

Inquiries Act 2005
Restriction Order Pursuant to Section 19

Phase two Brook House Inquiry Hearings

1. During Day 27 of the Brook House Inquiry public hearing during the oral evidence of Mr Aaron Stokes:
 - a. At approximately 16:24, a document was displayed on the hearing room monitors and in the Inquiry's public galleries. Within that document the surname of a detained person, that should have been appropriately redacted to preserve the anonymity of the Detained Person in accordance with my order (Restriction Order - Identity of Formerly Detained Individuals) dated 23 November 2021, was inadvertently displayed to the Inquiry hearing room and public galleries.
 - b. During the course of reading from the document referred to in paragraph 1(a) above, at approximately 16:24, Counsel to the Inquiry also inadvertently read aloud the name of the detained person during OPEN session. The detained person should have been referred to by Counsel using that individual's cipher.
 - c. At approximately 16:33, a video was broadcast on the hearing room monitors and in the Inquiry's public galleries during OPEN session. Within that video the surname of a detained person, that should have been appropriately redacted to preserve the anonymity of the Detained Person in accordance with my order (Restriction Order - Identity of Formerly Detained Individuals) dated 23 November 2021, was heard in the video's audio and included in the video's subtitles.
2. Upon becoming aware of the issues described at paragraphs 1(a) and 1(b) above, I made an immediate order that the name of the relevant detained person, as shown within the relevant document and read out by Counsel to the Inquiry, should be subject to a restriction order and should be redacted in both the relevant document and the hearing transcript using the relevant detained person's cipher. The Inquiry's live stream was also paused which prevented disclosure beyond the Inquiry's hearing room or public galleries.
3. Upon becoming aware of the issue described at paragraphs 1(c) above, I made an immediate order that the name of the relevant detained person, as broadcast within the relevant video should be subject to a restriction order and should be redacted in both the relevant video and the hearing transcript using the relevant detained person's

cipher. The Inquiry's live stream was also paused which prevented disclosure beyond the Inquiry's hearing room or public galleries.

4. This is the written form of those orders.

Restriction Order

5. This Restriction Order is made under section 19(1) of the Inquiries Act 2005 ("the Act") and binds all members of the public, including Core Participants.
6. This Restriction Order prohibits the disclosure or publication of the information referred to at paragraphs 1-3 above.
7. For the avoidance of doubt, publication includes publishing information on any website, blog, Twitter or other social media.
8. In the case of public authorities, the restrictions specified in this Order take effect subject to the terms of section 20(6) of the Inquiries Act 2005.
9. Pursuant to section 20(4) of the Inquiries Act 2005 the Chair may vary or revoke this Restriction Order by making a further order during the course of the Inquiry.
10. Any person affected by this Order may apply in accordance with section 20 of the Inquiries Act 2005 to vary its terms.
11. This Restriction Order continues in force indefinitely, or unless the order is varied or revoked pursuant to section 20 of the Inquiries Act 2005.

Kate Eves
Chair, Brook House Inquiry

DATE 09 March 2022