24	171:3
175:11 177:23	Williams 60:17,22	witnessing 176:12	29:6 37:17 79:17	year 53:16 103:8
ways 10:12 31:22	61:11	woman 8:5	worsened 3:14	158:5
we'll 147:24,25	window 17:11	women 36:14	worst 20:21 42:2	years 21:5 39:3,14
148:13,14,19,20	70:20,21 155:13	wonder 158:21	worthless 20:21	39:17 41:8 55:1
we're 157:9	wing 4:23 5:11,13	wondered 47:17	worthlessness	66:18,19 67:19
we've 177:17	5:18 6:2,3,6,8,18	wonderful 85:24	148:23	69:20 79:23
weak 36:11,12	7:8,16,18,18 8:3	wondering 169:6	wouldn't 11:13	94:21 95:17,24
wearing 21:17	12:23 17:18,21	<b>Wootton</b> 39:19,22	13:5 50:25 61:8	97:19,25 127:20
30:2,3 31:6,18	23:18,19 29:5,6,9	40:5,12	69:9 81:5 104:21	134:2,22 173:11
30.2,3 31.0,10	23.10,17 23.3,0,3	70.5,12	07.7 01.3 104.21	174:18 175:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 208
vootonder 120.16	140.16 146.17 20	41.0 44.6 6	72.6 01.10 112.2	46 04.1
yesterday 132:16	140:16 146:17,20	41:2 44:6,6	73:6 81:10 113:3	<b>46</b> 94:1
young 32:25 33:21	150:9 156:18	46:13,25 51:11	113:11 128:2	<b>46-hour</b> 79:2
yourself?' 101:19	<b>15-minute</b> 53:1	61:20 70:10	150:24 153:25	<b>47</b> 9:6
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>15:20</b> 131:23	82:24 93:24	154:6 166:23	<b>48</b> 44:13 130:4
	<b>150</b> 95:22 96:11	94:18 96:14	170:18	4th 113:4
0	<b>16</b> 3:23 53:18	98:23 100:1	<b>3.20</b> 146:22	5
	82:21 87:14	112:24 113:16	<b>3.40</b> 146:24	<b>5</b> 16:5 118:10
1	109:18 150:7	123:12 134:22	<b>30</b> 38:20,24 41:2	131:21,23
1 63:23 74:13,15	152:20,21	169:19 170:21	41:21 44:6	<b>5.00</b> 156:21 160:1
74:16,21,22,24	<b>17</b> 25:7 38:17	<b>2018</b> 143:3 145:2	100:10 144:9	<b>5.08</b> 166:22
75:3 98:8 110:7	53:16 89:24	150:24 151:17	<b>30s</b> 30:8	<b>5.16</b> 159:16
120:23 123:15	90:12 139:3	152:5 153:5,17	<b>31</b> 42:1 <b>32</b> 42:5 100:1	<b>50</b> 44:13
124:19 129:11	143:3 150:24	<b>2019</b> 39:5		<b>53</b> 45:5 178:22,25
153:24 154:7	151:17 152:5	<b>2020</b> 40:7,18 93:22	<b>33</b> 147:3	<b>54</b> 45:5
167:7 178:16	<b>175</b> 179:2	94:20 96:8	<b>35</b> 4:19 9:21 16:13	<b>55</b> 45:14
<b>1.30</b> 125:8 140:25	<b>18</b> 17:7 70:9 130:7	<b>2021</b> 37:14 38:23	21:7 27:2,3 42:8	JJ 1J.17
<b>10</b> 21:6 22:5 23:9	<b>180</b> 80:4 119:16	<b>2022</b> 1:1,16 21:4	51:15	6
64:15,20 73:20	<b>1853</b> 159:5 167:1 <b>19</b> 1:18 4:5 112:14	38:12 178:11	<b>35(3)</b> 3:12,17 16:23	6 57:6 59:3,9,13
73:23 81:10		<b>21</b> 38:12 105:24		101:25 102:1,3
128:20 169:21	153:22,23	131:19 170:19	<b>36</b> 7:15 42:8 147:3	119:19 122:7
<b>10.00</b> 1:2 130:11	2	178:18	<b>37</b> 98:25 101:23	<b>6-0</b> 70:17
178:6,8,11	<b>2</b> 16:15 59:9 101:1	<b>21st</b> 169:19 170:1	109:23,25	<b>6.10</b> 12:13
<b>1068</b> 147:23	101:13,24 102:4	171:13	<b>38</b> 147:4 178:20	<b>60</b> 46:11 70:16
11 36:24 65:23	113:12 119:19	<b>22</b> 2:16 98:25	<b>39</b> 43:2 105:21	71:13
79:11 109:21	123:5,13,16,17	106:1 130:11 156:19	4	<b>61</b> 14:22
148:9 156:15,22	125:24 127:14	<b>23</b> 1:1 11:6 12:5,9	4 69:16 81:19	<b>62</b> 14:22
160:1 166:25	138:17 139:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	82:24 98:23 99:3	<b>65</b> 15:25
<b>11.00</b> 38:3	140:23 153:24	18:5 40:23 100:1 102:2	100:5 102:4	<b>67</b> 15:25 46:11
<b>11.12</b> 38:5	166:25 169:24	23rd 169:19 170:6	105:19 113:16	<b>68</b> 47:7
<b>11.33</b> 53:5	171:12	170:20	117:15 123:4,12	<b>687</b> 141:1 142:7,11
<b>11.45</b> 53:4	<b>2.00</b> 98:15,17,20	<b>24</b> 2:16 7:13 11:6	127:13,22 128:20	142:12,15 147:8
<b>11.50</b> 53:7	<b>2.30</b> 131:3	16:1 18:5 25:16	129:15,24 131:13	150:15 152:23
<b>115</b> 156:25	<b>20</b> 4:12 6:24 11:17	40:23 85:1	134:21 138:17	<b>69</b> 17:5
<b>12</b> 36:8 81:17,19	55:1 130:7	112:24 143:1	139:4,17 147:17	
148:9	146:17	150:24 178:11	147:19,23 149:25	7
<b>12.25</b> 123:4,22	<b>2008</b> 54:1,6,7,23	<b>24/7</b> 145:9	150:3	7 16:16 62:8 64:10
<b>12.30</b> 4:12 129:2	<b>2009</b> 54:4,24 61:21	<b>25</b> 7:18 41:4 44:6	<b>4.30</b> 163:24 164:9	101:6 104:16
<b>12.54</b> 98:18	<b>2014</b> 2:16	146:17 159:20	167:3,7	109:21 122:7,20
<b>13</b> 2:23 21:4 140:1	<b>2014</b> 2:16 <b>2015</b> 2:16	<b>26</b> 1:16 16:9 41:12	<b>4.34</b> 178:9	127:12
140:4,23 142:23	<b>2016</b> 21:14,19,23	104:17,19	<b>40</b> 7:15 17:22 41:3	<b>7.5.31</b> 144:13
145:23 147:2	<b>2010</b> 21:14,13,23 <b>2017</b> 1:19 2:23 3:9	<b>28</b> 4:21 17:15	73:19,20,22 94:1	<b>7.5.35</b> 145:21
149:25 150:2	3:23 4:5,12 6:24	38:20 41:16	165:7 168:17	<b>70</b> 17:5
151:1,11	7:13,18 11:6,17	130:7 138:16	<b>40s</b> 33:10	<b>72</b> 48:6
<b>13-hour</b> 100:7	11:25 12:5 16:1	28th 139:3	<b>41</b> 1:19	<b>73</b> 48:6
<b>14</b> 3:9 69:16	16:5,9,16 17:7,15	<b>29</b> 1:19 4:21 40:25	<b>42</b> 43:9	<b>74</b> 49:1
122:25 173:24	21:6 22:3,5 23:9	41:21 80:25	<b>44</b> 9:6 77:15	<b>75</b> 49:8
<b>15</b> 21:6 36:22	25:7 35:11 36:8	71.21 00.23	144:12	77 49:8 81:25
46:13,25 51:11	36:22,24 37:13	3	444 59:8	<b>78</b> 49:20 82:6
81:25 82:1 140:4	38:20,20 40:25	<b>3</b> 3:18 16:14 39:1	<b>45</b> 43:9 79:11	
			10 .0.5 /5.11	<u> </u>

**Brook House Inquiry** 

				Page 209
	 [		<u></u>	
8				
<b>8</b> 68:19 109:25				
131:20				
<b>8.00</b> 6:7 41:24				
<b>82</b> 50:14				
<b>83</b> 50:17				
<b>85</b> 83:8				
<b>865</b> 108:19 118:12				
118:13 130:5				
<b>865's</b> 134:20				
<b>865s</b> 139:18				
89 51:14				
<b>89(e)</b> 87:18				
9				
<b>9</b> 35:11 158:16,17				
<b>9.00</b> 23:22 41:24				
<b>90</b> 51:14 93:17				
<b>91</b> 51:18				
<b>92</b> 51:18				
<b>98</b> 52:9				
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>