1	Thursday, 17 March 2022	1	department as a manager for three years; is that right?
2	(10.00 am)	2	A. That's correct.
3	MR ERDUNAST: Good morning, chair. We will now be hearing	3	Q. When did that start?
4	evidence from Stewart Povey-Meier.	4	A. I cannot remember the exact time of that. It could have
5	MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER (sworn)	5	been 2018, around about the summer.
6	Examination by MR ERDUNAST	6	Q. As part of this job, you have said that you were in
7	MR ERDUNAST: Good morning.	7	charge of front-of-house visits?
8	A. Good morning.	8	A. Not as part of that role. That's my current role.
9	Q. Can you give us your full name, please?	9	Q. That's your current role. So, going back to the audits
10	A. Stewart Michael Povey-Meier.	10	and compliance department as a manager for three years,
11	Q. Thank you. Mr Povey-Meier, you gave a statement to the	11	would you have been working on the wings as well during
12	inquiry which is at <ser000456>. Chair, if I can ask</ser000456>	12	that role?
13	for that statement to be adduced in full?	13	A. No.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you, indeed.	15	Q. In May 2020, you started working for Serco under the new
15 16	MR ERDUNAST: Mr Povey-Meier, what that means is that the whole statement is in evidence before the inquiry, so	16	contract as a duty operations manager at Brook House? A. That's correct.
17	I don't have to take you through every line and every	17	
18	word of that statement.	18	Q. What is the role of a duty operations manager? A. Managing operational needs depending on which department
19	Just to confirm, you started at Brook House on	19	you're in.
20	23 May 2011 as a DCO?	20	Q. How similar is this role to your roles as a DCM at
21	A. That's correct.	21	Brook House?
22	O. In activities?	22	A. Very similar in terms of duty operations manager and the
23	A. Correct.	23	detainee custody manager, they are predominantly the
24	Q. In 2015, you were promoted to DCM?	24	same.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Q. That means, in this role, you're also working on the
23		25	•
	Page 1		Page 3
1	Q. As a DCM, you say that you worked mainly on residential	1	wings?
2	for four years?	2	A. Currently? From time to time.
3	A. That's correct.	3	Q. Going now to training, you have said that you had no
4	Q. Does that mean working on the wings?	4	mental health training under G4S, even though you were
5	A. Yes, it does.	5	working on the wings where detainees with potentially
6	Q. The relevant period was within those four years?	6	serious mental health issues were living; is that right?
7	A. Part of that, yes.	7	A. I had one day training after the relevant period, and
8	Q. You say "part of that", as in	8	that was to do with mental health first aid. So it's
9	A. As in	9	recognising the signs and symptoms, but that was after
10	Q the relevant period was part of the four years?	10	the relevant period.
11	A. The relevant part was part of the four years, yes.	11	Q. Since then, also, you had a two-day course with Serco,
12	Q. During the four years, you went on secondment as head of	12	on 10 and 11 March 2021; is that right?
13	safeguarding before returning to your position as a DCM?	13	A. That's correct.
14	A. That's correct.	14	Q. I want to ask you about those sets of trainings.
15	Q. That's secondment was for four to five months?	15	Firstly, the one in 2018. I think you're alluding to
16	A. Roughly, yes.	16	3 August 2018. I wanted to ask, was that training just
17	Q. Starting around May 2017?	17	you, or were other people there?
	A. May/June 2017, yes.	18	A. No, there was other people there.
18	0.77 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Q. Was that just, for example, DCMs or were there DCOs
19	Q. You have said you spent a week with Michelle Brown, who	19	419
19 20	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the	20	there?
19 20 21	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct?	20 21	A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but
19 20 21 22	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct? A. That's correct.	20 21 22	A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but I believe it might have been a mixture of.
19 20 21 22 23	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. But you didn't have any formal training on safeguarding?	20 21 22 23	A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but I believe it might have been a mixture of. Q. What about the two-day course with Serco?
19 20 21 22 23 24	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. But you didn't have any formal training on safeguarding? A. No.	20 21 22 23 24	 A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but I believe it might have been a mixture of. Q. What about the two-day course with Serco? A. The two-day course with Serco was a mixture.
19 20 21 22 23	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. But you didn't have any formal training on safeguarding?	20 21 22 23	A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but I believe it might have been a mixture of. Q. What about the two-day course with Serco?
19 20 21 22 23 24	was former head of safeguarding, to learn about the role; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. But you didn't have any formal training on safeguarding? A. No.	20 21 22 23 24	 A. I cannot remember whether it was just DCMs or DCOs, but I believe it might have been a mixture of. Q. What about the two-day course with Serco? A. The two-day course with Serco was a mixture.

		_	
1	training course?	1	colleagues if you see that there's a professional issue.
2	A. I haven't taken the initial training course, so I cannot	2	Now, my question is, what would you say was the
3	answer that.	3	approach during the relevant period regarding whether
4	Q. During the relevant period now, do you consider that you	4	staff were supposed to report colleagues if there is
5	and other DCMs and DCOs that you came into contact with	5	a professional issue regarding security?
6	were well-equipped to manage mentally unwell detainees?	6	A. My approach is always to report staff.
7	A. No.	7	Q. But in terms of what the general approach was during the
8	Q. Do you think the lack of training meant there were	8	relevant period. I appreciate what your approach would
9	issues with staff ability to distinguish between someone	9	have been, but I don't know whether you might be able to
10	being disruptive or someone with a mental health	10	say what was perhaps understood by the generality?
11	condition or in distress?	11	A. I cannot answer for the generality, I'm afraid. I can
12	A. That is possible.	12	only answer for myself.
13	Q. In the interview with Verita that you gave on	13	Q. That sounds like what you're saying, also, is that,
14	19 January 2018, you discussed shift handovers; that's	14	then, if your approach has always been to report staff
15	right?	15	in that way, then there wouldn't be a difference between
16	A. I believe so, yes.	16	before and after Panorama, but can you just help me on
17	Q. I'm just going to paraphrase. You said there's a brief	17	that, please?
18	handover but that's not good enough. You said that you	18	A. For myself, no.
19	and Steve Skitt, the deputy director, suggested there	19	Q. Were you aware of staff bringing in drugs during the
20	should be a morning meeting where you go through the	20	relevant period?
21	handover: "This is the person, this happened yesterday	21	A. No.
22	about him, we have these SDTs, these observations, what	22	Q. Were there searches of staff for drugs during the
23	are the trigger points for this person?" Do you	23	relevant period, as far as you were aware?
24	remember saying that in the interview?	24	A. I cannot remember whether there was searches, but I've
25	A. I don't remember saying that in the interview, but it is	25	always been subjected to searches throughout my 11-year
23	A. I don't remember saying that in the interview, but it is	23	aiways been subjected to scarciles throughout my 11-year
	Page 5		Page 7
1	transcribed because obviously this was a period	1	coroor at Proof. House
1	transcribed, because, obviously, this was a period	1	career at Brook House.
2	a while ago.	2	Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system
2	a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to	2 3	Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on
2 3 4	a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT?	2 3 4	Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack?
2 3 4 5	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT 	2 3 4 5	Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack?A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in
2 3 4 5 6 7	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4.</cjs005624>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not been as much in terms of drugs at the centre as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4. I'm not going to read it out in full, but I will give</cjs005624>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not been as much in terms of drugs at the centre as previously. You additionally told Verita, in terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4. I'm not going to read it out in full, but I will give a summary. D390 did not go of his own accord to escorts</cjs005624>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not been as much in terms of drugs at the centre as previously. You additionally told Verita, in terms of security, that if there is a professional issue, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4. I'm not going to read it out in full, but I will give a summary. D390 did not go of his own accord to escorts upon a request to speak to him. He had been explained</cjs005624>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not been as much in terms of drugs at the centre as previously. You additionally told Verita, in terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4. I'm not going to read it out in full, but I will give a summary. D390 did not go of his own accord to escorts</cjs005624>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a while ago. Q. What I was wondering is, firstly, you referred to something called an SDT. What is an SDT? A. I don't believe it was SDT. It might have been an ACDT or SLP. Q. This is what I was getting at, because the actual letters in there are "SDT". So you think that that might be ACDT, which we all know about, or SLP? A. That's correct. Q. And SLP is supported living plan? A. Correct. Q. Why did you think that the handovers during the relevant period were, and I quote, "not good enough"? A. I believe they could have been beneficial to the individual departments, rather than general. Q. With handovers, comparing the relevant period to today, has anything changed to today? A. Yes. They are done in the relevant departments. Q. You informed Verita in that same interview that more recently, at least as of 19 January 2018, there has not been as much in terms of drugs at the centre as previously. You additionally told Verita, in terms of security, that if there is a professional issue, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. In terms of detainees who took spice, was there a system to ensure all staff, particularly DCMs, knew who on a shift was vulnerable to a spice attack? A. No. Q. I'm now going to come on to a use of force incident in relation to detainees D390 and D1851 on 5 June 2017, which you have addressed in your witness statement. Firstly, you were the supervising officer on this incident? A. That's correct. Q. The other officers were DCM Ben Shadbolt, DCM Sean Sayers and DCO Ryan Bromley allocated to D390 and DCM Shane Farrell, DCM Darren Bulled and DCO Neil Timms, allocated to D1851, with DCM Nick London as the camera officer. Is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Please could we put up on screen the use of force report form DCF02, part of which you filled in. The reference to that, and in particular it's the reasons for authorising force, is at <cjs005624> and the page is 4. I'm not going to read it out in full, but I will give a summary. D390 did not go of his own accord to escorts upon a request to speak to him. He had been explained</cjs005624>

1	did not go. D1851 refused to leave the room after being	1	A. It's a fair summary.
2	asked. D390 was seen boiling a kettle of water. Do you	2	Q. Going now to page 21 of that document, please. This is
3	agree that's a fair summary?	3	Ryan Bromley's report, and I only want to highlight one
4	A. That is a fair summary, yes.	4	sentence from it. It is in the middle of the bottom
5	Q. Then going to <cjs005624>, page 15, please. This is</cjs005624>	5	paragraph:
6	where, after the incident, you record what you say	6	"Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore
7	occurred. That is at annex A of the form which also	7	instructions from DCO Sayers, for the safety of the team
8	confirms that use of force can only be used when it's	8	DCO Sayers advanced placing the shield onto detainee
9	reasonable in the circumstances, an absolute necessity,	9	D390's chest, placing him onto the bed on the room's
10	no more force than necessary and proportionate to the	10	left side."
11	seriousness of the situation. Again, I will summarise	11	Now if we could move on to page 26 of that document.
12	what is written and then you can tell me whether that's	12	This is Sean Sayers' report and, again, I only want to
13	a fair summary.	13	highlight one sentence at the bottom of the it is the
14	You were told by DCO Moshari that D390 had an	14	bottom paragraph in the middle of it again:
15	escorted move to Harmondsworth. After DCO Opoku, on	15	"The team led by DCO Sayers on the shield and
16	your instructions, informed D390 of this, D390 came to	16	DCO Shadbolt and Bromley as arm officers entered the
17	you. He said he was advised by his solicitors to stay	17	room. D390 continued to stand at the far end of
18	at Brook House as he had legal representation in the	18	the room next to the desk and kettle. D390 didn't
19	Upper Tribunal and an upcoming bail hearing. After	19	listen to me asking him to sit on the bed as I entered
20	conversations between the tribunal and Heenaxi Patel of	20	the room."
21	the Home Office, she told you that the move would go	21	Thank you. That can now be taken down. Chair,
22	ahead and, if he did not want to claim asylum, he needed	22	I now want to play some footage. There are a couple of
23	to tell them that and request a transfer back. She	23	issues which we will consider, Mr Povey-Meier, that
24	said, wherever he is, they would ensure his bail hearing	24	I would like you to keep an eye on, and an ear out for,
25	is facilitated at Harmondsworth. He said the	25	when it is being played. One is whether, and, if so, to
	Page 9		Page 11
1	information sounds sensible but he would take his	1	what extent, force was used on D1851, so it is worth
2	solicitor's advice and he said he would not walk with	2	keeping an eye out for that while watching the video.
3	them to Tascor.	3	The second is what, if anything, the officers entering
4	A team was assembled to prepare for any planned C&R	4	the room, particularly Sean Sayers, say to D390 before
5	intervention. D390 was in the left-hand bed and D1851	5	they engage in force. And a third is where you were
6	was there as well. The team were briefed that D390	6	when force was initially being used. That will be
7	would get a further opportunity to walk with the team	7	<cjs0074063>, from the start to 01:47. Then there is</cjs0074063>
8	before a planned C&R would occur. When officers	8	another video called UOF 137.17 BWC.MOV from 01:23 to
9	approached the room, a puddle of water was spotted on	9	01:27. Now, that needs to be closed session,
10	the floor outside the room. You looked into the room	10	unfortunately, so please may I have confirmation of when
11	and the sink showed nothing of risk to the team. D1851	11	that's been done?
12	was in bed, while D390 was in the middle of the room, at	12	IN CLOSED SESSION
13	the back by the desk, boiling a kettle of water with the	13	MR ERDUNAST: It looks like we are closed session now.
14	lid open. D1851 was asked to come to the door and leave	14	Could we put up the first of the two videos, from the
15	the room, which he refused to do and he returned to his	15	start to 01:47, please. That's <cjs0074063>, please.</cjs0074063>
16	bed. The teams were instructed to take control. Force	16	(Video played)
17	was used on D390, who was removed from the room and his	17	MR ERDUNAST: Can we just play five more seconds, please.
18	head was released as he wanted to comply. D1851 had no	18	(Video played)
19	force used on him and the team made sure he did not put	19	MR ERDUNAST: Now we are ready, I think, for the next one,
20	anyone's safety at risk, including his own. D390 was	20	which is UOF 137.17 BWC.MOV, starting at 01:23, please,
21	moved to the Tascor team. No-one was injured and	21	and stopping at 01:27.
22	healthcare were not concerned. D1851 was met	22	(Video played)
23	afterwards. He appeared calm and had no issues with	23	MR ERDUNAST: Thank you very much. I think we can now go
24	what had happened.	24	back to open session.
25	Is that a fair summary of what's there?	25	IN OPEN SESSION
	,		·
	Page 10		Page 12
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

1	MR ERDUNAST: Mr Povey-Meier, you have now watched the	1	Q. You saw, I think, that Mr Collier criticised your
2	videos. In terms of the first video, you can see	2	handling of the incident, stating that you should have
3	yourself walking to the left of the door, away from the	3	given D390, and now I quote, "a further and last
4	room, once you have opened the door for the officers to	4	opportunity to walk from the room". For the record,
5	enter. This means that you wouldn't have seen the use	5	I don't propose, unless you want me to, to put it up on
6	of force particularly initially; is that right?	6	screen. For the record, it's <inq000111> page 63.</inq000111>
7	A. Not necessarily, because I believe I came back around	7	You said in your witness statement, in response to
8	behind the team.	8	this, that you did not give him such an opportunity
9	Q. But at least for that first bit, where you were to the	9	because, when D1851 was not willing to come out of
10	left of the door, you would not have been able to see	10	the room, water lying on the floor in front of the room
11	that use of force, would you?	11	and the resident boiling a kettle of water with the lid
12	A. No.	12	up, you confirmed that the risk assessment and the
13	Q. So when you wrote in the use of force report, "D1851 had	13	dynamic had changed.
14	no force used on him", what gave you that impression?	14	Now, giving him another opportunity to walk while
15	A. Because no force was used on him.	15	your team and yourself were still outside the room with
16	Q. How did you know that?	16	the door shut, that would have taken a matter of, what,
17	A. Because the team reported back no force was used on him	17	10 seconds?
18	and then, when I went behind DCM Nick London, the team	18	A. The risk factors had changed, so that was my risk
19	were just about to go into position because they were	19	assessment at the time, due to the boiling kettle of
20	slightly behind the other two the other team.	20	water, the water on the floor and that the other
21	Q. Having watched the video, do you accept that D1851, in	21	resident was not complying with coming out of the room.
22	fact, did have at least some use of force on him?	22	Q. My question is, that would have taken about 10 seconds?
23	A. No.	23	A. Quite possibly.
24	Q. That, perhaps, may answer my next question, but I will	24	Q. And that, itself, wouldn't have put your team at risk,
25	still ask it. Do you know why the officers assigned to	25	would it? Because there would be a door between you and
	•		
	Page 13		Page 15
,			
	D1851 that's Shane Farrell, Darren Bulled and	1 1	him?
1 2	D1851 that's Shane Farrell, Darren Bulled and Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident	1 2	him? A. That's correct.
2	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident	2	A. That's correct.
2 3	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851?	2 3	A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness
2	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident.	2	A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial
2 3 4 5	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really	2 3 4 5	A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no
2 3 4	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what	2 3 4	A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back on it, do you think full PPE was necessary before you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an ear out, as I suggested. Do you accept that DCO Sayers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back on it, do you think full PPE was necessary before you knew that he was boiling a kettle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an ear out, as I suggested. Do you accept that DCO Sayers did not give instructions when entering the room which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back on it, do you think full PPE was necessary before you knew that he was boiling a kettle? A. We have always been taught, if it's a planned use of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an ear out, as I suggested. Do you accept that DCO Sayers did not give instructions when entering the room which D390 refused to follow?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back on it, do you think full PPE was necessary before you knew that he was boiling a kettle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an ear out, as I suggested. Do you accept that DCO Sayers did not give instructions when entering the room which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Neil Timms did not produce a use of force or incident report for D1851? A. Because no force was used on the resident. Q. In the second video, you said, "Be careful. It's really slippery near the doors". There is an issue as to what was alleged to be being done by D390 with the kettle. What was your understanding of what he was doing with the kettle before you and the officers came to his room? A. He had been boiling the kettle. Q. What gave you that impression? A. Because when I looked through, I could see steam coming from the kettle and the lid was up. Q. That sounds like the first point at which you saw him, as you say, boiling the kettle was right when you were at the room. You and the team didn't have any inkling of that before? A. Until I opened up the flap. Q. Now, all officers were in full PPE before you say you saw D390 boiling the kettle. Before that occurred, so they were still in PPE, and my question is, looking back on it, do you think full PPE was necessary before you knew that he was boiling a kettle? A. We have always been taught, if it's a planned use of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. So would you accept that your answer in the witness statement and also your earlier answer to my initial question doesn't fully address the point of why no opportunity was used? A. I would still say that the risk factors had changed and that there was a boiling kettle of water there that was a risk to everybody in the room and my officers on the team. Q. In light of the two points that it would have taken about 10 seconds to do and that the door would have been shut between you, the officers and D390, would you, in hindsight, accept that a final opportunity to walk should have been offered? A. Hindsight is a wonderful thing, but that was my dynamic risk assessment at the time. Q. DCO Sayers and DCO Bromley both wrote in their use of force reports that D390 refused instructions from Sean Sayers, so Sean Sayers placed a shield on him. You have watched the footage and hopefully you have kept an ear out, as I suggested. Do you accept that DCO Sayers did not give instructions when entering the room which D390 refused to follow?

1	obviously, DCO Sean Sayers wrote his report based on	1	time, he did not propose any concerns to me. We had
2	what he believed he'd done and I wrote my report	2	a two- to five-minute conversation and I did ask him to
3	believed on what happened when what I saw.	3	come to me if there was any concerns.
4	Q. In terms of what you just heard then, I was wondering	4	Q. My question was ever so slightly different. It was
5	whether, based on what you have heard just now, do you	5	whether you considered whether he might not open up to
6	accept, having heard that, that DCO Sayers didn't, in	6	you, if it was you that was giving him that talk, given
7	fact, give those instructions when entering the room to	7	that from his perspective?
8	D390?	8	A. He did not give me that impression when he was talking
9	A. Obviously, there was a lot more footage than that of	9	to me afterwards.
10	that incident and, without reviewing it again, I could	10	Q. Did you consider a different member of staff not
11	not comment on that.	11	involved in the use of force speaking to him?
12	Q. That sounds like your answer to my question is that that	12	A. No.
13	footage alone doesn't allow you to answer my question.	13	Q. My final question on this incident: is there anything,
14	Is that your answer?	14	in hindsight, that you would do differently in relation
15	A. I cannot remember from seeing that footage, sir.	15	to this incident, and particularly given the impact
16	Q. Is it a supervising officer's role to collate the use of	16	which it clearly had on D1851?
17	force forms from all the officers?	17	A. No, sir.
18	A. No.	18	Q. Let's now go to the next element, which is a supported
19	Q. But, as a supervising officer, perhaps you would look	19	living plan which you opened for D1275 on
20	over the DCMs' and DCOs' reports for errors?	20	4 September 2017, after interviewing him. Do you
21	A. Potentially, but that is predominantly done by the	21	remember that?
22	Oscar 1.	22	A. I remember what I was tasked to do, but I can't remember
23	Q. I ask this because Ryan Bromley I don't propose to	23	specific.
24	put this up, but Ryan Bromley suggested in his witness	24	Q. You accept that you opened that supported living plan?
25	statement that you did this you checked his report	25	A. I accept that, yes.
	Page 17		Page 19
1	for errors and then, after that, he made handwritten	1	Q. Was this part of your role as temporary safeguarding
2	amendments?	2	lead at Brook House?
3	A. I cannot remember this, sir.	3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. Do you accept that it's possible that you would have		
	Q. Do you accept that it's possible that you would have	4	Q. Now, I will ask you a few direct questions, because in
5		5	Q. Now, I will ask you a few direct questions, because in early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity.
5 6	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were		early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity.
	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors?	5	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the
6	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir.	5 6	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity.
6 7	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question,	5 6 7	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity.
6 7 8	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells.	5 6 7 8	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your
6 7 8 9	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley,	5 6 7 8 9	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At
6 7 8 9 10	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells.	5 6 7 8 9	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your
6 7 8 9 10 11	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no concerns after speaking with him. Did you consider	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you? A. I cannot remember, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no concerns after speaking with him. Did you consider whether he might not open up to you as the man who, from	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. The reason you gave on the supported living plan when it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no concerns after speaking with him. Did you consider whether he might not open up to you as the man who, from his perspective, authorised use of shields, if not in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. The reason you gave on the supported living plan when it was opened was that there was a safeguarding concern in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no concerns after speaking with him. Did you consider whether he might not open up to you as the man who, from his perspective, authorised use of shields, if not in your opinion on him, at the very least, right by him? A. I remember having a conversation with him and, at the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. The reason you gave on the supported living plan when it was opened was that there was a safeguarding concern in light of the BBC Panorama programme is that right? A. Yes, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	checked on this occasion the DCOs' and DCMs', who were involved, use of force reports for errors? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. I don't know whether that may answer my next question, but, again, I will ask it in case it rings any bells. Firstly, in terms of Sean Sayers and Ryan Bromley, I adverted you to the point that they both wrote basically the same thing, that Sean Sayers had told—had given instructions to D390 upon entering the room, which D390 refused. Do you know why they both wrote that same information on the form? A. I would not know, sir. Q. Did you discuss with them what to write on the form? A. I cannot remember. Q. You said that you checked on D1851 afterwards to ensure he was okay, and at the time you said you had no concerns after speaking with him. Did you consider whether he might not open up to you as the man who, from his perspective, authorised use of shields, if not in your opinion on him, at the very least, right by him?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	early 2018, D1275 was assessed as lacking capacity. I just want to understand what you may have known at the time which may have indicated to you that he might have lacked capacity. You said that you didn't have any training for your role as temporary safeguarding lead at Brook House. At any point in your time at Brook House, did you have any training on issues relating to capacity? A. No. Q. So at that interview with him before you opened the supported living plan, was anyone else there with you, alongside you and D1275? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. So you wouldn't know whether, for example, a mental health nurse or any medical staff or anyone like that was with you? A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. The reason you gave on the supported living plan when it was opened was that there was a safeguarding concern in light of the BBC Panorama programme is that right?

		Ι.	
1	Q. Essentially, you were concerned for him, in light of his	1	Q. In which case, perhaps this answers my next question,
2	vulnerabilities, because of the programme, which	2	but I will ask it anyway: were you aware that on the
3	included his spice attack, officers and managers calling	3	plan it was noted that the staff member who filled it
4	him "div" and "scrotum", suggesting "If he dies, he	4	out noted that it appeared that D1275 did not have the
5	dies"; that's right?	5	mental capacity to know when his appointments are and to
6	A. I don't remember those comments, sir.	6	attend them?
7	Q. But you were concerned about his vulnerabilities owing	7	A. I cannot remember, sir.
8	to the programme being aired, at least that much is	8	Q. And that the same staff member noted that the answers to
9	true?	9	his questions made no sense in relation to the question?
10	A. That's true.	10	A. I cannot remember, sir.
11	Q. On 5 September 2017, a day after opening the supported	11	Q. In terms of answers not making sense, and I don't know
12	living plan, you had an interview with him, and you	12	if you can assist me, if you recall the interview on
13	note, "Remains in BH. No social care issues. RMNs are	13	5 September, but did you have that same experience with
14	aware of this detainee. No diagnosed MH issues. Has	14	him regarding his answers not making sense?
15	literacy issues and has been linked into education	15	A. I do not recall that, sir.
16	services in BH". Do you recall that?	16	Q. Did any of those well, presumably, then, if you
17	A. I don't recall that, but that is what is on the	17	recall none of those things, then you might not be able
18	document, sir.	18	to tell me that any of those things may have indicated
19	Q. Can you just help me: RMNs, who are they?	19	to you that D1275 might lack capacity?
20	A. Registered Mental Nurses.	20	A. Unfortunately, sir, it is quite a long time ago. I do
21	Q. And presumably "MH" is "mental health"?	21	not recall these incidents or any of those incidents you
22	A. I would presume.	22	are referring to.
23	Q. Perhaps you can help me, the issues you identified then,	23	Q. Do you know whether any of the documents that I have
24	on that date, or indeed in your interview with him	24	mentioned were available to you when opening the
25	beforehand, before opening it, did any of those raise	25	supported living plan?
	Page 21		Page 23
1	concerns as to canacity, his canacity?	1	A Leannat remember sir
1	concerns as to capacity, his capacity?	1 2	A. I cannot remember, sir.
2	A. I cannot remember that, sir.	2	Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works,
2 3	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in	2 3	Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they
2 3 4	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding	2 3 4	Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening
2 3 4 5	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you	2 3 4 5	Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan?
2 3 4 5 6	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to	2 3 4 5 6	Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan?A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs guinea pig and seemed to be being abused and used by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who. Q. You must have been aware that D1275 refused to sign the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs guinea pig and seemed to be being abused and used by other detainees? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who. Q. You must have been aware that D1275 refused to sign the supported living plan when it was opened, because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs guinea pig and seemed to be being abused and used by other detainees? A. Again, I cannot remember, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who. Q. You must have been aware that D1275 refused to sign the supported living plan when it was opened, because you were there and it wasn't signed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs guinea pig and seemed to be being abused and used by other detainees? A. Again, I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that this had led to D1275 being put on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who. Q. You must have been aware that D1275 refused to sign the supported living plan when it was opened, because you were there and it wasn't signed? A. But I cannot remember the incident, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. In terms of the "no diagnosed MH issues" point and in particular how that interacts with issues regarding capacity in your role as safeguarding lead, were you aware at the time that D1275, on admission to Brook House on 1 May 2017, said he had mental health issues and was hearing voices? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that this led him to be referred to a mental health nurse? A. I cannot remember that, sir. Q. Were you aware that he had, by that stage, failed to attend 13 medical appointments? A. I am not aware of that, sir. Q. You were not aware or you A. I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that an SIR had been written about him on 22 June 2017 raising that he was being used as a drugs guinea pig and seemed to be being abused and used by other detainees? A. Again, I cannot remember, sir. Q. Were you aware that this had led to D1275 being put on an anti-bullying support plan on 22 June 2017? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. In terms of your understanding of how Brook House works, in terms of retention of documents like this, would they have been available to you, had you looked, when opening the supported living plan? A. The documents would have been kept, because they have to be. Q. In terms of capacity, strictly speaking, were there any specific processes in relation to capacity where, if a staff member has concerns about capacity, they are specifically logged so that other staff members potentially dealing with that individual where there are capacity concerns, that those staff members, later down the line, can consider them? A. It depends how they're logged, sir. Q. That sounds like there's no specific way of logging issues in relation to capacity? A. Depends on whether they were logged on any of our systems and by who. Q. You must have been aware that D1275 refused to sign the supported living plan when it was opened, because you were there and it wasn't signed? A. But I cannot remember the incident, sir. Q. You also it was you who ticked the box that says he

1	regime". I can take you to it, if you want, but	1	Questions from THE CHAIR
2	A. I don't need to see the document, sir, but if it is	2	THE CHAIR: Have you filled in a supported living plan in
3	a tick in a box, it's whether it was ticked at the time	3	recent weeks, months?
4	of opening or at a later date.	4	A. No.
5	Q. Why don't we just put it up, just to make sure, so we	5	THE CHAIR: Is that part of your role anymore, part of your
6	are on the same page?	6	function?
7	A. I don't need to see it, sir. It's been available to me	7	A. Because I am not generally resident facing, then my
8	and it is not going to change my answer.	8	interaction with residents currently is minimal and
9	Q. If that was you, if that was at time of opening, did	9	I have not had to do any supported living plans of
10	either of those elements cause you any concern about his	10	recent.
11	capacity?	11	THE CHAIR: Could you tell me a bit about what your
12	A. I cannot remember, sir.	12	understanding is of the process currently if you or
13	Q. Were you involved in his supported living plan after	13	a member of staff that reports to you had concerns about
14	opening it?	14	a detained person's mental capacity?
15	A. I cannot remember, sir.	15	A. So in regards, we would seek the healthcare's advice,
16	Q. There's no documented evidence of any queries with the	16	because we still don't have relevant training, we are
17	medical team to see if they were satisfied that D1275	17	not professionals in that field, so we would support
18	had mental capacity before the supported living plan was	18	we're very much driven by the mental health team whether
19	closed. Do you know whether this is because it simply	19	a supported living plan would need to be in place,
20	wasn't done or because it wasn't documented?	20	because a supported living plan is in regards to any
21	A. I do not know, sir.	21	additional changes within a regime that a resident might
22	Q. Would you say that this from your knowledge of how	22	need help with.
23	the centre worked or should have worked, would you say	23	THE CHAIR: Can you recall any recent incidents where you
24	this should have been done before it was closed?	24	have had to raise that with the mental health team?
25	A. Can you repeat the question, sir?	25	A. No.
	, ,		
	Page 25		Page 27
1	O Of course So referring to queries with the medical	1	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Then a question just related to
1 2	Q. Of course. So referring to queries with the medical	1 2	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Then a question just related to
2	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental	2	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in
2 3	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed,	2 3	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff,
2 3 4	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it	2 3 4	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you
2 3 4 5	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries?	2 3 4 5	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was
2 3 4 5 6	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting.	2 3 4 5 6	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question. MR ERDUNAST: I don't have any more questions. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question. MR ERDUNAST: I don't have any more questions. Thank you very much. Chair, do you have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIR: Mr Erdunast, I believe we will take a break now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question. MR ERDUNAST: I don't have any more questions. Thank you very much. Chair, do you have any questions? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Erdunast. Yes, I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIR: Mr Erdunast, I believe we will take a break now? MR ERDUNAST: Indeed, chair. We will have a break now until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question. MR ERDUNAST: I don't have any more questions. Thank you very much. Chair, do you have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIR: Mr Erdunast, I believe we will take a break now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	team to see if they were satisfied D1275 had mental capacity before the supported living plan was closed, would you say that this should have been done before it was closed, those queries? A. There should have been a multi-disciplinary meeting. Q. Finally, in terms of those documents that I mentioned before, throughout the months that D1275 was at Brook House, between then and opening the supported living plan, I asked you about whether those documents would have been logged, and you said that they would have been logged, or I asked you whether they were available to you and you said they would have been logged. Before opening the supported living plan, was it your practice to look through any of those documents which might be relevant to his capacity? A. Potentially. Q. But certainly, if that wasn't done, you would say that it should have been done? A. I cannot remember the incident, sir, so I cannot fully answer that question. MR ERDUNAST: I don't have any more questions. Thank you very much. Chair, do you have any questions? THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Erdunast. Yes, I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	something that Mr Erdunast asked you earlier about in your evidence regarding random searches of staff, I don't want you to give me a precise date, but can you remember how recently a random staff search was conducted, that you are aware of, either that you were involved in, yourself searched, or that you are aware happened? A. I was self-searched on Sunday. THE CHAIR: Who carries out those searches currently? A. Generally, security. THE CHAIR: So that's security staff based at Brook House? A. Security staff based at Brook House, yes. THE CHAIR: Is that DCOs or DCMs sorry, it's DOMs now, isn't it? A. It is both. THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no other questions for you. Thank you for coming this morning. I appreciate it is not an easy experience, but it's been important to hear from you. I'm grateful for you coming. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) THE CHAIR: Mr Erdunast, I believe we will take a break now? MR ERDUNAST: Indeed, chair. We will have a break now until

1	Mr Skitt.	1	A. Head of security.
2	(10.49 am)	2	Q. Yes.
3	(A short break)	3	A. Recently, this year, in January, I have now moved
4	(11.32 am)	4	over sorry, it's assistant director operations.
5	MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)	5	Q. So that's what you are doing now?
6	Examination by MR ALTMAN	6	A. That's my current role at the moment.
7	MR ALTMAN: First of all, give us your name, please.	7	Q. I will come back to Serco a little later. But nine
8	A. Stephen Skitt.	8	years at G4S. So you moved over from the
9	Q. Mr Skitt, you made a witness statement dated 4 March of	9	Prison Service, in effect, to the contracted-out sector?
10	this year. Chair, for our reference, it is <ser000455>,</ser000455>	10	A. Yes. I was working at HMP Birmingham. HMP Birmingham
11	I think it might be. I just need that checked. But,	11	was put up for privatisation, and G4S won the contract
12	whichever it is, I would like it adduced in full, if you	12	and I TUPE-ed over from HMPS to G4S.
13	would, please?	13	Q. Do we understand, then, you worked at Her Majesty's
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	14	Prison Birmingham for a while?
15	MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, let me ask you a few things about	15	A. Yes.
16	yourself, if I may. You made a very lengthy witness	16	Q. Did you run it when it went into private ownership or it
17	statement.	17	was run privately, is that what you are telling us?
18	A. I did.	18	A. It was public sector up until 2011 and then I moved over
19	Q. Do you have the statement in front of you?	19	to G4S because they were the company that won the
20	A. I have packs in front of me, yes.	20	contract, and then I remained there until I moved to
21	Q. We are not going to go to the file, as you know, too	21	Gatwick.
22	often, but I think it would help for you to have the	22	Q. I see. So for continuity purposes, presumably, you
23 24	witness statement open in front of you because I will be going to it from time to time. So you should find it in	23	stayed there. What was your position at Birmingham?
25	your first tab. If you open it up to tab 1, do you have	24	A. I was the head of security.
23	your first tab. If you open it up to tab 1, uo you have	25	Q. Under G4S?
	Page 29		Page 31
		l .	
1	it there?	1	A Under HMPS and G4S ves
1 2	it there?	1 2	A. Under HMPS and G4S, yes. O. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial
2 3	A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your	2 3	Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry".
2	A. Yes.Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite	2	Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry".A. Yes.
2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about	2 3 4	Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry".A. Yes.Q. Is it an industry?
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say	2 3 4 5	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986,
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. Q. But just under the guise of a different company? A. Yes. Q. Are you doing the same job as you used to do? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes. A. Then there were a number of grades within that role that you'd progress if you wanted to. Q. Head of security, head of safer custody, head of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. Q. But just under the guise of a different company? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes. A. Then there were a number of grades within that role that you'd progress if you wanted to. Q. Head of security, head of safer custody, head of residence, then deputy governor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. Q. But just under the guise of a different company? A. Yes. Q. Are you doing the same job as you used to do? A. When we moved over in May 2020, I was the head of security. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes. A. Then there were a number of grades within that role that you'd progress if you wanted to. Q. Head of security, head of safer custody, head of residence, then deputy governor? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. Q. But just under the guise of a different company? A. Yes. Q. Are you doing the same job as you used to do? A. When we moved over in May 2020, I was the head of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes. A. Then there were a number of grades within that role that you'd progress if you wanted to. Q. Head of security, head of safer custody, head of residence, then deputy governor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. You can go to it any time you wish to refresh your memory, and, as I say, from time to time, I will invite your attention to certain parts of it. Something about you, first. I am looking at your paragraph 3. You say you have worked in the custodial industry for 35 years? A. I have, yes. Q. 25 years of which were based in Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service, HMPPS; nine years of which was with, or has been with, G4S; and the remainder with Serco? A. That's correct. Q. Let's just break that down for a moment. How long have you been with Serco? A. Since May 2020. Q. So when Serco took over the contract at Brook House A. Yes. Q you remained in post, in effect? A. Yes. Q. But just under the guise of a different company? A. Yes. Q. Are you doing the same job as you used to do? A. When we moved over in May 2020, I was the head of security. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Just let me ask you this: you call it "the custodial industry". A. Yes. Q. Is it an industry? A. Perhaps the wrong term, but custodial environment. I have worked in the custodial environment since 1986, yes. Q. At paragraph 4 of your witness statement, you say: "Throughout my working life, I have held the roles of prison officer, senior officer, principal officer, governor grade" A. Yes. Q. Pausing there, what does that mean? A. So effectively, you moved, I guess, from what we would consider from — a uniform grade into a — when it happened, it was a governor grade role, which is effectively an operational manager. Q. Yes. A. Then there were a number of grades within that role that you'd progress if you wanted to. Q. Head of security, head of safer custody, head of residence, then deputy governor? A. Yes.

1	A. No. No, so the first, probably, 16/17 years of my	1	Q. What do you mean by that?
2	career, I worked at Long Lartin, which was	2	A. There'd been some issues amongst the SMT at Brook House,
3	a high-security prison. I then moved to	3	and I'd been to another prison to assist in a murder
4	Shrewsbury Prison, then to Stoke Heath, which was	4	inquiry that happened there, and subsequently, I was
5	a juvenile young offenders' establishment.	5	asked to stay there for a few months to implement some
6	Q. Yes.	6	of the actions that we'd found within that
7	A. From there, I moved to Brinsford, which was, again, what	7	investigation.
8	we call a split site, which held juveniles and young	8	Q. Yes.
9	offenders. And then I moved to HMP Birmingham, which is	9	A. I was then asked if I would be willing to come down to
10	a large core local.	10	Gatwick to assist with security there, as the previous
11	Q. Where was it you were deputy governor?	11	incumbent had left. Although I had a quite high CTC
12	A. At Brinsford.	12	clearance
13	Q. Is it Brins-ford?	13	Q. Which is counter-terrorism clearance; yes?
14	A. Brinsford, yes.	14	A. I had to redo that again because it was moving from the
15	Q. Where is that?	15	Ministry of Justice to the Home Office. So I had to
16	A. It's just outside Wolverhampton.	16	wait for that to take place, which took a couple of
17	Q. Then deputy director, presumably, which we will come to,	17	months.
18	G4S, at Brook House?	18	By the time I'd got clearance this is my
19	A. Yes.	19	understanding of it the previous deputy director at
20	Q. And assistant director operations?	20	Gatwick had left, and by the time I'd kind of got there,
21	A. Operations, correct.	21	I was asked if I would carry out the temporary deputy
22	Q. Which is where you are now?	22	director's role.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. That was, what, May 2015?
24	Q. Before that, I mean, you say at the foot of your page 1,	24	A. I think it may have been a bit earlier than that. My
25	paragraph 4, "AD Security Gatwick IRC". Was that	25	memory is not
	Page 33		Page 35
1	a previous job somewhere in the middle?	1	Q. Let's put some names to the posts you've identified.
2	A. So when we moved over to Serco, I was the AD of	2	Who was the previous deputy director?
3	security.	3	A. I think it was Duncan Partridge.
4	Q. "AD" being?	4	Q. It was Duncan Partridge. And the previous head of
5	A. Assistant director, sorry. My apologies.	5	security that you mentioned?
6	Q. No, don't worry.	6	A. Wayne Debnam.
7	A. And then, in January this year, there was some exchanges	7	Q. Wayne Debian, you think, or Dembian, Denman?
8	within the current structure of the SMT. I moved over	8	A. Debnam. That's my understanding of it, anyway.
9	to assistant director operations.	9	Q. Somebody called Wayne?
10	Q. We will come back to aspects of this a little later, but	10	A. Wayne, yes.
11	at your paragraph 6 on page 2, you say:	11	Q. So you come in, May 2015. Coming back to your
12	"In or around May 2015"	12	paragraph 6, you say:
	<i>y</i> =		
13	Just pausing there, by May 2015, how long had you	13	
13 14	Just pausing there, by May 2015, how long had you been at Brook House?	13 14	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC')
14	been at Brook House?		" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties,
14 15	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months.	14 15	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances"
14 15 16	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're	14	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes.
14 15 16 17	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until,	14 15 16	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all
14 15 16 17 18	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015?	14 15 16 17	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say:
14 15 16 17 18 19	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House?	14 15 16 17 18 19	 " I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes.	14 15 16 17 18	 " I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you come into post. Can you identify the month when	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of the centre as Wayne Debnam"
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you come into post. Can you identify the month when you started?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of the centre as Wayne Debnam" You spell it in your witness statement D-E-B-M-A-N,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you come into post. Can you identify the month when you started? A. So I was originally going to go down to assist at	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 " I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of the centre as Wayne Debnam" You spell it in your witness statement D-E-B-M-A-N, to help the transcribers:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you come into post. Can you identify the month when you started?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	" I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of the centre as Wayne Debnam" You spell it in your witness statement D-E-B-M-A-N,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	been at Brook House? A. Probably a couple of months. Q. So we should fix in our heads, as far as you're concerned, Mr Skitt, that you didn't start at G4S until, what, early 2015? A. At Brook House? Q. At Brook House, forgive me, yes. A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So you come into post. Can you identify the month when you started? A. So I was originally going to go down to assist at	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 " I was asked by G4S to go to Gatwick ('IRC') because there had been historical difficulties, involving many grievances" A. Yes. Q. " at senior management team level", which we all abbreviate as SMT, or the SMT level. You say: "I was therefore seconded to Gatwick IRC to support the SMT in the capacity of Head of Security and to provide some stability in the security area of the centre as Wayne Debnam" You spell it in your witness statement D-E-B-M-A-N, to help the transcribers:

1	business."	1	closed and Sarah moved with responsibilities for the PDA
2	A. Yes.	2	as well
3	Q. When you joined, who was the director?	3	Q. PDA?
4	A. Ben Saunders.	4	A. Predeparture accommodation, was moved into Tinsley House
5	Q. Had you come across Ben Saunders in the past?	5	and Sarah became head of Tinsley.
6	A. I'd previously applied for the role of deputy director	6	Q. I see. Now, in a couple of sentences, if you can,
7	at Gatwick in 2012 and wasn't successful. I'd met Ben,	7	Mr Skitt, describe the role of the deputy director?
8	obviously, then, for the interview.	8	A. First and foremost, you are deputy to the director, so,
9	Q. Forgive me, when you say, obviously, you met him for	9	in their absence, you would be responsible for both
10	interview, was he one of the interviewers?	10	sites, and, ultimately, all the elements of Brook House.
11	A. Yes, sorry, he was one of the interviewers. I had been	11	I'd have a number of functional heads that would report
12	down to do what we call a "back to the floor", so it was	12	to me, such as security, safeguarding, residents would
13	encouraged with G4S that we looked at other sites, other	13	report in to me, so I'd have overall oversight of,
14	parts of the business, and perhaps spent a day there, or	14	effectively, the running within Brook House.
15	a couple of days, in a different part of the business	15	Q. Was it chiefly an administrative role?
16	prior to that, I think it was. I chose that because it	16	A. No, it was very much a hands-on role.
17	was an area that I hadn't previously worked in.	17	Q. You also mention dealing with disciplinary issues.
18	I think, going to another prison, I felt probably	18	A. Yes.
19	I wouldn't get that much benefit from seeing something	19	Q. You say it was all-encompassing, including ensuring the
20	different, and then, after that, I applied for the role	20	welfare of staff and residents, and presumably you had
21	in 2012.	21	any number of meetings that you had to attend?
22	Q. So you found yourself now working with Ben Saunders?	22	A. There was quite a lot of meetings we'd have to attend
23	A. Yes. That's correct.	23	to, both within our own organisation and also
24	Q. You say at paragraph 7 of your statement:	24	multi-disciplinary meetings with the Home Office and
25	"I cannot recall how long the secondment was due to	25	other service providers.
	Page 37		Page 39
1	last, however, in or around August 2015, I applied for	1	Q. You say in paragraph 9 that in or around July 2019, an
2	the role of Deputy Director at Gatwick."	2	opportunity came up for the head of security role at
_			opportunity came up for the head of security fore at
3	And you were successful?	3	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing
4	And you were successful? A. That's correct.		
	•	3	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing
4	A. That's correct.	3 4	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from
4 5	A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you	3 4 5	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director
4 5 6	A. That's correct.Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move	3 4 5 6	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7	A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't	3 4 5 6 7	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What
4 5 6 7 8	A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge?	3 4 5 6 7 8	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract?
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. Q. Stacie Dean, but she moved on and, I think, for reasons 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual. Q. It may sound obvious, but what does the head of security
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. Q. Stacie Dean, but she moved on and, I think, for reasons we may have to come to. Sarah Newland, did she take 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual. Q. It may sound obvious, but what does the head of security do?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. Q. Stacie Dean, but she moved on and, I think, for reasons we may have to come to. Sarah Newland, did she take over as head of Tinsley House at some point? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual. Q. It may sound obvious, but what does the head of security do? A. Effectively, you're responsible for the I mean,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. Q. Stacie Dean, but she moved on and, I think, for reasons we may have to come to. Sarah Newland, did she take over as head of Tinsley House at some point? A. She did, because Sarah was responsible for Cedars, which was the predeparture accommodation. That building 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the — I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it — I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual. Q. It may sound obvious, but what does the head of security do? A. Effectively, you're responsible for the — I mean, security kind of starts at the perimeter, at the gate, and all the elements and policies that you would have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. You said you decided to apply for the role because you thought it would be a good challenge "and I like to move around and try new things". Presumably, it wasn't a disappointment, because it was a challenge? A. It was very much a challenge. Q. You state, as we know, at paragraph 8, that you were deputy director at Brook House during the relevant period. Now, so that we understand some of the structure, Ben Saunders was the centre director? A. That's correct. Q. You were deputy director. Were you the only deputy director? A. I was, and the split was, I was Brook House, also head of Brook House, and there was a head of Tinsley. Q. Was that Sarah Newland? A. No, that was Stacie Dean at the time. Q. Stacie Dean, but she moved on and, I think, for reasons we may have to come to. Sarah Newland, did she take over as head of Tinsley House at some point? A. She did, because Sarah was responsible for Cedars, which 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Brook House, and because at the time you were nearing retirement age, you took the decision to step down from the role as deputy director A. That's correct. Q to allow someone else to come in to the job. What happened to you between July '19 and May 2020 when Serco took over the contract? A. I became the head of security. So the head of security role came up and I took a personal decision that the opportunity was there, so I asked to be considered for that. Q. How did that differ, head of security, from being deputy director? A. As in the I mean, the head of security role is a role that I'm familiar with. It is a role that I do enjoy. I think, from a personal point of view, I found it I'm just trying to think of my words. "Easier" is not the word. I found it better for me as an individual. Q. It may sound obvious, but what does the head of security do? A. Effectively, you're responsible for the I mean, security kind of starts at the perimeter, at the gate,

1	for having a good secure centre that operates within	1	Q. Yes. Forgive me for stopping you, because what you are
2	certain boundaries. You know, it covers a great deal of	2	actually talking about now is the physical layout.
3	issues things like CCTV, security policies,	3	A. Yes.
4	management of intelligence, analysing that, you know,	4	Q. I'm not really asking you about physical
5	and being responsible for those areas.	5	A. My apologies.
6	Q. And security in terms of the detained population as	6	Q. What I'm asking you about is really the concept. You
7	well, keeping them secure within the detention centre?	7	set this all out in your paragraph 16, so by all means
8	A. Yes, it was all part of the protocols and procedures,	8	use it. If it would help you, Mr Skitt, rather than you
9	but you didn't do it as an individual. You were reliant	9	remembering, let me read it to you and that will speed
10	on the whole centre having a part to play in that,	10	up things, for a start, and, secondly, then I can ask
11	because there were certain checks that had to be carried	11	some questions arising. What you say is, you did find
12	out during the day, particularly around the fabric of	12	it different; yes?
13	the centre, you know, the management of the daily	13	A. Yes.
14	intelligence that comes through. You know, so it's	14	Q. I'm looking at your paragraph 16:
15	a really kind of ongoing continuous process.	15	"The core day of an IRC and a prison would be
16	Q. Yes, so all-embracing every aspect of the removal	16	similar, you have open doors, serve the set meals
17	centre, from presumably the people within it, staff, the	17	activities, then lock-up in the evenings."
18	detained population, the fabric of the building, the	18	Yes?
19	security of the building, as you say, starts at the	19	A. (Witness nods).
20	gates, or the perimeter fence, if there is one, and	20	Q. But you said there were many differences, and you bullet
21	those sorts of aspects?	21	point these and there are four bullets. First, you
22	A. And working with partner agencies such as the police and	22	don't send the residents of an IRC to work?
23	other law enforcement agencies.	23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. That was a role that you'd had previously	24	Q. So, unlike the prison estate where prisoners, convicted
25	A. Yes.	25	prisoners, have to work, of course those who are among
	Page 41		Page 43
1	O you were telling us. So it was one presumably you	1	the detained population in a place like Brook House
1 2	Q you were telling us. So it was one, presumably, you understood?	1 2	the detained population in a place like Brook House
2	understood?	2	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we
2	understood? A. Yes.	2 3	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for
2 3 4	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was	2 3 4	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather
2 3 4 5	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service?	2 3 4 5	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory?
2 3 4	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within	2 3 4	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So
2 3 4 5 6 7	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at Brook House, it's like comparing apples and pears. They 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at Brook House, it's like comparing apples and pears. They are two completely separate design and construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference? A. Yes. Q. Forgetting remand prisoners for a moment, the difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at Brook House, it's like comparing apples and pears. They are two completely separate design and construction, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference? A. Yes. Q. Forgetting remand prisoners, who are compelled to work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work — work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at Brook House, it's like comparing apples and pears. They are two completely separate design and construction buildings. Brook House, in design and construction, is effectively of a similar design to how a prison, in my opinion, would be constructed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference? A. Yes. Q. Forgetting remand prisoners for a moment, the difference between convicted prisoners, who are compelled to work, as compared with detainees, who can work if they wish and will be paid for it, but if they wish to do nothing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understood? A. Yes. Q. When you had that role previously, just remind us, was that within the Prison Service? A. That was work work both for G4S and working within HMPPS, yes. Q. Which brings me to another topic which we would like your help with, please, Mr Skitt: the differences between a prison environment and a removal centre like Brook House, you were asked to describe in your witness statement at your paragraph 16 on page 4. A. Yes. Q. You said it was difficult to describe. I am going to ask you to do your best. By all means, refresh your memory from what you said in your statement, because there are several bullet points, because I want you to tell us how you perceive the difference between the prison estate, if you like, and the detention estate? A. Certainly, if you look at Tinsley House and you look at Brook House, it's like comparing apples and pears. They are two completely separate design and construction buildings. Brook House, in design and construction, is effectively of a similar design to how a prison, in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cannot be put to work unless they wish to, because we hear that some of the people in Brook House cleaned, for example. Now, presumably, that was voluntary rather than compulsory? A. Yes, it was a paid what we call a paid activity. So residents there are a number of different roles that they could do. They could be servery workers, they could work in other cleaning, other parts of the centre. It's been slightly different for the last couple of years with Covid, it's reduced a lot of the opportunities that are available. You know, we kind of had, like, gym orderlies and things like that. But they can volunteer for it and they can get paid. Q. Let's be clear. In the prison estate, can prisoners be compelled to work or, again, is that voluntary? A. Remand prisoners can work if they wish. Q. Convicted? A. If they're convicted, then they have to work, yes. Q. So that's the fundamental difference? A. Yes. Q. Forgetting remand prisoners for a moment, the difference between convicted prisoners, who are compelled to work, as compared with detainees, who can work if they wish

1	then they don't have to?	1	there needs to be some measures, whether it's an
2	A. That's correct.	2	enhanced privilege scheme or some accountability for
3	Q. Secondly, you say, within prisons, there are a lot more	3	a person who would display some really difficult,
4	controlled processes in place. You mention incentives	4	challenging behaviour.
5	and privileges. Individuals in prison can earn	5	Q. I see what you mean. But somebody having personal
6	privileges through demonstrating good behaviour or	6	responsibility for their own behaviour, and there should
7	engaging with sentence management. There is an	7	be some accountability in that person who is adjudged to
8	adjudications process in prison, which can be used to	8	behave badly?
9	address and correct poor behaviour; is that right?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. That's correct.	10	Q. In terms of the third bullet point, the third
11	Q. When individuals go to prison, they know they can do	11	difference, you say, well, there's the obvious, you
12	things to improve their time in there because good	12	know, people who are convicted are sentenced to periods
13	behaviour can lead to incentives?	13	of imprisonment. They may be subject to licence,
14	A. That's correct.	14	whereas and will come out, perhaps subject to
15	Q. But if there is bad behaviour, that can result in	15	licence. But at the same time, you have people who are
16	privileges being removed?	16	in the detained population, it is an administrative
17	A. That is correct.	17	decision. They are not convicted criminals?
18	Q. You talk about the different regimes. I'm not going to	18	A. That's correct.
19	go through them all, but your statement has been	19	Q. I mean, some have been, but they have served their
20	adduced. It is going to be published so anybody can	20	sentence?
21	read your statement through, if they wish.	21	A. That's correct.
22	Compare with that Brook House. Were there any	22	Q. But many other people haven't been convicted of
23	incentives or privileges which were available?	23	a criminal offence at all, but, by happenstance, happen
24	A. In response to the points you've highlighted around	24	to find themselves in the position they are and become
25	prisons? No, there wasn't.	25	part of the detained population?
	•		
	Page 45		Page 47
1	Q. Around compliance. Good behaviour rather than bad	1	A. Yes.
1 2	Q. Around compliance. Good behaviour rather than bad behaviour?	1 2	A. Yes.Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally,
		1	
2	behaviour?	2	Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally,
2 3	behaviour? A. No.	2 3	Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point:
2 3 4	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is	2 3 4	Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison?
2 3 4 5	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you	2 3 4 5	Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison?A. Yes, that is correct.
2 3 4 5 6	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an
2 3 4 5 6 7	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures — 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A. — as we all have to adhere to some control measures in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures — Q. Yes. A. — as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when people who know that they can display poor behaviour or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended: "I used to try and instil into staff that there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A. – as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when people who know that they can display poor behaviour or be challenging and probably understand that there will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended: "I used to try and instil into staff that there were tools they could use to maintain discipline and control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A. — as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when people who know that they can display poor behaviour or be challenging and probably understand that there will be no consequences for that action, sometimes, over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended: "I used to try and instil into staff that there were tools they could use to maintain discipline and control the population of detainees in that environment."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures — Q. Yes. A. — as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when people who know that they can display poor behaviour or be challenging and probably understand that there will be no consequences for that action, sometimes, over a period of time, can make places worse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended: "I used to try and instil into staff that there were tools they could use to maintain discipline and control the population of detainees in that environment." What tools?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	behaviour? A. No. Q. In terms of accountability, you say you believe there is more of an accountability system in prison which you simply don't get in an IRC, an immigration removal centre? A. That is my opinion, yes. Q. Pausing there, Mr Skitt. What is your view: did you think an incentive and privileges scheme should be something that ought to be looked at? A. I think my personal view is I think, in all societies and communities, and I would see a secure environment as a small micro-community, I think you have to have some control measures Q. Yes. A as we all have to adhere to some control measures in any shape or form in our life and take responsibility for that. I think it became very challenging when people who know that they can display poor behaviour or be challenging and probably understand that there will be no consequences for that action, sometimes, over a period of time, can make places worse. Q. So your view is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So that is another important distinction. Finally, something I just alluded to, your fourth bullet point: prisoners know when they are going to leave prison? A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Whereas some of the detained population, it's like an indefinite sentence? A. Yes, and I think a lot of the frustrations that I would come across when walking around or talking to residents within the centre, you know, their, I guess, life, for want of a better word, it results around what is happening with them, and there is a lot of uncertainty in people as to, "Am I going to be here next week? Am I going to be here in three months' time?". Sometimes they haven't got that kind of date or point in time to aim for. So they sometimes see themselves in kind of ever-spiralling circles. Q. Your paragraph 18, you talk about daily morning meetings which you attended: "I used to try and instil into staff that there were tools they could use to maintain discipline and control the population of detainees in that environment." What tools? A. Communication.

1	A So I from nowanal arrayings I have would be	1	undowstanding how that would war larger that was
1	A. So I — from personal experience, I have worked in some	1 2	understanding how that works, you know, that was
2	very difficult environments, and I think you have to		a learning curve for me. But I think ultimately,
3	be certainly within working in any custodial	3	I think when you're dealing with people, it's about how
4	setting, you have to be a good communicator. A lot of	4	you deal with people.
5	issues you can deal with, in my opinion, by	5	Q. What about the staff under you? Do you think even staff
6	communicating with people in the right manner and trying	6	who hadn't your experience or anything like it within
7	to kind of de-escalate from that point.	7	the prison estate actually forgot what the nature of
8	Q. I assume you're not talking about use of force	8	the demographic in that place was? In other words, they
9	incidents, where use of force is a last resort and	9	regarded detained people as prisoners. Do you think
10	de-escalation can be accomplished by good communication.	10	that some of your staff couldn't see the distinction
11	Presumably, Mr Skitt, you're telling us about all other	11	from time to time?
12	kinds of problems which emerge from time to time?	12	A. I think my opinion would be that the staff fully
13	A. Yeah, but anything, interpersonal skills, you know,	13	understood the environment that they worked in and they
14	sometimes it's initially how you deal with it. And,	14	were working in an immigration centre.
15	yeah, use of force is available but, as you say, it is	15	Q. So without exception?
16	a last resort.	16	A. That would be my opinion, yes. I don't think I mean,
17	Q. Did you regard your custodial experience as an advantage	17	there were some people that had moved from the
18	or a disadvantage?	18	Prison Service into Brook House, and, you know, I guess
19	A. I would consider it as an advantage.	19	sometimes they would talk to me because we'd have shared
20	Q. Because?	20	experiences, and, you know, certainly the people that
21	A. Because of the different environments I have worked in,	21	I'd spoken to who'd come from prisons felt it was a more
22	some of the different situations that have been	22	relaxed environment and not as challenging as working in
23	presented to me. You know, I've worked in different	23	prisons and working with residents within our care.
24	roles within those environments, such as within drug	24	Q. You watched Panorama, presumably, more than once,
25	strategy, within rehabilitation centres, within close	25	Mr Skitt?
	Page 49		Page 51
1	supervision centre as a manager. You know, there's lots	1	A. I did.
2	of different areas I've worked in, and I would	2	Q. One assumes you have been following the evidence in this
3	consider well, I would consider I've gained	3	inquiry?
4	considerable different strands of work.	4	A. Some of it, yes.
5	Q. Do you ever think, Mr Skitt, that it was easy to confuse	5	Q. Did you listen to any of the evidence of Yan Paschali?
6	the two establishments, given your experience, 35 years,	6	A. I have to say, I mean, obviously I have seen Panorama on
7	you tell us, a substantial portion of which was within	7	a number of occasions, and certainly, within my time of
8	the prison estate, and here you are, for a number of	8	working in custodial environments, one of the most
9	years, dealing with a completely different population,	9	shocking things I have seen in regards to what occurred.
10	who are there administratively, yet within and you	10	I haven't personally come across anything like that
11	mentioned it a little earlier and I moved you on, but	11	throughout my career. But sorry, could you just
12	within something that looks and feels very much like	12	repeat?
13	a prison. Do you think you ever forgot that or confused	13	Q. The question is actually not so much about your shock of
14	the two?	14	what you saw presumably you're talking about
15	A. It's working with people, and that will be the kind of	15	Yan Paschali
16	forefront of my mind. I don't think it's about	16	A. Mmm.
17	different types of environments. It is wherever it	17	Q and what he in particular did to a particular
18	is, it is still working with people who will have	18	detainee?
19	a number of difficulties, vulnerabilities, challenges,	19	A. Mmm.
20	issues they need to deal with. You know, it is around	20	Q. But Callum Tulley, the BBC undercover reporter, told us
21	people. It's about me understanding what is available	21	of times, for example, when Yan Paschali would tell him
22	to me within the detention estate, and that was	22	and others about the things he, Paschali, got up to when
23	a learning curve for me. I think, you know, no doubt	23	he, himself, worked in the Prison Service at Wandsworth.
24	about that. Moving to a different environment under	24	Now, Paschali says that these were all just tall
25	a different set of kind of policies, rules and	25	stories, but were you aware of all of that?
	•		
	Page 50		Page 52
			13 (Pages 49 to 52)

		1	
1	A. I wasn't, no.	1	the Prison Service"
2	Q. Paschali telling Callum Tulley how he had assaulted	2	I'm asking you what you mean by it and what you last
3	prisoners when he worked in the Prison Service as	3	said. It's gone off the page on the transcript, but
4	a prison officer. Did you know any of that?	4	I think you were talking about handling cases,
5	A. No, I wasn't aware of any of that.	5	residents' cases?
6	Q. If he was telling the truth, here was Yan Paschali,	6	A. Yes. I think the big difference I came across, moving
7	somebody who had come from the Prison Service, now	7	from one sector to another, for want of a better word,
8	working at Brook House, bringing criminality into	8	would be the ownership of not the ownership, but the
9	Brook House and bragging about it?	9	kind of management of individuals, where you would be
10	A. I wasn't aware of	10	more involved in managing an offender, as it would be in
11	Q. No, no, I'm not saying you were. But are you agreeing	11	prison, effectively start to finish, being involved in
12	with me, now you're aware of it, Mr Skitt, do you agree	12	all their casework, being involved in all the reports,
13	that there is somebody who was not able to see the	13	having offender supervisors, and kind of have that input
14	difference between the custodial environment and the	14	into that, whereas, there is a difference that is
15	detention environment?	15	managed by the Home Office. That is what people are
16	A. I do, yes.	16	contracted to do. I guess you are just custodians. So
17	Q. So there's one example. But you say you were unaware?	17	you are custodians of managing the centre and managing
18	A. I was unaware.	18	the welfare of residents.
19	Q. And there may have been others?	19	I felt that staff came up against a lot of
20	A. Yes.	20	frustrations, particularly around individuals, knowing
21	Q. For all you know. You don't?	21	what they want to do, and, you know, I probably felt
22	A. There are things that have come to light, certainly in	22	sometimes, if that would have occurred to me in a kind
23	my career, where similar things may have occurred or	23	of prison setting, I would have probably had the answer
24	something may have come to light which, you know,	24	for the individual or certainly kind of get the answer
25	sometimes you're not aware of.	25	very quickly and kind of support those individuals. But
	Page 53		Page 55
1	Q. You say in your paragraph 17:	1	that's my personal opinion.
2	"I believe there were things that could have been	2	Q. So you're saying, in the detention estate, you felt
3	done better at the IRC in light of my time in the	3	disenfranchised from the people you were holding?
4	Prison Service."	4	A. Not disenfranchised, but perhaps staff and myself
5	What do you think could have been done better?	5	couldn't help them perhaps as much as we wanted to.
6	A. I think one of the I think, if this is what I'm	6	Q. Of course, there were others who external bodies, who
7	referring to, I think one of the one of my views was	7	were there to help, weren't there?
8	certainly, if you look at the two environments, we had	8	A. That's right, yes.
9	no kind of input into a resident's stay, effectively, or	9	Q. IMB had a statutory responsibility, Her Majesty's
10	case within an IRC. That was done remotely by	10	Inspector would come in and inspect
11 12	Home Office colleagues.	11	A. Yes.
13	Q. Could you just speak up a bit, Mr Skitt? It could be me, but I'm struggling. You're very softly spoken.	13	Q from time to time. You had the GDWG?A. Gatwick Welfare Group, yes.
14	Shout if you want.	14	Q. We will come back to them. Other
15	A. There's not many people said that before.	15	
16	Q. No, but I'm saying it now. Just speak up a little more	16	A. Healthcare providers Q. Forward Trust?
17	if you can?	17	A. We'd have Forward Trust, we'd have Migrant Help working
18	A. Do you want me to move them closer?	18	in the centre at one point.
19	Q. Move your chair forward.	19	Q. So there was assistance, but what you're saying is, your
20	A. Is that any better?	20	own staff couldn't have the if you like, the hands-on
21	Q. Yes.	21	assistance to detained men, as is possible in the
22	A. Sorry, could you repeat the question, please.	22	prisons estate?
23	Q. I've almost forgotten it. At paragraph 17 you said:	23	A. That's correct. They could. But it would probably take
24	"I believed that there were things that could have	24	some time to kind of
25	been done better at the IRC in light of [your] time in	25	 We heard evidence, if my memory serves me correctly,
25		25	
25	been done better at the IRC in light of [your] time in Page 54	25	Q. We heard evidence, if my memory serves me correctly, Page 56

1	from a former DCO by the name of Charlie Francis. Do	1	A. Mmm.
2	you remember Charlie Francis?	2	Q by the time you turned up at Brook House. Who was
3	A. I do remember Charlie Francis, yes.	3	more experienced than you?
4	Q. Who gave an interview to Dominic Aitken, an academic who	4	A. It wouldn't be for me to say. I don't know.
5	was in Brook House doing some research during our	5	Q. Well, I'm asking you to say. Who were the people you
6	relevant period, April through to August, and	6	turned to if you were a bit lost?
7	Charlie Francis told Dr Aitken how he would talk to	7	A. Other members of the SMT, the director
8	particular individuals about their problems and about	8	Q. Who? Ben Saunders?
9	their self-harming and that sort of thing, in fairly	9	A. Ben Saunders, Michelle Brown, who was quite
10	strident, robust terms.	10	knowledgeable about Gatwick. There were a number of
11	Was that something that was encouraged or, if	11	it's changed now, but DCMs, you know, who you get to
12	Charlie Francis was doing that, would that be something	12	know, you confide in. You could talk to the Home Office
13	that he was doing off his own bat?	13	if you were unsure about something or you could
14	A. No, I think, you know, my understanding would be, there	14	reference
15	are a lot of staff that would the majority of staff	15	Q. So you found people to speak to if you felt the need to
16	would do the same around the centre.	16	gain a bit of knowledge in an area in which you had
17	Q. But they had no training for it. They had no mental	17	none?
18	health training. With the best will in the world, they	18	A. Operational knowledge, probably the kind of Home Office
19	could have been talking to suicidal men and making	19	side of it, yeah, if I wasn't sure about something, that
20	things worth rather than better?	20	I didn't know, then, yeah, I'd ask or
21	A. Potentially, yes.	21	Q. Go to page 9 of your witness statement, please, and just
22	Q. Back to your statement, in your paragraph 9, still on	22	have a look at paragraph 30. You say:
23	the differences between the custodial and the detention	23	"When I started working at Brook House as acting
24	estate, you say it would be fair to say that you made	24	deputy director, I found the IRC a lot more relaxed in
25	adjustments to your ways of working once you moved into	25	comparison to the prisons I've worked in. On an
			•
	Page 57		Page 59
1	Brook House. What adjustments do you think you had to	1	operational basis, it's not as challenging as a prison
1 2	Brook House. What adjustments do you think you had to make?	1 2	operational basis, it's not as challenging as a prison environment. There is, however, more of a challenge
2	make?	2	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge
2 3	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the	2 3	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance."
2 3 4	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies,	2 3 4	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are
2 3 4 5	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the	2 3 4 5	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you
2 3 4 5 6	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry
2 3 4 5 6 7	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with	2 3 4 5 6 7	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall I probably did, but I still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every — contracts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think — I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall — I probably did, but I still — there's always something that you're going to learn, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every contracts are different. I hadn't, prior to coming to Gatwick,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall I probably did, but I still there's always something that you're going to learn, you know, and if you don't know it, you can always reference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every — contracts are different. I hadn't, prior to coming to Gatwick, worked in such a — like, a big, more complex contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall I probably did, but I still there's always something that you're going to learn, you know, and if you don't know it, you can always reference it or talk to somebody to gain further knowledge and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every contracts are different. I hadn't, prior to coming to Gatwick, worked in such a like, a big, more complex contract and, you know, in a different role. So it was new to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall I probably did, but I still there's always something that you're going to learn, you know, and if you don't know it, you can always reference it or talk to somebody to gain further knowledge and experience about it. Q. I mean, you were a pretty experienced man	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every — contracts are different. I hadn't, prior to coming to Gatwick, worked in such a — like, a big, more complex contract and, you know, in a different role. So it was new to me. Q. Was it alien, given your past experience, now working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	make? A. Some of those adjustments were adapting to the immigration estate and the different policies, protocols, the Home Office procedures, were the adjustments that I had to make, yes. Q. You say you were out of your comfort zone a bit with certain aspects of the role, and you had a lack of understanding compared to other more experienced people? A. That's correct. Q. How long do you think it took you to settle in? A. I think in any new role, you know, you've got, I think, probably four to six months to start understanding and feeling a bit more comfortable about a different environment. Q. Did there come a time when you were comfortable, do you think? A. I think I think you're always learning every day and you learn something new every day, but, you know, I'm just trying to recall I probably did, but I still there's always something that you're going to learn, you know, and if you don't know it, you can always reference it or talk to somebody to gain further knowledge and experience about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	environment. There is, however, more of a challenge with contractual compliance." This was a key issue, wasn't it, Mr Skitt, if we are looking for a major difference? Of course, when you worked in the prison estate, you didn't have to worry about complying with contracts. I mean, you were a company man now, weren't you? A. Yes. Q. When you're a company man working for an outfit like G4S, they're in it to make a profit. They are not a charity, are they? A. That's correct. Q. You here mention the challenges of contractual compliance. What were those challenges? A. Getting to understand the contract would have been the main challenge for me when I started. There had been contractual compliance required certainly within prisons working within the private sector, but it was different to coming to Brook House. I think every — contracts are different. I hadn't, prior to coming to Gatwick, worked in such a — like, a big, more complex contract and, you know, in a different role. So it was new to me.

		1	
1	for a company which had to make money out of this	1	time that you worked for G4S, when anybody from above
2	establishment?	2	told you about their unhappiness with the way that you
3	A. It wasn't alien in regards to I mean, within the	3	were complying with the contract?
4	Prison Service, there were still KPIs, KPTs and other	4	A. We would attend regular what we called trading review
5	you know, depending which area you worked in, that you	5	meetings. I can't recall any specifics in regards to
6	still had to comply with. You know, so it wasn't a case	6	the question you've asked just now.
7	that there was nothing, there was. I guess it is	7	Q. What about general? Was there ever a time, Mr Skitt,
8	different working for a private organisation because,	8	when it became clear to you that the company was not
9	ultimately, private organisations are there to make	9	happy with the way the centre was being run by
10	a profit.	10	Mr Saunders and you, that it was perhaps costing them
11	Q. Yes.	11	more money than it ought to?
12	A. You know, so, yes, that was a difference to me.	12	A. I can't recall.
13	Q. What you said was, this contract involves KPIs.	13	Q. Not one? So everybody was happy?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Well, nobody I can't recall anybody having that
15	Q. Key performance indicators?	15	conversation with me.
16	A. Yes, that's correct, yes, and different schedules and	16	Q. While I'm thinking about it, and do you remember you
17	Q. And you say set contractual standards and procedures	17	said a little earlier that Tinsley House and Brook House
18	which must be adhered to at all times, and penalties are	18	were like comparing apples and pears, a question I'm
19	applied for non-compliance?	19	asked to ask you on behalf of Bhatt Murphy is about the
20	A. Yes.	20	differences one of the differences between
21	Q. An IRC is, therefore, more demanding in that sense?	21	Tinsley House and Brook House. Can we just go to
22	A. Yes.	22	a paragraph which is relevant to this question. It is
23	Q. Where did the demand come from? I mean, your superiors	23	paragraph 261 at page 72.
24	within the company?	24	A. 261.
25	A. The demand came from within the centre.	25	Q. Do you see under the heading "Tinsley House staff":
	Page 61		Page 63
	1 age 01		1 age 05
		1	
1	Q. Yes.	1	"All DCOs, whether they worked at Brook House or
1 2	Q. Yes. A. You know, you would report to within your organisation,	1 2	"All DCOs, whether they worked at Brook House or Tinsley House, had undergone the same training.
			•
2	A. You know, you would report to within your organisation,	2	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training.
2 3	A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the	2 3	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both
2 3 4	A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key	2 3 4	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff
2 3 4 5	A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that.	2 3 4 5	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems."
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that.Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was happy with the performance under this contract? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We put a skeleton staff in there just really to manage the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was happy with the performance under this contract? A. Me personally? The people. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in — certainly, I think until the time that we — I can't remember the dates, but we — there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We put a skeleton staff in there just really to manage the kind of safety and security of the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was happy with the performance under this contract? A. Me personally? The people. Q. Do you ever remember a time when, during the period that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We put a skeleton staff in there just really to manage the kind of safety and security of the site. Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think — yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the — yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view — being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was happy with the performance under this contract? A. Me personally? The people. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in — certainly, I think until the time that we — I can't remember the dates, but we — there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We put a skeleton staff in there just really to manage the kind of safety and security of the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. You know, you would report to within your organisation, but, ultimately, it's a centre responsibility from the director downwards, and we all had a key part and key role in that. Q. And penalty points, which we will touch on, I hope, later, but that was another key element? A. Yes. Q. If the centre didn't perform and there were particular failures, failures cost money? A. But I think – yes, you're correct, but I also think, if you're not performing to what the contract is, is that having an impact on services as well? So, you know, to me, it's not about, you know, the yeah, the money element is very important, I understand that, but it's also ensuring that the services that we are providing are appropriate for people in our care. Q. So from your point of view being a company man now, Mr Skitt, at least from your point of view, what was the most important aspect of what you were doing: the people; or making sure that the company above you was happy with the performance under this contract? A. Me personally? The people. Q. Do you ever remember a time when, during the period that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Tinsley House, had undergone the same training. However, I understand there is a difference between both sites. It was clear that the Tinsley House staff preferred not to work at Brook House or be cross-deployed to other centres as physically they were different to Tinsley House and in some ways operated different processes and systems." Is that something that you found, that those staff who worked at Tinsley House were very happy with their lot, but the idea of moving them across to Brook House was something that they didn't particularly like? A. Yes, some staff did raise concerns, and I think, you know, in certainly, I think until the time that we I can't remember the dates, but we there was a lot of refurbishment that went on on both sites. Q. There was a major refurbishment at Tinsley House between September 2016 and about May 2017, when some of the Tinsley House staff were moved across to Brook House? A. That's right. Tinsley House effectively was shut. We put a skeleton staff in there just really to manage the kind of safety and security of the site. Q. Yes.

1	Q. Go back to page 6 of your witness statement, because	1	was, the expectation would be that the duty director
2	I want to ask you a little more about your deputy	2	would oversee and manage that.
3	director role. At paragraph 21, you say you had no	3	Q. Yes.
4	previous experience of being a deputy director of an IRC	4	A. You know, nine times out of ten, you were told about it
5	prior to being appointed, but you knew what you were	5	and it was a case of a phone call, "This has happened"
6	going into from the job description.	6	or, "We are going to do a planned use of force",
7	A. Yes.	7	et cetera.
8	Q. So, what, when you applied for it and eventually got the	8	Q. You say at your paragraph 29:
9	role, it was something totally new to you?	9	"Formal debriefs with staff are usually always
10	A. Sorry?	10	required following a use of force, fire, incidents
11	Q. It was totally new once you got into this role of deputy	11	involving height or loss of power incidents and these
12	director? It was a new role, and it was something you	12	are dealt with through the command or contingency
13	had no previous experience of?	13	process, part of which is a formal debrief straight
14	A. When I went into	14	after the incident."
15	Q. Yes.	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Within an IRC, yes.	16	Q. What did you understand by "a formal debrief"?
17	Q. The job description, though, was, what, to oversee the	17	A. Whether you call it an informal or formal debrief, you
18	day-to-day running of Brook House?	18	would expect, after any use of force, that the staff are
19	A. That is correct.	19	spoken with, normally by the DCM then or the DOM now,
20	Q. So it was an operational role?	20	and there would be a debrief of that particular use of
21	A. It was I think the role was deputy director/head of	21	force that had occurred, checking in with all staff,
22	Brook House, so it was both titles.	22	looking at any issues that may have arisen within that
23	Q. Yes. You told us a little earlier that the head of	23	potentially that particular use of force.
24	Tinsley at the time was Stacie Dean, when you arrived?	24	Q. The debrief then would be conducted, what, by the DCM?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. By the DCM, yes. He would complete a there would be
	D 45		D 47
	Page 65		Page 67
1	Q. The only difference, you say, between the two roles was	1	a briefing sheet, then there'd be a debrief as well.
2	that you would deputise for the director for both sites	2	Q. Right, I see. We have seen some of these and we have
3	if he was not available	3	seen use of force forms and packs. We have actually
4	A. That's correct	4	seen debriefs. They are terribly short. Was that what
5	Q is that correct? That's what you say.	5	the idea was? They don't really say much about lessons
6	A or represent the director in anything they wanted me	6	learned, it is just going around a room saying, "Is
7	to represent him.	7	everybody all right?", "Yes, yes, yes" and then the
8	Q. You were appointed deputy director in due course when	8	whole thing is over. Is that what they were supposed to
9	Sarah Newland Stacie Dean left, Sarah Newland came	9	be?
10	in. Do you know why she didn't get the job? Have you	10	A. And pick up any issues that come up. It would be
11	heard anything since?	11	about yes, you are checking in with staff, making
12	A. No.	12	sure there are no injuries. You are also checking in on
13	Q. Did you ever discuss it with her?	13	what had occurred and, you know, you'd like to pick up
14	A. No.	14	any learning points. It's effectively what I would
15	Q. Now, I want to ask you just a couple of things about use	15	you know, like a hot debrief to pick up the things when
16	of force at the moment. Go to your paragraph 24. Do	16	people remember that and you'd be causing staff to go
17	you see you say, looking to use of force incidents	17	away independently and write the reports.
18	and this is still under the heading of your role:	18	Q. Did you ever attend any use of force incidents?
19	"I would be notified of such incidents by the duty	19	A. I have witnessed use of force incidents, yes.
20	director out of courtesy."	20	Q. Did you ever attend any?
21	Was it only ever out of courtesy that you got to	21	A. Yes.
22	hear of what happened?	22	Q. In the sense of deliberately attend a planned use of
23	A. No. If you if it was a spontaneous use of force or	23	force?
24	an incident happened or, at short notice, a planned use	24	A. Yes.
25	of force was required, depending on how busy that person	25	Q. Did you ever conduct a briefing or a debriefing?
			7 (0
	Page 66		Page 68
			17 (Pages 65 to 68)

1	A. I've never I mean, I have conducted them previously	1	using these types of drugs. I think you know, I've
2	in different roles	2	seen some really difficult problems caused by that and
3	Q. Yes, yes.	3	they're very unpredictable. You know, they would turn
4	A a number of years ago.	4	some people into psychotic states for long periods of
5	Q. At Brook House?	5	time, and that had a massive impact at Brook House, as
6	A. Sorry?	6	it did in lots of other places, so it wasn't unique,
7	Q. At Brook House?	7	over a period of time, on top of an already challenging
8	A. No. But it is expected, if the duty director is on	8	environment.
9	site, that they attend the use of force. I attend them	9	Q. Except, I suppose, the difference between other places
10	now if I'm on site and available. But it's not to kind	10	and Brook House, at Brook House you perhaps didn't have
11	of be part of any of the briefing, et cetera. It is	11	the ability to search individuals in the way you would
12	there to observe. Because you have to review it again	12	those coming into a prison?
13	afterwards.	13	A. Residents? Or
14	Q. When you say "you have to", who has to?	14	Q. No, not residents. Visitors and staff members.
15	A. I generally carry out now, as part of my role as the AD	15	A. I mean, the same there were similar procedures in
16	operations. So, if there is a use of force, then,	16	place.
17	within 24 hours, I would review that use of force	17	Q. Dogs?
18	footage with, if there is one on site, a use of force	18	A. We didn't have dogs, no, that was one of the aids that,
19	instructor and with a member of the Home Office team,	19	actually, at times, I may have been used to in other
20	yeah.	20	places. But, I think, if you relate that into NPS at
21	Q. Did that happen at Brook House under G4S	21	the time, I think very early on I think it took some
22	A. No.	22	time for National Dog Services to train dogs to indicate
23	Q that system?	23	on NPS because it was it was very different in
24	A. Not to the extent that we're doing it now.	24	regards to drug use because my understanding is that not
25	Q. Nothing like it, did it?	25	one batch was the same. You know, batches were made up
	(
	Page 69		Page 71
1	A No	1	and different neanle made different batches and they had
1 2	A. No.	1	and different people made different batches and they had
2	Q. Do you know why not?	2	different effects and would have a different reaction.
2 3	Q. Do you know why not?A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it	2 3	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind,
2 3 4	Q. Do you know why not?A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership	2 3 4	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down:
2 3 4 5	Q. Do you know why not?A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within	2 3 4 5	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. 	2 3 4 5 6	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre."
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you. I think the ingress of NPS within both prisons 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over a number of years, sometimes you can play catch-up in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you. I think the ingress of NPS within both prisons — because I had been working in prisons when it came into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over a number of years, sometimes you can play catch-up in regards to the ingenious ways that people will try and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you. I think the ingress of NPS within both prisons — because I had been working in prisons when it came into prisons — and the community, was a massive change in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over a number of years, sometimes you can play catch-up in regards to the ingenious ways that people will try and get items in. But, you know, there is the staffing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you. I think the ingress of NPS within both prisons — because I had been working in prisons when it came into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over a number of years, sometimes you can play catch-up in regards to the ingenious ways that people will try and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you know why not? A. I think, as some of the lessons learnt from the past, it was something that we considered that, in partnership with the Home Office, we'd both review that within 24 hours. Q. Yes. All right. Let me ask you now, on another topic, some aspects about the culture at Brook House, and you deal with this at page 11 and onwards of your witness statement. You say at paragraph 37: "Brook House had a mixture of challenges during the relevant period, such as a change in the drug subculture which covered the challenging use of NPS (also known as spice), this was not unique to us but across all custodial types of sites and the community. In addition, there was a high population of time-served foreign national offenders All of this will have impacted on its culture." So that's the culture amongst the detained population, presumably, you're talking about there? A. I think if I can just expand on that briefly for you. I think the ingress of NPS within both prisons — because I had been working in prisons when it came into prisons — and the community, was a massive change in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different effects and would have a different reaction. Q. You say at paragraph 42, while we have drugs in mind, over the page, about four lines down: "We worked tirelessly to try and stem the ingress of these drugs into the centre." A. Yes. Q. What did "working tirelessly" look like, Mr Skitt? A. I mean, you have to look at your main points of ingress, you know, and they change. There were the elements around visits. Q. Around visits, yes. A. Around visits, visitors coming in; around items coming in the centre through parcels. You know, sometimes you felt you were one step behind because you'd find one way of it was organised crime, whichever way you look at it, outside, the way it was organised. You know, it could come in impregnated on paper, you know, I think people got to a stage where they understood that, then there'd be something you know, there'd be another way of doing it. So sometimes, from my experience over a number of years, sometimes you can play catch-up in regards to the ingenious ways that people will try and get items in. But, you know, there is the staffing

1	we couldn't also considered a difficulty was	1 Q. You touched on staff members, because you told us about
2	prisoners, ex-prisoners, coming into the IRC estate from	2 police activity, you have told us about visits,
3	prisons. You know, it was a recognised ingress entry	3 reception, parcels, and you touched on intelligence; and
4	certainly within prisons, certainly in the local prison,	4 I think you said in relation to staff?
5	you know, that you would have people go out, they would	5 A. Yes.
6	break their licence conditions, knowing they're going to	6 Q. Did you have any rogue staff members bringing drugs into
7	come back in 28 days, and, you know, without being	7 the centre?
8	graphic, I mean, most items are secreted where nobody	8 A. The intelligence would suggest we did.
9	can be searched.	9 Q. What was done about it?
10	Q. So what was the tireless work to stop it happening?	10 A. There was quite a lot of work done around that, but of
11	A. So we had the security team working on that. We had	course, people asked me the same question and it's fine
12	staff on visits who required focused on looking for	12 knowing somebody is up to something, but, as everybod
13	anything that may happen in visits, such as passes, such	would say, you've got to prove it. That is you know,
14	as, you know, identifying nominals that we would be	having worked in this field for a number of years,
15	looking at. You would look at where they were kind of	particularly around drugs prevention, I have had some
16	positioned and staff had some information. You would	really good results and I've had results where, you
17	have the reception staff with parcels and you would also	17 know, you just can't obtain the evidence.
18	have some of the security team that were dealing with	We'd got some considerable intelligence on a number
19	the confidential SIR process who were managing the	19 of staff. We'd shortlisted
20	intelligence around staff. We were also working very	20 Q. Forgive me, are we talking about the period we are
21	closely with Gatwick police, who provided a lot of	21 interested in or generally? We are talking about April
22	support around that, and it was just about pulling all	22 to August 2017?
23	that together.	23 A. I can't be certain, to be honest. I can't be certain.
24	Q. Did it make a difference?	24 But certainly there was some work going on around
25	A. I mean, yes, it did. I mean, it's very hard to measure,	25 a number of staff which we were concerned about.
23	A. 1 mean, yes, it did. 1 mean, it's very nard to measure,	a number of staff which we were concerned about.
	Page 73	Page 75
1	but I think you know I think thous our come naments	There'd been we had brought the police in to do some
2	but I think, you know, I think there are some reports	
3	that were when we kind of get towards 2018, there was	1 '
	some notable kind of reductions in drugs within the	
4	centre.	
5	Q. I mean, during the period that we are looking at, we	g , , g
6	heard members of healthcare saying it was only a matter	
7	of time before somebody died?	7 gaining that intelligence together, to try and form
8	A. Yep.	8 to try and kind of look at where we go with it.
9	Q. Was that a fear that you and Mr Saunders had?	9 Q. If we have time, we may come back to an example or so of
10	A. It was a fear I certainly had, having come across this	that later. So that's one aspect of culture within the
11	type of drug before in other custodial environments,	11 centre. Another aspect you deal with at your
12	yes. It was certainly an unknown drug. Nobody	12 paragraph 38 on page 11 where you talk about
13	understood the real kind of impact it could have. As	13 a long-established grievance culture. Do you remember
14	I said, it was a different — it could be a different	14 you told us that the reason, or perhaps one of
15	strain every time. I mean, that's you know, you hear	15 the reasons, you were brought in or seconded in,
16	stories about people being used as kind of people to	eventually got the job in May 2015, was to provide some
17	test drugs.	stability to the centre because of what was going on.
18	Q. Guinea pigs?	18 Again, if we have time, we may look at some of
19	A. Guinea pigs.	19 the detail, if not with another witness, but what had
20	Q. We have had evidence of that as well?	20 been happening historically at Brook House for you to
21	A. It was quite common in prisons. If a separate batch	21 characterise it as a long-established grievance culture?
22	came in, you know, prisoners would use other people to	22 A. I think I didn't know the details of previous
23	kind of just see what the effect was.	23 grievances. I think, from experience, and, you know,
	O C 41 4 14 14 15 11 110	24 I'd say to any member of staff, if you do have
24	Q. So that culture came in to Brook House as well?	
24 25	A. Yes.	25 a particular issue I think a lot of issues, perhaps
		25 a particular issue I think a lot of issues, perhaps Page 76

1	in the first instance, should be dealt with at the	1	A. Yes, that's correct.
2	appropriate tier, but one thing I did notice, and	2	Q. It doesn't sound like it was in control, does it,
3	I hadn't really come across it before in my career, was	3	Mr Skitt?
4	that I am aware, but not aware of the details, that	4	A. What do you class as not in control out of control in
5	there had been a number of members of the SMT that had	5	regards to
6	submitted grievances.	6	Q. Well, you know, here you are, all of you, on the SMT
7	Q. Against each other or against the centre director or	7	running a centre where you have a detained population.
8	what?	8	At one stage, at maximum capacity, you'd have over
9	A. Against the centre director, Ben Saunders, yes, which	9	500 men in your control?
10	I as I said, I hadn't really come across that.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. This was 2015	11	Q. All of these squabbles and grievances and disputes
12	A. Yes.	12	between individuals is a huge time resource and labour
13	Q and ongoing	13	resource and people take their eye off the ball a bit,
14	A. Yes.	14	don't they?
15	Q through to 2017?	15	A. Are you talking about within the SMT?
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Yes. It can't do otherwise, can it?
17	Q. Where, as you put it, there were a lot of unhappy people	17	A. It doesn't make a healthy working relationship.
18	raising not just issues with other members, but formal	18	Q. No, it is particularly unhealthy because, while people
19	grievances?	19	are trying to resolve their grievances and their
20	A. Yes.	20	differences, they are taking their eye off the job
21	Q. Was it out of control, do you think, Mr Skitt, from what	21	they're supposed to be doing, aren't they? It stands to
22	you saw?	22	reason?
23	A. I wouldn't say it was out of control.	23	A. I have no evidence to support that, but I do
24	Q. Was it being controlled?	24	Q. It is not healthy, as you say.
25	A. I believe so. I believe, if I recall, that appropriate	25	A. It is not healthy, no.
23	A. I believe so. I believe, if I recall, that appropriate	23	A. It is not healthy, no.
	Page 77		Page 79
1	action was being taken you know, if a grievance was	1	Q. And I repeat what you said, something you had never seen
2	submitted, then appropriate action was being taken	2	in 30 years of work?
3	within the organisation.	3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. You put it like this in your statement:	4	Q. Whether this happens by chance or not, let me see if you
5	"In my 30 years working in other areas, I had never	5	can help. Where did it all come from? Why, in this
6	really seen or dealt with so many grievances."	6	place of all places, during the period we are talking
7	You say none were ever raised against you. But you	7	about or the run-up to the period and through the
8	had never seen anything quite like it, by the sound of	8	period, if you had to put your finger on the point, or
9	it?	9	nail why it was that all these grievances were arising
10	A. I hadn't.	10	at this place, what was it?
11	Q. These were personal disputes or disputes around somebody	11	A. I don't know.
12	being aggrieved that they hadn't got a job or they had	12	Q. Where was the problem?
13	been overlooked for something or had been spoken to in	13	A. I don't know, is the honest answer.
14	the wrong way, or was it a smattering of everything?	14	Q. I mean, you're a people person, Mr Skitt, you tell us?
15	A. I mean, I didn't see, unless I was asked to look into	15	A. I'd be speculating, to be honest, but I don't know.
16	one, you know, people submitted grievances through	16	Q. Well, you observed it, you saw it?
17	various ways. I'd had grievances submitted against me,	17	A. These had been going on for some period prior to me
18	as you are aware.	18	going to Gatwick. I think by the time I got there,
19	Q. Well, actually, you say "none were ever raised against	19	I think I think most of them had been resolved either
20	myself".	20	by people leaving, I think because I believe they
21	A. Prior to going	21	involved the previous deputy director.
22	Q. Prior to going there?	22	Q. That was Duncan Partridge?
23	A. Sorry, that may be my	23	A. Yes, he had left the business.
24	Q. Fine. But, as we know, grievances were raised against	24	Q. Stacie Dean, eventually?
25	you as well once you were there?	25	A. Stacie was still there.
	•		
	Page 78	1	Page 80
	1 age 70		1 uge oo

1 Q. She left eventually, did she? A. Loraine Higgins was the director's PA. Q. And apologies from Michael Gibson. Who was he? 2 A. She left eventually. 2 3 O. Yes. 3 A. He was the -- I'm trying to think of his title now. 4 A. I think Michelle Brown. I think that had been concluded 4 Basically, he worked within the central team looking at 5 when I got there, or just -- had just been concluded. 5 governance and audits and working in that area. And 6 6 Kalpesh was the accountant. 7 A. Then Wayne Debnam had left -- he'd gone. 7 Q. We can see under "Matters arising", point 3: 8 Q. These are senior members? 8 "Discussions took place around the cross-deployment 9 9 by Tinsley staff to Brook ..." 10 10 Q. Of a management team, trying to manage this place. This This is well before the refurbishment because this 11 long-established culture was such that you were brought 11 is March 2016 and this is something you have touched on 12 in to stabilise the ship. Do you think you succeeded? 12 already about the displeasure of Tinsley House staff 13 A. Honest answer? 13 having to work at Brook House, but I'm really interested Q. Yes. We don't want a dishonest one, Mr Skitt. 14 14 in the rest of this sentence: 15 A. No, I've sworn on the Bible. No, I think it was a very 15 "... and that the amnesty from grievance is to end." 16 challenging and difficult environment to work with. 16 What's that all about? 17 I found it personally difficult as well. 17 A. I haven't got a clue. I have been asked this question. 18 Q. Was this a function that you had, as a deputy director, 18 I can't think of what that sentence would mean. 19 that you were comfortable with? Was it something you'd 19 Q. You were there? 20 been used to in your other spheres of employment, 20 A. Yes. 21 dealing with people who were at each other all the time? 2.1 Q. This is a meeting which you attended? 22 A. No. I think it did -- you know, I'd be used to working 22 A. I can't recall. I mean, I can't recall the meeting. 23 within the team and, you know, I'd worked in teams 23 I probably attend quite a lot of meetings. But I have 24 previously at this level where, yeah, you had 24 tried to reflect on this question because it has been in 25 25 disagreements with people, you know, but, as a team, you my kind of questions. Page 81 Page 83 1 O. Yes. 1 worked together. So I think it did hinder the 2 2 encompassing a team kind of working, in my opinion. A. I cannot think what that would mean; whether that's been 3 3 Q. Can we look, please, at a document I'd like to put up on misrepresented wrong in the minutes by the minute taker, 4 screen now for the first time, <CJS000463>. Chair, I'm 4 I don't know, but I can't really recall. 5 5 not going to take you to all of the tabs, because they Q. If meetings of the SMT run like most meetings of any 6 have been copied in such a way that only some of board or any committee, then the minutes tend to be sent 7 the pages, and not all of the relevant pages, have been 7 around to the participants to approve them? 8 copied. So it may just be easier to focus on the 8 A. Yes, don't disagree. Q screen. 9 O. It looks as if this is not a draft but a final minute of 10 THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. 10 the meeting. "Amnesty" is a curious word, don't you 11 MR ALTMAN: <CJS000463> at page 1. This is a minute of an 11 think --12 SMT meeting. We can see it is well before our period, 12 A. Yes. 13 it is 3 March 2016. We can see who is present: 13 Q. -- in the circumstances of what appears to be grievances 14 14 Lee Hanford. We have heard from him. There is you, coming to an end? But anyway, you can't help us? A. I can't -- sorry, I can't help you, no. 15 Steve Skitt. Michelle Brown, Stacie Dean clearly still 15 there in March 2016, Sara Edwards, Sarah Newland, 16 16 Q. We have been talking about pressures. This is one 17 Michelle Fernandes. She was HR; is that right? 17 pressure, the long-established grievance culture. We 18 18 have been talking about drugs and the other problems A. That's correct, yes. 19 Q. Neil Davies. Who was he? 19 within a detention centre. This is a question that I'm 20 20 asked, again, to ask you on behalf of Bhatt Murphy. A. Head of security. 21 Q. Jules Williams, we have heard from him. Michael Bird 21 I've slightly reworded it. But do you accept that the 22 and Loraine Higgins, who were they? 22 nature of Brook House, together with the nature of 23 A. Michael Bird was the facilities manager at the time, so 23 the detainee population, many of whom were vulnerable 24 he was responsible for all the maintenance of the site. 24 with mental health issues, and were subject to, in 25 Q. And Loraine Higgins? 25 effect, indefinite detention, as well as abuse, made all Page 82 Page 84

1	of the pressures and there are presumably more that	1	who didn't know any different?
2	we will come to particularly acute? Do you think all	2	A. So you get into I mean, I can't recall exactly how
3	of that was a bit of a tinderbox?	3	long the Tinsley staff worked at Brook House. I mean,
4	A. I mean, one is well, it would do in any environment.	4	it was probably a considerable amount of time while the
5	But in regards to the actual kind of what was the	5	refurbishment was going on.
6	problem around mental health, drugs was certainly	6	Q. Yes.
7	a problem, mental health, you know, as we know in a lot	7	A. Staff would get used to the greater numbers. You know,
8	of environments, similar you know, there are a lot of	8	it would feel, perhaps, a bit more comfortable with the
9	people who suffer mental health. I mean, I'm not sure	9	extra staffing that we could put in areas. And I think
10	what the abuse bit is in relation to.	10	you get used to it, and I think, when you remove
11			, ,
12	Q. Well, you've seen it on Panorama. A. That particular?	11	something you know, we tried to put some comms around
13	•	12	this to say, "Look, you know, Tinsley staff are going
	Q. Yes, that's the abuse I'm talking about.	13	back. There will be a noticeable change in what you see
14	A. That's fine.	14	within your working environment", because there would
15	Q. Verbal and physical.	15	be, there would be less staff, you know, a considerable
16	A. Yeah, well, it would do. It would have an impact.	16	amount of less staff, yes.
17	Q. What about staff morale generally? What was your view	17	Q. So when staff complained of understaffing, are you
18	of that?	18	saying that's not something you recognise or there was
19	A. There were concerns with staff morale, you know,	19	understaffing?
20	listening to staff going around. There were a number of	20	A. Yeah, I recognise that there was issues with staffing
21	issues raised about staff, around staffing levels,	21	that, you know, just kind of listening to staff walking
22	around other elements of it, yes. Staff morale was	22	around. It was a challenge. You know, staffing levels
23	a kind of concern.	23	were a challenge. You know, we worked in a very
24	Q. Well, you say at your paragraph 43 on page 13, with	24	challenging environment, you know, and I have to say,
25	reference to staff morale:	25	you know, the staff, you know, did an outstanding,
	Page 85		Page 87
	1 age 05		1 age 07
1	" we had staff [at one point] from Tinsley	1	excellent job every day that they come in. We do know
1 2	" we had staff [at one point] from Tinsley working here because Tinsley was shut for	1 2	excellent job every day that they come in. We do know that there are a few exceptions to that, but you
2	working here because Tinsley was shut for	2	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you
2 3	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment."	2 3	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were
2 3 4	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes.	2 3 4	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic.
2 3 4 5	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at	2 3 4 5	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on
2 3 4 5 6 7	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high"	2 3 4 5 6	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says:</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back."</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was?</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes.</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may have led staff to believe that we were understaffed"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes. Q. Your paragraph 44, you were clearly asked about G4S's</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may have led staff to believe that we were understaffed" So your take on it is that the disappearance of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes. Q. Your paragraph 44, you were clearly asked about G4S's values, and you say:</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may have led staff to believe that we were understaffed" So your take on it is that the disappearance of the Tinsley House staff in around May time, May 27 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that there are a few exceptions to that, but — you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes. Q. Your paragraph 44, you were clearly asked about G4S's values, and you say: "The values of G4S were integrity and respect,</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may have led staff to believe that we were understaffed" So your take on it is that the disappearance of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes. Q. Your paragraph 44, you were clearly asked about G4S's values, and you say:</imb000048>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working here because Tinsley was shut for refurbishment." A. Yes. Q. You have told us that: "During this time [you say], the staffing levels at Brook House [were] very high" A. They were, yes. Q. " as you essentially had two centres' worth of staff working at one centre. As soon as the refurbishment works were completed, the additional staff transferred back to Tinsley and the staffing levels at Brook House went back to 'normal'. This reduction [you say] in staffing levels would have been very noticeable to staff members who joined Brook House during the period within which Tinsley staff were also working here, as to them, the increased staffing level would have been the norm. They would not have known any different. The workload for each member of staff would have increased once the Tinsley staff left as there would have been less staff members to share responsibilities between. This may have led staff to believe that we were understaffed" So your take on it is that the disappearance of the Tinsley House staff in around May time, May 27 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that there are a few exceptions to that, but you know, but on the whole, the staff group, I thought, were great, were fantastic. Q. Let's look at another document, if we can put up on screen, please, <imb000048>. This is a note of an IMB statutory visit in the week commencing 10 July. If we look towards the bottom of the document, under the heading "Clyde wing", we can see that the author of this note says: "One of the officers I spoke to said morale was 'rock bottom' and said they are considered experienced having started the job 11 months ago, but the majority of staff are much newer. He said it was unusual that they had four on the wing when I visited and said it is usually only two or three and often no cover for rest breaks. He reported it was worse since the Tinsley staff had gone back." Presumably, you won't dispute that sentiment from whoever the individual was? A. That could be a fair reflection, yes. Q. Your paragraph 44, you were clearly asked about G4S's values, and you say: "The values of G4S were integrity and respect,</imb000048>

1	teamwork."	1 effectively being shut out and ostracised for having
2	Does any of that mean anything, in reality? I mean,	2 done my duty and bringing to the attention of the senior
3	it sounds like a mission statement, but does it mean	3 managers here racism", and when it's given to the centre
4	anything to anyone, any of that stuff, Mr Skitt? Or are	4 director, who delegates it to you, the deputy, end of
5	these just sound bites?	5 the line?
6	A. It means quite a bit to me personally.	6 A. I can't recall that specific complaint.
7	Q. Does it really mean much to the staff under you, do you	7 Q. No. You can't recall it?
8	think?	8 A. No.
9	A. I'd like to think so. I would like to think that they	9 Q. You're not saying Mr Syred has invented it, though, are
10	act with integrity and respect others and look after the	10 you?
11	safety of people in our care, yes.	11 A. No.
12	Q. Over the page, in the final sentence of paragraph 44,	12 Q. But if he is right, and you can't recall it, it is quite
13	you say:	13 a serious thing, isn't it
14	"I think, as a manager, it is important to	14 A. Yes.
15	understand how these values cascade down."	15 Q that you didn't deal with it?
16	How were those values cascaded down?	16 A. I don't disagree.
17	A. I mean, I would I know there's some, perhaps,	17 Q. Can you explain it? No explanation?
18	criticism of me doing that. I would generally come in	18 A. I can't recall it, you know.
19	early on a morning. I always have done. I still do.	19 Q. Because what it suggests is a culture Mr Syred was
20	And I would like to attend the staff morning briefing	20 a respected member of staff. He was a welfare officer.
21	and listen it wasn't my briefing, it was the kind of	21 We have seen him
22	Oscars' briefing or the duty managers' briefing of	22 A. Yes.
23	the day, where they would run through what we would call	23 Q in this inquiry, and if somebody like him isn't being
24	the handover, which kind of highlighted any previous	24 taken seriously, and if somebody like him has complaints
25	concerns from the previous 24 hours, would talk about	25 of a serious nature of that kind which are not dealt
	Page 89	Page 91
1	any issues that were perhaps going to be relevant for	1 with, it rather suggests that a culture is allowed to
2	the day in question, and any pointers that staff would	2 mushroom, don't you think?
3	need to be aware of. You know, and I would be available	3 A. I don't disagree with that comment.
4	,	
	for any questions or, if there were anything that	4 Q. Because one of the things we have heard about very often
5	for any questions or, if there were anything that I needed to message I needed to give to staff because	,
5 6	for any questions or, if there were anything that I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for	,
	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because	5 is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you
6	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that.	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" I have mentioned "toxic" in
6 7	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" I have mentioned "toxic" in
6 7 8	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what
6 7 8 9	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for
6 7 8 9 10	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but,	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre.
6 7 8 9 10 11	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values.	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" – I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to it and that Mr Saunders, according to him, passed that	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing? A. Of the Panorama?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to it and that Mr Saunders, according to him, passed that complaint on to you to deal with and, says Mr Syred, it	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing? A. Of the Panorama? Q. No, of that kind of culture, Mr Skitt?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to it and that Mr Saunders, according to him, passed that complaint on to you to deal with and, says Mr Syred, it wasn't dealt with and he received no response.	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing? A. Of the Panorama? Q. No, of that kind of culture, Mr Skitt? A. There had been issues raised that had been dealt with,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to it and that Mr Saunders, according to him, passed that complaint on to you to deal with and, says Mr Syred, it wasn't dealt with and he received no response. Now, what do you say about that Mr Skitt? Because it is a serious charge, Mr Syred saying, you know, "I'm	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing? A. Of the Panorama? Q. No, of that kind of culture, Mr Skitt? A. There had been issues raised that had been dealt with, but, as a whole, the way — staff would react differently and be different around me than they would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I needed to message I needed to give to staff because most of the staff were there. I found it useful for that. You know, and some of the conversations were about how we you know, I wouldn't exactly say it in regards to, "This is our values", and go through each one, but, in a kind of nutshell, talk about, you know, things that I want to put across about how we deal with people encompassing those values. Q. One of the criticisms about you, Mr Skitt, is, for example, by Owen Syred. We don't, perhaps, have to look at it now because I'm sure you will have read it. But where he had a complaint where he had been called a "snitch" or a "grass" for informing on racism within the centre, and he told this inquiry about complaints he raised, in particular with Ben Saunders, in relation to it and that Mr Saunders, according to him, passed that complaint on to you to deal with and, says Mr Syred, it wasn't dealt with and he received no response. Now, what do you say about that Mr Skitt? Because	is that this place had a toxic culture. Do you recognise that? A. The word "toxic culture" — I have mentioned "toxic" in my statement in relation to, I think, my views of what the SMT had become. I'm not sure a toxic culture for the centre. Q. Well, we have heard of "laddish", "macho", you've seen Panorama for yourself? A. Yes. Q. You've seen some of the language used towards detained men, the language used amongst staff of others, about others. Whether you care to call it "toxic" or not, it's not very good, is it? A. No. Q. Are you saying you were blissfully unaware of the whole thing? A. Of the Panorama? Q. No, of that kind of culture, Mr Skitt? A. There had been issues raised that had been dealt with, but, as a whole, the way — staff would react

1	within their own peer group or kind of other managers	1	deputy director, you would have been privy to some of
2	Q. Yes.	2	the concerns raised by staff, whether this was through
3	A you know, and talk in a different way. Had I been	3	the formal whistleblowing policy or the general
4	aware, or had any members of the SMT been aware, of such	4	complaints process. You say, if you were made aware of
5	a culture, as what you are describing, you know, I am	5	any occasion where someone raised concerns in relation
6	certain that something would have been done about it.	6	to the treatment of a detained person, formally or
7	Q. So, thinking about it I mean, you've seen the	7	informally, you would evaluate the information and make
8	Panorama programme, I'm sure more than once, and all of	8	any appropriate decisions.
9	this information comes out about an organisation which	9	If you go on to paragraph 50, there you deal with
10	you were running day to day; it must have been pretty	10	the responsive staff members when action is taken
11	shocking?	11	against colleagues. You say that's not something you
12	A. I mean, I can't comment I mean, the information that	12	could really comment on because you wouldn't really be
13	came out afterwards we weren't aware of before.	13	party to what they say. But you add procedures are in
14	Q. No. It must have been pretty shocking?	14	place for people to say something if they thought or
15	A. Yes.	15	think something was or is inappropriate:
16	Q. "How did I, Steve Skitt, miss all of this?"	16	"I think that people felt comfortable reporting or
17	A. I ask myself the same question.	17	using these reporting systems, as we did get a few
18	Q. "How did I, Ben Saunders, miss all of this?"	18	reports from staff about staff, as far as I can
19	A. And I'm sure a lot of people, if they are honest with	19	remember."
20	themselves, would say, "How did we miss all that?", and	20	Now, the few reports you talk about, in the scheme
21	we did, and we didn't find out a lot of it, as you know,	21	of things, are you saying that we are forgetting
22	until after the programme had been aired because nobody	22	grievances amongst SMT members, but we are talking about
23	had told us.	23	serious reports from one staff member about another
24	Q. Was it you weren't sufficiently visible?	24	complaining about mistreatment or abuse or derogatory
25	A. I would say I was relatively visible.	25	language. Are those the few reports you're talking
	Page 93		Page 95
1	O. Relatively?	1	about there?
1 2	Q. Relatively? A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't	1 2	about there? A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff
2	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't	2	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff
2	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week,	2 3	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in
2	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not	2	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion,
2 3 4 5	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it	2 3 4 5	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either
2 3 4	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but	2 3 4	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in front of you? Look at paragraph 48, please, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time. Q. I gave you one example of Owen Syred a little earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in front of you? Look at paragraph 48, please, which is page 14. There you say you couldn't recall any specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time. Q. I gave you one example of Owen Syred a little earlier. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in front of you? Look at paragraph 48, please, which is page 14. There you say you couldn't recall any specific occasion, from memory, due to the time which has passed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time. Q. I gave you one example of Owen Syred a little earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, by and large, people didn't report, did they, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in front of you? Look at paragraph 48, please, which is page 14. There you say you couldn't recall any specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time. Q. I gave you one example of Owen Syred a little earlier. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think I got around the centre quite a lot. I wouldn't say every day, but I would say, you know, in the week, I got around the centre as much as I could. It may not have been the whole of the centre at all the time, it may have been different areas, but Q. So it may have been relative invisibility or people were hiding it from you or not doing it in front of you? A. I don't think respectfully, I don't think they're going to do it in front of me. Q. No. A. You know, that would be my view. MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is just gone 1.00 pm. Time for lunch, Mr Skitt. THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR ALTMAN: 2 o'clock? Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.05 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, have you got your statement still in front of you? Look at paragraph 48, please, which is page 14. There you say you couldn't recall any specific occasion, from memory, due to the time which has passed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think it could be any report that any member of staff felt uncomfortable that they wanted to raise in a perhaps more open forum. Some staff, in my opinion, would have perhaps felt more comfortable to either contact the "Speak Out" line Q. Speak up just a bit. Yes. A. The "Speak Out" line, or some staff may feel comfortable to put a they could put a confidential SIR in, and I have seen some from staff that have been anonymous or you know, or through those channels. Q. Given the nature and scale of the abuse that the inquiry has heard about, are you prepared to accept, Mr Skitt, that the procedures for complaining couldn't have functioned very well? A. Yes. Q. You're prepared to accept that? A. For the incident, yes, because, obviously Q. Well carry on. A. We didn't know about those concerns until after the incident, at a later point in time. Q. I gave you one example of Owen Syred a little earlier. A. Yes. Q. But, by and large, people didn't report, did they, what

1	it?	1	system and realising it wasn't fit for purpose?
2	A. I think in the examples of the programme itself, that is	2	A. The whistleblowing process was in place. It was there
3	correct, yes, because we didn't know and nobody did	3	for staff to use. We know staff did use it because we'd
4	report it.	4	had some reports gone through. However, I think, after
5	Q. I mean, if we look at a document, if we can put it up on	5	this, it was noted that the it would be important for
6	screen, Zaynab, <imb000026>, and this is a Brook House</imb000026>	6	staff, if they did want to raise anything, that the
7	Independent Monitoring Board, IMB, meeting of	7	whistleblowing process was in place and we sent letters
8	20 September. This is a month after you have first been	8	to all the staff with whistleblowing cards on, just as
9	notified of the BBC allegations.	9	a kind of belt and braces, really, to impact on the
10	A. Yes.	10	importance of it.
11	Q. It was two and a half weeks or so after the programme	11	Q. It clearly hadn't been functioning as G4S had hoped up
12	had been aired on BBC. If we look, please, on page 2,	12	to that point, had it?
13	under "Item 4 Monthly reports from Home Office and G4S":	13	A. I can't comment if it was well, yes, you would have
14	"SS for G4S [that's you] discussed the recent	14	hoped there'd have been some reports from the incidents.
15	BBC Panorama programme and advised on a number of points	15	Q. But there hadn't been?
16	listed below:	16	A. There hadn't been, that's correct.
17	"1. Prior to the programme airing, pre-emptive work	17	Q. We also know from Callum Tulley that a poster, or
18	had taken place within the centre to ensure there were	18	posters, informing staff members about the "Speak Out"
19	no issues within Brook. Staff and detainees had been	19	policy within Brook House itself had been scrawled over
20	spoken to and letters distributed."	20	with "snitch", "grass", those sorts of words. Did you
21	Pausing there, what was the pre-emptive work which	21	know that?
22	had taken place?	22	A. Some posters not just those, others if they were
23	A. To highlight the fact that there was going to be	23	found to have been defaced, they would have been taken
24	a programme; to communicate to residents/staff that the	24	down and replaced.
25	programme was going to be aired, and I think it kind of	25	Q. We don't know whether that one was taken down or not but
	Page 97		Page 99
1	stated the time and the date within that, just so people	1	it was sufficient for Callum Tulley to tell us about it.
1	stated the time and the date within that, just so people		it was sufficient for culturn failey to ten as about it.
2	were sware of it	2	But it shows doesn't it that if that was going on and
2	were aware of it. O. We can see a number of other actions. It is really	2	But it shows, doesn't it, that if that was going on and
3	Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really	3	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way,
3 4	Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you	3 4	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as
3 4 5	Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of	3 4 5	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear
3 4 5 6	Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page?	3 4 5 6	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be
3 4 5 6 7	Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page?A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from 	3 4 5 6 7 8	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or — you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or — you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or — you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters about speaking out and explaining the system is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from what Callum Tulley said, although it was a long time
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters about speaking out and explaining the system is anonymous and run by a third party." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from what Callum Tulley said, although it was a long time ago, is it was outside some lavatories where the poster
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters about speaking out and explaining the system is anonymous and run by a third party." It rather suggests that, after this particular horse 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from what Callum Tulley said, although it was a long time ago, is it was outside some lavatories where the poster was on a wall. But as far as you're concerned, in all
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters about speaking out and explaining the system is anonymous and run by a third party." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from what Callum Tulley said, although it was a long time ago, is it was outside some lavatories where the poster
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We can see a number of other actions. It is really towards the bottom on this topic I want to ask you about. Do you see the main paragraph at the foot of the page? A. Yes. Q. Do you see we have got "JL" suggesting that, apart from the suspended staff, there could be other staff that agreed with the behaviour of suspended officers: "How would G4S change this culture/ethos?" It is this: "SS advised that within the action plan there were points to address this issue. These include building more trust in the whistleblower system and also the use of body-worn cameras by all officers that recorded continually, the info being downloaded at the end of each shift." A. Yes. Q. "LH asked how staff would be encouraged to whistleblow. SS advised that all staff would be receiving letters about speaking out and explaining the system is anonymous and run by a third party." It rather suggests that, after this particular horse 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the "Speak Out" policy was being defaced in that way, presumably by other staff members, then, insofar as whistleblowing is concerned, it was perfectly clear that, if you blow the whistle, you're going to be ostracised as a "snitch" or a "grass", and that's exactly what happened to Owen Syred? A. I mean, in the face of Callum Tulley's comments, I can't confirm or deny that there was one of what he said. However, if we had have noticed any posters defaced or you know, then they would have been taken down and replaced. Q. Presumably for another one to go up and be defaced in the same way? A. Well, sometimes you can't account for people who want to write silly things on bits of paper, can you? Q. Are you saying you weren't aware of that, though? A. I wasn't aware of that particular incident, no. Q. So on the times you'd gone around the wings, or wherever this poster may have been, and my recollection, from what Callum Tulley said, although it was a long time ago, is it was outside some lavatories where the poster was on a wall. But as far as you're concerned, in all

		_	
1	defaced in that way, a "Speak Out" poster?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. I have seen posters defaced. I can't particularly	2	Q. Who signs off on those recommendations from G4S's point
3	relate to that particular one, no.	3	of view?
4	Q. Have you seen "Speak Out" posters defaced in a way which	4	A. Ultimately, the director.
5	made perfectly clear that anybody using the hotline	5	Q. You mean Mr Saunders?
6	would be regarded as a grass?	6	A. Yes, Ben Saunders, yes.
7	A. No, I haven't.	7	Q. Does it go any higher than the centre director? Does
8	Q. How have you seen "Speak Out" posters defaced, then?	8	the company itself have to sign off on the
9	A. I didn't particularly mention "Speak Out" posters; some	9	recommendations? Because there may be cost effects?
10	posters.	10	A. The company would be involved. I'm not sure if any of
11	Q. Forget the other posters, Mr Skitt. I'm only talking	11	those would have to sign off on it. My understanding,
12	about "Speak Out" ones.	12	and I may stand to be corrected at some point, is it was
13	A. No, I can't recall any now.	13	the director's responsibility.
14	Q. Let's move on, please. In your back to your	14	Q. When you say "director"
15	statement. Paragraph 52 and onwards. You will recall	15	A. Ben Saunders.
16	that you were asked to go through the recommendations	16	Q because one thinks of company directors
17	which had been made by HMIP as a result of their visit	17	A. Sorry, yes, Ben Saunders.
18	between 31 October and 11 November 2016; yes?	18	Q you're thinking of the centre director?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. The centre director, yes.
20	Q. There I'm not going to go through them, because it	20	Q. Which is a huge responsibility?
21	would just, I'm afraid, take up too much time you set	21	A. Yes.
22	out reasonably clearly, the recommendations which were	22	Q. So you have a series of meetings amongst, what, the
23	accepted and those or part accepted and those which	23	whole of the SMT or just certain members of the SMT?
24	were rejected in paragraphs 53 and 54, and they all	24	A. No, it would be the whole of the SMT, and it could
25	relate to the action plan which G4S and, indeed, the	25	involve other members from the Gatwick team because, you
	1	23	involve other inclinates from the outwick team accuracy, you
	Page 101		Page 103
		١.	
1	Home Office considered in relation to the	1	know, it may be relevant that it may refer to
1 2	Home Office considered in relation to the recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the	2	
			know, it may be relevant that it may refer to a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would
2	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the	2	a particular area, you know, where the person who
2 3	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>.</ver000117>	2 3	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would
2 3 4	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those</ver000117>	2 3 4	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice.
2 3 4 5	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those</ver000117>	2 3 4 5	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing
2 3 4 5 6	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them?</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house?
2 3 4 5 6 7	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because,</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the — you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings.</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"?</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level?</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office.</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S?</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards.</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown A. Yes.</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is. Q. A lengthy process? Several meetings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown A. Yes. Q and others within the SMT at that level are looking</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is. Q. A lengthy process? Several meetings? A. Oh, yes, certainly, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown A. Yes. Q and others within the SMT at that level are looking at the HMIP report and you are making the decisions</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is. Q. A lengthy process? Several meetings? A. Oh, yes, certainly, yes. Q. So the ultimate decision, as far as G4S is concerned, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown A. Yes. Q and others within the SMT at that level are looking at the HMIP report and you are making the decisions about whether recommendations should or shouldn't be accepted?</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is. Q. A lengthy process? Several meetings? A. Oh, yes, certainly, yes. Q. So the ultimate decision, as far as G4S is concerned, to accept recommendations or reject them is, who? A. Well, ultimately, it would be the centre director,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recommendations made and, chair, for the record, the inspection report is <ver000117>. What I'd like to know, looking at all of those recommendations, those partially accepted and those rejected, who made the decisions about them? A. So the you'd receive the HMIP reports. We would then start drafting responses to that. We would also do this in consultation with the Home Office as well, because, ultimately, the agreed action plan was between the Home Office and ourselves. So we would work through the draft of that, and then, if I recall correctly, we would have held a number of meetings. Q. Who is the "we"? A. The G4S SMT Q. At what level? A and the Home Office. Q. Sorry, what level within G4S? A. The SMT, from the director downwards. Q. So it's Ben Saunders, it's you, it's Michelle Brown A. Yes. Q and others within the SMT at that level are looking at the HMIP report and you are making the decisions about whether recommendations should or shouldn't be</ver000117>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a particular area, you know, where the person who manages that area may not be within the SMT, but would perhaps be the expert to give advice. Q. Do you receive any external advice or is the whole thing done in-house? A. External replies from? Q. "Advice", "advice". Do you receive any external advice upon the impact of a particular recommendation? A. It's normally done between G4S and the Home Office. Q. So it is all done internally? A. All done, yep. Q. The meetings the SMT have, are any Home Office members invited or is it strictly the SMT of G4S? A. I think, by memory, I think, initially, we would draft the responses and then we would have involve the Home Office at a fairly senior level within the Home Office to decide with those actions. But there may be consultation along the way, depending on what the action is. Q. A lengthy process? Several meetings? A. Oh, yes, certainly, yes. Q. So the ultimate decision, as far as G4S is concerned, to accept recommendations or reject them is, who?

1 1 3 per cent, yes, 87 per cent, no. And then time. 2 question 46: 3 3 4 about, there was a detained persons survey. Did you apout a bout, there was a detained persons survey. Did you appeared that, a survey? 6 A. Yes, I'm aware there's always a survey when HMIP are coming, yes. 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				
Q. In the report of IBMP for that 2016 period I was talking about, there was a detained persons survey. Did you appreciate that, a survey? A. Yes, I'm aware there's always a survey when HMIP are coming, yes. Q. Lefs put the document up on screen, «VER000117», and, to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zayamb has just taken off the screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 11 store first page, which Zayamb has just taken off the screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Ballying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling as fire mobilying and vicitimisation. 16 and that, "Detainess at risk or subject to victimisation 17 are protected furugh active and fair systems known to 18 staff and defainess." The report says: "In our survey, 37 per cent of defainess exported feeling unsafe, 21 per ent ported victimisation by other detaines and 18 per cent by staff." 20 feeling unsafe, 21 per ent ported victimisation by other detaines and 18 per cent by staff." 21 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and 23 the survey. We can see the survey inself two go to 24 page 60, 1s is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detaines 25 survey responses," and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and 26 the interpolation and Brook House IRC was 392. Using 27 the survey we have a situated and collected, and 28 survey responses, and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and 3 recent. 10 with respondents refused to completed questionnaires were 3 distributed to a sample of 209 defainese, 3 recent. 11 ground the survey of the survey responses, Right at the 3 bottom. 11 per cent. 12 (I we look at question 51: 13 Page 76, please, Right at the bottom, section 7 is 14 "Staff, question 43: 15 "Day you h	1	Ben Saunders, or whoever the centre director is at the	1	13 per cent, yes; 87 per cent, no. And then
about, there was a detained persons survey. Did you appreciate that, a survey? A Yes, I'm waver there's always a survey when HMIP are coming, yes. Q. Let's put the document up on screen, <ver000117>, and, to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zaymub has just taken off the screen but that was, are assway, 3 October to 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that, "Detainees at risk or adapter to victimisation, and that," Detainees at risk or adapter to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainees". The report styp: "In our survey, 3P cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent teported victimisation by other detainees and 18 per cent of detainees reported of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee 25 survey responsees" and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 To most staff (question 43) at the bottom, the survey responsee. Right at the bottom, the survey responsee. Right at the bottom, which we would have been aware of the survey in the survey responsee. Right at the bottom, which we would have gone through this, specifically remember exactly what we did with this piece of information. Page 105 Page 107 Page 107 Page 707 I how the questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents reflected to a sumple of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires are penetre returning the detainee of the survey or teport." Nine respondents reflected to completed a questionnaire or the method described above, questionnaires were and the proper terming the detained of the method described above, questionnaires were for the method described above, questionnaires are penetre or teamed." "We received a total of 159 completed ques</ver000117>	2	time.	2	question 46:
5 A Yes, I'm aware there's always a survey when HMIP are coming, yes. 8 Q. Let's put the chocument up on serens, eVERROU1172, and, to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the street, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the series, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the street, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the series, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to the first page and the was a series, and the was a we saw, 31 October to the survey in a moment. This was about eve we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about eve well come to the survey in a moment. This was about eve well come to the survey in a moment. This was about eve well come to the survey in a moment. This was about eve well and delatines. The report asys: 15 a staff and delatines. The report asys: 16 and that, "Detainess at risk or subject to victimisation are protected drough active and fair systems known to provide the properties of the people returning those questionnaires saying that the properties of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summury of detainee 25 survey responses", and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the hottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the member of summary and the propondents refused to complete a questionnaire completed via interview. This included one questionnaire co	3	Q. In the report of HMP for that 2016 period I was talking	3	"Have you spent a night in the separation/isolation
A. Yes, I'm aware there's always a survey when HMIP are coming, yes. Q. Ler's put the document up on screen, ~VER000117>, and, to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the server, which was seen, all L13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" - 11 was resen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 11 must have been aware of all of this, Mr Skitt? 12 Il November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" - 14 we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and viclence reduction" - 15 we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and the "Death are a first or adjusted to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainees." The report says: 18 "mour survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent typ staff." 29 So that is a very bare summary of one part of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is." Appendix IV. Summary of detainees and 18 per cent by staff." 20 If we look at question and the value of the survey response." and there are headers for sampling. 20 Page 60. It is." Appendix IV. Summary of detainees and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom. 21 In how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom. 22 Is a feet of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the detainee population at Brook House IRC w	4	about, there was a detained persons survey. Did you	4	unit in the last six months?"
7 coming, yes. 8 Q. Lef's put the document up on sereen, <verr000117>, and, so to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" → we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about 15 everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation to 20 feeling usafe, 21 per cent exported victimisation by 21 of the detainees and 18 per cent by staff" are protected through active and fair systems known to 18 staff and detainees." The report says: 18 which meant insulted or assaulted? 19 "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported of feeling usafe, 21 per cent proted victimisation by 21 other detainees and 18 per cent by staff" we 50 opage 69. It is, "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 22 at the survey. We can see this survey is five go to 23 survey, we can see this survey is five go to 23 survey. We can see this survey is five go to 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of detainee 24 page 69. It is," "Appendix IV. Summary of ore</verr000117>	5	appreciate that, a survey?	5	17 per cent said yes; 83 per cent said no. Then
8 Q. Lef's put the document up on screen, <per000117>, and, to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the frepage, which Zaymb has just taken off the screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 11 screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and vicinements of the survey in a moment. This was about we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and vicinemistion, and that, "Detainies at first or subject to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to as that "Left and the start" and elatinees." The report says: 18 which meant insulted or assoulted? 19 who us array, 37 ere cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent typ staff." 21 other detainees and 18 per cent by staff." 22 So that is a very bure cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent treported victimisation by other detaines and 18 per cent by staff." 22 So that is a very bure cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent treported victimisation by other detaines and 18 per cent by staff." 22 So that is a very bure cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent treported victimisation by 22 survey responses." and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey rosponse. Right at the bottom. Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Prook House Ric was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. 1 we received a total of 159 completed questionnaires were not returned." 2 with respect. The formation of 70 per cent. This included one questionnaires were not returned." 2 "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires were not returned." 3 "Page 76, please</per000117>	6	A. Yes, I'm aware there's always a survey when HMIP are	6	under the heading "Safety", question 50:
to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the screen but that was, as weaw, 31 October to 11 must have been aware of all of this, Mr Skitt? 12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, 13 under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about the veryone feeling safe from bullying and violence reduction — to veryone feeling safe from bullying and violence reduction — to veryone feeling safe from bullying and violence reduction — and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation, and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation, and that was a deeper of the page of the page of the survey in a moment. This is was bout the veryone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that was a feeling that the survey is a moment of the survey is a moment. This is was bout the veryone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that was a feeling that the safe veryone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that was a depth of the page of the page of the survey is a moment of the page of the survey of the page of the survey is a page of the survey of the page of the return of the survey of the page o	7	coming, yes.	7	"Has a member of staff or group of staff victimised
is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about the will come to the survey in a moment. This was about the compose feeling safe from bullying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about the compose feeling safe from bullying and visitinisation, and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainees." The report says: 19	8	Q. Let's put the document up on screen, <ver000117>, and,</ver000117>	8	(insulted or assaulted) you here?"
11 screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to 12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, 13 under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" — 14 we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about 15 everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, 16 and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation 17 are protected through active and fair systems known to 18 staff and detainees'. The report says: 18 "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported 20 feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by 21 other detainees and 18 per cent by staff." 22 So that is a very bare summary of one part of 23 the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee 25 survey responses", and there are headers for sampling, 26 bottom: Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom; the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were of distributed to a sample of 209 detainnees. 8 "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires were root returned." 10 included one questionnaires were root returned." 11 Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." 12 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is 14 "Staff", question 43: 15 "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you with respect?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said no: 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 2 said yes; 23 per cent, caquating to 32 returns, said no. 22 said yes; 23 per cent, caquating to 32 returns, said no. 23 The nover the page, question 45 at the top: 24 10 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 2 said yes; 23 per cent, caquating to 67 the page 12 returns and 12 to 17 of the returns and 12 to 18 returns a	9	to begin with, at page 20. Here is the report, and here	9	22 of the returns said yes, and that was
12 11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13, under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction" — we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about 141 you can't take — as a deput director of a centre like this, you can't take — as a deput director of a centre like this, you can't take — as a deput director of a centre like this, you can't take — as a deput director of a centre like this, you can't take — as a deput director of a centre like this, you can't take any comfort from 18 per cent of the part of the part of a group of staff, which meant insulted or assaulted? 19	10	is the first page, which Zaynab has just taken off the	10	18 per cent. 82 per cent, which were 99, said no. You
under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction"— we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that, "Detainess at risk or subject to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainess". The report says: "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainese reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent of period victimisation by other detainess and 18 per cent by staff." "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainese reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by other detainess and 18 per cent by staff." "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainese reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by other detainese and 18 per cent by staff." "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainese reported the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detaine survey responses,", and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 I how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: Page 107 I how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, are propose artie of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and H questionnaires were not returned." Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and We received a total of 159 completed "South was a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right	11	screen, but that was, as we saw, 31 October to	11	must have been aware of all of this, Mr Skitt?
we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation, and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation to are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainees". The report says: "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by offeeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by offeeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by other detainees and 18 per cent by staff." So that is a very bare summary of one part of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to survey responses," and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 I how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: Page 105 I how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, are sponses rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire were of information. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 77, of 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said no: "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 10 10 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 20 24 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 21 10 70 fr he returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 2 3 4 you can turn to the page, question 45 at the top: 23 2 3 4 yes and the per cent said yes; 14 per cent said no. So if this survey was accurate or n	12	11 November 2016. This is page 20, and we see, at 1.13,	12	A. We would have had this in the report at the time, yes.
this, you can't take any comfort from 18 per cent of the people returning those questionnaires asying that they had been victimised by staff or a group of staff, which meant insulted or assaulted? A. No, you can't take any comfort from 18 per cent of the people returning those questionnaires asying that they had been victimised by staff or a group of staff, which meant insulted or assaulted? A. No, you can't. No. I agree. Description of the people returning those questionnaires asying that they had been victimised by staff or a group of staff, which meant insulted or assaulted? A. No, you can't. No. I agree. A. No, you can't. No. I agree. O. So what was done about it? A. I can't - I know we would have gone through this, I know we would have looked at it within the safe custody team. It's nearly six years ago. I can't specifically remember exactly what we did with this piece of information. Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 I how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: A "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainces. B "We received a total of 159 completed Q ugestionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and the questionnaire were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Because of your religion religious beliefs. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Page 77, of 60 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, sa	13	under the heading "Bullying and violence reduction"	13	Q. What was done about that? You will agree, won't you,
and that, "Detainces at risk or subject to victimisation are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainces". The report says: "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainces reported 19	14	we will come to the survey in a moment. This was about	14	you can't take as a deputy director of a centre like
are protected through active and fair systems known to staff and detainees." The report says: "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by go feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by go feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by go other detainces and 18 per cent by staff." 22 So that is a very bare summary of one part of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey itself if we go to go with the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to go with the survey itself if we go to go with the survey of information. Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the go to towards the bottom, the survey on 31 October 2016, the detaince population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were go distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. 8 "We received a total of 159 completed guestionnaire and questionnaire says are sponse rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire refused to complete questionnaire and questionnaires were not returned." 10 Nine respondents refused to completed via interview. 11 Nine respondents refused to complete questionnaire and 4 questionnaires were not returned." 12 A. I can't – I know we would have looked at it within the safe custody team. It's nearly six years ago. I can't specifically remember exactly what we did with this specifically remember exactly what we did with this specifically remember exactly what we did with this specifically remember of staff with the survey of safe(says) as staff group of staff, what did the incidents in vive go infor	15	everyone feeling safe from bullying and victimisation,	15	this, you can't take any comfort from 18 per cent of
staff and detainees". The report says: 18	16	and that, "Detainees at risk or subject to victimisation	16	the people returning those questionnaires saying that
19 "In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported feling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by other detainees and 18 per cent by staff." 21	17	are protected through active and fair systems known to	17	they had been victimised by staff or a group of staff,
20 feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by other detainces and 18 per cent by staff." 21 A. I can't — I know we would have gone through this, 22 So that is a very bare summary of one part of 23 the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detaince 24 survey responses", and there are headers for sampling. 25 survey responses", and there are headers for sampling. 26 Page 107	18	staff and detainees". The report says:	18	which meant insulted or assaulted?
other detainees and 18 per cent by staff." So that is a very bare summary of one part of the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very we and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very we and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the page 69. It is a very were and the	19	"In our survey, 37 per cent of detainees reported	19	A. No, you can't. No, I agree.
22 So that is a very bare summary of one part of 23 the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to 24 page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detaince 25 survey responses", and there are headers for sampling, 26 Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and 2 towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the 3 bottom: 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the 5 detaince population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using 6 the method described above, questionnaires were 7 distributed to a sample of 209 detainces. 8 "We received a total of 159 completed 9 questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This 10 included one questionnaire completed via interview. 11 Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 12 41 questionnaires were not returned." 13 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is 14 "Staff", question 43: 15 "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 24 Is now we would have looked at it within the safe custody team. It's nearly six years ago. I can't specially remember exactly what we did with this piece of information. 24 page 107 25 page 107 26 If we look at question 51: 27 "If you have felt victimised by a member of staff in the curve was 15; a page 107 28 per cent. 29 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 a per cent. 3 page 107 1 Phoens a figure of staff, what did the incidents involve? 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 per cent. 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 5 per cent. 8 "Because of your rationality. 6 per cent. 9 "Because of your religion/religious beliefs	20	feeling unsafe, 21 per cent reported victimisation by	20	Q. So what was done about it?
the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detaince survey responses", and there are headers for sampling. Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom; the survey response. Right at the bottom: 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detaince population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainces. 8 "We received a total of 159 completed guestionnaire completed via interview. 10 Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 12 Al questionnaires were not returned." 11 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: 15 "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said no: 18 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 custody team. It's nearly six years ago. I can't specifically remember exactly what we did with this piece of information. 24 specifically remember exactly what we did with this piece of information. 25 page 107 2 Q. If we look at question 51: 2 "If you have felt victimised by a member of staff, what did the incidents involve? 3 staff/group of staff, what did the incidents involve? 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 aper cent. 9 Page 20 staff, what did the incidents involve? 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 5 aper cent. 9 Progration and the incidents involve? 10 "Prugs. 2 per cent. 11 Eventose figures are too high, aren't they? A. I think any figures are too high, aren't they? A. I think any figures are too high in that respect. But what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecd	21	other detainees and 18 per cent by staff."	21	A. I can't I know we would have gone through this,
page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee survey responses", and there are headers for sampling, Page 105 Page 105 Page 107 1 how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: 3 bottom: 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. 8 "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaire and questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaires completed via interview. 10 Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." 12 Al questionnaires were not returned." 13 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: 14 "Staff", question 43: 15 "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 22 towards the bottom, the survey or page 107 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or said that they had been victimised by detainees or	22	So that is a very bare summary of one part of	22	I know we would have looked at it within the safe
page 105 Page 105 Page 107 Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. 10 per cent." Page 107 Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). Page 107 Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). Physical abuse (being hit, kicked	23	the survey. We can see the survey itself if we go to	23	custody team. It's nearly six years ago. I can't
Page 105 Page 107 Page 1	24	page 69. It is, "Appendix IV: Summary of detainee	24	specifically remember exactly what we did with this
how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: 1	25	survey responses", and there are headers for sampling,	25	piece of information.
how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: 1		Page 105		Page 107
towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the bottom: 3 bottom: 4 "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. 5 "We received a total of 159 completed guestionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. 10 Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 12 41 questionnaires were not returned." 11 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 10 Q. It's not that ancedotal breause if you look at question 52: "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 22 Tif you have felt victimised by a member of staff, the circle staff/group of staff, what did the incidents involve? 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 staff/group of staff, what did the incidents involve? 4 "Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted). 3 pa per cent. 4 "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. 4 "Because of your rationality. 6 per cent. 4 "Because of your		1 age 103		Tage 107
bottom: "At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were the method described above, questionalle, the method described into mathod in the method described by the great of the method described above, question 52 to propose the page, question 43 at the centre treat you with respect?" 10 To of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, aid yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 21 To propose the page, question 45 at the top: 22 To propose the page, quest	1	how the questionnaire was distributed and collected, and	1	Q. If we look at question 51:
"At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Po most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" Po most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 10 respondents refused to 77 per cent, said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: "A representation of your religion/religious beliefs. Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Because of your religion/religious beliefs. A I think any figures are too high, aren't they? A. I think any figures are too high in that respect. But what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	2	towards the bottom, the survey response. Right at the	2	"If you have felt victimised by a member of
detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Pop, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said no: "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 10 detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 3 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. 10 pre cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. 11 Even those figures are too high, aren't they? A. I think any figures are too high in that respect. But what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	3	bottom:	3	staff/group of staff, what did the incidents involve?
the method described above, questionnaires were distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" To most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" To most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" Thus. 2 per cent. "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: "Because of your nationality. 6 per cent. "Bracause you have a disability. 0 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. 10	4	"At the time of the survey on 31 October 2016, the	4	"Physical abuse (being hit, kicked or assaulted).
distributed to a sample of 209 detainees. "We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the centre that To you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 77, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said To most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" Page 78, please. Right at the centre treat you sith respect?" Page 79, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said To most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: Page 70, please. Right at the centre that page 71, or 61, or 72, or 73, or 74, o	5	detainee population at Brook House IRC was 392. Using	5	3 per cent.
"We received a total of 159 completed questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" no: "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" no: "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. Then over the page, question 45 at the top: "Because you have a disability. 0 per cent. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. "Because of your religion/religious beliefs. 1 per cent." Even those figures are too high in that respect. But what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" 20 if you have been victimised by detainees or staff, this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	6	the method described above, questionnaires were	6	"Because of your nationality. 6 per cent.
questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and lace and the page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Bage 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" proper one of per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said proper one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent year one of per cent said yes; 34 per cent sa	7	distributed to a sample of 209 detainees.	7	"Drugs. 2 per cent.
included one questionnaire completed via interview. Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and lace of Al questionnaires were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 76, please. Right at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Union you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" With respect?" With respect?" Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is If you have a member of staff at the centre treat you with respect. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is What incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is What incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is What incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. Page 76, please. Page 76, plea	8	"We received a total of 159 completed	8	"Because you have a disability. 0 per cent.
Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and 41 questionnaires were not returned." Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" Page 76, please a were not returned." Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and the bottom and the bottom are the page of the pa	9	questionnaires, a response rate of 76 per cent. This	9	"Because of your religion/religious beliefs.
12 41 questionnaires were not returned." 13 Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is 14 "Staff", question 43: 15 "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 24 A. I think any figures are too high in that respect. But what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were given a survey or report. 16 Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	10	included one questionnaire completed via interview.	10	1 per cent."
Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is "Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you with respect?" 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 24 said yes; 23 per cent, equation 45 at the top: 25 what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because, 16 obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were 15 given a survey or report. 16 Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at 17 question 52: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	11	Nine respondents refused to complete a questionnaire and	11	Even those figures are too high, aren't they?
"Staff", question 43: "Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 24 obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were 15 given a survey or report. 16 Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at 17 question 52: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	12	41 questionnaires were not returned."	12	A. I think any figures are too high in that respect. But
"Do you have a member of staff at the centre that you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at 17 question 52: 18 no: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 25 27 you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 26 did you report it?" 27 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 28 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 29 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	13	Page 76, please. Right at the bottom, section 7 is	13	what incidents they relate to, I wouldn't know, because,
you can turn to for help if you have a problem?" 16 Q. It's not that anecdotal because if you look at 17 97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said 18 no: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 20 It's not that anecdotal because if you look at question 52: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	14	"Staff", question 43:	14	obviously, this is anecdotal information that we were
17 question 52: 18 no: 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 21 question 52: 22 did you report it?" 23 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 24 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 25 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 26 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	15	"Do you have a member of staff at the centre that	15	given a survey or report.
18 no: 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 21 18 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 29 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	16	you can turn to for help if you have a problem?"	16	
18 no: 19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 21 "If you have been victimised by detainees or staff, 29 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	17	97, or 66 per cent, said yes; 34 per cent, 49, said	17	question 52:
19 "Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you 20 with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 29 did you report it?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	18	no:	18	•
with respect?" 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 20 12 per cent said yes, 14 per cent said no. So if 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	19	"Do most staff [question 44] at the centre treat you	19	•
21 107 of the returns, which equated to 77 per cent, 22 said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 21 this survey was accurate or near accurate, there ought 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	20		20	• •
said yes; 23 per cent, equating to 32 returns, said no. 22 to be 13 reports in answer to this survey of individuals 23 Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	21	-	21	
Then over the page, question 45 at the top: 23 who said that they had been victimised by detainees or	22			_
			23	•
24 "Have any members of staff physically restrained you 24 staff and they reported it?	24	"Have any members of staff physically restrained you	24	
25 (C&R) in the last six months." 25 A. So if it had been gone through the complaints procedure				• •
Page 106 Page 108		Page 106		Page 108

1	and they reported it for the complaints which go to the	1	" works to improve the welfare and well-being of
2	Home Office, without knowing details from this report,	2	people held in detention by offering friendship and
3	I wouldn't know what had been reported and what had	3	practical and emotional support and advocating for fair
4	been I mean, if a complaint had gone in, it would	4	treatment."
5	have been investigated.	5	That's what I mean and that's what he means by
6	Q. But taking it at face value, Mr Skitt, these should have	6	advocacy; advocating on their behalf, advancing their
7	been worrying figures for you and Mr Saunders?	7	cases, if you like. Did you understand that?
8	A. Again, I'm trying to recall on something a number of	8	A. I think there's a in regards to their casework,
9	years ago. I think it would have been concerning for	9	I'm I just want to understand it correctly, that
10	the SMT as a whole.	10	we're talking about signposting where people can go?
11	Q. You can't tell us what you did about it?	11	Q. I'm simply reading to you what he says, Mr Skitt. Then,
12	A. I can't recall what we did, no.	12	at paragraph 9, he talks about the network that they
13	Q. Let's move on to a different topic now, please. In	13	have:
14	paragraph 60 and onwards, you were asked in this section	14	"The visitors form the core of our work and their
15	to deal with external bodies. At paragraph 60 on your	15	role is to meet detainees, offer empathy, constructive
16	page 22 and onwards, you deal with the Gatwick Detainees	16	advice, practical help and friendship to ameliorate the
17	Welfare Group. I'd like to ask you a few things about	17	experience of detention."
18	them.	18	And 10:
19	A. Yes.	19	"In addition, GDWG employs a small team of advocacy
20	Q. You say at 60 in the final line:	20	coordinators or 'staff' who offer practical help to
21	"The service of the GDWG is invaluable in my	21	detainees including"
22	opinion."	22	And he sets out a whole list of the sort of help
23	Yes?	23	that they offer. Now, did you understand that this is
24	A. Yes.	24	what GDWG was all about at the time you were deputy
25	Q. Did you always hold that view?	25	director for G4S?
	Page 109		Page 111
1	A. I have always held that view and I've always said that	1	A. Certainly with paragraph 10, yes.
1 2	A. I have always held that view and I've always said that the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to	1 2	A. Certainly with paragraph 10, yes. O. So what didn't you understand?
2	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to	2	Q. So what didn't you understand?
2 3	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly		Q. So what didn't you understand?A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of
2 3 4	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute	2 3	Q. So what didn't you understand?A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they
2 3	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other	2 3 4	Q. So what didn't you understand?A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in
2 3 4 5	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support — monetary support and other support for residents.	2 3 4 5	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support — monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of Q. Let's look at what he has to say. It's <gdw000001> at</gdw000001>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework and questioning medical treatment given to residents. The IMB also raised concerns that members of the GDWG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of Q. Let's look at what he has to say. It's <gdw000001> at page 3. This is a statement Mr Wilson made in the High Court in 2018, and at paragraph 10, he talks about</gdw000001>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework and questioning medical treatment given to residents.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of Q. Let's look at what he has to say. It's <gdw000001> at page 3. This is a statement Mr Wilson made in the</gdw000001>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework and questioning medical treatment given to residents. The IMB also raised concerns that members of the GDWG were contacting them to get information for residents." A line or two down:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of Q. Let's look at what he has to say. It's <gdw000001> at page 3. This is a statement Mr Wilson made in the High Court in 2018, and at paragraph 10, he talks about Gatwick the Gatwick Detainee Welfare Group. It is</gdw000001>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework and questioning medical treatment given to residents. The IMB also raised concerns that members of the GDWG were contacting them to get information for residents."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the service that the Gatwick Welfare Group set out to provide with social support for residents, particularly around visiting, they will also look at destitute clothing, provide support monetary support and other support for residents. Q. You say it was a body originally set up as an organisation to provide social and pastoral support for residents? A. Yes. Q. You will have seen, I think, a statement from James Wilson? A. I have. Q. Who, in effect, described them as having an advocacy role and offering help to detained persons; yes? Do you accept that? A. I accept that they are there what do you mean within "advocacy"? Q. Well, advocating on their behalf? A. Sorry, I'm a bit unsure of Q. Let's look at what he has to say. It's <gdw000001> at page 3. This is a statement Mr Wilson made in the High Court in 2018, and at paragraph 10, he talks about Gatwick the Gatwick Detainee Welfare Group. It is</gdw000001>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So what didn't you understand? A. That my understanding was, and from there the kind of guidance that they published to residents that they would cover the elements that they have highlighted in paragraph 10 of this report. Q. Did you understand that they advocated on behalf of residents, as you call them, for fair treatment? Did you understand that? A. I understood that there are other parts to their role as an organisation, yes. Q. At paragraph 64 of your statement, Mr Skitt, about four lines down, on page 23, you say: "There were certain members of the GDWG who became too involved in residents' cases. A lot of people thought this was inappropriate and thought that these types of actions would get in the way of what the GDWG was there to do." A. Yes. Q. "For example, they were getting involved with casework and questioning medical treatment given to residents. The IMB also raised concerns that members of the GDWG were contacting them to get information for residents." A line or two down:

1	issues and healthcare complaints on behalf of	1	doctor, strangely enough, is not qualified to do this
2	a resident. However, they were not following the	2	because they have to come in and measure a person up for
3	specific processes we have in place for raising	3	these aids. So I said, right, where are we? Where is
4	concerns" and so on and so forth.	4	our next port of call? And I had a discussion with the
5	Was that your view, that the GDWG were overreaching	5	healthcare manager, Sandra Calver, and either she'd
6	their remit?	6	already agreed or we agreed between us that we would pay
7	A. There were a number of concerns that were raised	7	for a physiotherapist to come in and assess the
8	through certainly from the IMB. The IMB contacted me	8	gentleman, and I believe that literally happened a day
9	and said they were concerned about contact. There was	9	or two after.
10	also a number well, there was a concern, and I think	10	So it's just an example. To me, it wasn't around we
11	James Wilson says in his, kind of, statement about	11	want to be difficult. It wasn't around that we are
12	wanting another visit with the residents because he	12	trying to be obstructive. The point I was trying to put
13	believed he'd got mental health issues and he wanted to	13	across to James on numerous occasions was, if we
14	enquire well, the way I read it was enquire into that	14	signposted directly to the right person in the first
15	more.	15	place, and I was kind of you know, concerned, they
16	Now, my concern was and I think some of this has	16	had a very good working relationship with the welfare
17	got lost in translation with James it's quite right	17	team on site, they had a very good relationship,
18	that anybody raises a concern. My concern was what	18	I think, with Safer Custody, and they did use those
19	channels those concerns are raised.	19	departments as a conduit. However, they don't work
20	You can contact another body, but I was worried	20	24/7, so I think what I was just trying to say was, if
21	whether something could be missed that could be	21	there were any concerns similar to the one I've just
22	detrimental to a resident in our care, particularly	22	mentioned, then please direct it to myself or, over
23	around safeguarding, particularly about mental health,	23	24 hours, the centre can be contacted and we can direct
24	because we would want to know that information and	24	it to the duty director, who is always on 24-hour call.
25	signpost it as quickly as we can to the appropriate	25	Q. Can we just look at a couple of emails, please, because
	Page 113		Page 115
1	manula to deal with it such as healthcore or if it's	1	I think some of this all started in April 20179
1	people to deal with it, such as healthcare or if it's	1	I think some of this all started in April 2017?
2	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing	2	A. Yes.
2 3	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about	2 3	A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At</gdw000003>
2 3 4	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003>
2 3 4 5	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003>A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson. A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID"</gdw000003>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person — there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to support this resident?" I mean, I didn't know this, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview with the resident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to support this resident?" I mean, I didn't know this, but they — for anybody that requires crutches or any kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview with the resident? A. He did, yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to support this resident?" I mean, I didn't know this, but they — for anybody that requires crutches or any kind of aid, you have to see a physiotherapist, and I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview with the resident? A. He did, yes, that's correct. Q. That was something that you didn't allow?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to support this resident?" I mean, I didn't know this, but they — for anybody that requires crutches or any kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview with the resident? A. He did, yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a safeguarding issue, we can very quickly go to the wing managers to kind of raise our concerns about a particular individual and they can look at their processes around safeguarding quite quickly. I think one example I can give is — and I know it is mentioned in here — about the gentleman that had a burnt foot. That come to light and that come to me and I received a long email kind of raising the concerns about this gentleman, and quite right, and it was basically saying, "Well, we believe he should have some kind of aid, crutches or wheelchair, et cetera", which, from what I saw, I didn't disagree with and was quite concerned that we'd actually got somebody in the centre in this position. I initially contacted the healthcare because — whether this had been kind of seen on screening, and what had we done. When I did contact the healthcare, they were aware of it and I said, "Well, from a layman's point of view, why can't we supply crutches or a wheelchair or whatever was deemed appropriate to support this resident?" I mean, I didn't know this, but they — for anybody that requires crutches or any kind of aid, you have to see a physiotherapist, and I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. If we go to <gdw000003> at page 18, to begin with. At the top, there is an email from you to James Wilson.</gdw000003> A. Yes. Q. You having to reschedule a meeting. But then you say: "In regards to attendance, this meeting is to discuss an MOU [memorandum of understanding] with yourselves and a number of local issues that involve your staff support, and I do not feel it appropriate to involve other organisations at this point, you can, of course, outside of this, speak to AVID" Who were AVID? A. I believe they were a similar organisation to Gatwick Welfare Group. Q. " and they can offer you some advice." A. I think there was a person there was a lot of correspondence around this. I think it was somebody called Ali. Q. Wasn't it it may be my memory is wrong. Didn't Mr Wilson want to bring Ali into this repeat interview with the resident? A. He did, yes, that's correct. Q. That was something that you didn't allow?

1	team and said that James had contacted me, he wishes	1	bottom of page 48, on the right-hand side, you see, at
2	this person to come in from this organisation, because,	2	line 13, she was asked.
3	ultimately, any visitor that comes into the centre has	3	"Question: You say in your statement"
4	to be approved by the Home Office. They wouldn't give	4	So counsel is asking Anna Pincus about her
5	me a decision. They advised me to write to what they	5	statement:
6	call DES, which is detention escort and security. So	6	" that this email from you was discussed during
7	I wrote to them and the response I got back was no.	7	a meeting on 18 August and that Steve Skitt complained
8	Q. So it was a Home Office decision that didn't allow it?	8	that your email was an example of a concern raised to
9	A. It was a Home Office decision. It was not my decision.	9	the right person but in the wrong way because it was not
10	Q. If we go on to page 29, time moves on, it is 12 June and	10	for you diagnose a risk of PTSD or to request the move.
11	Mr Wilson is writing to you and others about a meeting	11	Is that right?
12	that you had had. In the final paragraph:	12	"Answer: That's right. So, I mean, first of all,
13	"Finally, for now, I was grateful to Steve for	13	I had actually attended a training on PTSD, which you
14	raising the concern about our implying the provision of	14	mentioned at the beginning, but, second of all, if I was
15	counselling, and was sorry we weren't able to finish	15	expressing something inappropriately, that was not the
16	this conversation. If you feel that we had somehow	16	point. The point was, his concern should have been
17	stepped over a line of what is acceptable, please do let	17	directed at how well the person the detained person
18	me know. We are not counsellors and are clear that we	18	was, and to focus on the tone of my email rather than
19	are not providing a therapeutic or psychiatric service	19	the issue of the well-being of the detained person
20	to detainees. However, being a listening ear,	20	seemed to me illustrates that it was a broken culture."
21	a befriender and a form of emotional support for	21	Were you aware of her criticisms, Mr Skitt?
22	detainees who need someone to talk to is at the core of	22	A. When I read this, yes.
23	what we do."	23	Q. And she wasn't the only one. Mr Wilson I'm not going
24	He finishes the email in very much the same vein.	24	to go through it all, because I'm afraid it would take
25	But in the meantime, in August, if we go to page 32,	25	far too long for his part in the course of
	Page 117		Page 119
	1 agt 117		1 age 117
1	please, at the bottom, right at the bottom, this is	1	his evidence, and you will have read large tracts of
2	something we have heard evidence about. Anna Pincus, on	2	what he says, including some of the aspects of
3	7 August, at 10.00 in the morning sends an email over	3	the relationship between you that you have been telling
4	the page, page 33, to Dan Haughton:	4	us about, that he regarded you as hostile. We can look
5	"I met a detainee that I was concerned about last	5	at it, if you wish. It is <inq000104>, page 22. Here,</inq000104>
6	week and, in view of the symptoms of stress he is	6	at the bottom, on page 86 of this particular transcript
7	manifesting, I wonder whether you would consider moving	7	page, at line 6, he was being asked about what he had
8	him to Tinsley House where the environment might give	8	said to Verita in interviews with them on
9	him fewer triggers for post-traumatic stress from his	9	24 October 2017, and he was asked to look at notes of
10	time of imprisonment in Turkey. Obviously, you know the	10	what he had had to say, and towards the bottom, at
11	case much better than I, but I would appreciate any	11	line 17:
12	consideration you can give the request, particularly at	12	"Question: It says:
13	a time when his stress is likely to escalate with his	13	"'[Difficult] relationship with G4S.'
14	partner being about to have a baby without him there."	14	"
15	Did this all lead to a little unpleasantness,	15	" meets them quarterly.
16	Mr Skitt? Because we have heard from Anna Pincus about	16	"G4S are defensive and suspicious want to
17	this particular email leading to some discussion about	17	restrict their role.
18	it at a meeting that took place between you and I think	18	"Happy with them doing non-controversial practical
19	Mr Wilson and others on 18 August of that same year,	19	tasks.
20	because if we go to what she had to tell us, and we can	20	"Suspicious of casework.
21	put up on screen <inq000105>, page 12. This is</inq000105>	21	"'G4S don't like them referring cases to others.
22	a transcript of her evidence on 9 December, right at the	22	"'Meets Steve Skitt, Dan Haughton, Paul Gasson
23	bottom on page 46, and counsel asked her about the very	23	"G4S feel openly threatened.
24	email that I have been asking you about, and I'm not	24	"'Don't want them [or you] contacting IMB, HO
25	going to take you through all of the text, but at the	25	caseworker.
	D 110		D 120
	Page 118		Page 120

1	"'Struck by level of hostility'."	1	I am raising it with them. And about how we refer
2	Counsel asked:	2	people through. On the whole, I think most of
3	"I presume that's from you:	3	the correspondence James returned to me or certainly
4	"Included Ben Saunders."	4	some of the correspondence was it felt to me from his
5	"Is that saying that the hostility included	5	comments that it was a positive relationship, thanking
6	hostility from Ben Saunders?	6	me for my support and that. I think, even to the extent
7	"Answer: Yes, although certainly it felt that was	7	of, you know, why was I asked to represent Gatwick
8	also I think I only met with Ben Saunders on that one	8	Welfare Group in their rebidding process through the
9	occasion relatively early in post, but he echoed very	9	I believe it was through the lottery funding. They
10	much the concerns I'd heard before and since."	10	asked me if I would be willing to be interviewed by the
11	If we go a little further to the bottom of that	11	person who was putting together that bid to support
12	right-hand side of the page, at line 3 on page 88:	12	their services. I stated from the outset that I felt
13	"Question: We see at the bottom"	13	that their services were invaluable in regards to
14	Counsel was still asking about this note:	14	residents for social care, for signposting, for any
15	" 'Incidents'	15	destitute clothing that would be available. We'd put in
16	"Where does BBC programme fit in JW's	16	a number of processes that were new. One was around, if
17	experience?'."	17	Gatwick Welfare Group wanted to give somebody some
18	So that is the question Verita were asking	18	money, I agreed, if that needed to happen quickly, then
19	James Wilson, which counsel was asking Mr Wilson about:	19	we, G4S, would pay for that there and then, and then
20	"'Can't place it. Shocked him. But had heard	20	subsequently allow Gatwick Welfare Group to refund that
21	rumours. Can't compare it over time."	21	money to us so a person who had no money and they wished
22	And he was asked about that. Line 17, the note	22	to provide some funding, we could do that quite quickly.
23	continued:	23	We also did some work around the visits room,
24	"GDWG have good relations with some officers. Know	24	particularly one of their advocates wanted to introduce
25	that they are caring.	25	some support for children who were visiting with their
	Page 121		Page 123
1	"'No notice removal adds to anxiety.'	1	parents, and I think it was kind of colouring books,
2	"	2	books, and then just kind of play activities to support
3	"Paul Gasson hostile.	3	children who you know, we know what children are
4	"Steve Skitt was helpful and now less so.	4	like. They want to get out and do things, rather than
5	Become more hostile. Don't refer to other agencies	5	sit still.
6	etc."'	6	You know, that's Mr Wilson's opinion. I accept
7	Not a glowing report of the relationship between G4S	7	that. And if he felt I was being hostile, then
8	and Gatwick Detainees Welfare Group, is it?		
	1,	8	9 ,
9	A. I accept Mr Wilson's comments and opinions. Sat here,	8 9	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it
9 10	A. I accept Mr Wilson's comments and opinions. Sat here, I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's	9	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable
10	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's	9 10	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of
10 11	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised	9 10 11	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents.
10	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it.	9 10	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way
10 11 12 13	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think,	9 10 11 12 13	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it.
10 11 12 13 14	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed	9 10 11 12 13 14	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side,</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top:</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details. That was something they raised to me. I raised it with	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising concerns and discussing them."</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details. That was something they raised to me. I raised it with James.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it I thought we had a fairly good well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising concerns and discussing them." Then he was asked about what he said to the inquiry</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details. That was something they raised to me. I raised it with James. Now, whichever way he took that, I can't kind of say	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it — I thought we had a fairly good — well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising concerns and discussing them." Then he was asked about what he said to the inquiry in a witness statement. At lines 11 and 12:</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details. That was something they raised to me. I raised it with James.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it — I thought we had a fairly good — well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising concerns and discussing them." Then he was asked about what he said to the inquiry</inq000104>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I can only say, if I came across as hostile, then that's probably wrong, in his opinion, and, if he'd have raised it with me, we would have discussed it. There were a kind of number of concerns. I think, in some respects, a lot of the conversation that was fed back to Gatwick Welfare Group, I was the messenger. I didn't always make decisions on what they could or couldn't do. There were concerns I've highlighted about how we refer concerns to us, so we can deal with them appropriately. There were also concerns raised to me by the IMB, that they felt uncomfortable, as an independent board, that requests are going to them for details. That was something they raised to me. I raised it with James. Now, whichever way he took that, I can't kind of say	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I apologise for that. But, on the whole, it — I thought we had a fairly good — well, reasonable relationship that was positive for the outcomes of residents. Q. Unhappily, as you appreciate, it's not quite the way that he saw it. A. Yes. Q. Have we got up <inq000104>? Yes. If we can please go to page 15. Towards the top, on the right-hand side, just let me show you some other things he said. I think here he is still talking about what happened at this meeting. At the top: "Answer: Yes. I think I was being polite and diplomatic I did appreciate them raising concerns and discussing them." Then he was asked about what he said to the inquiry in a witness statement. At lines 11 and 12:</inq000104>

		1	
1	taken 'a highly combative and distrustful approach at	1	know and we can discuss. I've offered to make any
2	[the] meeting'."	2	necessary contacts for the next few weeks. In other
3	Then, if we roll it down a bit more to the bottom	3	words, please make sure any concerns raised are going
4	quadrant, page 60:	4	through me. I realise this sounds quite draconian but
5	"Question: You describe in your inquiry statement,	5	it's a serious situation'."
6	and also you have repeated just now, that the approach	6	A. I think, out of all of this, the only aim that I had was
7	to GDWG was increasingly becoming one that was	7	around and I think, when I had the meeting well,
8	tantamount to bullying. Why do you say that?	8	one meeting with James, we talked about some of the
9	"Answer: That was a dynamic that I felt was	9	of his staff that were that I'd heard were kind of
10	increasing there. I was particularly I was vividly	10	reported as perhaps being outside their remit in regards
11	aware of that in that meeting, the dynamic.	11	to what they were doing, and I raised that with James,
12	I remember I can remember the meeting very vividly.	12	and he appeared to take that on board. He wasn't aware
13	I remember it was just it was Steve Skitt and	13	of those issues, and he said he would go back and have
14	Paul Gasson who were in the meeting. They were nearest	14	a look at that. But I think the main crux of this
15	the door. I was on my own. They were very, very	15	you know, the conversations were all the aim of
16	agitated I felt that they were toying with me, they	16	the meetings were, were around how we refer and how we
17	were threatening with something, with something	17	kind of put information to the right people, because, if
18	a very immediate threat to our access dark and rainy	18	you miss something, there are inherent dangers,
19	day, I remember walking out of the centre feeling shaken	19	particularly about mental health or safety. If you kind
20	by the meeting, and I'd had meetings before where they	20	of send an email, you visit somebody on a Friday
21	had been difficult, but I was really shaken by the	21	afternoon, and you don't send it to the right person,
22	meeting."	22	somebody may not pick it up until Monday because they
23	Do you recognise that?	23	may not be on that weekend. This is just all about
24	A. We had a there were a couple of meetings that we had	24	reporting to the right person or some of it is, and we,
25	where that were challenging in regards to what was	25	as the either the duty director or myself if I'm on
			·
	Page 125		Page 127
1	being asked, and I think the meeting, we talked about	1	duty, we can kind of signpost very quickly to deal with
2	if I recall rightly, we talked about some of	2	the issue. And I think there were some very good
3	the concerns. Reference the (inaudible), I wouldn't say	3	examples of some of the you know, if you were to look
4	I'd have been threatening. I may talk direct, I may	4	into a lot of the emails that had subsequently gone
5	talk straight, but I wouldn't consider myself as	5	through, and even at the time, there was a lot of good
6	a threatening person, particularly in a meeting with the	6	work achieved by that information getting to the right
7	Home Office, who was my customer.	7	person or the right people in a timely fashion.
8	Q. He is accusing not just you, but Paul Gasson, who was	8	Q. All well and good, Mr Skitt. Let's put that to one
9	the Home Office manager?	9	side. But when you're dealing with other people, like
10	A. Yes.	10	GDWG and somebody like James Wilson, who you clearly
11		1.1	manus acts du visa?
	Q. Finally in this regard, and we can put this up on screen	11	respected; yes?
12	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened	12	A. Yes.
12 13	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by	12 13	A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to
12 13 14	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57.</dpg000002>	12 13 14	A. Yes.Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then
12 13 14 15	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15	A. Yes.Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one
12 13 14 15 16	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having?
12 13 14 15 16 17	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg0000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office:</dpg0000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are — you know, feel — you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg0000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is on something of a knife edge. For the time being, if</dpg0000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You know, a lot of the decision making around what people
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is on something of a knife edge. For the time being, if you are considering raising any concerns with G4S</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You know, a lot of the decision making around what people could or couldn't do within the centre went through
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is on something of a knife edge. For the time being, if you are considering raising any concerns with G4S (including healthcare) or with any of the agencies that</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You know, a lot of the decision making around what people could or couldn't do within the centre went through another authority. It wouldn't necessarily be me that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is on something of a knife edge. For the time being, if you are considering raising any concerns with G4S</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You know, a lot of the decision making around what people could or couldn't do within the centre went through
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	very quickly, please, his reaction to what had happened is also captured, and I'm asked to put this to you by DPG, Deighton Pierce Glynn, at <dpg000002> at page 57. It is a witness statement that Anna Pincus made at page 57, paragraph 162. This is Anna Pincus talking about the meeting of 18 August with G4S and the Home Office: " James sent an email that day to the GDWG staff team saying: 'I just had a pretty gruelling meeting with Brook management. The continuation of the drop-ins is on something of a knife edge. For the time being, if you are considering raising any concerns with G4S (including healthcare) or with any of the agencies that</dpg000002>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. And when he's saying things like bullying, tantamount to bullying, felt threatened, gruelling meeting, then perhaps the relationship you're describing isn't the one he thought he was having? A. It is worrying. Yes, I accept it's worrying if people are you know, feel you know, whether this was aimed at me in particular or is it aimed at Paul? I don't know. You know, I'm not a maverick in kind of saying, "Yes, you can do this or, no, you can't". You know, a lot of the decision making around what people could or couldn't do within the centre went through another authority. It wouldn't necessarily be me that

1	kind of identified here in some of the previous cases,	1	28 per cent of men. More than three months, less than
2	you know, sometimes I'm the messenger.	2	six months, 18 per cent. More than six, less than nine,
3	Q. Let's move on. A little earlier, do you remember I was	3	10 per cent. More than nine, less than 12, six. More
4	asking you about the differences between custodial	4	than 12 months, five. And we have had examples of
5	environment and detention.	5	others there apparently far longer.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You started telling us about the physical layout.	7	Q. Now, it is all fine and dandy putting men like this in
8	A. Yes.	8	a building designed for short-term holding, but some of
9	Q. I know you're still there now, so you may be biased, of	9	these men were spending the equivalent of substantial
10	course, Mr Skitt, but being as objective as you can, do	10	sentences of imprisonment, weren't they?
11	you think that Brook House is a fit and proper place to	11	A. I mean, the timescales of some of the residents that had
12	hold detained men for purely administrative reasons?	12	previously been at Brook was considerable. I remember
13	A. It's very difficult, is the reply.	13	talking to one gentleman who I think had been there
14	Q. It was intended to be a difficult question, but it's	14	nearly two years.
15	one, you know, with your experience, we value your	15	Q. If you are there two years, it is the equivalent of
16	opinion. I know you work for Serco, I know it's your	16	a four-year sentence?
17	bread and butter and you have been there many years.	17	A. And I think he had been in previous detention centres.
18	A. It is an area where I work, I work for an organisation	18	However, the casework and the reasons why an individual
19	that has a customer to the Home Office. It is	19	is there, I have no kind of say on
20	a building that we are contracted to supply services for	20	Q. No, but the point, Mr Skitt, is, that's not what it was
21	our customer. And we operate on behalf of the customer.	21	designed to do?
22	Yes, it is a prison-like building, but the condition of	22	A. My understanding is it was originally opened as
23	the building is, I believe, in good condition. It is	23	a short-term that's my opinion. I'll stand to be
24	clean. The rooms are of a nature which is a suitable	24	corrected. But that's what I understand.
25	place for somebody to live. We are trying to make	25	Q. So you started out with a man count of something like
	Page 129		Page 131
1	enhancements, as we speak, to try and soften the look	1	426, and I think, 22 beds were added in 2013.
2	within the centre. So I would say yes.	2	A. 448, it went to.
2 3	within the centre. So I would say yes.	2 3	
	•		A. 448, it went to.
3	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper?	3	A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there.
3 4	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition.	3 4	A. 448, it went to.Q. Before you were there.A. Yes.
3 4 5	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes.	3 4 5	A. 448, it went to.Q. Before you were there.A. Yes.Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an
3 4 5 6	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison	3 4 5 6	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about?
3 4 5 6 7	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all	3 4 5 6 7	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods).
3 4 5 6 7 8	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in.	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have been manageable, for most people, for 72 hours. I could take you to one of the survey questions in the HMIP	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the Prison Service and it is my personal view. I accept where I work and I have to accept that the requirement
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have been manageable, for most people, for 72 hours. I could take you to one of the survey questions in the HMIP report that we looked at not very long ago, which gives	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the Prison Service and it is my personal view. I accept where I work and I have to accept that the requirement is part of the contract, whether it is in a prison or an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have been manageable, for most people, for 72 hours. I could take you to one of the survey questions in the HMIP report that we looked at not very long ago, which gives a breakdown of the amount of time that men had been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the Prison Service and it is my personal view. I accept where I work and I have to accept that the requirement
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have been manageable, for most people, for 72 hours. I could take you to one of the survey questions in the HMIP report that we looked at not very long ago, which gives a breakdown of the amount of time that men had been there. More than one month, less than three months,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the Prison Service and it is my personal view. I accept where I work and I have to accept that the requirement is part of the contract, whether it is in a prison or an IRC, there's more than one person in a room. But, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	within the centre. So I would say yes. Q. You think it is fit and proper? A. Yes. In regards to the kind of condition. Q. Yes. A. I mean, you could debate, you know, it is a prison building, and I know it's something that HMIP, on all their inspections, will criticise; most prison buildings that I've worked in. Q. Let me perhaps put the question in a slightly different way: do you think it was a mistake to have built a building to the specification of a category B prison for this population of men? A. I think you have to look at — I'm no expert on this, so please bear with me. I think the building was originally designed as a very short-term removal centre. Q. That's what I'm coming to. A. Mmm. Q. Therein lies the rub of it, because built as a short-term holding centre, it might just about have been manageable, for most people, for 72 hours. I could take you to one of the survey questions in the HMIP report that we looked at not very long ago, which gives a breakdown of the amount of time that men had been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. 448, it went to. Q. Before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Come 2017, with effect from 1 April, there had been an agreement to add 60 more beds which you know about? A. (Witness nods). Q. Was that a great idea? A. It was a directive from the Home Office that they wanted to put the extra beds in. Q. Was it a great idea, Mr Skitt? A. Sorry? Q. Was it a great idea? A. I think, at the time, it was a reasonable idea. Q. You said it was controversial in your witness statement? A. The controversy — this is about one person, isn't it, in a room? Q. You tell us. A. This is my personal view. It has always been my personal view since I've probably been in the Prison Service and it is my personal view. I accept where I work and I have to accept that the requirement is part of the contract, whether it is in a prison or an IRC, there's more than one person in a room. But, you

			4.6
1	custodial sites should be single rooms. That is just my	1	A. Sorry?
2	personal view.	2	Q. Why were the extra beds needed?
3	Q. Mr Skitt, it is not just me. I think my hearing is	3	A. I think around the time — don't quote me on dates.
4	going. It may be it is. But that's not going to be the	4	I think Dover had just closed or was closing.
5	same with everybody else and I am told: can you keep	5	Q. Dover what?
6	your voice up?	6	A. Dover there's a prison in Dover Castle that was an
7	A. I'm sorry.	7	IRC. I think that was closing and I think it was handed
8	Q. You speak very softly. You are what you are. But can	8	back to HMPPS, I believe. I think there were plans
9	you please keep your voice up?	9	afoot in the longer term. Whether the plans were there
10	A. Yes, sorry, my apologies everybody. Just put your hand	10	then but certainly it happened afterwards, the Verne,
11	up if you can't hear me.	11	which was a prison on the Portland, shut down. That was
12	Q. I will tell you. Paragraph 85 of your statement. You	12	600 spaces, I think.
13	don't have to look at it. You say the beds were	13	Q. It was certainly open in May, and I can tell you with
14	a controversial addition. But with the beds came extra	14	a degree of confidence it was there, the Verne, on
15	funding and staffing to manage additional capacity.	15	13 May, because we have evidence of a detainee D687 who
16	That's what I really was asking you about.	16	was moved to the Verne on 13 May 2017 and tried to
17	A. Sorry, my apologies.	17	self-harm in a disabled lavatory rather than be taken.
18	Q. No, no, no, I know that you have said elsewhere in this	18	So that's May time. So it was almost certainly open at
19	statement that you had the view that you have just	19	that point?
20	expressed. What I'm asking you is why you said the beds	20	A. Whether that was in the plans going forward. But it
21	were a controversial addition. What was controversial?	21	certainly did shut, and so did, later on, Morton Hall,
22	A. Well, I say controversial. They were a topic of	22	which was another HMPPS
23	discussion, whether people should, whether people	23	Q. We have heard of Morton Hall. That's Lincolnshire?
24	shouldn't. I know Stephen Shaw had a view, I know	24	A. Lincolnshire, yes.
25	members within the SMT had raised a kind of three	25	Q. So 60 beds added, put in at cost. G4S gets extra
	Page 133		Page 135
1	beds it was something, as an organisation, we had to	1	funding; yes?
2	look at. There were a it is not our accommodation.		
2	look at. There were a it is not our accommodation, it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put	2	A. Yes.
	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put		A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other
3	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra	2 3	A. Yes.Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate
3 4	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity.
3 4 5 6	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity.A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick,
3 4 5 6	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement:
3 4 5 6 7 8	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our assessment of that and staffing levels, but ultimately,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and in July 2018, when he did his follow-up report, his
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our assessment of that and staffing levels, but ultimately, in my opinion, it would be the Home Office that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to - I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and in July 2018, when he did his follow-up report, his recommendation was that, where extra beds had already
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our assessment of that and staffing levels, but ultimately, in my opinion, it would be the Home Office that would have signed that off.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and in July 2018, when he did his follow-up report, his recommendation was that, where extra beds had already been put in and Brook House was one of the IRCs, they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our assessment of that and staffing levels, but ultimately, in my opinion, it would be the Home Office that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to - I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and in July 2018, when he did his follow-up report, his recommendation was that, where extra beds had already
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it is a Home Office building. We were asked to put together a kind of plan in regard to profiling, extra staffing, look at what extra regimes we could put in place. We put the plan together and submitted that to the Home Office and the extra beds project was then driven forward. I think appropriate risk assessments had taken place into the rooms in regards to air-conditioning, I think I forget what it's called now. There is a test you do of, certainly, the lighting, there is a specific word for it, there is a DSO, but I can't recall what it was, that everybody has the appropriate lighting. I think discussions were raised around about furniture and I think extra furniture was also put in the rooms as well to suit three people, yes. Q. Was it anything that G4S could have put its foot down about and said, "No, this is not happening"? A. No, I wouldn't have thought so, no. We were providing what the customer had asked for. We put together our assessment of that and staffing levels, but ultimately, in my opinion, it would be the Home Office that would have signed that off.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. And G4S I mean, it is not just the beds. It is other fixtures and fittings have to come in to accommodate 60 more men at full capacity. A. Yes. Q. We will look, not with you, but with Mr Petherick, I hope, at some of the costings of this. You say in your statement: "I remember Andrew Mitchell was all for the additional beds." Andrew Mitchell who? A. MP. Q. Minister, at the time? A. I'm not sure if he was a minister at the time, but he came down to I'm not sure why he came down, to be honest, whether it was on behalf of Q. Came to have a look, did he? A. Yes, came to have a look. Q. And was all for it. As you say, Stephen Shaw reported in January 2016 that it shouldn't go ahead, and in July 2018, when he did his follow-up report, his recommendation was that, where extra beds had already been put in and Brook House was one of the IRCs, they

1	A. Yes.	1	probably fairly early in, but a reasonable reflection
2	Q. And they were removed?	2	on that.
3	A. They were removed, yes.	3	Q. If we look at I don't invite you to, but I'll read it
4	Q. As a result of Stephen Shaw?	4	for the record paragraph 235, page 67 of your witness
5	A. That's my understanding, yes.	5	statement, you say:
6	Q. So all of the costs of putting them in, they had to be	6	"I was involved in some staffing plans.
7	taken out again because of Stephen Shaw's views. In the	7	Particularly the one I can recall was surrounding the
8	meantime, because they were supposed to take effect on	8	extra 60 beds that were put in place. I was also
9	or about 1 April we can look at the service provider	9	involved in working with the then director and others
10	form or the notice of change.	10	and I can recall that everyone who was involved in this
11	A. Yes.	11	process at the time considered the plan and agreed the
12	Q. But it was due to have effect from 1 April, 60 beds, of	12	staffing levels would be deemed appropriate."
13	2017. Were they used, in fact?	13	Now, I want to be clear about what you are saying
14	A. Yes, they were.	14	there.
15	Q. We have heard differing evidence about this. We have	15	A. So
16	heard hardly used, not used. What's your recollection?	16	Q. Just a moment. Let me ask the question.
17	A. My recollection is that they were used.	17	A. Sorry.
18	Q. Full capacity or not?	18	Q. Did you take on more staff for the 60 additional beds or
19	A. I can't recall if they were full capacity, but I think	19	did you maintain the status quo?
20	the approach we took was a kind of softly, softly	20	A. No, no, I think more staff were recruited.
21	approach is perhaps the wrong phrase. But we knew there	21	Q. How many?
22	were nationalities that actually preferred to be more in	22	A. I think, without looking at the document, I think it was
23	a communal room.	23	an odd number. I think just under 20. I think about
24	Q. You said this to Verita. You said Chinese?	24	20, or just under.
25	A. Vietnamese, Chinese. They were to be in a room.	25	Q. Was that in anticipation of the beds coming in or around
	Page 137		Page 139
	1 age 137		1 age 139
1	I can't recall if we had to kind of say to somebody,	1	1 April or after?
1 2	I can't recall if we had to kind of say to somebody, "You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an	1 2	1 April or after? A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the
	*		•
2	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an	2	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the
2 3	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and	2 3	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in.
2 3 4	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you	2 3 4	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him.
2 3 4 5	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you	2 3 4 5	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in.Q. The imam, you will remember him.A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road	2 3 4 5 6	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we
2 3 4 5 6 7	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230> We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230> We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates.</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230> We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams?</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230> We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes.</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11.</ver000230> We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment."</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds."</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017:</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact?</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months,</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well,</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have.</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this off. So I think the one you know, the people that</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have. Since January, 2016, until just two or three months ago,</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this off. So I think the one you know, the people that were in these three-bedded rooms were people that were</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have. Since January, 2016, until just two or three months ago, I had attended about three assessment days. Over the</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this off. So I think the one you know, the people that were in these three-bedded rooms were people that were happy to be in there, so it hadn't been a particular</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have. Since January, 2016, until just two or three months ago, I had attended about three assessment days. Over the last two months I have attended six or seven."</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this off. So I think the one you know, the people that were in these three-bedded rooms were people that were</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have. Since January, 2016, until just two or three months ago, I had attended about three assessment days. Over the</ver000230>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"You are going in a room", but we did try and adopt an approach that was sensible, I think, and logical, and say, you know, "Do you mind do you want to? Have you got a few friends?", which I guess, you know, in you know, on the other hand, we had Tinsley up the road which was as you may be aware, is full of dormitory accommodation. Q. <cjs000582>. Here we have some SMT meeting notes. This is 13 April. So it is around two weeks after the beds have apparently gone in. If we go to the second page, please, just under "Departmental updates. BH residential (JW)", being Jules Williams? A. Yes. Q. "Unsettled across the wings. A few assaults and boisterous behaviour. There has been no impact with additions beds." Was that the case, that there had been no impact? I mean, this is only two weeks in, so it's not A. No, as I I think, if I recall, we did well, I think we took a sensible approach into starting this off. So I think the one you know, the people that were in these three-bedded rooms were people that were happy to be in there, so it hadn't been a particular</cjs000582>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. It was part of the process and mobilisation towards the extra beds coming in. Q. The imam, you will remember him. A. Yes. Q. Mr Qayyum. He spoke to Verita on 1 December. Can we just put up on screen, please, <ver000230> at page 11. We can see, at question 133, Ms Lampard is asking him. "Question: What happened when there was the additional, when they added the 60? "Answer: It has become worse "Question: Were there some more staff that were put in? "Answer: Yes. G4S are involved quite heavily in recruiting at the moment." Bearing in mind this interview is taking place in December 2017: "Again, I am also involved in recruitment days, so I attend assessment days. In the last two months, I have attended, let's say, six or seven assessment days which is very, very rare for G4S to have. Since January, 2016, until just two or three months ago, I had attended about three assessment days. Over the last two months I have attended six or seven."</ver000230>

1	interview is 1 December, he is talking about the autumn	1	A. I don't know. I work there. I enjoy working there.
2	of 2017, of course after the Panorama programme.	2	That's my opinion.
3	Mr Marsden asks:	3	MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is 3.15 pm. Can I suggest a quarter
4	"Question: There has been a sudden spike in	4	of an hour break?
5	recruitment?	5	THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you. See you at 3.30. Thank you.
6	"Answer: Yes, so they are recruiting quite heavily	6	(3.15 pm)
7	and I've seen that change since Lee Hanford has come	7	(A short break)
8	into position."	8	(3.35 pm)
9	Ms Lampard wants to pin him down:	9	MR ALTMAN: Chair, Ms Blackwell asked me very nicely at the
10	"Question: but staffing levels before the 60	10	break if I would just put up one of the emails, which
11	were pretty awful and it got worse after the 60 or do	11	I referred to earlier, just to ask Mr Skitt a couple
12	you think it got better?	12	more questions, so I shall do that.
13	"Answer: It got worse, but now they have picked up	13	THE CHAIR: Of course, thank you.
14	again because we are recruiting quite heavily and new	14	MR ALTMAN: If we go to page 41, which is up on screen, this
15	members of staff are coming in.	15	is the email or letter I put to you earlier dated
16	"Question: Putting in those 60 made a bad situation	16	25 September, and I am asked to read out the first
17	pretty	17	paragraph, which says I think it is this one:
18	"Answer: Pretty much worse at first."	18	"Thank you for taking the time to meet with me last
19	His recollection, and he was involved in	19	
20	recruitment, by the sound of it, is that there weren't	20	month. This letter sets out some of information you and
21	new staff?	20	Paul requested during our discussions and contains some suggestions for the efficient management of our future
22	A. Without going back, tracking back into the recruitment	22	meetings."
23	of staff going back some time, we did go through periods	23	It is quite a lengthy document. If we go to the
24	where we found recruitment of staff very difficult	24	bitter end, on page 44, please, at the top. Mr Wilson
25	generally.	25	says:
	generally	23	says.
	Page 141		Page 143
	0.771 / 17:77	١.	ment of the state
1	Q. That's a bit different to what you were telling us	1	"Finally, to end on a positive note, I was really
2	earlier, Mr Skitt, because, in your mind, new staff were	2	encouraged to hear you say at the meeting that you felt
3	taken on you said about 20 to cater for the	3	that in 99.9 per cent of cases that GDWG was doing good
4	additional beds. That's what I understood you to be	4	work. I hope that with a continuance of the dialogue
5	saying?	5	h atrican is that is a commissionly spafully to action !!
6		_	between us that we can work usefully together."
. 7	A. What I'm saying is, I can't go back and track whether	6	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other
7	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20	7	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices.
8	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general	7 8	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on.
8 9	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds.	7 8 9	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we
8 9 10	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment,	7 8 9 10	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can
8 9 10 11	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into	7 8 9 10 11	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around	7 8 9 10 11 12	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials:</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre.</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items.</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged."</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process. Q. What you mean is, in a competition of, where would	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about how you were working tirelessly to prevent the ingress</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process. Q. What you mean is, in a competition of, where would I like to work, Brook House isn't going to be at the top	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about how you were working tirelessly to prevent the ingress of drugs? Here we are in February 2017. Clearly,</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process. Q. What you mean is, in a competition of, where would I like to work, Brook House isn't going to be at the top of the list in Gatwick?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about how you were working tirelessly to prevent the ingress</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process. Q. What you mean is, in a competition of, where would I like to work, Brook House isn't going to be at the top of the list in Gatwick? A. I don't know. You'd have to ask people. Q. I'm asking you. You work there.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about how you were working tirelessly to prevent the ingress of drugs? Here we are in February 2017. Clearly, security was informing you and the rest of the SMT that there had been a massive turnaround in the dynamics.</cjs000555>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that was for that may be enough for the extra 20 beds. That may be just a general Q. 60 beds. A. Sorry, 60 beds. That may be just general recruitment, not just specifically I mean, I'm just reading into that, does Zeeshan think that was particularly around the beds or was that recruitment in general? I think I know there were some challenging times with recruitment. I think there always is in an area that where the centre is, because there is a lot of opportunity and a lot of employment in the area, given the fact that we're next door to Gatwick Airport and all the kind of support services that support the airport in general. So recruitment is a challenging process. Q. What you mean is, in a competition of, where would I like to work, Brook House isn't going to be at the top of the list in Gatwick? A. I don't know. You'd have to ask people.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's just to set a balance of some of the other things he said in the letter, and I hope that suffices. Yes. Right. Moving on. Can I ask you a couple of things about something we have already touched on, Mr Skitt, which is drugs. Can we put up on screen <cjs000555>, please. Here is another SMT meeting minute, this one of 9 February. If we go to the foot of the page sorry, page 2. Under "deputy director" with your initials: "Security picking up on trends and that there has been a massive turnaround in the dynamics of the centre. Different types of intelligence especially around corruption. A number of staff feel that it is colleagues bringing in some of the prohibited items. A number of staff in Visits need to be challenged." Do you remember I asked you a little earlier about how you were working tirelessly to prevent the ingress of drugs? Here we are in February 2017. Clearly, security was informing you and the rest of the SMT that</cjs000555>

1	Which way around had the turnaround gone in February?	1	a number of staff to do staff searching. Those are some
2	Did it mean more drugs were coming in or less?	2	of the difficulties. The other issue is, yes, we failed
3	A. I can't yeah, I can't recall if it would be up or	3	in that.
4	down. The dynamics, normally, in these cases, would be	4	Q. Yes.
5	that you'd have a number of what we would call prominent	5	A. But on a from a personal experience in regards to
6	nominals involved in the drug subculture that may have	6	staff searching for drugs, I am not a personally,
7	gone or we may have had more turn up. I don't know, is	7	a keen fan on routine searching because staff understand
8	the honest answer.	8	what routine searching is and it is usually set at
9	Q. Paul Gasson was asked about this issue in his Verita	9	different times of the day. I personally would like to
10	interview <ver000256> at page 19. Page 21, sorry. He</ver000256>	10	work with intelligence and, if I need to do a search on
11	was asked, you can see, if we expand halfway down at	11	somebody, I can try and target that search. That would
12	question 309, about new psychoactive substances, and	12	be my approach, personally, but I wasn't head of
13	what it could do. In that long answer, he says:	13	security at the time.
14	"I asked for staff searches. It took me a while	14	Q. Fine. So you agree that when Gasson said it failed, it
15	and, to be frank, it was fobbed off. The only staff	15	did fail?
16	searches I had was new ITC staff"	16	A. No.
17	Who were ITC staff? The training staff?	17	Q. Can we look at another document, please, <imb000015> at</imb000015>
18	A. That would be the new staff that would join.	18	page 1. It is another IMB meeting report. If we go to
19	Q. Those on the training course?	19	item 4, this being 15 March 2017, "SS for G4S":
20	A. Yes.	20	"HMIP report was published last week with positive
21	Q. "So everyone on day one of the ITC apparently gets	21	remarks for Brook. There has been some good work in
22	searched, so that's good. However, they are not going	22	reducing the flow of NPS into the centre, especially
23	into the centre so it's not contractual. Everyone needs	23	through visits."
24	to be searched over time as part of the staff searching	24	The report is the one that I have been asking you
25	plan if they are going into the centre.	25	about?
	Page 145		Page 147
1	"Off the back of that, they wrote a new staff	1	A. Yes.
	"Off the back of that, they wrote a new staff searching policy and they declared that they had failed	1	A. Yes. O. What was the good work?
2	searching policy and they declared that they had failed	2	Q. What was the good work?
2 3	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff."	2 3	Q. What was the good work?A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area
2	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that:	2 3 4	Q. What was the good work?A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress
2 3 4 5	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16?	2 3 4 5	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good
2 3 4 5 6	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team,
2 3 4 5 6 7	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry:</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May."</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall I may not be correct there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita? A. There were some particular issues at one point. I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this particular time, a lot of prisons were going through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita? A. There were some particular issues at one point. I know the inquiry has heard concerns around staff resources,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this particular time, a lot of prisons were going through a similar kind of issue around NPS. We looked at — we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita? A. There were some particular issues at one point. I know the inquiry has heard concerns around staff resources, and it is a difficult staff resource to do. It also is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this particular time, a lot of prisons were going through a similar kind of issue around NPS. We looked at — we paid for another part of the business within G4S to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita? A. There were some particular issues at one point. I know the inquiry has heard concerns around staff resources,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this particular time, a lot of prisons were going through a similar kind of issue around NPS. We looked at — we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	searching policy and they declared that they had failed to search their staff." He was asked to confirm that: "Question: That was early '16? "Answer: That was early '16. I am sure I have all mitigation tables and comments on the shared drive, shared folders. "The staff searching then did increase. It happened once or twice going in, and since Panorama there has been a high number of staff searches. "Question: During 2017, you think they might have done it twice or during early '16 and '17 they did it twice? "Answer: Yes. "Question: Twice in the whole of the 16/17 period? "Answer: I am not sure about 2015, but 2016, yes. "Question: It was probably only once each year? "Answer: I couldn't speculate, but I can find out. G4S would have those records." Do you agree with what he told Verita? A. There were some particular issues at one point. I know the inquiry has heard concerns around staff resources, and it is a difficult staff resource to do. It also is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What was the good work? A. I can't recall exactly, but within visits was an area that we were concerned was potentially a main ingress point. There was, at some point, quite a number of good finds, what you would call, within the visits team, a potential stopping of passes, and I think, if I recall — I may not be correct — there were also a number of prosecutions around that as well. Q. Then <cjs000915>, please. We will find that to be another minute, but this time of a security meeting which you attended on 11 April. If we look — scroll up, if you would, Zaynab. You can just about make out under safeguarding MB, that's Michelle Brown, the second entry up from the particular of that particular entry: "UK BA drugs dogs will be using BH & TH as a training centre from 4 May." What did that mean?</cjs000915> A. We had attempted to procure dogs from some of our prisons because some of the prisons do have a resource of dogs. We found it very difficult because, at this particular time, a lot of prisons were going through a similar kind of issue around NPS. We looked at — we paid for another part of the business within G4S to

		1	
1	passive/active dogs that were maybe used at, I think,	1	your recollection, was it simply that inappropriate
2	like sports events and other places. They weren't used	2	behaviour by the pair of them?
3	to working in environmental custodial environment.	3	A. It was a bit more than inappropriate behaviour. So in
4	Then Michelle mentioned that she'd got a contact	4	regards to the first one, I think my letter and the
5	with a new KBA at the airport, who was responsible for	5	notes from the meeting kind of outline in detail my
6	the drug dogs which they would, I guess, use in the	6	findings of the hearing and my thought processes in
7	airport and at the ports, and I know from personal	7	coming to my decision.
8	experience that dog handlers, when they are training	8	Q. Both have given evidence, and I need to ask you about
9	dogs, do like to use other environments for their dogs	9	this, Mr Skitt, and said that their dismissal by you was
10	so they don't get stale, they get different	10	related to their race. What do you say about that?
11	environments, so we agreed that they would come to	11	A. Do not agree whatsoever.
12	Brook. For them, it would be training, you know,	12	Q. That they were treated unfairly because they are both
13	a different centre. It didn't change the fact that the	13	black?
14	dogs would indicate or not. And they came in and did	14	A. No. They were treated in line with what was presented
15	some searches for us as well. We did full staff	15	to me at the disciplinary hearing.
16	searches and they assisted us with the dogs.	16	Q. Can I ask you, please, about Ben Saunders. You will
17	Q. Let me ask you something else that you were asked about	17	remember that you had an interview with Verita yourself.
18	for the purposes of your witness statement. You will	18	A. Yes.
19	remember two officers that were involved in disciplinary	19	Q. Can we just look at the interview. It is <ver000248>.</ver000248>
20	matters Babs Fagbo, Babatunde?	20	Chair, you have this one in full at tab 99, if you wish
21	A. Yes.	21	to make a note. Page 10, please. It is
22	Q. And Shayne Munroe?	22	27 November 2017, your interview. If we look at
23	A. Yes.	23	question 113, you were asked about him and his style at
24	Q. Were you involved in their disciplinary issues?	24	111.
25	A. I was involved in the final part of it, which had been	25	"Answer: Ben had been here a couple of years by the
	Page 149		Page 151
	Tage 117		1 480 131
1	disciplinary hearing.	1	time I came in decent, good bloke completely
2	Q. Did that relate to their inappropriate behaviour in	2	different backgrounds."
3	regards to a particular detainee or were there any drugs	3	You were asked, in effect, what his background was.
4	issues around either	4	At 114 you say?
5	A. Two separate incidents.	5	"Answer: He's a social worker and it would probably
6	Q. In April?	6	be fair to say operationally we wouldn't have the same
7	A. Yep.	7	views of doing things. I did call him Ben the
8	Q. Where one, Shayne Munroe, on a day after Babatunde Fagbo	8	politician once."
9	had allegedly sworn at a detainee, and I think the day	9	You were asked if he was facilitative, and you said:
10	following the detainee had heard a discussion taking	10	"Yes. He was more of a thinker than a doer and
11	place about that incident.	11	there were some things that we probably didn't agree on,
12	A. Yes, that's my recollection.	12	there were some things that I felt would have perhaps
13	Q. When Shayne Munroe allegedly became involved and had	13	needed to be taken forward in a different way. I was
14	also sworn at the detainee D119, if my memory serves me?	14	quite talkative with him about the SMT and my views, and
15	A. Yes.	15	effectively that he should take more control and
16	Q. In due course, you had to deal with the pair of them	16	direction as to what the SMT does."
17	and, because of that, they were both dismissed in very	17	You expand in that particular answer.
18	short	18	"Question: Ben wasn't a builder of a team?
19	A. The incidents happened in quick succession, like you	19	"Answer: Not in my opinion."
20	say, one day after the other. So by the time the	20	Over the next page.
21	investigation had been commissioned and by the time the	21	"Question: Was he the kind of person who would walk
22	investigations would have been returned, I would	22	around the place? Would he go out and walk down the
23	I can't remember the exact date, but I would yes,	23	visits and find out what's going on here?
24	probably would have been within	24	"Answer: Not on a regular basis.
		1	110 (* D.1 1 (1 (9
25	Q. So that we are clear, as far as they are concerned, with	25	"Question: Did you do that?
25	Q. So that we are clear, as far as they are concerned, with Page 150	25	Page 152

1	"Answer: I'll go round every day if I can, if time	1	I think, you know, Ben's style could work with an SMT
2	prevails."	2	without those kind of caveats.
3	He had a social work background?	3	Q. Coming back to what I asked you much earlier, the
4	A. Yes.	4	grievance culture that still persisted and pervaded at
5	Q. He had been at Medway Secure Training Centre from	5	Brook House did affect the job that he was able to do?
6	between 2002 and 2012 before he was appointed centre	6	A. In summary, yes, in my opinion.
7	director of Brook House under G4S. Then he was	7	Q. Still in this interview that you gave to Verita, please,
8	parachuted back into Medway after the Panorama expose	8	going back to page 6, there is a very long answer at 68.
9	and the report on Medway, which, if my memory serves me,	9	If you can just pick up probably about ten lines down:
10	was 30 March 2016, and he stayed at Medway until about	10	"When I came here, I found a lot of the first-line
11	the summer of 2016 when he came back to Brook House.	11	managers, from what I was used to, certainly in prisons,
12	Does that all accord with your recollection?	12	were managers."
13	A. I can't remember the exact dates, but, yeah, that's	13	Do you see that line? It is about ten lines down.
14	yes.	14	If you are leading in from the left manager you're
15	Q. Do you think he was suited to being a centre director?	15	looking for the word "managers". That's it:
16	He had had a background in social work, he had been at	16	"When I came here, I found a lot of the first-line
17	Medway for a decade before coming over to Brook House,	17	managers, from what I was used to, certainly in prisons,
18	a centre director, and then he went back to try and,	18	were managers."
19	I think, inject a little stability after Medway, which	19	What do you mean by "first-line managers"?
20	is very similar to what you were asked to do when you	20	A. The DCM grade.
21	came over to Brook House. Do you think he was suited to	21	Q. "There would be an expectation that I would expect
22	being centre director?	22	a first-line manager to be able to manage the sickness,
23	A. I'm not sure whether it's my place to say whether he was	23	as an example, when somebody went sick, to make other
24	or he wasn't, but	24	contacts, do the paperwork. I just felt I had to
25	Q. Stop there, it is, because I'm asking you. So we want	25	micro-manage things like that: have you done this, have
	Page 153		Page 155
1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
1	your opinion.	1 2	you done that? A lot of my time seemed to be spent
2	A. Okay. So I think my opinion is that I am not sure of	2	and still does, in some respects having to talk to
3	the details of all the issues that had taken place	3	managers week in, week out, have you made contact with
4	before I arrived. It had clearly affected a number of people within the SMT. I think what lacked within the	4 5	your sick, have you done this, have you done that,
5	SMT at that particular time and I sit here and take		things that in a previous life I'd have expected the
6 7	•	6 7	other way round. I would probably view it as managed
-	responsibility for some things, I accept that is	8	from top down rather than bottom up." So here you are complaining about what DCMs?
8 9	direction of an SMT and pulling that together. O. So pice bloke, but lousy centre director?	8 9	So here you are complaining about, what, DCMs? A. Yes.
	Q. So nice bloke, but lousy centre director?	10	
10	A. I would say he lacked some skills in what I would be		Q. Not SMT members?
11	used to in a governor or a director.	11	A. No.
12 13	Q. Which were? A. Being directive and taking — not control, that's not	12	Q. But DCMs? A. I think, when you look at the kind of concern I'm kind
13	A. Being directive and taking — not control, that's not the right word, but management of the SMT, would have	14	A. I think, when you look at the kind of concern I'm kind of highlighting, I think I'm not sure that if,
15	been the areas	15	or nigningnting, 1 think 1 m not sure that if, weekly, we used to chair a sick meeting.
16	Q. In saying that, are you saying that part of what you	16	Q. Speak up.
16	Q. In saying that, are you saying that part of what you call this long-established grievance culture was also	17	
18	what he had not got a grip of?	18	A. Sorry, I do apologise. I'll move these in. I used to chair a I think it was weekly like a sick meeting,
19	A. I think my personal opinion, I think, if that hadn't	19	just to run through
20	taken place, and, you know, there are people that can be	20	Just to run through — Q. What's a sick meeting?
20	quite directive, governors and directors, there are	20 21	A. For all those staff that are absent.
22	people that can work in different ways, and I believe	21 22	Q. Well, you don't have a meeting with the absent staff?
23	that if those some of those elements hadn't have been	23	A. No, a meeting with the managers to discuss the absent
23	in place and hadn't happened before, and you've got an	24	staff.
25	SMT that hadn't gone through those issues previously,	25	Q. Who is absent, okay.
-2	and man e gone an ough those issues previously,	23	
L	Page 154	L	Page 156
			39 (Pages 153 to 156)

1	A. Yes. I think some of the concerns were, there are some	1	progression over the next five or so years because of
2	areas that have to be covered initially when the member	2	this. It is also likely that no-one in the SMT would
3	of staff goes sick, and you're quite right, you know,	3	have vision and more likely that they would just follow
4	and that's an initial contact from a manager, just to	4	the status quo without challenging it."
5	say, "Notice you've gone sick. How are you doing?	5	Now, first of all, when you say "We are working on
6	What's wrong?" And do we need to put in support in	6	this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention
7	place for those members of staff? I think there was	7	culture", your witness statement, of course, was made
8	also some criticism along the line from staff as well,	8	and signed off, if my memory serves me, on 4 March.
9	who were saying, "Well, I've been off sick. I was	9	What's the positive detention culture that you are
10	perhaps injured at work or I've not been feeling too	10	invoking under Serco?
11	well", who hadn't had that contact, who would kind of	11	A. Yes, it's Dr Victoria Lavis, and she
12	complain about that as well. So it was just trying to	12	Q. Dr?
13	instil and I do appreciate that there were times when	13	A. Victoria Lavis. I'm not sure if she's coming to give
14	that particular grade was pulled and pushed to do	14	Q. Not that I know about.
15	various bits. But just the bit I was trying to instil,	15	A. Okay.
16	if everybody just kind of sat there for five, ten	16	Q. Just tell us shortly, Mr Skitt, about her?
17	minutes in the morning, who has gone sick in your area,	17	A. This was introduced when as part of the new contract,
18	just give them a ring, check in with them, see if they	18	you know, it is to work with, basically, all staffing
19	are okay, you know, just kind of really basic questions	19	groups. It is basically, we look at areas of
20	that then we know where we are with people, rather than	20	concern, we have recently looked at staff surveys and
21	leave it and then feel staff feel annoyed that nobody	21	had numerous meetings to try and make a kind of plan,
22	has taken the time just to give them a ring.	22	a roadmap for Gatwick IRC going forward and how we can
23	Q. Did you feel micro-management was your lot only in	23	address that and the staff involved. Also, each
24	A. No, I	24	function so I have my own kind of roadmap that has
25	Q. Wait, wait. I haven't asked you a question, Mr Skitt.	25	a number of elements within it. As an example, part of
			• • •
	Page 157		Page 159
1	A. Sorry, I do anologise.	1	my area is the care team. So how the care team operates
1 2	A. Sorry, I do apologise. O. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself	1 2	my area is the care team. So how the care team operates
2	Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself	2	in supporting staff and other areas very you know,
2 3	Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways?	2 3	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or
2 3 4	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation 	2 3 4	in supporting staff and other areas very — you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, 	2 3 4 5	in supporting staff and other areas very — you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this,
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had 	2 3 4 5 6	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to 	2 3 4 5 6 7	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you don't have that corporate vision from the Home Office, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative? A. We didn't have such a process in place under then, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you don't have that corporate vision from the Home Office, in my opinion. The Home Office's aim in relation to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative? A. We didn't have such a process in place under then, yes. Q. You're saying Serco is trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you don't have that corporate vision from the Home Office, in my opinion. The Home Office's aim in relation to Gatwick IRCs is to move people out of the country and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in supporting staff and other areas very you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative? A. We didn't have such a process in place under then, yes. Q. You're saying Serco is trying to A. Improve.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was — I mean, in relation — I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think — I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking — I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you don't have that corporate vision from the Home Office, in my opinion. The Home Office's aim in relation to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in supporting staff and other areas very — you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but — and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative? A. We didn't have such a process in place under then, yes. Q. You're saying Serco is trying to —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Only in relation to sicknesses or did you find yourself micro-managing DCMs in all other sorts of ways? A. No, I think sickness was I mean, in relation I think sickness was one of the concerns, as I say, because I think I'm not sure at the time if there had been concerns raised by staff who had been off due to lack of contact. That might have just one example I was talking I wouldn't say I'd be having to micro-manage everything. I just felt that was one of the areas that I felt we were letting ourselves down. Q. Let me ask you something else now. We can take that down, Zaynab, thank you. In your statement at page 47, paragraph 158, you were asked about your views of the Home Office: "I don't see within the Home Office what I saw in a previous life working at prisons: guidance and direction. When I worked in the Prison Service, there was always guidance and yearly plans about how it operates. We're working on this at the IRC now by looking at positive detention culture. But still you don't have that corporate vision from the Home Office, in my opinion. The Home Office's aim in relation to Gatwick IRCs is to move people out of the country and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in supporting staff and other areas very — you know, very similar, and whether that involves training or specific areas within the centre. We have set this out, and I'm no expert on this, but — and it's been rolled out with engagement from all staff within the centre, so we are all understanding of what it is and how we are going to work it going forward. It is a report. Reports are published based on our functions that are involving the Home Office, just so we can understand what the culture is, that we get it right and that all staff are engaged within that process. Q. What's her expertise? A. Sorry? Q. What's her expertise, Dr Lavis? A. I mean, I know she was from a psychology background. I'm not sure exactly what her doctorship is in. Q. Does it follow that Serco is now invoking a positive detention culture that, during the time we are talking about, it was pretty negative? A. We didn't have such a process in place under then, yes. Q. You're saying Serco is trying to — A. Improve.

2. A. And think it is something the customer wanted. So part of the kind of specification was the customer would want this. 3. Q. You will remember having an interview with Dr Aitken back in July 2017? 4. I know I was interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. 5. Q. We can look at it, if needs be, but you were asked about the flown office, and you say: 10. We can look at it, if needs be, but you were asked about the flown office, and you say: 11. I'm not sure the general public understand what we do anywer. I think flow go back to purpose vision strategy. 12. I'm not sure the general public understand what we do anywer. I think flow go back to purpose vision strategy. 13. You say: 14. You say: 15. "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someous else, would be my view. We fall floud of that via a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all so one becume we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder 20 for each other by the processes at the moment." 20. I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Delgiton 22 for each other by the processes at the moment." 21. I may asked to ask you this on behalf of Delgiton 22 for each other by the processes at the moment." 22. I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Delgiton 22 for each other by the processes at the moment." 23. Piecre Giynn: did you consider that the lack of understand what we was a substantial purpose on the practived lack of purpose of direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 25 and the processes at the moment." 24. A. Surry, I don't quite understand. 25. C. Levs about? 26. Levs about prison it's a contributed to the culture 26 and problem the were and prison it's a different to be read of the prison of the purpose, and the answer and the movement of residents around the curters. I think this was about moves, want'ti? 26. Levs down and a prison it's a surface and the prison of the purpose of direction at Brook House and th				
part of the kind of specification was the customer would want this. Q. You dil Temember having an interview with Dr Aitken back in July 2017? A. Is thory twain interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. Q. We can look ai ai, if needs he, but you were asked about the loon Office, and you say: "the loon Office, and you say: "In ask if we go back to purpose vision strategy, I'm asked as beautiful the loon of the control of the loon of loon of the loon of loon of the loon of loon	1	place than it was?	1	· ·
want this. Deak in July 2017? A. I know I was interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. Q. We can look at it, if face be being to july 2017? A. I know I was interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. Q. We can look at it, if face be be, but you were asked about the Home Office, and you say: "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, "I think those that would form an education — "I think cash IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a profile understand what the sort of removals that corns into here and if think the purpose, of removals that corns into here and if think the purpose, or are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for a me all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for form other by the precesses at the morns." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton Pierce Glym. did you consider that the lack of purpose of direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 The same have a particular problematic individual in another centre. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to el. A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you have been aloned from the movement of residents that were of a round into other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you have to say. We have been provided in the centres, you have to say, seed the purpose, of different is possible to the purpose, of different is possible to the purpose, of the purp		<u> </u>		•
So Q. You will remember having an interview with Dr Aitken back in fully 2017? A. I know I was interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. Q. We can look at it, if needs he, but you were asked about the Home Office, and you was yes; If think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, the Home Office, and you saye; If most sure the general public understand what we do any of the Home Office, and you would perhaps view it as a good thing." You so: If think constituting the strain of the Home Office and the word of the major is one of the strain of the strain, needs to be wording all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we purpose, the strain of the strain, needs to be wording all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we purpose, the strain of the		part of the kind of specification was the customer would		· ·
back in July 2017? A. I know I was interviewed by Dr. Aitken, yes. Q. We can look at it, if needs be, but you were asked about the Home Office, and you say: "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy. I' mot sure the general public understand what we do anyway. I think those that would form an education— uneducated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." You say: "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to do if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someone chee, would be my view. We full fool of that with a lot of effect would be my view. We full fool of that with a lot of the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder 20 Perce Glym: did you consider that the lack of gurpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this bias pace— A. I think, no, this was about moves, wavn't it? Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult total— A. Move from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult total— A. To just trying to summarise what you have said about my vords of dumping from other centres. I think this was around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this for over than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this for over than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this for over the proceeding and think this was a around into other centres. I think this was a mand into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this for over the through a vision serve and into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this for over the through a vision serve that the pace of the processor disruptive believe where all IRCs that would want to consider to the centres, where if there was a pareticular				
A. I know I was interviewed by Dr Aitken, yes. Ø. We can look at it, if needs be, but you were asked about the fire theory of the Home Office, and you says: "I think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, 10 whoever - but I think sometimes, you know, forewarmed is anyway. I think those that would form an education - uncludeated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." I' you say: "I think cach IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someone else, would be my view. Me fall foul of that vith a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, else would be my view. Me fall foul of that it harder the view of the working all as one because we are all doing the same join and I think we must it harder for cach ofther by the processors at the moment." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 I maked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 23 or direction at Brock House contributed to the culture Page 161 That developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you consider that the lack of furpose of the preceived lack of purpose. A. Hatink, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's different. It's very difficult operating centres where all you're doing is, for want of a better work, locking around an approximation to the cannot the work to make a problematic move, and I think was a around an every controlled to tell— A. Par just trying to summarise what you have said about my work of dumping from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell— A. Par just trying to summarise what you have said about my work of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic move, and I think, it we take this forward, there was now moved one because we put in place at Gartwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeti		-		
Note that the Home Office, and you say: "think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, If most sure the general public understand what we do anyway. I think those that would form an education — "think cach IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dumpt it onto someone else, would be my view. We full floul of that with a lot of removals that come into here and I think they purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think two makes it harder Thank seed to ask you this on behalf of Deighton Pierce Glym, did you consider that the lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 This is north you were alwaying the Home Office, the did you was about moves, wasn't it? A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose of this place — A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about thy words of dumping from office centers. It hink this difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about to you shot of dumping from office centers. It hink this in another centres. Is a second the words around the centres, than a problematic move, and I think it we take the think office, the way they operate. Sony to keep going of a prison you would. The office from about prisons, but if you go to a prison it's difficult is the policy place and the words around a prison you would read a prison you would read a prison you would read a prison you would. The office from the cases, you have no access to any information about their cases, lin a prison you would. The office from their cases, you have no access to any information about their cases, lin a prison you would. The office from their cases, lin a prison you would. The office from their cases, lin a prison you would. The office from their cases, lin a prison yo		•		•
the Home Office, and you say: "Tthink if we go back to purpose vision strategy, I most sure the general public understand what we do anyway. I think those that would form an education— unclusated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." 14 You say: 15 "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dunp it note someone else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 21 I am saked to ask you were asked about a more demanding Home Office: 22 I am saked to ask you with so nebalf of Deighton 23 Pierce Glymr did you consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook libuse contributed to the culture 26 A. Norry, I don't quite understand. 1 that developed there? 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose 4 what you were asking the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place — 4 A. Mover from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell — 4 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved. 10 that quoting what you have said about my where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved. 10 that developed there office and the centres, 11 where office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture all rook of dumping from one treaters. I think this was 18 around the movement of residents around the centres, 19 you talked about tow the Home Office, the way there is needs. 10 G4S with any cooperate vision, given th				
Think if we go back to purpose vision strategy, I'm not sure the general public understand what we do amount. I think sometimes, you know, forewarned is forearmed. It's to kind of understand what the do do, if they have gord a problem, is dump it onto someone do, if they have gord a problem, is dump it onto someone less, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot of crownouls that come into beer and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton Perce Glym: did you consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the preceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 I that developed there? A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. It was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think has a around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres. Limits they may have been moved concern, through various reasons, and I think would for the culture because the pale and the centres of the purpose of the precesses and the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic move, and I think, was around the movement of residents around the centres, the forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Catwick a detainess of interest meeting, which so a weekly meeting about various reasons, and I think is would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, to meet the problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Catwick a detainess of interest meeting, which consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, the pale at Catwick a detaines of interest meeting, which consider to move somebody, for a vari		•		
In mot sure the general public understand what we do anyway. I think those that would form an education— 1 undecated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." 14 You say: 15 "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to do do. if they have got a problem. is dump it onto someone else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the view, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 12 I am asked to ask you with so obbalf of Diant Murphy to ask you some questions about this. At page 13, at the top of the view, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 12 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Dianth we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 22 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Dianth we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 23 Pierce Glym: did you consider that the lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 24 This asked to ask you keep going on about prisons, but if you go to a prison it's different. If's very difficult operating centres where all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking 25 Page 161 26 A Sorry, I don't quite understand. 27 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose—this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for the processes at the moment." 28 Well are officially the problematic may be a purpose or this is something you add earlier: 29 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell— 20 A. More from other centres. 21 Q. I'w as about? 22 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 23 Q. I'w as about? 24 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wan't it? 25 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell— 26 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wan't it?				
anyway. I think those that would form an education— unchacated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." You say: "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dumpi tonto someone else, would be my view. We fall food of that with a lot release, would be my view. We fall food of that with a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 Pierce Glym: did you consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Dage 161 that developed there? A. Norry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture This is something you said earlier. "You have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have no access to any information about their cases. In a prison you would. The offender management supervises of A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell— A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, think the water, if there was a pacteral transfer rather than a problematic now, and I think, if we rake this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a needly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think aft were of 22 behaviours policy where all IRCs that word of 23 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 14 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13 uneducated view would perhaps view it as a good thing." 14 You say: 15 "I think cach IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someone else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot of ready with the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 16 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 I for each other by the processes at the moment." 17 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 23 Pierce Glym: did you consider that the lack of purpose 24 institutional purpose or the precive leads for purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 27 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 29 Dage 163 Page 163 29 Li that developed there? 20 Li was about? 20 Li was about? 20 Li was about? 20 Li was about? 21 Li where J and the				
14 You say: 15 "I think each IRC is independent. All they want to 16 do, if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someone 17 else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot 18 of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, 19 the vision, needs to be working all as one because we 20 are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder 21 for each other by the processes at the moment." 22 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 23 Pierce Glym: did you consider that the lack of 24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 a. A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 27 Q. It was about 28 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 29 this place - 20 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 30 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say, It's 31 difficult to tell - 32 A. Moves from other centres. 33 around the residents round the centres, I think this was 34 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 35 this place - 36 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 36 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say, It's 36 difficult to tell - 37 I work from their centres. 48 A. Moves from other centres. 49 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say, It's 40 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 41 words of dumping from other centres. 41 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 42 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 43 a round the movement of residents around the centres, 44 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 45 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 46 around into other centres as a general transfer rather 47 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 48 forward, there was one work done because we put in 49 place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which 49 sa well you know, and those were my views, and I have 40 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 4				
think each IRC is independent. All they want to do, if they have got a problem, is dump it onto someone else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot for enovals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton price of glynn: did you consider that the lack of purpose or the perceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture page 161 I that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. I that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. I that was bout were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? A. I was bout? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. If's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was a a penticular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved a round into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was so me word done because we put in place at Catwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a well by meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think as the word would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would so ither requests, and they would so ither would submit their requests, and they would so ither would submit their requests, and they would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, and I think there has been a segment time their cases with the detention engagement teams that are literally no sit now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage di				
the page, at line 140, you were asked about a more else, would be my view. We fall foul of that with a lot of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder 21 for each other by the processes at the moment." 22 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 23 Pierce Glymr: did you consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking 27 has about? 28 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 29 this place — 50 by you consider that the lack of purpose or direction at Brook House on tributed to the culture 29 that developed there? 20 that developed there? 21 that developed there? 22 I what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 36 bias place — 50 bias place — 51 difficult to tell — 52 this place — 53 around the movement of residents around the centres. 37 this is something you said earlier: 38 A. Moves from other centres. 48 bias place — 51 difficult to tell — 52 this place — 53 around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual 38 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 39 around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in 38 place a move and in think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in 39 place a factive that developed their received and the care of welfare of detained persons? 4 the demanding Home Office, put in think the push as one because we went in 39 place and looking after their meeds. 4 This is something House and the care or welfare of detained persons? 4 This is a prison you would. The office failed to instill official		•		* * *
demanding Home Office: "Answer: I don't think the policy has changed." It hink you were talking about drugs here: It man asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton It may be consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 I that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. Depole up and looking after their needs." There's certainly more issues raised by residents around casework. I struggle to get my head around the Home Office, the way they operate. Sorry to keep going on about prisons, but if you go to a prison it's different. It's very difficult operating centres where all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking Page 163 I that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. O. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — A. I think, no, this was about? A. Mores from other centres. O. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre was a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either demanding Home Office. "Three's certainly more issues raised by residents around a sework. I struggle to get my head around the Home Office, all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking Page 163 Page 16		•		
18 of removals that come into here and I think the purpose, the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think the make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 21 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 Pierce Glymri did you consider that the lack of 23 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 22 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose - this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place - 6 A. I think, no, this was about? 3 A. Moves from other centres. 4 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's official to tell 10 difficult to tell 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my works of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place a Catoxick a detaineed persons? 18 Wend to summarise what you have said about my works of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place a Catoxick a detainee of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think so the Home Office, placed far too little, if any, value on the culture altrocking the movement and this report was a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been diffic				
the vision, needs to be working all as one because we are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 Home Office, the way they operate. Sorry to keep going on about prisons, but if you go to a prison it's difficrent. It's very difficult operating centres where all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking Page 161 I that developed there? A. A Sorry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place — 5 this		•		-
are all doing the same job and I think we make it harder for each other by the processes at the moment." 21 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 22 Pierce Glymr did you consider that the lack of institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 different. It's very difficult operating centres where all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking 27 Page 163 Page 163 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 28 Page 163 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 29 This is something you said earlier: 30 What you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 45 this place 4 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 4 have no access to any information about their cases. In 5 a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." 3 words from other centres. 4 What around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." 4 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 5 in another centres as a general transfer rather 5 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 6 forward, there was some work done because we put in 9 place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of 21 concern, through various reasons, and I think aso the 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 29 would submit their requests, and they would be either 29 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to 29 condition move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 24 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that 29 been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to 24 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that 29 been difficult the ast couple of years with Covid to 25 kind of engage direct		• •		
21 for each other by the processes at the moment." 22 I am asked to ask you this on behalf of Deighton 23 Pierce Glyan; did you consider that the lack of 24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 Page 161 1 that developed there? 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is 3 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 4 this place — 4 prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." 4 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 5 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 4 difficult to tell — 4 you'd soff dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the lack of purpose — this is was around into other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the teentres, 1 than a problematic move, and I think, lif we take this forward, there was a particular problematic individual in another centres. I think they may have been moved around into other centres as general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, lif we take this forward, there was no mover done because we put in place at Gatvick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 25 mould submit their requests, and they would be either 26 kind of engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that		_		
Page 161 Page 163 That developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 This is something you said earlier: "You have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place - A. I think, no, this was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where in a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was a particular problematic individual in another centre. I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, is we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 22 Home Office, the way they open at the lack of fyroug to a prison it's different. It's very difficult operating centres where all fifterent. It's very difficult operating centres where all left fifty out go to a prison it's different. It's very difficult of a better word, locking Page 163 Page 163 people up and looking after their needs." This is something you said earlier: "You have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have some in you wild. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would in the offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work aro				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23 Pierce Glynn: did you consider that the lack of 24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose 25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture 26 Page 161 27 Page 163 28 Page 163 29 Page 163 20 Page 163 20 Page 163 21 that developed there? 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place — 6 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 7 Q. It was about? 8 A. Moves from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoing what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell — 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 12 words of dumping from other centres. I think this was 13 around the movement of residents around the centres, 14 where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centres, and I think, if we take this forward, there was a particular problematic individual in another centres, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 24 would submit their requests, and they would be either 20 would submit their requests, and they would be either 21 would submit their requests, and they would be either 22 home Office pul tinto place an excessive disruptive consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 24 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that				
24 institutional purpose or the perceived lack of purpose or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 Page 163 1 that developed there? 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — 5 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 6 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 7 Q. It was about? 8 A. Moves from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 24 different. It's very diding is, for want of a better word, locking Page 163 Phage 1 ther wint of a looking after their needs." This is something you said earlier: 3 "You have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have no access to any information about their cases. In a prison you would. The offender management supervises all there, all there was been in page and with it." 8 We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office patients		•		
25 or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture Page 161 Page 163 1 that developed there? 2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose – this is 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place – 6 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 7 Q. It was about? 8 A. Moves from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell – 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 12 words of dumping from other centres. I think this was 13 around the movement of residents around the centres, 14 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 15 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 16 around into other centres as a general transfer rather 17 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 18 forward, there was some work done because we put in 19 place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which 20 is a weekly meeting about residents that wer of 21 concern, through various reasons, and I think also the 22 Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive 23 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 25 all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking Page 163 1 people up and looking after their needs." This is something you said earlier:				
that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. D. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved a around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 1 that developed there? 1 people up and looking after their needs." 1 This is something you said earlier: 1 This is something you said earlier: 1 This is something you said earlier: 1 have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have no input whatsoever into their cases. In a prison you would not other cantes. 1 aprison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would and their cases. In have no access to any information about their cases. In have no access to any information about their cases. In have no access to any information about their cases. In have no accessed and veal with it." 2 prou talked about how the Home Office				
that developed there? A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose – this is what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place – A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. It was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell – A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think (hy may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which see home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 1 that developed there? 2 This is something you said earlier: "You have no input whatsoever into their cases, you have no access to any information about their cases. In a prison you would. The offiender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offen	25	or direction at Brook House contributed to the culture	25	all you're doing is, for want of a better word, locking
2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place — 6 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 7 Q. It was about? 8 A. Moves from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell — 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 12 words of dumping from other centres. I think this was 13 around the movement of residents around the centres, 14 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 15 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 16 around into other centres as a general transfer rather 17 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 18 forward, there was some work done because we put in 19 place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which 20 is a weekly meeting about residents that were of 21 concern, through various reasons, and I think also the 22 Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive 23 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 2 This is something pou said carlier: "You have no access to any information about their cases. In a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a cacessed, and we deal with it." We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significa		Page 161		Page 163
2 A. Sorry, I don't quite understand. 3 Q. Did you consider that the lack of purpose — this is 4 what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for 5 this place — 6 A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? 7 Q. It was about? 8 A. Moves from other centres. 9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell — 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 12 words of dumping from other centres. I think this was 13 around the movement of residents around the centres, 14 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 15 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 16 around into other centres as a general transfer rather 17 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 18 forward, there was some work done because we put in 19 place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which 20 is a weekly meeting about residents that were of 21 concern, through various reasons, and I think also the 22 Home Office pul into place an excessive disruptive 23 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 2 This is something you said earlier: 3 "You have no access to any information about their cases. In 4 have no access to any information about their cases. In 5 a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." 4 We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? 4 No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was 5 something that was said a number of years ago. I have 6 to say, since this document and this report was 7 published, you know, and those were my views, and I h	1	that developed there?	1	people up and looking after their needs."
what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. It was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office pul into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either A. I think, no, this was about moves all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil GSS with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last co	2	A. Sorry, I don't quite understand.	2	
what you were saying, the Home Office had no vision for this place — A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. It was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell — A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainces of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office pul into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either A. I think, no, this was about moves all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all a prison you would. The offender management supervises all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil GSS with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last co	3		3	
A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it? Q. It was about? A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all accessed, and we deal with it." We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	4		4	have no access to any information about their cases. In
A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, No lit's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	5	this place	5	a prison you would. The offender management supervises
A. Moves from other centres. Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either We have already seen how, in your witness statement, you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	6	A. I think, no, this was about moves, wasn't it?	6	all the work around a prisoner, it's all there, all
9 Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's 10 difficult to tell 11 A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my 12 words of dumping from other centres. I think this was 13 around the movement of residents around the centres, 14 where, if there was a particular problematic individual 15 in another centre, I think they may have been moved 16 around into other centres as a general transfer rather 17 than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this 18 forward, there was some work done because we put in 19 place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which 20 is a weekly meeting about residents that were of 21 concern, through various reasons, and I think also the 22 Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive 23 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 9 you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil 6 G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track 6 focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 12 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 13 and the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 14 culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of 15 detained persons? 16 A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was 17 something that was said a number of years ago. I have 18 to say, since this document and this report was 19 published, you know, and those were my views, and I have 20 echoed those views earlier, I think there has been 21 a significant investment by the Home Office, 22 particularly around casework, with the detention 23 engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has 24 been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to 25 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	7	Q. It was about?	7	accessed, and we deal with it."
difficult to tell— A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the 20 behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 10 G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 12 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 14 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 15 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 15 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 16 cous on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 Asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 Asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 20 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 Asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 Asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 18 Asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 24 coultre at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published	8	A. Moves from other centres.	1	
A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either home Office picting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	0		8	We have already seen how, in your witness statement,
words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 12 asked to ask you is, is it your view that the 13 Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the 14 culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? 16 A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was 17 something that was said a number of years ago. I have 18 to say, since this document and this report was 19 published, you know, and those were my views, and I have 20 echoed those views earlier, I think there has been 21 a significant investment by the Home Office, 22 particularly around casework, with the detention 23 engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 26 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	9	Q. Well, I'm simply quoting what you had to say. It's		
around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that			9	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil
where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either than a problematic individual detained persons? 14	10	difficult to tell	9 10	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track
in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 15 detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my	9 10 11	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am
around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 16 A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was	9 10 11 12	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the
than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 17 something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been 21 a significant investment by the Home Office, 22 particularly around casework, with the detention 23 engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 would submit their requests, and they would be either 26 something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was 20 published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been 21 a significant investment by the Home Office, 22 particularly around casework, with the detention 23 engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has 24 consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, 25 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres,	9 10 11 12 13	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the
forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 18 to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual	9 10 11 12 13 14	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of
place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons?
is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 20 echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was
concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have
Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 22 particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was
behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 23 engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have
consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either 24 been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to 25 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been
would submit their requests, and they would be either 25 kind of engage directly with people and at a level that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office,
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	difficult to tell A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention
Page 162 Page 164	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has
rage 102 Page 164	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I'm just trying to summarise what you have said about my words of dumping from other centres. I think this was around the movement of residents around the centres, where, if there was a particular problematic individual in another centre, I think they may have been moved around into other centres as a general transfer rather than a problematic move, and I think, if we take this forward, there was some work done because we put in place at Gatwick a detainees of interest meeting, which is a weekly meeting about residents that were of concern, through various reasons, and I think also the Home Office put into place an excessive disruptive behaviours policy where all IRCs that would want to consider to move somebody, for a variety of reasons, would submit their requests, and they would be either	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you talked about how the Home Office failed to instil G4S with any corporate vision, given their one-track focus on effecting removal. One of the questions I am asked to ask you is, is it your view that the Home Office placed far too little, if any, value on the culture at Brook House and the care or welfare of detained persons? A. No, it's not my view at all, and I think this was something that was said a number of years ago. I have to say, since this document and this report was published, you know, and those were my views, and I have echoed those views earlier, I think there has been a significant investment by the Home Office, particularly around casework, with the detention engagement teams that are literally on site now. It has been difficult the last couple of years with Covid to kind of engage directly with people and at a level that

1	we were engaging before, but that is now slightly	1	experience in the prison system and that you took no
2	changing, now the guidance is changing, but I think,	2	ownership of problems. What do you say?
3	whereas before there would be a small team within the	3	A. Well, I would say, obviously, that's Paul's opinion.
4	centre, it's now quite a large team, and I think, on	4	I have never had any concerns raised to me. I would
5	reflection, from what that says there, to what it is	5	have thought, if Paul had any concerns around me, he
6	now, we are in a completely different state.	6	could have raised it through his line to raise it so it
7	Q. Did you feel, then, during this period, with G4S, that	7	could be formally raised with me. I can't recall
8	staff were left dealing with questions that they	8	anything being raised with me.
9	couldn't answer?	9	Q. Moving on to a few questions about staffing. Going, if
10	A. Either questions they couldn't answer or would take some	10	you would, to paragraph 232 of your witness statement at
11	time to try and get an answer, because some cases	11	page 66 you say how G4S was contracted to provide
12	caseworkers also work in isolation in other parts of	12	668 hours of DCO time per day and that the contract
13	the country as well. As I said, you know, my last	13	required at least two DCOs on duty in each residential
14	statement, that has now changed and staff can ring up	14	wing throughout the day:
15	the DET teams and get a response fairly quickly which is	15	"In terms of whether this level of staffing was
16	helpful. They are now, as I say, as we are moving out	16	adequate, you can argue it in both ways. It all depends
17	of Covid, I have been engaging with senior Home Office	17	on what is going on in the centre. At times, I accept
18	managers around how we are going to be utilising the	18	that it could be very tight, however, on some days there
19	legal visits for their work, and also and others'	19	was more staff than the minimum contracted levels."
20	work going on with a colleague of mine, that they will	20	Let me ask you this: when it was tight, was it so
21	be going around the wings on a daily basis, holding	21	tight, do you think, that it was insecure?
22	forums and "forums" is the wrong word. I think it's	22	A. I wouldn't say "insecure" would be the right word.
23	engagement sessions with residents to answer any	23	Q. What is the right word?
24	questions they may have.	24	A. So I think just to kind of summarise that, yes, two
25	Q. How did you get on with Paul Gasson?	25	staff was the profile. Those were profiles that had
			•
	Page 165		Page 167
1	A. I. and an all airth with Doub Corres	,	have agreed as now of the contract. I think two stoff
1	A. I got on all right with Paul Gasson.	1 2	been agreed as part of the contract. I think two staff
2 3	Q. Good working relationship?	3	on a wing, yes, is challenging. There is a lot of work to do and it was a concern on most days around checking
4	A. I thought so, yeah.)	to do and it was a concern on most days around checking
	O Did he ever raise any issues with you about your style	1 4	·
	Q. Did he ever raise any issues with you about your style	4 5	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by
5	of working or management?	5	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at
5 6	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally.	5 6	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within
5 6 7	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you	5 6 7	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock
5 6 7 8	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt	5 6 7 8	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we
5 6 7 8 9	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have.	5 6 7 8 9	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other
5 6 7 8 9	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison.</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems."</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on:</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy."</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word:</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development."</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development." So I have read all of that in context insofar as it</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was aware of the contract levels and so I often thought that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development." So I have read all of that in context insofar as it goes. But he is telling Verita he had concerns with</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was aware of the contract levels and so I often thought that we just had to make do with what we had."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development." So I have read all of that in context insofar as it goes. But he is telling Verita he had concerns with you, that you didn't really understand the distinction</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was aware of the contract levels and so I often thought that we just had to make do with what we had." "Make do"?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development." So I have read all of that in context insofar as it goes. But he is telling Verita he had concerns with</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was aware of the contract levels and so I often thought that we just had to make do with what we had."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of working or management? A. Not to me, personally. Q. No? I just want to put up on screen I suspect you have seen this, Mr Skitt A. I have. Q <ver000292> at page 54, please. It is a notebook from Verita of notes of interview, and towards the bottom, you can make out some of the handwriting I can't exactly read, but it looks like, "Concern with Steve Skitt" this was notes from Paul Gasson interview with Verita. "30 years" it reads as "from prison. Doesn't get it is an IRC no ownership of problems." And then reads on: "Accepts that Steve did do things [it may read] in a hierarchy." Not quite sure about the next word: "+ not support + ownership + development." So I have read all of that in context insofar as it goes. But he is telling Verita he had concerns with you, that you didn't really understand the distinction</ver000292>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what the levels were. There were concerns raised by staff. We tried to address those. We looked at a number of initiatives of utilising other staff within the centre, certainly at peak times, such as unlock times, serving of meal times, lock-up times, where we could utilise the activity staff, we could utilise other satellite areas to assist in that because, effectively, once the activities started, a lot of the residents would leave the wing, which would reduce the numbers, but, you know, potentially, depending on what was going on, yes, it could have an impact on maybe what was being delivered on the wing, whereas things could be slower, staff may not be able to do some of the things in a time or if they could on that particular day, and that was around prioritising what the work was. Q. Paragraph 233: "Technically, having two DCOs on a wing where 120 residents reside could be challenging. However, I was aware of the contract levels and so I often thought that we just had to make do with what we had." "Make do"?

1	Q. First of all, it is two words and they are your words,	1	A. Minimum, three, plus one courtyard and a manager on each
2	Mr Skitt?	2	wing.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Is that contractual?
4	Q. This is a witness statement of 115 pages.	4	A. That is contractual, as a minimum, but depending on
5	A. Mmm.	5	where you are with non-effectives or leave allocation,
6	Q. It's lengthy. You looked at a lot of documents. You	6	you could have more on there.
7	are still at Serco, working for Brook House, so you	7	Q. "I'm always concerned about staff." Were you always
8	know you're not out of the business, as it were, or	8	concerned about the safety of the detained population as
9	the industry, as you call it, and presumably, before	9	well, because you don't mention that here?
10	this went into print, you must have been satisfied that	10	A. I was concerned about everybody. I think the topic we
11	those were the correct words to use, and, if they were,	11	were talking around was around staff.
12	"making do" sounds like it's the bare minimum?	12	Q. If you go to paragraph 238:
13	A. It's I agree a flippant comment and not very	13	"The problem we had in the centre is that we were
14	professional.	14	constantly having to move resource around."
15	Q. Well, I'm not asking you whether it was a flippant	15	A. Yes.
16	comment, but whether, when you used the words, "we just	16	Q. "It was also difficult when staff put their own
17	had to make do with what we had", it sounds rather	17	colleagues at risk by disappearing off a wing to go and
18	alarming that the staffing that you had was a make do,	18	do something without trying to find cover. Therefore
19	that you worked with what you had and you didn't have	19	leaving their colleague on their own."
20	any more?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. What I mean by that is, the contractual level of	21	Q. What did you mean by "constantly having to move resource
22	staffing, which is the number we had, and that's what we	22	around"?
23	had to provide on a daily basis in line with the	23	A. So there were a number of concerns. You know, there's
24	contractual elements of that, and it was around	24	no hiding behind the fact it was challenging.
25	utilising the resources that we did have	25	Q. Dangerous?
	Page 169		Page 171
	<u> </u>		8
1	Q. You mean the human resources?	1	A. I wouldn't say dangerous. I mean, any situation working
1 2	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible.	2	A. I wouldn't say dangerous. I mean, any situation working in a custodial environment could be dangerous,
2 3	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive	2 3	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially.
2 3 4	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre.	2 3 4	in a custodial environment could be dangerous,potentially.Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off
2 3 4 5	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say:	2 3 4 5	in a custodial environment could be dangerous,potentially.Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not
2 3 4 5 6	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre.	2 3 4 5 6	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially.Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging	2 3 4 5 6 7	in a custodial environment could be dangerous,potentially.Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff,
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7	 in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instill in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about you know, you can argue the point how many staff is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different figures.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that". Q. The risk here, Mr Skitt, is that G4S was adhering to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about — you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different figures. Q. Is Serco operating on two DCOs a wing? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that". Q. The risk here, Mr Skitt, is that G4S was adhering to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different figures. Q. Is Serco operating on two DCOs a wing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that". Q. The risk here, Mr Skitt, is that G4S was adhering to minimum contractual standards as regards staffing, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Human resources, sorry, yes, in the best way possible. You know. We would be quite innovative and supportive of everybody within the centre. Q. Over the page at 239, paragraph 239, you say: "I believe that staff and residents were safe at all times despite what some will describe as challenging staffing levels. I say this because there is a first response procedure in place. Staff have the opportunity to raise a first response if at any time they feel unsafe. I was always concerned about staff and had no concern above and beyond the normal concerns one would have and this was alleviated by knowing that staff had first response available." So are you saying you had no concerns at all for staff, everything was fine and that they were safe because you had a first response procedure in place? A. No, I had a lot of concerns about staff. It's about what measures you have in place, in particular about — you know, you can argue the point how many staff is enough staff, and some people will give you different figures. Q. Is Serco operating on two DCOs a wing? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in a custodial environment could be dangerous, potentially. Q. But the fewer staff you have, DCOs disappearing off wings, leaving one to manage 120 men, that's not potentially dangerous? A. I think, for the person yes, it is potentially dangerous and that's why we tried to instil in staff, "Look, we understand people need to go for a comfort break, we understand people want to go to the toilet", but the reports that were coming through that staff were mentioning was, you know, "One minute I'd be working there, and my colleague, and I'd turn around and they'd gone to the toilet, you know, and not told me". So it was about adopting a realistic approach and just trying to say to staff, "Look, we know you have to go somewhere sometimes. However, if you let the Oscar 1 know or the duty manager of the day or your manager if you need to go off, just let somebody know and we can kind of backfill that space for the period of time you've got to go to the toilet and that". Q. The risk here, Mr Skitt, is that G4S was adhering to minimum contractual standards as regards staffing, but they stuck to them, rather than make the place rather

		1	
1	think that's a fair criticism that G4S were actually	1	A. Yes.
2	sticking to the minimum because it suited their	2	Q. Is that how you perceived it?
3	commercial model perhaps to do so?	3	A. That's how I perceived it, yes.
4	A. We weren't sticking to the minimum. Some days are	4	Q. I have a question for you on behalf of Bhatt Murphy:
5	better than others.	5	does that mean that G4S was maximising profits and
6	Q. You have said that some days were better than others.	6	contract compliance over the care and safeguarding of
7	Why weren't all days better than others?	7	vulnerable detained persons?
8	A. Because you have to take into account sickness, you have	8	A. Not in my opinion.
9	to take into account some weeks you have your	9	Q. Do you remember a little earlier we were talking about
10	non-effectives built into this. Some days there may not	10	additional beds and you said certain of the detained
11	be as many people on leave as you would perhaps in	11	population quite liked sharing rooms, whereas others
12	a summer period. So there would be better days. And we	12	didn't?
13	weren't purposely keeping the staff down to a minimum.	13	A. Yes.
14	I'm not sure why you would want to. The way the shift	14	Q. You mentioned Vietnamese and Chinese. Can we just go
15	patterns were worked out, staff worked a long shift for	15	back to your Verita interview, <ver000248>, please,</ver000248>
16	the day because that is what the staff wanted to work.	16	page 16. You see there is that big answer just above
17	I'm not necessarily saying that's my favoured approach.	17	174. There is a question which begins, and then there
18	However, that's what was in place. So you basically	18	is an answer at 173:
19	split your staff 50/50 because the days that staff are	19	"We can probably track our different populations so
20	working others are not. So you lose the opportunity to	20	we know exactly what the Albanians are like. They come
21	utilise staff in a better way sometimes. So if you lose	21	from Albania. If you get 50 or 60 Albanians in the
22	somebody, you lose them for the day. Whereas, if you	22	centre, the dynamics will change. We got ready for
23	have a different shift pattern, if you lost somebody in	23	a Jamaican charter.
24	the morning, it might only be the morning shift, but	24	"Question: In what way with the Albanians?
25	you'll have somebody coming on lunch time to cover and	25	"Answer: They have no respect, the way culturally
20	you it have some body coming on taken time to cover and	25	This wer. They have no respect, the way culturary
	Page 173		Page 175
1	you may be able to cover the morning through other ways.	1	they are. In their own country they work in clans,
1 2			they are. In their own country they work in clans, depending on which family you're from. If you take one
	So that's how the kind of detail operated.	1 2 3	depending on which family you're from. If you take one
2		2	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my
2 3	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it,	2 3	depending on which family you're from. If you take one
2 3 4	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the	2 3 4	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in
2 3 4 5	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it?	2 3 4 5	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate."
2 3 4 5 6	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable,	2 3 4 5	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be	2 3 4 5 6 7	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk about Sarah Newlands' assessment of the profit that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204: "Up until Panorama, and there are a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk about Sarah Newlands' assessment of the profit that was built into the contract. But your last sentence is:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204: "Up until Panorama, and there are a number of ongoing cases in the High Court now around three-bedded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be—you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk about Sarah Newlands' assessment of the profit that was built into the contract. But your last sentence is: "I know this can be a subjective point, but it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204: "Up until Panorama, and there are a number of ongoing cases in the High Court now around three-bedded rooms, about ventilation and around other things, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk about Sarah Newlands' assessment of the profit that was built into the contract. But your last sentence is: "I know this can be a subjective point, but it was my view that the Gatwick contract was very profitable for G4S."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204: "Up until Panorama, and there are a number of ongoing cases in the High Court now around three-bedded rooms, about ventilation and around other things, that's ongoing." You say you were asked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's how the kind of detail operated. Q. But, in the end, this is all about planning, isn't it, planning for eventualities, planning for the foreseeable, as well as, potentially, the unforeseeable, isn't it? A. Yes. And there are lots of unforeseeable issues. You know, during the operation of the day, you could be you could have a number of escorts going out, which you plan for, and you have planned the staff for that. That's what you can do. And then, all of a sudden, you'll get an emergency escort thrown on top of it, so you've got to find another three staff to take that escort out or you may find that, you know, you may get a resident that goes on constant watch, so then you've got to find another member of staff. You know, these operational challenges were in all environments like this. Sometimes you can't predict what's going to happen during the day. Q. Paragraph 247. You deal with the profit, and you talk about Sarah Newlands' assessment of the profit that was built into the contract. But your last sentence is: "I know this can be a subjective point, but it was my view that the Gatwick contract was very profitable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	depending on which family you're from. If you take one Albanian on, you take them all on, very similar to my experiences from Vietnamese in prisons, a population like that. Then you get the Nigerian Ghanaians who, in my opinion, are historically quite litigate." Did you mean "litigious"? A. Litigious. Q. "They've probably been here in the country quite a number of years, will fight every avenue not to go, will be difficult on charters. The Jamaican population is another difficult population. If you look at the Jamaican population, if they've come in here for charter removes, you find a lot of Jamaicans haven't lived in Jamaica perhaps since they were a child or in some cases have never lived in Jamaica. It's just that the parents didn't get a British passport when they were younger, et cetera. There are those dynamics. Eastern Europeans will throw in a different mix to that." On page 19 at the top, at 204: "Up until Panorama, and there are a number of ongoing cases in the High Court now around three-bedded rooms, about ventilation and around other things, that's ongoing."

1	"Question: And you think that's been inspired by	1	A. Yes.
2	the Panorama programme?	2	MR ALTMAN: I spoke to Ms Blackwell in anticipation of this
3	"Answer: Yes, because, up to then, we hadn't had	3	happening. As I say, I'm very happy to go on to finish.
4	any problems with the three-person rooms. They didn't	4	I'm not going to promise 5.30, it could very well be
5	like them, but what we tended to find was that people	5	a little later, and I know it is a little inconvenient
6	from their own nationalities or people that were	6	to others. But that's the choice. The decision is
7	friends, the Chinese, as an example, are quite happy to	7	yours, chair.
8	have three people in a room because that's the way they	8	THE CHAIR: I'm very happy to continue. Mr Skitt, are you
9	are, they keep within themselves as a group", and so on.	9	sure you're happy
10	I am asked to ask you this on behalf of	10	A. It is my preference to carry on
11	Bhatt Murphy: do you not think you were stereotyping	11	MR ALTMAN: Mr Skitt, if your answers are a teeny-weeny bit
12	these people?	12	shorter, we will finish this evening.
13	A. I was trying to give an overview of what I'm not sure	13	THE CHAIR: Can I just check it is okay in terms of
14	what the original question was about the different	14	the transcribers? Why don't we take a five-minute break
15	populations and how they present as groups, not	15	now and then return.
16	stereotyping.	16	(4.33 pm)
17	Q. Were these views that you think you expressed at the	17	(A short break)
18	time? Would you have expressed these kinds of views to	18	(4.41 pm)
19	others, other members of staff, SMT, at Brook House?	19	MR ALTMAN: The first thing I want to ask you about, this is
20	A. Effectively, we knew if you know, if I mean, going	20	on behalf of Duncan Lewis, is the rule 40 process. Up
21	back then, we knew if we were getting a large intake of	21	on screen we have two paragraphs of a witness statement
22	people from Albania in, we'd know what type of problems	22	by Paul Gasson of 8 March in which he says he's been
23	they'd cause. A lot of our incidents were related	23	referred to certain documents which show that "I was
24	you know, netting incidents were related to certain	24	notified when a detained person was placed on rule 40.
25	groups of individuals. We you know, it's well known	25	I have been asked why I was notified when a detained
	• •		,
	Page 177		Page 179
1	within the IRC estate, you know, around escapes and	1	person was placed on rule 40 and how I used this
2	around other issues what different populations are	2	information. The Home Office was notified of all
3	difficult within that. I know the Home Office did a lot	3	occasions as per detention centre rule 40. The
4	of work particularly around that. It's not me	4	paperwork would be reviewed to ensure it was completed
5	stereotyping. I'm just trying to give a kind of flavour	5	correctly."
6	of some of the different concerns that can be raised	6	Then at 122:
7	within that.	7	"I have been referred to [some other documents]
8	MR ALTMAN: Chair, it is 4.30 pm. This is the choice I am	8	relating to removal from association I have been
9	going to present you with.	9	asked to comment on the information I considered to
10	THE CHAIR: Go ahead.	10	determine whether an individual was suitable to be
11	MR ALTMAN: I'm not finished. I am looking at the questions	11	placed under rule 40."
12	I have left of Mr Skitt. There are three or four topics	12	Skipping to the next but one sentence:
13	involving some documentation which I'm going to have to	13	"For the cases where I did authorise removal from
14	show him.	14	association, the information I considered would have
15	It would be foolish of me to say that I could finish	15	been what was presented by G4S and consideration of any
16	in an hour, but there is a fair chance that I might, and	16	alternatives to the relocation."
17	if people are prepared you, chair, are prepared to	17	So that is his take on the use of, and the
18	sit until 5.30/5.45, it would prevent us all coming back	18	authorisation for, rule 40.
19	tomorrow for the sake of another hour of evidence. So	19	If we go, please, to a Detention Services order, and
20	I am entirely in your hands. If you wish to rise now,	20	we will find this at <cjs000676> at page 11. This DSO,</cjs000676>
21	of course, the transcribers would need a five-minute	21	as we can see, is removal from association rule 40 and
22	break at the least.	22	temporary confinement. Rule 42. Can we go, please, to
23	But I'm very happy, if you are, Mr Skitt	23	page 11. At the top, rule 40 says:
24	I suspect you would prefer to finish tonight if you	24	" the Secretary of State (in the case of
25	could? You are nodding.	25	a contracted-out detention centre) or the manager (in
25	ž.		
23	•		D 400
23	Page 178		Page 180

1	the case of a directly managed centre) may arrange for	1	A. The duty director.
2	the detained person's removal from association"	2	Q. What level would the duty director be?
3	Subparagraph (2):	3	A. It's normally my level.
4	"In cases of urgency, the manager of	4	Q. Your level. So presumably you've given authorisation
5	a contracted-out detention centre may assume the	5	before?
6	responsibility of the Secretary of State under paragraph	6	A. When I've been contacted out of hours, yes.
7	(1) but shall notify the Secretary of State as soon as	7	Q. Mr Saunders?
8	possible after making the necessary arrangements."	8	A. I mean, out of hours, it's normally the duty director,
9	If we drop down, please, to paragraph 31, you will	9	yes.
10	see in bold:	10	Q. But is that urgency? Is that a case of urgency?
11	"In no circumstances must an initial authorisation	11	A. It depends on the situation.
12	be given for a period beyond 24 hours. In normal	12	Q. I mean, you see that the paragraph 32 at the top says
13	circumstances, any use of rule 40 or 42, for an initial	13	"and if the circumstances are such that it is
14	24-hour period must be authorised by a manager	14	impracticable to seek the authority required in
15	(executive officer or above) from the HOIE IRC team in	15	paragraph 31". That relates to the Home Office
16	a contracted-out centre."	16	individual?
17	Stop there, because the rest is to do with prisons.	17	A. Yes.
18	Then, over the page, at paragraph 32:	18	Q. Do you think, Mr Skitt, that in all cases where you were
19	"In cases of urgency"	19	asked for your authorisation, you personally, as the
20	And footnote 4 says:	20	deputy director, that you were giving your authorisation
21	"For example, to protect life and/or the security of	21	in cases of urgency and that it was impracticable for
22	the centre, for example, a fight or an assault on	22	the Home Office manager to give authorisation? How did
23	another detainee or member of staff."	23	you satisfy yourself that that was the case?
24	Back to the top, please:	24	A. I mean, if people are on site, you have to go down and
25	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are	25	kind of view. If you are on call, you have to talk
	Page 181		Page 183
1	such that it is impracticable to seek the authority	1	through with the manager on call the circumstances,
2	required in paragraph 31 in advance, the centre/duty	2	gleaning as much information as you can on the
3	manager (in a contracted-out or HMPPS-run centre) can	3	situation, and deem if it is appropriate to authorise
4	make the emergency authorisation so that the authority	4	that. Then, normally, the next phone call from the
5	is considered to begin at that point. In such	5	manager who has rung me up would be to the Home Office
6	circumstances, the HOIE IRC manager (or the HOIE oncall	6	oncall manager.
7	manager if out of hours) must be notified immediately."	7	Q. You're not quite answering the question. What I'm
8	Our understanding, Mr Skitt, is, in a majority of	8	asking is, how did you satisfy yourself that you should
9	cases, the centre manager, or G4S, or one of	9	give authorisation because it was a case of urgency, as
10	the managers, made the authorisation. Now, in your	10	footnote 4 defines it, and in the circumstances where it
11	experience, is that right?	11	was impracticable to seek the authority required in
12	A. It depends on the incident or situation. So I deal with	12	paragraph 31? Did you, in all cases, satisfy yourself
13	a lot of the rule 40s within my role, so if it's	13	of those conditions?
		14	A. Which is the footnote at the bottom?
14	a spontaneous incident and the decision is taken by the	14	
14 15	a spontaneous incident and the decision is taken by the		O. It is on the screen at the ton:
15	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make	15	Q. It is on the screen at the top: "In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are
15 16	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto	15 16	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are
15 16 17	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they	15 16 17	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority
15 16 17 18	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's	15 16 17 18	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31"
15 16 17 18 19	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is	15 16 17 18 19	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all
15 16 17 18 19 20	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be	15 16 17 18 19 20	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as well as the duty.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation? A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as well as the duty. Q. Pausing there, who would be the centre manager? Who	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation? A. Yes. Q. Is there a record of that?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as well as the duty. Q. Pausing there, who would be the centre manager? Who would be giving the authorisation in the case of	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation? A. Yes. Q. Is there a record of that? A. There will be records with the paperwork that would have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as well as the duty. Q. Pausing there, who would be the centre manager? Who	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation? A. Yes. Q. Is there a record of that?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	person, I guess, in charge at the scene, they would make a dynamic decision whether to move somebody onto rule 40. And then, at the earliest opportunity, they will let the duty director know, and if they feel it's relevant to do that, the paperwork will be — this is during the day, and I guess night as well — it would be completed. They would then contact the Home Office as well as the duty. Q. Pausing there, who would be the centre manager? Who would be giving the authorisation in the case of	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"In cases of urgency and if the circumstances are such that it is impracticable to seek the authority required in paragraph 31" My question is, did you satisfy yourself, in all cases, those conditions were satisfied where you were asked for authorisation? A. Yes. Q. Is there a record of that? A. There will be records with the paperwork that would have

1	Q. What's the nature of the paperwork where we would find	1	knowing the people that you look after.
2	it?	2	Q. When you said, "I think if somebody does want to do it,
3	A. It would be the rule 40 paperwork.	3	you're never going to deter them"
4	Q. Did DCMs ever authorise rule 40?	4	A. I think what's meant by that is, if somebody is wants
5	A. If they responded to a spontaneous incident initially,	5	to do to kill themselves, sometimes you may never
6	they would make the decision whether a person would need	6	know, you may never pick up on the indicators, and they
7	to go down to the CSU on rule 40.	7	will just do it. I have dealt with a lot I set up
8	Q. So you're happy, Mr Skitt, that if we had them, the	8	a process within Birmingham for veterans in custody,
9	rule 40 authorisations, we would find that this exercise	9	which is something I'm kind of quite close to, you know,
10	had been gone through every time that you were asked for	10	and a number there's a very high number of people,
11	an emergency authorisation?	12	you know, who have committed suicide, ex-veterans and
12	A. I believe so.	13	other people, where, you know, even when you hear their friends talk, would have not even kind of picked
13	Q. Can I ask you about something else now, please. Coming	14	•
14	back to Dr Aitken's interview with you, and we can put it up on screen, <inq000077> at pages 2 and 3. I want</inq000077>	15	anything up that there was anything wrong. Q. But here, of course, this is an IRC where your officers
15 16	to ask you about page 2, right at the bottom. This is	16	are in control of people 24/7?
17	an interview by Dr Aitken who, as I told you earlier,	17	A. Yes.
18	and I remind you, was doing research inside Brook House	18	Q. Did you think that the comment you made rather obscures
19	at the time. Do you see right at the bottom the last	19	the fact that part of that role should be the support or
20	few words:	20	listening to people and picking up any signs that they
20	"In my view, if a person wants to kill themselves,	21	might be suicidal?
22	I think the person chooses the time, the place and how	22	A. Of course it is. Of course it is.
23	they're gonna do it. And I think if somebody does wanna	23	Q. So when you say you can't stop them, it's probably a bit
24	do it you're never gonna deter them. Is my kind of	24	black and white because you probably can, can't you?
25	view."	25	A. Sometimes you can't.
23	view.		An Sometimes you can t
	Page 185		Page 187
1	I'm asked on behalf of Deighton Pierce Glynn to ask	1	Q. You don't think so?
2	you whether you see a problem with that comment?	2	A. I'm being honest. Sometimes, you know, from experience,
3	A. If a person is considering self-harm or suicide, they	3	and I've sat in coroners courts and with people I've
4	will be the person that kind of will think it through,	4	known for a long time, and sometimes you can't.
5	how they want to do, where they're going to do it and	5	O. A. d
6		1	Q. Another topic, please, Mr Skitt, and we are getting
	how they're going to do it. That is their thought	6	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen
7	how they're going to do it. That is their thought process. And I have been in involved in a number of	6 7	
7 8			reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen
	process. And I have been in involved in a number of	7	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for</imb000202>
8	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other	7 8	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020,</imb000202>
8 9	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication	7 8 9	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards</imb000202>
8 9 10	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever	7 8 9 10	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act.	7 8 9 10 11	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?":</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process. And I have been in — involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's — I think any — it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk — we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats."</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point:</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process. And I have been in — involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's — I think any — it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk — we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become withdrawn from I've dealt with somebody recently	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and staff. In particular, throughout most of 2020 there was</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become withdrawn from I've dealt with somebody recently who's kind of withdrawn and has got severe mental health	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and staff. In particular, throughout most of 2020 there was less free association and mixing off the wings;</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	process. And I have been in — involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's — I think any — it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk — we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become withdrawn from — I've dealt with somebody recently who's kind of withdrawn and has got severe mental health problems; shown a different — it's just picking up —	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and staff. In particular, throughout most of 2020 there was less free association and mixing off the wings; accommodation moved to single occupancy; and in-person</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process. And I have been in involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's I think any it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become withdrawn from I've dealt with somebody recently who's kind of withdrawn and has got severe mental health	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and staff. In particular, throughout most of 2020 there was less free association and mixing off the wings;</imb000202>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	process. And I have been in — involved in a number of death in custodies, unfortunately, within other establishments, and sometimes you had no indication whatsoever about that person that they were ever considering such an act. Q. Do you think mental health training would help officers to recognise signs? A. It's — I think any — it depends what the mental health training is. I know there's a lot of talk — we do mental health first aid training, but the staff are not mental health professionals. Q. Yes. A. You know, does mental health first aid training help? Yes, it does. It can kind of identify signs that somebody has kind of changed, they may have become withdrawn from — I've dealt with somebody recently who's kind of withdrawn and has got severe mental health problems; shown a different — it's just picking up —	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reasonably close to the end. Can we put up on screen <imb000202>, please. This is the IMB annual report for reporting year 1 January to 31 December 2020, published May 2021. If we go, please, to page 5 towards the bottom, under "Main judgments", "How safe is the IRC?": "The board's view is that, due to circumstances relating to the Dublin Convention charter programme, in the latter months of 2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats." If we go to the top of the page, that comment was probably made in relation to the first bullet point: "Impact of the pandemic as the wider community was subject to restrictions following the March national lockdown, so too was the centre, its detainees and staff. In particular, throughout most of 2020 there was less free association and mixing off the wings; accommodation moved to single occupancy; and in-person</imb000202>

1 1			
1	The next bullet point, while we have it up:	1	statement nationally, I think, about I think there
2	"Change of contract provider from G4S to Serco"	2	was a lot of public concern and there were a few
3	The next bullet point:	3	statements about, "We'll put people on planes and take
4	"Charter flights to European countries shifted	4	them back".
5	to detainees who had arrived in the UK after crossing	5	I think this is around that time, and a lot of
6	the Channel in small boats."	6	people putting them back onto charters we had a very
7	Then this final bullet:	7	difficult population on B wing, I think I remember it
8	"The combination of the compressed nature of	8	was, who fell within that category, a lot of people whom
9	the charter flight programme, with Brook House as its	9	did not speak English, and a lot of people who realised
10	sole base for Dublin Convention flights, and the	10	when they were going to be going on flights, we did have
11	fundamental changes in the centre's population and	11	a lot of self-harms. So that is in with that. But
12	nationalities, their different vulnerabilities and their	12	that's not about, in my opinion, about in the way that
13	needs, put the centre's systems, detainees and staff	13	we treated them. It was around other concerns about the
14	under great stress and raised some serious concerns for	14	numbers coming in and going out, effectively.
15	the board. Most notably, there was a dramatic increase	15	Q. But it still shows, and Mr Castle dealt with this a few
16	in levels of self-harm and suicidal ideation,	16	days ago, he was the Home Office area manager,
17	deficiencies in the induction process and increased	17	Ian Castle. Did you know him?
18	needs for legal support and detention centre rule 35	18	A. Yes.
19	assessments."	19	Q. And he dealt with this the other day and said that it
20	So, against that background, "how safe is it",	20	was politically driven and made things very difficult
21	again, we go to the bottom:	21	for everyone?
22	" due to circumstances related to the Dublin	22	A. It was a very difficult time. I do remember it.
23	Convention charter programme, in the latter months of	23	I think I was a duty director for a couple of those days
24	2020 Brook House was not a safe place for vulnerable	24	and I went down the wing quite a few times to where
25	detainees who had crossed the Channel in small boats."	25	the situations where people were distressed about people
	Page 189		Page 191
1	Over the page, at the top:	1	haing naturned to whose they same from
2	"How fairly and humanely are detainees treated?	2	being returned to where they came from. Q. Do you think Serco is a different place today?
3	"From our monitoring and observations, the board's	3	A. I think Serco is a totally different place.
4	view is that detainees are generally treated humanely at	4	Q. I mean Brook House under Serco?
5	Brook House. However:	5	A. Brook House, yes.
6	"The board's view is that circumstances in	1 3	
7		6	· ·
		6	Q. My fault.
·	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter	7	Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look
8	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole	7 8	Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama
8	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter	7 8 9	Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by
8 9 10	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020."	7 8 9 10	Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S.
8 9 10 11	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when	7 8 9 10 11	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan?
8 9 10 11 12	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider?	7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre.
8 9 10 11 12 13	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from — if you look back — if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the country where they'd first sought asylum, not in the UK,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an horrendous programme. There's no getting away from
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the country where they'd first sought asylum, not in the UK, and we received a lot of people come in to the centre,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an horrendous programme. There's no getting away from that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the country where they'd first sought asylum, not in the UK, and we received a lot of people come in to the centre, and I think it was particularly on B wing. Whether	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an horrendous programme. There's no getting away from that. Q. When you say lots of lessons learned, what do you think
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the country where they'd first sought asylum, not in the UK, and we received a lot of people come in to the centre,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an horrendous programme. There's no getting away from that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Brook House related to the Dublin Convention charter programme amounted to inhumane treatment of the whole detainee population by the Home Office in the latter months of 2020." The latter months of 2020 were, of course, when Serco was the provider? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with what I have just read to you? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it is a safe place now? A. I'm not sure that it is referencing that it was within our care. I think there was a I'm sure this is the there was a big influx of residents within the Dublin Convention which would mean that residents would go back, an agreement residents would go back to the country where they'd first sought asylum, not in the UK, and we received a lot of people come in to the centre, and I think it was particularly on B wing. Whether	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. My fault. A. Yes. I mean, you know, and I think from if you look back if I look back and, you know, from the Panorama programme, there was a lot of work put in initially by G4S. Q. Including the action plan? A. Including the action plan, the work within the centre. There was a lot of, you know, bringing the centre up to a reasonable standard around staffing and around elements of that. That carried on. Q. Lots of dismissals? A. Sorry? Q. Dismissals as well after Panorama? A. It was a very kind of challenging, difficult time to you know, to pick up those bits and there was lots of change. We learnt lots of lessons. It was an horrendous programme. There's no getting away from that. Q. When you say lots of lessons learned, what do you think

1	Mr Skitt?	1	"This 'culture of silence' across the work force at
2	A. One, that staff need to be afforded the opportunity to	2	Brook House, coupled with a lack of demonstrable
3	feel safe to report a wrongdoing, because if you don't	3	oversight, interest and engagement from Ben Saunders and
4	know, you can't do anything about it.	4	his senior management team, allowed the abusive culture
5	Q. Yes.	5	in Brook House to fester and go unchecked. The
6	A. And to provide an environment that is safe, clean,	6	confidence that officers and managers had to, in front
7	decent, that we have appropriate staffing, we have	7	of other members of staff, flagrantly brag and joke
8	appropriate mechanisms in place to support and look	8	about abuse, or speak in derogatory or even racist terms
9	after people, and that our staff are all suitably	9	about detainees, demonstrated their faith in the culture
10	trained to deal with individuals. You know, there's	10	of silence which allowed the abuse to persist."
11	I mean, since Serco took over, there's been with the	11	Over the page, at paragraph 169:
12	contract, you know, the staffing levels that have been	12	"Furthermore, it is clear from the evidence that
13	specified by the Home Office on what they want and, you	13	I amassed during my employment at Brook House, that
14	know, with the new company taking over that, you know,	14	although the abuse of detainees was consistent
15	the centre is a completely different place, in my	15	throughout my time there, the staff members responsible
16	opinion.	16	for that abuse changed over time. Some abusive members
17	Q. So if somebody not you, but if somebody had been	17	of staff left Brook House, only for others to continue
18	in Brook House in the period we are looking at and was	18	the abuse. This, in my view, demonstrated that the
19	to go into Brook House now, are you saying that they	19	abuse at Brook House was not because of a few bad apples
20	would see a very different place?	20	operating unbeknown to others, but because of cultural,
21	A. In my opinion, yes.	21	[he says 'systematic'; he probably means 'systemic']
22	Q. I suppose one could argue you would say that, wouldn't	22	failings which gave rise to this behaviour, allowed it
23	you?	23	to go unchallenged and dissuaded members of staff from
24	A. You could take somebody in and let them have a look.	24	raising concerns about it. Detainees suffered as
25	Q. I have been in, as a matter of interest.	25	a consequence."
	Page 193		Page 195
1	A. I know.	1	Anything about any of that you want to disagree
1 2		1 2	Anything about any of that you want to disagree with, Mr Skitt?
1 2 3	Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with,		with, Mr Skitt?
2		2	
2	Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that	2 3	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing
2 3 4	Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything	2 3 4	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion
2 3 4 5	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. 	2 3 4 5	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the
2 3 4 5 6	Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was?	2 3 4 5 6	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167:</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement"</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways you know, he could have put in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways — you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't:</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways — you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways — you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during mistreatment of detainees, and for admissions of abuse</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the BBC was the Medway programme, which went out, if my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during mistreatment of detainees, and for admissions of abuse and malpractice, who were otherwise well behaving</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the BBC was the Medway programme, which went out, if my memory serves me, on 12 January 2016 no, the 11th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during mistreatment of detainees, and for admissions of abuse and malpractice, who were otherwise well behaving officers. This underlines the lack of confidence staff</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways — you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the BBC was the Medway programme, which went out, if my memory serves me, on 12 January 2016 — no, the 11th, and he emailed the BBC on the 12th. It was that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during mistreatment of detainees, and for admissions of abuse and malpractice, who were otherwise well behaving officers. This underlines the lack of confidence staff had in raising complaints about such behaviour." At paragraph 168:</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was — as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways — you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the BBC was the Medway programme, which went out, if my memory serves me, on 12 January 2016 — no, the 11th, and he emailed the BBC on the 12th. It was that that influenced his behaviour. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. But, there again, I have got nothing to compare it with, Mr Skitt. But you think, looking back and everything you have learned from Panorama and since, that Brook House is not the place it was? A. No, it's not. Q. Let me ask you a few more things, then. Some criticisms which you have been asked to consider. First of all, Callum Tulley. A. Yes. Q. He made a witness statement to the inquiry last year, and if we put up on screen <inq000052> at page 42, it comes back to something I have asked you about already at paragraph 167: "In the majority of examples outlined in this statement" And in that statement Callum Tulley had set out instances of abuse, some of which he recorded, some of which he didn't: " members of staff were present during mistreatment of detainees, and for admissions of abuse and malpractice, who were otherwise well behaving officers. This underlines the lack of confidence staff had in raising complaints about such behaviour."</inq000052> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with, Mr Skitt? A. I wasn't aware of those things. I mean, the one thing I would like to say, I think, I also formed the opinion that Callum Tulley worked for G4S and, had he had the integrity to raise these concerns to us rather than sit on them for what I would consider some time before reporting them, we may have had an opportunity to understand what was going on. He was as I say, he worked for us. There are a number of ways he could have put that information through. Q. But he had no confidence. A. He could have gone to the IMB, he could have gone to the police, he could have gone to the Home Office. There are a number of ways you know, he could have put in a "Speak Out" for himself. There are a number of ways I believe, and it is my opinion, that Callum Tulley could have reported these. Q. The irony, Mr Skitt, is what encouraged him to go to the BBC was the Medway programme, which went out, if my memory serves me, on 12 January 2016 no, the 11th, and he emailed the BBC on the 12th. It was that that influenced his behaviour.

1	Q. There is an irony there. I'm not going to take you	1	here and try and
2	through the Medway report, but there are comparisons.	2	Q. No. But the point is, as deputy director, people expect
3	You must have read it. There are comparisons, aren't	3	behaviour beyond reproach, don't they?
4	there, between what happened at Medway and what happened	4	A. And, you know, I thought the conversation was I mean,
5	at Brook House, and it was that that encouraged him to	5	my recollection, Michelle will have a kind of banter
6	go to the BBC.	6	with other staff, for want of a better word. I don't
7	One understands your criticisms of him, but he made	7	particularly like the word "banter", but that's the only
8	a decision and here we all are.	8	word I can kind of
9	A. Yes. I accept that as well. You know	9	Q. It is a word we have heard from a lot of people,
10	Q. Michelle Brown sorry, I interrupted you.	10	Mr Skitt, that it was all just banter.
11	A. You know, we failed on that. I understand that.	11	A. I mean, she would joke with other people about certain
12	Q. A couple more things. Michelle Brown, who we may hear	12	things. I misread the situation. I shouldn't have said
13	from next week. <inq000164>. She made a lengthy</inq000164>	13	it, end of story.
14	statement to the inquiry, and there are a couple of	14	Q. Next paragraph:
15	things I'd like to ask you about there, please, if	15	"As I continued to work with Steve, I did not
16	I may. That's her first, and I think only, witness	16	believe him to be vindictive towards staff or detainees.
17	statement. On page 6, at the top:	17	I witnessed numerous occasions in meetings whereby Steve
18	"When I agreed to take up the post as head of	18	would make random/unrelated comments out of topic that
19	security in June 2017, I did not receive any assistance	19	caused confusion or awkwardness which became an
20	from Steve Skitt before, during or after taking this	20	accepted custom. I sensed some frustration towards
21	role. I remember attending a meeting with him for	21	Steve from the Home Office as local personnel would come
22	a handover as he was previously covering security. This	22	to me rather than Steve for clarity, information or to
23	meeting was merely minutes long and I remember being	23	get things done."
24	told at the time it is like round pegs and round holes."	24	Do you agree, disagree?
25	Probably meant "square pegs and round holes". Or	25	A. Agree partly with some of it, yes.
	Page 197		Page 199
1	"	,	O 1164 Cl-i4 I I I Ii II i li-
1 2	"round pegs and round holes"? Do you remember saying it?	1 2	Q. "Steve Skitt and I did occasionally meet up in his
3		3	office. He would be caring in his nature towards me, however, in professional terms, I found it difficult to
4	A. I can't recall exactly saying it. Q. "He also expressed that he had just been baby-sitting	4	get a definitive response to queries and as much as
5	the role as he was aware that there would be a permanent	5	I could rephrase questions or push for answers often
6	appointment at some point. I do not recall any	6	I left his office more confused than when I initially
7	discussions in terms of role requirements, delivery,	7	went in. A good analogy of interactions with
8	objectives or strategy."	8	Steve Skitt between myself and others would be the 'fork
9	Do you accept the criticism or do you reject it?	9	handles/four candles' the Ronnie Barker scene,
10	A. I reject it.	10	without the humour."
11	Q. Next but one paragraph, about halfway down, she says:	11	It takes a person of a certain generation to
12	"There was also an occasion where I was attending		
		1 12	understand what she's talking about Mr Skift
	_	12	understand what she's talking about, Mr Skitt. A. Yes, I know
13	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area	13	A. Yes, I know
13 14	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in	13 14	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do?
13 14 15	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence	13 14 15	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do.
13 14 15 16	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he	13 14 15 16	A. Yes, I knowQ. But I suspect you and I certainly do?A. Yes, we do.Q. Do you understand what she's saying?
13 14 15 16 17	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him	13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making	13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'."	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"? A. I did, and I regret that those were not appropriate	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says, <ver000221>:</ver000221>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"? A. I did, and I regret that those were not appropriate comments to say.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says, <ver000221>: " regarding my interview with Kate Lampard and</ver000221>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"? A. I did, and I regret that those were not appropriate comments to say. Q. In front of other people, presumably?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says, <ver000221>: " regarding my interview with Kate Lampard and Ed Marsden I can distinctly recall stating to</ver000221>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"? A. I did, and I regret that those were not appropriate comments to say.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says, <ver000221>: " regarding my interview with Kate Lampard and</ver000221>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a C&R refresher course and I was in the admin area waiting for it to start and Steve called me a 'chav' in front of several other staff, in which I took offence at. I do not believe that was Steve's intention and he was merely clumsy in his words. I recall talking to him about this and he passed it off in jest making a reference that he was also from a rough area, ie, 'the Black Country'." Did you call her a "chav"? A. I did, and I regret that those were not appropriate comments to say. Q. In front of other people, presumably?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, I know Q. But I suspect you and I certainly do? A. Yes, we do. Q. Do you understand what she's saying? A. Yes. Q. And do you agree? A. That's her opinion. Q. One other thing I do need to ask you about, her page 57, please. Paragraph 119. She has read, she says, <ver000221>: " regarding my interview with Kate Lampard and Ed Marsden I can distinctly recall stating to</ver000221>

1	That is, of course, when the Medway programme went	1	there was little/no outcome. I continued to raise
2	out:	2	concerns with Ben Saunders and Steve Skitt. I did not
3	" 'we are going to have a Panorama on our hands	3	see an improvement and, as previously disclosed in my
4	here if we do not learn from Medway'. I believe	4	statement, I used the whistleblowing hotline to report.
5	Stacie Dean and Jules Williams were also present in	5	I honestly did as much as I possibly could but that
6	[the] room. I can recall this as I remember I was	6	clearly wasn't enough."
7	disturbed by the images that I saw from the Medway	7	Did she raise concerns with you and Ben Saunders,
8	broadcast that I watched a day earlier and feeling in	8	because if she did, Mr Skitt, it presents a completely
9	a place of total bewilderment. There was no reaction or	9	different picture that the one that you have painted?
10	acknowledgement from Ben Saunders or Steve Skitt."	10	A. It may have been concerns that had been investigated and
11	First of all, did she say that?	11	dealt with, I mean, which is going back to 2012.
12	A. I can't remember the specific date or time. What I can	12	Q. What about bullying detainees by staff?
13	remember, there was a lot of talk amongst a lot of	13	A. Well, I'm
14	people, amongst the SMT, over a period of time, about	14	Q. Because you will remember you say in your witness
15	Medway. We could, of course, get a first, kind of, hand	15	statement, and you have told us, in effect, you were
16	experience of some of the issues, because obviously Ben	16	unaware of it?
17	had went there later, but it was talked about, and	17	A. Well, I don't know whether it is going back to 2012
18	I think, most probably, other centres and everybody else	18	or you know, you need more context on that.
19	would look at that and try and learn lessons from it.	19	Q. Well, I'm sure, when she comes, she will be asked that
20	Q. But if she said this, and if you accept she did say such	20	question. But if she was talking about more recent
21	a thing, "We are going to have a Panorama on our hands	21	events post-Medway, what do you say?
22	if we don't learn from Medway", the obvious question, if	22	A. Again, I have no kind of context into
23	you knew nothing was going on, Mr Skitt is, "What do you	23	Q. You have no context, all right. We will take that
24	mean"?	24	answer, Mr Skitt.
25	A. Well, what's the context of it? I could make that	25	Finally a word, I'm sure everybody has been
	Page 201		Page 203
	C		0
1	comment.	1	looking forward to Aaron Stokes, who gave evidence.
1 2	comment. Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?	1 2	looking forward to Aaron Stokes, who gave evidence. Do you remember Aaron Stokes?
2	Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?	2	Do you remember Aaron Stokes?
2	Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we	2 3	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes.
2 3 4	Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of	2 3 4	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on
2 3 4 5	Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that".	2 3 4 5	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript,
2 3 4 5 6	Q. What did it mean to you when she said that?A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that".Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway?	2 3 4 5 6	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169,</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing,</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the recruitment websites to see what I could find</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. Q. If we drop down to the next but one paragraph: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the recruitment websites to see what I could find "Question: another reason for handing in your</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. Q. If we drop down to the next but one paragraph: "In addition, I investigated and substantiated 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the recruitment websites to see what I could find "Question: another reason for handing in your notice which you have talked about already with us</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. Q. If we drop down to the next but one paragraph: "In addition, I investigated and substantiated several complaints regarding staff bullying each other, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the recruitment websites to see what I could find "Question: another reason for handing in your notice which you have talked about already with us so the shift work and also the nature of the role;</inq000167>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What did it mean to you when she said that? A. You know, "Medway has come out. We need to make sure we learn lessons from that and look at some of the underlying issues around that". Q. What were the underlying issues in Medway? A. I can't recall them all now. Q. Well, there was excessive use of force? A. Yes. Q. Staff problems? A. Mmm. Q. Abuse. I mean, if these things were going on in Medway and she was trying to get a message across that that could happen here, isn't the natural instinct to say, "Well, what are you talking about? We don't have those problems here"? A. Yeah. I mean, I can't recall that. I know there were a number of conversations, and I'm not saying that Michelle didn't say that. There were quite a number of conversations around that. Q. If we drop down to the next but one paragraph: "In addition, I investigated and substantiated several complaints regarding staff bullying each other, staff bullying detainees, displaying racist and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Do you remember Aaron Stokes? A. I do, yes. Q. He was a DCO and he gave evidence to the inquiry on 9 March. Can we just put up on screen a transcript, <inq000167>, please, at page 43. Top left, page 169, line 13. He was asked about the completion of his training, and he worked in the centre from the start of 2017, but he handed in his notice on 14 August, and there were two reasons for leaving. The first was because he got a career opportunity in an area in which he wished to work, and he said, "That's correct". And he was even maybe actively looking for jobs while he was there: "Answer: Yes, that's correct. As soon as I obviously worked as a baggage handler, then had what you would call a like a step-up job, sort of thing, a gap job, waiting for my employment in electrical engineering, so I was just scrolling through all the recruitment websites to see what I could find "Question: another reason for handing in your notice which you have talked about already with us so the shift work and also the nature of the role; is that fair?</inq000167>

1	"Question: Did G4S know what your leaving reason	1	Q. So if he requested a transfer, that had to be
2	was, do you remember?"	2	between September 2016 and May 2017?
3	Line 11:	3	A. I can't remember the exact dates.
4	"Answer: From what I recall, no. I remember	4	Q. The problem is, he started at the beginning of 2017 and
5	handing in my notice and then that was it. That's all	5	he handed in his notice on 14 August. Although it's not
6	I heard of it, really."	6	entirely clear from this, other than if we go back to
7	Then at the top of the next page, line 8:	7	page 170 let's just see if he said when he handed in
8	"Question: Did you tell the staff at Brook House	8	his request for a transfer. At line 14:
9	anything about your reasons for wanting a transfer"	9	"Question: in May 2017 you wanted to transfer
10	He had been talking about wanting a transfer to	10	to Tinsley House, so you referred to an email, which you
11	Tinsley House:	11	sent on 5 May requesting a transfer to Tinsley."
12	" or did you just ask for a transfer, if you	12	So that was when Tinsley had re-opened, wasn't it?
13	remember?	13	A. I'm not quite sure. I'm not quite sure.
14	"Answer: I asked for a transfer from I think it	14	Q. Well, that's what we have been told, Mr Skitt.
15	was a higher manager senior manager at the time,	15	September 2016 it was closed for refurbishment,
16	Stephen Skitt I believe his name was, from memory.	16	re-opening in about May. But can you remember any
17	I requested to him saying I went to him saying,	17	reason why a transfer would be denied, other than
18	basically, I couldn't really handle the stresses anymore	18	A. There could be a number of reasons why you would deny
19	and I needed a new environment to see if I could take me	19	a transfer. I mean, it is a long time ago, and I'm
20	away from my current situation and see if that would	20	trying to recall on my memory to try and assist.
21	benefit me at all in the long run.	21	Q. Did you think you were supportive of staff, or
22	"Question: Yep.	22	unsupportive, as Mr Stokes seems to be suggesting here?
23	"Answer: But then after that, yeah, I didn't really	23	A. I don't think so, personally.
24	hear much back, to be honest with you.	24	Q. You don't think so what?
25	"Question: So you never heard back about your	25	A. I mean, I can't recall this particular
	Page 205		Page 207
1	request to transfer to Tinsley?	1	Q. So you think you're supportive of staff?
2	"Answer: It took a while. I got the request back	2	A. I think I'm supportive of staff, yes.
3	saying that it was denied, basically, in short."	3	MR ALTMAN: 5.22 pm. Those are all the questions I'm going
4	Dropping to the next page, at line 10:	4	to ask, chair, leaving you eight minutes for yours.
5	"Question: What sort of help other than the	5	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Altman. I do have
6	transfer to Tinsley were you hoping or expecting to	6	a couple of questions, Mr Skitt, but I will try very
7	have?	7	hard to keep them to within now nine minutes we have
8	"Answer: I would say more support from management,	8	until 5.30.
9	really. They saw they could see me, I came to them	9	Questions from THE CHAIR
10	struggling, I was hoping they could've provided more.	10	THE CHAIR: The first question I have is in relation to
11	As in such, they did recommend me to a healthcare	11	something you said about Mr Altman asked you about
12	professional, which is okay, but I needed help at that	12	some of the comments you made in your interview with
13	point in time, and, from my belief, I believe they	13	Kate Lampard, I believe, or it might have been
14	failed in that completely."	14	Ed Marsden who you actually spoke to, where you were
15	He was then asked:	15	referring to certain risks that were associated with
16	"Question: I know you can't be completely sure,	16	certain groups of people in the IRC estate.
17	but you think it might have been Mr Skitt you spoke to.	17	A. Mmm.
18	Do you remember anyone else you spoke to seeking this	18	THE CHAIR: You said I'll just check my notes to make
19	sort of help?	19	sure that I'm quoting you accurately that it was
20	"Answer: Yeah, I think it was I vaguely remember	20	information that the Home Office were doing a lot of
21	having an interview with Ben Saunders, which I believe	21	work around, which detained populations might present
22	was the overall manager at the house itself."	22	certain challenges across the IRC estate. Can you tell
23	Any recollection of any of this, Mr Skitt?	23	me a bit more about that? Do you mean that they were
24	A. I think so, and I think I think at the time he	24	doing work in the form of security intelligence,
25	requested a transfer to Tinsley, I think it was shut.	25	briefings? Was it done locally? Was this something
	requested a transfer to ruisiej, i tillia it was slut.		
	Page 206		Page 208
			52 (D 205 + 200)

		Т	
1	coming centrally from the Home Office? What can you	1	either previous reports I mean, I know one of
2	recall?	2	the ones certainly at Brook House is the use of
3	A. I believe we knew from and the data will be there.	3	restraints on escorts, you know, and I think it was
4	We know we had a lot of incidents over a period of time.	4	highlighted in an HMIP report previously that we were
5	We know we had a lot of escapes, a lot of the concerted	5	using restraints too often, you know, so we have done
6	indisciplines at here and Heathrow and other sites,	6	a bit of work on it. That's closely monitored by the
7	indicated that it was the Albanian population who would	7	IMB, because they had the same view, and there's been
8	be more inclined to do these demonstrations nettings,	8	a dramatic reduction, in my opinion, about the use of
9	you know, I think the (inaudible).	9	restraints on escorts. So that would be just one
10	I think recently I know I think it's DES,	10	example.
11	Detention Escorting Services, were kind of doing a piece	11	I think with IMBs, I think I have always found
12	of work around this, particularly around the makeup	12	through my career, you know, you have a professional
13	within the estate, and I think they try and keep we	13	positive relationship with IMBs, and they will tend to
14	continue to monitor the numbers in wings that we will	14	talk to you when you're going around and raise any
15	put certain you know, that population on.	15	particular issues, if they feel it's appropriate. So
16	THE CHAIR: So is your understanding that you presumably,	16	sometimes, you know, you can deal with them straight
17	individual centres produce the data, because you're	17	away. But, you know, they do provide a their yearly
18	saying about which incidents happen, and identifying	18	report, which is obviously a number of recommendations,
19	which groups of detainees might be involved, and then	19	if there are any, that we will act on.
20	that gets fed up to somebody centrally and then fed back	20	THE CHAIR: Obviously, the inspection works in a very
21	down as a kind of collated piece of data?	21	different way, so these are not people that are there
22	A. So we now produce we used to do it before, but we	22	routinely in the same way that the IMB are. Is your
23	didn't have to do because it wasn't contractual, but we	23	experience the same in that regard? Does the
24	were recently asked if we could do it again to highlight	24	inspectorate tend to identify issues that you as an SMT
25	on a daily basis our breakdown of population. So that	25	are already aware of?
	Page 209		Page 211
	1 agc 207		1 age 211
1	information goes up so they can be monitored I assume by	1	A. Sometimes yes, sometimes no. Because sometimes,
1 2	information goes up so they can be monitored I assume by the Home Office.	1 2	A. Sometimes yes, sometimes no. Because sometimes, you know, their remit is a specific remit on what
2	the Home Office.	2	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what
2 3	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay.	2 3	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things
2 3 4	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one	2 3 4	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of
2 3 4 5	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre.	2 3 4 5	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at.
2 3 4 5 6	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of	2 3 4 5 6	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the issues that are identified to you are issues that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on my experience, it's a similar situation that is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the issues that are identified to you are issues that are a surprise to you at an SMT level, or do they tend to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on my experience, it's a similar situation that is not unique to Brook House. It's a similar situation in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the issues that are identified to you are issues that are a surprise to you at an SMT level, or do they tend to be issues that you are aware of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on my experience, it's a similar situation that is not unique to Brook House. It's a similar situation in other places I've worked. You know, when you're working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the issues that are identified to you are issues that are a surprise to you at an SMT level, or do they tend to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on my experience, it's a similar situation that is not unique to Brook House. It's a similar situation in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Home Office. THE CHAIR: Okay. A. So you don't get too many particular population in one centre. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. You gave us the name of the department you believed it was. Thank you. That's great. The other question I had is, in relation to obviously, you have got very many years' experience across secure settings, both in public sector settings, private sector settings, and in all different categories, different types of security. You, therefore, will have had lots of experience of having been inspected, and also having had the IMB produce annual reports. For both of those organisations, obviously part of their statutory remit is to identify areas of concern or to identify recommendations. I just want your opinion about this, so I'm not going to quiz you about any individual inspection. In your experience, is it usually the case that the issues that are identified to you are issues that are a surprise to you at an SMT level, or do they tend to be issues that you are aware of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you know, their remit is a specific remit on what they'll look at and, you know, they may pick up things that, you know, you may not have been acutely aware of that you need to look at. THE CHAIR: Thank you. One final question. Again, in relation to your experience of having worked in other secure settings, and you have told us a little bit, we have heard some evidence as well about the experience of other members of SMT, did you feel, and we are talking about the relevant period, obviously, here, if you had concerns regarding I'm going to give you a specific example, but there might be others as well. If you had had concerns about the number of staff that you needed to keep a certain number of detainees safe, based on your experience in other secure settings, do you feel that that voice was listened to as part of the SMT? A. Yes, and I think we tried, on a daily basis, to do you know, utilise the resources that we had got, and I know some work was done afterwards around extra resourcing for staffing, and taking it outside, just on my experience, it's a similar situation that is not unique to Brook House. It's a similar situation in other places I've worked. You know, when you're working

1	were designed potentially some time ago, with the
2	contract. I think, you know, there were a number of
3	contractual extensions, and I guess in some respects you
4	would look forward to the renewing of you know, the
5	specification coming through, but I think in the case of
6	last time, the staffing levels didn't change.
7	THE CHAIR: Are you talking just about other private sector
8	places that you have worked, or are you applying that
	1 11.0
9	to
10	A. No, public sector. I've probably had more difficulties
11	in public sector prisons than I have within private
12	sector prisons with the staffing.
13	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Skitt. Those are all the
14	questions, and we have one minute to spare.
15	MR ALTMAN: Chair, the bad news is, I don't think we can sit
16	tomorrow, but the better news is we will be back,
17	I think, at 10.00 am on Monday.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. I'm very grateful that you have
19	stayed late today. I know it's been a very long day.
20	I do really appreciate your evidence, so thank you very
21	much for coming today, and I will see you Monday at
22	10.00 am.
23	(The witness withdrew)
24	(5.32 pm)
25	(The hearing was adjourned to
	D 242
	Page 213
1	Monday 21 March 2022 at 10 00 am)
1	Monday, 21 March 2022 at 10.00 am)
2	Monday, 21 March 2022 at 10.00 am)
2 3	
2 3 4	Monday, 21 March 2022 at 10.00 am) INDEX
2 3	INDEX
2 3 4	
2 3 4 5	INDEX
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER1 (sworn) Examination by MR ERDUNAST1 Questions from THE CHAIR27 MR STEPHEN SKITT (sworn)29 Examination by MR ALTMAN29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX MR STEWART MICHAEL POVEY-MEIER

				1 age 213
A	173:8,9	168:5	afternoon 127:21	209:7
Aaron 204:1,2	accountability	addressed 8:8	age 40:4	Albanians 175:20
abbreviate 36:18	46:4,5 47:2,7	adds 122:1	agencies 41:22,23	175:21,24
ability 5:9 71:11	accountant 83:6	adduced 1:13	122:5 126:24	Ali 116:19,21
able 7:9 13:10	accurate 108:21	29:12 45:20	aggrieved 78:12	alien 60:25 61:3
23:17 53:13 76:4	108:21	adequate 167:16	agitated 125:16	all-embracing
117:15 155:5,22	accurately 208:19	adhere 46:17	ago 6:2 23:20 69:4	41:16
168:16 174:1	accusing 126:8	adhered 61:18	88:13 100:23	all-encompassing
absence 39:9	ACDT 6:5,9	adhering 172:22	107:23 109:9	39:19
absent 156:21,22	achieved 128:6	adjourned 213:25	130:23 140:22	allegations 97:9
156:23,25	acknowledgement	adjournment	164:17 191:16	alleged 14:7
absolute 9:9	201:10	94:19	207:19 213:1	allegedly 150:9,13
abuse 84:25 85:10	act 89:10 186:11	adjudged 47:7	agree 9:3 53:12	alleviated 170:13
85:13 95:24	211:19	adjudications 45:8	107:13,19 146:21	allocated 8:13,15
96:12 108:4	acting 59:23	adjustment 24:25	147:14 151:11	allocation 171:5
194:18,21 195:8	action 46:22 78:1	adjustments 57:25	152:11 169:13	allow 17:13 40:7
195:10,14,16,18	78:2 95:10 98:13	58:1,3,6	190:14 199:24,25	116:24 117:8
195:19 202:12	101:25 102:10	admin 198:13	200:18	123:20
abused 22:20	104:20 192:11,12	administrative	agreed 98:10	allowed 92:1 195:4
abusive 195:4,16	actions 35:6 98:3	39:15 47:16	102:10 115:6,6	195:10,22
academic 57:4	104:18 112:17	129:12	123:18 139:11	alluded 48:3
accept 13:21 16:3	active 105:17	administratively	149:11 163:1,1	alluding 4:15
16:14,22 17:6	actively 204:13	50:10	168:1 197:18	alongside 20:16
18:4 19:24,25	activities 1:22	admission 22:6	agreeing 53:11	alternatives
84:21 96:13,17	43:17 124:2	admissions 194:21	agreement 132:6	180:16
104:24 110:16,17	168:11	adopt 138:2	190:21	Altman 29:6,7,15
122:9 124:6	activity 44:6 75:2	adopting 172:15	ahead 9:22 136:21	82:11 94:13,16
128:17 132:21,22	168:9	advance 182:2	178:10	94:21 143:3,9,14
154:7 163:9	actual 6:7 85:5	advanced 11:8	aid 4:8 114:12,24	178:8,11 179:2
167:17 197:9	acute 85:2	advancing 111:6	186:16,19	179:11,19 208:3
198:9 201:20	acutely 212:4	advantage 49:17	aids 71:18 115:3	208:5,11 213:15
acceptable 117:17	AD 33:25 34:2,4	49:19	aim 48:16 127:6	214:15
accepted 101:23	69:15	adverted 18:11	127:15 158:23	amassed 195:13
101:23 102:5,25	adapting 58:3	advice 10:2 27:15	aimed 128:19,19	ameliorate 111:16
199:20	add 95:13 132:6	104:4,5,8,8,8	air-conditioning	amendments 18:2
Accepts 166:18	added 132:1	111:16 116:16	134:10	amnesty 83:15
access 125:18	135:25 140:10	advised 9:17 97:15	aired 21:8 93:22	84:10
164:4	addition 70:16 111:19 112:25	98:13,21 117:5	97:12,25 airing 97:17	amount 87:4,16 130:24
accessed 164:7	133:14,21 202:22	advocacy 110:14 110:18 111:6,19	airing 97:17 airport 142:18,19	amounted 190:8
accommodate	additional 27:21	advocated 112:7	149:5,7	analogy 200:7
136:4	86:11 133:15	advocated 112.7 advocates 123:24	Aitken 57:4,7	analysing 41:4
accommodation	136:11 139:18	advocates 123.24 advocating 110:19	161:5,7 185:17	and/or 181:21
38:25 39:4 134:2	140:10 142:4	111:3,6	Aitken's 185:14	Andrew 136:10,12
138:8 188:24	175:10	affect 155:5	Alan 163:2	anecdotal 108:14
accomplished	additionally 6:23	afforded 193:2	alarming 169:18	108:16
49:10	additions 138:17	afoot 135:9	Albania 175:21	Anna 118:2,16
accord 8:23	address 16:5 45:9	afraid 7:11 101:21	177:22	119:4 126:15,16
153:12 account 100:16	98:14 159:23	119:24	Albanian 176:3	annex 9:7
account 100:10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

				Page 210
annoved 157.21	annavantky 121.5	101.16 100.12 10	12.6 55.2 50.5	60.0 92.22 90.20
annoyed 157:21 annual 188:7	apparently 131:5 138:11 145:21	191:16 198:13,19 204:11	43:6 55:2 59:5	69:9 83:23 89:20 140:19
210:16		areas 41:5 50:2	118:24 119:4	attendance 116:7
	appeared 10:23 23:4 127:12		121:14,18,19	
anonymous 96:10 98:23		72:25 78:5 87:9	129:4 133:16,20 140:8 142:25	attended 48:19
	appears 84:13	94:6 154:15		83:21 119:13
answer 5:3 7:11,12	Appendix 105:24	157:2 158:10 159:19 160:2,4	147:24 153:25	140:20,23,24 148:12
13:24 16:3,4	apples 42:21 63:18 195:19	168:10 210:18	169:15 184:8 asks 141:3	attending 197:21
17:12,13,14 18:8 25:8 26:21 46:25	applied 37:6,20	argue 167:16	aspect 41:16 62:20	198:12
55:23,24 80:13	38:1 61:19 65:8	170:20 193:22	76:10,11	attention 30:5
81:13 108:22	apply 38:5	arisen 67:22	aspects 34:10	91:2
119:12 121:7		arising 43:11 80:9	41:21 58:8 70:8	audits 2:25 3:9
124:20 125:9	applying 213:8 appointed 65:5	83:7	120:2	83:5
140:11,14 141:6	66:8 153:6	arm 11:16	assault 181:22	August 4:16 38:1
141:13,18 145:8	appointment	arm 11.10 arrange 181:1	assaulted 53:2	57:6 75:22
145:13 146:6,15	198:6	arrangements	107:8,18 108:4	117:25 118:3,19
146:17,19 151:25	appointments	181:8	assaults 138:15	117.23 118.3,19
152:5,17,19,24	22:14 23:5	arrived 65:24	assembled 10:4	204:9 207:5
152:3,17,19,24	appreciate 7:8	154:4 189:5	assess 115:7	author 88:9
163:18 165:9,10	28:18 105:5	asked 9:2 10:14	assessed 20:5	authorisation
165:11,23 175:16	118:11 124:12,21	26:10,12 28:2	assessment 15:12	180:18 181:11
175:18,25 177:3	157:13 213:20	35:5,9,21 36:13	15:19 16:17	182:4,10,24
203:24 204:15,25	approach 7:3,6,7,8	40:12 42:11 63:6	134:22 140:19,20	183:4,19,20,22
205:4,14,23	7:14 125:1,6	63:19 75:11	140:23 174:21	184:9,21 185:11
206:2,8,20	137:20,21 138:3	78:15 83:17	assessments 134:8	authorisations
answering 184:7	137:20,21 138:3	84:20 88:22	189:19	185:9
answering 164.7 answers 23:1,8,11	172:15 173:17	98:20 101:16	assigned 13:25	authorise 180:13
23:14 179:11	approached 10:9	109:14 118:23	assist 23:12 34:24	184:3 185:4
200:5	appropriate 62:17	119:2 120:7,9	35:3,10 168:10	authorised 18:23
anti-bullying	77:2,25 78:2	121:2,22 123:7	207:20	181:14
22:24	95:8 113:25	123:10 124:23	assistance 56:19	authorising 8:21
anticipation	114:21 116:10	126:1,13 134:3	56:21 197:19	authority 128:24
139:25 179:2	134:8,14 139:12	134:21 143:9,16	assistant 31:4	182:1,4 183:14
anxiety.' 122:1	184:3 193:7,8	144:21 145:9,11	33:20 34:5,9	184:11,17
anybody 45:20	198:22 211:15	145:14 146:4	assisted 149:16	autumn 141:1
63:1,14 101:5	appropriately	149:17 151:23	associated 208:15	available 23:24
113:18 114:23	122:19	152:3,9 153:20	association 180:8	24:4 25:7 26:13
163:9	approve 84:7	155:3 157:25	180:14,21 181:2	44:12 45:23
anymore 27:5	approved 117:4	158:14 161:8,22	188:23	49:15 50:21 66:3
205:18	April 57:6 75:21	163:14,16 164:12	assume 49:8 181:5	69:10 90:3
anyone's 10:20	116:1 132:5	176:25 177:10	210:1	123:15 170:14
anyway 23:2 36:8	137:9,12 138:10	179:25 180:9	assumes 52:2	avenue 176:10
84:14 161:12	140:1 148:12	183:19 184:21	asylum 9:22	AVID 116:12,13
apart 98:8	150:6	185:10 186:1	190:22	aware 7:19,23
apologies 34:5	area 36:21 37:17	194:8,13 203:19	attack 8:4 21:3	21:14 22:6,10,13
43:5 83:2 133:10	59:16 61:5 83:5	204:7 205:14	attempted 148:19	22:15,16,18,23
133:17	104:2,3 129:18	206:15 208:11	attend 22:14 23:6	23:2 24:20 28:6
apologise 124:8	142:15,17 148:3	209:24	39:21,22 63:4	28:7 52:25 53:5
156:17 158:1	157:17 160:1	asking 11:19 43:4	68:18,20,22 69:9	53:10,12,25 77:4
	I	I	I	I

				1 agc 217
77:4 78:18 90:3	189:20	beginning 119:14	203:2,7 206:21	179:2
93:4,4,13 95:4	backgrounds	207:4	Ben's 155:1	blissfully 92:19
98:2 100:18,19	152:2	begins 175:17	beneficial 6:15	bloke 152:1 154:9
105:6 107:11	bad 45:15 46:1	behalf 63:19 84:20	benefit 37:19	blow 100:6
114:19 119:21	141:16 195:19	110:19 111:6	205:21	board 84:6 97:7
125:11 127:12	213:15	112:7 113:1	best 42:15 57:18	122:21 127:12
138:7 163:8	badly 47:8	129:21 136:17	170:2	189:15
168:22 196:3	baggage 204:16	161:22 163:14	better 40:20 48:11	board's 188:12
198:5 210:24,25	bail 9:19,24	175:4 177:10	54:3,5,20,25 55:7	190:3,6
211:25 212:4	balance 144:6	179:20 186:1	57:20 118:11	boats 188:16 189:6
awful 141:11	ball 79:13	behave 47:8	141:12 160:25	189:25
awkwardness	banter 199:5,7,10	behaving 194:22	163:25 173:5,6,7	bodies 56:6 109:15
199:19	bare 105:22	behaviour 45:6,9	173:12,21 199:6	body 110:7 113:20
	169:12	45:13,15 46:1,2	213:16	body-worn 98:16
B	Barker 200:9	46:20 47:4,6	bewilderment	boiling 9:2 10:13
B 130:12 190:24	base 189:10	98:10 138:16	201:9	14:10,15,20,23
191:7	based 16:25 17:1,5	150:2 151:2,3	beyond 170:12	15:11,19 16:8
BA 148:16	28:12,13 30:9	194:24 195:22	181:12 199:3	boisterous 138:16
Babatunde 149:20	160:9 212:15	196:24 199:3	BH 21:13,16	bold 181:10
150:8	basic 157:19	behaviours 162:23	138:13 148:16	bolted 98:25
Babs 149:20	basically 18:12	belief 206:13	Bhatt 63:19 84:20	books 124:1,2
baby 118:14	83:4 114:11	beliefs 108:9	163:14 175:4	bottom 11:4,13,14
baby-sitting 198:4	158:25 159:18,19	believe 4:22 5:16	177:11	88:8 98:4 106:2
back 3:9 9:23	173:18 205:18	6:5,15 13:7	biased 129:9	106:3,13 118:1,1
10:13 12:24 13:7	206:3	28:23 46:4 54:2	Bible 81:15	118:23 119:1
13:17 14:21 31:7	basis 60:1 152:24	77:25,25 80:20	bid 123:11	120:6,10 121:11
34:10 36:11	165:21 169:23	86:22 114:11	big 55:6 60:22	121:13 125:3
37:12 56:14	209:25 212:18	115:8 116:14	175:16 190:19	156:7 166:12
57:22 65:1 73:7	bat 57:13	123:9 129:23	Bird 82:21,23	184:14 185:16,19
76:9 86:12,13	batch 71:25 74:21	135:8 154:22	Birmingham	188:10 189:21
87:13 88:18	batches 71:25 72:1	170:6 185:12	31:10,10,14,23	bottom' 88:12
101:14 117:7	BBC 20:24 52:20	196:18 198:16	32:25 33:9 187:8	boundaries 41:2
122:15 127:13	97:9,12,15	199:16 201:4	bit 13:9 27:11	box 24:24 25:3
135:8 141:22,22	121:16 196:21,23	205:16 206:13,21	35:24 54:12 58:7	braces 99:9
141:23 142:6	197:6	208:13 209:3	58:14 59:6,16	brag 195:7
146:1 153:8,11	bear 130:15	believed 17:2,3	79:13 85:3,10	bragging 53:9
153:18 155:3,8	Bearing 140:16,25	54:24 113:13	87:8 89:6 96:7	bread 129:17
161:6,10 175:15	becoming 125:7	210:7	110:20 125:3	break 28:23,24
177:21 178:18	bed 10:5,12,16	bells 18:9	142:1 151:3	29:3 30:13 73:6
181:24 185:14	11:9,19	belt 99:9	157:15 179:11	143:4,7,10
190:21,21 191:4	beds 132:1,6,10	Ben 8:12 37:4,5,7	187:23 208:23	172:10 178:22
191:6 192:8,8	133:13,14,20	37:22 38:13 59:8	211:6 212:8	179:14,17
194:3,13 202:25	134:1,7 135:2,25	59:9 77:9 90:20	bites 89:5	breakdown 130:24
203:11,17 205:24	136:3,11,23	93:18 102:20	bits 100:17 157:15	209:25
205:25 206:2	137:12 138:10,17	103:6,15,17	192:20	breaks 88:17
207:6 209:20	139:8,18,25	105:0,13,17	bitter 143:24	brief 5:17
213:16	140:3 142:4,8,9	151:16,25 152:7	black 151:13	briefed 10:6
backfill 172:20	142:10,13 175:10	152:18 195:3	187:24 198:20	briefing 68:1,25
background 152:3	befriender 117:21	200:25 201:10,16	Blackwell 143:9	69:11 89:20,21
153:3,16 160:17			=	07.11 07.20,21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

				Page 218
			l	
89:22,22	188:14 189:9,24	184:1,4 198:21	184:9 210:21	57:16 61:25 62:3
briefings 208:25	190:5,7 192:4,5	204:17	213:5	62:9 63:9 72:6
briefly 70:21	193:18,19 194:5	called 6:4 12:8	cases 55:4,5 111:7	72:14 74:4 75:7
bring 116:21	195:2,5,13,17,19	36:9 63:4 90:17	112:15 120:21	76:11,17 77:7,9
148:25	197:5 205:8	116:19 134:10	129:1 144:3	79:7 84:19 86:10
bringing 7:19 53:8	211:2 212:23	198:14	145:4 164:3,4	90:19 91:3 92:10
72:25 75:6 91:2	brought 76:1,15	calling 21:3	165:11 176:15,22	94:2,4,5 97:18
144:19 192:13	81:11	Callum 52:20 53:2	180:13 181:4,19	103:7,18,19
brings 42:8	Brown 2:19 59:9	99:17 100:1,9,22	181:25 182:9	104:25 105:1
Brins-ford 33:13	81:4 82:15	194:9,17 196:5	183:18,21 184:12	106:15,19 107:14
Brinsford 33:7,12	102:20 148:14	196:18	184:16,20	114:14 115:23
33:14	197:10,12	calm 10:23	casework 55:12	117:3 125:19
British 176:17	builder 152:18	Calver 115:5	111:8 112:20	128:23 130:2,16
broadcast 201:8	building 38:25	camera 8:16	120:20 131:18	130:20 142:16
broken 119:20	41:18,19 98:14	cameras 98:16	163:21 164:22	144:16 145:23,25
Bromley 8:13	129:20,22,23	candles' 200:9	caseworker	147:22 148:17
11:16 16:18	130:7,12,15	capacity 20:5,8,12	120:25	149:13 153:5,6
17:23,24 18:10	131:8 134:3	22:1,1,5 23:5,19	caseworkers	153:15,18,22
Bromley's 11:3	buildings 42:23	24:8,9,10,13,17	165:12	154:9 160:4,7
Brook 1:19 3:15	130:8	25:11,18 26:3,16	Castle 135:6	162:15 165:4
3:21 8:1 9:18	built 130:11,19	27:14 36:20 79:8	191:15,17	167:17 168:7
20:2,10,11 22:7	173:10 174:22	133:15 136:5	catch-up 72:22	170:4 171:13
24:2 26:9 28:12	Bulled 8:14 14:1	137:18,19	categories 210:13	175:22 180:3,25
28:13 30:16	bullet 42:17 43:20	captured 126:13	category 130:12	181:1,5,16,22
33:18 34:14,19	47:10 48:3	cards 99:8	191:8	182:3,9,23
34:20,25 35:2	188:18 189:1,3,7	care 21:13 51:23	cater 142:3	188:21 189:18
38:11,17,18	bullets 43:21	62:17 89:11	cause 25:10	190:23 192:12,13
39:10,14 40:3	bullying 105:13,15	92:16 113:22	177:23	193:15 204:8
42:11,21,23 44:1	125:8 128:13,14	123:14 160:1,1	caused 71:2	210:5
44:3 45:22 51:18	202:23,24 203:12	164:14 175:6	199:19	centre's 189:11,13
53:8,9 57:5 58:1	burnt 114:8	190:18	causing 68:16	centre/duty 182:2
59:2,23 60:20	business 37:1,14	career 8:1 33:2	caveats 155:2	centres 49:25 64:6
63:17,21 64:1,5	37:15 80:23	52:11 53:23 77:3	CCTV 41:3 76:6	131:17 162:8,12
64:11,20,25	148:24 169:8	204:11 211:12	Cedars 38:24	162:13,16 163:24
65:18,22 69:5,7	busy 66:25	careful 14:5	cent 105:19,20,21	201:18 209:17
69:21 70:8,11	butter 129:17	caring 121:25	106:9,17,17,21	centres' 86:9
71:5,10,10 74:24	BWC.MOV 12:8	200:2	106:22 107:1,1,5	certain 30:5 41:2
76:20 83:9,13	12:20	carried 41:11	107:5,10,10,15	41:11 58:8 75:23
84:22 86:7,12,15		192:15	108:5,6,7,8,10,20	75:23 93:6
87:3 97:6,19	C	carries 28:10	108:20 131:1,2,3	103:23 112:14
99:19 106:5	C&R 10:4,8 14:25	carry 35:21 69:15	144:3	175:10 177:24
126:21,25 129:11	106:25 198:13	96:19 179:10	central 83:4	179:23 199:11
131:12 136:24	call 32:2 33:8	cascade 89:15	centrally 209:1,20	200:11 208:15,16
142:22 147:21	37:12 44:6 67:5	cascaded 89:16	centre 6:22 25:23	208:22 209:15
149:12 153:7,11	67:17 89:23	case 18:9 23:1	36:22 38:13 41:1	212:15
153:17,21 155:5	92:16 112:8	54:10 61:6 67:5	41:7,10,13,17	certainly 26:18
161:25 163:7,9	115:4,24 117:6	118:11 138:18	42:10 44:10 46:7	42:20 49:3 51:20
164:14 169:7	145:5 148:6	180:24 181:1	48:10 50:1 51:14	52:7 53:22 54:8
177:19 185:18	152:7 154:17	182:24 183:10,23	55:17 56:18	55:24 60:18
1,,,1,,100,10	169:9 183:25	102.21103.10,23	00.17.00.10	22.2100.10

				Page 219
(4.14.72.4.4	07.12.00.11	··	Cl1- 00-0	152,17,155,2
64:14 73:4,4	87:13 98:11	circumstances 9:9	Clyde 88:9	153:17 155:3
74:10,12 75:24	137:10 141:7	84:13 181:11,13	collate 17:16	159:13 172:11
85:6 104:22	149:13 175:22	181:25 182:6	collated 209:21	173:25 178:18
112:1 113:8	189:2 192:21	183:13 184:1,10	colleague 165:20	185:13 191:14
121:7 123:3	213:6	184:16 188:12	171:19 172:13	209:1 213:5,21
134:11 135:10,13	changed 6:18	189:22 190:6	colleagues 7:1,4	command 67:12
135:18,21 155:11	15:13,18 16:7	CJS000463 82:4	54:11 95:11	commencing 88:7
155:17 163:20	59:11 163:18	82:11	144:19 171:17	comment 17:11
168:7 200:14	165:14 186:21	CJS000555 144:11	collected 106:1	92:3 93:12 95:12
211:2	195:16	CJS000582 138:9	Collier 15:1	99:13 169:13,16
cetera 67:7 69:11	changes 27:21	CJS000676 180:20	colouring 124:1	180:9 186:2
114:12 163:5	189:11	CJS000915 148:10	combative 125:1	187:18 188:17
176:18	changing 165:2,2	CJS005624 8:21	combination 189:8	202:1
chair 1:3,12,14	Channel 188:16	9:5	come 8:6 10:14	comments 21:6
11:21 26:23,24	189:6,25	CJS0074063 12:7	15:9 19:3 31:7	100:9 122:9
27:1,2,5,11,23	channels 96:11	12:15	33:17 34:10,22	123:5 146:7
28:1,10,12,14,17	113:19	claim 9:22	35:9 36:11 37:5	198:23 199:18
28:23,24 29:10	characterise 76:21	clans 176:1	38:22 40:7 47:14	208:12
29:14 54:19 82:4	charge 3:7 90:25	clarity 199:22	48:9 51:21 52:10	commercial 173:3
82:10 94:13,15	182:15	class 79:4	53:7,22,24 56:10	commissioned
94:17 102:2	charity 60:12	clean 129:24 193:6	56:14 58:16	150:21
143:3,5,9,13	110:25	cleaned 44:3	61:23 68:10	committed 187:11
151:20 156:15,18	Charlie 57:1,2,3,7	cleaning 44:9	72:18 73:7 74:10	committee 84:6
163:2 178:8,10	57:12	clear 44:15 63:8	76:9 77:3,10	common 74:21
178:17 179:7,8	charter 175:23	64:4 100:5 101:5	80:5 85:2 88:1	comms 87:11
179:13 208:4,5,9	176:13 188:13	117:18 139:13	89:18 105:14	communal 137:23
208:10,18 209:16	189:4,9,23 190:7	150:25 195:12	114:8,8 115:2,7	communicate
210:3,6 211:20	charters 176:11	207:6	117:2 132:5	97:24
212:6 213:7,13	191:6	clearance 35:12,13	136:4 141:7	communicating
213:15,18 214:11	chav 198:21	35:18	149:11 161:18	49:6
214:17	chav' 198:14	clearly 19:16	175:20 176:13	communication
challenge 38:6,8,9	check 157:18	82:15 88:22	190:23 199:21	48:24 49:10
60:2,17 87:22,23	179:13 208:18	99:11 101:22	202:3	communicator
challenged 144:20	checked 17:25	128:10,25 144:23	comes 41:14 93:9	49:4
challenges 50:19	18:5,19 29:11	154:4 203:6	117:3 194:13	communities
60:14,15 70:11	checking 67:21	close 49:25 187:9	203:19	46:13
174:17 208:22	68:11,12 168:3	188:6	comfort 58:7	community 70:15
challenging 46:19	checks 41:11	closed 12:9,12,13	107:15 172:9	70:24 188:19
46:21 47:4 51:22	chest 11:9	25:19,24 26:3,5	comfortable 58:14	company 30:20
60:1 70:13 71:7	chiefly 39:15	39:1 135:4	58:16 81:19 87:8	31:19 60:8,10
81:16 87:24	child 176:15	207:15	95:16 96:5,8	61:1,24 62:18,21
125:25 142:14,20	children 123:25	closely 73:21	coming 14:12	63:8 103:8,10,16
159:4 168:2,21	124:3,3	211:6	15:21 28:18,20	193:14
170:7 171:24	Chinese 137:24,25	closer 54:18	36:11 60:20,21	compare 45:22
192:19	175:14 177:7	closing 135:4,7	71:12 72:13,13	121:21 194:2
chance 80:4	choice 178:8 179:6	clothing 110:5	73:2 84:14 105:7	compared 44:24
178:16	chooses 185:22	123:15	130:17 139:25	58:9
change 25:8 70:12	chose 37:16	clue 83:17	140:3 141:15	comparing 6:17
70:24 72:10	circles 48:17	clumsy 198:17	145:2 151:7	42:21 63:18
70.27 /2.10	CH CICS TO.1 /	Clumby 170.17	1 10.2 101./	12.21 03.10
		<u> </u>	l	l

				Page 220
aamnariaan 50,25	21.1.7.24.17	100.10 146.4	114.16 115.22	79:9 152:15
comparison 59:25	21:1,7 34:17	100:10 146:4	114:16 115:23	
comparisons	75:25 100:5,24	confirmation	116:25 117:1	154:13 187:16
197:2,3	104:23 113:9	12:10	183:6	controlled 45:4
compelled 44:16	114:14 115:15	confirmed 15:12	contacting 112:23	77:24
44:23	118:5 148:4	confirms 9:8	120:24	controversial
competition	150:25 170:11	confuse 50:5	contacts 127:2	132:15 133:14,21
142:21	171:7,8,10	confused 50:13	155:24	133:21,22
complain 157:12	concerning 109:9	200:6	contains 143:20	controversy
complained 87:17	concerns 18:21	confusion 199:19	context 166:22	132:16
119:7	19:1,3 22:1	consequence	201:25 203:18,22	Convention
complaining 95:24	24:10,13 27:13	195:25	203:23	188:13 189:10,23
96:14 156:8	64:13 85:19	consequences	contingency 67:12	190:7,20
complaint 90:17	89:25 95:2,5	46:22	continually 98:17	conversation
90:22 91:6 109:4	96:20 112:22	consider 5:4 11:23	continuance 144:4	18:25 19:2 63:15
complaints 90:19	113:4,7,19 114:3	18:21 19:10	continuation	117:16 122:14
91:24 95:4	114:9 115:21	24:14 32:16	126:21	199:4
108:25 109:1	121:10 122:13,17	49:19 50:3,3	continue 179:8	conversations 9:20
113:1 194:24	122:18,19 124:22	118:7 126:5	195:17 209:14	90:8 127:15
202:23	126:3,23 127:3	161:23 162:3,24	continued 11:6,17	202:18,20
complete 67:25	146:23 157:1	194:8 196:7	121:23 199:15	convicted 43:24
106:11	158:5,7 163:3	considerable 50:4	203:1	44:18,19,23
completed 86:11	166:23 167:4,5	75:18 87:4,15	continuity 31:22	47:12,17,22
106:8,10 180:4	168:4 170:12,15	131:12	continuous 41:15	coordinators
182:21 184:25	170:18 171:23	consideration	contract 3:15	111:20
completely 42:22	178:6 189:14	118:12 180:15	30:16 31:11,20	copied 82:6,8
50:9 152:1 165:6	191:13 195:24	considered 19:5	40:9 60:16,22	core 33:10 43:15
193:15 203:8	196:6 203:2,7,10	40:12 70:4 73:1	61:13 62:12,22	111:14 117:22
206:14,16	212:12,14	88:12 102:1	63:3 132:23	coroners 188:3
completion 204:7	concerted 209:5	139:11 180:9,14	159:17 167:12	corporate 158:22
complex 60:22	concluded 81:4,5	182:5	168:1,22 174:22	164:10
compliance 2:25	condition 5:11	considering	174:24 175:6	correct 1:21,23,25
3:10 46:1 60:3	129:22,23 130:4	126:23 186:3,11	189:2 193:12	2:3,14,21,22 3:2
60:15,18 175:6	conditions 73:6	consistent 195:14	213:2	3:16 4:13 6:10
comply 10:18 61:6	184:13,20	constant 174:15	contracted 55:16	6:12 8:11,17
complying 15:21	conduct 68:25	constantly 171:14	129:20 167:11,19	16:2 20:3 30:12
60:7 63:3	202:25	171:21	contracted-out	33:21 34:21
compressed 189:8	conducted 28:6	constructed 42:25	31:9 180:25	37:23 38:4,14
compulsory 44:5	67:24 69:1	construction 42:22	181:5,16 182:3	40:6 43:23 45:2
concept 43:6	conduit 115:19	42:23	contracts 60:7,20	45:9,10,14,17
concern 20:23	confide 59:12	constructive	contractual 60:3	47:18,21 48:5
25:10 85:23	confidence 135:14	111:15	60:14,18 61:17	56:23 58:10
113:10,16,18,18	194:23 195:6	consultation 102:9	145:23 169:21,24	60:13 61:16
117:14 119:8,16	196:13	104:19	171:3,4 172:23	62:11 65:19 66:4
122:25 156:13	confidential 73:19	contact 5:5 96:6	209:23 213:3	66:5 79:1 80:3
159:20 162:21	96:9	113:9,20 114:18	contributed	82:18 97:3 99:16
166:13 168:3	confinement	149:4 156:3	161:25	116:23 148:8
170:12 191:2	180:22	157:4,11 158:8	control 10:16	169:11 204:12,15
210:18	confines 146:25	182:21	46:15,17 48:21	corrected 103:12
concerned 10:22	confirm 1:19	contacted 113:8	77:21,23 79:2,4,4	131:24
10.22		231111111111111111111111111111111111111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	101.21
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 480 221
correctly 56:25	courtyard 171:1	current 3:8,9 31:6	dangerous 171:25	16:22 17:1,6
102:12 111:9	cover 88:16 112:5	34:8 205:20	172:1,2,6,8	57:1 167:12
180:5	171:18 173:25	currently 4:2 27:8	dangers 127:18	204:4
correspondence	174:1	27:12 28:10	dark 125:18	DCOs 4:19,21 5:5
116:18 123:3,4	covered 70:13	curve 50:23 51:2	Darren 8:14 14:1	28:14 64:1
corruption 144:18	157:2	custodial 30:7	data 209:3,17,21	167:13 168:20
cost 62:10 103:9	covering 197:22	32:2,6,7 49:3,17	date 21:24 25:4	170:23 172:4
135:25	covers 41:2	52:8 53:14 57:23	28:4 48:15 98:1	DCOs' 17:20 18:5
costing 63:10	Covid 44:11	70:15 74:11	140:25 150:23	de-escalate 49:7
costings 136:8	164:24 165:17	129:4 133:1	201:12	de-escalation
costs 137:6	crime 72:16	149:3 172:2	dated 29:9 143:15	49:10
could've 206:10	criminal 47:23	custodians 55:16	dates 64:15 135:3	deal 41:2 49:5,14
counsel 118:23	criminality 53:8	55:17	153:13 207:3	50:20 51:4 70:9
119:4 121:2,14	criminals 47:17	custodies 186:8	dating 202:25	76:11 90:12,22
121:19	criticise 130:8	custody 3:23 32:22	Davies 82:19	91:15 95:9
counselling 117:15	criticised 15:1	107:23 115:18	day 4:7 21:11	109:15,16 114:1
counsellors 117:18	criticism 89:18	187:8	37:14 41:12	122:18 128:1
count 131:25	157:8 173:1	custom 199:20	43:15 58:18,19	150:16 164:7
counter-terrorism	198:9	customer 126:7	88:1 89:23 90:2	174:20 182:12
35:13	criticisms 90:14	129:19,21,21	93:10,10 94:3	193:10 211:16
countries 189:4	119:21 194:7	134:21 161:2,3	115:8 125:19	dealing 24:12
country 158:24	197:7		126:19 145:21	39:17 50:9 51:3
165:13 176:1,9	cross-deployed	D	147:9 150:8,9,20	73:18 81:21
190:22	64:6	D 214:4	153:1 167:12,14	128:9 165:8
Country' 198:20	cross-deployment	D-E-B-M-A-N	168:17 172:18	dealt 67:12 77:1
couple 11:22 26:25	83:8	36:23	173:16,22 174:8	78:6 90:23 91:25
34:15 35:16	crossed 188:15	D119 150:14	174:19 182:20	92:23 186:22
37:15 39:6 44:11	189:25	D1275 19:19 20:5	191:19 201:8	187:7 191:15,19
66:15 115:25	crossing 189:5	20:16 22:6,23	213:19	203:11
125:24 143:11	crutches 114:12	23:4,19 24:20	day-to-day 65:18	Dean 38:20,21
144:9 151:25	114:20,23	25:17 26:2,8	days 37:15 73:7	65:24 66:9 80:24
164:24 191:23	crux 127:14	D1851 8:7,15 9:1	140:18,19,20,23	82:15 201:5
197:12,14 208:6	CSU 185:7	10:5,11,14,18,22	167:18 168:3	death 186:8
coupled 195:2	CTC 35:11	12:1 13:13,21	173:4,6,7,10,12	debate 130:6
course 4:11,23,24	cultural 195:20	14:1,3 15:9	173:19 191:16,23	Debian 36:7
5:1,2 26:1 43:25	culturally 175:25	18:19 19:16	DCF02 8:19	Debnam 36:6,8,22
56:6 60:5 66:8	culture 70:8,18,19	D390 8:7,13,23 9:2	DCM 1:24 2:1,13	81:7
75:11 116:12	74:24 76:10,13	9:14,16,16 10:5,6	3:20 8:12,13,14	debrief 67:13,16
119:25 129:10	76:21 81:11	10:12,17,20 11:6	8:14,15 13:18	67:17,20,24 68:1
141:2 143:13	84:17 91:19 92:1	11:17,18 12:4	67:19,24,25	68:15
145:19 150:16	92:5,7,9,22 93:5	14:7,20 15:3	155:20	debriefing 68:25
159:7 178:21	119:20 154:17	16:13,19,24 17:8	DCMs 4:19,21 5:5	debriefs 67:9 68:4
187:15,22,22	155:4 158:21	18:13,14	8:3 28:14 59:11	decade 153:17
190:11 198:13	159:7,9 160:11	D390's 11:9	156:8,12 158:3	December 118:22
201:1,15	160:20 161:25	D687 135:15	185:4	140:6,17 141:1
Court 110:23	164:14 195:1,4,9	daily 41:13 48:18	DCMs' 17:20 18:5	188:8
176:22	culture/ethos	165:21 169:23	DCO 1:20 8:13,15	decent 152:1 193:7
courtesy 66:20,21	98:11	209:25 212:18	9:14,15 11:7,8,15	decide 104:18
courts 188:3	curious 84:10	Dan 118:4 120:22	11:16 16:18,18	decided 38:5
		dandy 131:7		
L	1	1	1	1

				Page 222
decision 40.4 11	donautmonts 6.16	51:9 56:21 70:19	diagnosad 21.14	162:10 163:24
decision 40:4,11 47:17 104:23	departments 6:16 6:19 115:19	79:7 92:14 95:6	diagnosed 21:14 22:3	164:24 171:16
117:5,8,9,9	depending 3:18	105:4 110:15	22:3 dialogue 144:4	176:11,12 178:3
128:22,25 151:7	61:5 66:25	119:17,19 129:12	dialogue 144:4 died 74:7	191:7,20,22
179:6 182:14,16	104:19 168:13	164:15 171:8	dies 21:4,5	191:7,20,22
185:6 197:8	171:4 176:2	175:7,10 179:24	differ 40:14	difficulties 36:14
decisions 95:8	depends 24:15,18	179:25 181:2	difference 7:15	50:19 147:2
102:6,23 122:16	167:16 182:12	208:21	42:18 44:20,22	163:12 213:10
declared 146:2	183:11 186:14	detainee 3:23 11:8	47:11 53:14 55:6	difficulty 73:1
deem 184:3	deputise 66:2	21:14 52:18	55:14 60:5 61:12	diplomatic 124:21
deemed 114:21	deputy 5:19 32:23	84:23 105:24	64:3 66:1 71:9	direct 20:4 115:22
139:12	33:11,17 35:19	106:5 110:24	73:24	115:23 126:4
defaced 99:23	35:21 36:2 37:6	118:5 135:15	differences 42:9	directed 119:17
100:3,11,14	38:2,11,15,15	150:3,9,10,14	43:20 57:23	direction 152:16
100.3,11,14	39:7,8 40:5,14	181:23 190:9	63:20,20 79:20	154:8 158:18
defensive 120:16	59:24 65:2,4,11	detainees 4:5 5:6	129:4	161:25
deficiencies	65:21 66:8 80:21	8:2,7 22:21	different 19:4,10	directive 132:9
189:17	81:18 91:4 95:1	44:24 48:22	30:20 37:15,20	154:13,21
defines 184:10	107:14 111:24	97:19 105:16,18	43:12 44:7,10	directly 115:14
definitive 200:4	144:14 183:20	105:19,21 106:7	45:18 49:21,22	164:25 181:1
degree 135:14	199:2	108:18,23 109:16	49:23 50:2,4,9,17	director 5:19 31:4
Deighton 126:14	derogatory 95:24	111:15,21 117:20	50:24,25 58:4,14	33:17,20 34:5,9
161:22 186:1	195:8	117:22 122:8	60:19,21,23 61:8	35:19 36:2 37:3
delegates 91:4	DES 117:6 209:10	162:19 188:15,21	61:16 64:7,8	37:6 38:2,11,13
deliberately 68:22	describe 39:7	189:5,13,25	69:2 71:23 72:1	38:15,16 39:7,8
delivered 168:15	42:11,14 124:25	190:2,4 194:21	72:1,2,2 74:14,14	40:5,15 59:7,24
delivery 198:7	125:5 170:7	195:9,14,24	86:18 87:1 92:25	62:4 65:3,4,12
demand 61:23,25	described 106:6	199:16 202:24	93:3 94:6 109:13	66:2,6,8,20 67:1
demand of .25,25 demanding 61:21	110:14	203:12 209:19	130:10 142:1	69:8 77:7,9
163:17	describing 93:5	212:15	144:17 147:9	80:21 81:18 91:4
Dembian 36:7	128:15	detention 41:7	149:10,13 152:2	95:1 102:19
demographic 51:8	description 65:6	42:19 50:22	152:13 154:22	103:4,7,14,18,19
demonstrable	65:17	53:15 56:2 57:23	163:24 165:6	104:25 105:1
195:2	design 42:22,23,24	84:19,25 111:2	170:21 173:23	107:14 111:25
demonstrated	designed 130:16	111:17 117:6	175:19 176:19	115:24 127:25
195:9,18	131:8,21 213:1	129:5 131:17	177:14 178:2,6	139:9 144:14
demonstrating	desk 10:13 11:18	158:21 159:6,9	186:24 189:12	153:7,15,18,22
45:6	despite 170:7	160:20 164:22	192:2,3 193:15	154:9,11 182:18
demonstrations	destitute 110:4	180:3,19,25	193:20 203:9	183:1,2,8,20
209:8	123:15	181:5 189:18	210:12,13 211:21	191:23 199:2
denied 206:3	DET 165:15	209:11	differently 19:14	director's 35:22
207:17	detail 76:19 151:5	deter 185:24 187:3	92:25	83:1 103:13
Denman 36:7	174:2	determine 180:10	differing 137:15	director/head
deny 100:10	details 76:22 77:4	detrimental	difficult 42:14	65:21
207:18	109:2 122:21	113:22	47:3 49:2 71:2	directors 103:16
department 3:1,10	154:3	developed 162:1	81:16,17 115:11	154:21
3:18 210:7	detained 27:14	development	120:13 125:21	disability 108:8
Departmental	41:6,18 44:1	166:21	129:13,14 141:24	disabled 135:17
138:12	47:16,25 48:6	diagnose 119:10	146:24,25 148:21	disadvantage
	ı	ı	I .	I .

				Page 223
40.10	51 10 166 24	14 6 42 16	00 22 170 20	22 10 20 14
49:18	51:10 166:24	doors 14:6 43:16	80:22 179:20	32:18 39:14
disagree 84:8	distinctly 200:24	dormitory 138:7	duty 3:15,17,22	40:23 42:24 54:9
91:16 92:3	distinguish 5:9	doubt 50:23	66:19 67:1 69:8	55:11 64:21
114:13 196:1	distress 5:11	Dover 135:4,5,6,6	89:22 91:2	68:14 91:1
199:24	distressed 191:25	downloaded 98:17	115:24 127:25	152:15 168:10
disagreements	distributed 97:20	downwards 62:4	128:1 167:13	177:20 191:14
81:25	106:1,7	102:19	172:18 182:18,22	effects 72:2 103:9
disappearance	distrustful 125:1	DPG 126:14	183:1,2,8 191:23	efficient 143:21
86:23	disturbed 201:7	DPG000002	dynamic 15:13	eight 208:4
disappearing	div 21:4	126:14	16:16 125:9,11	either 25:10 28:6
171:17 172:4	doctor 114:25	Dr 57:7 159:11,12	182:16	80:19 96:5 115:5
disappointment	115:1	160:16 161:5,7	dynamics 144:16	127:25 150:4
38:8	doctorship 160:18	185:14,17	144:25 145:4	162:25 165:10
disciplinary 39:17	document 11:2,11	draconian 127:4	175:22 176:18	211:1
149:19,24 150:1	21:18 25:2 82:3	draft 84:9 102:12		electrical 204:18
151:15	88:5,8 97:5	104:15	_	element 19:18
discipline 48:21	105:8 139:22	drafting 102:8	E 214:4	62:7,15
disclosed 203:3	143:23 147:17	dramatic 189:15	ear 11:24 16:22	elements 25:10
discuss 18:17	164:18	211:8	117:20	39:10 40:25
66:13 116:8	documentation	drive 146:7	earlier 16:4 28:2	72:10 85:22
127:1 156:23	178:13	driven 27:18 134:8	35:24 50:11	112:5 154:23
discussed 5:14	documented 25:16	191:20	63:17 65:23	159:25 169:24
97:14 119:6	25:20	drop 181:9 202:21	96:22 129:3	192:15
122:12	documents 23:23	drop-ins 126:21	142:2 143:11,15	email 114:9 116:4
discussing 124:22	24:3,6 26:7,10,15	Dropping 206:4	144:21 155:3	117:24 118:3,17
discussion 62:25	169:6 179:23	drug 49:24 70:12	164:2,20 175:9	118:24 119:6,8
115:4 118:17	180:7	71:24 74:11,12	185:17 201:8	119:18 126:19
133:23 150:10	doer 152:10	145:6 149:6	earliest 182:17	127:20 143:15
discussions 83:8	dog 71:22 149:8	drugs 6:22 7:19,22	early 20:5 34:18	207:10
134:14 143:20	dogs 71:17,18,22	22:19 71:1 72:3	71:21 89:19	emailed 196:23
198:7	148:16,19,21,25	72:6 74:3,17	121:9 139:1	emails 115:25
disenfranchised	149:1,6,9,9,14,16		146:5,6,13	128:4 143:10
56:3,4	doing 14:8 30:22	85:6 108:7	earn 45:5	emerge 49:12
dishonest 81:14	31:5 57:5,12,13	144:10,23 145:2	easier 40:19 82:8	emergency 174:12
dismissal 151:9	62:20 69:24	147:6 148:16	Eastern 176:18	182:4 185:11
dismissals 192:16	72:21 76:3 79:21	150:3 163:19	easy 28:19 50:5	emotional 111:3
192:18	89:18 94:8	DSO 134:13	echoed 121:9	117:21
dismissed 150:17	120:18 127:11	180:20	164:20	empathy 111:15
display 46:20 47:3	144:3 152:7	Dublin 188:13	Ed 200:24 208:14	employment 81:20
displaying 202:24	157:5 161:20	189:10,22 190:7	edge 126:22	142:17 195:13
displeasure 83:12	163:25 185:18	190:20	education 21:15	204:18
dispute 88:19	208:20,24 209:11	due 15:19 37:25	161:12	employs 111:19
disputes 78:11,11	DOM 67:19	66:8 94:24	Edwards 82:16	encompassing
79:11	Dominic 57:4	137:12 150:16	effect 30:18 31:9	82:2 90:13
disruptive 5:10	DOMs 28:14	158:7 188:12	74:23 84:25	encouraged 37:13
162:22 163:4	door 10:14 13:3,4	189:22	110:14 132:5	57:11 98:20
dissuaded 195:23	13:10 15:16,25	dump 161:16	137:8,12 152:3	144:2 196:20
distinct 166:25	16:12 125:15	dumping 162:12	203:15	197:5
distinction 48:2	142:18	Duncan 36:3,4	effecting 164:11	enforcement 41:23
			effectively 32:15	
	I .	1	I .	I .

				Page 224
164.25	E.J	122.5 10 124.12		11.24.12.2
164:25	Erdunast 1:3,6,7	133:5,10 134:13	expect 67:18	eye 11:24 12:2
engaged 160:12	1:15 12:13,17,19	157:16 170:4	155:21 199:2	79:13,20
engagement 160:6 164:23 165:23	12:23 13:1 26:22	171:10 201:18	expectation 67:1	\mathbf{F}
195:3	26:24 28:2,23,24 214:9	203:25	155:21	fabric 41:12,18
	errors 17:20 18:1	evidence 1:4,16 25:16 28:3,25	expected 69:8 156:5	face 100:9 109:6
engaging 45:7 165:1,17	18:6	52:2,5 56:25	expecting 206:6	facilitated 9:25
engineering	escalate 118:13	74:20 75:17	expecting 200.0 experience 23:13	facilitative 152:9
204:19	escapes 178:1	79:23 118:2,22	28:19 49:1,17	facilities 82:23
English 191:9	209:5	120:1 135:15	50:6 51:6 58:24	facing 27:7
enhanced 47:2	escort 117:6	137:15 151:8	60:25 65:4,13	fact 13:22 17:7
enhancements	174:12,14	178:19 195:12	72:21 76:23	97:23 137:13
130:1	escorted 9:15	204:1,4 212:9	111:17 129:15	142:18 149:13
enjoy 40:17 143:1	Escorting 209:11	213:20	147:5 149:8	166:25 171:24
enquire 113:14,14	escorts 8:23 174:9	ex-prisoners 73:2	167:1 182:11	187:19
ensure 8:3 9:24	211:3,9	ex-veterans	188:2 201:16	factors 15:18 16:7
18:19 97:18	especially 144:17	187:11	210:10,14,21	Fagbo 149:20
180:4	147:22	exact 3:4 150:23	211:23 212:7,9	150:8
ensuring 39:19	essentially 21:1	153:13 207:3	212:16,22	fail 147:15
62:16	86:9	exactly 87:2 90:9	experience?'	failed 22:13 146:2
enter 13:5	establishment	100:8 107:24	121:17	147:2,14 164:9
entered 11:16,19	33:5 61:2	148:3 160:18	experienced 58:9	197:11 206:14
entering 11:6 12:3	establishments	166:13 175:20	58:25 59:3 88:12	failings 195:22
16:23 17:7 18:13	50:6 186:9	198:3	experiences 51:20	failures 62:10,10
entirely 178:20	estate 42:19,19	Examination 1:6	176:4	fair 9:3,4,13 10:25
207:6	43:24 44:15 50:8	29:6 214:9,15	expert 104:4	11:1 57:24 88:21
entry 73:3 148:15	50:22 51:7 56:2	example 4:19	130:14 160:5	105:17 111:3
148:15	56:22 57:24 58:4	20:18 44:4 52:21	expertise 160:14	112:8 152:6
environment 32:6	60:6 73:2 178:1	53:17 76:9 90:15	160:16	173:1 178:16
32:7 42:10 46:13	208:16,22 209:13	96:22 112:20	explain 91:17	204:24,25
48:22 50:24	et 67:7 69:11	114:6 115:10	explained 8:24	fairly 57:9 104:17
51:13,22 53:14	114:12 163:5	119:8 155:23	explaining 98:22	124:9 139:1
53:15 58:15 60:2	176:18	158:8 159:25	explanation 91:17	163:1 165:15
71:8 81:16 85:4	etc.' 122:6	177:7 181:21,22	expose 153:8	190:2
87:14,24 118:8	European 189:4	211:10 212:13	expressed 133:20	faith 195:9
129:5 149:3	Europeans 176:18	examples 97:2	177:17,18 198:4	fall 161:17
172:2 193:6	evaluate 95:7	128:3 131:4	expressing 119:15	false 86:25
205:19	evening 179:12	194:15	extensions 213:3	familiar 40:17
environmental	evenings 43:17	excellence 88:25	extent 12:1 69:24	family 176:2
149:3	events 149:2	excellent 88:1	123:6	fan 147:7
environments 49:2	203:21	exception 51:15	external 56:6	fantastic 88:4
49:21,24 50:17	eventualities 174:4	exceptions 88:2	104:5,7,8 109:15	far 7:23 11:17
52:8 54:8 74:11	eventually 65:8	excessive 162:22	extra 87:9 132:10	34:16 95:18
85:8 149:9,11	76:16 80:24 81:1	202:8	133:14 134:4,5,7	100:24 104:23
174:17	81:2	exchanges 34:7	134:15 135:2,25	119:25 131:5
equated 106:21	ever-spiralling	executive 181:15	136:23 139:8	150:25 164:13
equating 106:22	48:17	exercise 185:9	140:3 142:7	202:25
equivalent 131:9	everybody 16:9	expand 70:21	212:20	Farrell 8:14 14:1
131:15	63:13 68:7 75:12	145:11 152:17	extremely 146:25	fashion 128:7
				fault 192:6

				Page 225
forman d 172.17	6 -4 20.24 42.11	flagmantly 105.7	forms 4 101.11	Enidor: 127.20
favoured 173:17	find 29:24 43:11	flagrantly 195:7	forget 101:11 134:10	Friday 127:20
fear 74:9,10	47:24 72:15	flap 14:18 flavour 178:5		friends 138:5
February 144:12	93:21 146:19		forgetting 44:22 95:21	177:7 187:13
144:23 145:1 fed 122:14 209:20	148:10 152:23 158:2 171:18	flight 189:9	forgive 34:20 37:9	friendship 111:2 111:16
209:20		flights 189:4,10 191:10	43:1 75:20	front 15:10 29:19
feel 87:8 96:8	174:13,14,16 176:14 177:5	flippant 169:13,15	forgot 50:13 51:7	
116:10 117:16	180:20 185:1,9	floor 10:10 15:10	forgotten 54:23	29:20,23 94:8,10 94:22 195:6
120:23 128:18	204:20	15:20 37:12	fork 200:8	198:15,24
144:18 157:21,21	findings 151:6	flow 147:22	form 8:19 9:7	front-of-house 3:7
157:23 165:7	finds 148:6	fobbed 145:15	18:15,17 46:18	frustration 199:20
170:10 182:18	fine 75:11 78:24	focus 82:8 119:18	76:7 111:14	frustrations 48:8
193:3 211:15	85:14 131:7	164:11	117:21 137:10	55:20
212:10,16	147:14 170:16	focused 73:12	161:12 208:24	full 1:9,13 8:22
feeling 58:14	finger 80:8	folders 146:8	formal 2:23 67:9	14:19,22,25
105:15,20 125:19	finish 55:11	follow 16:24 159:3	67:13,16,17	29:12 136:5
157:10 201:8	117:15 178:15,24	160:19	77:18 95:3	137:18,19 138:7
feels 50:12	179:3,12	follow-up 136:22	formally 95:6	149:15 151:20
fell 191:8	finished 178:11	following 52:2	167:7	fully 16:5 24:25
felt 37:18 51:21	finishes 117:24	67:10 113:2	formed 196:4	26:20 51:12
55:19,21 56:2	fire 67:10	150:10 188:20	former 2:20 57:1	function 27:6
59:15 72:15	first 4:8 12:14 13:2	foolish 178:15	forms 17:17 68:3	81:18 159:24
95:16 96:3,5	13:9 14:14 29:7	foot 33:24 98:5	forth 113:4	functional 39:11
108:2 121:7	29:25 30:6 33:1	114:8 134:18	forum 96:4	functioned 96:15
122:20 123:4,12	39:8 43:21 77:1	144:13	forums 165:22,22	functioning 99:11
124:7 125:9,16	82:4 97:8 105:10	footage 11:22	forward 54:19	functions 160:10
128:14 144:2	115:14 119:12	16:21 17:9,13,15	56:16,17 134:8	fundamental
152:12 155:24	141:18 143:16	69:18	135:20 152:13	44:20 189:11
158:10,11 163:6	151:4 159:5	footnote 181:20	159:22 160:9	funding 123:9,22
fence 41:20	169:1 170:8,10	184:10,14	162:18 204:1	133:15 136:1
Fernandes 82:17	170:14,17 179:19	force 8:6,18,21,25	213:4	furniture 134:15
fester 195:5	186:16,19 188:18	9:8,10 10:16,19	foul 161:17	134:16
fewer 118:9 172:4	190:22 194:9	12:1,5,6 13:6,11	found 35:6 37:22	further 10:7 15:3
field 27:17 75:14	197:16 201:11,15	13:13,14,15,17	40:18,20 59:15	58:23 121:11
fight 176:10	204:10 208:10	13:22 14:2,4	59:24 64:9 81:17	Furthermore
181:22	first-line 155:10	16:19 17:17 18:6	90:6 99:23	195:12
figures 108:11,12	155:16,19,22	19:11 49:8,9,15	141:24 148:21	future 143:21
109:7 170:22	firstly 4:15 6:3 8:9	66:16,17,23,25	155:10,16 200:3	
file 29:21	18:10	67:6,10,18,21,23	211:11	G
filled 8:19 23:3	fit 99:1 121:16	68:3,18,19,23	four 2:2,6,10,11,12	G4S 4:4 30:11
27:2	129:11 130:3	69:9,16,17,18	2:15 43:21 58:13	31:8,11,12,19,25
final 16:14 19:13	fittings 136:4	195:1 202:8	72:4 88:15	32:1 33:18 34:17
84:9 89:12	five 2:15 12:17	forearmed 163:11	112:12 178:12	36:13 37:13 42:6
109:20 117:12	131:4 157:16	forefront 50:16	four-year 131:16	60:11 63:1 69:21
149:25 189:7	159:1	foreign 70:17	fourth 48:3	88:24 97:13,14
212:6	five-minute 19:2	foremost 39:8	Francis 57:1,2,3,7	98:11 99:11
Finally 26:7 48:2	178:21 179:14	foreseeable 174:5	57:12	101:25 102:15,18
117:13 126:11	fix 34:16	forewarned	frank 145:15	104:10,14,23
144:1 203:25	fixtures 136:4	163:10	free 188:23	111:25 120:16,21
				120:23 122:7

				Page 226
123:19 126:17,23	general 6:16 7:7	63:21 65:1 66:16	165:18,20,21	84:13 95:22
134:18 135:25	63:7 95:3 142:8	68:16 73:5 76:8	167:9,17 168:13	grip 154:18
136:3 140:14,21	142:10,13,20	90:10 95:9	174:9,18 177:20	group 56:13 88:3
146:20 147:19	161:11 162:16	100:14 101:16,20	174.9,18 177.20	93:1 107:7,17
148:24 153:7	generality 7:10,11	103:7 105:23	186:5,6 187:3	109:17 110:2,24
164:10 165:7	generally 27:7	109:1 111:10	191:10,10,14	112:25 116:15
167:11 172:22	28:11 69:15	114:2 116:3	196:9 197:1	122:8,15 123:8
173:1 174:25	75:21 85:17	117:10,25 118:20	201:3,21,23	123:17,20 177:9
175.5 180:15	89:18 141:25	117:10,23 116:20	201.3,21,23	groups 159:19
182:9 189:2	190:4	124:15 127:13	208:3 210:19	177:15,25 208:16
192:10 196:5	generation 200:11	136:21 138:11	211:14 212:12	209:19
205:1	gentleman 114:7	141:23 142:6	gonna 185:23,24	gruelling 126:20
G4S's 88:22 103:2	114:10 115:8	143:14,23 144:13	good 1:3,7,8 5:18	128:14
G4S. ' 120:13	131:13	147:18 152:22	6:14 38:6 41:1	guess 32:15 48:10
gain 58:23 59:16	getting 6:7 60:16	153:1 161:10	45:6,12 46:1	51:18 55:16 61:7
0	112:20 128:6	163:23 171:12,17	49:4,10 75:16	138:5 149:6
gained 50:3	177:21 188:5	172:9,10,16,19	76:5 92:17	
gaining 76:7 gap 204:18	190:25 192:22	172:9,10,16,19	115:16,17 121:24	182:15,20 213:3 guidance 112:4
U 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S
Gasson 120:22	Ghanaians 176:5 Gibson 83:2 163:2	176:10 178:10	124:9 128:2,5,8	158:17,19 165:2
122:3 124:25		179:3 180:19,22	129:23 144:3	guinea 22:20
125:14 126:8	give 1:9 8:22 15:8	183:24 185:7	145:22 147:21	74:18,19
145:9 147:14	16:23 17:7 19:8	188:9,17 189:21	148:2,5 152:1	guise 30:20
165:25 166:1,14	28:4 29:7 90:5	190:21,21 193:19	161:13 166:2	gym 44:13
179:22	104:4 114:6	195:5,23 196:20	200:7	H
gate 40:24	117:4 118:8,12	197:6 207:6	governance 83:5	half 97:11
gates 41:20	123:17 157:18,22	goes 157:3 166:23	governor 32:12,17	halfway 145:11
Gatwick 31:21	159:13 170:21	174:15 210:1	32:23 33:11	198:11
33:25 35:10,20	177:13 178:5	going 3:9 4:3 5:17	154:11	Hall 135:21,23
36:13,19 37:7	183:22 184:9	8:6,22 9:5 11:2	governors 154:21	hand 133:10 138:6
38:2 56:13 59:10	212:12	25:8 29:21,24	grade 32:12,16,17	201:15
60:21 73:21	given 15:3 18:13	34:24 37:18	155:20 157:14	handed 135:7
80:18 103:25	19:6,15 50:6	42:14 45:18,20	grades 32:20	204:9 207:5,7
109:16 110:2,24	60:25 91:3 96:12	48:4,13,14 58:21	graphic 73:8	handing 204:21
110:24 116:14	108:15 112:21	65:6 67:6 68:6	grass 90:18 99:20	205:5
122:8,15 123:7	142:17 151:8	73:6 75:24 76:17	100:7 101:6	handle 205:18
123:17,20 142:18	164:10 181:12	78:21,22 80:17	grateful 28:20	handler 204:16
142:23 158:24	183:4	80:18 82:5 85:20	117:13 213:18	
159:22 162:19	gives 130:23	87:5,12 90:1	great 41:2 88:4	handlers 149:8
174:24	giving 15:14 19:6	94:10 96:25	132:8,11,13	handles/four
GDW000001	182:24 183:20	97:23,25 100:2,6	189:14 210:8	200:9
110:21	gleaning 184:2	101:20 118:25	greater 87:7	handling 15:2 55:4
GDW000003	glowing 122:7	119:23 122:21	grievance 76:13	handover 5:18,21
116:3	Glynn 126:14	127:3 133:4,4	76:21 78:1 83:15	89:24 197:22
GDWG 56:12	161:23 186:1	135:20 138:2	84:17 154:17	handovers 5:14
109:21 111:19,24	go 5:20 8:23 9:1,21	141:22,23 142:22	155:4	6:13,17
112:14,17,22	12:23 13:19	145:22,25 146:10	grievances 36:15	hands 178:20
113:5 121:24	19:18 29:21 30:3	148:22 152:23	76:23 77:6,19	201:3,21
125:7 126:19	34:24 36:13	155:8 159:22	78:6,16,17,24	hands-on 39:16
128:10 144:3	45:11,19 59:21	160:8,8 163:22	79:11,19 80:9	56:20
				handwriting

				Page 22/
166.12	5.10.20.10.21.21	 	100.1	120.21 167.12
166:12	5:10 20:19 21:21	helpful 122:4	198:1	130:21 167:12
handwritten 18:1	22:7,11 27:18,24	165:16	Home 9:21 35:15	181:12 182:7
Hanford 82:14 141:7	57:18 84:24 85:6	hiding 94:8 171:24	39:24 54:11	183:6,8 house 1:19 3:15,21
	85:7,9 113:13,23	hierarchy 166:19	55:15 58:5 59:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
happen 47:23	127:19 186:12,14	Higgins 82:22,25 83:1	59:18 69:19 70:5	8:1 9:18 20:2,10
69:21 73:13	186:16,17,19,23		97:13 102:1,9,11	20:11 22:7 24:2
123:18 174:19	healthcare 10:22	high 35:11 70:16	102:17 104:10,13	26:9 28:12,13 30:16 33:18
202:14 209:18	56:15 74:6 113:1	86:7 108:11,12 110:23 146:11	104:17,18 109:2 116:25 117:4,8,9	
happened 5:21 10:24 17:3 28:8	114:1,16,18 115:5 126:24	163:1 176:22	126:7,9,18	34:14,19,20,25 35:2 38:11,17,18
32:17 35:4 40:8	206:11	187:10	129:19 132:9	38:23 39:4,10,14
	healthcare's 27:15	high-security 33:3	134:3,7,23	40:3 42:11,20,21
66:22,24 67:5 100:8 115:8	healthy 79:17,24	higher 103:7	154.5,7,25	42:23 44:1,3
124:18 126:12	79:25	205:15	160:10 161:9	45:22 51:18 53:8
135:10 140:9	hear 28:19,25 44:3	highlight 11:3,13	162:4,22 163:17	53:9 57:5 58:1
146:9 150:19	66:22 74:15	97:23 209:24	163:22 164:9,13	59:2,23 60:20
154:24 197:4,4	133:11 144:2	highlighted 45:24	164:21 165:17	63:17,17,21,21
happening 48:12	187:12 197:12	89:24 112:5	178:3 180:2	63:25 64:1,2,4,5
73:10 76:20	205:24	122:17 211:4	182:21 183:15,22	64:7,10,11,17,19
134:19 179:3	heard 17:4,5,6	highlighting	184:5 190:9	64:20,21,25
happens 80:4	56:25 66:11 74:6	156:14	191:16 193:13	65:18,22 69:5,7
happenstance	82:14,21 92:4,11	highly 125:1	196:15 199:21	69:21 70:8,11
47:23	96:13 118:2,16	hinder 82:1	208:20 209:1	71:5,10,10 74:24
happy 62:22 63:9	121:10,20 127:9	hindsight 16:14,16	210:2	76:20 83:12,13
63:13 64:10	135:23 137:15,16	19:14	honest 75:23 80:13	84:22 86:7,12,15
120:18 138:24	146:23 150:10	historical 36:14	80:15 81:13	86:24 87:3 97:6
177:7 178:23	199:9 205:6,25	historically 76:20	93:19 136:17	99:19 106:5
179:3,8,9 185:8	212:9	176:6	145:8 188:2	118:8 126:25
hard 73:25 208:7	hearing 1:3 9:19	hit 108:4	205:24	129:11 136:24
harder 161:20	9:24 22:8 133:3	HMIP 101:17	honestly 203:5	142:22 153:7,11
Harmondsworth	150:1 151:6,15	102:7,23 105:6	hope 62:6 136:8	153:17,21 155:5
9:15,25	213:25	130:7,22 147:20	144:4,7	161:25 163:7,9
Haughton 118:4	Heath 33:4	211:4	hoped 99:11,14	164:14 169:7
120:22	Heathrow 209:6	HMP 31:10,10	hopefully 16:21	177:19 185:18
head 2:12,20 10:18	heavily 140:14	33:9 105:3	hoping 206:6,10	188:14 189:9,24
30:23 31:1,24	141:6,14	HMPPS 30:10	horrendous	190:5,7 192:4,5
32:22,22,22 36:4	Heenaxi 9:20	42:7 135:8,22	192:22	193:18,19 194:5
36:20,25 38:17	height 67:11	HMPPS-run	horse 98:24	195:2,5,13,17,19
38:18,23 39:5	held 32:10 33:8	182:3	hostile 120:4 122:3	197:5 205:8,11
40:2,10,10,14,16	102:13 110:1	HMPS 31:12 32:1	122:5,10 124:7	206:22 207:10
40:21 65:23	111:2	HO 120:24	hostility 121:5,6	211:2 212:23
82:20 147:12	help 7:16 21:19,23	HOIE 181:15	hostility' 121:1	HR 82:17
163:21 197:18	27:22 29:22	182:6,6	hot 68:15	huge 79:12 103:20
headers 105:25	36:24 42:9 43:8	hold 109:25	hotline 101:5	human 170:1,2
heading 63:25	56:5,7,17 80:5	129:12	203:4	humanely 190:2,4
66:18 88:9	84:14,15 106:16	holding 56:3	hour 143:4 178:16	humour 200:10
105:13 107:6	110:15 111:16,20	130:20 131:8	178:19	т
heads 34:16 39:11	111:22 186:12,19	165:21	hours 69:17 70:6	<u> </u>
health 4:4,6,8,25	206:5,12,19	holes 197:24,25	89:25 115:23	Ian 191:17
				idea 64:11 68:5

				Page 228
132:8,11,13,14	imprisonment	increasing 125:10	informing 90:18	166:22
ideation 189:16	47:13 118:10	increasingly 125:7	99:18 144:24	inspect 56:10
identified 21:23	131:10	incumbent 35:11	ingenious 72:23	inspected 210:15
36:1 129:1	improve 45:12	indefinite 48:7	ingress 70:22 72:5	inspection 102:3
210:22	111:1 160:24,25	84:25	72:9 73:3 144:22	210:20 211:20
identify 34:22	improvement	independent 97:7	148:4	inspections 130:8
186:20 210:17,18	203:3	122:20 161:15	inherent 127:18	Inspections 130.8
211:24	in-house 104:6	independently	inhumane 190:8	inspectorate
identifying 73:14	in-person 188:24	68:17	initial 4:25 5:2	211:24
209:18	inappropriate	indicate 71:22	16:4 157:4	inspired 177:1
ignore 11:6	95:15 112:16	149:14	181:11,13	instance 77:1
illustrates 119:20	150:2 151:1,3	indicated 20:7	initially 12:6 13:6	instances 194:18
images 201:7	202:25	23:18 209:7	49:14 104:15	instil 48:20 157:13
imam 140:4	inappropriately	indication 186:9	114:16 157:2	157:15 164:9
IMB 56:9 88:6	119:15	indicators 61:15	163:2 185:5	172:8
97:7 112:22	inaudible 126:3	187:6	192:9 200:6	instinct 202:14
113:8,8 120:24	209:9	indisciplines 209:6	initials 144:14	institutional
122:20 147:18	incentive 46:10	individual 6:16	initiatives 160:25	161:24
188:7 196:14	incentives 45:4,13	24:12 40:20 41:9	168:6	instructed 10:16
210:15 211:7,22	45:23	55:24 88:20	inject 153:19	instructions 9:16
IMB000015	incident 8:6,10 9:6	114:4 131:18	injured 10:21	11:7 16:19,23
147:17	14:2 15:2 17:10	162:14 180:10	157:10	17:7 18:13
IMB000026 97:6	19:13,15 24:23	183:16 209:17	injuries 68:12	instructor 69:19
IMB000048 88:6	26:20 66:24	210:20	inkling 14:16	insulted 107:8,18
IMB000202 188:7	67:14 96:18,21	individuals 45:5	innovation 88:25	intake 177:21
IMBs 211:11,13	100:19 150:11	45:11 55:9,20,25	innovative 170:3	integrity 88:24
immediate 125:18	182:12,14 185:5	57:8 71:11 79:12	input 54:9 55:13	89:10 196:6
immediately 182:7	incidents 23:21,21	108:22 177:25	164:3	intelligence 41:4
immigration 46:6	27:23 49:9 66:17	193:10	INQ000052	41:14 73:20 75:3
51:14 58:4	66:19 67:10,11	induction 189:17	194:12	75:8,18 76:3,7
impact 19:15	68:18,19 99:14	industry 30:7 32:3	INQ000077	144:17 147:10
62:13 71:5 74:13	108:3,13 150:5	32:5 169:9	185:15	208:24
85:16 99:9 104:9	150:19 177:23,24	influenced 196:24	INQ000104 120:5	intended 129:14
138:16,18 168:14	209:4,18	influx 190:19	124:15	intention 198:16
188:19	Incidents' 121:15	info 98:17	INQ000105	interaction 27:8
impacted 70:18	inclined 209:8	informal 67:17	118:21	interactions 200:7
implement 35:5	include 98:14	informally 95:7	INQ000111 15:6	interacts 22:4
implying 117:14	included 21:3	information 10:1	INQ000111 13.0	interacts 22:4
importance 99:10	106:10 121:4,5	18:15 73:16 76:3	197:13	193:25 195:3
important 28:19	including 10:20	93:9,12 95:7	INQ000167 204:6	interested 75:21
48:2 62:15,20	39:19 111:21	107:25 108:14	inquiry 1:12,16	83:13
89:14 99:5	120:2 126:24	112:23 113:24	35:4 52:3 90:19	internally 104:11
impracticable	192:11,12	127:17 128:6	91:23 96:12	internally 104.11
182:1 183:14,21	inconvenient	143:19 164:4	124:23 125:5	49:13
184:11,17	179:5	180:2,9,14 184:2	146:23 194:11	interrupted
impregnated	increase 146:9	196:11 199:22	197:14 204:4	197:10
72:18	189:15	208:20 210:1	insecure 167:21,22	intervention 10:5
impression 13:14	increased 86:17,19	informed 6:20	inside 185:18	interview 5:13,24
14:11 19:8	189:17	9:16	insofar 100:4	5:25 6:20 20:14
11.11 17.0	107.17	7.10	IIIOUIGI IUU.T	3.23 0.20 20.17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 229
21:12,24 23:12	involving 36:15	T	212:15	177:18
37:8,10 57:4	67:11 160:10	J	keeping 12:2 41:7	knew 8:3 14:23
106:10 116:21	178:13	Jamaica 176:15,16	173:13	65:5 137:21
140:16 141:1	IRC 33:25 36:19	Jamaican 175:23	kept 16:21 24:6	177:20,21 201:23
145:10 151:17,19	43:15,22 46:6	176:11,13	kettle 9:2 10:13	209:3
151:22 155:7	54:3,10,25 59:24	Jamaicans 176:14	11:18 14:7,9,10	knife 126:22
161:5 163:13	61:21 65:4,16	James 110:12	14:13,15,20,23	know 6:9 7:9
166:11,14 175:15	73:2 106:5	113:11,17 115:13	15:11,19 16:8	13:16,25 18:8,14
185:14,17 200:23	132:24 135:7	116:4 117:1	key 60:4 61:15	18:16 20:18 23:5
206:21 208:12	158:20 159:6,22	121:19 122:23	62:4,4,7	23:11,23 25:19
interviewed	161:15 166:16,25	123:3 126:19	kicked 108:4	25:21 29:21
123:10 161:7	178:1 181:15	127:8,11 128:10	kill 185:21 187:5	38:10 41:2,4,13
interviewers 37:10	182:6 187:15	January 5:14 6:21	kind 35:20 40:24	41:14 44:12
37:11	188:11 208:16,22	31:3 34:7 136:21	41:15 44:12	45:11 46:20
interviewing 19:20	IRC' 36:13	140:22 188:8	48:15,16 49:7	47:12 48:4,10
interviews 120:8	IRCs 136:24	196:22 200:25	50:15,25 54:9	49:13,23 50:1,20
introduce 123:24	158:24 162:23	jest 198:18	55:9,13,22,24,25	50:23 51:1,18,20
introduced 159:17	irony 196:20 197:1	JL 98:8	56:24 59:18	53:4,21,24 55:21
invaluable 109:21	isolation 165:12	job 3:6 30:22 34:1	64:23 69:10	57:14 58:12,19
123:13	issue 6:24 7:1,5	40:7 65:6,17	73:15 74:2,3,13	58:22,22 59:4,11
invented 91:9	14:6 60:4 72:25	66:10 76:16 78:12 79:20 88:1	74:16,23 76:5,8	59:12,20 60:23
investigated 109:5	76:25 98:14	88:13 155:5	82:2 83:25 85:5	61:5,6,12 62:2,13
202:22 203:10	114:2 119:19		85:23 87:21	62:14 64:14
investigation 35:7	128:2 145:9	161:20 204:17,18 jobs 204:13	89:21,24 90:11	66:10 67:4 68:13
150:21	147:2 148:23	join 145:18	91:25 92:22 93:1	68:15 70:2 71:1
investigations	issues 4:6 5:9	joined 37:3 86:15	97:25 99:9 112:3	71:3,25 72:10,14
150:22	10:23 11:23	joke 195:7 199:11	113:11 114:3,9	72:17,18,20,24
investment 164:21	20:12 21:13,14	judgments 188:10	114:12,17,23	73:3,5,7,14 74:1
invisibility 94:7	21:15,23 22:3,4,8	Jules 82:21 138:13	115:15 122:13,24	74:15,22 75:13
invite 30:4 139:3	24:17 35:2 39:17	201:5	124:1,2 127:9,17	75:17 76:22,23
invited 104:14	41:3 49:5 50:20	July 40:1,8 88:7	127:19 128:1,20	78:1,16,24 79:6
invoking 159:10	67:22 68:10	136:22 161:6	129:1 130:4	80:11,13,15
160:19	76:25 77:18	June 8:7 22:19,24	131:19 133:25	81:22,23,25 84:4
involve 103:25	84:24 85:21	117:10 197:19	134:4 137:20	85:7,7,8,19 87:1
104:16 108:3	87:20 90:1 92:23	Justice 35:15	138:1 142:19	87:7,11,12,15,21
116:9,11	97:19 113:1,13	juvenile 33:5	148:23 151:5	87:22,23,24,25
involved 18:6	116:9 127:13	juveniles 33:8	152:21 155:2	87:25 88:1,3
19:11 25:13 28:7	146:22 149:24	JW 138:13	156:13,13 157:11	89:17 90:3,8,9,11
55:10,11,12	150:4 154:3,25	JW's 121:16	157:16,19 159:21	90:25 91:18 93:3
80:21 103:10	163:20 166:4		159:24 161:3	93:5,21 94:3,12
112:15,20 139:6	174:7 178:2	K	163:11 164:25	96:11,20 97:3
139:9,10 140:14	201:16 202:5,6	Kalpesh 83:6	167:24 172:19	99:3,17,21,25
140:18 141:19	210:22,22,24,25	Kate 200:23	174:2 178:5	100:12 102:4
145:6 149:19,24	211:15,24	208:13	183:25 185:24	104:1,2 107:21
149:25 150:13	ITC 145:16,17,21	KBA 149:5	186:4,20,21,23	107:22 108:13
159:23 186:7	item 97:13 147:19	keen 147:7	187:9,13 192:19	109:3 113:24
209:19	items 72:13,24,25	keep 11:24 133:5,9	199:5,8 201:15	114:6,22 115:15
involves 61:13	73:8 144:19	163:22 177:9	203:22 209:11,21	117:18 118:10
160:3	IV 105:24	208:7 209:13	kinds 49:12	121:24 123:7

1				Page 230
124:3,3,6 127:1	188:4	208:4	73:6	little/no 203:1
127:15 128:3,18	KPIs 61:4,13	led 11:15 22:10,23	lid 10:14 14:13	live 129:25
128:18,20,20,22	KPTs 61:4	86:22	15:11	lived 176:14,16
128:25 129:2,9	KI 1501.4	Lee 82:14 141:7	lies 130:19	living 4:6 6:11
128:25 127:2,7	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	left 11:10 13:3,10	life 32:10 46:18	19:19,24 20:15
130:6,7 132:6,25	labour 79:12	35:11,20 36:25	48:10 156:5	20:22 21:12
133:18,24,24	lack 5:8 23:19 58:8	66:9 80:23 81:1	158:17 181:21	23:25 24:5,21
138:4,5,6,22	158:8 161:23,24	81:2,7 86:20	light 16:11 20:24	25:13,18 26:3,10
142:14,24 143:1	162:3 194:23	155:14 165:8	21:1 53:22,24	26:14 27:2,9,19
145:7 146:22	195:2	178:12 195:17	54:3,25 114:8	27:20
149:7,12 154:20	lacked 20:8 154:5	200:6 204:6	lighting 134:12,14	local 33:10 73:4
155:1 157:3,19	154:10	left-hand 10:5	liked 175:11	116:9 199:21
157:20 159:14,18	lacking 20:5	legal 9:18 165:19	Lincolnshire	locally 208:25
160:2,17 161:7	laddish 92:11	188:25 189:18	135:23,24	lock-up 43:17
163:8,10 164:19	Lampard 140:8	lengthy 29:16	line 1:17 24:14	168:8
165:13 168:13	141:9 200:23	104:21 143:23	91:5 96:6,8	lockdown 188:21
169:8 170:3,20	208:13	169:6 197:13	109:20 112:24	locking 163:25
171:23 172:12,14	language 92:14,15	lessons 68:5 70:3	117:17 119:2	logged 24:11,15,18
172:16,17,19	95:25	192:21,24,25	120:7,11 121:12	26:11,12,14
174:8,14,16,23	large 33:10 96:24	201:19 202:4	121:22 151:14	logging 24:16
175:20 177:20,22	120:1 165:4	let's 19:18 30:13	155:13 157:8	logical 138:3
177:24,25 178:1	177:21	36:1 44:15 88:5	163:16 167:6	London 8:15
178:3 179:5	Lartin 33:2	101:14 105:8	169:23 204:7	13:18
182:18 186:15,19	late 213:19	109:13 110:21	205:3,7 206:4	long 23:20 30:13
186:25 187:6,9	lavatories 100:23	128:8 129:3	207:8	33:2 34:13 37:25
187:11,12 188:2	lavatory 135:17	140:20 163:13	lines 72:4 112:13	58:11 71:4 87:3
191:17 192:7,8	Lavis 159:11,13	207:7	124:24 155:9,13	100:22 114:9
192:13,20 193:4	160:16	letter 143:15,19	linked 21:15	119:25 130:23
193:10,12,14,14	law 41:23	144:7 151:4	list 111:22 142:23	145:13 155:8
194:1 196:16	layman's 114:19	letters 6:8 97:20	listed 97:16	173:15 188:4
197:9,11 199:4	layout 43:2 129:7	98:21 99:7	listen 11:19 52:5	197:23 205:21
200:13 202:3,17	lead 20:2,10 22:5	letting 158:11	89:21	207:19 213:19
203:17,18 205:1	45:13 118:15	level 36:17,18	listened 212:17	long-established
206:16 209:4,5,9	leading 118:17	81:24 86:17	listening 85:20	76:13,21 81:11
209:10,15 211:1	155:14	102:16,18,22	87:21 117:20	84:17 154:17
211:3,5,12,16,17	learn 2:20 58:19	104:17 121:1	187:20	longer 131:5 135:9
212:2,3,4,19,20	58:21 201:4,19	163:1 164:25	literacy 21:15	look 17:19 26:15
212:24 213:2,4	201:22 202:4	167:15 169:21	literally 115:8	42:20,20 54:8
213:19	learned 68:6	183:2,3,4 210:23	164:23	59:22 72:8,9,16
knowing 55:20	192:24,25 194:4	levels 85:21 86:6	litigate 176:6	73:15 76:8,18
73:6 75:12 109:2	learning 50:23	86:12,14 87:22	litigious 176:7,8	78:15 82:3 87:12
170:13 187:1	51:2 58:18 68:14	134:22 139:12	little 31:7 34:10	88:5,8 89:10
knowledge 25:22	learnt 70:3 192:21	141:10 167:19	50:11 54:16	90:15 94:22 97:5
58:23 59:16,18	leave 9:1 10:14	168:4,22 170:8	63:17 65:2,23	97:12 108:1,16
knowledgeable	48:4 157:21	189:16 193:12	96:22 118:15	110:4,21 114:4
59:10	168:12 171:5	213:6	121:11 129:3	115:25 120:4,9
known 20:6 70:13	173:11	Lewis 179:20	144:21 153:19	127:14 128:3
86:18 96:25	leaving 80:20	LH 98:20	164:13 175:9	130:1,14 133:13
105:17 177:25	171:19 172:5	licence 47:13,15	179:5,5 212:8	134:2,5 136:7,18
	204:10 205:1			
	ı	<u> </u>	1	1

				Page 231
126.10 127.0	102.12 106.15	managamant	MD 140.14	152.10 17 10
136:19 137:9 139:3 147:17	182:13 186:15 187:7 190:23	management	MB 148:14	153:10,17,19
	191:2,5,8,9,11	36:17 41:4,13 45:7 55:9 81:10	meal 168:8	196:21 197:2,4
148:12 151:19,22	191:2,3,8,9,11		meals 43:16	201:1,7,15,22
156:13 159:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	126:21 143:21 154:14 164:5	mean 2:4 32:14	202:3,6,12
161:8 172:9,16	201:13,13 208:20		33:24 35:1 40:16	Medway' 201:4
176:12 187:1	209:4,5,5	166:5 195:4	40:23 47:5,19	meet 111:15
192:7,8 193:8,24	lots 50:1 71:6	206:8	51:16 52:6 55:2	143:18 200:1
201:19 202:4	174:7 192:16,20	manager 3:1,10,15	58:25 60:7 61:3	meeting 5:20 26:6 82:12 83:21,22
212:3,5 213:4	192:21,24 210:14	3:17,22,23 32:18	61:23 69:1 71:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
looked 10:10	lottery 123:9	50:1 82:23 89:14	72:9 73:8,25,25	84:10 97:7 116:6
14:12 24:4 37:13	lousy 154:9	115:5 126:9	74:5,15 78:15	116:7 117:11
46:11 107:22	lunch 94:13	155:14,22 157:4	80:14 83:18,22	118:18 119:7
130:23 148:23	173:25	171:1 172:18,18	84:2 85:4,9 87:2	124:19 125:11,12
159:20 168:5	lying 15:10	180:25 181:4,14	87:3 89:2,2,3,7	125:14,20,22
169:6	M	182:3,6,7,9,23	89:17 93:7,12,12	126:1,6,17,20
looking 14:21 30:6	macho 92:11	183:22 184:1,5,6	97:5 100:9 103:5	127:7,8 128:14
43:14 60:5 66:17	main 60:17 72:9	191:16 205:15,15	109:4 110:17	138:9 144:2,12
67:22 73:12,15	98:5 127:14	206:22	111:5 114:22	147:18 148:11
74:5 83:4 98:25	148:4 188:10	managers 21:3	119:12 130:6	151:5 156:15,18
102:4,22 139:22	maintain 48:21	91:3 93:1 114:3	131:11 136:3	156:20,22,23
155:15 158:21	139:19	155:11,12,15,17	138:19 142:11,21	162:19,20 197:21
159:6 164:1	maintenance	155:18,19 156:3	145:2 148:18	197:23
178:11 193:18	82:24	156:23 165:18	155:19 158:4	meeting' 125:2
194:3 204:1,13	Majesty's 30:9	182:10 195:6	160:17 169:21	meetings 39:21,22
looks 12:13 50:12	31:13 56:9	managers' 89:22	170:1 171:21	39:24 48:18 63:5
84:9 166:13		manages 104:3	172:1 175:5	83:23 84:5,5
Loraine 82:22,25	major 60:5 64:17 majority 57:15	managing 3:18	176:7 177:20	102:13 103:22
83:1	88:13 182:8	55:10,17,17	183:8,12,24	104:13,21 125:20
lose 173:20,21,22	194:15	73:19	190:20 192:4,7	125:24 127:16
loss 67:11	makeup 209:12	manifesting 118:7	193:11 196:3	143:22 159:21
lost 59:6 113:17		manner 49:6	199:4,11 201:24	163:3 199:17
173:23	making 23:11,14 57:19 62:21	March 1:1 4:12	202:2,12,17	meets 120:15,22
lot 17:9 39:22	68:11 102:23	29:9 82:13,16	203:11 207:19,25	member 19:10
44:11 45:3 48:8	128:22,25 169:12	83:11 147:19	208:23 211:1	23:3,8 24:10
48:12 49:4 55:19	172:25 181:8	153:10 159:8	means 1:15 3:25	27:13 69:19
57:15 59:24	198:18	179:22 188:20	13:5 42:15 43:7	76:24 86:19
64:11,15 73:21		204:5 214:1	89:6 111:5	91:20 95:23 96:2
75:10 76:25	malpractice 194:22	Marsden 141:3	195:21	106:15 107:7
77:17 83:23 85:7	man 18:22 58:25	200:24 208:14	meant 5:8 107:18	108:2 157:2
85:8 93:19,21	60:8,10 62:18	massive 70:24	187:4 197:25	174:16 181:23
94:2 112:15	131:25	71:5 144:16,25	measure 73:25	members 24:11,13
116:17 122:14		matter 15:16 74:6	115:2	59:7 71:14 74:6
128:4,5,22	manage 5:6 64:22 67:2 81:10	193:25	measures 46:15,17	75:1,6 77:5,18
142:16,17 148:22	133:15 155:22	matters 83:7	47:1 170:19	81:8 86:15,21
155:10,16 156:1	172:5	149:20	mechanisms 193:8	93:4 95:10,22
157:23 161:17		maverick 128:20	medical 20:19	99:18 100:4
168:2,11 169:6	manageable	maximising 175:5	22:14 25:17 26:1	103:23,25 104:13
170:18 176:14	130:21	maximum 79:8	112:21	106:24 112:14,22
177:23 178:3	managed 55:15	May/June 2:18	Medway 153:5,8,9	133:25 141:15
	156:6 181:1			

				Page 232
156:10 157:7	82:21,23 83:2	70:11	158:24 162:17,24	nearest 125:14
177:19 194:20	214:6	Mmm 52:16,19	171:14,21 182:16	nearing 40:3
195:7,15,16,23	Michelle 2:19 59:9	59:1 130:18	moved 10:21	nearly 107:23
212:10	81:4 82:15,17	169:5 202:11	30:23 31:3,8,18	131:14
memorandum	102:20 148:14	208:17	31:20 32:15 33:3	necessarily 13:7
116:8	149:4 197:10,12	mobilisation 140:2	33:7,9 34:2,8	128:24 173:17
memory 30:4	199:5 202:19	model 173:3	38:21 39:1,4	necessary 9:10
35:25 42:16	micro-community	moment 30:13	50:11 51:17	14:22 127:2
56:25 94:24	46:14	31:6 44:22 66:16	57:25 64:19	181:8
104:15 116:20	micro-manage	105:14 139:16	135:16 162:15	necessity 9:9
150:14 153:9	155:25 158:9	140:15 161:21	163:6 188:24	need 25:2,7 27:19
159:8 196:22	micro-managem	Monday 127:22	movement 162:13	27:22 29:11
205:16 207:20	157:23	213:17,21 214:1	moves 117:10	50:20 59:15 90:3
men 56:21 57:19	micro-managing	monetary 110:5	162:6,8	117:22 144:20
79:9 92:15	158:3	money 61:1 62:10	moving 35:14	147:10 151:8
129:12 130:13,24	middle 10:12 11:4	62:14 63:11	50:24 55:6 64:11	157:6 172:9,18
131:1,7,9 136:5	11:14 34:1	123:18,21,21	118:7 144:8	178:21 185:6
172:5	Migrant 56:17	monitor 209:14	165:16 167:9	193:2 200:20
mental 4:4,6,8,25	mind 50:16 72:3	monitored 210:1	MP 136:13	202:3 203:18
5:10 20:18 21:20	138:4 140:16,25	211:6	multi-disciplinary	212:5
21:21 22:7,11	142:2	monitoring 97:7	26:6 39:24	needed 9:22 90:5,5
23:5 25:18 26:2	mine 165:20	190:3	Munroe 149:22	123:18 134:25
27:14,18,24	minimal 27:8	month 34:22 97:8	150:8,13	135:2 152:13
57:17 84:24 85:6	minimum 167:19	130:25 143:19	murder 35:3	205:19 206:12
85:7,9 113:13,23	169:12 171:1,4	Monthly 97:13	Murphy 63:19	212:14
127:19 186:12,14	172:23 173:2,4	months 2:15 26:8	84:20 163:14	needs 3:18 12:9
186:16,17,19,23	173:13	27:3 34:15 35:5	175:4 177:11	24:25 47:1
mentally 5:6	minister 136:14,15	35:17 58:13	mushroom 92:2	145:23 161:8,19
mention 39:17	Ministry 35:15	88:13 106:25		164:1 189:13,18
45:4 60:14 101:9	minute 82:11 84:3	107:4 130:25	N	negative 160:21
171:9	84:9 144:12	131:1,2,4 140:19	N 214:4	Neil 8:15 14:2
mentioned 23:24	148:11 172:12	140:22,24 188:14	nail 80:9	82:19
26:7 36:5 50:11	213:14	189:23 190:10,11	name 1:9 29:7	netting 177:24
92:7 114:7	minutes 84:3,6	months' 48:14	57:1 205:16	nettings 209:8
115:22 119:14	157:17 197:23	morale 85:17,19	210:6	network 111:12
149:4 175:14	208:4,7	85:22,25 88:11	names 36:1	never 69:1 78:5,8
mentioning 172:12	misread 199:12	morning 1:3,7,8	national 70:17	80:1 100:25
merely 197:23	misrepresented	5:20 28:18 48:18	71:22 188:20	167:4 176:16
198:17	84:3	89:19,20 118:3	nationalities	185:24 187:3,5,6
message 90:5	missed 113:21	157:17 173:24,24	137:22 177:6	205:25
202:13	mission 89:3	174:1	189:12	new 3:14 38:7
messenger 122:15	mistake 130:11	Morton 135:21,23	nationality 108:6	58:12,19 60:23
129:2	mistreatment	Moshari 9:14	nationally 191:1	65:9,11,12
met 10:22 37:7,9	95:24 194:21	MOU 116:8	natural 202:14	123:16 141:14,21
118:5 121:8	Mitchell 136:10,12	move 9:15,21	nature 51:7 84:22	142:2 145:12,16
method 106:6	mitigation 146:7	11:11 38:6 54:18	84:22 91:25	145:18 146:1
methods 76:6	mix 176:19	54:19 101:14	96:12 129:24	149:5 159:17
MH 21:14,21 22:3	mixing 188:23	109:13 119:10	185:1 189:8	160:25 193:14
Michael 1:5,10	mixture 4:22,24	129:3 156:17	200:2 204:23 near 14:6 108:21	205:19
			near 14.0 108:21	

				Page 255
newer 88:14	87:13	obstructive 115:12	126:7,9,18	open 10:14 12:24
Newland 38:19,22	noticed 100:11	obtain 75:17	129:19 132:9	12:25 18:22 19:5
66:9,9 82:16	notified 66:19 97:9	obvious 40:21	134:3,7,23	29:23,25 43:16
Newlands' 174:21	179:24,25 180:2	47:11 201:22	158:15,16,22	96:4 135:13,18
news 213:15,16	182:7	obviously 6:1 17:1	160:10 161:9	opened 13:4 14:18
nice 154:9	notify 181:7	17:9 37:8,9 52:6	162:4,22 163:17	19:19,24 20:14
nicely 143:9	November 101:18	96:18 108:14	163:22 164:9,13	20:23 24:21
Nick 8:15 13:18	105:12 151:22	118:10 167:3	164:21 165:17	131:22
Nigerian 176:5	NPS 70:13,22	201:16 204:16	178:3 180:2	opening 21:11,25
night 107:3 182:20	71:20,23 147:22	210:10,17 211:18	182:21 183:15,22	23:24 24:4 25:4
nine 30:10 31:7	148:23	211:20 212:11	184:5 190:9	25:9,14 26:9,14
67:4 106:11	number 32:20	occasion 18:5	191:16 193:13	openly 120:23
131:2,3 208:7	39:11,21 44:7	94:24 95:5 121:9	196:15 199:21	operate 129:21
no-one 10:21	50:8,19 52:7	198:12	200:2,6 208:20	163:22 170:25
159:2	59:10 69:4 72:22	occasionally 200:1	209:1 210:2	operated 64:7
nodding 178:25	75:14,18,25 77:5	occasions 52:7	Office's 158:23	174:2
nods 43:19 132:7	85:20 97:15 98:3	115:13 180:3	officer 8:9,16	operates 41:1
nominals 73:14	102:13 109:8	199:17	17:19 32:11,11	158:20 160:1
145:6	113:7,10 116:9	occupancy 188:24	32:11 53:4 91:20	operating 163:24
non-compliance	122:13 123:16	occur 10:8	181:15	170:23 195:20
61:19	139:23 144:18,20	occurred 9:7	officer's 17:16	operation 174:8
non-controversial	145:5 146:11	14:20 52:9 53:23	officers 8:12 10:8	operational 3:18
120:18	147:1 148:5,9	55:22 67:21	11:16 12:3 13:4	32:18 59:18 60:1
non-effectives	154:4 159:25	68:13	13:25 14:9,19	65:20 174:17
171:5 173:10	164:17 168:6	October 101:18	16:9,13 17:17	operationally
norm 86:17	169:22 171:23	105:11 106:4	21:3 88:11 98:10	152:6
normal 170:12	174:9 176:10,21	120:9	98:16 121:24	operations 3:15,17
181:12	186:7 187:10,10	odd 139:23	149:19 186:12	3:22 31:4 33:20
normal' 86:13	196:11,16,17	offence 47:23	187:15 194:23	33:21 34:9 69:16
normally 67:19	202:18,19 207:18	198:15	195:6	opinion 18:24
104:10 145:4	211:18 212:14,15	offender 55:10,13	Oh 104:22	42:25 46:8 49:5
183:3,8 184:4	213:2	164:5	okay 18:20 82:10	51:12,16 56:1
210:25	numbers 87:7	offenders 33:9	154:2 156:25	82:2 96:4 109:22
notable 74:3	168:12 191:14	70:17	157:19 159:15	122:11 124:6
notably 189:15	209:14	offenders' 33:5	179:13 206:12	129:16 131:23
note 21:13 88:6,10	numerous 115:13	offer 111:15,20,23	210:3	134:23 143:2
121:14,22 144:1	159:21 199:17	116:16	oncall 182:6 184:6	152:19 154:1,2
151:21	nurse 20:19 22:11	offered 16:15	once 13:4 51:24	154:19 155:6
notebook 166:10 noted 23:3,4,8	Nurses 21:20 nutshell 90:11	127:1	57:25 65:11 78:25 86:19 93:8	158:23 167:3 175:8 176:6
99:5	nutsnen 90:11	offering 110:15 111:2		191:12 193:16,21
notes 120:9 138:9	0	office 9:21 35:15	146:10,18 152:8 168:11	196:4,18 200:19
151:5 166:11,14	o'clock 94:16	39:24 54:11	one-track 164:10	210:19 211:8
208:18	objective 129:10	55:15 58:5 59:12	ones 101:12 211:2	opinions 122:9
notice 66:24 77:2	objectives 198:8	59:18 69:19 70:5	ongoing 41:15	Opoku 9:15
122:1 137:10	obscures 187:18	97:13 102:1,9,11	77:13 176:22,24	opportunities
157:5 204:9,22	observations 5:22	102:17 104:10,13	onsite 116:25	44:12
205:5 207:5	190:3	104:17,18 109:2	onwards 70:9	opportunity 10:7
noticeable 86:14	observe 69:12	116:25 117:4,8,9	101:15 109:14,16	15:4,8,14 16:6,14
	observed 80:16	110.20 117.1,0,9	101110 10711 1,110	12,0,1.10.0,11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 234
	1		I	I
40:2,12 142:17	Owen 90:15 96:22	7:16 20:24 51:24	27:5,5 37:15	parts 30:5 37:14
170:9 173:20	100:8	52:6 85:11 92:12	41:8,10 47:25	44:9 112:10
182:17 193:2	owing 21:7	92:21 93:8 97:15	62:4 67:13 69:11	165:12
196:8 204:11	ownership 31:16	141:2 146:10	69:15 101:23	party 95:13 98:23
order 180:19	55:8,8 166:16,21	153:8 176:21	105:22 119:25	Paschali 52:5,15
orderlies 44:13	167:2	177:2 192:8,18	132:23 140:2	52:21,22,24 53:2
organisation 39:23	P	194:4 201:3,21	145:24 148:24	53:6
61:8 62:2 78:3		paper 72:18	149:25 154:16	passed 90:21
93:9 110:8	PA 83:1	100:17	159:17,25 161:3	94:24 198:18
112:11 116:14	packs 29:20 68:3	paperwork 155:24	168:1 187:19	passes 73:13 148:7
117:2 129:18	page 8:21 9:5 11:2	180:4 182:19	210:17 212:17	passive/active
134:1	11:11 15:6 25:6	184:24 185:1,3	partially 102:5	149:1
organisations 61:9	33:24 34:11	parachuted 153:8	participants 84:7	passport 176:17
116:11 210:16	42:12 55:3 59:21	paragraph 11:5,14	particular 8:20	pastoral 110:8
organised 72:16	63:23 65:1 70:9	30:6 32:9 33:25	22:4 52:17,17	Patel 9:20
72:17	72:4 76:12 82:11	34:11 36:12	57:8 62:9,25	pattern 173:23
original 177:14	85:24 89:12	37:24 38:10 40:1	67:20,23 76:25	patterns 173:15
originally 34:24	94:23 97:12 98:6	42:12 43:7,14	85:12 90:20	Paul 120:22 122:3
110:7 130:16	105:9,10,12,24	48:18 54:1,23	98:24 100:19	125:14 126:8
131:22	106:13,23 109:16	57:22 59:22	101:3 104:2,9	128:19 143:20
Oscar 17:22	110:22 112:13	63:22,23 65:3	114:4 118:17	145:9 165:25
172:17	116:3 117:10,25	66:16 67:8 70:10	120:6 128:19	166:1,14 167:5
Oscars' 89:22	118:4,4,21,23	72:3 76:12 85:24	138:24 146:22	179:22
ostracised 91:1	119:1 120:5,6,7	88:22 89:12	148:15,15,22	Paul's 167:3
100:7	121:12,12 124:16	94:22 95:9 98:5	150:3 152:17	pausing 32:14
others' 165:19	125:4 126:14,16	101:15 109:14,15	154:6 157:14	34:13 46:9 97:21
ought 46:11 63:11	138:11 139:4	110:23,25 111:12	162:14 168:17	182:23
108:21	140:7 143:14,24	112:1,6,12	170:19 188:22	pay 115:6 123:19
outcome 203:1	144:13,13 145:10	117:12 126:16	207:25 210:4	PDA 39:1,3
outcomes 124:10	145:10 147:18	133:12 139:4	211:15	peak 168:7
outfit 60:10	151:21 152:20	143:17 158:14	particularly 8:3	pears 42:21 63:18
outline 151:5	155:8 158:13	167:10 168:19	12:4 13:6 19:15	peer 93:1
outlined 194:15	163:15,16 166:10	170:5 171:12	41:12 55:20	pegs 197:24,25
outset 123:12	167:11 170:5	174:20 181:6,9	64:12 75:15	198:1
outside 10:10	175:16 176:20	181:18 182:2	79:18 85:2 101:2	penalties 61:18
15:15 33:16	180:20,23 181:18	183:12,15 184:12	101:9 110:3	penalty 62:6
72:17 100:23	185:16 188:9,17	184:18 194:14,25	113:22,23 118:12	people 4:17,18
116:12 127:10	190:1 194:12	195:11 198:11	123:24 125:10	41:17 44:3 46:20
212:21	195:11 197:17	199:14 200:21	126:6 127:19	47:12,15,22
outstanding 87:25	200:20 204:6,6	202:21	139:7 142:12	48:13 49:6 50:15
overall 39:13	205:7 206:4	paragraphs	164:22 178:4	50:18,21 51:3,4,9
206:22	207:7	101:24 179:21	190:24 199:7	51:17,20 54:15
overlooked 78:13	pages 82:7,7 169:4	paraphrase 5:17	209:12	55:15 56:3 58:9
overreaching	185:15	parcels 72:14	partly 199:25	59:5,15 62:17,21
113:5	paid 44:6,6,14,25	73:17 75:3	partner 41:22	62:23 68:16 71:4
oversee 65:17 67:2	148:24	parents 124:1	118:14	72:1,19,23 73:5
oversight 39:13	painted 203:9	176:16	partnership 70:4	74:16,16,22
195:3	pair 150:16 151:2	part 2:7,8,10,11,11	Partridge 36:3,4	75:11 77:17
overview 177:13	pandemic 188:19	3:6,8 8:19 20:1	80:22	78:16 79:13,18
	Panorama 6:25			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 235
80:14,20 81:21	209:4 212:11	pick 68:10,13,15	20:15,22 21:12	18:11 20:11 22:3
81:25 85:9 89:11	periods 47:12 71:4	127:22 155:9	22:24 23:3,25	38:23 40:18
90:12 93:19 94:7	141:23	187:6 192:20	24:5,21 25:13,18	43:21 47:10 48:3
95:14,16 96:24	permanent 198:5	212:3	26:3,10,14 27:2	48:15 49:7 56:18
98:1 100:16	persist 195:10	picked 141:13	27:19,20 98:13	62:18,19 80:8
107:16 111:2,10	persisted 155:4	187:13	101:25 102:10	83:7 86:1 96:21
112:15 114:1	person 5:21,23	picking 144:15	134:4,6 139:11	99:12 103:2,12
123:2 127:17	47:3,7 66:25	186:24 187:20	145:25 159:21	114:20 115:12
128:7,9,17,22	80:14 95:6 104:2	picture 86:25	174:10 192:11,12	116:11 119:16,16
130:21 133:23,23	115:2,14 116:17	203:9	planes 191:3	131:20 135:19
134:17 138:22,23	117:2 119:9,17	piece 107:25	planned 10:4,8	146:22 148:5,5
142:24 154:5,20	119:17,19 123:11	209:11,21	14:24 66:24 67:6	170:20 174:23
154:22 157:20	123:21 126:6	Pierce 126:14	68:22 174:10	182:5 188:18
158:24 163:4,5,9	127:21,24 128:7	161:23 186:1	planning 174:3,4,4	189:1,3 198:6
164:1,25 170:21	132:16,24 152:21	pig 22:20	plans 27:9 135:8,9	199:2 206:13
172:9,10 173:11	172:7 179:24	pigs 74:18,19	135:20 139:6	pointers 90:2
177:5,6,8,12,22	180:1 182:15	pin 141:9	158:19	points 5:23 16:11
178:17 183:24	185:6,21,22	Pincus 118:2,16	play 11:22 12:17	42:17 45:24 62:6
187:1,10,12,16	186:3,4,10	119:4 126:15,16	41:10 72:22	68:14 72:9 97:15
187:20 188:3	200:11	place 27:19 35:16	124:2	98:14
190:23 191:3,6,8	person's 27:14	44:1 45:4 51:8	played 11:25	police 41:22 73:21
191:9,25,25	181:2	71:16 80:6,10	12:16,18,22	75:2 76:1 196:15
193:9 198:24,25	personal 40:11,18	81:10 83:8 92:5	please 1:9 7:17	policies 40:25 41:3
199:2,9,11	46:12 47:5 49:1	95:14 97:18,22	8:18 9:5 11:2	50:25 58:4
201:14 208:16	56:1 78:11	99:2,7 113:3	12:10,15,15,17	policy 95:3 99:19
211:21	132:19,20,21,25	115:15 118:18	12:20 29:7,13	100:3 146:2
perceive 42:18	133:2 147:5	121:20 129:11,25	42:9 54:22 59:21	162:23 163:18
perceived 161:24	149:7 154:19	134:6,9 139:8	82:3 88:6 94:22	polite 124:20
175:2,3	personally 52:10	140:16 150:11	97:12 101:14	political 190:25
perfectly 100:5	62:23 81:17 89:6	152:22 153:23	106:13 109:13	politically 191:20
101:5	147:6,9,12 166:6	154:3,20,24	115:22,25 117:17	politician 152:8
perform 62:9	183:19 207:23	157:7 160:22,25	118:1 124:15	poor 45:9 46:20
performance	personnel 199:21	161:1 162:5,19	126:12,25 127:3	population 41:6
61:15 62:22	persons 105:4	162:22 170:9,17 170:19 172:24	130:15 133:9	41:18 44:1 47:16
performing 62:12	110:15 164:15 175:7	170:19 172:24 173:18 185:22	138:12 140:7	47:25 48:6,22
perimeter 40:24 41:20	perspective 18:23		143:24 144:11 147:17 148:10	50:9 70:16,20 79:7 84:23 106:5
period 2:6,10 4:7	19:7	188:15 189:24 190:16 192:2,3	151:16,21 155:7	130:13 171:8
4:10 5:4 6:1,14	- ·	190.10 192.2,3	163:13 166:10	175:11 176:4,11
6:17 7:3,8,20,23	pervaded 155:4 Petherick 136:7	193.8,13,20	175:15 180:19,22	175.11 176.4,11
38:12 46:23 57:6	phone 67:5 184:4	placed 16:20	181:9,24 185:13	190:9 191:7
62:24 70:12 71:7	phone 07.3 184.4 phrase 137:21	164:13 179:24	188:5,7,9 197:15	209:7,15,25
74:5 75:20 80:6	physical 43:2,4	180:1,11	200:21 204:6	210:4
80:7,8,17 82:12	85:15 108:4	places 46:23 71:6	plus 171:1	populations
86:15 94:25	129:7	71:9,20 80:6	pm 94:13,18,20	175:19 177:15
105:3 146:16	physically 64:6	149:2 212:24	143:3,6,8 178:8	178:2 208:21
165:7 172:20	106:24	213:8	179:16,18 208:3	port 115:4
173:12 181:12,14	physiotherapist	placing 11:8,9	213:24	portion 50:7
193:18 201:14	114:24 115:7	plan 6:11 19:19,24	point 14:14 16:5	Portland 135:11
1/3.10 201.17	117.27 113.7	Pian 0.11 17.17,27	Point 17.17 10.5	1 VI Gang 133.11
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 230
ports 149:7	predeparture	37:6,17 41:24	31:11	151:6 161:21
position 2:13	38:25 39:4	42:4 69:1 81:24	privilege 47:2	procure 148:19
13:19 31:23	predict 174:18	131:12 154:25	privileges 45:5,6	produce 14:2
47:24 114:15	predominantly	197:22 203:3	45:16,23 46:10	209:17,22 210:15
141:8	3:23 17:21	211:4	privy 95:1	professional 6:24
positioned 73:16	prefer 178:24	principal 32:11	probably 33:1	7:1,5 169:14
positive 123:5	preference 179:10	192:25	34:15 37:18	200:3 206:12
124:10 144:1	preferred 64:5	print 169:10	46:21 55:21,23	211:12
147:20 158:21	137:22	prior 34:25 37:16	56:23 58:13,20	professionals
159:6,9 160:19	prepare 10:4	60:21 65:5 78:21	59:18 83:23 87:4	27:17 186:17
211:13	prepared 96:13,17	78:22 80:17	122:11 132:20	profile 167:25
possible 5:12 18:4	178:17,17	97:17	139:1 146:18	profiles 167:25
56:21 170:2	present 82:13	prioritising 168:18	150:24 152:5,11	212:25,25
181:8	177:15 178:9	prison 30:9 31:9	155:9 156:6	profiling 134:4
possibly 15:23	194:20 201:5	31:14 32:11 33:3	168:25 175:19	profit 60:11 61:10
203:5	208:21	33:4 35:3 37:18	176:9 187:23,24	174:20,21
post 6:25 30:18	presented 49:23	42:5,10,19,24	188:18 195:21	profitable 174:24
34:22 121:9	151:14 180:15	43:15,24 44:15	197:25 201:18	profits 175:5
197:18	presents 203:8	45:5,8,11 46:5	210:25 213:10	profits 1/3:3 programme 20:24
		48:4 50:8,13	Probation 30:10	21:2,8 93:8,22
post-Medway 203:21	pressure 84:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	pressures 84:16 85:1	51:7,18 52:23 53:3,4,7 54:4	problem 80:12	97:2,11,15,17,24 97:25 121:16
post-traumatic 118:9		55:1,11,23 60:1,6	85:6,7 106:16 138:25 161:16	141:2 177:2
	presumably 21:21 23:16 31:22	61:4 71:12 73:4	163:7 171:13	
poster 99:17	33:17 38:7 39:20		186:2 207:4	188:13 189:9,23
100:21,23,25 101:1	41:17 42:1 44:4	130:6,8,12 132:21,23 135:6		190:8 192:9,22 196:21 201:1
	49:11 51:24	135:11 158:18	problematic 162:14,17	
posters 99:18,22	52:14 70:20 85:1	163:23 164:5	problems 49:12	progress 32:21 progression 159:1
100:11 101:2,4,8	88:19 100:4,14	166:15 167:1	57:8 71:2 84:18	progression 139.1
101:9,10,11	169:9 183:4		166:16 167:2	-
posts 36:1	198:24 209:16	prison-like 129:22	177:4,22 186:24	project 134:7 prominent 145:5
potential 148:7	presume 21:22	prisoner 164:6	202:10,16	promise 179:4
potentially 4:5 17:21 24:12	121:3	prisoners 43:24,25	· ·	
26:17 57:21		44:15,17,22,23 48:4 51:9 53:3	procedure 108:25 170:9,17	promoted 1:24 proper 129:11
67:23 148:4	pretty 58:25 93:10 93:14 126:20	73:2 74:22	procedures 41:8	130:3
168:13 172:3,6,7	141:11,17,18	prisons 45:3,25	58:5 61:17 71:15	proportionate
174:5 213:1	160:21	51:21,23 56:22	95:13 96:14	9:10
Povey-Meier 1:4,5	prevails 153:2	59:25 60:18	process 27:12	propose 15:5
1:10,11,15 11:23	prevent 144:22	70:22,23,24 73:3	41:15 45:8 67:13	17:23 19:1
13:1 26:25 214:6	178:18	73:4 74:21	73:19 95:4 99:2	prosecutions
power 67:11	prevention 75:15	148:20,20,22	99:7 104:21	148:9
1 -	previous 34:1	155:11,17 158:17	123:8 139:11	
PPE 14:19,21,22 14:25	35:10,19 36:2,4	163:23 176:4	140:2 142:20	protect 181:21
practical 111:3,16	65:4,13 76:22	181:17 213:11,12	160:13,22 179:20	protected 105:17 protocols 41:8
111:20 120:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		186:7 187:8	58:5
	80:21 89:24,25 129:1 131:17	private 31:16	180: / 18 / :8	
practice 26:15		60:19 61:8,9		prove 75:13
pre-emptive 97:17	156:5 158:17	210:12 213:7,11	processes 24:9	provide 36:21
97:21	211:1	privately 31:17	45:4 64:8 113:3	76:16 110:3,5,8
precise 28:4	previously 6:23	privatisation	114:5 123:16	123:22 167:11
	l		l	

				Page 257
169:23 193:6	128:8 130:10	205:1,8,22,25	208:19	reading 111:11
211:17	132:10 133:10	206:5,16 207:9	200.17	142:11
provided 73:21	134:3,5,6,16,18	208:10 210:9	R	reads 166:15,17
76:2 86:25	134:21 135:25	212:6	race 151:10	ready 12:19
206:10	136:24 139:8	questioning	racism 90:18 91:3	175:22
provider 137:9	140:7,12 143:10	112:21	racist 195:8	real 74:13
189:2 190:12	143:15 144:11	questionnaire	202:24	realise 127:4
providers 39:25	157:6 162:18,22	106:1,10,11	rainy 125:18	realised 191:9
56:15	163:13 166:7	questionnaires	raise 21:25 27:24	realising 99:1
providing 62:16	171:16 185:14	106:6,9,12	64:13 96:3 99:6	realistic 172:15
117:19 134:20	188:6 189:13	107:16	114:3 166:4	reality 89:2
provision 117:14	191:3 192:9	questions 20:4	167:6 170:10	really 14:5 41:15
psychiatric 117:19	194:12 196:11,16	23:9 26:22,23,25	196:6 203:1,7	43:4,6 47:3
psychoactive	204:5 209:15	27:1 28:17 43:11	211:14	64:22 68:5 71:2
145:12	putting 123:11	83:25 90:4	raised 78:7,19,24	75:16 76:5 77:3
psychology 160:17	131:7 137:6	130:22 143:12	85:21 90:20	77:10 78:6 83:13
psychology 100.17 psychotic 71:4	141:16 191:6	157:19 163:15	92:23 95:2,5	84:4 89:7 95:12
PTSD 119:10,13		164:11 165:8,10	112:22 113:7,19	95:12 98:3 99:9
public 31:18	Q	165:24 167:9	119:8 122:11,19	125:21 133:16
161:11 191:2	Qayyum 140:6	178:11 200:5	122:22,22,25	144:1 157:19
210:11 213:10,11	quadrant 125:4	208:3,6,9 213:14	127:3,11 133:25	166:24 205:6,18
published 45:20	qualified 115:1	214:11,17	134:15 158:7	205:23 206:9
112:4 147:20	quarter 143:3	quick 150:19	163:20 167:4,6,7	213:20
160:9 164:19	quarterly 120:15	quickly 55:25	167:8 168:4	reason 20:22
188:9	queries 25:16 26:1	113:25 114:2,5	178:6 189:14	76:14 79:22
puddle 10:9	26:5 200:4	123:18,22 126:12	raises 113:18	204:21 205:1
pulled 157:14	question 7:2 13:24	128:1 165:15	raising 22:19	207:17
pulling 73:22	14:21 15:22 16:5	quite 15:23 23:20	77:18 112:25	reasonable 9:9
154:8	17:12,13 18:8	35:11 39:22 59:9	113:3 114:9	24:25 124:9
purely 129:12	19:4,13 23:1,9	74:21 75:10 78:8	117:14 123:1	132:14 139:1
purpose 99:1	25:25 26:21 28:1	83:23 89:6 91:12	124:21 126:23	192:14
161:10,18,24,24	52:13 54:22 63:6	94:2 113:17	194:24 195:24	reasonably 101:22
162:3	63:18,22 75:11	114:5,10,13	random 28:3,5	188:6
purposely 173:13	83:17,24 84:19	123:22 124:12	random/unrelated	reasons 8:20 38:21
purposes 31:22	90:2 93:17	127:4 140:14	199:18	76:15 129:12
149:18	106:14,19,23	141:6,14 143:23	rare 140:21	131:18 162:21,24
push 200:5	107:2,6 108:1,17	148:5 152:14	rate 106:9	204:10 205:9
pushed 157:14	119:3 120:12	154:21 157:3	re-opened 207:12	207:18
put 8:18 10:19	121:13,18 125:5	162:2 165:4	re-opening 207:16	rebidding 123:8
12:14 15:5,24	129:14 130:10	166:20 170:3	react 92:24	recall 21:16,17
17:24 22:23 25:5	139:16 140:8,9	175:11 176:6,9	reaction 70:25	23:12,15,17,21
31:11 36:1 44:2	140:12 141:4,10	177:7 184:7	72:2 126:12	27:23 37:25
64:22 77:17 78:4	141:16 145:12	187:9 191:24	201:9	58:20 63:5,12,14
80:8 82:3 87:9	146:5,12,16,18	202:19 207:13,13	read 8:22 43:9	77:25 83:22,22
87:11 88:5 90:12	151:23 152:18,21	quiz 210:19	45:21 90:16	84:4 87:2 91:6,7
96:9,9 97:5	152:25 157:25	quo 139:19 159:4	113:14 119:22	91:12,18 94:23
105:8 115:12	175:4,17,24	quote 6:14 15:3	120:1 139:3	101:13,15 102:12
118:21 123:15	177:1,14 184:7	135:3	143:16 166:13,18	109:8,12 126:2
126:11,13 127:17	184:19 201:22	quoting 162:9	166:22 190:14	134:13 137:19
	203:20 204:21		197:3 200:21	
	•	-		-

				Page 238
138:1,20 139:7	recruits 86:25	63:5 70:25 71:24	59:24	185:18
139:10 145:3	redeployed 64:25	72:23 79:5 85:5	released 10:18	remit 113:6
148:3,8 167:7	redo 35:14	90:9 111:8 116:7	relevant 2:6,10,11	127:10 210:17
198:3,6,17	reduce 168:12	123:13 125:25	4:7,10 5:4 6:13	212:2,2
200:24 201:6	reduced 44:11	127:10 130:4	6:17,19 7:3,8,20	remotely 54:10
200.24 201.0	reducing 147:22	134:9 147:5	7:23 26:16 27:16	remotely 54.10
207:20,25 209:2	reduction 86:13	150:3 151:4	38:11 57:6 63:22	42:10 46:6 122:1
receive 102:7	105:13 211:8	172:23	70:12 82:7 90:1	130:16 164:11
104:5,8 197:19	reductions 74:3	regime 25:1 27:21	94:25 104:1	180:8,13,21
received 90:23	refer 104:1 122:5	regimes 45:18	182:19 212:11	181:2
106:8 114:9	122:18 123:1	134:5	reliant 41:9	removals 161:18
190:23	127:16	registered 21:20	religion/religious	removals 101.18
receiving 98:21	reference 8:19	110:25	108:9	removed 10:17
reception 73:17	29:10 58:22	regret 198:22	relocation 180:16	45:16 136:25
75:3	59:14 85:25	regular 63:4	remainder 30:11	137:2,3
recognise 87:18,20	126:3 198:19	152:24	remained 30:18	removes 176:14
92:6 125:23	referencing	rehabilitation	31:20	renewing 213:4
186:13	190:17	49:25	Remains 21:13	repeat 25:25 52:12
recognised 73:3	referred 6:3 22:10	reject 104:24	remand 44:17,22	54:22 80:1
recognising 4:9	143:11 179:23	198:9,10	remarks 147:21	116:21
recollection	180:7 207:10	rejected 101:24	remember 3:4	repeated 125:6
100:21 137:16,17	referring 23:22	102:6	4:21 5:24,25	rephrase 200:5
141:19 150:12	26:1 54:7 120:21	relate 71:20 101:3	7:24 17:15 18:3	replaced 99:24
151:1 153:12	208:15	101:25 108:13	18:7,18,25 19:21	100:13
199:5 206:23	reflect 83:24	150:2	19:22,22 20:17	replies 104:7
recommend	reflection 88:21	related 28:1	20:21 21:6 22:2	reply 129:13
206:11	139:1 165:5	151:10 177:23,24	22:9,12,17,22,25	report 6:25 7:4,6
recommendation	refresh 30:3 42:15	189:22 190:7	23:7,10 24:1,23	7:14 8:18 11:3
104:9 136:23	refresher 198:13	relates 183:15	25:12,15 26:20	11:12 13:13 14:3
recommendations	refund 123:20	relating 20:12	28:5 57:2,3	16:25 17:1,2,25
101:16,22 102:2	refurbishment	180:8 188:13	62:24 63:16	39:11,13 62:2
102:5,24 103:2,9	64:16,17 83:10	relation 8:7 19:14	64:15 68:16	96:2,24 97:4
104:24 210:18	86:3,10 87:5	23:9 24:9,17	76:13 95:19	102:3,23 105:3,9
211:18	207:15	75:4 85:10 90:20	107:24 125:12,12	105:18 107:12
record 9:6 15:4,6	refuse 116:25	92:8 95:5 102:1	125:13,19 129:3	108:15,19 109:2
102:2 139:4	refused 9:1 10:15	158:2,4,23	131:12 136:10	112:6 122:7
184:23	16:19,24 18:14	188:18 208:10	140:4 144:21	130:23 136:22
recorded 98:16	24:20 106:11	210:9 212:7	149:19 150:23	147:18,20,24
194:18	regard 49:17	relations 121:24	151:17 153:13	153:9 160:9
records 146:20	126:11 134:4	relationship 79:17	161:5 175:9	164:18 188:7
184:24	211:23	115:16,17 120:3	191:7,22 197:21	193:3 197:2
recruited 139:20	regarded 51:9	120:13 122:7	197:23 198:1	203:4 211:4,18
recruiting 140:15	101:6 120:4	123:5 124:10	201:6,12,13	reported 13:17
141:6,14	regarding 7:3,5	128:15 166:2	203:14 204:2	88:17 105:19,20
recruitment	22:4 23:14 28:3	211:13	205:2,4,13	108:24 109:1,3
140:18 141:5,20	200:23 202:23	relative 94:7	206:18,20 207:3	127:10 136:20
141:22,24 142:10	212:12	relatively 93:25	207:16	196:19
142:13,15,20	regards 27:15,20	94:1 121:9	remembering 43:9	reporter 52:20
204:20	46:25 52:9 61:3	relaxed 51:22	remind 42:4	reporting 95:16,17
	ı	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>

				1 agc 237
127:24 188:8	55:18 70:25	82:24 149:5	121:12 124:16	18:13 68:6
196:8	71:13,14 110:3,6	195:15	rightly 126:2	123:23 132:17,24
reports 16:19	110:9 112:4,8,21	responsive 95:10	ring 157:18,22	137:23,25 138:2
17:20 18:6 27:13	110.9 112.4,8,21	rest 83:14 88:16	165:14	177:8 201:6
55:12 68:17 74:1	123:14 124:11	144:24 181:17	rings 18:9	room's 11:9
95:18,20,23,25	131:11 162:13,20	restrained 106:24	rise 178:20 195:22	rooms 129:24
	163:20 165:23		risk 10:11,20	
97:13 99:4,14		restraints 211:3,5 211:9	15:12,18,18,24	133:1 134:9,16 138:23 175:11
102:7 108:22	168:11,21 170:6		, , ,	
160:9 172:11	190:19,20,21	restrict 120:17	16:7,9,17 105:16	176:23 177:4
210:16 211:1	residents' 55:5	restricted 188:25	119:10 134:8	rough 198:19
represent 66:6,7	112:15	restrictions 188:20	171:17 172:22	Roughly 2:16
123:7	residents/staff	result 45:15	risks 208:15	round 153:1 156:6
representation	97:24	101:17 137:4	RMNs 21:13,19	197:24,24,25
9:18	resolve 79:19	results 48:11	road 138:6	198:1,1
reproach 199:3	resolved 80:19	75:16,16	roadmap 159:22	routine 147:7,8
request 8:24 9:23	resort 8:25 49:9,16	retention 24:3	159:24	routinely 211:22
118:12 119:10	resource 79:12,13	retirement 40:4	robust 57:10	rub 130:19
206:1,2 207:8	146:24 148:20	return 179:15	rock 88:12	rule 179:20,24
requested 143:20	171:14,21	returned 10:15	rogue 75:6	180:1,3,11,18,21
205:17 206:25	resources 146:23	106:12 123:3	role 2:21 3:8,8,9	180:22,23 181:13
207:1	169:25 170:1,2	150:22 192:1	3:12,17,20,25	182:13,17 185:3
requesting 207:11	212:19	returning 2:13	17:16 20:1,10	185:4,7,9 189:18
requests 122:21	resourcing 212:21	107:16	22:5 27:5 31:6	rules 50:25
162:25	respect 88:24	returns 106:21,22	32:17,20 35:22	rumours 121:21
required 60:18	89:10 106:20	107:9	37:6,20 38:2,5	run 31:16,17 63:9
66:25 67:10	108:12 175:25	review 63:4 69:12	39:7,15,16 40:2,5	84:5 89:23 98:23
73:12 167:13	respected 91:20	69:17 70:5	40:11,16,16,17	156:19 205:21
182:2 183:14	128:11	reviewed 180:4	41:24 42:4 58:8	run-up 80:7
184:11,18	respectfully 94:9	reviewing 17:10	58:12 60:23 62:5	rung 184:5
requirement	respects 122:14	reworded 84:21	65:3,9,11,12,20	running 39:14
132:22	156:2 213:3	right 3:1 4:6,12	65:21 66:18	65:18 79:7 93:10
requirements	responded 185:5	5:15 8:16 13:6	69:15 94:25	Ryan 8:13 11:3
198:7	respondents	14:15 18:24	110:15 111:15	17:23,24 18:10
requires 114:23	106:11	20:24 21:5 45:9	112:10 120:17	
reschedule 116:6	response 15:7	49:6 56:8 64:21	182:13 187:19	<u>S</u>
research 57:5	45:24 90:23	68:2,7 70:7	197:21 198:5,7	safe 105:15 107:22
185:18	106:2,9 117:7	82:17 91:12	204:23	170:6,16 188:10
reside 168:21	165:15 170:9,10	106:2,13 113:17	roles 3:20 32:10	188:14 189:20,24
residence 32:23	170:14,17 200:4	114:10 115:3,14	44:7 49:24 66:1	190:16 193:3,6
resident 14:4	responses 102:8	118:1,22 119:9	69:2	212:15
15:11,21 27:7,21	104:16 105:25	119:11,12 127:17	roll 125:3	safeguarding 2:13
113:2,22 114:22	responsibilities	127:21,24 128:6	rolled 160:6	2:20,23 20:1,10
116:22 174:15	39:1 86:21	128:7 144:8	Ronnie 200:9	20:23 22:5 39:12
resident's 54:9	responsibility	154:14 157:3	room 9:1 10:9,10	112:25 113:23
residential 2:1	46:18 47:6 56:9	160:12 166:1	10:10,12,15,17	114:2,5 148:14
138:13 167:13	62:3 103:13,20	167:22,23 182:11	11:17,18,20 12:4	175:6
residents 27:8	154:7 181:6	185:16,19 190:25	13:4 14:9,16	safer 32:22 115:18
39:12,20 43:22	responsible 38:24	203:23	15:4,10,10,15,21	172:25
44:7 48:9 51:23	39:9 40:23 41:5	right-hand 119:1	16:9,23 17:7	safety 10:20 11:7
				36:25 64:23
	I	I	I	I

				Page 240
00.25 00.11	126.20 120.12 21	140.15 16	02.12 02.7 07.12	121.16 174.22
88:25 89:11 107:6 127:19	126:20 128:13,21	149:15,16	82:13 83:7 87:13	131:16 174:22 180:12
171:8	139:13 142:5,6	searching 145:24	88:9 98:3,5,8 105:12,23 114:24	sentenced 47:12
sake 178:19	154:16,16 157:9 160:23 162:4	146:2,9 147:1,6,7 147:8	119:1 121:13	sentenced 47.12 sentences 39:6
	170:15 173:17	second 12:3 14:5	140:8 143:5	131:10
sample 106:7 sampling 105:25	193:19 198:1,3	119:14 138:11	145:11 155:13	sentiment 88:19
Sampling 103.23 Sandra 115:5	200:16 202:18	148:14	157:18 158:16	
Sanura 113.3 Sara 82:16	205:17,17 206:3	seconded 36:19	175:16 180:21	separate 42:22 74:21 150:5
Sarah 38:19,22,24	209:18	76:15	181:10 183:12	
39:1,5 66:9,9	says 24:24 52:24	secondly 43:10	185:19 186:2	separation/isolat
82:16 174:21	88:10 90:22	45:3	193:20 203:3	September 19:20
sat 122:9 157:16	105:18 111:11	secondment 2:12	204:20 205:19,20	21:11 23:13
188:3	113:11 120:2,12	2:15 37:25	204.20 203.19,20 206:9 207:7	64:18 97:8
satellite 168:10	143:17,25 145:13	seconds 12:17	213:21	143:16 207:2,15
satisfied 25:17	165:5 179:22	15:17,22 16:12	seeing 17:15 37:19	SER000455 29:10
26:2 169:10	180:23 181:20	•	seek 27:15 182:1	SER000455 29.10 SER000456 1:12
184:20	183:12 195:21	Secretary 180:24 181:6,7	183:14 184:11,17	Serco 3:14 4:11,23
satisfy 183:23	198:11 200:21	secreted 73:8	seeking 206:18	4:24 30:11,14,16
184:8,12,19	scale 96:12	section 106:13	seen 9:2 13:5 52:6	31:7 34:2 40:8
Saunders 37:4,5	scene 182:15 200:9	109:14	52:9 68:2,3,4	129:16 159:10
37:22 38:13 59:8	schedules 61:16	sector 31:9,18 55:7	71:2 78:6,8 80:1	160:19,23 169:7
59:9 63:10 74:9	scheme 46:10 47:2	60:19 210:11,12	85:11 91:21	170:23 189:2
77:9 90:20,21	95:20	213:7,10,11,12	92:11,14 93:7	190:12 192:2,3,4
93:18 102:20	scrawled 99:19	secure 41:1,7	96:10 101:2,4,8	193:11
103:5,6,15,17	screen 8:18 15:6	46:13 153:5	110:11 114:17	series 103:22
105:5,0,15,17	82:4,9 88:6 97:6	210:11 212:8,16	141:7 164:8	serious 4:6 90:25
121:6,8 151:16	105:8,11 118:21	security 6:24 7:5	166:8	91:13,25 95:23
183:7 195:3	126:11 140:7	28:11,12,13	self-harm 135:17	127:5 189:14
200:25 201:10	143:14 144:11	30:24 31:1,24	186:3 189:16	seriously 91:24
203:2,7 206:21	166:7 179:21	32:22 33:25 34:3	self-harming 57:9	seriousness 9:11
Saunders.' 121:4	184:15 185:15	35:10 36:5,20,21	self-harms 191:11	serve 43:16
saw 14:14,20 15:1	188:6 194:12	36:25 39:12 40:2	self-searched 28:9	served 47:19
17:3 52:14 77:22	204:5	40:10,10,14,16	send 43:22 127:20	servery 44:8
80:16 105:11	screening 114:17	40:21,24 41:3,6	127:21	serves 56:25
114:13 124:13	scroll 148:12	41:19 64:23	sends 118:3	150:14 153:9
158:16 201:7	scrolling 204:19	73:11,18 76:5	senior 32:11 36:17	159:8 196:22
206:9	scrotum 21:4	82:20 88:25	81:8 91:2 104:17	service 30:10 31:9
Sayers 8:13 11:7,8	SDT 6:4,4,5,8	117:6 144:15,24	165:17 195:4	39:25 42:5 51:18
11:15 12:4 16:18	SDTs 5:22	147:13 148:11	205:15	52:23 53:3,7
16:20,20,22 17:1	Sean 8:13 11:12	181:21 197:19,22	sense 23:9,11,14	54:4 55:1 61:4
17:6 18:10,12	12:4 16:20,20	208:24 210:13	61:21 68:22	109:21 110:2
Sayers' 11:12	17:1 18:10,12	see 7:1 13:2,10	sensed 199:20	117:19 132:21
saying 5:24,25	search 28:5 71:11	14:12 16:25 25:2	sensible 10:1	137:9 158:18
7:13 53:11 54:16	146:3 147:10,11	25:7,17 26:2	138:3,21	services 21:16
56:2,19 68:6	searched 28:7 73:9	31:22 39:6 46:13	sent 84:6 99:7	62:13,16 71:22
74:6 87:18 90:25	145:22,24	47:5 48:16 51:10	126:19 207:11	123:12,13 129:20
91:9 92:19 95:21	searches 7:22,24	53:13 63:25	sentence 11:4,13	142:19 180:19
100:18 107:16	7:25 28:3,10	66:17 68:2 74:23	45:7 47:20 48:7	209:11
114:11 121:5	145:14,16 146:11	78:15 80:4 82:12	83:14,18 89:12	serving 168:8
	ĺ		ĺ	
	I	I	I	I .

				Page 241
session 12:9,12,13	short-term 130:16	162:9	02.16 04.14 21	159:2 177:19
12:24,25	130:20 131:8,23	single 133:1	93:16 94:14,21 96:13 101:11	201:14 210:23
sessions 165:23	shorter 179:12	188:24	107:11 109:6	211:24 212:10,17
set 43:7,16 50:25	shortlisted 75:19	sink 10:11	111:11 112:12	snitch 90:18 99:20
61:17 101:21	shortly 159:16	sir 17:15 18:3,7,16	118:16 119:7,21	100:7
110:2,7 144:6	Shout 54:14	19:17 20:17,21	120:22 122:4	social 21:13 110:3
147:8 160:5	show 124:17	20:25 21:6,18	124:25 125:13	110:8 123:14
187:7 194:17	178:14 179:23	22:2,9,12,15,17	124:23 123:13	152:5 153:3,16
sets 4:14 111:22	showed 10:11	22:18,22,25 23:7	131:20 132:11	188:25
143:19	showed 10.11 shown 186:24	23:10,15,20 24:1	133:3 142:2	societies 46:12
setting 49:4 55:23	shows 100:24	24:15,23 25:2,7	143:11 144:10	soften 130:1
settings 210:11,11	191:15	25:12,15,21,25	151:9 157:25	softly 54:13 133:8
210:12 212:8,16	Shrewsbury 33:4	26:20 73:19 96:9	159:16 166:8,14	137:20,20
settle 58:11	shut 15:16 16:13	sit 11:19 124:5	169:2 172:22	sole 189:10
seven 140:20,24	64:21 86:2 91:1	154:6 178:18	178:12,23 179:8	solicitor's 10:2
severe 186:23	135:11,21 206:25	196:6 198:25	179:11 182:8	solicitors 9:17
Shadbolt 8:12	sick 155:23 156:4	213:15	183:18 185:8	somebody 36:9
11:16	156:15,18,20	site 33:8 64:23	188:5 193:1	47:5 53:7,13
shaken 125:19,21	157:3,5,9,17	69:9,10,18 82:24	194:3 196:2,20	58:23 74:7 75:12
Shane 8:14 14:1	sickness 155:22	115:17 164:23	197:20 199:10	78:11 91:23,24
shape 46:18	158:4,5 173:8	183:24	200:1,8,12,25	114:14 116:18
share 86:21	sicknesses 158:2	sites 37:13 39:10	201:10,23 203:2	123:17 127:20,22
138:25	side 11:10 59:19	64:4,16 66:2	203:8,24 205:16	128:10 129:25
shared 51:19	119:1 121:12	70:15 133:1	206:17,23 207:14	138:1 147:11
146:7,8	124:16 128:9	209:6	208:6 213:13	155:23 162:24
sharing 175:11	sign 24:20 103:8	situation 9:11	214:13	172:19 173:22,23
Shaw 133:24	103:11	141:16 172:1	slightly 13:20 19:4	173:25 182:16
136:20 137:4	signed 24:22	182:12 183:11	44:10 84:21	185:23 186:21,22
Shaw's 137:7	134:24 159:8	184:3 199:12	130:10 165:1	187:2,4 193:17
Shayne 149:22	significant 164:21	205:20 212:22,23	slippery 14:6	193:17,24 209:20
150:8,13	signpost 113:25	situation' 127:5	slower 168:15	soon 86:10 181:7
she'd 115:5 149:4	128:1	situations 49:22	SLP 6:6,9,11	204:15
sheet 68:1	signposted 115:14	191:25	small 46:14 111:19	sorry 28:14 31:4
shield 11:8,15	signposting 111:10	six 58:13 106:25	165:3 188:16	34:5 37:11 52:11
16:20	123:14	107:4,23 131:2,2	189:6,25	54:22 65:10 69:6
shields 18:23	signs 4:9 103:2	131:3 140:20,24	smattering 78:14	78:23 84:15
shift 5:14 8:4	186:13,20 187:20	skeleton 64:22	SMT 34:8 35:2	102:18 103:17
98:18 173:14,15	silence 195:10	skills 49:13 154:10	36:18,18,20 59:7	110:20 117:15
173:23,24 204:23	silence' 195:1	Skipping 180:12	77:5 79:6,15	132:12 133:7,10
shifted 189:4	silly 100:17	Skitt 5:19 29:1,5,8	82:12 84:5 92:9	133:17 135:1
ship 81:12	similar 3:20,22	29:9,15 34:17	93:4 95:22	139:17 142:10
shock 52:13	42:24 43:16	39:7 42:9 43:8	102:15,19,22	144:13 145:10
Shocked 121:20	53:23 71:15 85:8	46:9 49:11 50:5	103:23,23,24	156:17 158:1
shocking 52:9	115:21 116:14	51:25 53:12	104:3,13,14	160:15 162:2
93:11,14	148:23 153:20	54:12 60:4 62:19	109:10 133:25	163:22 170:2
short 29:3 66:24	160:3 176:3	63:7 72:8 77:21	138:9 144:12,24	192:17 197:10
68:4 94:19 143:7	212:22,23	79:3 80:14 81:14	152:14,16 154:5	sort 57:9 111:22
150:18 179:17	simply 25:19 46:6	82:15 89:4 90:14	154:6,8,14,25	204:17 206:5,19
206:3	111:11 151:1	90:24 92:22	155:1 156:10	sorts 41:21 99:20

				Page 242
158:3	spoken 51:21	107:7,7,17,17	stand 11:17	200:24
sought 190:22	54:13 67:19	108:3,18,24	103:12 131:23	status 139:19
sound 40:21 78:8	78:13 97:20	116:10 126:19	standard 192:14	159:4
79:2 89:5 141:20	spontaneous 66:23	127:9 139:18,20	standards 61:17	statutory 56:9
sounds 7:13 10:1	182:14 185:5	140:12 141:15,21	172:23	88:7 210:17
14:14 17:12	sports 149:2	141:23,24 142:2	stands 79:21	stay 9:17 35:5 54:9
24:16 89:3 127:4	spotted 10:9	144:18,20 145:14	start 3:3 12:7,15	stayed 31:23
169:12,17	squabbles 79:11	145:15,16,17,17	34:17 43:10	153:10 213:19
space 172:20	square 197:25	145:18,24 146:1	55:11 58:13	steam 14:12
spaces 135:12	SS 97:14 98:13,21	146:3,9,11,23,24	102:8 198:14	stem 72:5
spare 213:14	147:19	147:1,1,6,7	204:8	step 40:4 72:15
speak 8:24 54:12	stabilise 81:12	149:15 156:21,22	started 1:19 3:14	step-up 204:17
54:16 59:15 96:6	stability 36:21	156:24 157:3,7,8	34:23 59:23	Stephen 29:5,8
96:7,8 99:18	76:17 153:19	157:21 158:7	60:17 88:13	133:24 136:20
100:3 101:1,4,8,9	Stacie 38:20,21	159:20,23 160:2	116:1 129:7	137:4,7 205:16
101:12 116:12	65:24 66:9 80:24	160:7,12 165:8	131:25 163:2	214:13
130:1 133:8	80:25 82:15	165:14 167:19,25	168:11 207:4	stepped 117:17
156:16 191:9	201:5	168:1,5,6,9,16	starting 2:17	stereotyping
195:8 196:17	staff 5:9 7:4,6,14	170:6,9,11,13,16	12:20 138:21	177:11,16 178:5
speaking 18:21	7:19,22 8:3	170:18,20,21	starts 40:24 41:19	Steve 5:19 82:15
19:11 24:8 98:22	19:10 20:19 23:3	171:7,11,16	state 38:10 165:6	93:16 117:13
specific 19:23 24:9	23:8 24:10,11,13	172:4,8,11,16	180:24 181:6,7	119:7 120:22
24:16 91:6 94:23	27:13 28:3,5,12	173:13,15,16,19	stated 98:1 123:12	122:4 125:13
113:3 134:12	28:13 39:20	173:19,21 174:10	statement 1:11,13	166:14,18 197:20
160:4 163:7	41:17 48:20 51:5	174:13,16 177:19	1:16,18 8:8 15:7	198:14 199:15,17
201:12 212:2,12	51:5,10,12 55:19	181:23 186:16	16:4 17:25 29:9	199:21,22 200:1
specifically 24:11 107:24 142:11	56:4,20 57:15,15	188:22 189:13	29:17,19,23 32:9	200:8,25 201:10
	63:25 64:4,9,13	193:2,9 194:20	36:23 37:24	203:2 Stavels 109:16
specification 130:12 161:3	64:19,22,25 67:9	194:23 195:7,15	42:12,16 45:19 45:21 57:22	Steve's 198:16
	67:18,21 68:11 68:16 71:14	195:17,23 198:15	59:21 65:1 70:10	Stewart 1:4,5,10 214:6
213:5 specifics 63:5	72:25 73:12,16	199:6,16 202:10 202:23,24 203:12	78:4 89:3 92:8	sticking 173:2,4
specified 193:13	73:17,20 75:1,4,6	202.23,24 203.12	94:21 101:15	Stoke 33:4
speculate 146:19	75:19,25 76:24	208:1,2 212:14	110:11,22 112:12	Stokes 204:1,2
speculating 80:15	83:9,12 85:17,19	staff' 111:20	113:11 119:3,5	207:22
speed 43:9	85:20,21,22,25	staff/group 108:3	124:24 125:5	stop 73:10 153:25
speed 43.9 spell 36:23	86:1,9,11,14,16	staffing 72:24 76:5	124.24 123.3	181:17 187:23
spending 131:9	86:19,20,20,22	85:21 86:6,12,14	133:12,19 136:9	stopped 188:25
spent 2:19 37:14	86:24 87:3,7,12	86:17 87:9,20,22	139:5 149:18	stopped 188.23 stopping 12:21
107:3 156:1	87:15,16,17,21	133:15 134:5,22	158:13 159:7	43:1 148:7
spheres 81:20	87:25 88:3,14,18	139:6,12 141:10	164:8 165:14	stories 52:25 74:16
spice 8:2,4 21:3	89:7,20 90:2,5,6	159:18 167:9,15	167:10 169:4	story 199:13
70:14	91:20 92:15,24	169:18,22 170:8	179:21 191:1	straight 67:13
spike 141:4	95:2,10,18,18,23	172:23 192:14	194:11,16,17	126:5 211:16
split 33:8 38:17	96:2,4,8,10 97:19	193:7,12 212:21	197:14,17 203:4	strain 74:15
173:19	98:9,9,20,21 99:3	213:6,12	203:15	strands 50:4
spoke 88:11 140:6	99:3,6,8,18 100:4	stage 22:13 72:19	statements 191:3	strangely 115:1
179:2 206:17,18	105:18,21 106:14	79:8	states 71:4	strategy 49:25
208:14	106:15,19,24	stale 149:10	stating 15:2	161:10 198:8
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
L		I	<u> </u>	l

		_	_	1 age 243
stress 118:6,9,13	suggesting 21:4	26:14 27:2,9,19	systemic' 195:21	127:8 164:9
189:14	98:8 207:22	27:20	systems 24:19 64:8	201:17 204:22
stresses 205:18	suggestions 143:21	supporting 160:2	95:17 105:17	talking 19:8 43:2
strictly 24:8	suggests 91:19	supporting 100:2	189:13	48:9 49:8 52:14
104:14	92:1 98:24	207:21 208:1,2		55:4 57:19 70:20
strident 57:10	suicidal 57:19	suppose 71:9	T	75:20,21 79:15
Struck 121:1	187:21 189:16	193:22	tab 29:25,25	80:6 84:16,18
structure 34:8	suicide 186:3	supposed 6:25 7:4	151:20	85:13 95:22,25
38:13	187:11	68:8 79:21 137:8	tables 146:7	101:11 105:3
struggle 163:21	suit 134:16	sure 10:19 25:5	tabs 82:5	111:10 124:18
struggling 54:13	suit 154.10 suitable 129:24	59:19 62:21	take 1:17 10:1,16	126:16 131:13
206:10	180:10	68:12 85:9 90:16	25:1 28:23 35:16	141:1 158:9
stuck 172:24	suitably 193:9	92:9 93:8,19	38:22 46:18	160:20 163:19
stuck 1/2.24 stuff 89:4	suited 153:15,21	103:10 127:3	56:23 79:13 82:5	171:11 175:9
style 151:23 155:1	173:2	136:15,16 146:6	86:23 101:21	198:17 200:12
166:4	summarise 9:11	146:17 153:23	107:14,15 118:25	202:15 203:20
subculture 70:12	162:11 167:24	154:2 156:14	119:24 127:12	205:10 212:10
145:6	summary 8:23 9:3	158:6 159:13	130:22 137:8	213:7
subject 47:13,14	9:4,13 10:25	160:18 161:11	139:18 152:15	talks 110:23
84:24 105:16	11:1 105:22,24	166:20 173:14	154:6 158:12	111:12
188:20	155:6	177:13 179:9	162:17 165:10	tall 52:24
			173:8,9 174:13	
subjected 7:25	summer 3:5	190:17,18 202:3	176:2,3 179:14	tantamount 125:8
subjective 174:23	153:11 173:12	203:19,25 206:16	180:17 191:3	128:13
submit 162:25	Sunday 28:9	207:13,13 208:19	193:24 197:1,18	target 147:11
submitted 77:6	superiors 61:23	surprise 210:23	203:23 205:19	Tascor 10:3,21
78:2,16,17 134:6	supervises 164:5	surrounding 139:7	taken 5:2 11:21	tasked 19:22
Subparagraph	supervising 8:9	survey 105:4,5,6	15:16,22 16:11	tasks 120:19
181:3	17:16,19	105:14,19,23,23	78:1,2 91:24	taught 14:24
subsequently 35:4	supervision 50:1	105:25 106:2,4	95:10 97:18,22	team 10:4,6,7,11
123:20 128:4	supervisors 55:13	108:15,21,22	99:23,25 100:12	10:19,21 11:7,15
substances 145:12	supply 114:20	130:22	105:10 125:1	13:8,17,18,20
substantial 50:7	129:20	surveys 159:20	134:9 135:17	14:16 15:15,24
131:9	support 22:24	suspect 166:7		16:10 25:17 26:2
substantiated	27:17 36:19	178:24 200:14	137:7 142:3 152:13 154:3,20	27:18,24 36:17
202:22	55:25 73:22	suspended 98:9,10	157:22 182:14	69:19 73:11,18
succeeded 81:12	79:23 110:3,5,5,6	suspicious 120:16		81:10,23,25 82:2
successful 37:7	110:8 111:3	120:20	taker 84:3	83:4 103:25
38:3	114:22 116:10	sworn 1:5 29:5	takes 200:11	107:23 111:19
succession 150:19	117:21 123:6,11	81:15 150:9,14	talk 19:6 45:18	115:17 117:1
sudden 141:4	123:25 124:2	214:7,13	48:18 51:19 57:7	126:20 148:6
174:11	142:19,19 157:6	symptoms 4:9	58:23 59:12	152:18 160:1,1
suffer 85:9	166:21 187:19	118:6	76:12 89:25	165:3,4 181:15
suffered 195:24	189:18 193:8	Syred 90:15,22,25	90:11 93:3 95:20	195:4
suffices 144:7	206:8	91:9,19 96:22	117:22 126:4,5	teams 10:16 81:23
sufficient 100:1	supported 6:11	100:8	156:2 174:20	164:23 165:15
sufficiently 93:24	19:18,24 20:15	system 8:2 46:5	183:25 186:15	teamwork 89:1
suggest 75:8 143:3	20:22 21:11	69:23 98:15,22	187:13 201:13	Technically
suggested 5:19	23:25 24:5,21	99:1 167:1	211:14	168:20
16:22 17:24	25:13,18 26:3,9	systematic' 195:21	talkative 152:14	teeny-weeny
			talked 126:1,2	
	•	•	•	•

				Page 244
179:11	thing 16:16 18:12	85:2 87:9,10	197:16 201:18	38:20 40:3 45:12
tell 9:12,23 23:18	57:9 68:8 77:2	89:8,9,9,14 92:2	205:14 206:17,20	46:23 47:15
27:11 42:18 50:7	91:13 92:20	92:8 94:2,9,9	206:24,24,24,25	48:14,15 49:12
52:21 80:14	104:5 161:13	95:15,16 96:2	207:21,23,24	49:12 51:11,11
100:1 109:11	179:19 196:3	97:2,25 99:4	208:1,2 209:9,10	52:7 54:3,25
118:20 132:18	200:20 201:21	104:15,15 108:12	209:10,13 211:3	56:12,12,24
133:12 135:13	204:17	109:9 110:11	211:11,11 212:18	58:16 59:2 62:24
159:16 162:10	things 23:17,18	111:8 113:10,16	213:2,5,15,17	63:1,7 64:14
205:8 208:22	29:15 38:7 41:3	114:6 115:18,20	thinker 152:10	65:24 71:5,7,21
telling 31:17 42:1	43:10 44:13	116:1,17,18	thinker 132.10 thinking 63:16	71:22 74:7,15
49:11 53:2,6	45:12 52:9,22	118:18 121:8	93:7 103:18	76:9,18 79:12
120:3 129:7	53:22,23 54:2,24	122:13 123:2,6	thinks 103:16	80:18 81:21 82:4
142:1 166:23	57:20 66:15	124:1,17,20	third 12:5 47:10	82:23 86:6,24
temporary 20:1,10	68:15 90:11 92:4	126:1 127:6,7,14	47:10 98:23	87:4 94:5,13,24
35:21 180:22	95:21 100:17	128:2 129:11	thought 38:6 88:3	96:21 98:1
ten 67:4 155:9,13	109:17 124:4,17	130:3,11,14,15	95:14 112:16,16	100:22,25 101:21
157:16	128:13 144:7,9	131:13,17 132:1	124:9 128:16	105:2 106:4
tend 84:6 210:23	152:7,11,12	131:13,17 132:1	134:20 151:6	107:12 111:24
211:13,24	154:7 155:25	134:8,10,14,15	166:3 167:5	117:10 118:10,13
tended 177:5	156:5 166:18	135:3,4,7,7,8,12	168:22 186:6	126:22 128:5
term 32:6 135:9	168:15,16 176:23	137:19 138:3,20	199:4	130:24 132:14
terms 3:22 6:22,23	191:20 194:7	138:21,22 139:20	threat 125:18	135:3,18 136:14
7:7 8:2 13:2 17:4	196:3 197:12,15	139:22,22,23,23	threatened 120:23	136:15 139:11
18:10 22:3 23:11	190.3 197.12,13	141:12 142:12,13	128:14	141:23 143:18
24:2,3,8 26:7	212:3	142:15 143:17	threatening	145:24 147:13
41:6 46:4 47:10	think 4:15 5:8 6:8	146:12 148:7,25	125:17 126:4,6	148:11,22 150:20
57:10 167:15	6:13 12:19,23	140:12 148:7,23	three 3:1,10 48:14	150:21 152:1
179:13 195:8	14:22 15:1 29:11	151:4 153:15,19	88:16 130:25	150:21 152:1
198:7 200:3	29:22 35:24 36:3	153:21 154:2,5	131:1 133:25	156:1 157:22
terribly 68:4	36:7 37:16,18	154:19,19 155:1	134:17 140:22,23	158:6 160:20
test 74:17 134:11	38:21 40:18,19	156:13,14,18	171:1 174:13	165:11 167:12
text 118:25	46:10,12,12,14	157:1,7 158:4,5,6		168:16 170:10
TH 148:16	46:19,25 48:8	161:2,10,12,15	three-bedded	172:20 173:25
thank 1:11,14	49:2 50:5,13,16	161:18,20 162:6	138:23 176:22	177:18 185:10,19
11:21 12:23	50:23 51:2,3,5,9	162:12,15,17,21	three-person	185:22 188:4
26:22,24 28:1,17	51:12,16 54:5,6,6	163:1,3,8,8,10,18	177:4	191:5,22 192:19
28:18,21 29:14	54:7 55:4,6	163:19 164:16,20	throw 176:19	195:15,16 196:7
82:10 94:15,16	57:14 58:1,11,12	165:2,4,22	thrown 174:12	197:24 201:12,14
94:17 143:5,5,13	58:12,17,18,18	167:21,24 168:1	Thursday 1:1	205:15 206:13,24
143:18 158:13	60:20 62:11,11	171:10 172:7	tick 25:3	207:19 209:4
208:5 210:6,7	64:13,14 65:21	173:1 177:1,11	ticked 24:24 25:3	213:1,6
212:6 213:13,18	70:3,21,22 71:1	177:17 183:18	tier 77:2	time-served 70:16
213:20	71:20,21,21	185:22,23 186:4	tight 167:18,20,21	time.' 121:21
thanking 123:5	72:18 74:1,1	186:12,14 187:2	time 3:4 4:2,2	time: 121.21 timely 128:7
therapeutic	75:4 76:22,23,25	187:4,18 188:1	15:19 16:17	times 52:21 61:18
117:19	77:21 80:18,19	190:16,18,24	18:20 19:1 20:7	67:4 71:19
thereabouts 86:25	80:19,20 81:4,4	190:10,18,24	20:11 22:6 23:20	100:20 142:14
they'd 172:13	81:12,15,22 82:1	191:1,1,5,7,25	25:3,9 29:24,24	147:9 157:13
177:23 190:22	83:3,18 84:2,11	194:3 196:4	30:3,4,4 35:18,20	167:17 168:7,8,8
111.23 170.22	05.5,10 07.2,11	177.3 170.7	30.3,7,7 33.10,20	107.17 100.7,0,0
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 245
1.000.00	l	l	l	l .
168:8 170:7	topic 42:8 70:7	tribunal 9:19,20	140:24 149:19	172:9,10 196:9
191:24	98:4 109:13	tried 83:24 87:11	150:5 167:13,24	197:11 200:12,16
timescales 131:11	133:22 171:10	135:16 168:5	168:1,20 169:1	understanding
Timms 8:15 14:2	188:5 199:18	172:8 212:18	170:23 179:21	14:8 24:2 27:12
tinderbox 85:3	topics 178:12	trigger 5:23	204:10	35:19 36:8 50:21
Tinsley 38:18,23	total 106:8 201:9	triggers 118:9	two-19:2	51:1 57:14 58:9
39:4,5 42:20	totally 65:9,11	true 21:9,10	two-day 4:11,23	58:13 71:24
63:17,21,25 64:2	192:3	trust 56:16,17	4:24	103:11 112:3
64:4,7,10,17,19	touch 62:6	98:15	type 74:11 177:22	116:8 131:22
64:21 65:24 83:9	touched 75:1,3	truth 53:6	types 50:17 70:15	137:5 160:7
83:12 86:1,2,12	83:11 144:10	try 38:7 48:20 72:5	71:1 112:17	182:8 186:25
86:16,20,24 87:3	toxic 92:5,7,7,9,16	72:23 76:7,8	144:17 210:13	209:16
87:12 88:17	toying 125:16	130:1 138:2	U	understands 197:7
118:8 126:25	track 142:6 175:19	147:11 153:18		understood 7:10
138:6 205:11	tracking 141:22	159:21 165:11	UK 148:16 189:5	42:2 51:13 72:19
206:1,6,25	tracts 120:1	199:1 201:19	190:22	74:13 112:10
207:10,11,12	trading 63:4	207:20 208:6	ultimate 104:23	142:4
tireless 73:10	train 71:22	209:13	ultimately 39:10	undertaken 4:25
tirelessly 72:5,8	trained 193:10	trying 40:19 49:6	51:2 61:9 62:3	uneducated
144:22	training 2:23 4:3,4	58:20 79:19	102:10 103:4	161:13
title 83:3	4:7,16,25 5:1,2,8	81:10 83:3 109:8	104:25 117:3	unfairly 151:12
titles 65:22	20:9,12 27:16	115:12,12,20	134:22	unforeseeable
today 6:17,18	57:17,18 64:2	129:25 157:12,15	unaware 53:17,18	174:5,7
192:2 213:19,21	119:13 145:17,19	160:23 162:11	92:19 203:16	unfortunately
toilet 172:10,14,21	148:17 149:8,12	171:18 172:15	unbeknown	12:10 23:20
told 6:23 9:14,21	153:5 160:3	177:13 178:5	195:20	186:8
18:12 52:20 57:7	186:12,15,16,19	202:13 207:20	uncertainty 48:12	Unhappily 124:12
63:2 65:23 67:4	204:8	Tulley 52:20 53:2	unchallenged	unhappiness 63:2
75:1,2 76:14	trainings 4:14	99:17 100:1,22	195:23	unhappy 77:17
86:5 90:19 93:23	transcribed 6:1	194:9,17 196:5	unchecked 195:5	unhealthy 79:18
133:5 146:21	transcribers 36:24	196:18	uncomfortable	uniform 32:16
172:14 185:17	178:21 179:14	Tulley's 100:9	96:3 122:20	unique 70:14 71:6
197:24 203:15	transcript 55:3	TUPE-ed 31:12	undercover 52:20	212:23
207:14 212:8	118:22 120:6	Turkey 118:10	undergone 64:2	unit 107:4
tomorrow 178:19	204:5	turn 71:3 106:16	underlines 194:23	unknown 74:12
213:16	transfer 9:23	145:7 163:6	underlying 202:5	unlock 168:7
tone 119:18	162:16 205:9,10	172:13	202:6	unpleasantness
tonight 178:24	205:12,14 206:1	turnaround	understaffed	118:15
tools 48:21,23	206:6,25 207:1,8	144:16,25 145:1	86:22	unpredictable
top 71:7 106:23	207:9,11,17,19	turned 59:2,6	understaffing	71:3
116:4 124:16,19	transferred 86:11	twice 146:10,13,14	87:17,19	unsafe 105:20
142:22 143:24	translation 113:17	146:16	understand 20:6	170:11
156:7 163:15	treat 106:19	two 12:14 13:20	31:13 38:12	Unsettled 138:15
174:12 176:20	treated 151:12,14	16:11 42:22 50:6	46:21 60:16	unsupportive
180:23 181:24	190:2,4 191:13	50:14 54:8 66:1	62:15 64:3 67:16	207:22
183:12 184:15	treatment 95:6	86:9 88:16 97:11	89:15 111:7,9,23	unsure 59:13
188:17 190:1	111:4 112:8,21	112:24 115:9	112:2,7,9 131:24	110:20
197:17 204:6	190:8	131:14,15 138:10	147:7 160:11	unusual 88:14
205:7	trends 144:15	138:19 140:19,22	161:11 162:2	unwell 5:6
		,	163:11 166:24	
	<u> </u>	ı	1	ı

				Page 240
UOF 12:8,20	VER000230 140:7	177:17,18	20:6 25:1 28:4	63:2,9 71:11
upcoming 9:19	VER000230 140:7	vindictive 199:16	42:17 48:11	72:15,16,17,20
updates 138:12	151:19 163:13	violence 105:13	54:14,18 55:7,21	78:14 82:6 92:24
Upper 9:19	175:15	visible 93:24,25	65:2 66:15 81:14	93:3 100:3,15
urgency 181:4,19	VER000256	vision 158:22,25	90:12 98:4 99:6	101:1,4 104:19
181:25 182:25	145:10	159:3 161:10,19	100:16 111:9	112:17 113:14
183:10,10,21	VER000292	162:4 164:10	113:24 115:11	119:9 122:24
184:9,16	166:10	visit 88:7 101:17	116:21 120:16,24	124:12 130:11
use 8:6,18,25 9:8	Verbal 85:15	113:12 127:20	124:4 138:4	145:1 152:13
13:5,11,13,22	Verita 5:13 6:20	visited 88:15	139:13 153:25	156:6 163:22
14:2,24 16:18	6:23 120:8	visiting 110:4	161:4,15 162:23	170:2 173:14,21
17:16 18:6,23	121:18 137:24	123:25	163:25 166:7	175:24,25 177:8
19:11 43:8 48:21	140:6 145:9	visitor 117:3	172:10 173:14	191:12 211:21,22
49:8,9,15 66:15	146:21 151:17	visitors 71:14	179:19 185:15	Wayne 36:6,7,9,10
66:17,23,24 67:6	155:7 166:11,15	72:13 111:14	186:5 187:2	36:22 81:7
67:10,18,20,23	166:23 175:15	visits 3:7 72:11,12	193:13 196:1	ways 57:25 64:7
68:3,18,19,22	Verne 135:10,14	72:13 73:12,13	199:6 210:19	72:23 78:17
69:9,16,17,18	135:16	75:2 123:23	wanted 4:16 10:18	154:22 158:3
70:13 71:24	veterans 187:8	144:20 147:23	32:21 56:5 66:6	167:16 174:1
74:22 76:6,6	victimisation	148:3,6 152:23	96:3 113:13	196:11,16,17
98:15 99:3,3	105:15,16,20	165:19 188:25	123:17,24 132:9	We'll 191:3
115:18 149:6,9	victimised 107:7	vividly 125:10,12	138:25 161:2	we're 27:18 69:24
169:11 180:17	107:17 108:2,18	voice 133:6,9	173:16 207:9	111:10 142:18
181:13 202:8	107.17 108.2,18	212:17	wanting 113:12	158:20
211:2,8	Victoria 159:11,13	voices 22:8	205:9,10	websites 204:20
useful 90:6	video 12:2,8,16,18	volces 22.8 voluntary 44:4,16	wants 141:9	week 2:19 48:13
usefully 144:5	12:22 13:2,21	voluntary 44.4,10 volunteer 44:14	185:21 187:4	88:7 94:3 118:6
usually 67:9 88:16	14:5	vulnerabilities	wasn't 24:22 25:20	147:20 156:3,3
147:8 210:21	videos 12:14 13:2	21:2,7 50:19	25:20 26:18 37:7	197:13
utilise 168:9,9	Vietnamese	163:5 189:12	38:7 45:25 53:1	weekend 127:23
173:21 212:19	137:25 175:14	vulnerable 8:4	53:5,10 59:19	weekly 156:15,18
utilising 165:18	176:4	84:23 175:7	60:4 61:3,6 71:6	162:20
168:6 169:25	view 40:18 46:9,12	188:15 189:24	89:21 90:23 99:1	weeks 27:3 97:11
	46:24 62:18,19		100:19 115:10,11	127:2 138:10,19
V	85:17 94:12	\mathbf{W}	116:20 119:23	173:9
vaguely 206:20	103:3 109:25	wait 35:16 157:25	127:12 147:12	welfare 39:20
value 109:6 129:15	110:1 113:5	157:25	152:18 153:24	55:18 56:13
164:13	114:20 118:6	waiting 198:14	162:6 196:3	91:20 109:17
values 88:23,24	132:19,20,21,25	204:18	203:6 207:12	110:2,24 111:1
89:15,16 90:10	133:2,19,24	walk 10:2,7 15:4	209:23	115:16 116:15
90:13	156:6 161:13,13	15:14 16:14	watch 174:15	122:8,15 123:8
variety 162:24	161:17 164:12,16	152:21,22	watched 13:1,21	123:17,20 164:14
various 78:17	174:24 183:25	walking 13:3 48:9	16:21 51:24	well-being 111:1
157:15 162:21	185:21,25 188:12	87:21 125:19	201:8	119:19
vein 117:24	190:4,6 195:18	wall 100:24	watching 12:2	well-equipped 5:6
ventilation 176:23	211:7	Wandsworth	water 9:2 10:9,13	went 2:12,25 13:18
VER000117 102:3	views 54:7 92:8	52:23	15:10,11,20,20	31:16 64:16
105:8	137:7 152:7,14	wanna 185:23	16:8	65:14 86:13
VER000221	158:14 164:19,20	want 4:14 9:22	way 7:15 24:16	128:23 132:2
200:22		11:3,12,22 15:5		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 247
152 10 155 22	170.20	07 17 21 102 11	142 1 144 22	N 50 5 15 01
153:18 155:23	178:20	97:17,21 102:11	143:1 144:22	Yan 52:5,15,21
169:10 191:24	wished 123:21	111:14 115:19	149:3 158:17,20	53:6
196:21 200:7	204:12	123:23 126:25	159:5 161:19	yeah 49:13,15
201:1,17 205:17	wishes 117:1	128:6 129:16,18	166:2,5 169:7	59:19,20 62:14
weren't 56:7 60:8	withdrawn 186:22	129:18 132:22	172:1,12 173:20	69:20 81:24
93:13,24 100:18	186:23	142:22,25 143:1	212:24,25	85:16 87:20
117:15 131:10	withdrew 28:22	144:4,5 147:10	workload 86:18	145:3 153:13
141:20 149:2	213:23	147:21 148:2	works 24:2 51:1	166:3 202:17
173:4,7,13	witness 8:8 15:7	153:3,16 154:22	86:11 111:1	204:25 205:23
whatsoever 151:11	16:3 17:24 28:22	155:1 157:10	211:20	206:20
164:3 186:10	29:9,16,23 32:9	159:18 160:8	world 57:18	year 29:10 31:3
wheelchair 114:12	36:23 42:11	162:18 164:6	worried 113:20	34:7 118:19
114:21	43:19 59:21 65:1	165:12,19,20	worry 34:6 60:6	146:18 188:8
whichever 29:12	70:9 76:19	168:2,18 173:16	worrying 109:7	194:11
72:16 122:24	124:24 126:15	176:1 178:4	128:17,17	yearly 158:19
whistle 100:6	132:7,15 139:4	192:9,12 195:1	worse 46:23 88:17	211:17
whistleblow 98:20	149:18 159:7	199:15 204:12,23	140:11 141:11,13	years 2:2,6,10,11
whistleblower	164:8 167:10	208:21,24 209:12	141:18	2:12 3:1,10 30:7
98:15	169:4 179:21	211:6 212:20	worth 12:1 57:20	30:9,10 31:8
whistleblowing	194:11 197:16	worked 2:1 25:23	86:9	33:1 44:11 50:6
95:3 98:25 99:2	203:14 213:23	25:23 30:7 31:13	wouldn't 7:15 13:5	50:9 69:4 72:22
99:7,8 100:5	witnessed 68:19	32:7 33:2 37:17	15:24 20:18	75:14 78:5 80:2
203:4	199:17	49:1,21,23 50:2	37:19 59:4 76:4	107:23 109:9
white 187:24	Wolverhampton	51:13 52:23 53:3	77:23 90:9 94:2	129:17 131:14,15
wider 188:19	33:16	59:25 60:6,22	95:12 108:13	151:25 159:1
Williams 82:21	won 31:11,19	61:5 63:1 64:1	109:3 117:4	164:17,24 166:15
138:13 201:5	wonder 118:7	64:10 72:5 75:14	126:3,5 128:24	176:10
willing 15:9 35:9	wonderful 16:16	81:23 82:1 83:4	134:20 152:6	years' 210:10
123:10	wondering 6:3	87:3,23 130:9	158:9 167:22	yep 74:8 104:12
Wilson 110:12,22	17:4	158:18 169:19	172:1 193:22	150:7 205:22
113:11 116:4,21	word 1:18 40:20	173:15,15 196:5	write 16:25 18:17	yesterday 5:21
117:11 118:19	48:11 55:7 84:10	196:10 204:8,16	68:17 100:17	young 33:5,8
119:23 121:19,19	92:7 134:12	212:7,24 213:8	117:5	younger 176:17
128:10 143:24	154:14 155:15	worker 152:5	writing 117:11	7
Wilson's 122:9	163:25 165:22	workers 44:8	written 9:12 22:18	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z}}$
124:6	166:20 167:22,23	working 2:4 3:11	wrong 32:6 78:14	Zaynab 97:6
wing 88:9,15 114:2	168:25 199:6,7,8	3:14,25 4:5	84:3 116:20	105:10 148:13
167:14 168:2,12	199:9 203:25	31:10 32:10	119:9 122:11	158:13
168:15,20 170:23	words 40:19 51:8	37:22 41:22 42:6	137:21 157:6	Zeeshan 142:12
171:2,17 190:24	99:20 127:3	49:3 50:15,18	165:22 168:25	zone 58:7
191:7,24	162:12 169:1,1	51:14,22,23 52:8	187:14	0
wings 2:4 3:11 4:1	169:11,16 185:20	53:8 56:17 57:25	wrongdoing 193:3	
4:5 100:20	198:17	59:23 60:10,19	wrote 13:13 16:18	0 108:8
138:15 165:21	work 42:6,6 43:22	60:25 61:8 70:23	17:1,2 18:11,14	01:23 12:8,20
172:5 188:23	43:25 44:2,9,16	72:8 73:11,20	117:7 146:1	01:27 12:9,21
209:14	44:17,19,23,24	78:5 79:17 81:22		01:47 12:7,15
wish 30:3 44:2,17	50:4 64:5 73:10	82:2 83:5 86:2	<u>X</u>	1
44:24,25 45:21	75:10,24 76:2,4,6	86:10,16 87:14	X 214:4	1 17:22 22:7 29:25
120:5 151:20	80:2 81:16 83:13	115:16 139:9	Y	33:24 82:11
			I	JJ.4 T 04.11
	•	•	•	•

				Page 248
97:17 108:10	147:19	2017 2:17,18 8:7	27 86:24 151:22	43 85:24 106:14
132:5 137:9,12	158 158:14	19:20 21:11 22:7	214:11	204:6
140:1,6 141:1	159 106:8	22:19,24 64:18	28 73:7 131:1	44 88:22 89:12
147:18 172:17	16 42:12 43:7,14	75:22 77:15	29 67:8 117:10	106:19 143:24
181:7 188:8	146:5,6,13	116:1 120:9	214:13,15	448 132:2
214:6,9	175:16	132:5 135:16	214.13,13	45 106:23
1.00 94:13	16/17 33:1 146:16	137:13 140:17	3	46 107:2 118:23
1.05 94:18	162 126:16	141:2 144:23	3 4:16 30:6 82:13	47 158:13
1.13 105:12	167 194:14	146:12 147:19	83:7 108:5	48 94:22 119:1
10 4:12 15:17,22	168 194:25	151:22 161:6	110:22 121:12	49 106:17
16:12 88:7	169 195:11 204:6	197:19 204:9	185:15	47 100.17
110:23 111:18	17 1:1 54:1,23	207:2,4,9	3.15 143:3,6	5
112:1,6 131:3	107:5 120:11	2018 3:5 4:15,16	3.30 143:5	5 8:7 21:11 23:13
151:21 206:4	121:22 146:13	5:14 6:21 20:5	3.35 143:8	188:9 207:11
10.00 1:2 118:3	170 207:7	74:2 110:23	30 59:22 78:5 80:2	5.22 208:3
213:17,22 214:1	173 175:18	136:22	153:10 166:15	5.30 179:4 208:8
10.49 29:2	174 175:17	2019 40:1	309 145:12	5.30/5.45 178:18
107 106:21	18 48:18 105:21	2020 3:14 30:15,23	31 101:18 105:11	5.32 213:24
11 4:12 70:9 76:12	107:10,15 116:3	40:8 188:8,14,22	106:4 181:9	50 95:9 107:6
88:13 101:18	118:19 119:7	189:24 190:10,11	182:2 183:15	175:21
105:12 124:24	126:17 131:2	2021 4:12 188:9	184:12,18 188:8	50/50 173:19
140:7 148:12	19 5:14 6:21 40:8	2021 4:12 166.9 2022 1:1 214:1	32 106:22 117:25	500 79:9
180:20,23 205:3	145:10 176:20	204 176:20	181:18 183:12	51 108:1
11-year 7:25	1986 32:7	208 214:17	33 118:4	52 101:15 108:17
11.30 28:25		209 106:7	34 106:17	53 101:24
11.32 29:4	2	21 11:2 65:3	35 30:7 50:6	54 101:24 166:10
111 151:24	2 34:11 94:16	105:20 145:10	189:18	57 126:14,16
113 151:23	97:12 108:7	214:1	37 70:10 105:19	200:20
114 152:4	144:13 181:3	22 22:19,24 107:9	38 76:12	
115 169:4	185:15,16	109:16 120:5	392 106:5	6
119 200:21	2.00 94:20	132:1		6 34:11 36:12 65:1
11th 196:22	20 97:8 105:9,12	23 1:20 106:22	4	108:6 120:7
12 108:20 117:10	139:23,24 142:3	112:13	4 8:21 19:20 29:9	155:8 197:17
118:21 124:24	142:7	232 167:10	32:9 33:25 42:12	60 109:14,15,20
131:3,4 196:22	2002 153:6	233 168:19	97:13 147:19	125:4 132:6
120 168:20 172:5	2011 1:20 31:18	235 139:4	148:17 159:8	135:25 136:5
122 180:6	2012 37:7,21 153:6	238 171:12	181:20 184:10	137:12 139:8,18
12th 196:23	202:25 203:11,17	239 170:5,5	4.30 178:8	140:10 141:10,11
13 22:14 85:24	2013 132:1	24 66:16 69:17	4.33 179:16	141:16 142:9,10
107:1 108:22	2015 1:24 34:12,13	70:6 89:25	4.41 179:18	175:21
119:2 135:15,16	34:18 35:23	115:23 120:9	40 179:20,24 180:1	600 135:12
138:10 163:15	36:11 38:1 76:16	181:12	180:3,11,18,21	63 15:6
204:7	77:11 146:17	24-hour 115:24	180:23 181:13	64 112:12
133 140:8	2016 64:18 82:13	181:14	182:17 185:3,4,7	66 106:17 167:11
137.17 12:8,20	82:16 83:11	24/7 115:20 187:16	185:9	668 167:12
14 94:23 108:20	101:18 105:3,12	247 174:20	40s 182:13	67 139:4
204:9 207:5,8	106:4 136:21	25 30:9 143:16	41 106:12 143:14	68 155:8
140 163:16	140:22 146:17	26 11:11	42 72:3 180:22	69 105:24
15 9:5 124:16	153:10,11 196:22	261 63:23,24	181:13 194:12	7
	200:25 207:2,15		426 132:1	
L	ı	ı	I	1

		Page 249
7 37:24 106:13 118:3 72 63:23 130:21 76 106:9,13 77 106:21		