1	Manday 7 Manah 2022	1	the detained on a desire desired.
1 2	Monday, 7 March 2022 (10.00 am)	1 2	the detainees on a day-to-day basis and everything that
			was kind of involved within working in the centre.
3	MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning, chair. We will now be hearing	3	Q. Thinking back, and I know that it is a while ago now, to
4	from Darren Tomsett.	4	the training that you received, do you think it painted
5	MR DARREN TOMSETT (affirmed)	5	an overly positive picture of life at Brook House?
6	Examination by MR LIVINGSTON	6	A. I don't know.
7	MR LIVINGSTON: Good morning. Can you give us your full	7	Q. Sorry?
8	name, please?	8	A. Sorry, I don't know.
9	A. It's Darren Tomsett.	9	Q. You don't know. Given what the training was like and
10	Q. Thank you. Mr Tomsett, you gave a statement to the	10	what it was actually like at Brook House, did those
11	inquiry <inn000024>. Chair, if I can ask for that</inn000024>	11	things were they the same?
12	statement to be adduced in full?	12	A. In that case, I think it was slightly different, yeah.
13	THE CHAIR: Indeed, thank you.	13	Yeah.
14	MR LIVINGSTON: Mr Tomsett, what that means is that the	14	Q. Okay. In what way?
15	whole statement is in evidence before the inquiry, so	15	A. Just where the training kind of, like, prepared you for
16	that I don't have to take you through every line and	16	your sort of DCO role, I think, but, as I say, when it
17	every word of that statement.	17	come to sort of, like, the day-to-day working and
18	Just to start with some issues about the background	18	working with detainees, it was I don't think we had
19	and recruitment, you worked at Brook House from	19	sort of any training with the detainees, as such.
20	about January 2015 until January 2018; is that right?	20	Q. Okay.
21	A. That's right.	21	A. So it would be nice if we could have, you know, spent
22	Q. You were a DCO for most of it, but you acted up as a DCM	22	you know, had a bit of time and learning about them.
23	from about August 2017; is that right?	23	Q. Did you do any shadowing as part of your training?
24	A. That's right.	24	A. I think the shadowing was, like, the last two weeks,
25	Q. And then, were you DCM, acting DCM, until you left?	25	I think it was, just after the training was finished.
	Page 1		Page 3
1	A. That's right.	1	Q. You also say in your statement that when you were
2	Q. In your witness statement, at paragraph 4, you say that	2	seconded into the DCM role, so in August 2017, you don't
3	you were attracted to the DCO role because you wanted to	3	feel that you received adequate training or time to
4	work with people, and it sounded interesting, and you	4	prepare for that at that stage; is that right?
5	say you enjoyed it when you first started. Did that	5	A. Yes.
6	change?	6	Q. Did you receive any training on how to be a DCM at all?
7	A. Slowly, progressively, as you you know, as you work	7	A. No sort of formal training. It was sort of shadowing
8	there at the centre.	8	a fellow detention centre manager, and observing and
9	Q. You began to enjoy it less?	9	watching their role and how they did their job.
10	A. As I got further on into working in the centre, yeah.	10	Q. Becoming a DCM, did that give you line management
11	Q. Why was that?	11	responsibility for anyone?
12	A. Just because of the environment, eventually. It just	12	A. I can't remember. I think it did, yeah. I think it
13	sort of, like, weighs you down in the end.	13	did.
14	Q. We will come on to that in a bit more detail in due	14	Q. Any idea, roughly, how many people you were line manager
15	course.	15	to?
16	You say somewhere in the middle of your statement,	16	A. I can't really remember. Maybe two, two or three.
17	at paragraph 50, that the training that you received	17	Q. So it's not 15 or 20 or anything like that?
18	before starting allowed you to do the job, but didn't	18	A. No.
19	prepare you for how to cope with the day-to-day at	19	Q. A few people. Okay. Do you recall who was involved in
20	Brook House; is that right?	20	the decision that you be seconded into the DCM role?
21	A. That's right.	21	A. I believe it was Steve Skitt that interviewed me.
22	Q. What's the difference between that? Is it that it	22	Q. Was it an application process? So did you actually
23	allowed you to do each task but it didn't prepare you to	23	apply to be seconded
24	cope?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. I don't think it sort of prepared you for dealing with	25	Q or were you asked?
	Page 2		Page 4
	- "6" -		1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1	A. Yes.	1	it was a managerial decision to house a detainee on the
2	Q. Were you told that you should apply or did you just	2	induction wing until it may be because of having
3	decide to apply?	3	not having enough rooms and they might have been single
4	A. I think the I think the role come up and you were	4	occupants, so they would maybe require a room to
5	sort of, like you know, "It might be an idea for you	5	themselves.
6	to consider applying", or something like that, and	6	Q. What were the consequences of someone being on B wing
7	I thought it would be a good step for myself.	7	for that amount of time rather than moving to one of
8	Q. Then you were interviewed by Steve Skitt, which you say	8	the residential wings?
9	in your statement, and you got the role as acting DCM?	9	A. There was no consequences. It was just the fact that
10	A. Yes.	10	there was a room being taken up by somebody that was
11	Q. "Acting" sounds a bit like it was a sort of temporary	11	awaiting a charter flight, when, instead, perhaps, it
12	thing. Is that what you were told?	12	should have been used for new detainees arriving.
13	A. That's right, it was, yeah.	13	Q. So, presumably, the consequence could be that people who
14	Q. For how long, were you told?	14	were due to be on the induction wing then couldn't stay
15	A. I don't know. I wasn't you know, it was just as long	15	in the induction wing because there was already someone
16	as perhaps they needed me and then I would maybe return	16	in
17	to become to a DCO role again.	17	A. Because there was already someone in the room.
18	Q. Do you have any idea why you were being recruited as an	18	Q. Do you remember who would make the decision about how
19	acting DCM as opposed to just DCM?	19	long people should stay?
20	A. I don't.	20	A. I don't.
21	Q. I want to ask you a few questions about your role as	21	Q. I want to ask you about the atmosphere at Brook House.
22	a DCO first. You talk in your statement about the work	22	Paragraph 10 of your statement, you describe an
23	you did as a DCO and say you enjoyed being in an	23	intimidating and aggressive atmosphere at Brook House,
24	environment with people around, and you describe at	24	and then you go on to talk about detainees getting angry
25	paragraph 8 of your statement that your role, as you saw	25	and aggressive and threatening staff and other
	Daga 5		Daga 7
	Page 5		Page 7
1	it, was to help detainees; is that right?	1	detainees. Do you think that staff contributed to that
2	A. That's right.	1	
	A. That stight.	2	aggressive atmosphere as well or did you think it was
3	Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well?	3	aggressive atmosphere as well or did you think it was just detainees?
3			
	Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well?	3	just detainees?
4	Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well?A. Yeah, I think so, yeah.	3 4	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was
4 5	Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well?A. Yeah, I think so, yeah.Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your	3 4 5	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there.
4 5 6	Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well?A. Yeah, I think so, yeah.Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them?	3 4 5 6	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one
4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. 	3 4 5 6 7	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side?
4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at 	3 4 5 6 7 8	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're — you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make — you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're — you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make — you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on B wing until that flight, and you say it shouldn't have 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of not backing down, does it mean not walking away, does it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on B wing until that flight, and you say it shouldn't have happened, but it did. Do you know why 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of not backing down, does it mean not walking away, does it not mean giving in to requests?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on B wing until that flight, and you say it shouldn't have happened, but it did. Do you know why A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of not backing down, does it mean not walking away, does it not mean giving in to requests? A. Not all the time. Sometimes you have to stand your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on B wing until that flight, and you say it shouldn't have happened, but it did. Do you know why A. Yes. Q people were staying on the wing for two weeks? A. It could I think, at the end, it was probably just 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of not backing down, does it mean not walking away, does it not mean giving in to requests? A. Not all the time. Sometimes you have to stand your ground or you de-escalate the situation by talking to the detainee. You always try and de-escalate the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you think that's how your colleagues saw it as well? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. Do you think that's how the detainees saw it, that your role was to help them? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah. Q. One of the things you say in your statement at paragraph 22 is that people were kept on B wing, which I believe was the induction wing, for a couple of weeks because a decision had been made from management that they were going to stay on that wing for a couple of weeks. Is that right? A. Wouldn't normally be it wouldn't normally be for a couple of weeks. Usually detainees, when they arrived on the induction wing, it should normally only be for a couple of days, maximum, and then they should be moved off the induction wing to a residential wing. Q. You say at paragraph 22 that, for example, someone who had a charter flight in two weeks was being kept on B wing until that flight, and you say it shouldn't have happened, but it did. Do you know why A. Yes. Q people were staying on the wing for two weeks? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just detainees? A. I don't think that's something that I thought when I was there. Q. So you thought that aggression was just coming from one side? A. It would appear to have, yeah, looked like that, yeah. Q. One of the things you say at paragraph 14 of your statement, Mr Tomsett, is that "staff needed to show they were willing to stand up to behaviour that was wrong". What does "stand up" mean to you? A. Sort of dealing with abusive and aggressive behaviour and sort of being able to show that you're you know, you're there, willing to deal with the situation, and to provide a point where a detainee can make you know, can see that you're actually trying to, you know, do your job and sort of protect others whilst you're dealing with, you know, certain situations. Q. But, I mean, in practical terms, does that mean sort of not backing down, does it mean not walking away, does it not mean giving in to requests? A. Not all the time. Sometimes you have to stand your ground or you de-escalate the situation by talking to

1 situation as best as you can.	1 intimidation sort of on a almost a daily basis.
2 Q. Did you think that that was something that set you apart	2 Q. So that example there about letting people go onto other
from some of your colleagues, that you were willing to	wings, is that a scenario where you felt that you were
4 stand up and others weren't?	4 keeping to the rules by saying, "No, you're not allowed
5 A. Quite possibly. I can only, you know, sort of look at	to go onto this other wing"?
6 how I worked, and with my colleagues, on the wing.	6 A. Yes.
7 I don't sort of recollect how others used to work on the	7 Q. Whereas other colleagues might just take another route
8 other wings, but yeah.	8 and say, "Yeah, fine, go on"?
9 Q. Even on your wing, for example, did you experience there	9 A. Yes.
10 were some colleagues who you felt weren't standing their	10 Q. And you saw other colleagues doing that?
11 ground?	11 A. I've seen detainees who've been allowed on well,
12 A. Yeah, yeah.	12 I say "allowed". They have entered the wing without
13 Q. What were the consequences of that?	13 sort of being challenged about why they're coming onto
14 A. We just ended up having to deal with those situations	14 the wings.
15 even more, so it sort of kind of looks like it's falling	15 Q. Why weren't detainees allowed to go onto other wings?
back on you all the time to sort of, like, deal with	A. It was just one of the rules, where you're not allowed
17 confrontation and, you know, issues like that at the	to move from one wing onto another wing. They all had
18 time.	18 ID cards. I was just following the centre rules and
19 Q. One of the things you say, Mr Tomsett, is that you	19 enforcing that.
20 received verbal abuse, threats, intimidation and	20 Q. It was never explained to you as to why that was
21 violence, and you say that it became a very difficult	21 necessary. Obviously, Mr Tomsett, these aren't
22 place to work, Brook House. Do you think that,	22 prisoners. They are people that are being detained
23 generally, you responded appropriately to this	23 under immigration rules. Were you ever told about why
24 behaviour?	24 they weren't allowed to move between the wings?
25 A. I think generally, yeah, yeah.	25 A. I don't remember, no. No.
D 0	D 44
Page 9	Page 11
1 Q. Do you think you always did?	1 Q. You say in your statement at paragraph 42 that having
2 A. Not always.	2 a detention centre that looks and runs like a prison
3 Q. Maybe we will come back to some of those situations in	does not leave detainees feeling too comfortable and
4 a bit. Is there anything that comes to mind for you as	4 that new detainees were concerned arriving into
5 to situations where you didn't respond appropriately?	5 Brook House. Was there anything you could do, as a DCO,
6 A. Well, there's going to be some situations that you'll	6 to reassure them when they arrived?
7 look at later.	7 A. You speak to them and just reassure them that, you know,
8 Q. Okay. If I don't mention any that you are thinking of,	8 you're there to sort of deal with your case and to get
9 then perhaps you can tell us in due course.	9 your immigration case sorted out and, if you needed any
10 Do you think that again, I know that you can only	10 help, then we were there to assist you and to, you know,
11 tell us about what you experienced around you, but did	11 try and deal with your case as swiftly and as quickly as
12 you find that you were receiving more abuse or threats	12 possible.
13 from detainees than other staff, or did you think it was	Q. So you told them that you would be able to assist them
14 shared pretty equally?	14 with issues with their immigration cases?
15 A. I suppose, when I look back, I think I was probably	15 A. Not with their immigration cases. If they needed any
16 getting more than my fair share than what others maybe 17 were receiving.	help in, say, wanting to speak to Home Office or filling out forms on the wing, that type of thing. Assisting
9	out forms on the wing, that type of thing. Assisting them in those sort of ways, yeah.
A. Because I wanted to follow the centre rules and stand there and — you know, if you're controlling the door,	Q. Is that what you saw the purpose of people being at Brook House was? They were there to sort out their
	21 immigration cases, essentially?
21 for example, if you're not allowed to come onto the 22 wing, trying to maintain that control and security for	22 A. For me, yeah, they were being detained because something
23 the wing and for the detainees that are on there, so	23 had happened with their immigration status and they were
24 I just found that I was sort of consistently dealing	24 there to get it fixed and to rectify it.
25 with a lot of confrontation and verbal abuse and	
	25 O. One of the things the quotes you give in your
with a lot of confrontation and verbal abuse and	25 Q. One of the things the quotes you give in your

1 1 statement at paragraph 44, you say: three years? 2 2 "Because it was a prison building, people behaved A. Yeah. 3 3 like they were in prison." Q. You were there the whole time --4 Did that include staff and detainees? 4 A. Yes. 5 A. I can't really answer about the staff. But I think with 5 Q. -- that Callum Tulley was filming. You have probably 6 some of the detainees, I think a lot of detainees, they 6 thought about it when you saw Panorama. 7 7 arrived at Brook House and perhaps a lot of detainees 8 8 Q. Did you come to any view as to how or why you didn't see had come from, like, a prison environment, which, q 9 I believe, is probably a lot harsher. So it just seemed 10 that, you know, it was a more sort of challenging 10 A. No, I just spent most of my time working either on one 11 11 wing or another. I wasn't sort of working effectively environment, when you're dealing with people that have around the centre every day, but I just didn't see 12 moved from a prison environment into the detention 12 13 centre environment. 13 anything like that going on. 14 Q. What does "behaving like they're in prison" mean, 14 Q. So was it just coincidence, would you say, that 15 15 though? mistreatment was going on and you didn't see any of it? 16 16 A. It's just, like, the -- like, an attitude and the way A. I didn't see it. 17 they behaved, it just seemed that some people were a lot 17 Q. Did you hear about it at all? 18 more aggressive. 18 A. No, not as far as I'm aware. 19 Q. Coming on to the issue of staff culture, you say in your 19 Q. You say in your statement that Brook House was not how 20 statement that the overall culture was volatile, 20 it was shown on Panorama. In what way? 21 aggressive, pressurised and tense. And you say that 21 A. Just that you -- it's -- you just sort of, like, see 22 morale among staff could alter day to day. What would 22 only snippets on the Panorama sort of programme, but 23 change the morale? 23 I think, in general, day to day, it was a lot more sort 24 A. The staff morale? 24 of intimidating and sort of an aggressive environment, 25 25 Q. Yes. quite pressurised and tense. Page 13 Page 15 1 A. I think it would just depend on, you know, how their 1 Q. Do you think it was more aggressive and pressurised and 2 working day had been and how they were feeling in 2 tense than it was shown in Panorama? 3 3 themselves. A. I think so, yeah. Yeah. 4 Q. We have heard from some other witnesses talking about 4 Q. Okay. 5 5 Brook House as a place where morale was absolutely shot A. In terms of coming -- like, some of the behaviours and 6 and staff morale was, you know, at the very bottom. Was 6 the verbal sort of stuff that you tend to deal with with 7 7 that not your experience? the detainees, yeah. Q. So you're saying that it didn't show the detainees being 8 8 A. Yeah, I'd say that there was probably staff there that 9 had low morale, there was probably other staff there 9 aggressive towards the staff; it only showed the other 10 10 that, you know, sort of can just get on with it and get way around? 11 through the day no problem at all. 11 A. From what I could see on the programme, yeah. 12 Q. So it wasn't uniform amongst the staff? 12 Q. That didn't reflect your experience of Brook House? 13 13 A. I don't think it was uniform, no. 14 Q. You say, Mr Tomsett, at paragraph 34 of your statement, 14 Q. Just a couple of issues about management, you say at 15 15 that you were surprised by some of the things you saw on paragraph 39 of your statement that you think staff were 16 Panorama, as you didn't see anything like that when you 16 given support from the senior management team and that 17 17 were working at Brook House; is that right? the SMT, the senior management team, would visit the 18 18 wing and spend five to ten minutes there each day; is A. Yes. 19 19 Q. You say that you do not recall seeing mistreatment and that right? 20 it would surprise you if it was going on. But we know 20 A. Yeah, they'd come down to visit you on the wing. 21 from Panorama that mistreatment was going on; yes? 2.1 Q. Every day? 22 22 A. Mmm. A. I can't remember if it was every day. I think it was 23 Q. So why do you think you didn't see it? 23 most days. 24 A. I just didn't see it. 24 Q. Okay. Who are we talking about here from the senior 25 Q. Have you thought about -- I mean, you were there for 25 management team? Page 14 Page 16

1	A. I would imagine it would just be whoever the oncall	1	and a DCM?
2	senior manager	2	A. Yes, it was, yeah.
3	Q. Duty director?	3	Q. When you were a DCO, did you have a line manager? Do
4	A duty director would be at the time.	4	you remember?
5	Q. People like Steve Skitt?	5	A. I did, yeah.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. Who was that?
7	Q. Michelle Brown?	7	A. Off the top of my head, I believe it was possibly
8	A. Yeah.	8	Stuart Povey.
9	Q. Ben Saunders, would he be there?	9	Q. Stuart Povey-Meier?
10	A. I think he's come down once or twice.	10	A. Sorry?
11	Q. We have heard from some witnesses that they had no	11	Q. Stuart Povey-Meier, is it?
12	interaction with members of the senior management team,	12	A. That's right, yeah. I think it was possibly
13	but that wasn't your experience; is that right?	13	Stuart Povey, possibly DCM Connolly, maybe DCM Ring.
14	A. Yeah, no, they used to come down and speak to you and	14	Q. Did you what was your relationship like with your
15	you'd speak with them, yeah.	15	line manager? What
16	Q. You say that at paragraph 68, you say they were	16	A. Fine.
17	available throughout the day and were on call and they	17	Q. Would they give you actual management? Were there
18	were approachable; is that right?	18	appraisals or performance reviews, or anything like
19	A. Yeah, as far as I remember.	19	that?
20	Q. So you felt that if you had any issues you could raise	20	A. I believe I had, like, reviews carried out periodically.
21	it with any of them?	21	I can't remember how long, you know, the reviews were in
22	A. If they was on call, yeah.	22	between, but I believe I had, like, PDR reviews carried
23	Q. Any of the duty directors?	23	out.
24	A. Yeah, yeah.	24	Q. Moving on to the issue of the mental health of detained
25	Q. Anyone working as duty director. But you do say that	25	people and the issue of self-harm, you say, Mr Tomsett,
	Page 17		Page 19
1	you felt that if you went to the SMT with an issue, you	1	at paragraph 18 of your statement, that some detainees
2	felt that they might have spoken to others about it as	2	would threaten self-harm just to get what they wanted;
3	well; is that right?	3	is that right?
4	A. All I'm trying to say is, I think there was a lot of	4	A. Sometimes, yeah.
5	gossiping going on in the centre, and whether you was	5	Q. How did you know that that's why they were threating
6	sort of senior management or whether you was an officer,	6	self-harm?
7	I just didn't feel comfortable sort of parting with	7	A. Sorry, can you?
8	information that I felt maybe would, you know, sort of	8	Q. Yeah. You say they were threatening self-harm to get
9	go further and someone else might talk about it. So	9	what they wanted. How did you know that was the reason
10	I didn't tend to sort of bring things up. Just keep it	10	they were doing it?
11	to me	11	A. Well, for example, they'd say it because maybe they
12	Q. If you had had concerns about a fellow officer, would	12	wanted to speak to someone from the Home Office and it
	that have prevented you from raising them with a senior	13	-
13 14	manager?	14	would be, "If I don't get to speak to someone from the Home Office, I'm going to hurt myself", and things like
	· ·		, 0 0
15	A. No, it wouldn't have done that.	15	that.
16	Q. Sorry	16	Q. What would you do if you didn't think that there was
17	A. It wouldn't have prevented me from speaking to	17	a genuine threat to self-harm, then?
18	management. I would have spoken to a manager if I had	18	A. If I didn't think?
19	concerns over another officer.	19	Q. So someone says, "I'm going to self-harm", or something
20	Q. And that never happened?	20	like that. Are you then assessing whether you think
21	A. I didn't have any, no.	21	it's a genuine threat to self-harm or not?
22	Q. No. You also say, just whilst we are still on	22	A. Well, yeah, yeah. You've got to, like, try to ascertain
23	management, that DCMs were doing the best they could,	23	if they're actually if they're taking it if
24	you felt. You say that a couple of times in your	24	they're being serious or not over it.
25	statement. That was your experience when you were a DCO	25	Q. And what's the action you take if you think it's
	D 40		D 20
	Page 18		Page 20

1 1 a genuine threat, if you think they are being serious? Q. When you were being trained both in your introductory 2 2 A. If they are being serious then I'd want to raise an course and also your refreshers on use of force, was 3 3 ACDT. there any training about particular considerations to 4 4 take into account when using force against someone with Q. And if you don't think they're being serious? 5 A. If I don't think they're being serious, I may even still 5 mental health problems? 6 put them on an ACDT just because they even said it. 6 A. Not as far as I remember. 7 Q. Would you always do it if they said it? 7 Q. Coming on to a couple of questions just about staffing 8 A. I think it would just really depend on the situation at 8 levels, you say, broadly, at paragraph 76, that you 9 9 didn't have enough staff for the work; is that right? the time and who you're talking to and sort of gauging 10 10 them. A. I think, in general, yeah. I think we was -- you know, 11 11 Q. One of the things you say -- I realise I'm jumping you get quite short staffed at times. There were times 12 12 around your statement a bit -- at paragraph 134 is that when we had enough staff on, you know, particular days, 13 individuals who self-harmed or said they were depressed 13 but there were quite a few times when we were 14 14 or wanted to hurt themselves were seen by healthcare and short staffed. 15 15 spoken to in a review and placed on an ACDT or even Q. Is that something that you and your colleagues would 16 constant watch. Would you be referring them to 16 talk about? A. Yeah, I think so, yeah, yeah. 17 healthcare in that scenario? 17 18 A. Sorry, can you just repeat that again? 18 Q. You say at paragraphs 78 to 80 of your statement that 19 Q. Yes. One of the things you say is that individuals who 19 short staffing had a big impact on detainees, and so you 20 self-harm or say they're depressed or wanted to hurt 20 give an example of it meant they couldn't go outside to 21 themselves were seen by healthcare, spoken to in 21 get fresh air on occasions; is that right? 22 a review and placed on an ACDT; is that right? 22 23 A. It's probably maybe the other way -- like, you'd refer 23 Q. Was that the activities staff that would be responsible 24 them -- sorry, you'd open up, like, an ACDT and then 24 for letting them go outside, or would you be responsible 25 25 as well? they would be reviewed and seen by --Page 21 Page 23 1 Q. By healthcare? 1 A. Well, we ended up actually having to monitor -- like, an 2 2 A. -- as best as I remember, healthcare. And a DCM would officer on the wing would end up actually having to 3 3 be present and they'd do a review with the detainee. monitor the courtyard. I can't remember what used to 4 Q. So it's not you directly contacting healthcare. You 4 happen before that. I don't think there was anybody --5 5 open the ACDT and they learn about it and there's there was no officer that used to monitor the 6 a review carried out; is that right? courtyards. I believe activities would open up the 7 7 A. You'd open the ACDT first and there was like a process courtyards for detainees to access them for exercise or 8 that would get followed. sport or whatever and then they'd just be left to their 9 Q. One of the things you say in your statement is that you q own devices throughout the day until lock-up. And there 10 think it would have been helpful to have more training 10 would come a point where DCOs were then having to 11 on how to deal with acts of self-harm, the reasons why 11 monitor the courtyards. So, for example, if you only 12 people did it and the ways to help people not to 12 had three staff on duty, you know, on the wing at the 13 self-harm; is that right? 13 time, then one of you would -- you know, you'd do it 14 14 A. Yeah, yeah. in -- spend an hour and go and monitor the courtyard and 15 Q. I think you say in your statement that you don't recall 15 go and stand out there. So then you're short staffed. 16 receiving any training around mental health; is that 16 Q. In terms of going out to the courtyard, would it be done 17 17 a wing at a time? 18 18 A. Yeah. I don't remember -- I don't remember any training A. Excuse me? 19 19 on mental health. Q. Would it be done one wing at a time in terms of going 20 20 Q. Did you ever ask for any training on that? out to the courtyard? 21 21 A. Not personally. I don't remember that, no. A. No, I mean, the courtyards would all be open to all the 22 22 Q. For example, we have heard evidence about a number of wings, but it's just that there was a time where DCOs 23 detainees who had PTSD. Did you have any training on 23 then had to stand outside and monitor the courtyards. 24 24 how to deal with people who had PTSD? Q. Was there ever days where detainees weren't able to go 25 A. Not as far as I'm aware, no. 25 outside at all? Page 22 Page 24

1	A. I think so, yeah, because there might not have been	1	This is line 17:
2	enough staff for someone to actually go and stand there	2	"I don't want to do it, stop whining."
3	and keep the courtyard open.	3	A couple of lines down you say:
4	Q. Did you see that as a big deal?	4	" you're whining like a fucking girl."
5	A. Yeah, yeah, because you should be allowed to you	5	Then a couple of lines down you say:
6	should be able to go and get fresh air, you should be	6	"It's dumb, innit? Moaning. Man up."
7	allowed to go and exercise. You	7	A couple of lines down you say:
8	Q. Do you sorry.	8	"That is because I don't listen to your fucking
9	A. I'm just saying you should be allowed to go out and	9	bollocks."
10	spend time with your friends and people you have met in	10	And the detainee says to you that you're a "prick"
11	there so, yeah, you should be able to go outside.	11	and says that you're "racist" and "EDL" and a "fucking
12	Q. Do you think managers saw it as a big deal?	12	dickhead". Now, you were asked about this and you
13	A. I'd like to think so. It was quite an important	13	explained it in your statement, Mr Tomsett, as being
14	situation. People need to get out and get some fresh	14	a conversation that arose because the detained person
15	air, but I'm not a senior manager so I wouldn't be	15	had demanded new boxers, even though he'd been given
16	involved in any of those conversations.	16	clothing; is that right?
17	Q. When you were a DCM for those four months or five months	17	A. Yeah.
18	or so, you say that you found yourself on occasion	18	Q. You say that he then became abusive. Looking back at
19	covering all five wings as DCM; is that right?	19	that, do you think that justified your comments towards
20	A. It should be four, because I included with that B wing,	20	him?
21	but it was B wing, I was normally working as a DCO,	21	A. No, I totally regret those comments that I made on that
22	but I have covered four wings. It wasn't every sort of	22	day, totally regret them. Sometimes you just get so
23	shift but there were occasions when that was the case.	23	frustrated and so stressed out, you know, even first
24	Q. Was that something you could actually physically do?	24	like, as soon as you walk into the building, and maybe
25	A. It took a lot of effort, but you you were just going	25	I'd had a bad shift before that and I'd come in, or
	Page 25		Page 27
	from one end of the centre to the other and dealing with	1 1	maybe I'd had a had night, but I totally regret saying
1	from one end of the centre to the other and dealing with	1	maybe I'd had a bad night, but I totally regret saying
2	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep	2	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments.
2 3	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing	2 3	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to
2 3 4	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had	2 3 4	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people?
2 3 4 5	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example.	2 3 4 5	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it
2 3 4 5 6	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some	2 3 4 5 6	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got
2 3 4 5 6 7	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on	2 3 4 5 6 7	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well.</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes.</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying:</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried."</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says:</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and"</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say:</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say: "I know, mate, the day I am fucking worried about</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been given clothing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say: "I know, mate, the day I am fucking worried about you, so I might as well pack it in [inaudible] do one."</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been given clothing? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say: "I know, mate, the day I am fucking worried about you, so I might as well pack it in [inaudible] do one." A few lines down, you say:</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been given clothing? A. Yes. Q. Do you see, or did you see, that it might be quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say: "I know, mate, the day I am fucking worried about you, so I might as well pack it in [inaudible] do one."</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been given clothing? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issues constantly through the day, but I managed to keep up and managed to get down there and check the wing diaries and so on, if need be, and check the workers had been paid, for example. Q. I want to come on to ask you a few questions about some comments you're recorded as making. First of all, on 5 June. If we could have up on screen, Zaynab, <trn0000080> at page 2, please. Mr Tomsett, this is a transcript of a recording of a conversation between you and some others, mostly between you and a detained person. Obviously, Callum Tulley was there as well. A. Yes. Q. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just to take some of your comments. It says at the top this is you saying: " don't talk about me because you are worried." The detainee says: "You know, you have to be sick, and" You say: "I know, mate, the day I am fucking worried about you, so I might as well pack it in [inaudible] do one." A few lines down, you say:</trn0000080>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that and I wish I hadn't said those comments. Q. How often do you think you made comments like this to detained people? A. I don't think I made them all that often. I think it just — when you just got so stressed and you just got so sort of anxious and being under pressure, I think — you know, with your frustrations, I think it just ends up sort of spilling out of you. Q. You say in your statement that it was important to stand your ground with detained people who were being abusive and threatening. Do you accept that this is going beyond standing your ground? A. I think I probably went over the line there. It's a bit — it's quite difficult sometimes. You're just trying to maintain that line or that boundary. I think maybe, on the odd occasion, I might have overstepped that line. As I say, I apologise for my comments that I made on that occasion, yes. Q. The background you have given to this is this was someone asking for boxer shorts who had already been given clothing? A. Yes. Q. Do you see, or did you see, that it might be quite

1	mercy of officers to get underwear?	1	Then you say:
2	A. I think so, yeah. I think it could be.	2	"Fucking, he can't even speak fucking spell."
3	Q. Do you think you had that awareness at the time, of that	3	Do you think describing a detained person as
4	sort of power dynamic, that there were these people who	4	a "cunt" was appropriate?
5	had to ask you for underwear?	5	A. Absolutely not. I regret saying that. I'm sorry about
6	A. Sorry, say?	6	that.
7	Q. Did you see at the time there was this power dynamic,	7	Q. Was that sort of language between the staff pretty
8	I'll call it, in which detained people had to ask	8	normal? We have heard some staff say words like "cunt"
9	officers for underwear?	9	were
10	A. They didn't necessarily have to ask for underwear. They	10	A. That's quite a dominant sort of word or saying in
11	would normally have to put in a request form for	11	Brook House. There was a lot of swearing that used to
12	destitute clothing, so it wasn't normally the right	12	go on in there. Sometimes that was how you communicated
13	thing to come in and sort of ask, or request, or	13	because, in some cases, that was how, you know, you
14	whatever, actual clothing, because there was	14	would be able to speak to somebody. It wasn't something
15	a particular process to follow.	15	that happened all the time with regards, like, the
16	Q. But I suppose, sort of taking a step back, there's	16	swearing, but those sort of words were used quite a lot
17	a group of officers who you're choosing to be there,	17	and I think I ended up adopting it just happened so
18	you're coming in every day, you're getting paid, and	18	often that I think I just ended up adopting some of
19	there's this group of detained people that don't choose	19	those words, which I regret doing.
20	to be there and that have to make a written request or	20	Q. When you're describing detained people as a "cunt", do
21	a verbal request to get underwear. There's quite	21	you think that that can lead to you dehumanising them as
22	a difference, isn't there?	22	well? Do you think it affected the way that you
23	A. Yes.	23	perceived them?
24	Q. Do you think you were conscious of that at the time?	24	A. Possibly.
25	A. I don't think I was, no.	25	Q. The same day, Callum Tulley made a video diary. You've
	,	20	Q. The same any, can an rane, made a rideo analy. Tourse
	Page 29		Page 31
1	Q. You would accept, I think, based on what you have just	1	seen the transcript of it. This is whilst he was
2	said, that even if he was being abusive towards you, it	2	recording the interview to camera at the end of each
3	doesn't justify you saying that he was "whining like	3	day. I'm not going to go to the document. I just want
4	a fucking girl"?	4	to put some of the quotes to you. The reference, for
5	A. No.	5	the record, is <trn0000063> and Callum Tulley described</trn0000063>
6	Q. One of the things we have heard from a few other	6	•
7	witnesses, Mr Tomsett, is about what people have		you and you have seen this as having a short fuse as
8	withesses, in Tomsett, is about what people have	1	you, and you have seen this, as having a short fuse, as
	described as a masculine culture, a macho culture, and	7	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely
	described as a masculine culture, a macho culture, and	7 8	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of
9	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of	7 8 9	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do
9 10	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at	7 8 9 10	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that?
9 10 11	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House?	7 8 9 10 11	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think
9 10 11 12	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view.	7 8 9 10 11 12	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think
9 10 11 12 13	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated
9 10 11 12 13 14	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say: " I thought you lot were doing quite well on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah. Q. Do you accept that?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say: " I thought you lot were doing quite well on [your] own."	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah. Q. Do you accept that? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say: " I thought you lot were doing quite well on [your] own." Then you say:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah. Q. Do you accept that? A. Yes. Q. One of the other things that he suggested was that you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say: " I thought you lot were doing quite well on [your] own."	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah. Q. Do you accept that? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	staff describe being told by fellow officers to sort of "man up". Did you see there being a macho culture at Brook House? A. Not particularly, from my point of view. Q. Because you're calling him a "fucking girl" and then telling him to "man up" here. Do you think that might have contributed to a macho culture? A. It's possible, yeah. Q. If we can turn to page 4 of this document, please, Zaynab. This is a brief record of a chat between you and Callum Tulley soon after this and talking about the same thing. Callum Tulley asks you whether you made a new friend. You say: " I thought you lot were doing quite well on [your] own." Then you say:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	having lost your temper, and also as being extremely efficient and working hard, but that, when the stress of the job got to you, you took it out on detainees. Do you think this is an example of that? A. I don't think I don't think he's I don't think it's completely right with what he says. I think sometimes you just become so stressed and frustrated that you maybe end up just losing your patience with it all; you know? And you're sort of dealing with the verbal abuse and some of the intimidation and the threats every day, it just sort of gets on top of you and you just have a begin to have a you know, you've had enough of it. Q. This was an example of you losing your temper? A. Yeah. Q. Do you accept that? A. Yes. Q. One of the other things that he suggested was that you

1	conflicts?	1	possibly. That's a separate one. I'll ask you about
2	A. No.	2	the comment anyway and we can find the reference later,
3	Q. Do you accept that?	3	if necessary. There is a transcript that refers to you
4	A. No, I don't, no.	4	talking about a detained person on drugs, and you say,
5	Q. Zaynab, if we could have up on screen <trn0000081>,</trn0000081>	5	"Mate, fucking look at you. Fuck me, drugs, wanker,
6	please, page 6. This is the next day, and let me	6	drugs, fucking idiot, drugs, drugs, drugs", and you have
		7	said at paragraph 152 of your witness statement that you
7	just check I have the right page. I've obviously given	8	
8	myself the wrong reference, but there is a passage, I'm		don't consider it was inappropriate to talk about
9	sure it was somewhere, and it was put to you, where	9	detainees and drugs in this way; is that right? Oh,
10	you're talking to Callum Tulley and you're saying	10	yes, I've got it there.
11	describing that interaction, and you say:	11	A. I believe those comments when I was saying, "What's the
12	"You're moaning that you're being detained. Well,	12	matter with you?", were actually out of concern, because
13	fucking stop getting detained."	13	I was probably surprised to see someone that had they
14	Do you remember that?	14	were using the drugs of some description. So that's
15	A. I don't remember the conversation, as such.	15	probably just out of concern and I would have probably
16	Q. You remember being asked about it, though; yeah?	16	advised and said to him, "You need to go and get
17	A. Yeah.	17	something to eat or something to drink".
18	Q. You say in your statement, at paragraph 149, that what	18	Q. It is up on screen. This is a conversation between you
19	you meant by that is that the detainee should sort	19	and Callum Tulley and you were describing someone on
20	himself out and get his immigration case sorted; is that	20	drugs. For example, at line 216, you say:
21	right?	21	"Fuck me. Drugs. Wanker, drugs."
22	A. Yes.	22	At 218:
23	Q. How could he get his immigration case sorted?	23	"Yeah. Fucking idiot. Drugs, drugs, drugs."
24	A. By if he needs putting a request in to speak to	24	I know you said in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that
25	the Home Office or get himself some legal advice from	25	you were concerned about people being on drugs and
	g g		
	Page 33		Page 35
1	the solicitor and seeing if there was any way that maybe	1	that's where this comes from; is that right?
1 2	the solicitor and seeing if there was any way that maybe he could prompt you know, get a response about his	1 2	that's where this comes from; is that right? A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board
2	he could prompt you know, get a response about his	2	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board
2 3	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House.	2 3	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing,
2 3 4	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience	2 3 4	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people
2 3 4 5	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were	2 3 4 5	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the
2 3 4 5 6	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing.
2 3 4 5 6 7	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's - I regret saying that, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay. A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get healthcare to attend as quick as possible", and in some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay. A. I do. Q. If we can turn to page 8 in this document, please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get healthcare to attend as quick as possible", and in some cases they were taken to hospital, ambulance called.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's - I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay. A. I do. Q. If we can turn to page 8 in this document, please hopefully I've got the right page. No, I've got the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get healthcare to attend as quick as possible", and in some cases they were taken to hospital, ambulance called. Q. So from your perspective, it was just, "Get healthcare",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay. A. I do. Q. If we can turn to page 8 in this document, please hopefully I've got the right page. No, I've got the wrong page again. Just give me one second, sorry. Just checking this is <trn0000081>. Yes, sorry. Try page 7,</trn0000081>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get healthcare to attend as quick as possible", and in some cases they were taken to hospital, ambulance called. Q. So from your perspective, it was just, "Get healthcare", basically? A. Get healthcare, put them in a recovery position, make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he could prompt you know, get a response about his case and being in Brook House. Q. You were there for three years, and your experience was did you think that detained people were themselves to blame for being detained? A. No, no. Q. In your experience, could they was the fact that they were being detained due to a lack of effort on their part to sort their case out? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Because we have heard a lot of evidence from detainees who said that all they did, a lot of the time, was try to speak to the Home Office, try to get their case sorted out. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. So "fucking stop getting detained" is not really very fair, is it? A. It's I regret saying that, yes. Q. Okay. A. I do. Q. If we can turn to page 8 in this document, please hopefully I've got the right page. No, I've got the wrong page again. Just give me one second, sorry. Just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yeah, I think that was when I was looking at the board that had all the names on, you see who was on B wing, and I think I was pointing out where the drug people using drugs or possibly even dealing them were in the wing. Q. And did you see people who were using drugs at Brook House as "fucking idiots"? A. Because I didn't think that they should be taking and using the spice and the different drugs that were in the centre. Q. Did you have any understanding about why people at Brook House used spice? A. Probably as a crutch. Probably as, you know, an escape, an escapism from sort of, maybe, the realities of having to reside in Brook House. Q. Just on the issue of spice, was there any set protocol or process for responding to spice attacks? A. Healthcare. Like, there'd be a first response or a call, "Someone's having a bad attack on spice, get healthcare to attend as quick as possible", and in some cases they were taken to hospital, ambulance called. Q. So from your perspective, it was just, "Get healthcare", basically?

1	sure they were comfortable until healthcare arrived.	1	that people are threatening to assault you or
2	Q. For you it would be to put them in a recovery position?	2	threatening you.
3	A. Yeah, if it needed it, absolutely, yeah, just making	3	Q. Sure
4	sure they were comfortable.	4	A. Again, at that time, I've most likely lost my patience
5	Q. Did you have any training about spice or drugs or	5	and said it out of frustration and stress.
6	anything like that?	6	Q. This is just a conversation between you and
7	A. I don't particularly remember any sort of training.	7	Callum Tulley and Dan Small, though?
8	There may have been something that might have cropped up	8	A. Yes.
9	in the initial training, but I can't remember exactly.	9	Q. I appreciate you're saying that, you know, if you were
10	Q. Was spice a big issue for you as an officer?	10	defending yourself or standing your ground
11	A. Well, yeah, because the drugs, be it whether it was	11	A. Yeah.
12	spice or any other drug used to cause issues with,	12	Q but it's quite far beyond saying, you know, that
13	you know, detainees in the centre, so it was quite	13	you'd punch somebody back or you'd even push them or use
14	important to try and deal with.	14	force on them. "I'll fucking put you out of your
15	Q. If we can have up on screen, please, <trn0000083> at</trn0000083>	15	misery" is pretty extreme, isn't it?
16	page 2, please. This is a conversation a record of	16	A. Yes.
17	a conversation between you and Dan Small and	17	Q. It goes beyond standing your ground. Do you accept
18	Callum Tulley, talking about a detained person.	18	that? Or it would have if it had happened?
19	Although it is redacted, at the top there is	19	A. Yes.
20	a discussion about this detained person's criminal	20	Q. Some other comments on the same day. At pages 15 to 16
21	record, and you describe him as a "horrible bastard".	21	of this, please actually, before we do that, if we
22	You say that he put his chin out this is at lines 20	22	can just oh, no, we're fine here. This is part of
23	to 21. You say:	23	a conversation between you and Callum Tulley about
24	"Put his chin out", and he wanted you to "fucking	24	detained people, and you are saying at the top that it's
25	dig him". That's 23. You say at line 25:	25	"just a game to them", and then you say:
	Page 37		Page 39
	1 uge 37		1 age 37
1	"Yeah. I went, 'No, mate. I said, 'You' I said,	1	"They come to they come they come to whatever
2	'Throw the first one. I said, 'You throw the first one,	2	country they go to whatever country. It doesn't
3	and I'll fucking put you out of your misery. If you	3	matter where. They go to whatever country. They don't
4	throw the first one, I'll fucking put you out of this	4	want to come here legally; they want to do it illegally
5	office. So it's up to you, mate'. Stinking attitude."	5	under a lorry, back of a bus, whatever. And they arrive
6	Did you actually say that to the detained person?	6	here, they get away with doing work, getting paid cash,
7	A. That's what it says in the transcript. I regret	7	paying no taxes, mate. You know, all of this sort of
8	I regret those words. I've seen it in the transcript	8	stuff."
9	and that, so if I said that to the detainee, I apologise	9	Then you say:
10	and I regret that.	10	"It's just a game. They get caught, they come in
11	Q. Do you accept that that's threatening someone, saying	11	here. They get sent back, they come back again. Why?
12	"I'll fucking put you out of your misery"?	12	Because everything's here. They don't have this sort of
13	A. I think there was probably a bit more of a back story to	13	shit going on over there in Albania or Poland"
14	it, in terms of stuff that had been going on before that	14	You say:
15	and with the detainee, I'm not sure how long he'd	15	"They don't have this great, amazing benefits
16	been on the wing, or whatever, but there was probably	16	system."
17	a back story to it.	17	You say:
18	Q. Regardless of that, Mr Tomsett, you say in your	18	"So they come over here. It's incredible."
19	statement that you had never threatened a detainee. You	19	Then you talk about something about someone getting
20	say that at paragraph 161. But this is threatening,	20	a maisonette. If we can turn over to the next page,
21	isn't it?	21	please, you say:
22	A. If I was going to get if I was going to be assaulted.	22	" I'm not really that bothered. You know, if
23	Q. That you would "fucking put them out of their misery"?	23	people come want to come and live here, so be it.
24	A. I was just standing up for myself, because that's the	24	I really don't give a shit."
25	sort of thing that, sometimes, you just come across,	25	Actually, back over to the previous page, sorry, at
	Page 38		Page 40
	0		07

		1	
1	the bottom, line 613, you say:	1	Q. When you say "Sort out our own fucking boys and girls
2	"When they come over here, and they want this and	2	first", does that not suggest it does matter to you
3	they want that. It's like, hold on, how about we	3	where people come from?
4	fucking look after and sort out, you know, your own kids	4	A. No.
5	first. Sort out our own fucking boys and girls."	5	Q. Before we move on to some of the allegations that were
6	You were asked about this in your statement,	6	made against you at the time, you may be aware that
7	Mr Tomsett, and you say that this view that you were	7	there's a someone who is a witness to the inquiry who
8	expressing here didn't affect you in your role at	8	has provided a draft witness statement. I'm just going
9	Brook House; is that right?	9	to read what he says about you and ask you to comment on
10	A. Yes.	10	it. It's someone who we know as D180. He says:
11	Q. Did you see the people who were detained at Brook House	11	"Darren Tomsett was extremely nasty to detainees.
12	as having come to the UK for the benefits system?	12	He was very confrontational and appeared to really enjoy
13	A. Some of the detainees actually told us that's what	13	using force and restraining detainees. He was racist
14	happened. That's what they did. Some detainees had	14	towards the detainees. For example, he would tell
15	said to me that they'd come to the country, they work	15	people who were applying to stay in the UK that they
16	and then send the money back home and they said that	16	should just leave and go back to their country. He did
17	that's what they did and that's what happened.	17	not care about our well-being whatsoever and detainees
18	Q. That's working and sending the money home is different	18	disliked him. Darren is one of the wing officers who
19	from coming over here and using the benefits system,	19	would let people out in the morning and lock people up
20	isn't it?	20	at night."
21	A. Yes.	21	He then goes on to describe you working at the wing
22	Q. Did you see that as being what most of the detainees at	22	office and he says:
23	Brook House were doing?	23	"I began buying toiletries with money which friends
24	A. I don't know, because I don't know what their situation	24	and family had sent me and I made working just so that
25	was, whether they were working or not. It was just sort	25	I didn't have to go to the office to ask Darren for
	,		6
	Page 41		Page 43
1	of generalised comments, what they would they'd be in	1	anything. Life was less distressing if I avoided him."
1 2	of generalised comments, what they would they'd be in	1 2	anything. Life was less distressing if I avoided him." What do you say about those allegations?
2	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason,	2	What do you say about those allegations?
2 3	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or	2 3	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true
2 3 4	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever.	2	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own
2 3 4 5	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House	2 3 4 5	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country.
2 3 4 5 6	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum?	2 3 4 5 6	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee?
2 3 4 5 6 7	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations	2 3 4 5 6 7	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their — some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist and we will come on to some of those accusations in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist and we will come on to some of those accusations in a bit it doesn't matter to you where came people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger? A. No. Q. "Go back to your own fucking country"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist and we will come on to some of those accusations in a bit it doesn't matter to you where came people from; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger? A. No. Q. "Go back to your own fucking country"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist and we will come on to some of those accusations in a bit it doesn't matter to you where came people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger? A. No. Q. "Go back to your own fucking country"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the United Kingdom and they were, for whatever reason, sending money back, whether that was for, like, work or whatever. Q. Were you aware that many of the detainees at Brook House were seeking asylum? A. I didn't know what their some of their situations were. Q. But you knew, for example, lots of them were from countries like Afghanistan? A. Yeah, yeah. Q. Or other countries where they said that they'd fled from. You were aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Did you see that cohort of detainees differently from those who you saw as coming to use the benefits system? A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You didn't treat them differently? A. No. Q. One of the things you say overall in your statement is that, although you've been accused of being racist and we will come on to some of those accusations in a bit it doesn't matter to you where came people from; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What do you say about those allegations? A. I think that's inaccurate and I don't think that's true and I wouldn't have told him to go back to his own country. Q. You never said that to a detainee? A. No. No, I think that's misconstrued. Q. What do you mean? A. Just sometimes when you're having a conversation with a detainee, you're kind of like saying you know, you'd be talking about something and you could say, "Well, you know, if things weren't going so well for you in the United Kingdom with regards to your case", sometimes you might say, "have you considered that you can return to your home country, your home country". And I think sometimes, when you're having conversations, I think and myself included, in different cultures you can sometimes maybe misconstrue what certain some things that are said. I wouldn't have said that, he should go back to his own country, no. Q. So you wouldn't have said that in anger? A. No. Q. "Go back to your own fucking country"?

_			
1	A. No.	1	Q. Do you think that the volume of complaints suggests that
2	Q. I want to come on to ask you, Mr Tomsett, about some of	2	that wasn't successful?
3	the complaints that were made against you during your	3	A. No.
4	employment. I'm not going to ask you about all of them.	4	Q. You don't think that?
5	I think there were 13 complaints in total. You have	5	A. I think I built some very good relationships with a lot
6	addressed each of them in your witness statement?	6	of detainees in the centre, especially where I was
7	A. Mmm-hmm.	7	working on A and B wing.
8	Q. Before we get into the detail of some of them, do you	8	Q. But do you think there was also a group of detainees
9	have any view now, you know, with all of this having	9	whom you weren't successful in building that
10	been put to you, why you were the subject of so many	10	relationship with?
11	complaints?	11	A. I think with the detainees, even the detainees that had
12	A. I think probably mainly because I would stand up and	12	put complaints in against me, I still had built a decent
13	I would follow try and adhere to the centre rules,	13	kind of working relationship with them, and I think
14	and I think that maybe detainees didn't like, sometimes,	14	perhaps there was just occasions where things might have
15	what I might say, in terms that maybe they weren't	15	just gotten to a point where they didn't like something
16	allowed to have something or they weren't allowed to do	16	and, at that particular point, they'd put a complaint in
17	something, and I think that's where it all sort of comes	17	against me, for whichever reasons.
18	from.	18	Q. I'm going to ask you briefly about some of
19	Q. Do you think that, on reflection, given some of	19	the complaints before 2017, before the relevant period,
20	the recordings we have been talking about, your own	20	because although we are not concerned with the detail of
21	behaviour was at all to blame for the number of	21	those, we are concerned with the broad issues as
22	complaints you got?	22	context. So you deal with these from paragraph 168 of
23	A. I don't think so, not for those complaints, no. That	23	your witness statement, and, first of all, there is an
24	was all found to be unsubstantiated bar one.	24	allegation or a complaint from someone we know as D1985,
25	Q. At any stage during the three years of your employment,	25	in October 2015, that you were abusing and threatening
	Page 45		Page 47
1	did anyone from the Home Office or G4S ever discuss with	1	him and that you treat detainees like the worst scum on
2	you the fact of the complaints in their totality? So	2	earth. What do you say about that?
3	rather than asking you about any specific complaint,	3	A. Well, I think that's false. I don't know anything about
4	saying, you know, "Mr Tomsett, taking a step back here,	4	that, actually. I've done a statement and everything,
5	we have looked at this and you have been subject to	5	but I've got nothing to say about it. It was found to
6	13 complaints over the last two years", did you ever	6	be unsubstantiated.
7	have any conversation like that?	7	Q. Do you have any idea why someone would say that you were
8	A. No.	8	abusing and threatening them?
9	Q. Were you conscious at the time that you just kept on	9	A. No.
10	being subject to complaints?	10	Q. In this complaint, some of the other there was also
11	A. No, not really. Because I — I think, when I would look	11	some other residents that signed a petition and it was
12	at it, if there was 13 was it 13 complaints? over,	12	noted in the outcome that some of those who signed the
13	like, a three-year period, I wasn't particularly	13	petition said they didn't have a problem with you; is
14	concerned, but then I didn't know I didn't know how	14	that right?
15	many complaints I wasn't keeping count of them and	15	A. Yes.
16	I didn't know that a complaint had been sort of put in	16	Q. It was also noted that D1985 himself admitted using
17	against me until a manager or the DCM Edwards	17	abusive language?
18	Q. Conway Edwards?	18	A. Yes.
19		19	Q. Given what we have seen in a couple of the recordings,
20	A. Conway Edwards would come and advise me of it and say	20	do you think it's possible that you did respond in the
21	that he wanted to speak with me and investigate the	20	same type of way as you did in that recording, by
22	complaint. Q. One things you say at the beginning of your witness	21 22	same type of way as you did in that recording, by saying, you know, calling him a "cunt" or saying
23		22 23	anything like that?
23	statement is that you would try, in your employment, to	23	• •
25	build a working relationship with detained people? A. Yes.	25	A. I haven't done that in with this alleged with this
23	A. 105.	23	complaint.
	Page 46		Page 48
	-		12 (Pages 45 to 48)

1	Q. Okay.	1	your conduct appeared as if you wanted to fight the
2	A. So I haven't really got anything much more to say to it,	2	detainees and were never in the wrong, that you behaved
3	apart from, it was investigated and it was found to be	3	aggressively and were cocky, particularly when D381
4	unsubstantiated, I hadn't done anything.	4	asked for a curtain, and it also notes at page 5 that
5	Q. The next one, at paragraph 169, you deal with an	5	the complaint was signed by some others as well.
6	allegation from D4277 in February 2016. He alleges that	6	I think it's at the bottom of page 5. What do you say,
7	you were racist and said to him to go back to his	7	Mr Tomsett, in response to those allegations, that you
8	country. Do you accept that?	8	discriminated against black or Caribbean detainees,
9	A. No, that's false. I never said that.	9	firstly?
10	Q. That's, of course, the same allegation that's made by	10	A. That's false.
11	D180 to this inquiry. Any idea why two detainees would	11	Q. False. Do you accept that you behaved aggressively
12	allege that you made	12	towards detained people?
13	A. No idea.	13	A. No, that's false.
14	Q exactly the same comment?	14	Q. And do you accept that your conduct might have appeared
15	A. No.	15	as if you wanted to fight detainees?
16	Q. You're sure that you never said it to someone in anger?	16	A. I didn't want to fight detainees. That's false.
17	A. Said what in anger?	17	Q. We have already seen a recording of you saying, "Throw
18	Q. Said "Go back to your fucking country", or anything like	18	the first one and I'll fucking put you out of your
19	that?	19	misery". You think you might have said that sort of
20	A. No, definitely not.	20	comment to someone?
21	Q. Paragraph 171 of your statement. There's an allegation	21	A. No.
22	from D4049 in September 2016 that you asked him	22	Q. In your statement, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at
23	questions in an argumentative tone, you made a racist	23	paragraph 175. You say that you don't recall the
24	comment and swore at him. I think you accept in your	24	incident with D381, but you told Conway Edwards, when he
25	statement, Mr Tomsett, that you asked D4049 what he was	25	investigated, that you'd been asked for a curtain and
	Page 49		Page 51
1	looking at; is that right?	1	that you had said there were no curtains on D wing; is
1 2	looking at; is that right? A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept	1 2	that you had said there were no curtains on D wing; is that right?
2	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept		that right?
		2	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd
2 3	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the	2 3	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form
2 3 4	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that	2 3 4	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to
2 3 4 5 6	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept	2 3 4 5 6	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation?	2 3 4 5 6 7	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what —	2 3 4 5 6	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what — if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not going to go through each page of this, but, in summary,</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or race; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not going to go through each page of this, but, in summary, this complaint was that you discriminated against black</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or race; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not going to go through each page of this, but, in summary, this complaint was that you discriminated against black or Caribbean detained people, that you got very</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or race; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you think, looking back, that you were good at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not going to go through each page of this, but, in summary, this complaint was that you discriminated against black</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or race; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I believe he just kept staring at me and just kept looking at me from where the fax machine was into the office and I wondered what the issue was. Q. You note that a staff member from chaplaincy said that she'd observed a heated conversation. Do you accept that there was a heated conversation? A. I don't remember it, but I'll accept that that's what if that's right. Q. What about swearing at him? Do you think you might have sworn at him? A. I don't know. Q. One of the allegations was that you said, "You lot think you're big men". Do you think that's something you might have said? A. I don't recollect what that means, or I don't recollect even saying that. Q. I now want to come on to some of the complaints that were made about you during the relevant period. Firstly, in relation to a complaint made by D381. If we can have up on screen <cjs001443>, please. I'm not going to go through each page of this, but, in summary, this complaint was that you discriminated against black or Caribbean detained people, that you got very</cjs001443> 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that right? A. I believe the detainee was diversity orderly, so he'd been coming over to Bravo wing. If he needed any form of curtain of any description, he'd be able to speak to his own wing officer on his own wing for that sort of thing. Q. Why were people coming onto your wing and asking for curtains if they could get them from their own? A. I don't know. Maybe just because they actually needed a curtain but they weren't being provided with one on their own wing, or maybe it was just a ploy to try to get onto the wing for some other reason. Q. If we can turn to page 10 of this document, please, this is part of the outcome letter from Conway Edwards, who investigated this. He concludes that the allegation was unsubstantiated and he notes that you had told the detainee that there were no curtains, you'd explained that you treat everyone with respect and dignity, you felt that you were pretty good at defusing situations and that you didn't have any issues with nationality or race; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you think, looking back, that you were good at

1			
	A. I think so, yeah, yeah.	1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. Do you think you treated everyone with respect and	2	Q. And you responding to it and then him complaining about
3	dignity?	3	your response to it. This suggests Dan Lake is
4	A. As best as I could, yes, actually.	4	suggesting here that, in response to being asked for
5	Q. But not everyone, right, because you have accepted that	5	a curtain, you went fucking nuts and said, "You ain't
6	there were a couple of times when you lost your temper?	6	fucking having one". Is it possible that that's what
7	A. Yes, I accept that.	7	you said in response?
8	Q. If we can turn to a different document, <trn0000080>,</trn0000080>	8	A. I don't think so, no.
9	please, at page 16, please. So this is a record of	9	Q. Do you remember if other people were around when D381
10	a conversation on 5 June, bearing in mind the complaint	10	asked you for the curtain?
11	that was made that we are talking about was made the	11	A. I don't. I don't remember.
12	next day, on 6 June. This is a situation that you	12	Q. Do you accept that if Dan Lake is giving an accurate
13	weren't a part of, but it is partly about you. It says	13	account here, where he says that you went nuts and said,
14	at I'm just going to summarise some of what it says.	14	"You ain't fucking having one", do you accept that that
15	It is a conversation between Dan Lake, Dan Small and	15	would have been an inappropriate way to respond to
16	Callum Tulley. There is a discussion about you having	16	a request for a curtain?
17	responded to someone pulling a curtain, Dan Lake says:	17	A. If that was the case, yes.
18	"Geezer coming from C wing, he's pulling a curtain	18	Q. Just on this issue, in terms of asking for a curtain,
19	and Darren just had a go at him like you wouldn't	19	what were curtains used for?
20	believe."	20	A. They were the toilet curtains or the curtains that would
21	Dan Small says:	21	go up on the windows.
22	"You could either tell him, 'okay, I will get you	22	Q. Did you see, at the time, that having to ask for
23	a curtain from the spare room that we have' or 'no, we	23	a curtain to hide yourself going to the toilet might be
24	don't have any, I'm afraid'. But Darren he's losing	24	quite a demeaning thing to have to ask for?
25	the plot man."	25	A. I don't think they looked at it I don't think anyone
	Page 53		Page 55
	1 uge 55		1 age 33
1	And Callum Tulley says:	1	looked at it as demeaning. It was just the fact they
2	"Who is this D381?"	2	needed a curtain. But a lot of the times the curtains
3	So it appears it's talking about the same gentleman		
	11 8 8	3	would get removed and go elsewhere and then you wouldn't
4	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about	3 4	would get removed and go elsewhere and then you wouldn't even be able to find curtains within the centre, so
4 5			
	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about	4	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so
5	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you:	4 5	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've
5 6	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having	4 5 6	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do
5 6 7	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'."	4 5 6 7	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating
5 6 7 8	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme".	4 5 6 7 8	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory.
5 6 7 8 9	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee	4 5 6 7 8 9	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had
5 6 7 8 9	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you	4 5 6 7 8 9	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter".	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out." What do you say in relation to these comments?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would be pretty demeaning?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out." What do you say in relation to these comments? A. I don't know what I mean, I don't know what to say.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would be pretty demeaning? A. Yeah, it could be, yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out." What do you say in relation to these comments? A. I don't know what I mean, I don't know what to say. That's their sort of their opinions, by the looks of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would be pretty demeaning? A. Yeah, it could be, yeah. Q. Did you have sympathy for people having to ask for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out." What do you say in relation to these comments? A. I don't know what I mean, I don't know what to say. That's their sort of their opinions, by the looks of it, but I don't recollect saying any of that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would be pretty demeaning? A. Yeah, it could be, yeah. Q. Did you have sympathy for people having to ask for curtains?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	who made the complaint against you. Dan Lake says about you: "He went nuts. He went 'you ain't fucking having one, they are for this week'." Dan Lake then describes it as "so extreme". Dan Small then describes an occasion where a detainee was looking at you and you responded saying, "Do you want to kiss me or something?" Dan Lake then describes you as a "fucking nutter" and says that you "completely lost the plot". He describes you as a "raving nutter". Then, at the bottom of the page, Dan Small says: "What he does is he will argue with them and then bin them off." And Dan Lake says: "Yeah, definitely, he winds them up and then sends them out." What do you say in relation to these comments? A. I don't know what I mean, I don't know what to say. That's their sort of their opinions, by the looks of it, but I don't recollect saying any of that. Q. The complaint that we're dealing with is D381 asking for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	even be able to find curtains within the centre, so therefore you'd have to — what could you do? If you've got no curtains, you know, there's nothing you can do about it. I can imagine it would be quite a frustrating thing when you want to use the lavatory. Q. If someone didn't have a curtain, if, as you say, it had been taken and there weren't any, it would mean, presumably, they had to go to the toilet in front of someone else? A. If they were in the same room at the time, yeah. Q. There weren't just public toilets or anything they could use, were there? A. No, there wasn't. The toilets were in each of the rooms. Q. Do you accept that having to go to the toilet in your room in front of somebody else without a curtain would be pretty demeaning? A. Yeah, it could be, yeah. Q. Did you have sympathy for people having to ask for curtains? A. Yeah, the curtains and everything should have been in

1	opened up and we first started using B wing as the	1	statement to the inquiry. If we can have it up on
2	induction wing, everything was in there, everything was	2	screen, it is <dl0000231>, at page 28, please. This is</dl0000231>
3	in place, but over slowly slowly, over time, bits and	3	where he starts talking about the incident at the bottom
4	pieces would eventually disappear and the curtains would	4	of that page, on 28 June. He says:
5	disappear, so then you'd chase around or try and see if	5	"A detention officer told me that I was not allowed
6	you could order some more and replace them.	6	to go to C wing and that I should change my clothes
7	Q. We have heard some evidence over the last couple of	7	because I looked gay."
8	weeks, and also in November, from former detainees who	8	He says:
9	talk about having to go to the toilet in front of their	9	"I reacted angrily to what he said because I could
10	roommates as being one of the worst things that they had	10	not believe that a detention officer would speak to me
11	to experience at Brook House. Did you appreciate that	11	like that."
12	at the time?	12	Then if we can go over the page, please. At
13	A. Not until you sort of mention it or talk about it	13	paragraph 104 he said that you made this comment in
14	like that, no-one has ever sort of brought that up with	14	front of lots of other detainees and they laughed at him
15	me or spoken to me about it.	15	and teased him and mocked him for days after the
16	Q. But looking back now, you can accept that that would be	16	comment. What do you say to this allegation?
17	a pretty demeaning thing to have to do?	17	A. No, again, I think that's false and untrue.
18	A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.	18	Q. You say in your statement, when talking about this, that
19	Q. I now want to ask you about a complaint made by someone	19	you would never say a detainee looked gay or that he
20	who we know as D1538. The complaint, Mr Tomsett, that	20	should change his clothes because he looked gay?
21	was made was that, in August sorry, the complaint was	21	A. No.
22	made in August 2017, and the complaint was that, on	22	Q. And that you didn't push him or push his head down?
23	28 June 2017, an officer had told him that he needed to	23	A. No.
24	change his clothes, as he looked gay, and then he	24	Q. Do you have any idea why someone might make
25	reacted angrily and was given a written warning for his	25	a quite specific allegation about quite a specific
	Page 57		Page 59
1	behaviour, and this officer was later identified as	1	
		1 1	comment against vou?
2		1 2	comment against you? A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him
2 3	being you. You say in response to this in your	2	A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him
2 3 4	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to		A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing.
3	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that	2 3	A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up
3 4	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning.	2 3 4	A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing.
3 4 5	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him.
3 4 5 6	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation?
3 4 5 6 7	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly.
3 4 5 6 7 8	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay? A. No, absolutely not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made against you, one is that, on 29 June, you went to his
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay? A. No, absolutely not. Q. Do you think there is no way you would have said that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made against you, one is that, on 29 June, you went to his room and told him to take your stuff and get out, in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay? A. No, absolutely not. Q. Do you think there is no way you would have said that statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made against you, one is that, on 29 June, you went to his room and told him to take your stuff and get out, in a rude manner that shocked him, in relation to him being
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay? A. No, absolutely not. Q. Do you think there is no way you would have said that statement? A. Absolutely not, no. Q. You should have also seen, Mr Tomsett, his witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made against you, one is that, on 29 June, you went to his room and told him to take your stuff and get out, in a rude manner that shocked him, in relation to him being due to be removed. And he also alleges that, on 24 August, when he went for a visit with his brother,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being you. You say in response to this in your statement, Mr Tomsett, that you'd issued the warning to D1538 that day, and you set out the contents of that warning. A. Yes. Q. And the complaint was eventually investigated by the Professional Standards Unit, which I think who I think interviewed you as part of that? A. Yes. Q. I think they might have used the G4S statement for it, but either way you knew about the investigation, and, again, he repeated the allegation that you'd said to him that he looked gay and said he also said during that investigation that you pushed him out and pushed his head down? A. No. Q. And he said he was concerned that other detained people would think that he was gay. Did you say to him that he looked gay? A. No, absolutely not. Q. Do you think there is no way you would have said that statement? A. Absolutely not, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Maybe he was making it up because I wouldn't let him access onto the wing. Q. If he was going to make it up, he might make up something stronger than he looked gay, mightn't he? A. I can't answer it, but I know I never said that to him. Q. You think it was entirely invented, this allegation? A. Possibly. Q. Did you ever say anything like that to any detainee about their sexuality A. No. Q or anything like that? A. No. Q. Coming on to some complaints that were made by someone who we know as D668, he made a series of complaints, and, just to summarise what the complaints were against you, for your reference, Mr Tomsett, you deal with this at paragraphs 183 to 186 of your statement? A. I've got it, thank you. Q. But just to summarise the allegations that were made against you, one is that, on 29 June, you went to his room and told him to take your stuff and get out, in a rude manner that shocked him, in relation to him being due to be removed. And he also alleges that, on

1 1 you have any idea why there might be that number of you asked him rudely to, "Come here", frisked him 2 2 roughly, told him to shut up and left him feeling allegations of racism against you? 3 3 intimidated and humiliated, and he also said that you A. No, I don't. No. 4 were racist. What do you say in relation to these 4 Q. When you were interviewed by the PSU, you said, and you 5 allegations, Mr Tomsett? 5 quote this in your statement at paragraph 183, you say 6 6 that the word "racist" was a loose term that was thrown A. Again, it's false and it's not accurate. 7 Q. You were interviewed by the PSU I think in 7 around in Brook House; is that right? 8 late January 2018, after you'd left your employment at 8 A. Yeah. 9 9 Q. Did you experience people calling you "racist" to your Brook House. 10 10 A. Yes. face? 11 11 Q. And, in summary, your response was that, first of all, 12 in relation to 29 June, you wouldn't have spoken to him 12 Q. Did you ever reflect on whether these allegations might 13 in the way suggested, but you'd have asked him to go to 13 have anything to them? 14 14 A. Sometimes they would just say it to me because they reception after he packed his things, and you say that 15 15 you conducted the search in the same manner you'd have didn't like what I would have said to them; ie, if 16 16 done --I said to them they weren't allowed to come onto the 17 17 wing, for example, because that was quite a common A. Same manner, yes. 18 Q. Firm, but not too soft? 18 thing, eventually they'd get tired of, you know, sort of 19 19 A. Just the regular pat-down search. It has to be -- you keep asking you and, eventually, at some point, at some 20 have to -- it has to be done firm enough through --20 times, you can accused of being racist because you 21 21 like, because they have got their clothes on. They wouldn't let them come onto the wing. 22 might have, like, a jumper on or something like that. 22 Q. And you don't consider yourself to be racist at all? 23 So you just have to be able to do it so it's not too 23 A. No. 24 soft but it's got to be enough to be able to feel down 24 Q. Did you ever reflect on whether you needed to change 25 25 your behaviour at all in this regard? the arms and the legs and the torso. Page 61 Page 63 1 Q. One of the allegations is that you told him to shut up. 1 A. Not as far as I was aware, no. 2 You say that you'd never have told a detained person to 2 Q. I briefly want to ask you about another complaint. This 3 3 is in relation to D1399. You deal with this at shut up. But we have already seen evidence of you 4 telling a detained person to "stop whining like 4 paragraph 188 of your statement. 5 5 a fucking girl". So are you saying that you would never A. Thank you. 6 tell a detainee to shut up? 6 Q. The complaint here is that -- by D1399 that he'd 7 7 A. He said that I told him to shut but I never told him to requested a curtain and you'd been aggressive in 8 8 response, told him you'd change his wing and that you'd 9 Q. You've never told a detainee to shut up? 9 make his life difficult. First of all, you say, A. I may have done previously, but I didn't tell him to 10 10 Mr Tomsett, at paragraph 189, you say you'd never have 11 shut up, no. 11 said that you'd make his life difficult; is that right? 12 Q. How can you be so sure of that? 12 A. No. I don't even recollect that -- this incident. But 13 A. Because it's not something I would have said to him. 13 I wouldn't have said that to him. I'd have advised him 14 I just asked him to get his stuff and he needs to come 14 if we had curtains or not, and I believe it was dealt 15 15 down to reception. with by the manager. 16 Q. Are you sure you weren't just denying having said that 16 Q. This is obviously quite similar to one of the previous 17 17 to protect yourself? allegations, which was about asking you for a curtain 18 18 and you getting aggressive in response. In fact, A. No, I don't think so, no. I didn't say that. 19 19 Q. You will have been aware from the documents provided to there's a pattern of allegations about you getting 20 you that D668, who we are talking about, gave evidence 20 aggressive in response to requests. Is that something 21 to this inquiry last year, and he said that you were --2.1 that you think is fair? Did you get aggressive? 22 22 he made these allegations again and said that you were A. No, I don't think I did. 23 racist and behaved differently towards black people and 23 Q. Do you think you lost your temper easily? 24 white people. There's obviously been quite a few 24 A. Not easily, no. 25 25 allegations made against you of racism, Mr Tomsett. Do Q. On occasion, though? Page 62 Page 64

1 1 A. I would say it's happened on occasion. with a completely open mind? 2 2 Q. How often are we talking? Are we talking once a month, A. Yeah, just trying to ascertain what the facts were of 3 3 once a year, once a week, once a day? each of the complaints that I might have dealt with. 4 4 Q. Did you feel any pressure, or did you feel completely A. Maybe once every couple of months. It's very difficult 5 to sort of judge or come up with something like that. 5 free to decide one way or another? 6 Q. The request, again, this time, is for a curtain. Again, 6 A. Like, was I the one that was making the decisions on --7 that would be for use of the toilet; yes? 7 Q. For example, some of the former detainees have given 8 8 evidence saying that when they made a complaint, it A. I'm not sure exactly what -- if it was a curtain for the q 9 would just be found to be unsubstantiated, officers toilet or was it a curtain for the window? 10 10 O. So would there also be curtains for the windows as well? would just back each other up. Did you feel any 11 11 A. Yeah. So it might not necessarily be curtains for the pressure to back up other officers? 12 toilet. We could be talking about he wanted curtains 12 A. No. 13 for the window. Again, if they wasn't available or they 13 Q. We have seen that some of the allegations against you, 14 weren't in the room -- I'd go and have a look. You'd 14 but also some other allegations before this inquiry, 15 generally go up and have a quick check and go, there's 15 a detained person complains and says that something 16 16 happened or someone said something, the staff member no curtains. So you'd either try and take them -- or 17 put the detainee into another room with curtains or take 17 denies it and it is one person's word against the other. 18 the curtains out and put them into the room that he was 18 What approach would you take to investigating 19 19 a complaint where that was the case? 20 Q. The consequence of not having a curtain for the window 20 A. To get statements from everybody that was sort of, like, 21 presumably would be that, at 6 am, in the summer, you 21 involved in that situation at the time and get -- try 22 might wake up because it would be light? 22 and get an account from, you know, everyone that was 23 23 24 Q. So that's something which would be quite a big deal for 24 Q. If it is just one person's word against another, would 25 25 someone, presumably? that mean the complaint would be unsubstantiated? Page 65 Page 67 1 A. I think so, if you didn't want to be woken up in the 1 A. I literally don't remember. I think if ever I did an 2 early hours of the morning because of the light coming 2 investigation, I think I always -- I think I would speak 3 3 to a senior manager to get clarification on where to through. 4 Q. Was there a chronic shortage of curtains? Was that an 4 move forward with it. 5 5 issue? Q. So you'd seek assistance on how to reach a decision? 6 A. I don't know if it there was an actual shortage of 6 A. Yeah, because I hadn't been doing the job all that long 7 7 curtains. I think it was just the case that when and not having had any sort of training with regards to 8 8 investigating and dealing with, you know, complaints detainees were moved to another wing, they would sometimes take the curtains with them, but not all the 9 like that, then I would need to go and -- if I remember 10 time. So when you went and did your checks on the rooms 10 rightly, I'd go and speak to a senior manager so I knew 11 and had the rooms cleaned out, just you found from time 11 what sort of direction to take with it. 12 to time there was these things missing, so you need to 12 Q. We have obviously been over some of the complaints made 13 try and replenish them and replace them. 13 against you, and obviously some of the complaints that you investigated as well. In your experience, did you 14 14 Q. When people asked you for things like this, do you think you generally responded reasonably to that? 15 15 think that it was easy for detained people to make 16 16 complaints? A. I think so, yeah. Yeah. 17 17 Q. Moving on to a different issue, Mr Tomsett, when you A. Well, yeah, I mean, I think the process was quite easy 18 became acting DCM in August 2017, you took on a role for and straightforward, that if they wasn't -- if 18 19 19 a detainee wasn't satisfied with something, they could investigating complaints as well; is that right? 20 20 fill out a complaint form, which would be on the wing, 21 Q. Was there any issue raised by anyone about your fitness 2.1 or in the library or the welfare centre, they could fill 22 to investigate complaints, given the number of out a complaint form, and then that would go to the 22 23 complaints against you? 23 Home Office. 24 A. No. No-one said anything to me. 24 MR LIVINGSTON: I want to ask a couple of questions about 25 Q. When you were investigating complaints, did you do so 25 use of force in general. Before we do, chair, would it Page 66 Page 68

1	be possible just to have a five-minute break?	1	Mr Tomsett, in your statement, at paragraphs 126 and
2	THE CHAIR: Indeed, of course.	2	128, that you used reasonable and necessary force, and
3	MR LIVINGSTON: If you could rise for five minutes.	3	in response to the allegation that you put your hands
4	(11.28 am)	4	around his neck or throat, you say that you never did
5	(A short break)	5	that?
6	(11.38 am)	6	A. Absolutely not.
7	MR LIVINGSTON: Mr Tomsett, there's been a request from one	7	Q. Did you ever put your hands around a detained person's
8	of the core participants if you can take your mask off	8	neck or throat?
9	just for five seconds. Is that okay?	9	A. No, ever, no.
10	A. Yes, sure.	10	Q. You filled out a lengthy incident report form about this
11	Q. You can put it back on now.	11	incident. We have it in the bundle. I don't need to
12	A. Okay.	12	bring it up, but for the record it is <inn000018>. You</inn000018>
13	Q. Just a couple of questions about use of force in	13	included in that, Mr Tomsett, that you were verbally
14	general. Paragraph 94 of your statement, Mr Tomsett,	14	abused almost every day, and usually threatened in one
15	you say that you tried to avoid force in general and	15	way or another; is that right?
16	that detainees were always given the opportunity to walk	16	A. Yes.
17	of their own accord; is that right	17	Q. What impact did that have on you?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Well, just it just sort of chips away at you every
19	Q in your experience?	19	day, doesn't it, and eventually you just get to the
20	A. Is that in terms of, like, planned	20	point where, you know, you don't want to continue
21	Q. Well, either. I mean, you've said that detainees were	21	working there anymore. It's sort of a very different
22	given the opportunity to walk of their own accord, so	22	environment to working at Brook House as opposed to
23	A. In C&Rs, yeah.	23	working in a job elsewhere, so, yeah, just it just
24	Q presuming this is situations where detainees were	24	chips away at you, just takes a little bit away from you
25	being taken to the CSU or being escorted for removal or	25	each time, and then eventually I, yeah, got to the point
			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Page 69		Page 71
1	at that a to the state	1	1 11 11 17 1
1	things like that. In your experience, detainees were	1	where I decided to leave.
2	always given the opportunity to walk of their own accord	2 3	Q. Did you did it affect the way that you saw detainees?
3	first; is that right?	4	Do you think that the fact that you say you were receiving this verbal abuse and threats from detainees,
5	A. That's generally yes, generally the case, like with	5	did that affect the way that you saw them?
6	planned C&Rs. It's not often the case if it was sort of like a spontaneous use of force.	6	A. Not so much, no. Not the way that I saw them. But it
7		7	
8	Q. You say that force was always the last resort and that	8	might have been maybe in some of the areas that you've
9	you tried to avoid using C&R techniques, talking to detainees to calm down the situation first. Is that	9	covered where I've said things that I shouldn't have done and I've regretted those. I think, in normal
10	something you did?	10	circumstances, I probably wouldn't have said those if it
11	A. Yes. C&R was to be used as a, like, last resort, yes.	11	was in a different environment. As I say
		12	•
12	Q. Were there any staff that you perceived as not taking	13	Q. So language like describing someone as a "cunt" or a "wanker"?
13	that approach?		
14	A. Not as far as I'm aware. Q. Did you ever see a colleague of yours using excessive	14	A. Yeah. It's just my frustration and my stress and my
15 16		16	anxiety coming out, just because of those situations and
17	force or unnecessary force? A. I haven't, no.	17	having to deal with those things at the time. O Now after this incident with D1020 you were suspended.
	,	18	Q. Now, after this incident with D1020, you were suspended following this incident: is that right?
18	Q. You have never seen a colleague doing that?	19	following this incident; is that right?
19	A. I haven't, no.	20	A. That's right.
20	Q. Other than in Panorama, presumably?		Q. Is that the only time you were ever suspended after
21	A. Other than viewing Panorama.	21	a complaint?
22	Q. I want to ask you about an incident involving force used	22	A. Indeed, yes.
23	against someone we know as D1020. I'm not going to ask	23	Q. Were you told or do you have any idea about why you were
24 25	you in detail about it because the incident postdates	24 25	suspended this time but never before?
23	the relevant period, but at a high level, you say,	23	A. I was never I was never suspended for any of
		1	
	Page 70		Page 72

1 1 the other complaints that were put towards me. for myself. I didn't -- I just thought I'd done as much 2 2 as I could do and I wanted to leave. 3 3 A. With this particular incident, I think I was just Q. One of the things you say in your statement, Mr Tomsett, 4 advised that I was going to be suspended pending an 4 is that, at paragraph 201, seeing the Panorama programme 5 investigation. That was all I got told. I was sort of 5 made you think about whether Brook House was somewhere 6 halfway -- or trying to write a statement out and the 6 where you wanted to work. Why did it have that impact 7 senior manager told me I had to leave site and I was 7 on you? 8 8 suspended. A. Sorry, can you repeat that? 9 Q. Did it --9 Q. Yes. You say at paragraph 201 that seeing the Panorama 10 programme made you think about whether Brook House was A. That's the only time. 10 11 Q. Would that have surprised you, I suppose, given that 11 somewhere where you wanted to work. Why did it have 12 you'd been subject to probably, I think by that time, 12 that impact on you? 13 12 complaints or something like that? 13 A. All it was, it was just another thing -- you know, it 14 14 was just sort of like another sort of -- "Oh, it's just 15 15 Q. This time you're suspended? something else", you know, it was a collective of things 16 16 A. Yes. and then, what, with the Panorama coming up and then Q. Did you feel that that had anything to do with -- did 17 17 being suspended afterwards for dealing with the 18 you feel that that had anything to do with Panorama 18 situation, for me it was just the end. I'd just had 19 having been broadcast the month before? 19 enough and I didn't want to work there anymore. 20 A. I don't know. I can't answer that. I don't know. 20 Q. I want to sort of check, are we talking here about what 21 21 you saw in Panorama, so the fact that you saw detained Q. Paragraphs 74 to 75 of your statement. You say that you 22 don't remember being involved in a disciplinary 22 people getting abused, or is it --23 23 A. No, just the fact -investigation, and you say that, although you were 24 suspended on this occasion, this wasn't a disciplinary 24 Q. -- the consequences of Panorama? 25 investigation; is that right? 25 A. Sorry. It was just because it was to do with Page 73 Page 75 1 A. Yeah. I mean, I didn't -- I don't see that as --1 Brook House and I'm associated with Brook House, and 2 2 then, all of a sudden, it's on the TV and --I wasn't aware that it was a disciplinary. If it was, 3 3 Q. So it was more about the reputational damage. Okay. like, a disciplinary into my own personal conduct or it 4 was, for me, as far as I was aware, it was an 4 A. Yeah, yeah. I was just going to -- and then it just 5 5 investigation into a complaint that had been put in by followed on where, after being suspended for that -- for 6 6 that incident, that was one when I decided that I didn't not actually that detainee, it was by another detainee 7 7 within the wing, and I think they'd put a complaint in want to work for Brook House no more, G4S. 8 for some reason, so the detainee that I had actually 8 Q. Did you watch the Panorama programme when it came out? 9 dealt with hadn't actually put the complaint in. 9 A. Not at the beginning, no. 10 10 Q. Do you remember when you watched it? Q. But then you were suspended and you were interviewed --11 11 A. Yeah, probably a year later, nine months later. 12 Q. -- about it, so, I mean, if that's not a disciplinary 12 Q. So you didn't watch it whilst you were still employed? 13 13 investigation, what is? A. Not initially, no. 14 A. I understand the question that you're asking me, but 14 Q. Were you not curious as to what was in it? 15 15 A. Well, kind of, but I wasn't, so I just sort of like I didn't -- I wasn't aware that it was a disciplinary. 16 Q. Okay. You were never told it was a disciplinary? 16 wasn't really interested in watching it at the time. 17 17 A. No, I didn't. Q. You knew, presumably, that some of your colleagues had 18 18 Q. You say that even before being suspended, you felt been shown mistreating detained people; yeah? 19 unsupported, stressed out and anxious at Brook House; is 19 A. I hadn't spoken or seen it, so I didn't know what was on 20 20 that right? 21 21 Q. But you knew that some of your colleagues had lost their A. At times, ves. 22 22 Q. Were you already intending to leave before you were iobs about it? A. I was aware that my -- yeah, yeah. 23 23 suspended? 24 A. There was kind of like a build-up, but having been 24 Q. You weren't curious as to what they'd been doing? 25 suspended, that was, like, my -- that was the last straw 25 A. No. Page 74 Page 76

1 Q. You put your notice in shortly after returning to work	1 A. Yes, ma'am.
2 in December 2017; is that right?	2 THE CHAIR: Did you speak to anybody about the fact that you
3 A. Yes.	3 were sometimes losing your temper? Did you speak to
4 Q. After your suspension?	4 your manager? Did you speak to anybody else?
5 A. Yes.	5 A. No.
6 Q. And you say, "I'd had enough of Brook House and felt	6 THE CHAIR: Is there a reason why you didn't do that?
7 that I could not do more than I had been doing". Do you	7 A. I think it was what we spoke about earlier, I didn't
8 think, looking back, there is anything more you could	8 feel there was somebody I could go to and sort of talk
9 have done which might have resulted in fewer complaints	9 to knowing that maybe it would be sort of confidential.
being made against you and fewer allegations being made	10 I was just aware that there was a habit of people it
11 against you?	didn't matter if you was a senior manager or a DCO or
12 A. No.	it was just an issue with gossip and people were always
13 MR LIVINGSTON: That's all my questions for you, Mr Tomset	tt. 13 gossipping. I didn't want to sort of
Just one final thing: when I asked you to remove your	14 THE CHAIR: I don't want to put words in your mouth, but are
15 mask earlier, the camera was still on me rather than	you saying you didn't necessarily have any faith in
you. If you would be able to do that again, that would	being able to go to somebody and trusting that that
be appreciated, maybe just for ten seconds this time and	17 would be dealt with appropriately?
perhaps that'll be okay.	18 A. Personally, yes. That's just how I felt about it.
19 Chair, if you have any questions for Mr Tomsett?	THE CHAIR: Those are all my questions, thank you very much.
20 Questions from THE PANEL	I do understand, Mr Tomsett, it's not an easy experience
21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. I do just have two brief questions	but it's been important to hear your evidence. So thank
for you, Mr Tomsett. I just want to make sure that	you very much for coming this morning.
I have understood you correctly. When you were	23 A. No problem.
temporarily promoted to DCM, so you then began having	24 (The witness withdrew)
25 a role in terms of investigating complaints	25 MR LIVINGSTON: Chair, we will now take our mid-morning
Page 77	Page 79
Mr Livingston asked you some of these questions	l break, long enough for people to get a cup of tea.
before did you receive no training whatsoever in how	2 15 minutes? Can I suggest we return at 12.10 pm for
3 to conduct a complaint investigation?	3 Mr Bromley?
4 A. Not as far as I'm aware, ma'am. No, I would my	4 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
5 shadowing or that form of training was to follow an	5 (11.52 am)
6 already sort of established DCM, so I would shadow them,	6 (A short break)
7 but I don't recollect getting any formal training in	7 (12.12 am)
8 what you've just asked me.	8 MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We have the evidence of
9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you remember which DCM it was wh	o 9 Mr Ryan Bromley.
10 you shadowed at the time?	10 MR RYAN JOHN BROMLEY (sworn)
11 A. It wasn't, like, one particular	11 Examination by MS MOORE
12 THE CHAIR: Okay.	12 MS MOORE: Good morning, Mr Bromley.
13 A. Because it would because officers would be on	13 A. Good morning.
a different rota, so maybe I might be with the same DCM	14 Q. Could you give us your full name?
for two or three days, and then, a bit later, I might be	15 A. Ryan John Bromley.
with a different DCM.	16 Q. You should have a folder of documents in front of you.
17 THE CHAIR: So it wasn't consistent, necessarily?	17 I may refer you to those or they will also be shown on
18 A. As far as I recollect, no. It wasn't like, oh, that was	that screen that you can see on the left there. So
a DCM I would shadow for a full two or three weeks, or	rather than having to turn them up, you can look at the
whatever the time period.	20 screen. At tab 1 of that folder in front of you,
21 THE CHAIR: That's fine. Thank you very much. The other	21 though, is your witness statement, which you made to the
21 THE CHAIR: That's fine. Thank you very much. The other	inquiry and you signed on 2 February 2022. That will be
22 question I want to ask you about is, you've talked about	
• •	23 adduced in full, please, chair. The reference for that
question I want to ask you about is, you've talked about	23 adduced in full, please, chair. The reference for that 24 is <ser000434>. What that means is, we won't go through</ser000434>
question I want to ask you about is, you've talked about that, on occasion, you lost your temper, and you've	
question I want to ask you about is, you've talked about that, on occasion, you lost your temper, and you've expressed regret for some of the language that we have	is <ser000434>. What that means is, we won't go through</ser000434>

1	your evidence. We will just focus on some of the key	1	A. Yes.
2	issues. You might just want to have that open and, if	2	Q. I won't give your date of birth, but you were in your
3	I ask you about something you say in your statement,	3	early 20s, I think, when Panorama was shown?
4	I will tell you which paragraph. So if you need to	4	A. That's correct.
5	remind yourself, you can.	5	Q. Compared to other people there, you were one of the more
6	Mr Bromley, you worked at Brook House for two	6	experienced people left. Was it a permanent move to
7	different periods of time; is that right?	7	a DCM role you were offered
8	A. That's correct.	8	A. No.
9	Q. There was December 2016 to December 2017, and then you	9	Q or a temporary?
10	went travelling and you returned in around 2020 and you	10	A. No, it wasn't permanent.
11	still work there now?	11	Q. Can you tell us why you turned that down?
12	A. That's correct.	12	A. I have no intentions of moving into management.
13	Q. Have you always been a DCO?	13	Q. Why didn't you want to be in management?
14	A. Yeah, I've always been a DCO.	14	A. I was just happy in my job and, for me, the work/life
15	Q. I understand now you're within the activities team?	15	balance is more important than pay grades.
16	A. Yeah, I'm the gym officer. But that's still a detainee	16	Q. Did you understand that people would sort of take work
17	custody officer.	17	home with them if they were DCMs and not so much if they
18	Q. So you're no longer on the wings?	18	were DCOs?
19	A. No.	19	A. Possibly. I can only speak for the job I have done.
20	Q. How does being in the gym compare to being on the wings?	20	Q. You also say at paragraph 5:
21	A. It's a lot different. So, obviously, I don't have to	21	"I would have been expected to take on this role for
22	I'm not involved in, like, lock-up. The only time	22	the same salary as I was on as a DCO."
23	I really go on the wings is to cover breaks.	23	Is that right?
24	Q. Are the hours different?	24	A. That's correct, because I haven't been offered the job.
25	A. I think there's about a half-hour difference between the	25	Q. Do you know why that would have been? So you were kind
20			Q. 20 you mion miy alan nouse sain 2005ii. 20 you neso iiiia
	Page 81		Page 83
1	start of my shift and the end of the shift	1	of acting up as a DCM but you still get paid as a DCO?
1 2	start of my shift and the end of the shift	1	of acting up as a DCM but you still get paid as a DCO? A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in
2	Q. And I understand	2	A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in
2 3	Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers.	2 3	A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the
2 3 4	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now 	2 3 4	A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced.
2 3 4 5	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? 	2 3 4 5	A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced.Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. Q. So you'd worked at Brook House since, as I said, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember the exact roster we had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. Q. So you'd worked at Brook House since, as I said, December 2016, so less than a year. I think some of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember the exact roster we had. Q. Not to worry. But, as I said, 8 am until 9 pm most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. Q. So you'd worked at Brook House since, as I said, December 2016, so less than a year. I think some of that would have been the ITC, which is an eight-week 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember the exact roster we had. Q. Not to worry. But, as I said, 8 am until 9 pm most days?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. Q. So you'd worked at Brook House since, as I said, December 2016, so less than a year. I think some of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember the exact roster we had. Q. Not to worry. But, as I said, 8 am until 9 pm most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And I understand A (overspeaking) officers. Q. Sorry. I understand you prefer the role you have now compared to being on the wings? A. Yes. Q. There was a time after Panorama, but before you left to go travelling, when you were asked to take on a DCM role. Sometime, then, it must have been, between September 2017 and you handing in your notice in November 2017. You cover this at paragraph 5 of your statement, which is on page 2. You describe you think the reason why you were asked to be a DCM is that you were one of the last experienced officers on the wing. Do you mean you were one of the most experienced people left after Panorama? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. Did you consider yourself quite experienced at that point? A. I was considered I would say so, compared to what was there at the time. Q. So you'd worked at Brook House since, as I said, December 2016, so less than a year. I think some of that would have been the ITC, which is an eight-week 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I think just being a more experienced member of staff in the wing would be just organising the wing with the officers that aren't so experienced. Q. So you're sort of acting as a DCM but you're not officially a DCM so you don't get paid any more? A. Exactly. Q. You discuss life at Brook House and culture and working conditions in some detail in your statement. I wanted to ask you about something you say at paragraph 29, which is on page 8, under the subheading "Culture". A. Right. Q. You discuss the shift work, the shift patterns. You say that, during the relevant period, DCOs were contracted to work 49 hours of shift work per week. Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. You say that's usually 8 am until 9 pm and that could be four days in a row, one off and then two on? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. Then would it be another one off and then it starts again with another 4? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember the exact roster we had. Q. Not to worry. But, as I said, 8 am until 9 pm most days?

1 1 Q. You say in your statement that on some nights, in fact, month or so, or you were searched less than that? 2 2 you wouldn't leave until midnight? A. I couldn't give you a number, to be honest. 3 3 A. That could be the case. Q. Do you remember being searched? 4 Q. What sort of reasons would mean that you'd have to stay 4 A. Yeah, I remember being searched. 5 that long? 5 Q. But not every day? 6 A. It could be due to a certain resident has a flight, it 6 A. No. 7 could be a charter. It could be refusing to lock up, so 7 Q. Did people talk about suspicions about how the drugs 8 8 might be getting in among the staff or among the you're required to stay and you can't leave site until 9 9 that's the case. 10 10 Q. So you can't leave unless everything's done? A. I don't remember any certain conversations. I think it 11 11 A. No. was just common knowledge of there was a lot of drugs in 12 12 Q. Would you be paid for staying late if you had to stay the centre. No-one has pinpointed where it's coming in 13 a few extra hours? 13 14 14 Q. Something you say which helped your morale from your A. No, you would get time in lieu, so TOIL. 15 15 Q. So you'd get time in lieu that you could use at a later statement was working with the same people, so people 16 date. So, say, you stay until midnight and you're due 16 who you could trust. Would that be DCOs on the wing who 17 in at 8 am the next morning, do you still have to come 17 you were often working with? 18 in at 8 am? 18 A. Yeah, yeah. 19 A. You still have to come at 8 am. 19 Q. Do you tend to sort of be with the same people who were 20 Q. Then, at some later point, you can use the hours you've 20 based on the wing for extended periods of time? 21 accrued. So you could be quite tired if you had to stay 21 A. Yeah, back then, you used to be given a wing and you 22 out until midnight and start again at 8.00? 22 kind of -- that was where you done most of your shifts. 23 23 Q. When you say "back then", is it different now because A. Possibly. 24 Q. I suppose it depends how long you have to travel home. 24 you're in the gym or is it different for all DCOs as far 25 25 You also say that morale was low during the relevant as you know? Page 85 Page 87 period and you link this to, in part, staff turnover. 1 1 A. I don't know how it is now for the DCOs. 2 So, at paragraph 30, which starts with "During the 2 Q. Thinking about your sort of working circle, the people 3 relevant period, I believe that the morale of staff 3 who you saw regularly at work at the time, that was some 4 overall was very low", you say: 4 DCOs. Were there any DCMs who you would work with 5 5 regularly or did they change more often? "Staff turnover was so bad that you almost did not 6 know who you could trust because at the time there was 6 A. They changed day to day. Normally, there wouldn't be --7 7 also a big problem with drugs and it was difficult to there might be two managers between four or five wings. 8 pinpoint where it was getting in from." 8 Q. Do you remember if any of those DCMs were specifically 9 Does that mean you had some suspicion that there Q your line manager in 2017? 10 10 might be staff who were bringing in drugs? A. To be honest, I can't remember. 11 A. I wouldn't know if it was suspicion of staff. I just 11 Q. You also talk about staffing levels. So this is page 18 12 knew there was drugs in the centre. 12 of your statement. Paragraphs 75 to 76. It is under 13 13 the head "Staffing levels". In summary, you say that, Q. Why does that relate to the turnover of staff? 14 14 A. It could be -- there's not too many ways to get, during the relevant period, Brook House was "extremely 15 15 understaffed", in your words. You say there was usually I suppose, drugs into the centre. It's not in the 16 public access and there's so much staff going into the 16 three officers on a wing. So that's three DCOs per 17 17 building, it's not just DCOs, it could be cleaning wing. But there were so many control and restraints 18 18 that, in reality, there was only two people. Was there staff, management. 19 19 Q. Visitors are searched, I think, aren't they? a particularly high number of control and restraints 20 20 during the relevant period, such that people kept on A. Visitors are searched, correct. 21 Q. But staff wouldn't be searched regularly; is that right? 21 being taken off the wing to attend them? 22 22 A. Not regularly. 23 Q. Occasionally? 23 Q. Do you have any thoughts why it was so high during this 24 A. Occasionally, yeah. 24 25 Q. Do you remember how often, sort of approximately every 25 A. I'm not involved in making the decisions, but there Page 86 Page 88

1				
a dangerous place for staff? 4. A Tagree. 5. Q. Would you say it was also potentially dangerous for detained people? 6. detained people? 7. A. Yeah, you could say that. 8. Q. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing levels on morule? Did it — what was the effect on the people working day to that was the effect on the people working day to that was the effect on the people working day to that was the effect of the low staffing levels on morule? Did it — what was the effect of the low staffing law the maybe there was two of you being made to cover a wing of 100 or so people? 8. A. Her was just too officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dove wing that 1 I worked on, it had three hours, so just during lock-up times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you. So just that abone could be challenging. 9. Q. You talk this obsout — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you mention this at panagraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is beaut a specific guestion, but I wanted to ask you the general point: 9. The teams that were used for procedures like use of force exactly changed and so fd say that any confidence at affected by high shaff turnover." 9. Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were the wood student decisions who was used note force? 9. Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were the wood steelect turnor. 9. Page 89 1. The teams that were subserve of force? 1. A. I don't know about the decisions who was used note frequently. 1. Just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. 9. Q. You see'n throw about the decisions. Who was it who wood ducted turnor? 1. A. Yes. 1. G. You way and an off office it was not a procedure to the fine the same. 1. G. You was quite at all to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. 1. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 2. A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 2. Q. You say uged a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief.				
4. I lagree. 5. Q. Would you say it was also potendally dangerous for detained people? 6. A. Yeah, you could say that. 7. Q. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing. 8. I levels on morale? Did it — what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of 100 people grant from a moral of 100 people? 12. A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the bow wing that 1 worked on, it had three floors, so just during lock-up times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of 2 you. So just that alone caudil be challenging. 17. Q. You talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you membro this al paragraph \$4, which is page, 20. You say, — it is about a specific question, 20 but I womed to sak you the general point: 18. The teams that were used for procedures like use of 100 force rancy changed and so I'd say that my confidence in careful points and a strength of the procedures like use of 100 force was not a strength of the procedures like use of 100 force rance frequently chosen than others to do use of force? 22. The teams that were used for procedures like use of 100 force was not a strength of the procedures like use of 100 force was not a strength of the procedures like use of 100 force was not 1				•
5 Q. Would you say it was also potentially dangerous for detained people? A. Yesh, you could say that. 5 Q. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing levels on moral? Did it – what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of people working day to day when maybe there was two of the people working day to day when maybe there was two of the people working day to day when maybe there was two of the people working day to day the people working day to day the people working day to day when maybe there was two of the proceedires, and you mention this a paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but wanted to ask you the general point. 21 The teams that were used for procedures like use of force was not affected by high staff turnover. 22 affected by high staff turnover. 23 In the washed the decisions who was used force was not affected by high staff turnover. 24 The manager in charge of the C&R. 25 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 26 Q. You down the midviduals were 90 per cent of the time the same. 27 The washed was a decision when we would select team? 28 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 29 Q. Do you gat a call usign and collect your kit and meet somework of a price. 29 A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet somework of the force of the comment of a price. 29 Q. You say on eation to him — I will read it, but it is page 20. You say was of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 20 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 21 A. I wash the people who was used more frequently, you say? 22 A. I w	3			
detained people? A. Yeah, you could say that. Q. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing levels on monic? Did it — what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of you being made to cover a wing of 100 or so people? A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be the condition of you. So just that almost could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the Exams used for use of force in proctures, and you meren, like, the brow wing that it worked on, it had three floors, so just during lock-up times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you. So just that almost could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the Exams used for use of force in proctures, and you menton this at paragaph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: "The teams that were used for procedures like use of force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence for force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence to the resume that you're going to be involved, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to others. I normally say quite quiet during the beinvolved, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to them. I normally say quite quiet during the beinvolved, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to them. I normally say quite quiet during the beinvolved, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to them. I normally say quite quiet during the memory. I'm have been during the memory and the polyment in the decision-making on picking teams. I just home the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just home the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You say our get acceliate on the poople w				
A. Yeah, you could say that. O. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing levels on monale? Dalt it — what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of 10 you being made to cover wing of 100 or so people? A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dove wing that 1 I worked on, it had three floors, to just direct floors between two of 20 you being with a safe of the people working also about — the teams used for ous of force procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is single 20. You say — it as about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: "The teams that were used for procedures like use of 6 force arely changed and so 1d say that my confidence in carrying our procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 I there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. Yeah, so you have a briefing, you've place in the briefs and even after. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet sumeone for a brief. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet sumeone for a brief. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet sumeone for a brief. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet sumeone for a brief. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet sumeone for a brief. Q. You was one on of				
Q. Generally, what was the effect of the low staffing levels on morale? Did it — what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of you being made to cover a wing of 100 or so people? A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dows wing that 14 I worked on, it had three floors, so just during lock-up time times, only cope to lock up the floors between two of you. So just that alone could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you mornion this a parageaph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: 10		• •		
Sevels on morale? Did it — what was the effect on the people working day to day when maybe there was two of 10 you being made to cover a wing of 100 or so people?				_
people working day to day when maybe there was two of you being made to cover a wing of 100 or as people? 1				
you being made to cover a wing of 100 or so people? A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dow wing that I worked on, it had three floors between two of times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you. So just that alone could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: "The teams that were used for procedures like use of force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff immover." Belg me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. I don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you det a lot to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. A. Yes, Q. Do you fare the cheef was used more frequently, you say? A. I mean, I are notly talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced in it. So you've choisunly done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 90 Page 92				
A. If there was just two officers on a wing, it could be challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dove wing that 1 vocked on, it had three floors, by just during lock-up 15 times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you. So just that alone could be challenging. Q. You talk also about - the leams used for use of force procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say - it is about a specific question, 22 but I wanted to ask you the general point. The teams that were used for procedures like use of force arracy changed and so I'd say that my confidence a infected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were 2 more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Ou boy up get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. Ou were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Nes. 10 Day ou have a wife floor by the manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Ou were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. 11 Do you know why that is? A. Ne. Q. Do you have a wife and of Yan is he was a big dentered. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 90 Page 92 Page 90 Page 90 Page 92				•
challenging at times. I mean, like, the Dove wing that I worked on, it had three floors, so just during lock-up times, over you to got to feel by three floors so, just during lock-up times, so just during lock-up times, over you be got to feel by three floors so, just during lock-up times of you. So just that alone could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you meriton this at paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: Dut I wanted to ask you the general point: in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover. Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 There some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently, chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. To don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. On boy up a call saying, "You've been chosen."? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. On boy up ace and saying, "You've been chosen."? Q. Do boy up ace and saying and the collection of the collection				
times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." 21		· ·		
times, you've got to lock up three floors between two of you. So just that alone could be challenging. Q. You talk also about — the teams used for two eof force in carrying out procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: The teams that were used for procedures like use of force rarely changed and so l'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 There is some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. Do you know why that is? Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. A. No. A. No. A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. but, for me, the adrenaline size to knick in — is it when it starts, is it beforehand? A. I can only talk for myself. Dut, for me, the adrenaline size to knick in — is it when it starts, is it beforehand? A. I can only talk for myself, but, for me, the adrenaline size to knick in — is it when it starts, is it befor hand? A. I can only talk for myself, but, for me, the adrenaline size to knick in — is it when it starts, is it befor hand? A. I can only talk for myself, but, for me, the adrenaline size to tole towel, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to others. I normally stay quite edued turing the briefs and even after. Q. You so what had even after. 1 in the first furnover				
it beforehand? A. I can only talk for myself, but, for me, the adrenaline is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: "The teams that were used for procedures like use of 22 force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." 25 Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 1 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? 3 A. I don't know about the decision—making on picking cams. 4 I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. 6 Q. You don't know about the decision—making on picking cams. 7 would select teams? 8 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 9 Q. Do you get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. 10 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 11 meet someone for a brief. 12 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 13 you say? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or more to the same to more frequently. 18 the same to more for a brief. 19 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously one the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 91 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. 2 You say during these you would stay quite quiet? 5 A. Pal always stay quiet. 6 Q. You say during these sum of the time the same. 6 Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? 7 A. Veah. 9 Q. You say in relation to h				
17 Q. You talk also about — the teams used for use of force procedures, and you mention this at paragraph 84, which is page 20. You say — it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: 20 but I wanted to ask you the general point: 21				,
starts from the moment that you're told you're going to is page 20. You say—it is about a specific question, but I wanted to ask you the general point: The teams that were used for procedures like use of force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 The tere some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying. "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. Do you know why that is? Q.				
be involved, and my adrenaline is just to make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to others. I normally stay quite quiet during the briefs and even after. 22 force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." 23 defected by high staff turnover." 24 defected by high staff turnover." 25 Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were 26 Page 89 27 Day on derift who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? 28 A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. 4 I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. 5 C. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? 8 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 9 Q. Do uge et a call a saying. "You've been chosen."? 10 A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and mect someone for a brief. 10 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. You know why that is? 13 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? 20 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced, I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, of the people who was goverybody else? 10 A. Yes. 11 doesn't know about the decision. 12 doesn't know about the decision. 13 decision make sure I'm focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to others. I normally stay quite quiet during the briefs and even after. 22 D. How does the tam generally prepare isself for the moment? You obviously have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 23 A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking to others. 4 Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet during the briefs and even after. 5 A. Yes. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. You sup you get a call a spile, I'm good, I'm experienced, I wou				
but I wanted to ask you the general point: "The teams that were used for procedures like use of force ararely changed and so I'd say that my confidence air feeted by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time to the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yeah, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the condition that the detainee is in now. You don't know about the decisions who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yea, you'd gar a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. Do you know why that is? Q. Do you know why that is? Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say! teaping it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Page 90 Page 90 Focused and with the job in hand. I don't talk about it to others. I normally stay quite quiet during the briefs and even after. Q. How does the team generally prepare itself for the moment? You obviously have a briefing? A. Yeah, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detaince is in now. You discussed an umber of specific people you were asked about by the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. You say in relation to him—I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previou				
The teams that were used for procedures like use of force rarely changed and so Id say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." 23	19			•
force rarely changed and so I'd say that my confidence in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." 25 Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 1 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? 2 A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. 4 I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. 5 Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? 8 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 9 Q. Do you get a call tog o and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. 10 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 11 meet someone for a brief. 12 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 13 you say? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? 20 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. It wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is now. 21 there some staff sho were either volunteering or were more frequently give the moment? You obviously done the same training as everybody else? 22 by a give 1 decisions. Who was it who would select teams? 3 briefs and even after. 3 C. How does the team generally prepare itself for the moment? You obviously have a briefing. You do briefing you'd be briefed on the moment? You obviously a briefing, you'd be briefed on the moment? You obviously as I the moment? You obviously a page 48: 4 Page 91 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous benaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. 2 Page 91 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous benaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. 4 Page 91 1 resident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous benaviour, previous convictions, an	20			· ·
in carrying out procedures such as use of force was not affected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. You ouge at a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. Yes. A. In ann, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Q. You say our've experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody clse? Page 90 Page 92 Low to does the team generally prepare itself for the moment? You obviously have a briefing? A. Yeah, so you have a briefing? A. Yea, so you have a briefing? A. Yea, so you have a briefing? A. Yea, and in the detaince is in now. Q. You say irrelation to him – I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was info		•		to others. I normally stay quite quiet during the
affected by high staff turnover." Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 Page 91 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying. "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You way? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. 15 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I man, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Page 90 page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently; it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Page 90 Page 92				
Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were Page 89 Page 91 there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Do bid some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I man, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Page 90 A. Yash, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the Page 91 A. Yesh, so you have a briefing, you'd be be richea force? A. I don't know about the decisions. Who was it who Q. You discussed a number of specific people you were asked about with the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. 9. Q. You say in relation to him — I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quit				
there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? The same. A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Do joul know why that is? A. No. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 92				
there some staff who were either volunteering or were more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Do jou know why that is? A. No. A. No. Q. Do joid some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, if doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Page 90 Tresident's name, his weight, his height, could be previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. Yes. Q. You say in relation to him — I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, if doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 92	25	Help me with that, teams been rarely changed. Were	25	A. Yeah, so you have a briefing, you'd be briefed on the
more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Do jod some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Q. You say your'ne experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. Yes. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. Yes. Q. You say during these you doout a hew asked about by he he ashed about why he was a big dabout by the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. 10 4. Yes. 11 12 13 14 15 16 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4		Page 89		Page 91
more frequently chosen than others to do use of force? A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Do jod some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Q. You say your'ne experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 previous behaviour, previous convictions, and the condition that the detainee is in now. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. Yes. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. Yes. Q. You say during these you doout a hew asked about by he he ashed about why he was a big dabout by the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. 10 4. Yes. 11 12 13 14 15 16 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4				
A. I don't know about the decision-making on picking teams. I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time the same. Q. You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Po Do you know why that is? A. No. Po Do you know why that is? A. No. A. No. Po Do you know why that is? A. No. A. No. Po Do you know why that is? A. No. Po Do you know why that is? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Page 90 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Page 92	1	there some staff who were either volunteering or were		
4 I just know the individuals were 90 per cent of the time 5 the same. 6 Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who 6 would select teams? 7 A. The manager in charge of the C&R. 9 Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? 9 A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and 11 meet someone for a brief. 12 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, 13 you say? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or 18 maybe like, being involved in use of force more than 19 others? 20 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm 21 experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is 22 no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, 23 it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 24 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 25 done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 You say during these you would stay quite quiet? A. I'd always stay quiet. 6 Q. You discussed a number of specific people you were asked about by the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. 9 Q. You say in relation to him I will read it, but it is 10 page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. Possibly. 20 So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. 21 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 22 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific		· •		
the same. Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. Yes. 12 Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? 13 you say? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? 20 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 A. I was in relation to him — I will read it, but it is pabeut by the inquiry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. Q. You say on relation to him — I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. 24 A. Possibly. 25 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 26 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific		<u> </u>		
Q. You don't know about the decisions. Who was it who would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes. Q. Do you get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. A. No. A. No. A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Page 90 Q. You discussed a number of specific people you were asked about by the inquirry and one of them is Yan Paschali? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say in relation to him I will read it, but it is page 48: "The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Page 92		·		
would select teams? A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. 11				
A. The manager in charge of the C&R. Q. Do you get a call saying, "You've been chosen"? A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. 11				
Q. You say in relation to him I will read it, but it is 10				* * *
A. Yes, you'd get a call to go and collect your kit and meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. 15 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 The only knowledge I had of Yan is he was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. A. Possibly. A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 90				
meet someone for a brief. Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 It means to fore who was a big character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Possibly, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific				
Q. You were one of the people who was used more frequently, you say? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why that is? A. No. Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 character on E wing. I was informed that he had previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Page 92				
you say? 13 previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? 18 others? 19 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 20 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? 10 Page 90 11 He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time 12 I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." 13 previously worked at HMP Belmarsh before Brook House. 14 He was known to be a very tough guy. The only time 15 I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." 16 incidents." 17 So was he one of the people who was also quite 18 frequently picked for use of force incidents? 20 Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him 21 relatively frequently? 22 A. Possibly. 23 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? 24 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific				
14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know why that is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or 18 maybe like, being involved in use of force more than 19 others? 20 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm 21 experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is 22 no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, 23 it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 24 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 25 done the same training as everybody else? 16 I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents. 17 So was he one of the people who was also quite 18 frequently picked for use of force incidents? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? 21 relatively frequently? 22 A. Possibly. 23 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? 24 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific		1 1		-
I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." 15 I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." 16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? 18 others? 19 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 20 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? 10 I worked with Yan would have been during use of force incidents." 11 So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? 12 Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? 22 A. Possibly. 23 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? 24 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific		•		· · · · · ·
16 A. No. 17 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or 18 maybe like, being involved in use of force more than 19 others? 10 A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm 21 experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is 22 no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, 23 it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 24 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 25 done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 16 incidents." 17 So was he one of the people who was also quite 18 frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. 20 Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him 21 relatively frequently? 22 A. Possibly. 23 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? 24 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific				
Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific	1.7	Q. Do you know why that is?	15	_
maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 R. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific	15			
others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific			16	
A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 90 Page 92	16 17	Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or		So was he one of the people who was also quite
21 experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is 22 no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, 23 it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 24 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 25 done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 21 relatively frequently? A. Possibly. 22 A. Possibly. 23 Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 92	16 17 18	Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or	17	So was he one of the people who was also quite
no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 A. Possibly. 2 A. Possibly. 2 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific	16 17 18 19	Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others?	17 18 19	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct.
23 it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 24 Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 25 done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 20 Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 92	16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others?A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm	17 18 19 20	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him
Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? Page 90 A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 92	16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is 	17 18 19 20 21	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently?
done the same training as everybody else? 25 Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific Page 90 Page 92	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, 	17 18 19 20 21 22	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly.
Page 90 Page 92	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked?
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy.
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy.
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did some staff members seem to particularly dislike, or maybe like, being involved in use of force more than others? A. I mean, I can only talk for myself. I'm good, I'm experienced. I wouldn't say I enjoy it because there is no perks to doing C&Rs. Everyone is on the same money, it doesn't change because you do C&Rs. Q. You say you're experienced in it. So you've obviously done the same training as everybody else? 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So was he one of the people who was also quite frequently picked for use of force incidents? A. That's correct. Q. So you would find yourself on the same team as him relatively frequently? A. Possibly. Q. Did you have any idea about why he was picked? A. Just, probably, the size of the guy. Q. I want to ask you now then about a few specific

1	incidents, Mr Bromley. As I have said, you provided	1	Q. So somewhere residents couldn't access. A sterile area;
2	a statement which deals with lots of different matters	2	is that right?
3	and lots of incidents within it. I want to focus on	3	A. Yes, a sterile area.
4	just a couple. So we have your evidence about the	4	Q. Can we have a look at the documentation, <cjs005530>.</cjs005530>
5	others, we have statements from other people involved	5	It is also at tab 6, chair, but we will show it on the
6	and we have an expert report as well. I'm not going to	6	screen. We have seen these before. They have a red
7	take you to each of them. But I do want to ask you	7	cover for the use of force report. This is obviously
8	about 4 May, which is the use of force on D1527 on	8	the one in relation to D1527. The date is there,
9	4 May 2017. This is covered in your statement at	9	4 May 2017, and the time is 17:25. It is an unplanned
10	pages 25 to 26. So paragraphs 104 to 107.	10	use of force, if you scroll down, and the nature of it
11	The inquiry has heard before about the events of	11	is handcuffs were applied for five minutes to the
12	4 May 2017. So D1527 had jumped onto netting in D wing.	12	detainee. Can we go to page 13, please. If we just
13	He had been persuaded to come off the netting and two	13	scroll up so we can see the whole form. This is your
14	other residents went to sit with him. There was	14	account. It's got your name. There. It is dated
15	a decision then made to relocate him. Your statement	15	26/4/2017 which is obviously wrong. At the end of it,
16	says he was going to be relocated to E wing although	16	where you signed it, it's got the correct date. I'm
17	some of the paperwork says CSU. Were you aware of	17	guessing it's because maybe you've used one that you've
18	the netting incident or were you only involved later?	18	filled in so you don't have to copy your CID number
19	A. I have no knowledge on the netting incident now.	19	every time or something like that. But there is no
20	Obviously it's five years ago. But I know it was the	20	suggestion you filled this in on 26 April obviously.
21	policy, if someone did jump on the netting, it would be	21	The date was after the event, on the 4th. You record
22	possible for them to go down to E wing, to CSU.	22	there, if you scroll down a little, that you are on the
23	Q. You say at 104 and 105, and you have just said now, you	23	left arm, so you have crossed "next to left arm" if we
24	only have a vague recollection now. It was obviously	24	go to page 14 is your written account. As I say, you
25	five years ago. But the account that you wrote after	25	find it. Starting with that big paragraph there:
	Page 93		Page 95
1	your involvement would be the most accurate?	1	"On May 4 2017, at approximately 17:15 on D wing
1 2	your involvement would be the most accurate? A That's correct	1 2	"On May 4 2017, at approximately 17:15 on D wing
2	A. That's correct.	2	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were
2 3	A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day?	2 3	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait
2 3 4	A. That's correct.Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day?A. Yeah.	2 3 4	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal
2 3 4 5	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents 	2 3 4 5	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing 	2 3 4 5 6	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting."
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write 	2 3 4 5 6 7	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you have gone to the wing office to use the computer? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat entered the detainee's room following hearing detainee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you have gone to the wing office to use the computer? A. I wouldn't have gone to the wing office. I would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat entered the detainee's room following hearing detainee D1527 aggressively shouting."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you have gone to the wing office to use the computer? A. I wouldn't have gone to the wing office. I would have gone somewhere quiet like admin, and just found 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat entered the detainee's room following hearing detainee D1527 aggressively shouting." So about eight minutes later, although obviously the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you have gone to the wing office to use the computer? A. I wouldn't have gone to the wing office. I would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat entered the detainee's room following hearing detainee D1527 aggressively shouting."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. That's because you'd have written it on the same day? A. Yeah. Q. After this incident, or after use of force incidents generally, tell me about how you'd go and end up writing your report. Do you have your debrief and then write your report? A. Yes. So, as a team, you'd all have your debrief. And it's if any injuries are present. You would then be given time away from the wing to write your report. It had to be done, back then, within 24 hours, but normally you would do it straight after. Q. They are typed up, so do you go and use a computer? A. They are all typed up now. But, back then, there was limited computers, so sometimes they might be hand done. But if you could get a computer, you'd do it on the computer. Q. You're right, sorry, some we have seen for the relevant period are filled in by hand and some are typed. If they are typed, as yours is on this occasion, would you have gone to the wing office to use the computer? A. I wouldn't have gone to the wing office. I would have gone somewhere quiet like admin, and just found 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	level 1, myself and DCO Mohammed Sheharyar Shaukat were asked by DCM Steve Dix and DCM Michael Yates to wait outside the room to which DCM Dix had begun verbal communication with detainee D1527 following the detainee coming off the first floor netting." So DCM Michael Yates is sometimes referred to as DCO. I think he was acting up at the time. In any event, one of those two gentlemen asked you to wait outside the room. Do you think that would have been, "In case you're needed, wait outside, but we don't need you inside right now"? A. Possibly. Q. Would you have known at this point they were planning to relocate him to E wing? A. No, I would have no knowledge on that. Q. When you're outside the room, can you see in? A. Unfortunately, I can't remember if the door was open or closed. Q. Reading on: "At approximately 17:23, myself and DCO Shaukat entered the detainee's room following hearing detainee D1527 aggressively shouting." So about eight minutes later, although obviously the

			_
1	the reason, you say here, is because you hear shouting.	1	my job.
2	Why would that make you enter a room generally?	2	Q. It looks like, before this point, there had been no
3	A. Because of the shouting in an aggressive manner.	3	contact between the staff and the detainee since you'd
4	Q. Why	4	entered the room. He was on the bed. You'd entered the
5	A. Duty of care. So I would have entered the room to see	5	room and then the first contact was to stop him from
6	what was going on.	6	getting the phone battery, from what you said.
7	Q. You don't say you were called in by one of the DCMs. It	7	DCM Dix's involvement, from your account, was at the
8	likes like, as you say, you hear someone shouting so you	8	point of applying the handcuffs, after the locks had
9	go in to see what's happening. You say:	9	been released. So that's after his arms had been put in
10	" upon entering, detainee D1527 reached for his	10	the right position and the handcuffs go on. Is that
11	right pocket while trying to swallow his phone to which	11	right? Lastly on this page, the last line of the big
12	he didn't succeed and instead he dropped it onto the	12	paragraph:
13	floor in the room by mistake. Immediately, D1527 stood	13	"He complied to a full search once entered"
14	up from sitting on the bed in an aggressive manner with	14	This is after he's on E wing:
15	both fists closed, insulting DCM Dix, and then reached	15	" at approximately 17:40."
16	for the phone for the second time."	16	Who would have to decide about whether a detainee
17	Given you say he stood up from sitting on the bed,	17	can be fully searched?
18	it sounds, from your account, like he was sitting on the	18	A. Upon being relocated?
19	bed when you entered and then stood up:	19	Q. Yes.
20	"From there he dropped his phone which he had been	20	A. I believe that's the policy. Once you get relocated, it
21	trying to swallow."	21	would be the policy to search him to make sure there's
22	You say in your statement he was trying to swallow	22	no there's nothing on him that could harm him.
23	a phone battery and in your account it says "mobile	23	Q. You conclude at the end:
24	phone". Can you remember which one was correct?	24	"I believe that the force used was proportionate to
25	A. It would have been the phone battery. Phones are quite	25	the seriousness of the situation."
	Page 97		Page 99
	1 age 97		1 age 99
1	hard to swallow.	1	I think you have already told us why you think force
2	Q. You say:	2	was needed?
3	"He reached for the phone a second time."	3	A. Yes, I believe the force was reasonable.
4	So it's on the floor, he's reaching for it. Then	4	Q. It was needed to?
5	you say:	5	A. Duty of care for the detainee's welfare.
6	"At this point DCO Shaukat took control on	6	Q. To stop him from reaching the phone battery; you said
7	detainee 1527's head while myself took control of his	7	that before. Then just on to 15, just to finish off
8	left arm and DCM Michael Yates took control of his right	8	your part of this form, you have circled the left arm,
9	arm. Once all locks were applied at approximately 17:26	9	and then, at 16, just so there is no doubt about it, you
10	DCM Dix applied handcuffs on detainee 1527."	10	have signed it and dated it and it is "04/05" there, so
11	Then you say you escorted him to E wing. It looks	11	the day it happened, at some point between when it
12	from this that DCO Shaukat made the first contact with	12	happened and when you went home.
13	his head, you on the left arm, Mr Yates on the right	13	Your witness statement also says that you can't
14	arm. Can you help us with why reaching for his phone	14	comment on the accounts of others and, as I said,
15	would necessitate force being applied to him or him	15	that's, as you say, because your account would have been
		1	the best recallection year boys on the day it begans and
16	being restrained?	16	the best recollection you have on the day it happened.
16 17	being restrained? A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he	16 17	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of
	_		
17	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he	17	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of
17 18 19 20	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his	17 18	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts.
17 18 19 20 21	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed	17 18 19	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us
17 18 19 20 21 22	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed and actually get the battery in his mouth. Q. So it's to stop him from A. Yes.	17 18 19 20	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us as far as you can with this. This is Mr Shaukat's
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed and actually get the battery in his mouth. Q. So it's to stop him from — A. Yes. Q. Can you help us with why the first thing to do might be	17 18 19 20 21	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us as far as you can with this. This is Mr Shaukat's account of the events. If we look at that big first
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed and actually get the battery in his mouth. Q. So it's to stop him from — A. Yes. Q. Can you help us with why the first thing to do might be to take control of the head?	17 18 19 20 21 22	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us as far as you can with this. This is Mr Shaukat's account of the events. If we look at that big first paragraph when we start with "Mr", so about halfway down
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed and actually get the battery in his mouth. Q. So it's to stop him from — A. Yes. Q. Can you help us with why the first thing to do might be	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us as far as you can with this. This is Mr Shaukat's account of the events. If we look at that big first paragraph when we start with "Mr", so about halfway down that big paragraph:
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. If the detainee is trying to self-harm and he — obviously, if he's going to try and get a battery in his mouth, the last thing you want him to do is to succeed and actually get the battery in his mouth. Q. So it's to stop him from — A. Yes. Q. Can you help us with why the first thing to do might be to take control of the head?	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The reason I'm asking is because we have a number of different accounts. If we can turn to page 11, please. You can help us as far as you can with this. This is Mr Shaukat's account of the events. If we look at that big first paragraph when we start with "Mr", so about halfway down that big paragraph: "Mr D1527 jumping on the netting."

1	"At approximately 17:20 hours Mr Dix entered the	1	do this. For this reason I felt fearful for his safety
2	room where Mr D1527 was present and advised Mr D1527 to	2	as he has a history of self-harm but also fearful for my
3	walk to the E wing following his aggressive behaviour.	3	own safety, so spontaneous force was required to prevent
4	At approximately 17:23, I, DCO Shaukat and DCO Bromley	4	a possible weapon being produced.
5	entered the room due to the loud aggressive tone	5	"I DCM S Dix took control of Mr D1527's right arm
6	Mr D1527 was using towards DCM Dix."	6	attempting to get it into a backhammer before handing
7	It says he asked for co-operation but he was not	7	over to DCO M Yates once officers came to assist."
8	willing to co-operate:	8	Then it says:
9	" stood up from the bed he was sitting on in an	9	"Once officers had control of [him] DCM Dix placed
10	aggressive manner with both fists closed and tried to	10	his left wrist into a handcuff followed by his right
11	reach for his right pocket while trying to swallow his	11	wrist."
12	phone which then fell off."	12	So he recalls being in the room with D1527. He
13	He's dropped it, perhaps. Then he goes on to say	13	doesn't mention the shouting you say you heard from
14	his name:	14	outside. He doesn't mention seeing a phone, a phone
15	" took control of Mr D1527's head inside the	15	falling on the floor or D1527 trying or threatening to
16	room. Officers 2 and 3 then took control of Mr D1527's	16	swallow a phone. He says that D1527 put his hands in
17	right and left arm as soon as they had locks on.	17	his pockets and refused, when asked, to empty his
18	Mr D1527 was then handcuffed by Steve Dix."	18	pockets and he didn't know what was in them. And he
19	So it is quite similar to your account. Mr Shaukat	19	says he took control of D1527's right arm and attempted
20	said he took control of the head. It says officers 2	20	to get it into a backhammer before handing over to
21	and 3 took control of the right and left arm. By	21	Mr Yates once officers came to assist. So it sounds
22	"officers 2 and 3", would he mean the other two officers	22	from his account that he believed he was the first one
23	who were there?	23	to make contact, and then, after that had happened,
24	A. That's correct.	24	officers came to assist. And obviously you recalled it
25	Q. We know that there was obviously you, Mr Yates was there	25	differently. You believe that you entered the room
	Page 101		Page 103
1	although he's sometimes a DCO and sometimes a DCM in the	1	before any force was used and the detainee was still on
2	paperwork. Was there also a third man in the room, do	2	the bed.
3	you recall, Mr Ben Wright, DCO Ben Wright?	3	Finally on this document, and then I will ask you
4	A. I have no memory of anyone else.	4	about them, page 18, which is Mr Yates's account. Going
5	Q. Is it right to say that if somebody hasn't put hands on	5	about six lines down:
6	a detainee, they don't always fill in a use of force	6	"Myself and DCM Steve Dix agreed to enter the room
7	form?	7	and speak to Mr D1527 and ask him to walk with us to
8	A. Back then, that was the policy. I believe now, if you	8	Eden wing as his behaviour was not acceptable. Before
9	are involved in the incident, you'd have to do at least	9	entering the room I asked DCO Bromley and DCO Mohammed
10	an incident report.	10	Sheharyar Shaukat to remain outside of the room until
11	Q. Even if you just saw it. Then if we go to page 8,	11	otherwise advised."
12	please, of the same document. This is Mr Dix's account.	12	It says they entered the room. Mr Dix began talking
13	In the paragraph that starts "A short while later", so	13	to the detainee about what had happened: at this point,
14	the second paragraph. First he talks about the detainee	14	Mr D1527 said something along the lines of "Go and get
15	being on the netting:	15	your friends to get me. I am not going to go anywhere":
16	"A short while later I went back to that room to	16	"At this point Mr D1527 put his right hand into his
17	speak to D1527 about his actions."	17	pocket and looked to be reaching for something."
18	He says he explained the reasons:	18	It says Mr Dix asked what it was but he responded
19	"I explained due to his behaviour that he would need	19	with:
20	to comply and go the CSU on rule 40 and he said no.	20	"'You will see what's in my pocket' and then he
21	I explained if he refused, then potentially, as	21	stood up with his fists clenched and started shouting.
22	a consequence of his actions, force could be used. At	22	DCM Dix then grabbed hold of Mr D1527's right hand. At
23	this moment he placed his hands in his pocket looking	23	this point DCO Bromley and DCO Sheharyar Shaukat entered
24	like he was trying to access something. I asked him to	24	the room and began to restrain Mr D1527."
25	empty his pockets and remove his hands. He refused to	25	It goes on to say:
1	Page 102		Page 104

1	" DCM Dix [later] placed cuffs onto Mr D1527. We	1	"They said I had to go to E wing and I said no."
2	released locks and held onto his arm and [we] began	2	It is said there was no negotiation and no
3	moving him from the room but his level of aggression	3	de-escalation:
4	escalated and DCO Shaukat took Mr D1527's head."	4	"I had my phone in my hand. I think I may have said
5	So again in this account, not written by you,	5	something like I might swallow it."
6	nothing about a phone, but something about something	6	Then he mentions you grabbing his arm and shoulder
7	being in the pocket and not knowing what it is. Again,	7	and Michael Yates grabbing his other arm and shoulder.
8	DCM Dix making first contact while you were outside the	8	If we go to page 28, he comments, at 79, at the
9	room. It says that you entered the room after Mr Dix	9	bottom, on the differences between the reports, and
10	had grabbed hold of D1527.	10	says:
11	Just on the comment about DCO Shaukat taking his	11	"I remember that DCO Ryan Bromley was the first
12	head, so "took Mr D1527's head after he was in cuffs",	12	officer to use force on me. I understand that the
13	do you remember DCO Shaukat using force on his head	13	reports all say different things."
14	after he'd been cuffed or just from your account before?	14	He says why he thinks you were the first one,
15	A. I have no I have no knowledge. I can't remember back	15	because he saw CCTV footage. Over the page, at the top:
16	ŭ	16	"So as he was the first officer that rushes in the
17	then, to be honest.	17	
	Q. Did you know before the inquiry asked you about it that	1	room after Steve Dix and you can see him holding my arm
18	these different accounts within the same single document	18	and shoulder, he was definitely the first officer who
19	existed?	19	used force on me."
20	A. No, this is the first time I've seen other officers'	20	Is there anything about that that jogs your memory
21	reports. We normally wouldn't share we wouldn't be	21	or that you think might be accurate compared to what you
22	sharing reports.	22	wrote in your report?
23	Q. I see. So you don't see the completed use of force	23	A. There is nothing that jogs my memory.
24	document	24	Q. Might it be that you used force first and Mr Shaukat
25	A. No.	25	took hold of Mr D1527's head after he was in handcuffs?
	Page 105		Page 107
1	0	,	
1	Q you just see your part of it. Do you have any	1	A. I have no knowledge of that incident. I can only go by
2	explanation for why there might be two sets of accounts	2	my report, I believe.
3	that have two different versions of events?	3	Q. You said at 104 of your own statement, so I'm back to
4	A. Personally, no. I can only comment on my report and	4	page 25 of your statement, you comment on the detainee
5	I stand by my report.	5	and say he was notorious for self-harming?
6	Q. If, when you'd entered the room, Mr Dix already had hold	6	A. Correct.
7	of D1527, you would have recorded that, would you?	7	Q. Did you know about his mental health before you were
8	A. That's correct.	8	involved in this incident?
9	Q. And your report says force was used at the point he	9	
			A. Unfortunately, I'm not a doctor. I wouldn't I didn't
10	reached for the phone a second time. If, in fact, force	10	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT
11	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an	10 11	••
11 12	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you	10 11 12	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time.
11 12 13	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you?	10 11 12 13	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always
11 12 13 14	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that.	10 11 12 13 14	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time.
11 12 13	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see	10 11 12 13 14 15	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know.
11 12 13 14	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know.
11 12 13 14 15	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him he had to go to E wing:</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff? A. Classroom based, so theory.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him he had to go to E wing: "After a while, Steve Dix asked for other officers</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff? A. Classroom based, so theory. Q. About people with mental health problems?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him he had to go to E wing: "After a while, Steve Dix asked for other officers to come in, who I understand to be Ryan Bromley,</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff? A. Classroom based, so theory. Q. About people with mental health problems? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him he had to go to E wing: "After a while, Steve Dix asked for other officers to come in, who I understand to be Ryan Bromley, Mohammed Shaukat and Ben Wright." He knows the names after seeing footage:</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff? A. Classroom based, so theory. Q. About people with mental health problems? A. Yes. Q. Did you feel like that was enough to help you deal with the variety of people with mental health issues that you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	had been used because he was reaching for, possibly, an unidentified weapon, you didn't know what it was, you would have recorded that, would you? A. I would have recorded that. Q. Finally, we have D1527's own account. If we can see that on the screen, please, it is <dl000144>, page 27 only. This is from the detainee's statement. At 75, he discusses it. He says, while he was in another detainee's room, a lot of officers came in. He recalls that it was DCM Steve Dix and Michael Yates who told him he had to go to E wing: "After a while, Steve Dix asked for other officers to come in, who I understand to be Ryan Bromley, Mohammed Shaukat and Ben Wright."</dl000144>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know any details. He might have been on an ACDT previously. But he was on Dove wing for a period of time. Q. You mention he was on Dove wing, as in he wasn't always on E wing. Is that what you're saying? A. I don't know. Q. You say you're not a doctor. Just help us with what kind of training, if any, you had in dealing with people who had mental health issues? A. You do a bit of training through the ITC. Q. What sort of stuff? A. Classroom based, so theory. Q. About people with mental health problems? A. Yes. Q. Did you feel like that was enough to help you deal with

1	came across?	1	happened and it must be completely independent of other
2	A. No.	2	staff involved in the incident. So you know all this
3	Q. Why not?	3	from your training and your practice. Your account is
4	A. That's why people would go to school, to do a degree in	4	on the next page, starting at page 21. If we look at
5	that sort of field.	5	the sort of big paragraph there that starts with "At
6	Q. Is it something you think would have helped you if you'd	6	approximately". You say you made your way down from the
7	have known a bit more?	7	staff room where the brief was being held to the
8	A. Yes.	8	location of the detainee's room which was on A wing:
9	Q. Were you, in general, aware of detained people in mental	9	"Once the team arrived at the door of A wing
10	distress or, as you say, with this detainee, high risks	10	room 209, DCM Povey had begun to give D390 instructions
11	of self-harm being moved to E wing under rule 40s?	11	through the closed door and immediately noticed D390
12	A. Sorry, can you ask that question again?	12	repeatedly boiling his kettle in the room with the room
13	Q. Were you aware of a process or that it was common for	13	floor already gathering a puddle. D390 continued to
14	people who were at risk of self-harm being moved to	14	ignore instructions given but moved to face the door of
15	E wing under a rule 40, rather than just moved there?	15	the room. Immediately the team were instructed to
16	A. Quite possibly, because, on Eden wing, you had constant	16	advance into A wing room 209, with myself and
17	supervision rooms.	17	DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers in. Upon
18	Q. They're the ones with the big pane in the window?	18	entering, detainee 390 continued to ignore instructions
19	A. That's correct.	19	from DCO Sayers. For the safety of the team, DCO Sayers
20	Q. I'm going to ask now about another use of force	20	advanced, placing the shield onto D390's chest placing
21	incident. This is one that involved D390 on	21	him onto the bed on the room's left side."
22	5 June 2017. You discuss this from page 28 onwards of	22	Then you say you took control of his arm and then he
23	your statement, paragraph 116 onwards. Your account of	23	was more compliant and walked out. So you say that, at
24	this is this is an incident sorry, to jog your	24	the time, D390 was repeatedly boiling a kettle. Do you
25	memory, 5 June 2017. A planned control and restraint to	25	remember if Mr Povey-Meier would have told you that or
23	memory, 3 June 2017. A planned control and restraint to	23	remember if wir Fovey-weier would have told you that of
	Page 109		Page 111
1	remove D390 from his room in order to take him to	1	whether you saw it yourself?
2	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in	2	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door
		2 3	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to
2	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running	2	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door.
2 3	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in	2 3	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to
2 3 4	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running	2 3 4	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door.
2 3 4 5	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116,	2 3 4 5	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can
2 3 4 5 6	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down:	2 3 4 5 6	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page.</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things — some of it has been</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on every form. So, for example, use of force must only be</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager. Q. The manager would have told you. You say the team were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on every form. So, for example, use of force must only be used when it is reasonable in the circumstances, an</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager. Q. The manager would have told you. You say the team were given instructions to advance. According to your note,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things — some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on every form. So, for example, use of force must only be used when it is reasonable in the circumstances, an absolute necessity. No more force than necessary and</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager. Q. The manager would have told you. You say the team were given instructions to advance. According to your note, it was you and DCO Shadbolt following DCO Sayers in, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things — some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on every form. So, for example, use of force must only be used when it is reasonable in the circumstances, an absolute necessity. No more force than necessary and proportionate to the seriousness of the situation. It says there that your statement must set out what</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager. Q. The manager would have told you. You say the team were given instructions to advance. According to your note, it was you and DCO Shadbolt following DCO Sayers in, so DCO Sayers first and you two behind him. Then, as I have read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a waiting escort. He had a roommate, D1851, who was in the room with him as well. Your account of this in summary was that DCM Povey-Meiers was the DCM running the use of force. You say in your statement, at 116, about halfway down: "If the detainee refuses, the DCM will use verbal communication to reason with the detainee to encourage them to be compliant. I do not recall being in the room at this time so I couldn't provide further comment on exactly what was said by DCM Povey." Then you were asked to address this in your statement and to mention the report that you made at the time. We will have a look at that written report on the screen, if we can. It is <cjs005624>. It is at tab 10 as well of the chair's bundle. That's the front page. If we go to page 2, your name is on there. Your account starts at page 20. So if we could have that on the screen. These are the things — some of it has been filled in by you and some of it is what's written on every form. So, for example, use of force must only be used when it is reasonable in the circumstances, an absolute necessity. No more force than necessary and proportionate to the seriousness of the situation. It</cjs005624>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. We would have been positioned to the side of the door while the manager was giving directions and trying to de-escalate him through the viewing panel on the door. So we wouldn't have actually seen in the room. We can only go off what was being fed back to us. Q. So it's a normal room on A wing rather than one of the big rooms with the viewing panel you mentioned? A. Correct. Q. So it has a small viewing panel. You say D390 continued to ignore instructions. This is before you have entered the room. So these weren't instructions you were giving to him? A. No, so the manager leading it would always use his de-escalation skills as use of force is always a last resort. Q. Then you say he moved to face the door of the room. Again, as you say, you wouldn't have been able to see in the room at this point, but you would have A. We would have been looking at the manager. Q. The manager would have told you. You say the team were given instructions to advance. According to your note, it was you and DCO Shadbolt following DCO Sayers in, so DCO Sayers first and you two behind him. Then, as

1	"Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore	1	speak with either of the men in the room before using
2	instructions from DCO Sayers. For the safety of	2	force, is there?
3	the team, DCO Sayers advanced, placing the shield into	3	A. No.
4	his chest."	4	Q. Not by DCM Povey-Meier or not by DCO Sayers?
5	So, on entering, further instructions from	5	A. No. The team were told to advance.
6	DCO Sayers which D390 ignored.	6	Q. So it's literally two or three seconds before the door
7	A. Yes, as a team, we entered the room.	7	opening and D390 being pushed onto the bed with shields?
8	Q. And D390 continued to ignore instructions from	8	A. That's correct, because there's a kettle getting boiled
9	DCO Sayers?	9	in the room.
10	A. From what I from my knowledge. Although he wasn't	10	Q. Do you now accept, having seen this, that DCO Sayers did
11	physically fighting us, he was non-compliant.	11	not give an opportunity to D390 to sit on the bed before
12	Q. So you said in your report that he was ignoring	12	using force?
13	instructions from DCO Sayers?	13	A. Obviously it's a long time ago, so I have no memory on
14	A. That's non-compliant, yes.	14	that, but if a detainee is boiling the kettle,
15	Q. We can go to DCO Sayers' account as well at page 26. It	15	threatening officers with it, then I don't think that
16	is by him, but he also refers to himself as DCO Sayers.	16	would talking to them, they, I think, are past that.
17	The sixth line from the bottom:	17	Q. You said in your account:
18	"The team led by DCO Sayers on the shield and DCO	18	"Upon entering, detainee 390 continued to ignore
19	Shadbolt and Bromley as arm officers entered the room.	19	instructions from DCO Sayers and for the safety of
20	D390 continued to stand at the far end of the room next	20	the team DCO Sayers advanced."
21	to the desk and kettle. D390 didn't listen to me asking	21	There wasn't a period where he was ignoring
22	him to sit on the bed as I entered the room. I feared	22	instructions, was there? It was immediate?
23	that myself and the team could be covered in boiling	23	A. He was ignoring Stuart Povey.
24	water. I advanced with the team and placed the shield	24	Q. But he wasn't ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers?
25	onto the chest of D390, placing him onto the bed on the	25	A. That's correct.
	Page 113		Page 115
1	. 1. 1 . 1 . 6		
1	right-hand side of the room."	1	
2	G DCO G		Q. How did you come to write in your report that he was
2	So a similar account. DCO Sayers says the	2	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers?
3	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he	2 3	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number
3 4	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest	2 3 4	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one
3 4 5	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase	2 3 4 5	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be
3 4 5 6	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to	2 3 4 5 6	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been
3 4 5 6 7	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it,	2 3 4 5 6 7	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the
3 4 5 6 7 8	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen,</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said:</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers."</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only gives instructions to D390's roommate, doesn't he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers." You accept now that he didn't ignore instructions</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only gives instructions to D390's roommate, doesn't he? That's the only person he's speaking to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers." You accept now that he didn't ignore instructions from DCO Sayers; there were no further instructions from</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only gives instructions to D390's roommate, doesn't he? That's the only person he's speaking to. A. I have no knowledge on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers." You accept now that he didn't ignore instructions from DCO Sayers, in fact?</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only gives instructions to D390's roommate, doesn't he? That's the only person he's speaking to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers." You accept now that he didn't ignore instructions from DCO Sayers; there were no further instructions from</cjs005624>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	instruction was to ask him to sit on the bed, which he ignored, advanced and placed the shield onto his chest and placed him onto the bed. So using the same phrase as you, placing the shield onto his chest. I want to show the footage of this now. We have to show it, unfortunately, in closed session, but it is less than two minutes long. If we can go into closed session and can I have confirmation of when that's been done. The footage is UOF 137.17 (2). Play it from the start, please. IN CLOSED SESSION MS MOORE: Can we show the footage, then, please? (Video played) MS MOORE: If that comes off the screen, we can go back into open session, please. IN OPEN SESSION MS MOORE: As we can see there, and as you mentioned, you couldn't see into the room yourself before you entered, only Mr Povey-Meier can see through there. He only gives instructions to D390's roommate, doesn't he? That's the only person he's speaking to. A. I have no knowledge on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ignoring instructions from DCO Sayers? A. I think because normally well, when you're the number one officer, you are the voice, so there is only one voice not to confuse the detainee. So he would be giving instructions, and, once the locks have been applied, it would be his voice trying to get the detainee to relax, try to comply with us. Q. So you're saying that Mr Povey-Meier did the de-escalation outside the room? A. Stuart Povey, as you can see on the footage, he was doing the de-escalation from the door to try and get this detainee to come to the door compliantly, which the detainee refused to, and carried on boiling the kettle. Q. If we can have <cjs005624> back up on the screen, please, and page 21, and, again, that paragraph "At approximately 17:00". Where you said: " immediately the team were instructed to advance into A wing, room 209, with myself and DCO Shadbolt closely following DCO Sayers. Upon entering, D390 continued to ignore instructions from DCO Sayers." You accept now that he didn't ignore instructions from DCO Sayers, in fact?</cjs005624>

1	during the whole of the incident.	1	the shield" was a correct term.
2	Q. Was there a period when he was giving instructions and	2	Q. You should have seen the report by the inquiry's use of
3	D390 ignored him before the shield was applied?	3	force expert, Mr Collier, but I will read out what he
4	A. I have no knowledge. It is a long time ago, to be	4	says about this event anyway. Chair, this is from his
5	honest.	5	first report, <inq000111>. We don't need it on the</inq000111>
6	Q. Well, you just watched the footage a couple of seconds	6	screen, thank you. It is paragraph 249. He says:
7	ago.	7	"Once at the door, there was no clear conversation
8	A. Yes.	8	between DCM Povey-Meier and D390. Instead, he tries to
9	Q. They immediately enter the room, don't they, and the	9	persuade the second detainee to come to the door and
10	shield is almost immediately applied to the detainee?	10	follow the staff. He should have followed this with a
11	A. Because there was a boiling kettle in the room.	11	further and last opportunity to for D390 to walk from
12	Q. I'm not asking for why it was; I'm just asking about	12	the room. No effort was made to open the room door and
13	what you saw on the footage?	13	give D390 a chance to speak with DCM Povey-Meier face to
14	A. I've seen it on the footage, yes.	14	face. Instead, he opened the door and sent the team in.
15	Q. Can you help us with why you might have said in your	15	They immediately pin D390 and then remove the shield.
16	report that D390 ignored instruction?	16	The events that follow show D390 to be calm and
17	A. No. I must have just been going off what	17	explaining his reasons for not complying with the
18	Stuart Povey~	18	removal order."
19	Q. Did you discuss what to write with DCO Sayers?	19	Then he concludes at 260/261:
20	A. No.	20	"All reasonable efforts had not been made for D390
21	Q. The action that we saw in that piece of footage, where	21	to comply force was not used as the last resort.
22	DCO Sayers uses his shield on D390, you and DCO Sayers	22	"
23	both describe as "placing" a shield onto him. Now that	23	" [but] initial use of a shield was more than
24	you've watched it again, can you think of a better word	24	necessary as communication should have taken place
25	to use, or do you stand by the word "placing"?	25	first."
	Page 117		Page 119
1	A. I stand by the word.	1	Do you agree with that?
1 2	A. I stand by the word. O. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with	1 2	Do you agree with that? A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done
1 2 3	A. I stand by the word. Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield?	1 2 3	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done
2	Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with	2	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation
2 3	Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield?A. No.	2 3	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done
2 3 4	Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield?	2 3 4	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm
2 3 4 5	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been 	2 3 4 5	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario.
2 3 4 5	Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield?A. No.Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have	2 3 4 5 6	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off' everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? Q. Where you have used words like "placed", placing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley. Mr Bromley, just before lunch, you were helping us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? Q. Where you have used words like "placed", placing a shield, we can imagine that to mean it might be force 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley. Mr Bromley, just before lunch, you were helping us with your recollection of an incident on 5 June 2017.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? Q. Where you have used words like "placed", placing a shield, we can imagine that to mean it might be force like you've seen on the footage? So you might use 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley. Mr Bromley, just before lunch, you were helping us with your recollection of an incident on 5 June 2017. I now want to ask you about something that happened the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? Q. Where you have used words like "placed", placing a shield, we can imagine that to mean it might be force like you've seen on the footage? So you might use "placed" to describe that sort of level of force? A. I can only go off that report, and I stand by "placing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley. Mr Bromley, just before lunch, you were helping us with your recollection of an incident on 5 June 2017. I now want to ask you about something that happened the next day, 6 June, which is a totally unrelated account of a different use of force, but it just happened to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. You wouldn't use a word like "pinning him down" with a shield? A. No. Q. Can we take it, then, in all the reports that we have seen from you where you've said a detainee has been given an opportunity to comply or has ignored instructions, you might be mistaken about that sometimes? A. No, during the course of any C&R, de-escalation tactics are always used to try and de-escalate the detainee if he's in an aggressive manner. Q. But sometimes the ignoring instructions might be before you enter the room, for example, but you might accidentally write that it was after? A. Could be human error. Q. In all reports where you use a word like "placed" to talk about placing a shield, you could be talking about force of the level that we saw on the footage? A. Pardon? Q. Where you have used words like "placed", placing a shield, we can imagine that to mean it might be force like you've seen on the footage? So you might use "placed" to describe that sort of level of force? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I mean, Jon Collier is the expert. I've never done a manager's job where I'm doing the de-escalation through the door. I was just doing my job as the arm officer on that scenario. MS MOORE: Chair, it is 1.00 o'clock now. I have some more questions for Mr Bromley. Rather than put off everyone's lunch until that's done, I suggest we have a break now for lunch and call him back at 2.00 o'clock. Unfortunately, this means you won't be able to speak to anyone about your evidence over the break, but it is just an hour for lunch and then we can return and carry on. Thank you, chair, 2 o'clock. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MS MOORE: Thank you, chair. We continue with the evidence of Mr Bromley. Mr Bromley, just before lunch, you were helping us with your recollection of an incident on 5 June 2017. I now want to ask you about something that happened the next day, 6 June, which is a totally unrelated account

1	the day after, which possibly illustrates what you say	1	"He was also holding a pencil in his left hand
2	about lots of use of force events and you being involved	2	acting out a stabbing motion. Immediately
3	in them.	3	DCM Nick London took control of D1538's left arm in
4	If you turn to page 30 of your statement, the topic	4	a guided hold with the pencil dropping to the floor.
5	I want to ask you about is covered in your statement at	5	D1538 continued to shout abuse so I then applied
6	paragraphs 123 to 125. You briefly address this	6	a guided hold to D1538's right arm. At this point, for
7	incident which involved a detainee called D1538, and it	7	the safety of the detainee, DCM Shane Farrell acted as
8	took place in the arts and crafts room. Have you got it	8	head [officer] to protect the detainee from throwing his
9	there?	9	head back and forth."
10	A. Yes.	10	Then you go on to say he'd calmed down. Having seen
11	Q. Your account, as you set out here at 123, is that, from	11	that footage again, do you still believe that
12	memory, the first response went off, so you get told you	12	DCM Farrell took hold of D1538's head for his own
13	need to go to the particular room. You went to the room	13	safety?
14	the incident was in and you remember seeing D1538, you	14	A. 100 per cent.
15	say, looking extremely aggressive because of his body	15	Q. Mr Farrell, in his own report, says that he took control
16	language and expressions. Later, the situation was	16	of the head so it didn't hit on a cabinet and to protect
17	de-escalated and you later learned that he had been	17	you and Mr London. Did you believe there was a risk of
18	attacked by another detainee, I think, but you say you	18	his head hitting a cabinet?
19	didn't know that at the time when you entered the room?	19	A. That's correct, because, as you can see with the
20	A. That's right.	20	footage, there is a cabinet directly behind his head.
21	Q. Mr Tulley's recollection about this, which we will come	21	Q. Did you have any concerns at the time about this
22	to, is that you told him that DCM Farrell, who was also	22	incident, particularly Mr Farrell's use of force on the
23	involved, nearly took D1538's head off, and you say in	23	detainee's head?
24	your statement that that must be a mistake. If we go	24	A. No, I personally thought it was textbook.
25	back to the footage, which we have now provided to you	25	Q. It was?
	Page 121		Page 123
1	and we can show in open session, can we have a look,	1 1	A. Textbook.
1 2	and we can show in open session, can we have a look, it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If	1 2	A. Textbook. O. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley.
2	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If	2	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley.
2 3	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask	2 3	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said
2 3 4	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you.	2 3 4	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this
2 3 4 5	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played)	2 3 4 5	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you
2 3 4 5 6	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage,
2 3 4 5 6 7	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V20170606000011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V20170606000011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6,</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts:</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V20170606000011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint"</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah."</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says:</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V20170606000011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please,</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]?</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V20170606000011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say:</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name there and, again, it is done on the day. Going on to</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say: "He took his head clean off."</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name there and, again, it is done on the day. Going on to the next page, you mention that you are acting as first</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say: "He took his head clean off." Callum says:</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name there and, again, it is done on the day. Going on to the next page, you mention that you are acting as first response. The first response call came out, and about</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say: "He took his head clean off." Callum says: "Ahh.</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name there and, again, it is done on the day. Going on to the next page, you mention that you are acting as first</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say: "He took his head clean off." Callum says:</trn0000091>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's a very short clip, KENCOV1031-V2017060600011. If you play it from the very beginning, please. I will ask you to pause it shortly into the footage. Thank you. (Video played) MS MOORE: Can you pause it there? It is quite blurry. I think that's you at the back and Nick London on the other arm. Is that your recollection? A. Yes. Q. If you start again there is a man who is about to enter the shot from the left-hand side and that is going to be Shane Farrell. So if we keep an eye out for him and restart the footage, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So we saw Shane Farrell was the one who came in from the left with the white shirt and the other gentleman in the white shirt, I believe, was Mr Dix, possibly. In any event, it's Shane Farrell who made contact with the detainee's head. Can we have a look at your use of force report, which is <cjs005615>, please, page 14. This is the start of yours. We see your name there and, again, it is done on the day. Going on to the next page, you mention that you are acting as first response. The first response call came out, and about</cjs005615>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Textbook. You discuss the incident with Callum Tulley. We have a transcript and video of that. I know you said in your statement that you have no recollection of this and that Mr Tulley might have misremembered it, but you have now been shown or had a chance to see the footage, I believe? A. That's correct. Q. Do you now accept that the conversation happened? A. I do accept that was me. Q. Can I just put the transcript up on screen. We don't need to show the footage. It's <trn0000091> page 6, please. This is from the same sorry, from a few days later, four days later. There's a conversation, Callum starts: "That guy in [something]. Well; it wasn't really a restraint" You say: "Yeah." Callum says: " class room. Did you see Shane [something]? You say: "He took his head clean off." Callum says: "Ahh.</trn0000091>

		T	
1	Then you again:	1	officers are on the arms. Is the head officer on the
2	"Yeah, yeah, no worries I hate I hate being	2	head?
3	[inaudible] stuff like that."	3	A. Yes, he's head officer.
4	To me, it sounded like you said "head officer" on	4	Q. Can we go to <trn0000089>, please, page 26. Another</trn0000089>
5	the video. I don't know if, when you watched the	5	conversation with Callum:
6	footage, you thought you might have said "head officer"?	6	"Ryan, what happened up there in the [something]?
7	A. Possibly.	7	I missed the start of that."
8	Q. Callum said:	8	So this is the day so we can see the day at the
9	"Because I only really clo I only really clocked	9	top and this is the same day of the incident rather than
10	it."	10	later like the one we just looked at. You say:
11	You say:	11	"[Something] I don't know what he was arguing over
12	" And they pulled him pulled his neck right	12	[inaudible]. When we got there, the kiddy was kicking
13	down. That's why even D197's mates were like	13	off, trying to get back at him [inaudible] and he just
14	[something], but they're the ones that fight him. Do	14	put the guy grabs hold of the guy, that was it."
15	you know what I mean?"	15	I think that's talking about the detained person.
16	Callum says: "Yeah", and then there's more	16	Callum says:
17	conversation.	17	"I saw Shane put his head down."
18	So this conversation with Callum Tulley suggests,	18	You say:
19	doesn't it, that, at the time, you thought that	19	"I don't know. But the thing was it was in front of
20	Mr Farrell had gone too far because you say "He took his	20	everyone."
21	head clean off"?	21	Do you remember this conversation?
22	A. No, I still stand by my report. And if there was any	22	A. I don't remember this conversation, but obviously seeing
23	concerns, I would have reported it immediately.	23	it there, it seems to be my name on the transcript.
24	Q. Do you remember why you said to Callum Tulley that	24	Q. Do you know what you might have meant by "it was in
25	Mr Farrell "took his head clean off"?	25	front of everyone"?
	Page 125		Page 127
1	A I have no idea why I said that to Callum Tulley	1	A Veel as you can see with the feeters it is not an
1	A. I have no idea why I said that to Callum Tulley.	1	A. Yeah, as you can see with the footage, it is not an
2	Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's	2	empty classroom.
2 3	Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can	2 3	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say:
2 3 4	Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that canA. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident	2 3 4	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my
2 3 4 5	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. 	2 3 4 5	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? 	2 3 4 5 6	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of the head? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building. Q. So you say that, if you had had concerns about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of the head? A. It's classed as number one officer. Yeah, so number one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building. Q. So you say that, if you had had concerns about Mr Farrell acting with excessive force, you would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of the head? A. It's classed as number one officer. Yeah, so number one officer would be the head. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building. Q. So you say that, if you had had concerns about Mr Farrell acting with excessive force, you would have reported this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of the head? A. It's classed as number one officer. Yeah, so number one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building. Q. So you say that, if you had had concerns about Mr Farrell acting with excessive force, you would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And you say "He pulled his neck right down". That's something that can A. I have no idea. I have no knowledge of that incident and this conversation between me and Callum. Q. You don't remember it happening? A. No. Q. You can't think why you would have described it as "head clean off" or "pulling his neck right down"? A. No. Like I said, I thought it was textbook. Q. If you did say, and I appreciate that it says "[inaudible]" here, that you hated being head officer for stuff like that, is that something you can comment on? Do you remember being A. It doesn't actually say that about me being head officer, but, I mean, I'm confident in every role of use of force, whether it be an arm officer, a head officer, an anchor, a cuff officer. I'm confident in all aspects. Q. Just so we are clear, "head officer" doesn't mean in charge, it means literally the person who has control of the head? A. It's classed as number one officer. Yeah, so number one officer would be the head. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	empty classroom. Q. At the bottom, so lines 921 to 923, you say: "Well, the thing is it was like halfway through my fourth [something] day and I was first response. I shouldn't have [something] to be fair, but you've got to, ain't you?" Are you talking about that you'd worked four days in a row? A. Yeah, looks like it. Q. Is this something that you didn't think you should be first response if you had been working four days in a row? A. I don't know. It isn't clear with that transcript. Q. Was it ever the case that you'd worked four days in a row and you thought, I'm too tired or I've been working too many days without a break to be use of force? A. No, I was always good at my job. I was always confident with it. And if I had any concerns, I would have taken time away from the building. Q. So you say that, if you had had concerns about Mr Farrell acting with excessive force, you would have reported this?

1	Q. Who would you have reported it to?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. I would have SIRed it. I would have gone spoken with	2	Q. "A detainee then punched me on the back of my head"?
3	a senior manager and discussed my concerns about it.	3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. You say that, despite the conversation that you have now	4	Q. And you pushed him away?
5	accepted happened with Callum, where you say "He took	5	A. That's correct.
6	his head clean off", "He pulled his neck right down",	6	Q. The next thing you say you recall is DCO Shadbolt was on
7	this wasn't an occasion where you felt you needed to	7	one side of you and DCO Sayers on the other side and you
8	report?	8	call it marshalling. So they sort of protected you to
9	A. No. As I said, it was textbook.	9	go back inside?
10	Q. Turning, then, to another use of force incident,	10	A. Yes.
11	however, this is a reported assault on you by	11	Q. After this had happened, were you injured, did you have
12	a detainee, rather than any use of force against	12	a headache or any bruising?
13	a detainee, rather than any use of force against a detainee primarily. This is one that took place on	13	A. At the time, I had no bruising, but I was concussed.
14	14 April 2017, so right at the start of the relevant	14	Q. Mmm.
15	period that we are looking at. You deal with this,	15	
16	Mr Bromley, at pages 40 to 41 of your statement,	16	A. I was definitely concussed.
17	paragraph 163 to 168. We have shown you a document.	17	Q. Were you taken off duty after this?
18	I don't need to bring it up on screen. Do you recall	18	A. I have no memory of that. I can't remember what happened after that courtyard incident.
		19	
19	this event when you were monitoring detainees who were on the courtyard protesting?		Q. Do you remember if you were offered any support by any of the staff?
20	, ,	20	
21	A. I vaguely remember it, yes.	21	A. I can't remember.
22	Q. I think you say in your statement you were inside	22	Q. You do recall, because you say in your statement, at
23	because you were told it wasn't safe to be outside with	23	167, that you were, the following day, asked to go to
24	them?	24	CSU and shake the detainee's hand and you say "to
25	A. I vaguely remember that. Obviously it is a long time	25	mediate the matter so that he could be released back
	Page 129		Page 131
,	The Manager of Control		
	ago, so i don't nave a tresh memory on it.	1 1	onto D wing"?
1 2	ago, so I don't have a fresh memory on it. O Do you happen to recall what the protest was about?	1 2	onto D wing"? A. That's correct
2	Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about?	2	A. That's correct.
2 3	Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about?A. No.	2 3	A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand?
2 3 4	Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about?A. No.Q. Do you remember other protests around this time?	2 3 4	A. That's correct.Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand?A. No.
2 3 4 5	Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about?A. No.Q. Do you remember other protests around this time?A. No.	2 3 4 5	A. That's correct.Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand?A. No.Q. How did you feel about that request?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my — not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. Q. Obviously you didn't do so. You went to him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. Q. Obviously you didn't do so. You went to him? A. Yeah, we went straight to him, dispersed the crowd as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels? A. That's correct. Q. We have a document which I don't need to show on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. Q. Obviously you didn't do so. You went to him? A. Yeah, we went straight to him, dispersed the crowd as much as we could, but that still didn't stop it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels? A. That's correct. Q. We have a document which I don't need to show on the screen, but, for reference, it's <sxp000102> from</sxp000102>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. Q. Obviously you didn't do so. You went to him? A. Yeah, we went straight to him, dispersed the crowd as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels? A. That's correct. Q. We have a document which I don't need to show on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you happen to recall what the protest was about? A. No. Q. Do you remember other protests around this time? A. No. Q. Do you remember any protests at Brook House? A. Not from my not while I was on shift. Q. Turning back to this incident in particular, which you do seem to remember because you set it out in your statement, you said that you saw a detainee go to the floor and have what you suspected, I think, to be an epileptic fit? A. Correct. Q. What happened next? A. Obviously, as officers, we have got a duty of care, so the decision was made to enter the courtyard and try and clear the surrounding area so we could check the detainee's welfare. Q. You say that detainees told you to let the other detainee, who had gone to the ground, die? A. That's correct. Q. Obviously you didn't do so. You went to him? A. Yeah, we went straight to him, dispersed the crowd as much as we could, but that still didn't stop it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. Do you remember who asked you to shake his hand? A. No. Q. How did you feel about that request? A. Part of the job. I was just doing my job as a duty of care to see another resident that was in a vulnerable state. I suppose these things can happen in the security industry. Q. Do you remember if you did go and shake his hand? A. Yes, I did shake his hand. Q. The police were informed of the incident? A. That's correct. Q. And you had a meeting with the police about it? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember who informed the police? A. No. I would have thought it would have been the security department or someone higher up. Q. It wasn't that you called the police after it happened? A. No. Q. It goes through the channels? A. That's correct. Q. We have a document which I don't need to show on the screen, but, for reference, it's <sxp000102> from</sxp000102>

1	We assume that means declined to support further action	1	these events, which is at <cjs005955> we don't need</cjs005955>
2	or declined to support prosecution. Obviously you're	2	that on screen DCO Sayers said during the interview
3	the victim in this reference. Meaning that no action	3	that he did make the comments but he couldn't recall who
4	was taken then by the police?	4	the comments were made to and he wasn't sure whether or
5	A. Correct.	5	not it was you. We will go to the transcript, please,
6	Q. You say that's not right. You hadn't said that you	6	and see those comments. <trn0000093> and page 31,</trn0000093>
7	wouldn't support the action?	7	please. It starts with a comment from Callum Tulley:
8	A. It wasn't I hadn't supported the action. I was just	8	"Hear you've made a new friend, Sean."
9	informed by the police that these sort of cases, when an	9	And then somebody says, male officer 1:
10	individual's maybe awaiting flight details, their case	10	"Who have you fallen out with?
11	is pending, my complaint wouldn't have been dealt with.	11	"[Putting on an accent]. Alright governor?"
12	Q. Why wouldn't it have been dealt with?	12	Then there's some further discussion at 1112
13	A. I don't know. I'm not a police officer, unfortunately.	13	Callum Tulley says:
14	Q. You say in your statement:	14	"Do you know about the um, do you know about the
15	"I was informed that if I did press any charges it	15	threats he's made against you?
16	would not be pursued because it would interfere with	16	"Sean Sayers: Threats against me?
17	potential immigration removal directions for D1103."	17	"Callum Tulley: Dan Lake said you already know, so
18	A. That's what I just said.	18	I haven't SR'd anything yet, but I'm in the library next
19	Q. One of the police officers, rather than someone at G4S,	19	so I can write an SR.
20	told you	20	"Sean Sayers: When? Was he doing it when I wasn't
21	A. Correct.	20 21	there?
22	Q. Had you heard of this happening before? Is this	22	"Callum Tulley: When I went up to the wing he was
23	something that is this the only time you'd come	23	saying, he was basically saying you've made the biggest
24	across it?	24	mistake of your life and all that stuff, blah, blah,
25	A. I can't speak for any other cases, but assaults on staff	25	blah. 'You don't know who I am'."
23	A. I can't speak for any other cases, but assaults on stan	23	orani. Tou don't know who I am .
	Page 133		Page 135
1	were a regular occurrence.	1	Sean Sayers said:
2	Q. Were what, sorry?	2	"Did that years ago when I got my misses
3	A. A regular occurrence.	3	[something]."
4	Q. Had there been occasions where the police had said to	4	Callum says:
5	you or colleagues that you'd spoken to	5	"I think he's saying to Nobby that he's going to
6	A. I don't know. I haven't spoken to any other colleagues	6	mediate with you.
7	regarding this issue.	7	"Sean Sayers: Fuck off, not going to mediate with
8	Q. Then you say he was placed back onto D wing where you	8	me, cunt. Straighten things out [inaudible] fucking
9	were placed and it was fortunate that he was fine with	9	squeeze. [Inaudible].
10	you after this incident?	10	"Male officer 1: You got a good team down there?
11	A. Correct.	11	"Sean Sayers: Huh? No, that's why I did it on my
12	Q. The last thing I want to ask you about is a conversation	12	own.
13	on 15 June 2017. So this is the day of an incident	13	"Male officer 1: Yeah you gotta do it, don't
14	which involved DCO Sayers and D313. You were asked	14	don't
15	about this in a G4S investigation following the BBC	15	"Callum Tulley: What did you do?
16	raising allegations about Mr Sayers. The allegation	16	"Sean Sayers: Literally picked him up, off his feet
17	that's made about Mr Sayers was that, on 15 June, he	17	took him to his room, [threw] him onto his bed,
18	struck D313 with the back of his hand after physically	18	I slipped, accidentally landed on him then accidentally
19	lifting him in his room. I should stress, you were not	19	helped myself up off his face.
20	alleged to have been involved in that alleged assault on	20	"Male officer 1: Yeah, man
21	D313. The allegation that was made against you was	21	"Callum Tulley: It wasn't on camera, was it?
22	that, in a later conversation, you'd asked Mr Sayers if	22	"Sean Sayers: Huh?
23	he had a good team and he said, "No, that's why I did it	23	"Callum Tulley: It wasn't on camera, was it?
	on my own", and then in front of you he described the	24	"Sean Sayers: No
24	J	1	
25	assault. As we know from the G4S investigation into	25	"Callum Tulley: Was gonna say mate, you should be
	assault. As we know from the G4S investigation into Page 134	25	"Callum Tulley: Was gonna say mate, you should be Page 136

1	careful."	1 myself up off his face."
2	And then a voice says:	2 That's describing assaulting a detainee, isn't it?
3	"Guy was well unlucky, mate."	3 A. Well, after hearing and seeing the footage, then if I
4	I understand you have now reviewed the footage of	4 at the time, if I was actually paying attention to that
5	this conversation and the man that was referred to as	5 conversation, I would definitely have reported Sayers,
6	"Male officer 1" is shown briefly at the end of	6 but because I didn't hear it, I didn't report it.
7	the clip. The BBC identified this as you initially in	7 Q. If you'd have heard those exact words, you would have
8	a letter that you received before the broadcast and this	8 reported it?
9	will be because, on behalf of the BBC, they were told	9 A. Yes, because it's assault.
10	that it was you in the room. Do you now accept that it	10 Q. Who would you have reported him to?
11	was you who made the comments attributed to "Male	11 A. I would have put an SIR in and gone to senior managemen
12	officer 1"?	12 with my concerns.
13	A. From looking at the footage, then, yeah, it could have	Q. Presumably, you wouldn't have asked him if he had a good
14	been me that made that comment. But I remember you	14 team?
15	could hear in the in the news behind it, you could	15 A. Like I said, I was too busy watching the news to worry
16	actually see the Grenfell Tower had just happened, so	about this conversation.
17	I was more concentrating on watching the news than I was	17 Q. You and Mr Sayers were on the initial training course
18	any of this conversation.	18 together, I think?
19	Q. So you might not have been listening to the	19 A. That's correct.
20	conversation?	Q. Then you worked on D wing together?
21	A. I have no knowledge of this conversation.	21 A. For a short period.
22	Q. Do you remember saying, "You got a good team down	Q. You say in your statement you were split up from that to
23	there"?	23 avoid being on the same wing because sometimes you were
24	A. I have no memory of this conversation.	both needed in use of force so each wing wouldn't be
25	Q. We can watch it if you want to	25 short when you were split up?
	Page 137	Page 139
1	A Fool from	1 A That's correct
1	A. Feel free.	1 A. That's correct.
2	Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in	2 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard,
2 3	Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought
2 3 4	Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please.	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building?
2 3 4 5	Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played)	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, 	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? 	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. 	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? 	 Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV is on, but you can hear him speaking: 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on the Grenfell Tower fire.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV is on, but you can hear him speaking: "Literally picked him up, off his feet took him to 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on the Grenfell Tower fire. Q. Your statement reads, Mr Bromley, at page 48, when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV is on, but you can hear him speaking: "Literally picked him up, off his feet took him to his room, [threw] him onto his bed, I slipped, 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on the Grenfell Tower fire. Q. Your statement reads, Mr Bromley, at page 48, when you were asked about Mr Sayers as well as other people:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV is on, but you can hear him speaking: "Literally picked him up, off his feet took him to 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on the Grenfell Tower fire. Q. Your statement reads, Mr Bromley, at page 48, when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q remind yourself of it. I think we can watch this in open. If we put it on, KENCOV1036-V2017061500019. If you could start it at 3 minutes 35, please. (Video played) MS MOORE: So you accept that you may be the man who said, "Have you got a good team"? A. Yeah, I may be that man. Q. What would be meant by "Have you got a good team"? A. I wasn't even focusing on that conversation. As you can clearly hear at the end of the video, I was talking about the news. Q. You weren't talking about the news when you said "Have you got a good team", were you? A. I must have overheard the conversation, a slight point of it, but I have no knowledge on this incident. Q. Does it mean a team who will cover up for you if they see you assaulting a detainee? A. I just said, "Have you got a good team". I don't have anything else I can say on that. Q. Mr Sayers said in your presence I appreciate the TV is on, but you can hear him speaking: "Literally picked him up, off his feet took him to his room, [threw] him onto his bed, I slipped, 	Q. And as we just heard, in the incident on the courtyard, he was one of the people who protected you and brought you back into the building? A. Yes. Q. We already discussed Mr Sayers and his use of force on D390, so where you've recorded on the form that he tried to negotiate, but was ignored by the detainee, and you said he placed a shield on D390 when his attempt to negotiate failed? A. That's correct. Q. You have accepted that he didn't give him a chance to ignore his instructions and try and sit on the bed. In fact, he rushed in? A. Throughout the course of the whole C&R, he was trying to de-escalate that detainee. Q. What I'm going to suggest here is Mr Sayers spoke in front of you about assaulting a detainee and you heard him and you chose not to report him? A. Unfortunately, like I said before, I wasn't paying attention to the conversation. I was concentrating on the Grenfell Tower fire. Q. Your statement reads, Mr Bromley, at page 48, when you were asked about Mr Sayers as well as other people:

1	I do not recall ever witnessing Sean Sayers use	1	ground. So office/admin type role.
2	derogatory, offensive or insensitive remarks and did not	2	Q. So you worked in an office?
3	witness any incidents of verbal or physical abuse."	3	A. Yes.
4	So you had no concerns about his views or behaviours	4	Q. Did you have any experience, before working at
5	then. Have you changed your mind since seeing what he	5	Brook House, of working in a custodial environment?
6	said on this footage?	6	A. No.
7	A. Obviously, now I'm seeing the footage, then, yeah,	7	Q. Since you've left Brook House, what have you done for
8	because these things aren't right, but at the time of	8	a living?
9	working with him, and during that whole course, I never	9	A. So I'm in finance now, so I'm a mortgage advisor
10	saw anything about Sean that was bad. He was a good	10	
11		11	currently. Before that, I worked as a part-time
12	officer and experienced in C&R. He was well liked by	12	delivery driver, because I took voluntary redundancy
13	the detainees and other staff.	13	from the company that I went to after G4S. So I was at
	Q. You never heard him say similar comments to this, but in		that company for two and a half years or so and then
14	a conversation where you were paying attention?	14	I took voluntary redundancy to to sort of see where
15	A. Absolutely not.	15	I wanted to go next and I took qualifications to become
16	Q. Mr Bromley, I have no further questions for you. The	16	what I am now.
17	chair may, though?	17	Q. A mortgage advisor?
18	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions for you, Mr Bromley.	18	A. Yeah. Is that enough information?
19	Thank you very much for giving your evidence. I know it	19	Q. Thank you. You left, in October 2017, Brook House,
20	is not an easy experience, but I'm grateful for you	20	which was just after Panorama aired. Did that influence
21	coming today.	21	your decision?
22	A. I appreciate that.	22	A. Partially. I wanted to leave before, and I didn't see
23	MS MOORE: Chair, we have Mr Fiddy now. I suggest we have	23	a way out mentally. I became, I guess, conditioned to
24	a break of 15 minutes to allow us to swap around. If we	24	working the shifts, two days off, working the shifts,
25	return at 2.45 pm for his evidence.	25	and I you know, had the I thought I wanted to, you
	Page 141		Page 143
	1 agc 171		1 age 143
	THE COLUMN THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
1	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.	1	know, go and sort of do another career, but, yeah, it
1 2	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. (2.27 pm)	1 2	know, go and sort of do another career, but, yeah, it was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the
2	(2.27 pm)	2	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the
2	(2.27 pm) (A short break)	2 3	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least.
2 3 4	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm)	2 3 4	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do
2 3 4 5	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn)	2 3 4 5	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the
2 3 4 5 6	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND	2 3 4 5 6	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy.	2 3 4 5 6 7	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>.</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular,</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017?</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017? A. I believe so.</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be a reprieve, so to speak, until you clocked out, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017? A. I believe so. Q. What was it that you did before becoming a DCO? A. I worked as a project coordinator for</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be a reprieve, so to speak, until you clocked out, if you clocked out on time. Does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017? A. I believe so. Q. What was it that you did before becoming a DCO?</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be a reprieve, so to speak, until you clocked out, if you clocked out on time. Does that make sense? Q. You mentioned just a moment ago that you felt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017? A. I believe so. Q. What was it that you did before becoming a DCO? A. I worked as a project coordinator for a telecommunications company. So, like, I was</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be a reprieve, so to speak, until you clocked out, if you clocked out on time. Does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(2.27 pm) (A short break) (2.45 pm) MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn) Examination by MS TOWNSHEND MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, we will now hear from Mr Edmund Fiddy. Mr Fiddy, please could you give your full name? A. Edmond John Fiddy. Q. Is it correct that you have provided a witness statement to this inquiry, signed and dated 9 February 2022? A. Yes. Q. Chair, may this now stand as Mr Fiddy's evidence and be adduced into evidence in this inquiry? A. Thank you. Q. It is reference number <mar000002>. Mr Fiddy, I want to ask you first about your employment at Brook House as a DCO and, in particular, the dates. Is it correct that you were a DCO from 27 April 2015 to 12 October 2017? A. I believe so. Q. What was it that you did before becoming a DCO? A. I worked as a project coordinator for a telecommunications company. So, like, I was</mar000002>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was part of it. I couldn't believe what I saw on the TV. It was eye opening, to say the least. Q. You said you didn't feel there was a way out. What do you mean by that? A. I think being in the mental space that I was at the time, it was hard to sort of think about your own future and think, "What do I want to do with my life?", because you were so tired and it was always abuse and I wasn't it was really hard to focus on myself, if that makes sense. Q. I don't want to ask you about details, but what do you mean about not being in the mental space? A. Just mentally drained all the time, and, you know, that's what I mean. I don't know how to Q. Why were you mentally drained all the time? A. The shifts were 13 hours or so and well, you wouldn't really get a break. It was relentless. I mean, there were good days, when things were running well, but, yeah, towards the end of my time there, yeah, you knew when you clocked in, that there wasn't going to be a reprieve, so to speak, until you clocked out, if you clocked out on time. Does that make sense? Q. You mentioned just a moment ago that you felt

1	do what?	1	training, but then they didn't tell you how just
2	A. Just, I guess, the repetitive working environment,	2	basic things, how to run the gate, and that caused
3	I guess. Yeah, I guess not institutionalised, that's	3	problems because it was very overwhelming.
4	the wrong word, but I guess it's monotonous, I guess.	4	Q. What were your expectations going into the job? What
5	It's routine. It's the working of the centre. It's	5	did you think it was going to be like?
6	does that make sense? I don't know.	6	A. I got told that it was a short-term place that, you
7	Q. Just before I ask another question, may I ask you to	7	know, ex-prisoners or people that are waiting for asylum
8	move forward, closer to the microphone. I understand	8	or bail to go through with the solicitors and the
9	not everybody can hear you on the live stream?	9	Home Office. I got told they would be there for
10	A. Sorry. Is that better?	10	a maximum of 72 hours, or three days.
11	Q. I'm afraid I don't know because I'm not watching, but if	11	Q. What was the reality?
12	you could just move a little bit closer. Thank you.	12	A. It was ridiculous for the detainees because it was
13	So you were saying that you felt conditioned by	13	uncertain. You know, they would come from prison, for
14	being in a routine, in a shift pattern?	14	example, and they'd done two years or whatever, and they
15	A. Yes.	15	were coming to us and it was indefinite. You know,
16	Q. Did you feel conditioned in any other way to behave in	16	people were there I remember there was a Vietnamese
17	a certain way when you were a detention custody officer?	17	chap, and he was there for — it felt like two years.
18	A. I was very young at the time, and you're thrust into	18	I mean, he was there a long time. I don't know why.
19	a job of not authority, but, you know, you're sort of	19	I don't know why they were there for that long. Sorry.
20	looked to to organise the running of the centre, and	20	Q. So you've spoken about the differences between your
21	I was 24 or so; naive to custodial working and, yeah,	21	expectations and the reality in relation to what type
22	you had to encompass the role of a kind of a manager	22	of or how long somebody was going to be detained
23	not an actual manager, but you're managing the routine	23	there and what type of person was going to be detained.
24	and the running.	24	Were there any other differences between your
25	Q. I'm going to ask you about training. You say in your	25	expectations matching that of the reality at
	<u> </u>		
	Page 145		Page 147
1	witness statement negocraph ?	1	Proof House?
1	witness statement, paragraph 8:	1	Brook House?
2	"The application process and induction course did	2	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry.
2 3	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at	2 3	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry.Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness
2 3 4	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House."	2 3 4	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry.Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what
2 3 4 5	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that?	2 3 4 5	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry.Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long
2 3 4 5 6	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it	2 3 4 5 6	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences
2 3 4 5 6 7	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't - I'd never come across
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They there wasn't any so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very some very aggressive detainees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They there wasn't any so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the what the wing would look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period I think it is eight weeks where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They there wasn't any so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys I know that's really silly things until maybe about week seven, when they I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced. So — but a lot of people left. So they came —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the colloquial term — and, yeah, there was a lot of people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced. So — but a lot of people left. So they came — after I'd been there a while, the new starters would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the colloquial term — and, yeah, there was a lot of people that, yeah, had to leave — well, for themselves.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced. So — but a lot of people left. So they came — after I'd been there a while, the new starters would leave within two weeks or a few shifts, because it just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the colloquial term — and, yeah, there was a lot of people that, yeah, had to leave — well, for themselves. Q. You say there wasn't really any training. You say in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced. So — but a lot of people left. So they came — after I'd been there a while, the new starters would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the colloquial term — and, yeah, there was a lot of people that, yeah, had to leave — well, for themselves.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"The application process and induction course did not really prepare me for the reality of working at Brook House." Why do you say that? A. So there's an eight-week training period — I think it is eight weeks — where you run through DCO rules. So there was an onus on the sort of legal rules for a detention centre generally. And so there were certain rules that you needed to understand. I can't remember the numbers. They — there wasn't any — so I didn't understand, even though it looked like a prison from the outside, I didn't understand the — what the wing would look like, what it would feel like, the doors, the keys — I know that's really silly things — until maybe about week seven, when they — I'm guessing at that week, I'm not sure, but they showed us a photo of, I assume, when it was built, because it was very clean, you know, the wing, and I was nervous, because it wasn't something that I'd experienced. So — but a lot of people left. So they came — after I'd been there a while, the new starters would leave within two weeks or a few shifts, because it just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Sorry, can you say that again? Sorry. Q. You have yourself said now, and also in your witness statement, that the reality was very different to what you expected it to be like. Why, apart from how long detainees were detained? What were the differences between what you expected and how it actually turned out to be? A. Being naive and young, I didn't — I'd never come across that much violence and aggression from another person, and that was incredibly eye opening. Q. Who was the aggression from and to? A. So there were very — some very aggressive detainees. I'm not saying that they were all aggressive at all. But there were certain individuals that were more aggressive than others, and I wasn't mentally equipped to deal with it. There wasn't any training, really, regarding that, and you sort of — you get thrown in and go, "Right, the training course is done". Q. So you're thrown in the deep end? A. Yeah. It was very much sink or swim — excuse the colloquial term — and, yeah, there was a lot of people that, yeah, had to leave — well, for themselves. Q. You say there wasn't really any training. You say in

1	have been helpful. That's paragraphs 11, 69 and 122 to	1	A. I think there was a week of use of force training with	
2	123. What kind of training, additional training, would	2	basic holds by the book, by the guidelines. "This is	
3	you like to have seen?	3	how you hold a hand" or, you know, how it works. I know	
4	A. I think real life situations or because I we	4	it's difficult until maybe you've done it once or twice;	
5	didn't go into the centre I don't know if that's	5	it's all very different, all the every situation is	
6	a legal thing, when you can't because there was,	6	very, very different. But I never felt comfortable.	
7	like, a walkway between the training room or the	7	I didn't feel like there was enough onus on training	
8	gatehouse and the main residential unit. I don't know	8	training, training, training. You know, it should have	
9	whether we weren't allowed in during the training course	9	been done at least every month, potentially, in the	
10	or for clearance or something, I don't know.	10	classroom, and there just wasn't enough. It was assumed	
11	Q. So you couldn't do any work shadowing during the	11	that you passed the course and you're legally trained to	
12	training course. Is that what you're saying?	12	do it, which, after a week, is, in my opinion, not	
13	A. No.	13	enough.	
14	Q. Would that have been helpful?	14	Q. You said that "no doubt others often felt	
15	A. Yes, because, in hindsight well, potentially,	15	uncomfortable". How did you know that other detention	
16	I wouldn't have, you know, been working there. Maybe if	16	custody officers felt the same way?	
17	I saw that to begin with, it might have opened my eyes	17	A. They used to fear it. They used to say you used to	
18	a little bit more to the situation.	18	get a phone call sometimes on the wing and it was, like,	
19	Q. You said potentially you wouldn't have worked there if	19	luck of the draw, and you wished it wasn't you	
20	you'd had some work shadowing, and you'd seen the	20	personally, and you were in fear of, you know.	
21	reality of it. Mr Fiddy, you did work there for two and	21	Q. What were you in fear of exactly?	
22	a half years, so	22	A. It was a very dangerous place, and there was a lot of	
23	A. Yeah. Maybe that's a stretch. I think, at the	23	people who would be, like, injured. I didn't	
24	beginning, there was quite a lot more staff, and	24	I didn't want to go to the hospital or yeah,	
25	I had there was a regular team that I worked with on	25	I didn't like	
	•			
	Page 149		Page 151	
1	D wing, Delta Dove Dove wing. I think they were	1	O. When you say a lot of people got injured, do you mean	
1 2	D wing, Delta Dove Dove wing. I think they were	1 2	Q. When you say a lot of people got injured, do you mean detained persons or do you mean staff or both?	
2	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous	2	detained persons or do you mean staff or both?	
2 3	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it	2 3	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the	
2 3 4	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to	2	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there	
2 3 4 5	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers	2 3 4 5	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to	
2 3 4	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question.	2 3 4	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it	
2 3 4 5 6 7	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force	2 3 4 5 6 7	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training? Q. It was about use of force training, and you'd said in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't? A. All. All of them.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training? Q. It was about use of force training, and you'd said in your witness statement that you felt uncomfortable doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't? A. All. All of them. Q. So Steve Skitt, for example, or Jules Williams,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training? Q. It was about use of force training, and you'd said in your witness statement that you felt uncomfortable doing use of force. I was just asking you why you felt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not – I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't? A. All. All of them. Q. So Steve Skitt, for example, or Jules Williams, Michelle Brown?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training? Q. It was about use of force training, and you'd said in your witness statement that you felt uncomfortable doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't? A. All. All of them. Q. So Steve Skitt, for example, or Jules Williams,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a support bubble. I think everyone gets a bit nervous starting a new job. Yeah. I think I wanted to give it a go and try and make a difference, and I didn't want to be a quitter. You know, I didn't if that answers your question. Q. I want to ask you about specifically use of force training. You said at paragraphs 12 and 18 of your witness statement that you had annual refreshers for use of force, but, and I quote: " I do feel as though more regular training should have been provided because I know that I, and no doubt others, often felt uncomfortable when it came to use of force and/or confrontation with detainees." Why did you feel uncomfortable? A. I didn't want to, you know, hurt anyone. You know, I wasn't there to restrain someone. I never felt comfortable. You know, you get the call to put on PPE and, instantly, you know, your body is well, my body is it's scary. What was the initial question, sorry? Training? Q. It was about use of force training, and you'd said in your witness statement that you felt uncomfortable doing use of force. I was just asking you why you felt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	detained persons or do you mean staff or both? A. I assume both. I know I'm not — I'm unsure about the detainees. I'm unsure. I don't know. But I know there were some staff members that sort of were taken to hospital and there were injuries and things because it was a bit of an unknown what's the situation in the room and things like that. Does that answer? Q. I'll come back to specific use of force incidents in a moment. I want to now move on to your views about senior management. You say in your witness statement at paragraphs 47 to 48 that they were notoriously unavailable during a shift if they were asked to come to the wing floor. You said that they stay in their office on the top floor and only came down briefly to review and sign ACDT forms, which took about five minutes. Are you talking there about DCMs or are you talking about further up the line of senior management? A. The senior management team. Q. Anyone in particular that you felt should have been more visible and wasn't? A. All. All of them. Q. So Steve Skitt, for example, or Jules Williams, Michelle Brown?	

1 1 Steve. Ben, I'm not sure of his surname. A. I can't remember specifically, to be honest. 2 2 Q. Was it during use of force incidents or in relation to 3 3 detained persons self-harming or can you think of an A. I don't recall seeing him ever on a residential unit. 4 However, he was -- he would sometimes come to the 4 example? 5 briefings in the morning. 5 A. He didn't really get involved with use of force. Q. Are you able to give any examples of when it was an 6 6 I don't remember him -- I don't think that was his job. 7 issue that there were no senior management -- members of 7 I don't know. It was just generally how he spoke to me 8 the senior management team that were around on the wing? 8 all the time and -- yeah, it's quite not what you want 9 9 A. Like a specific --10 10 Q. Yes. Q. Did he speak to other DCOs and DCMs like that? 11 11 A. I'm not sure. I can't -- I don't know. A. It didn't feel like it, which made it worse. Yeah, 12 12 O. So why was it -- or was it an issue, the fact that I tried to avoid him in the end because -- yeah. It 13 senior management weren't around? 13 felt like if I did the same thing as another officer, it 14 14 A. It was a lack of leadership across the whole company. would be, why am I doing that, or what -- you know, just 15 15 I'm not -- you know, potentially, they can lead by things like that. I can't remember specific 16 example, and -- because a lot of them, I believe, have 16 conversations or things like that. 17 worked as a prison officer or on the wing or -- so their 17 Q. We've heard from other DCOs during this inquiry about 18 insights and their -- yeah. I think there were some 18 hearing the phrase, and the phrase being used, "man up". 19 19 Dan Small spoke about the expectation of managers that detained persons that wanted to speak with a bit more 20 higher manager, you know, not just a DCM, and it was 20 DCOs would man up, and he also described a macho 21 culture, as you have. We have also heard detention tricky to get them to come down to speak with people. 21 22 Q. What kind of issues would detainees raise which meant 22 centre officers using that phrase "man up" towards 23 that they wanted to speak to senior management? 23 detainees, so Charlie Francis last week told the inquiry 24 A. I can't remember a specific -- I know food was an issue, 24 the phrase was often -- he often used it towards 25 25 but I don't know, sorry. detainees even when they were self-harming. Page 153 Page 155 1 1 Q. You say food -- quality, quantity, that type of thing? Darren Tomsett this morning admitted saying it when 2 2 A. I think both. Variety. Yeah. a detained person had asked for underwear. Was this 3 3 Q. You've mentioned Jules Williams. In your witness a phrase that you heard a lot, "man up"? 4 statement, paragraphs 43 to 45, you say that you felt 4 A. Not from -- not literally, I don't think. Not that 5 5 bullied and treated unfairly by Jules Williams. In what I can recall. 6 Q. Do you recognise the description that Dan Small said 7 7 about the culture being a macho culture? A. I think -- because I was young, naive, as I've said, 8 8 and, you know, you're trying to fit -- you fit in and do Q the best that you can, given the circumstances, or 9 Q. Why do you say that? 10 10 specific circumstances, daily, and naturally you want to A. It was -- it felt like it was assumed, you're the 11 know that you're, you know, doing well, and I guess 11 officer, you know, you have to just get on with it and 12 people think of you as -- you know, they're doing the 12 deal with things that personally I needed more help 13 13 with, you know, mentally, and it was just a "Get on, do job well and he's working well. 14 14 Q. So you were looking for reassurance and support from it", and, yeah, like, the staff, if there was an 15 15 incident or something, you would have to crack on and do 16 16 A. I guess, naturally, you know, he was a powerful figure, it, and then try and make sure everything else is 17 17 but, yeah, I mean, there's things that I would do, you maintained and running and it's just, "Deal with it", 18 18 know, the same as someone that showed me, you know, basically, which I assume is like a macho thing to say 19 19 like, in terms of, I don't know, unlocking the door, or 20 20 whatever, and he would always undermine me in front of Q. That phrase "man up", do you think that kind of language 21 all the staff, belittle me, and it felt pretty 21 contributed to that macho culture? 22 22 humiliating, to be honest. It was quite a "man up" A. If -- yeah, I guess, if it was used specifically, you know, the words, you know, you take from it. That --23 culture. Yeah. 23 Q. In what situations did Jules Williams tell you to 24 24 I take the word -- that's what you take from it. 25 25 Q. Did you ever raise a grievance about Jules Williams with "man up"? Page 154 Page 156

1	anybody?	1	people needed your help, if there was some sort of
2	A. No.	2	incident. You know, I don't know, if anything. You
3	Q. Why not?	3	know, if people needed you for something obviously
4	A. I was scared. I didn't want to be sacked. I was close	4	you'd be helping or doing the food, the servery bits,
5	to I can't remember specifically what happened.	5	and you just you can only spread yourself so thin,
6	I think it was just an accumulation of him sort of being	6	and it just made things harder because, you know, you'd
7	at me sort of thing, and I went to HR, because I was	7	just be trying to fight fires not literally, but just
8	upset. I can't remember why. As I say, it was more of	8	to try and help everyone, and it was really hard, and
9	an accumulation. So I went to HR and I specifically	9	I appreciate that it wasn't the detainees' fault, and it
10	said it's borderline bullying. I don't know why	10	was you know, they were getting annoyed by it,
11	I remember that, but I so he I sat down for five	11	understandably.
12	minutes, which was nice, and then I went back to the	12	Q. What wasn't the detainees' fault, sorry?
13	wing and just got on with it.	13	A. The staff. If I couldn't help, you know, tensions would
14	Q. So you "sat down for five minutes, which was nice". Why	14	build because you might not be able to help someone,
15	was it "nice"?	15	I don't know, their phone was in the office or
16	A. It was a nice atmosphere at the top of the building. It	16	something, but then you had to do the food as well. So
17	was quiet. And it didn't feel like a prison, you know,	17	that's what I mean.
18	because it was like an adminy-type the senior	18	Q. So you were stretched?
19	managers' offices were there, the HR was there. So,	19	A. Yes.
20	yeah, the noise is, yeah, loud, so it was nice. But	20	Q. That's the effect on detained persons of understaffing.
21	I guess I felt bad for leaving the unit, because, if you	21	What about the effect on staff?
22	leave to do something, you're leaving the unit sorry,	22	A. It became such a normal occurrence, in the end, that
23	the wing, if you like, short staffed, and you felt bad.	23	it it was really bad to start off with, because you'd
24	You felt like your responsibility to the staff and	24	think, "Oh, I'm used to three people here", so
25	the detainees, because there's always things that need	25	everything can be done, and you don't have any issues
	Page 157		Page 159
1	to be done, and if there's only one, unfortunately, you	1	and everyone can help everyone, and it's great. But
2	can't do everything.	2	there was always fear I think there's no breaks, so
3	Q. We will come on to staffing levels in a moment. So you	3	you can't leave you can't say, "Well, just sort the
4	went to HR about Jules Williams and complained about	4	wing out yourself", and you can't leave, I think is
5	what you have described as borderline bullying?	5	a big there was no-one to cover breaks. And
6		"	
· ·		6	mentally. I think you know if there was an issue or if
7	A. Yes. O. Was anything done by HR?	6	mentally, I think, you know, if there was an issue or if
7 8	Q. Was anything done by HR?	7	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to
8	Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves.	7 8	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know,
8 9	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and 	7 8 9	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah,
8 9 10	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes 	7 8 9 10	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift
8 9 10 11	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. 	7 8 9 10 11	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the
8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing 	7 8 9 10 11 12	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time.
8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think it was 20 rooms on each floor. Maybe 120 then. I'm not 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's not safe. Looking back, yeah, it's not good.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think it was 20 rooms on each floor. Maybe 120 then. I'm not sure. I can't remember. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's not safe. Looking back, yeah, it's not good. Q. How did this lack of staff affect staff morale?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think it was 20 rooms on each floor. Maybe 120 then. I'm not sure. I can't remember. Q. Why was that an issue, having only one or two for that 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's not safe. Looking back, yeah, it's not good. Q. How did this lack of staff affect staff morale? A. It was always talked about. It was always sort of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think it was 20 rooms on each floor. Maybe 120 then. I'm not sure. I can't remember. Q. Why was that an issue, having only one or two for that many detainees? A. I think there's you would worry if there was if 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's not safe. Looking back, yeah, it's not good. Q. How did this lack of staff affect staff morale? A. It was always talked about. It was always sort of a sore point. But it was — again, it was, "Deal with it". You know, you're working here, you've got
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Was anything done by HR? A. No. I didn't yeah. I didn't want to make waves. I didn't you know, as I say, I needed the job, and yeah. Sometimes you just need to calm for two minutes and just as I say, things need to keep running. Q. Did you think about using a confidential whistleblowing line, like "Speak Out", in terms of G4S? A. I don't know what that is. Q. I'll move on to staffing levels. A. Right. Q. You say in your witness statement that there were times when there were only one or two staff on the wing. That was for how many detainees? A. On D wing, I think we had 102, 110, it was 20 I think it was 20 rooms on each floor. Maybe 120 then. I'm not sure. I can't remember. Q. Why was that an issue, having only one or two for that many detainees? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	someone was angry with you or, you know, they wanted to assault you or take the keys or something, you know, hypothetical, it would — yeah, it would happen. Yeah, I think there was a worry, because there were makeshift weapons and things like that, so it was a worry all the time. Q. You said in your witness statement that there was more than one time that you had to unlock the entire wing by yourself, particularly at meal times. Was this safe, do you think? A. That's a hard question. I think, if everything is running smoothly, you know, everyone has their dinner and things, but no. You've got 120 people. It's something that you try and not think about it, so it's not safe. Looking back, yeah, it's not good. Q. How did this lack of staff affect staff morale? A. It was always talked about. It was always sort of a sore point. But it was — again, it was, "Deal with

you did feel pride in your wing — I did. There was points where we had an excellent wing, and you sort of felt responsibility to all the people under your care. Jean't remember the question now. Lean't remember the now use of force incidents. Lean't proper did the now now in the meeting. Lean't now. Lean't proper the desirence the desirence now. Lean't proper the control of the normal propers and the remember that incident? Lean't proper the desirence now. Lean't proper	1	a responsibility to your wing, and, you know, I guess	1	know, all the guys. I can't remember a specific		
points where we had an excellent wing, and you sort of filt responsibility to all the people under your care. Could be responsibility to all the people under your care. Could be responsible to all the people under your care. Could be responsible to all the people under your care. Could be responsible to all the people under your care. Could be responsible to the filt responsibility to all the people under your care. Could be responsible to the filt responsibility to most people, and the responsibility to most people were saying. "Oh, we're glad that you brought if up in the meeting, and through the response were stored senior managers. Q. West and through the response were stored to responsibility to the middle. I have no idea, it may be a people and the response to						
felt responsibility to all the people under your care. I can't remember the question now. Q. I was asking you how it affected staff morale. I think you've answered it. Did you mise any concerns with anybody about staffing isfacted staff morale. A. Yes, I always mentioned it verbally to most people, monaing about it generally. I brought it up -so we used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they that it was like an annual or binanual meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad thay on brought it up, heavens on a lot of people did bring it up to sort of sealor managers. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? A. No. I don't know. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry, Q. You said you nisted it with serior managers were there. Decause everyone was there. And Michelle Brown asy in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it is was expensive to train efforce and she said a mapbe of the reaising and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were strenging every day. So. I have reve often unphaned and efforce incidents to the revenor often unphaned and efforce incidents to the revenor often unphaned meeting. A. No. Q. I was it ask you one about use of force incidents to the revenor often unphaned meeting. Have been in that six tandition as the propose and the revision of the reverse of the propose of the revenor of the unphaned. I guess every the often and the said and the definition of the revenor of the revenience of the						
5 Lean't remember the question now. 6 Q. I was asking you how it afficied staff morale. I think 7 you've answered it. Did you raise any concerns with 8 anybody about saffing issues? 8 A. Yes, I always mentioned it vehilty to most people, 10 because it was a general conversation. Everyone was 11 moning about it generally. I brought it up – so we 12 used to lock down the centre so that we could have 13 used to lock down the centre so that we could have 14 a staff brifening, or I'm not sure what they were called, 15 but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and 16 I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole 17 centre, and afterwards peel were saying, 'Oh, we're 18 glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of 18 people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 29 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closes to when 29 you finished in October 2017, do you think? 20 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closes to when 29 you finished in Cucher 2017, do you think? 20 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closes to when 29 you finished in Cucher 2017, do you think? 20 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closes to when 20 you said your raised it with senior management. Was 21 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 22 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 23 other should be firm it will will be the search of the provided with the retaining and things like that. So, polyonody, budget 22 was a lip thing for them. But they saw that we were 23 other school to the provided with the retaining and things like that. So, polyonody, budget 24 A. Perloabily in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 O. What did Michelle Brown. 26 O. What did Michelle Brown as join response, if anything. 27 A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. 28 Solo to — for the recruitment process and the 29 The word of the provided with the residence were self-lamming and things and things i	4	· · ·	4			
6 Q. I was asking you how it affected staff morale. I flink 7 you've answered it. Did you raise any concerns with 8 anybody about staffing issues? 9 A. Yes, I always mentioned it verbally to most people, 10 because it was a general conversation. Everyone was 11 moraning about it generally. I brought it up — so we 12 used to have meetings every six mouths or so when they 13 used to look down the centre so that we could have 14 a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, 15 but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and 16 I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole 17 centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're 18 glad that you brought it "up', because not a look 19 people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 20 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? 21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, chose to when you staned or closer to when 23 you finished in October 2017, do you think? 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was 26 There anyone in particular that you raised it with? 27 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 28 other senior managers were there, because everyone was 30 there, And Michelle Brown. 31 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 32 people and that if an officer and she said — maybe 33 there are a proper in particular that you raised it with? 34 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 35 in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how 36 they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or— 36 Prance That was what we were struggling every day, So 39 Color of the recruitment process and the 39 training and things like that. So, obvioudy, budget 30 vary and the part of maybe five or six 31 people and that if an officer or a detainee was—three 32 was an issue or trouble, you know, between — you 31 people and that if an officer or a detainee was—three 32 vary no potentially wulds have been in that situation 34 because things did	5		5	, ,		
should yabout staffing issues? A. Yes, I always mentioned it verbully to most people, because it was a general conversation. Everyone was monating about it generally. Horought it up — so we used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they as to look down the centre so that we could have a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an anamal or binnama meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up? A. No. I don't know. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you started or closer to when you said you raised it with senior managers. A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. Vas and did Mischelle Brown. Q. What idd Mischelle Brown as in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially, She said there. And Michelle Brown as in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially, She said there. And Michelle Brown as big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day, So Yes and the same and the said and the said and they saw that we were struggling every day, So So in the morning, there was a to expended to incidents to the rever often unplanned use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to provent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. If anything? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. If anything? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. If anything? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. If anything? — the used to do it, put a tick next to your mane or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detaines was — there was a lot of you was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling eve	6		6	force incidents for mentally unwell detainees. The		
short and placed a ligature around his seeck; and you said that you could hear screaming prior to the control and restriction of the videos? A. Ye., I always menting every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they as the to lock down the centre so that we could have a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an ananul or biannul meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up? Q. Use a li 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you said you raised it with senior managers. A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you in particular that you raised it with? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you raised it with? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What idd Michelle Brown as in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said there. And Michelle Brown as being and things like heat. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day, So So in the morning, there would be - I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your raised. So in the morning, there would be - I'm not sure how they poptentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between - you because things did escalate, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was an issue or trouble, you know, between - you was	7	you've answered it. Did you raise any concerns with	7	first was in responding to D87, who had ripped up a bed		
because it was a general conversation. Everyone was moaning about it generally. I brought it up — a we was to be to have meetings every six months or so when they used to lock down the centre so that we could have a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and 1 brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre and afterwards people were sping. 70h, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. J. usf pause there. Do you remember when that was? 21. A. No. 1 don't know. 22. Q. Was is 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was 26. A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 27. Q. Was in 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 26. Q. Vus did you raised it with senior management. Was 27. A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 28. Q. Vus aid you raised it with senior management. Was 28. A. I shat the name? 29. Yes, it will be a list of ciphers. 29. Yes, it will be a list of ciphers. 29. Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And there. And Michelle Brown. 39. In response, if anything? 39. A. No. No. 30. Q. I was to ask you now about use of force incidents. 39. The was a use—what's the word?—first response. 30. Q. I was to ask you now about use of force incidents. 39. The was a use—what's the word?—first response. 39. No. No. 30. Q. I was to ask you now about use of force incidents. 39. The was a like that? 39. Do you was and the part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainer was—there was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were strongling every day. So	8		8	sheet and placed a ligature around his neck, and you		
moaning about it generally. I brought it up — so we used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they used to have meetings every six months or so when they a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying. "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 20 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember that incident? 17 you remember this one as well. This was about D274, which was being a part of a team who responded with force to a detained person who had wrapped a sheet around a door handle in CSLJ. We have got the cipher list in front of you. I don't know — there should be a list of detained persons names. 21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? 23 A. Pot Board thous. 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 3 there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said the raining and things like that. So, obviously, budget 10 was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of force incidents. 11 There were often unplanmed use of force incidents. 12 There were often unplanmed use of force incidents. 13 There were often unplanmed use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanmed use of force incidents. 15 People	9	A. Yes, I always mentioned it verbally to most people,	9	said that you could hear screaming prior to the control		
used to lock down the centre so that we could have a start briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and 16 I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afferwards people were saying. 'Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. Just iz 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you stailed in Corbor 2017, do you think? A. No. I don't know. Q. Was iz 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you stailed in Corbor 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. Vous said you raised it with senior managerent. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you mised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other sanor managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting, I assume the other sanor managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 5.6.00 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, t guess So in the morning, there would be — I'm	10	because it was a general conversation. Everyone was	10	and restraint, and you removed items from his room,		
used to lock down the centre so that we could have a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an annual or hiannual meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? A. No. I don't know. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? A. No. I don't know if you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. A. agin, you know, '16's sort of upwards of fo. 6.500 to - for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were straiging every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents to prevent detained persons adel-harming. Were you othen called to incidents like that? A. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or - Prin not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detaince was - there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between - you Page 162 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164	11	moaning about it generally. I brought it up so we	11	including his trainers. Do you remember that incident?		
the staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called, but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying. "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 20 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? 21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was 26 Page 161 29 Page 163 29 Page 164 29 Page 165 20 Page 165 20 Page 166	12	used to have meetings every six months or so when they	12	A. Is it one of the videos?		
but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and 1 I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 2 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? 2 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 2 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? 2 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. 3 Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 4 A. A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train offeers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 6 6.500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So 4 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word?— first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detaince was — there was an issue or frouble, you know, you would be required to get a separate. It don't know if you have got a separate piece of paper. A. A. On (indicating)? A. No. No. O. What did Michelle Brown. Q. D	13	used to lock down the centre so that we could have	13	Q. No, it's a different one. You were provided with the		
Throught if up in the meeting in front of the whole centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. 20 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? 21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? 23 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea. I'm sorry. 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea. I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. 3 there. And Michelle Brown. 4 there. And Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 6 A. A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not except this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of fore incidents. 5 Q. Wast to asky you now about use of force incidents. 10 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget struggling every day. So 21 Q. I want to asky you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you' the part of maybe five or six proved as a fixed to roughly the part of maybe five or six proved as a fixed that the fixed proved was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to be a subtraining in particular for people who had PTSD? 2 Page 162 Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Piesse don't mention the name aloud, but you will see Distribute the separate. I don't know if you have seen all sit of ceiphers. Page 163 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see Distribute the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped	14	a staff briefing, or I'm not sure what they were called,	14	references. I will just go to the other one to see if		
responded with force to a detained person who had wrapped a sheet around a door handle in CSU. We have glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? A. No. I don't know. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. No. I don't know. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there sand Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of foce incidents to prevent detained persons who had wrapped a sheet around a door handle in CSU. We have got the cipher is fin front of you from know — there should be a list of detained persons manes. A. On (indicating)? Q. Not on the screen. It should be separate. I don't know if you have got a separate piece of paper. A. Is that the names? Q. Yes, it will be a list of detained persons manes. A. On (indicating)? Q. Yes, it will be a list of detained persons manes. A. On (indicating)? Q. Yes, it will be a list of clorist know if you have got a separate piece of paper. A. Is that the names? Page 163 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what livas talking about at the besigning, the fist incident, which as about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. Okay. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. A. Yes, Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, yo	15	but it was like an annual or biannual meeting, and	15	you remember this one as well. This was about D274,		
plad that you brought it up", because not a lot of people did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? A. No. I don't know. Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What tid Michelle Brown. A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said—maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 56,500 to—for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons and mental health issues? A. On tididicating!? Q. Not on the screen. It should be separate. I don't know if you have got a separate piece of paper. A. Is that the names? Q. Yes, it will be a list of cained persons and a list of ciphers. Plage 163 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a rapped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident in volving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. Q. Da you reall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detaineed persons and—yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with dedication detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who	16	I brought it up in the meeting in front of the whole	16	which recorded you as being a part of a team who		
popele did bring it up to sort of senior managers. Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? Let A. No. I don't know. Let Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? Let A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Let Was an idea of the middle with the word of the middle with the word of the middle with the word of the middle with the beginning. We not was a big thing about at the beginning. We not was a big thing about at the beginning. We first incident, which was about was pigning and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Let Was an idea of the middle with the word of the marked of the middle with the word of the middle with the word of the middle with the word of the	17	centre, and afterwards people were saying, "Oh, we're	17	responded with force to a detained person who had		
20 Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was? 21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when 23 you finished in October 2017, do you think? 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 3 other senior managers were there, because everyone was 4 there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown. 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said 1 it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe 8 not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 9 £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the 10 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 11 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 12 struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 15 prevent detained persons names. 2 A. On (indicating)? 2 A. Is that the names? 2 Q. Yes, it will be a list of detained persons names. 2 A. On the screen. It should be separate. I don't know if you have got a separate piece of paper. 2 A. Is that the names? 2 Q. Yes, it will be a list of einhers. 2 Page 163 1 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see 2 D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the 2 beginning, the first incident, which was about 2 a ripped-up bed sheet had been as a ligature. And 2 there anyone in particular that you had be part of upwards of 3 to give assistance. So, obviously, budget 4 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 4 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 4 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 5 26,500 to — for the recruitment process and the 6 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 6 A. No. No. 9 Q. I want to ake you now about use of force incidents to 10 prevent detained persons send harming. 11 A. No.	18	glad that you brought it up", because not a lot of	18	wrapped a sheet around a door handle in CSU. We have		
21 A. No. I don't know. 22 Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when 23 you finished in October 2017, do you think? 24 A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. 25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 3 other senior managers were there, because everyone was 4 there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. Was did Michelle Brown sy in response, if anything? 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said 7 it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe 8 not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 9 £6,500 to a few recruitment process and the 1 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 11 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 12 struggling every day. So. 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents to 14 prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often 15 called to incidents like that? 16 A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. 17 So in the morring, there would be - I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six 18 people and that if an officer or a detaince was — there 29 was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess 20 everyone potentially would have been in that situation 21 because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 2 A. On (indicating)? 2 Q. Not on the sercen. It should be separate ic to don't you life you have got a separate piece of paper. 2 A. Is that the names? 2 Q. Yes, it will be a list of ciphers. Page 163 1 Please don't mention the names aloud, but you will see 2 D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the 3 beginning, the first incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. 3 A. No. No. 3 Q. Do you receil these at all, these incidents? 4 A. No. No. 3 Q. Do you common that you had to respond to incidents where detained person	19	people did bring it up to sort of senior managers.	19	got the cipher list in front of you. I don't know		
Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other early one was a there. And Michelle Brown as in the meeting. I assume the object in the meeting in particular that you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detained sewers self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, it's sort of upwards of the morting, there would be ~I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was – there Was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between – you Page 162 Page	20	Q. Just pause there. Do you remember when that was?	20	there should be a list of detained persons names.		
you finished in October 2017, do you think? A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of foco incidents was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did scalate, you know, between — you Page 162 3 if you have got a separate piece of paper. A. Is that the names? Q. Yes, it will be a list of names and a list of ciphers. Page 163 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, they are very self-harming and had mental health is	21	A. No. I don't know.	21	A. On (indicating)?		
A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry. Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown asy in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detaince was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 A. Is that the names? Q. Yes, it will be a list of names and a list of ciphers. Page 163 A. Is that the names? Q. Yes, it will be a list of names and a list of ciphers. Page 163 A. Is that the names? A. Is that the names? Page 163 A. Is that the names? Page 163 A. Is that the names and a list of ciphers. Page 163 A. Is that the names? Page 163	22	Q. Was it 2015, close to when you started or closer to when	22	Q. Not on the screen. It should be separate. I don't know		
25 Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was Page 161 1 there anyone in particular that you raised it with? 2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of g.6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 2 Q. Yes, it will be a list of names and a list of ciphers. Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the seginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in	23	you finished in October 2017, do you think?	23	if you have got a separate piece of paper.		
there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or— I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detaince was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 Page 164 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Day ou recall these at all, these incidents? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who	24	A. Probably in the middle. I have no idea, I'm sorry.	24	A. Is that the names?		
there anyone in particular that you raised it with? A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the other senior managers were there, because everyone was there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, between — you Page 162 Page 162 Page 164 Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. A. No. No. A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, they everyone potentially would any everyone potentially would be retained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. D. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. D. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. A. No. O. Di	25	Q. You said you raised it with senior management. Was	25	Q. Yes, it will be a list of names and a list of ciphers.		
2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 3 other senior managers were there, because everyone was 4 there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said 7 it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe 8 not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 9 £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the 10 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 11 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 12 struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 15 prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often 16 called to incidents like that? 17 A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. 18 So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how 19 they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — 1'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six 21 people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there 22 was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required 23 to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess 24 everyone potentially would have been in that situation 25 because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been tued as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. 7 A. Okay. 9 A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? 9 A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any trai		Page 161		Page 163		
2 A. Well, Ben Saunders was in the meeting. I assume the 3 other senior managers were there, because everyone was 4 there. And Michelle Brown. 5 Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? 6 A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said 7 it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe 8 not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 9 £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the 10 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 11 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 12 struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 15 prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often 16 called to incidents like that? 17 A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. 18 So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how 19 they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — 1'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six 21 people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there 22 was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required 23 to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess 24 everyone potentially would have been in that situation 25 because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 D87 there. That was what I was talking about at the beginning, the first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been tued as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. 7 A. Okay. 9 A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? 9 A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any trai	1	there anyone in particular that you raised it with?	1	Please don't mention the name aloud, but you will see		
there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, between — you Page 162 other first incident, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident know had pecal in eithen the second incident involving D274, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you thi				•		
there. And Michelle Brown. Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you A a ripped-up bed sheet had been used as a ligature. And then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detaines were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with good people and that it in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone				-		
Q. What did Michelle Brown say in response, if anything? A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you The page 162 The not sure So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you The page 162 The then the second incident involving D274, which was about wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Do you trecall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. A. No. No. A. No. No. Q. Do you fined tidine they on that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTS		•				
A. Again, you know, "Deal with it", essentially. She said it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you A. A absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Wrapping a sheet around a door handle. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. D. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't —						
it was expensive to train officers and she said — maybe not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you A. Okay. A. No. No. Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. D. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental heath issues? A. No. No. D. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Page 162 Page 164						
8 not exactly this, but, you know, it's sort of upwards of 9 £6,500 to — for the recruitment process and the 10 training and things like that. So, obviously, budget 11 was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were 12 struggling every day. So 13 Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. 14 There were often unplanned use of force incidents to 15 prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often 16 called to incidents like that? 17 A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. 18 So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how 19 they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — 20 I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six 21 people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there 22 was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required 23 to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess 24 everyone potentially would have been in that situation 25 because things did escalate, you know, between — you 8 Q. Do you recall these at all, these incidents? 9 A. No. No. 10 Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? 12 A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from 13 recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you 14 know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. 15 Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming. 16 detained persons who were self-harming. 17 A. No. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who 19 had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been 22 useful in your job as a DCO? 23 A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand 24 more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Page 162				11 0		
f6,500 to - for the recruitment process and the training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use - what's the word? - first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or - I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you A. No. No. A. No. No. Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't		•		·		
training and things like that. So, obviously, budget was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you 10 Q. Was it quite common that you had to respond to incidents where detainees were self-harming? A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming. A. No. 12 A. No. 13 B. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and — yeah, the self-harm. 15 Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming. 16 detained persons who were self-harming. 17 A. No. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. 20 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Page 162 Page 164						
was a big thing for them. But they saw that we were struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or 19		•				
struggling every day. So Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you A. Yes. Yeah, I can't remember many instances from recollection, but I do know there was a lot of, you know, like, razorblades and yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162						
Q. I want to ask you now about use of force incidents. There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you There were often unplanned use of force incidents. It how, like, razorblades and yeah, the self-harm. Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164		·		•		
There were often unplanned use of force incidents to prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you 14 know, like, razorblades and yeah, the self-harm. 15 Q. Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. 19 Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. 21 A. No. 22 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164				•		
prevent detained persons self-harming. Were you often called to incidents like that? A. There was a use — what's the word? — first response. So in the morning, there would be — I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you Did you receive any specific training to deal with detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? how to deal with people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Page 162 Page 164		•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
called to incidents like that? A. There was a use what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you 16 detained persons who were self-harming and had mental health issues? A. No. 9. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. 22 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164		•		• • •		
A. There was a use what's the word? first response. So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you Page 162 health issues? A. No. Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164						
So in the morning, there would be I'm not sure how they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you 18 A. No. 19 Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. 21 A. No. 22 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164			17	-		
they used to do it, put a tick next to your name or — I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six people and that if an officer or a detainee was — there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between — you Page 162 Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who had PTSD? A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't — Page 162 Page 164		•	18	A. No.		
people and that if an officer or a detainee was there was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you Page 162 A. No. Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 164	19	<u>.</u>	19	Q. Did you have any training in particular for people who		
was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you Page 162 Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 164	20	I'm not sure. So you'd be part of maybe five or six	20	had PTSD, how to deal with people who had PTSD?		
to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you Page 162 useful in your job as a DCO? A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 164	21	· · · · ·	21	A. No.		
everyone potentially would have been in that situation because things did escalate, you know, between you Page 162 A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 164	22	was an issue or trouble, you know, you would be required	22	Q. Do you think that that kind of training would have been		
because things did escalate, you know, between you 25 more about what they're going through, but we didn't Page 162 Page 164	23	to give assistance. But in terms of unplanned, I guess	23	useful in your job as a DCO?		
Page 162 Page 164	24	everyone potentially would have been in that situation	24	A. Absolutely. You would be able to potentially understand		
, ,	25	because things did escalate, you know, between you	25	more about what they're going through, but we didn't		
, ,		Daga 162		Daga 164		
41 (Pages 161 to 164)		rage 102				

1	we didn't have any training. It was if you could	1	didn't complain at the time, but, later on, did to the
2	build a rapport with a detainee, that would be it. So	2	Professional Standards Unit.
3	you could try and understand them from a rapport point	3	What you say in your witness statement,
4	of view because you you see them every day, you know,	4	paragraph 87, is that you have read the documents and
5	so you can build up a rapport and you know with some	5	watched the associated footage that the inquiry has
6	detainees especially on your unit, you know about	6	given to you but you have no recollection of it and that
7	their family and you know about, you know, things that	7	your actions were justified, reasonable and
8	they enjoy. So that would be the only way to try and	8	proportionate.
9	understand from a mental health perspective. But there	9	Please could we bring up on to the screen
10	was no training at all.	10	<cjs001619> pages 3 and 4. That is the account that you</cjs001619>
11	Q. That building of rapport, was that enough to be able to	11	gave just after the incident on the use of force
12	deal with these very mentally unwell detainees?	12	documentation. So it is pages 3 to 4. I will summarise
13	A. Sometimes, no. Especially, I guess, people on E wing,	13	it, in any case. You said that you came into the
14	you know, that were really unwell. I think it was	14	computer room, you saw DCO Instone-Brewer getting
15	good rapport, I think, sometimes was enough if you had	15	shouted at by the detained person, calling him a "racist
16	ACDT observations, but I am just speculating, because	16	motherfucker". You went to the desk to put a coffee
17	I I don't know. Yeah. I don't know.	17	down and then the verbal abuse got worse. The detained
18	Q. When that use of rapport or perhaps call it	18	person said, "I'm going to fucking deck him". You said
19	de-escalation techniques, as used in the training, when	19	the tension got worse and you worried for your
20	these weren't enough and force was used, was that	20	colleague, DCO Instone-Brewer. He, D1538, stood up and
21	a common occurrence, that force had to be used when the	21	started marching towards Luke in an extremely aggressive
22	rapport wasn't enough?	22	way:
23	A. Can you sorry.	23	"He got into my personal space I told
24	Q. Let me rephrase the question. You said that sometimes	24	him to step away and back off he continued to
25	that rapport building or de-escalation techniques,	25	[swear]."
	Page 165		Page 167
1	talking to a detainee, it wasn't enough to help	1	You were afraid and you did a defensive push. You
2	a detainee to stop them from self-harming. Did that	2	told him to stay back and then there was verbal abuse:
3	mean that force sometimes had to be used to stop	3	"He then came to [my] left to [maybe] attack
4	a detainee from self-harming?	4	us [so I pushed him again] he simultaneously
5	A. I can't remember. I guess with the ligatures, you	5	grabbed [my back and neck] and I pushed him harder
6	know I mean, on the you know, obviously force team	6	to stop him [from] assaulting me."
7	had to go in as shown on some of the footage with people	7	If we can play now the CCTV footage, it is
8	that had the bedsheets and things, but I don't	8	disc 4 UOF 136.17. If I could ask Zaynab to play from
9	I don't know frequency, I don't know how often or	9	1:25, please, and playback speed 0.5. This is the CCTV
10	I wouldn't know that information.	10	from the incident.
11	Q. I want to now go on to a specific incident which you	11	(Video played)
12	were involved in which we will go into in some depth and	12	MS TOWNSHEND: Just pause there a moment. Is that your
13	that's involving D1538. That was an incident that	13	pointing hand there that we can see; do you know?
14	occurred on 3 June 2017. I don't think it is necessary	14	A. I assume so.
15	to bring it up on screen, but you will have it in your	15	Q. Carry on, please.
16	bundle if you do want to refer to it. It's D1538's	16	(Video played)
17	witness statement, which is <dl0000231> at pages 24 to</dl0000231>	17	MS TOWNSHEND: Thank you. We also have the PSU complaint,
18	27, paragraphs 89 to 90 and 96 to 98. I'm just going to	18	which was found to be unsubstantiated. That's page 20
19	summarise what he says for you. He says that he had	19	of <cjs003348>. They found it unsubstantiated because,</cjs003348>
20	a dispute with DCO Instone-Brewer about access to	20	"Evidence supports that Mr D1538 was primarily directing
21	a computer. He was next to both of you and was not	21	his aggression towards DCO Instone-Brewer and that
22	looking directly at you. You then pushed him twice.	22	DCO Fiddy placed himself in a position to protect his
23	You were the first one to use force. He did not grab	23	colleague. That position was also an invasion of
24	you around the neck as your use of force documentation	24	DCO Fiddy's personal space by D1538 and the resultant
25	says. He says he was simply defending himself. He	25	proportionate use of force."
	Page 166		Page 168

1	Mr Collier, Jon Collier, who is an expert that the	1	THE CHAIR: Would you like Ms Townshend to re-ask the
2	2 inquiry has commissioned to look at use of force		question again?
3	incidents, says as follows and we can have a look at	3	A. Yes, sorry.
4	this on the screen, please, Zaynab, <inq000111> at</inq000111>	4	THE CHAIR: Repeat the question, if you would, Ms Townshend.
5	page 112, paragraph 474. I think it is just over the		MS TOWNSHEND: We watched the CCTV just a moment ago.
6	page. I'm going to start reading from the middle:	6	A. Yes.
7	"Although the grabbing by the neck is not	7	Q. I'm asking you if you accept that the CCTV shows that
8	a preferred option"	8	you grabbed the detained person around the neck? We can
9	I should say, the grabbing of the neck is you	9	watch it again, if you would like?
10	towards the detained person:	10	A. Yeah. Can we see it again?
11	" I hold a view that DCO Fiddy may have raised	11	Q. Yes. It's from 1:25, please. I ask again at 0.5
12	his hands in response to the right arm and automatically	12	playback speed.
13	grabbed the nearest point to push at. In times of	13	(Video played)
14	confrontation staff can use the whole body as potential	14	MS TOWNSHEND: Perhaps I'll ask her to pause when we see it.
15	targets for defensive techniques. Training does	15	Thank you.
16	highlight the extreme vulnerable areas, with the neck	16	(Video played)
17	being among these. As a reactive motion the grab was	17	MS TOWNSHEND: Pause there. Did you see that, Mr Fiddy?
18	momentarily and followed by a push away, as opposed to	18	
19	a grab and a 'strangling' type action. DCO Fiddy had	19	A. It looks like a push. I mean, he's grabbed my arm, by the looks of it, on the CCTV. I guess "grabbing" would
20	every right under Common Law to protect himself and use	20	
20	reasonable force."	20	insinuate wanting somebody to be closer to you, as
22	Do you accept that the statement that you provided	1	opposed to being pushed away.
23	should be truthful and accurate in a use of force	22	Q. Would you agree that you that the contact that was
24	documentation?	23	made between you and the detainee was on the detainee's
25		24	neck?
23	A. Yes.	25	A. It looks that way on the CCTV.
	Page 169		Page 171
		I .	
1	O. It should contain all the relevant detail so that	1	O. Do you accept that you did not put that in your use of
1 2	Q. It should contain all the relevant detail so that	1 2	Q. Do you accept that you did not put that in your use of force statement?
2	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if	2	force statement?
2 3	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any	2 3	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes.
2 3 4	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs?	2 3 4	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that
2 3 4 5	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry?	2 3 4 5	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their
2 3 4 5 6	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement	2 3 4 5 6	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area?
2 3 4 5 6 7	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is	2 3 4 5 6 7	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn00000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of</trn00000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463,</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says:</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and — Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and — Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't I mean, if I guess I assume so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and — Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't — I mean, if — I guess I assume so. Q. I'm not asking you to assume anything. I'm asking if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much unbelievable screaming.</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and — Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't — I mean, if — I guess I assume so. Q. I'm not asking you to assume anything. I'm asking if you saw the CCTV which showed you grab D1538 around the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much unbelievable screaming. "Ed Fiddy: I do I do love watching the</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't I mean, if I guess I assume so. Q. I'm not asking you to assume anything. I'm asking if you saw the CCTV which showed you grab D1538 around the neck?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much unbelievable screaming. "Ed Fiddy: I do I do love watching the playbacks.</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing — I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and — Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't — I mean, if — I guess I assume so. Q. I'm not asking you to assume anything. I'm asking if you saw the CCTV which showed you grab D1538 around the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much unbelievable screaming. "Ed Fiddy: I do I do love watching the</trn0000030>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somebody who is reviewing the incident can work out if it's reasonable and proportionate and if there are any training needs? A. What do you mean, sorry? Q. Do you accept that it is important that your statement that you provide after a use of force incident is detailed? A. Yes. Q. And that it provides all of the detail necessary for somebody to properly review the incident? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the CCTV we have just shown shows that you grabbed D1538 by the head and the neck? A. In terms of grabbing I mean, I can't remember the incident before rewatching it on egress and just now, so, as I say, I'm only seeing the same. I can't remember the incident and Q. Do you accept that the CCTV shows that you do grab the detained person by the neck? A. I can't I mean, if I guess I assume so. Q. I'm not asking you to assume anything. I'm asking if you saw the CCTV which showed you grab D1538 around the neck?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	force statement? A. Well, I guess, if it's not in there, then yes. Q. Do you agree that the training provided to you was that you should not touch or grab or push a person by their neck because it's an extremely vulnerable area? A. I appreciate that it's definitely very vulnerable and, yes, that wasn't a training technique, but I guess, as shown in the report, you know, it was justified at the time to protect myself, and it was, in my opinion, reasonable and no more force was used than needed to be. Q. I want to ask you now about a conversation you had with Joe Marshall. Please could I ask Zaynab to put up <trn0000030>, pages 15 to 16. This is a transcript of a conversation you had with Joe Marshall about use of force. It is tab 6 of the bundle if you want to follow it with me. Pages 15 to 16, line 466. Going from 463, Joe Marshall says: "And all you can hear on the body cam is just it's fucking unbelievable. I pissed myself, most unbelievable screaming. You know what they do, how much unbelievable screaming. "Ed Fiddy: I do I do love watching the playbacks.</trn0000030>

1	really horrible. But I found that quite funny.	1	the fuck up", to a detainee?
2	I remember the one that I'd done in CSU. Exactly the	2	A. I wouldn't say so. As I say, there was there would
3	same thing, took him onto his front, not his back,	3	have been swearwords used all the time, and I'm not
4	obviously put his arm round and then mate his screaming.	4	I am not proud of the language at all. It was yeah,
5	I couldn't help it I was laughing. You know 'Allah,	5	it was just it was like a normal language. Like,
6	they are killing me' sort of puts me in the mood for it	6	everyone it was you know, normalised, I guess, if
7	again but I end up thinking, am I fucking retarded?	7	that makes sense.
8	You've got to be mentally retarded.	8	Q. You're talking about swearing being normal. But there
9	"Ed Fiddy: I hate it I hate it when they're like	9	are some ways to swear which don't involve threats, so
10	'I'm resisting, I'm resisting', I'm like shut the fuck	10	would you agree that, "I'm like", talking to a detainee,
11	up."	11	"shut the fuck up", do you agree that that would be
12	Do you remember this conversation, Mr Fiddy?	12	a threat?
13	A. No, sorry, I don't remember.	13	A. No, I wouldn't I wouldn't see that as a threat
14	Q. Do you know who you were talking about?	14	per se. I don't
15	A. I don't know who I don't know who I don't know.	15	Q. Mr Fiddy, you would be a person in power. You were
16	Q. Do you accept that it was you making these remarks?	16	a DCO. If you were talking to a detainee like that,
17	A. I can't remember this conversation, so	17	it's likely that you would have more power over
18	Q. Do you know what you meant by the fact that you love	18	a detainee than he had over you. So a detention centre
19	watching playbacks?	19	officer saying that to a detainee, "Shut the fuck up",
20	A. I'm unsure what that would mean because well, the	20	you wouldn't agree that that could be interpreted as
21	only the only from recollection, the only time you	21	a threat?
22	would potentially see camera footage would be during use	22	A. Potentially. I mean, looking at the, you know,
23	of force training, so I don't understand I don't	23	transcript, it's not enjoyable reading and it's as
24	know in terms of playback, I don't know.	24	I say, I'm not proud of the swear you know
25	Q. Could you have watched it when you had to review any use	25	Q. So do you agree it is you, because you said, "I'm not
	Page 173		Page 175
1	of force incidents, and perhaps if there had been	1	proud of it"?
1 2	of force incidents, and perhaps if there had been	1 2	proud of it"? A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised
2	a training issue or something that you were being told	2	A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised
2 3	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example?	2 3	A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this
2	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you	2	A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years
2 3 4 5	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have	2 3 4 5	A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this.
2 3 4	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So	2 3 4	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't
2 3 4 5 6 7	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing. Does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like it wouldn't be like a direct just like a when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to that phrase.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of ingrained sort of into the language. It was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to — that phrase. Q. Do you think it would have been an appropriate thing to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of ingrained sort of into the language. It was just prevalent in Brook House.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to — that phrase. Q. Do you think it would have been an appropriate thing to say to a detainee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of ingrained sort of into the language. It was just prevalent in Brook House. Q. So this kind of language was prevalent. So do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to — that phrase. Q. Do you think it would have been an appropriate thing to say to a detainee? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of ingrained sort of into the language. It was just prevalent in Brook House.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to — that phrase. Q. Do you think it would have been an appropriate thing to say to a detainee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a training issue or something that you were being told you need to do differently, for example? A. I wasn't aware of any specific sort of critique, you know, afterwards, in terms of, you know, what could have been done better or, you know, that sort of thing. So I'm unsure, I'm sorry. Q. Do you know why you would have said that to Joe Marshall about "love watching playbacks"? A. I don't know why I would have thought that would be an acceptable thing to say. I have no recollection of this conversation. Q. What about saying, "I'm like shut the fuck up" to a detainee? Have you ever spoken to a detainee like that before? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Not to your recollection, or you haven't? A. From my memory, no. I mean, you know, I would treat everyone as, you know, I would like to be treated. I think in the centre there was terrible swearwords used by everyone all the time and it was — it was sort of ingrained sort of into the language. It was just prevalent in Brook House. Q. So this kind of language was prevalent. So do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No, just swearing in general. Swearing was a normalised thing. As I say, I have no recollection of this conversation, so it's hard — you know, it's five years ago. I have no recollection of this. Q. So you said you're not proud. So even if you can't remember this specific incident, does that mean that maybe you've said similar things before, not including this incident? A. Well, you just swear, like, in a normal conversation, you know, nothing, like — it wouldn't be like a direct — just like a — when you're just conversing. Does that make sense? Q. It does. There's a difference between swearing in a normal conversation, talking about a film, "That film was crap", something like that, and saying to a detainee when they're resisting use of force incident, "Shut the fuck up". Would you agree? The difference between those two types of — swearing in everyday conversation and swearing towards somebody, towards a detainee? A. I never told a detainee to — that phrase. Q. Do you think it would have been an appropriate thing to say to a detainee? A. No.

1	a detainee?	1	is at <inq000088>. It's the third page. Tab 12. At</inq000088>
2	A. It's not professional.	2	the top of the page:
3	Q. You said earlier that you weren't proud when speaking	3	"We have to get hands on C&R. We have to assault
4	like that. Were there other instances where you spoke	4	people, which is effectively what it is."
5	about detainees like that?	5	Those comments indicate that you thought that many
6	A. I don't believe so. As I say, it's a long time ago.	6	of the incidents of use of force or C&R at Brook House
7	I just remember that swearing was normalised language.	7	amounted to assault. Did you ever assault a detainee?
8	Q. Let's go on to read what's in the transcript. So 475 on	8	A. When you have to get kitted up with the PPE, you're
9	the same page, page 15. You say:	9	doing something that no-one wants to do. You know,
10	"I mean we had one, the initial [inaudible] didn't	10	no-one wants to put hands on someone else and, you know,
11	really annoy me, it was just him, he kept, he was	11	I wouldn't want, you know, the same and it's yeah.
12	fucking in like this, holding his hands [Ed Fiddy mimics	12	It's just not can you ask me the question again,
13	a detainee curling into a ball].	13	sorry?
14	"Joe Marshall: Yeah, that's the worse you can get.	14	Q. I asked you if you ever assaulted a detainee?
15	"Ed Fiddy: 'I'm not resisting, they're assaulting	15	A. No. No. Absolutely not.
16	me, I'm willing to go, I'm not resisting' [inaudible]	16	Q. Why did you say in your interview that "we have to
17	I gave him pain compliance in the end. Ah such	17	assault people, which effectively is what it is". What
18	[inaudible]."	18	did you mean by that?
19	You say you can't remember that conversation?	19	A. Just C&R, control and restraint, in general. The whole
20	A. No.	20	having to resort to that. It's effectively well,
21	Q. You don't remember which use of force incident you were	21	putting hands on someone that doesn't want it, you know,
22	speaking about?	22	it looks well, in my opinion, it's well, it's not
23	A. No.	23	good, is it, having to be in that situation? As I said
24	Q. Which detained person?	24	earlier, it's just a terrible position to be in and
25	A. No, I'm sorry.	25	yeah. Yeah. It's not
	Page 177		Page 179
1	O. Do you think you actually did do that, that you gave him	1 1	O. I want to ask you about drugs. You say in your witness
1 2	Q. Do you think you actually did do that, that you gave him pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you	1 2	Q. I want to ask you about drugs. You say in your witness statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality
2	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you	2	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality
2 3	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about?	2 3	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not
2	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain	2	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right?
2 3 4 5	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force	2 3 4 5	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't —
2 3 4	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this	2 3 4	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was
2 3 4 5 6 7	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately.	2 3 4 5 6 7	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use — you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance — use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use — you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance — use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to cause pain to the detained person deliberately?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs entering Brook House. You say:</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to cause pain to the detained person deliberately? A. I would never do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs entering Brook House. You say: "We know there's not much coming through, like</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to cause pain to the detained person deliberately? A. I would never do that. Q. I want to take you to an interview that you gave with an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs entering Brook House. You say: "We know there's not much coming through, like before I don't think it's a lot. It's not enough</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to cause pain to the detained person deliberately? A. I would never do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs entering Brook House. You say: "We know there's not much coming through, like</trn0000023>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	pain compliance in the end? Do you remember what you were talking about? A. I don't remember this conversation. However, with pain compliance, it was a sort of last resort use of force technique. But, as I say, I cannot remember this conversation, unfortunately. Q. Why would you use pain compliance if a detained person wasn't resisting? A. Well, you wouldn't. Q. So why did you say it? A. As I say, I can't remember this. But you wouldn't use you know, it would be a last resort technique. Q. So would you agree that it's not in accordance with your training on use of force to give pain compliance use pain compliance techniques where somebody isn't resisting? A. Yeah, you wouldn't if yeah, the only time I guess that was deemed acceptable was in an incident of that resisting. Q. Do you agree it sounds there that you were trying to cause pain to the detained person deliberately? A. I would never do that. Q. I want to take you to an interview that you gave with an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statement, at paragraphs 37 to 38, that in the reality of Brook House any drug prevention policy was not effective. Is that right? A. I am unsure what the policy was or is. I don't — I mean, if there was, it was evident that something was potentially not working. Q. You talk in your witness statement about the use of spice and that it turned detained persons into zombies. How do you think drugs got into the centre? A. Well, there was a common, I guess, idea, yeah, must have been through visits or — well, I guess, post, parcels were, you know, like, sent in to reception, and I know there was — I don't think she worked for G4S. Someone I think got sacked or something along those lines, I'm not sure. Q. Did you know of any officers bringing drugs in? A. No. Q. I want to take you now to another transcript, <trn0000023>, page 2, lines 1 to 6. It's tab 7. These are comments that you made to Callum Tulley about drugs entering Brook House. You say: "We know there's not much coming through, like before I don't think it's a lot. It's not enough</trn0000023>

T. C.	
1 You were talking there about drugs, weren't you, 1 Q. Have you	used this type of language before at
2 when you said, "I don't think it's a lot"? 2 Brook Hou	**
3 A. I don't know. I don't recall this conversation. 3 A. No.	
4 Q. Well, what did you think about drugs? Did you think 4 Q. Did you	use it since?
5 there was not enough drugs coming into Brook House? 5 A. No.	
	hear other DCOs using that kind of language at
7 drugs, they're, well, terrible. I mean, it's just it 7 Brook Hot	• • • •
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	y recollection. I can't remember.
	vas it that you used it at that time?
	xplanation for that type of language and, as
	sorry. It's terrible.
*/	now turn to another transcript <trn000019>?</trn000019>
	t: Sorry, Ms Townshend, can I ask you to pause
	ily? We need to restart the transcript.
	SHEND: I'm so sorry, that was my fault.
	t: Mr Fiddy, that's because, when Ms Townshend was
	questions, we needed to go back into open
	Ve played the video which was in closed
	Ve now go back into open session.
	SHEND: I should have explained. We have to go into
	sion, which means it is not reported, so other
	n't hear it, because the video hadn't gone
	security process. So we had to go in closed
	hich meant that anybody in the public gallery
	ve. And now we are saying they can come back
liad to leave	ve. And now we are saying they can come back
Page 181	Page 183
1 (Video played) 1 again be	cause we have stopped playing the video.
2 MS TOWNSHEND: Can you just pause there? I don't think 2 A. Thank	·
	to ask you now about another transcript,
_	000019>. Page 8, tab 9. Page 8, towards the
5 EPE OPERATOR: Sorry, I don't think I have that. 5 bottom.	Scroll down, please. Joe Marshall, at line
6 MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, may I ask you to rise just for 6 252, say	
·	nians?
	iddy: No, Moroccans.
	nown: Ugh.
10 (A short break) 10 "Ed F	iddy: Absolute cunts."
11 (4.15 pm) 11 In the	same conversation, DCO Marshall later calls
12 MS TOWNSHEND: Chair, apologies. We are going to sit in 12 a detained	ed person a "dick" and a "penis". Can I then
	<trn0000023>, another transcript, tab 7.</trn0000023>
14 THE CHAIR: Understood. Thank you. 14 Page 24	at line 764. You say:
	as just being a cunt, mate."
16 MS TOWNSHEND: Can we have the volume turned up as much as 16 Do yo	ou accept that you used this kind of language
17 possible, please, because it is quite hard to hear. 17 about de	tainees?
18 Thank you. 18 A. I assum	ne this is a specific sort of situation. Maybe
19 (Video played) 19 I'm spec	culating. You know, it looks like it's a sort of
, 1,7,7	
20 IN OPEN SESSION 20 commer	nt, you know, that he was being an idiot or, you
20 IN OPEN SESSION 20 commer	nt, you know, that he was being an idiot or, you I can't remember this conversation.
20 IN OPEN SESSION 20 commer 21 MS TOWNSHEND: Do you accept that that's you in the clip? 21 know, I	
20 IN OPEN SESSION 21 MS TOWNSHEND: Do you accept that that's you in the clip? 21 know, I 22 A. Yes. I can only apologise wholeheartedly. It's 22 Q. Did you	I can't remember this conversation.
20 IN OPEN SESSION 21 MS TOWNSHEND: Do you accept that that's you in the clip? 21 know, I 22 A. Yes. I can only apologise wholeheartedly. It's 23 terrible language and behaviour, and I'm sorry. 20 commer 21 know, I 22 Q. Did you 23 A. I don't	I can't remember this conversation. u ever use this language in front of detainees?
20 IN OPEN SESSION 21 MS TOWNSHEND: Do you accept that that's you in the clip? 21 know, I 22 A. Yes. I can only apologise wholeheartedly. It's 23 terrible language and behaviour, and I'm sorry. 20 commer 21 know, I 22 Q. Did you 23 A. I don't	I can't remember this conversation. u ever use this language in front of detainees? believe so. agree that this language is derogatory type of
20 IN OPEN SESSION 21 MS TOWNSHEND: Do you accept that that's you in the clip? 22 A. Yes. I can only apologise wholeheartedly. It's 23 terrible language and behaviour, and I'm sorry. 24 Q. Do you know who you're talking about? 26 commer 27 know, I 28 Q. Did you 29 A. I don't 20 commer 20 commer 21 know, I 22 Q. Did you 23 A. I don't 24 Q. Do you	I can't remember this conversation. u ever use this language in front of detainees? believe so. agree that this language is derogatory type of

1 2			
2	A. It's not acceptable language, swearing as a whole. It's	1	a power dynamic there, where you were in charge and they
l	not acceptable.	2	had to do what you said?
3	Q. Why is it not acceptable?	3	A. I don't believe so.
4	A. It's not nice to hear from anyone. You sort of got	4	Q. Well, you had more power than a detainee; would you
5	unaccustomed to that type of language.	5	agree with that?
6	Q. Unaccustomed or accustomed? Was it usual or not usual	6	A. Power in what sense?
7	to hear that kind of language?	7	Q. As I said before, you could come in and out of
8	A. Just swearwords in general in the centre? Yeah, it was,	8	the centre as you wanted to, you could leave, you could
9	you know, bad, just generally, from detainees, staff, in	9	resign, you didn't have to be there. Whereas a detained
10	conversation. It was normalised because it was	10	person was forced to be there, against their will?
11	seemed to happen, you know, everyone was swearing.	11	A. I assume so, yeah.
12	Q. You say everyone was swearing. We are specifically here	12	Q. Well, we said at the beginning, or you said at the
13	talking about calling detainees "cunts", "dicks" and	13	beginning, that detained persons sometimes were there
14	"penises". Was that common?	14	for years.
15	A. Not to my recollection.	15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. There are at least two occasions that Callum Tulley	16	Q. Obviously they didn't want to be in detention, did they?
17	filmed, within five months, you saying it. So you're	17	A. Oh, definitely not.
18	saying that it's not to your recollection that it was	18	Q. So if you were using that kind of language against
19	common?	19	detained persons who didn't want to be there, where you
		20	
20	A. Yes, swearing. I can't remember, you know, specific		could choose to be there, can you see how that might be
21	conversations, and I'm not proud at all of some of	21	a problem?
22	the language because it's not professional and I can	22	A. I've said that the language is not acceptable from
23	only apologise for it. As I say, it was it seemed to	23	either side, and it was on either side. I appreciate
24	be all the time, everyone.	24	that obviously I can go in and out of the centre,
25	Q. Do you agree that that unprofessional language that's	25	I appreciate that. The language was I was going to
	Page 185		Page 187
1	not nice to hear that's what you have just said	1	say normalised. It's
2	now contributes to detainees being dehumanised, not	2	Q. I want to take you to a final transcript, <trn0000030>,</trn0000030>
3	seen as humans?	3	pages 3 and 4, lines 78 to 87, tab 6. It is towards the
4	A. I don't can you rephrase the question, sorry?	4	bottom of the page. Tam Burns says:
5	Q. That kind of language, calling a detainee an "absolute	5	"I know. This culture kitchen and I was here last
6	cunt", a "dick", a "penis", all those type of	6	I know. This culture knowled and I was here last
U			Friday the form hadn't been handed in with the
			Friday the form hadn't been handed in with the
7	swearwords, do you agree that using that kind of	7	ingredients."
7 8	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them	7 8	ingredients." You say:
7 8 9	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human?	7 8 9	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say."
7 8 9 10	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never	7 8 9 10	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says:
7 8 9 10 11	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad	7 8 9 10 11	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God."
7 8 9 10 11 12	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to	7 8 9 10 11 12	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible].
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing." "Tam Burns: I don't know who those people are."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes. Q. You controlled what they did, when they did it, all day,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing." "Tam Burns: I don't know who those people are."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes. Q. You controlled what they did, when they did it, all day, generally opened the doors, closed the doors, and so	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing." "Tam Burns: I don't know who those people are." You see there you say, "Mate, I can't say what
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes. Q. You controlled what they did, when they did it, all day, generally opened the doors, closed the doors, and so on?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing." "Tam Burns: I don't know who those people are." You see there you say, "Mate, I can't say what I used to say". Do you know what you're referring to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	language about detainees, or to detainees, makes them seem less than human? A. No, I never I never would have treated I never treated anyone like what you're describing. It's bad language. I don't know how else to Q. You were using that language about detained persons, weren't you? A. I assume, in, I guess, a private setting. I yeah. Q. In the centre, you were free to come and go. You were paid to do your work at the centre? A. Yes. Q. And the detained persons had to be there? A. Yes. Q. You controlled what they did, when they did it, all day, generally opened the doors, closed the doors, and so on? A. That was my role.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ingredients." You say: "Mate, I can't say what I used to say." Tam Burns says: "Oh my God." Joe Marshall said: "No that's the other thing." You say: " If I used to say something I used to we have an amazing wing. "Tam Burns: Then you won't because you don't know who the new officers have been [inaudible]. "Joe Marshall: On that same day, [inaudible] you know that mental piece [inaudible] on D wing." "Tam Burns: I don't know who those people are." You see there you say, "Mate, I can't say what I used to say". Do you know what you're referring to there?

1	know what that's referring to.	1	
2	Q. Could this suggest that language used before that was	2	MR DARREN TOMSETT (affirmed)1
3	even more offensive than the language that you've just	3	
4	used?	4	Examination by MR LIVINGSTON1
5	A. I'd be speculating. I don't know. I said bad language	5	·
6	was the same I don't know.	6	Questions from THE PANEL77
7	Q. You gave your evidence a little earlier, saying this	7	
8	when I asked you, were there other incidents when you	8	MR RYAN JOHN BROMLEY (sworn)80
9	spoke about detainees like that, you said it wasn't	9	•
10	professional and you said:	10	Examination by MS MOORE80
11	"I don't believe so. It was a long time ago."	11	•
12	Are you reminded now, from those transcripts, of	12	MR EDMUND JOHN FIDDY (sworn)142
13	other times when you did speak about detainees in those	13	
14	derogatory terms?	14	Examination by MS TOWNSHEND142
15	A. No.	15	,
16	Q. Well, I have reminded you because we have been through	16	
17	them. It is not true, is it, that it was only a one-off	17	
18	occasion where you spoke about detainees in this way?	18	
19	A. As I say, it was normalised. I don't know whether it	19	
20	was stress related. I don't know. As I say, it was	20	
21	just all the time, the bad language from everyone.	21	
22	I can only apologise for it because it's as I say,	22	
23	it's not acceptable.	23	
24	Q. You say, at paragraph 103 of your statement, that you	24	
25	treated all detained persons with dignity and respect.	25	
	an demined persons with dignity and respect.	2	
	Page 189		Page 191
1	Do you wish to now amend that part of your statement?		
2	A. No. I treated everyone we had a great I had		
3	a great rapport with the majority, and I think the bad		
4	language has been, I guess, taken out of context		
5	a little. You know, looking at it, yeah, I still think		
6	I had a great rapport and worked well with a lot of		
7	the detainee persons sorry, detainees detained		
8	persons, sorry.		
9	MS TOWNSHEND: I don't have any more questions. Chair, do		
10	you have any questions?		
11	THE CHAIR: I don't have any questions, Mr Fiddy. Thank you		
12	for coming to give your evidence. I appreciate it's not		
13	an easy experience, but thank you.		
14	Ms Townshend, we will be returning at 10 am?		
15	MS TOWNSHEND: Yes, and we are going to hear from		
16	Luke Instone-Brewer, Shane Farrell and Stephen Webb		
17	tomorrow.		
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Obviously, I'll see you		
19	all tomorrow at 10 am. Thank you, Mr Fiddy.		
20	(4.30 pm)		
21	(The hearing was adjourned to		
22	Tuesday, 8 March 2022 at 10.00 am)		
23	,		
24			
25	INDEX		
	Page 190		

A able 8:14 12:13 24:24 25:6.11 102:22 166:20 66:61 45:23 118:15 136:18.18 118:15 136:18.18 120:10 153:6 159:14 164:24 76:22 accord 69:17,22 account 23:4 absolute 110:23 18:15.15 168:10 18:15 136:18.18 15:5 136:12 27:28 accord 69:17,22 addressed 45:6 addres					Page 192
able 8:14 12:13 24:24 25:6,11 102:24 166:20 actival 19:17 29:14 101:3,5,10 11:15,15 12:15 16:14 164:24 165:11 absolute 110:23 181:15,15 184:10 186:5 25:13 67:22 abustot 110:23 181:15,15 184:10 186:5 25:23 25:25 25:25 25:27,9 45:16,16 25:13 67:22 address 110:12 aggressively 51:3 51:1 96:23 address 110:12 address 110:12 address 110:12 aggressively 51:3 address 110:12 aggressively 51:3 address 110:12 address 110:12 aggressively 51:3 address 110:12					l
24:24 25:6.11 31:14 52:5 56:4 66:145:23 31:14 52:5 56:4 66:145:23 18:15 136:18,18 138:25,25 16:11 20:10 153:6 159:14 164:24 165:11 238:25 136 67:22 270:2 27					
31:14 22:5 56:4 61:23,24 77:16 79:16 1121.8 120:10 153:6 159:14 164:24 165:11 20stout 10:23 181:15,15 184:10 181:15,15 184:10 181:15,15 184:10 181:15,15 184:10 181:15 166:14 20stout 14:5 20stout 14:5 20stout 14:5 20stout 14:5 20stout 14:5 21stout 16:11 20stout 16:12 20stout 16:12 20stout 16:12 20st 19:12 20st 19	able 8:14 12:13			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
118:15 136:18,18 18 138:25,25	24:24 25:6,11				
149:2 149:	31:14 52:5 56:4	· ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20:10 153:6 159:14 164:24 70:2 70:2 270:2 70:2 3ddress 110:12 270:2 3ds:15,15 184:10 3ecount 23:4 3ecount 23:5 3ecount 23:11 3ecount 23:4 3ecou	61:23,24 77:16	· ·			
121:6 13:22 account 23:4 account 23:4 addressed 45:6 adduced 1:12 ago 3:3 93:20:25 and 15:25 and	79:16 112:18				
165:11 165:14 23:4 25:13 67:22 23:25 95:14,24 97:18,23 99:7 100:15,21 101:19 100:12,12 103:22 104:4 105:5,14 106:15 109:23 115:37 37:3 58:21 104:4 105:5,14 106:15 109:23 115:17 170:13 171:22 102:5 32:16 72:4 115:17 120:24	120:10 153:6	T			S
absolute 110:23 181:15,15 184:10 186:5 absolutely 14:5 31:5 37:3 58:21 58:24 71:6 100:15,21 101:19 110:3,17 111:3 110:3,17 111:3 110:3,17 111:3 110:3,17 111:3 110:3,17 111:3 110:13 171:22 110:3,17 111:3 110:13 171:22 110:17 120:17	159:14 164:24		-		
181:15, 15 184:10 93:25 95:14,24 97:18,23 99:7 adequate 4:3 adequate 4:1 adequate 4:1 adequate 4:1 adequate	165:11				
Absolutely 14:5 100:15,21 101:19 102:12 103:22 104:4 105:5,14 104:4 105:5,14 106:15 109:23 110:3,17 111:3 110:3,17 111:3 110:2,5 32:16 72:4 115:17 120:24 121:11 167:10 168:2 120:14 106:15 109:23 115:17 120:24 121:11 167:10 168:2 157:6,9 158:11 30:2 48:17 accumed 185:0 48:8 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusing 47:25 accumed 185:0 133:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 20:2,23 23:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 20:2,24 22:5,7 106:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 122,24 22:5,7 106:19 133:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 168:10 116:22 122,24 22:5,7 106:19 133:0 169:23 accustomed 185:6 ACDT 21:3,6,15 64:13 73:4 101:2 165:10 1	absolute 110:23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S
absolutely 14:5 31:5 37:3 58:21 58:24 71:6 104:4 105:5,14 110:15 109:23 110:3,17 111:3 abuse 9:20 10:12 100:25 32:16 72:4 123:5 141:3 144:9 167:17 168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 abusing 47:25 abusing 47:25 abusing 47:25 abusing 47:25 accumulation 157:6,9 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accumilation 157:6,9 abusing 47:25 accumilation 157:6,9 alusing 47:25 accumilation 157:6,9 alusing 47:25 accumilation 157:6,9 alusing 47:25 accumilation 157:18 adminy 4:24 175:12,138:17,18 adminy-type 175:18 175:18 adminy-type 175:18 175:18,11 175:12,138:17,18 adminy-type 175:18 175:18 adminy-type 175:10,11 175:12,138:17,18 adminy-type 175:18 175:19 187:5 187:5 187:5 187:5 28:18-14 28:11 17:12 28:7 31:5:10 31:13:3,24 11:1:6 31:3,3,24 11:3:3 3,24 11:3 3,24	181:15,15 184:10	· ·			
31:5 37:3 58:21 58:24 71:6 141:15 164:24 179:15 abuse 9:20 10:12 10:25 32:16 72:4 113:15 114:2 10:25 32:16 72:4 123:5 141:3 144:9 167:17 168:2 abusing 47:25 48:8 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 abusing 47:25 48:8 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 50:18 57:16 515:10 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 50:6,8 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:12 138:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:12,23 187:22 133:3 88:12 3 accepted 53:5 100:12 100:21 110:3,17 111:3 admin 94:24 110:18 175:10,11 113:16 114:2 115:17 120:24 155:18 156:1 admin 94:24 175:18 175:18, admin 94:24 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 178:14,21 184:24 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 178:14,21 184:24 178:15:0 185:25 186:7 185:25 186:7 188:17.19 175:19,11 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 178:14,21 184:24 49:17 185:25 186:7 187:5 187:5 187:5 187:5 188:21 8ah 177:17 188:12 189:21 189:21 189:11 adminy-type 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 178:14,21 184:24 49:17 185:20 186:19 185:25 186:7 187:5 187:5 187:5 188:14 178:14,21 184:24 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 178:14,21 184:24 179:17 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:10,11 175:17,17 18h 17:22 175:18 175:18 178:14,21 184:24 49:17 185:25 186:7 185:23 186:7 187:5 187:5 187:5 187:5 187:5 187:5 181:42 175:10,11 175:12,20,15 177:13 171:14 175:12,21 13:15 175:18 175:18 175:19 175:19,11 175:12,21 13:17,18 18b:11 175:12,20 18b:11 175:12,21 13:10 18b:11 175:12,21 170:13 171:2 18b:12 170:14 175:14 175:10,11 175:12,21 13:10 175:14 175:10,11 175:12,21 13:10 175:14 175:14 175:15 175:17 170:13 171:22 170:17 170:13 171:22 170:17 170:13 171:22 170:17 170:13 171:22 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:18 170:18	186:5	1	_		
Size 471:6	absolutely 14:5	*			
141:15 164:24 179:15 abuse 9:20 10:12 10:25 32:16 72:4 123:5 141:3 144:9 167:17 168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusing 47:25 48:8 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 39:17 49:8,24 139:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 55:75 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 172:14 75:20,25 176:18 adminy-type 157:18 157:18 178:14,21 184:24 185:25 186:7 187:5 admited 48:16 115:11 168:12 admited 48:16 115:11 admited 48:16 115:11 168:2 admited 48:16 115:11 admited 48:16 115:11 168:2 accurate 85:21 advance 111:16 115:15,17,19 advance 111:16 115:20 115:30 116:18 advanced 111:20 113:32 411:4 168:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 accused 42:21 accused 42:21 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 173:10 116:22 172:4 175:10,11 175:20,25 176:18 adminy-type 157:18 158:14; 184:24 178:14,21 184:24 185:25 186:7 187:5 218:7 187:5 218:7 187:5 218:7 187:5 218:7 187:5 218:7 187:5 218:7 211:11:167:10 22 115:5 116:18 28:11 30:17:12 21:11 167:10 24dminy-type 157:18 240miny-type 158:14 21:11 167:10 24dminy-type 158:14 21:11 167:10 24dminy-type 158:12,21 184:24 185:25 186:7 187:5 28:7 28:7 28:7 28:7 28:7 28:7 28:7 28:7	31:5 37:3 58:21				
179:15 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	58:24 71:6		•	0	
abuse 9:20 10:12 10:25 32:16 72:4 123:5 141:3 144:9 167:17 168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 accured 85:21 accurate 55:12 accurate 55:12 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6.8 51:11,14 55:75 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 175:29 accurate 55:9 108:10 52:16 115:10 116:22 175:10 5:18 178:14,21 184:24 185:25 186:7 187:3 48:14 185:25 186:7 187:3 4ht 124:14 185:25 186:7 187:18 178:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:18 178:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:3 4ht 12 187:17.18 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:18,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:18,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:18,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 185:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:20,25 176:18 189:25 186:7 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 187:14,21 184:24 187:14,21 184:24 189:16 175:18 187:14,21 184:24 187:14,11 184:2	141:15 164:24				
10:25 32:16 72:4 121:11 167:10 accounts 100:14 100:18 105:18 abused 71:14 100:25 accrued 85:21 accrued 85:21 accumulation 157:6,9 accounte 55:12 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 13:5 12,14 53:7 55:12,14 53:7 55:12,14 53:7 55:12,14 53:7 55:12,14 53:7 55:12,14 15:10 116:22 122:21 17:3 18:5 18:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 172:22 18:23 178:14,21 184:24 49:17 annoyed 159:10 annual 150:9 annual 150:		/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
123:5 141:3 124:9 167:17 168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:21 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 32:21 33:3 38:11 32:22 33:3 38:11 33:3 40:19 34bied 48:16 15:10 16:20 34bied 48:16 15:10 16:15 34bied 48:16 15:10 16:16 34bied 48:16 15:10 16:16 34bied 48:16 15:10 16:16 34bied 17:3 40:3 40:6 34bied 17:3 40:13 34bied 17:3 41:10 34bied 17:3 41:10 38:18 13:12 41:10 38:18 12:2 42 32 32 42:2 42:10 38:18 12:2 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	abuse 9:20 10:12			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C
144:9 167:17 168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 accumulation 157:6,9 accumte 55:12 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 171:11 133:6 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 accept belove. 185:23 accept 64:53:5 accept 64:53:5 accept 65:5:5 171:11 138:10 133:23 38:12 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 108:10 152:16 act 91:14 acted 1:22 123:7 acted 1:22 123:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 5:19 66:18 84:1 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 accims 102:17,22 accept 653:5 advants 100:14 156:17 adopting 31:17,18 adropting 31:17,18 adropting 31:17,18 adrenaline 91:9,13 Ahh 124:24 adropting 31:17,18 adrop	10:25 32:16 72:4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · ·
168:2 abused 71:14 75:22 accrued 85:21 accrued 85:21 accrued 85:21 accrued 85:21 accumulation 157:6.9 accurate 55:12 61:6 94:1 107:21 169:23 accustions 42:22 accustomed 185:6 ACDT 21:3,6,15 21:22,24 22:5,7 165:16 act 91:14 21:22,24 22:5,7 165:16 157:06,19 171:7 17:21 173:16 157:06,19 171:7 17:21 173:16 17:22 173:16 17:21 173:16 17:22 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 17:21 173:16 17:21 173:17 1	123:5 141:3				
168:2 abused 71:14 100:18 105:18 106:2 adopting 31:17,18 adrenaline 91:9,13 Ah 177:17 annoy 177:11 annoyed 159:10 annoyed 159:10 annoyed 159:10 advance 111:16 48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 122:24 22:57 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 17:11 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:21 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 Adopting 31:17,18 adrenaline 91:9,13 Ah 177:17 annoyed 159:10 advanced 111:16 112:22 115:5 116:18 advanced 111:20 alim't 54:6 55:5,14 128:7 advanced 111:20 alim't 54:6 55:5,14 128:7 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answered 161:7 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answered 161:7 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 aim't 23:21 25:6,15 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answered 161:7 advised 111:20 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 35:16 de:19 advised 46:19 advised 35:16 de:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 35:16 de:19 advised 46:19 allegation 47:24 de:10:21 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 75:19 argument and advised 35:16 de:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 advised 46:19 allegation 47:24 de:10:21 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 58:13 59:16,25		accounts 100:14			angry 7:24 160:7
abused 71:14 75:22 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:23 accepted 53:5 acceptabe 104:8 75:22 advanced 115:16 115:10 116:22 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 accepted 53:5 accepted 53:5 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 53:5 acceptabe 53:5 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 53:5 acceptabe 53:5 acceptabe 104:8 174:11 178:19 acceptabe 104:8 175:12 acceptabe 104:8 175:13 acceptabe 104:8 175:13 acceptabe 104:8 175:14 175:22 175:6,9 accunation 1157:6,9 advanced 111:16 112:22 115:5 116:18 advanced 111:20 alit; 54:6 55:5,14 advanced 111:20 Alhh 124:24 ain't 54:6 55:5,14 ain't 54:6 55:5,14 ain't 54:6 55:5,14 airc 13:20 Albania at 49:13 Albanians 184:7 Albania 40:13 Albanians 184:7 Albania 40:13 Albanians 184:7 Albania 40:13 Albanians 184:7 Albania 40:13 anxiety 72:15 answers 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answers 150:5 answers 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 15:17 Albania 40:13 Albania 40:13 antict 41:3:20 Albania 40:13 Albania 40:13 Albania 1		100:18 105:18		agreed 104:6	annoy 177:11
75:22 abusing 47:25 48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:12,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 Aghh 124:24 ain't 54:6 55:5,14 128:7 advance 111:16 112:22 115:5 116:18 advanced 111:20 113:3,24 114:4 aliequation 47:25 advise 33:25 advise 46:19 advise 46:19 advise 33:25 advise 46:19 advise 33:25 Albanians 184:7 Allah 173:5 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:5,15 Albania 40:13 Albanians 184:7 Allah 173:5 anjbody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 anjbody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 anjwody 24:4 79:2 Albanians 184:7 Albanians 184:7 Albanians 184:7 Allah 173:5 anjwody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 64:13 73:4 101:2 58:13 13:4 101:2 58:13 59:16,5 60:7 71:3 134:16 60:22 15:4,15 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:21 25 64:17,19 67:13 60:21 34:21 60:22 25 63:2,12 60:21 34:21 60:22 35:25 60:7 71:3 134:21 60:22 35:25 60:7 71:3 134:21 60:22 35:25 60:7		106:2	adrenaline 91:9,13	Ah 177:17	annoyed 159:10
abusing 47:25 48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 15:10 116:22 165:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:12,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 accumulation 157:6,9 1112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 116:18 112:22 115:5 116:18 113:3,24 114:4 118:4 112:22 125:6,15 aired 143:20 Aitken 178:25 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 16:7 73:20 152:8 answer 16:7 73:20 152:8 answer 16:7 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 ared 14:2:2 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 152:8 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 15:4 answer 13:5 answer 13:5 60:6 73:20 15:4 answer 13:5 anserd 14:2:2 answer 16		accrued 85:21	91:15,17,19	Ahh 124:24	annual 150:9
48:8 abusive 8:13 27:18 28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 12:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:12 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 116:18 advanced 111:20 allegations 42:22 advice 33:25 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 anxiety 72:15		accumulation		ain't 54:6 55:5,14	161:15
abusive 8:13 27:18	_	157:6,9	112:22 115:5	128:7	answer 13:5 60:6
28:11 30:2 48:17 academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 acceptable 104:8 174:12 133:1,3,7 133:8 169:19 acceptable 53:5 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 acceptable 104:8:16 acceptable 53:5 acceptable 104:8:16 acceptable 104:8:17 165:16 acceptable 104:8:17 165:16 acceptable 104:8:17 165:16 acceptable 104:8:17 172:1 133:1,3,7 133:8 169:19 acceptable 53:5 accusations 42:22 advice 33:25 advice 33:25 advice 33:25 advise 46:19 advise 46:19 advise 46:19 advise 46:19 advise 46:19 advise 43:10 115:20 Albanias 184:7 Allah 173:5 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 134:21 134:21 134:20 133:3,24 114:4 115:20 Albanias 184:7 Allah 173:5 anxiety 72:15 anxiety 72:16 balegation 47:24 approxiety 60:71:3 is 183:24 anymay 35:2 11		accurate 55:12	116:18	air 23:21 25:6,15	73:20 152:8
academic 178:25 accent 135:11 accept 28:12 30:1 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 accademic 178:25 accusations 42:22 accusations 42:22 accusations 42:22 accused 42:21 accusations 42:22 accused 42:21 advice 33:25 advice 33:25 advise 46:19 advised 35:16 advised 35:16 advised 35:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 58:13 59:16,25 anxiety 72:15 anxious 28:7 74:19 anybody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 58:13 59:16,25 anxiety 72:15 anxious 28:7 74:19 anybody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 75:19 anyway 35:2 119:4 appears 49:3 134:21 apologise 182:12 apologise 28:18 38:9 182:22 188:13 13:18,21 168:21 agressive 7:23,25 accepted 53:5 167:7 accusations 42:22 appear 8:8 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 appears 54:3 application 4:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 accusations 42:22 accused 42:21 advice 33:25 advise 46:19 advised 35:16 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 appears 54:3 appeared 43:22 appear 8:8 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 appears 54:3 application 4:22 appears 54:3 application 4:22 application 4		61:6 94:1 107:21	advanced 111:20	aired 143:20	answered 161:7
accent 135:11 accused 42:22 advice 33:25 Albania 40:13 anxiety 72:15 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 63:20 acustomed 185:6 ACDT 21:3,6,15 acustomed 185:6 Allah 173:5 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 anybody 24:4 79:2 56:18 57:16 108:10 152:16 108:10 152:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 183:24 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 48:5 96:8 122:23 4fraid 145:11 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 133:8 169:19 134:10 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:20 134:20 134:16 134:16 134:20 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:16 134:20 134:20 134:20 134:20		169:23	113:3,24 114:4	Aitken 178:25	answers 150:5
accept 28:12 30:1 accused 42:21 advice 33:25 Albanians 184:7 Allah 173:5 anxious 28:7 74:19 32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 accustomed 185:6 ACDT 21:3,6,15 advise 46:19 advise 45:16 Allah 173:5 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 183:24 anybody 24:4 79:2 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 anymore 71:21 79:1 157:1 161:8 183:24 anymore 71:21 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 anymore 71:21 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 anymore 71:21 79:4 157:1 161:8 183:24 anymore 71:21 75:19 anymore 71:21 75:19 anymore 71:21 185:19 185:11 185:11 168:1		accusations 42:22	115:20	Albania 40:13	anxiety 72:15
32:22 33:3 38:11 39:17 49:8,24 50:6,8 51:11,14 53:7 55:12,14 56:18 57:16 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 accepted 53:5 63:20 accustomed 185:6 ACDT 21:3,6,15 21:22,24 22:5,7 108:10 152:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 advise 46:19 advised 35:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 106:7 106:19 64:18 72:2,5 106:7 108:10 152:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 104:11 64:13 73:4 101:2 106:7 106:19 64:18 72:2,5 106:7 108:10 152:16 64:13 73:4 101:2 106:7 106:11 134:21 allegation 47:24 49:6,10,21 52:16 60:7 71:3 134:16 134:21 allegations 43:5 44:2 50:13 51:7 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 apologies 28:18 38:9 182:22 185:23 189:22 appear 8:8 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 appears 54:3 application 4:22 114:14 134:24		accused 42:21	advice 33:25	Albanians 184:7	anxious 28:7 74:19
39:17 49:8,24 accustomed 185:6 advised 35:16 79:4 157:1 161:8 50:6,8 51:11,14 50:6,8 51:11,14 64:13 73:4 101:2 49:6,10,21 52:16 183:24 50:18 57:16 108:10 152:16 104:11 advisor 143:9,17 60:7 71:3 134:16 anymore 71:21 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 165:16 act 91:14 act 91:14 act 91:14 act 91:14 act 91:14 act 91:12 affirmed 1:5 191:2 allegations 43:5 apart 9:2 49:3 172:1 173:16 5:19 66:18 84:1 5:19 66:18 84:1 afraid 145:11 62:22,25 63:2,12 apologies 182:12 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 133:8 169:19 action 20:25 117:21 133:1,3,7 133:8 169:19 168:21 aggressior 7:23,25 allege 49:12 appeare 43:12 51:1,14 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 134:20,20 appeares 54:3 49:6,10,21 52:16 49:6,10,21 52:16 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 anymore 71:21 49:6,10,21 52:16 49:6,10,21 52:16 anymore 71:21 anymore 71:21 appeare 8:8 134:21 42:2 50:13 51:7 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 appe		63:20	advise 46:19	Allah 173:5	anybody 24:4 79:2
50:6,8 51:11,14 ACDT 21:3,6,15 64:13 73:4 101:2 49:6,10,21 52:16 183:24 53:7 55:12,14 108:10 152:16 104:11 58:13 59:16,25 60:7 71:3 134:16 75:19 115:10 116:22 124:9,10 137:10 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 172:1 173:16 172:1 173:16 172:1 173:16 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 183:24 anymore 71:21 anymore 71:21 75:19 anyway 35:2 119:4 apart 9:2 49:3 148:5 apart 9:2 49:3 148:5 apart 9:2 49:3 148:5 apart 9:2 49:3 148:5 apologies 182:12 apologies 182:12 apologies 28:18 38:9 182:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 185:23 189:22 134:20,20 appears 54:3 appears 54:3 appears 54:3 application 4:22 187:27 187:27 187:27 187:27 187:27 187:27 189:23		accustomed 185:6	advised 35:16	allegation 47:24	79:4 157:1 161:8
53:7 55:12,14 21:22,24 22:5,7 104:11 58:13 59:16,25 anymore 71:21 56:18 57:16 108:10 152:16 advisor 143:9,17 60:7 71:3 134:16 75:19 115:10 116:22 act 91:14 act ed 1:22 123:7 acted 1:22 123:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 acting 1:25 5:9,11 519 66:18 84:1 519 66:18 84:1 519 66:18 84:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 apart 9:2 49:3 172:1 173:16 5:19 66:18 84:1 519 66:18 84:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:21 61:5 62:1 60:21 61:5 62:1 60:21 61:5 62:1 60:21 61:5 62:1 60:21 61:5 62:1 60:21 7:10 60:20 61:5 62:1 60:21 7:10 60:21 7:10 134:16 168:1 168:1 168:1 134:16 168:21 <th>· ·</th> <td>ACDT 21:3,6,15</td> <td>64:13 73:4 101:2</td> <td>49:6,10,21 52:16</td> <td>183:24</td>	· ·	ACDT 21:3,6,15	64:13 73:4 101:2	49:6,10,21 52:16	183:24
56:18 57:16 108:10 152:16 advisor 143:9,17 60:7 71:3 134:16 75:19 115:10 116:22 act 91:14 act 91:14 act 91:14 allegations 43:5 apart 9:2 49:3 170:6,19 171:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 5:19 66:18 84:1 5:19 66:18 84:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 174:25 181:18 84:5 96:8 122:23 123:2 128:23 action 20:25 174:11 178:19 67:14 77:10 185:1,2,3 187:22 133:8 169:19 168:21 aggression 8:6 105:3 148:10,12 168:21 alleged 48:24 appears 54:3 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 alleges 49:6 60:24 application 4:22		21:22,24 22:5,7	104:11	58:13 59:16,25	anymore 71:21
115:10 116:22 165:16 act 91:14 act 91:14 allegations 43:5 apart 9:2 49:3 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 5:19 66:18 84:1 Afghanistan 42:10 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 174:25 181:18 84:5 96:8 122:23 168:1 64:17,19 67:13 38:9 182:22 174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 action 20:25 17:21 133:1,3,7 133:8 169:19 actions 102:17,22 168:21 allege 49:12 appeare 8:8 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 alleges 49:6 60:24 appears 54:3	,	108:10 152:16	advisor 143:9,17	60:7 71:3 134:16	75:19
124:9,10 137:10 act 91:14 160:22 affirmed 1:5 191:2 allegations 43:5 apart 9:2 49:3 138:6 169:22 170:6,19 171:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 5:19 66:18 84:1 Afghanistan 42:10 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 172:1 173:16 84:5 96:8 122:23 168:1 62:22,25 63:2,12 apologies 28:18 182:21 184:16 123:2 128:23 action 20:25 agression 8:6 134:16 appear 8:8 174:11 178:19 133:8 169:19 168:21 allege 49:12 appeared 43:12 189:23 167:7 actions 102:17,22 aggressive 7:23,25 alleges 49:6 60:24 appears 54:3 167:7 15 24 16 18 15 24 16 18 11 24 11 24 11 24		165:16	affect 41:8 72:2,5	134:21	anyway 35:2 119:4
138:6 169:22 acted 1:22 123:7 affirmed 1:5 191:2 44:2 50:13 51:7 148:5 170:6,19 171:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 5:19 66:18 84:1 5:19 66:18 84:1 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 174:25 181:18 84:5 96:8 122:23 168:1 64:17,19 67:13 38:9 182:22 182:21 184:16 123:2 128:23 action 20:25 aggression 8:6 134:16 185:23 189:22 185:1,2,3 187:22 133:8 169:19 168:21 aggressive 7:23,25 allege 49:12 appeared 43:12 189:23 167:7 actions 102:17,22 8:2,13 13:18,21 144:2 50:13 51:7 apologies 182:12 185:1,2,3 187:22 168:21 134:16 appeared 43:12 189:23 168:21 168:21 134:20,20 appeares 54:3 189:23 167:7 15 24 1 (1.0) alleges 49:6 60:24 application 4:22		act 91:14	160:22	allegations 43:5	apart 9:2 49:3
170:6,19 171:7 acting 1:25 5:9,11 Afghanistan 42:10 60:20 61:5 62:1 apologies 182:12 172:1 173:16 5:19 66:18 84:1 afraid 145:11 62:22,25 63:2,12 apologies 28:18 174:25 181:18 123:2 128:23 afraid 145:11 64:17,19 67:13 38:9 182:22 acceptable 104:8 123:2 128:23 afraid 53:24 67:14 77:10 185:23 189:22 acceptable 104:8 117:21 133:1,3,7 133:8 169:19 105:3 148:10,12 allege 49:12 appear 8:8 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 aggressive 7:23,25 134:20,20 appears 54:3 application 4:22	•	acted 1:22 123:7	affirmed 1:5 191:2	44:2 50:13 51:7	148:5
172:1 173:16 5:19 66:18 84:1 afraid 145:11 62:22,25 63:2,12 apologise 28:18 174:25 181:18 182:21 184:16 123:2 128:23 afraid 145:11 64:17,19 67:13 38:9 182:22 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 117:21 133:1,3,7 aggression 8:6 105:3 148:10,12 134:16 appeared 43:12 189:23 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 aggressive 7:23,25 alleged 48:24 51:1,14 189:23 167:7 ascepted 53:5 8:2,13 13:18,21 alleges 49:6 60:24 application 4:22		acting 1:25 5:9,11	Afghanistan 42:10	60:20 61:5 62:1	apologies 182:12
174:25 181:18 84:5 96:8 122:23 168:1 64:17,19 67:13 38:9 182:22 182:21 184:16 123:2 128:23 afraid' 53:24 67:14 77:10 185:23 189:22 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 17:21 133:1,3,7 105:3 148:10,12 allege 49:12 appeared 43:12 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23 actions 102:17,22 aggressive 7:23,25 alleged 48:24 51:1,14 185:23 189:22 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 appears 54:3 185:23 189:22 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 185:23 189:22 appeared 43:12 51:1,		5:19 66:18 84:1	afraid 145:11	62:22,25 63:2,12	apologise 28:18
182:21 184:16 123:2 128:23 afraid' 53:24 67:14 77:10 185:23 189:22 acceptable 104:8 174:11 178:19 117:21 133:1,3,7 105:3 148:10,12 134:16 appear 8:8 185:1,2,3 187:22 133:8 169:19 168:21 alleged 48:24 51:1,14 189:23 167:7 accepted 53:5 8:2,13 13:18,21 134:20,20 appears 54:3 185:23 189:22 appeare 8:8 185:23 189:22 appeare 43:12 185:23 189:22 appeare 8:8 185:23 189:22 appeared 43:12 185:23 189:22 appea		84:5 96:8 122:23	168:1	64:17,19 67:13	38:9 182:22
acceptable 104:8 action 20:25 aggression 8:6 134:16 appear 8:8 174:11 178:19 117:21 133:1,3,7 105:3 148:10,12 allege 49:12 appeared 43:12 189:23 189:23 accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 134:16 appeare 8:8 105:3 148:10,12 allege 49:12 appeared 43:12 51:1,14 appeares 54:3 application 4:22		123:2 128:23	afraid' 53:24	67:14 77:10	185:23 189:22
174:11 178:19 185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23		action 20:25	aggression 8:6	134:16	appear 8:8
185:1,2,3 187:22 189:23	_		00		
189:23 actions 102:17,22 aggressive 7:23,25 134:20,20 appears 54:3 accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 alleges 49:6 60:24 application 4:22			· ·	<u> </u>	1
accepted 53:5 167:7 8:2,13 13:18,21 alleges 49:6 60:24 application 4:22				<u> </u>	
15 24 16 10 H 141 24		•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	_			<u> </u>	
	127.5 170.12		,		
				l ————————————————————————————————————	l ————————————————————————————————————

applied 95:11 98:9	arrived 6:15 12:6	167:5	57:1	BBC 134:15 137:7
98:10,15 116:7	13:7 37:1 111:9	assume 133:1	back 3:3 9:16 10:3	137:9
117:3,10 123:5	arriving 7:12 12:4	146:18 152:3	10:15 27:18	bearing 53:10
apply 4:23 5:2,3	arts 121:8	156:18 162:2	29:16 38:13,17	becoming 4:10
applying 5:6 43:15	ascertain 20:22	168:14 170:21,22	39:13 40:5,11,11	142:22
99:8	67:2	184:18 186:15	40:25 41:16 42:3	bed 97:14,17,19
appraisals 19:18	asked 4:25 27:12	187:11	43:16 44:4,20,23	99:4 101:9 104:2
appreciate 39:9	33:16 41:6 49:22	assumed 151:10	46:4 49:7,18	111:21 113:22,25
57:11 126:11	49:25 51:4,25	156:10	52:24 57:16	114:3,5 115:7,11
138:21 141:22	55:4,10 61:1,13	asylum 42:6 147:7	67:10,11 69:11	136:17 138:24
159:9 172:7	62:14 66:14	atmosphere 7:21	77:8 87:21,23	140:13 163:7
187:23,25 190:12	77:14 78:1,8	7:23 8:2 157:16	94:12,15 102:8	164:4
appreciated 77:17	82:8,13 92:6	attack 36:20 168:3	102:16 105:15	bedsheets 166:8
approach 67:18	96:3,9 101:7	attacked 121:18	108:3 112:6	began 2:9 43:23
70:13	102:24 103:17	attacks 36:18	114:16 116:15	77:24 104:12,24
approachable	104:9,18 105:17	attempt 114:25	120:9 121:25	105:2
17:18	106:22 110:12	140:9	122:7 123:9	beginning 46:22
appropriate 31:4	131:23 132:3	attempted 103:19	127:13 130:8	76:9 122:3
176:22,25	134:14,22 139:13	attempting 103:6	131:2,9,25 134:8	149:24 164:3
appropriately	140:24 152:13	attend 36:21 88:21	134:18 140:4	187:12,13
9:23 10:5 79:17	156:2 179:14	attention 139:4	152:9 157:12	begun 96:4 111:10
approximately	189:8	140:21 141:14	160:21 167:24	behalf 137:9
86:25 96:1,21	asking 28:21 46:3	attitude 13:16	168:2,5 173:3	behave 145:16
98:9 99:15 101:1	52:8 54:24 55:18	38:5	183:17,19,25	behaved 13:2,17
101:4 111:6	63:19 64:17	attracted 2:3	background 1:18	50:25 51:2,11
116:17	74:14 100:17	attributed 137:11	28:20	62:23
April 95:20 129:14	113:21 117:12,12	August 1:23 4:2	backhammer	behaving 13:14
142:20	150:24 161:6	57:21,22 60:25	103:6,20	behaviour 8:11,13
area 95:1,3 130:17	170:22,22 171:7	66:18	backing 8:21	9:24 45:21 58:1
172:6	183:17	authority 145:19	bad 27:25 28:1	63:25 92:2 101:3
areas 72:7 169:16	asks 30:20	automatically	36:20 86:5	102:19 104:8
argue 54:15	aspects 126:19	169:12	141:10 157:21,23	182:23
arguing 127:11	assault 39:1	available 17:17	159:23 185:9	behaviours 16:5
argumentative	129:11 134:20,25	65:13	186:11,25 189:5	140:25 141:4
49:23	139:9 160:8	avoid 69:15 70:8	189:21 190:3	believe 4:21 6:10
arm 95:23,23 98:8	179:3,7,7,17	139:23 155:12	bail 147:8	13:9 19:7,20,22
98:9,13,14 100:8	assaulted 38:22	avoided 44:1	balance 83:15	24:6 35:11 50:2
101:17,21 103:5	179:14	awaiting 7:11	ball 177:13	52:3 53:20 59:10
103:19 105:2	assaulting 138:18	133:10	bar 45:24	64:14 84:19 86:3
107:6,7,17	139:2 140:18	aware 15:18 22:25	based 30:1 87:20	99:20,24 100:3
111:22 113:19	168:6 177:15	42:5,13 43:6	108:21	102:8 103:25
120:4 122:8	assaults 133:25	62:19 64:1 70:14	basic 147:2 151:2	108:2 122:17
123:3,6 126:17	assessing 20:20	74:2,4,15 76:23	basically 36:24	123:11,17 124:7
126:25 169:12	assist 12:10,13	78:4 79:10 93:17	135:23 156:18	142:21 144:2
171:18 173:4	103:7,21,24	109:9,13 174:4	basis 3:1 11:1	153:16 177:6
arms 61:25 99:9	assistance 68:5	awareness 29:3	bastard 37:21	184:23 187:3
127:1	162:23		battery 97:23,25	189:11
arose 27:14	Assisting 12:17	B C 0 21 7 C 25 20	98:18,20 99:6	believed 103:22
arrive 40:5	associated 76:1	B 6:9,21 7:6 25:20	100:6	belittle 154:21
		25:21 36:3 47:7		

				1 age 174
Belmarsh 92:13	158:5	3:5,10 7:21,23	<u>C</u>	51:8
Ben 17:9 102:3,3	bothered 40:22	9:22 12:5,20	C 53:18 59:6	carried 19:20,22
106:24 153:1,2	bottom 14:6 41:1	13:7 14:5,17	C&R 70:8,11 90:8	22:6 116:14
162:2	51:6 54:14 59:3	15:19 16:12	118:10 140:15	carry 120:12
benefits 40:15	107:9 113:17	30:11 31:11 34:3	141:11 179:3,6	168:15
41:12,19 42:16	128:3 184:5	36:8,13,16 41:9	179:19	carrying 89:23
best 9:1 18:23 22:2	188:4	41:11,23 42:5	C&Rs 69:23 70:5	case 3:12 12:8,9,11
53:4 100:16	boundary 28:16	50:25 57:11 61:9	90:22,23	25:23 33:20,23
154:9	boxer 28:21	63:7 71:22 74:19	· ·	34:3,10,14 44:13
better 117:24	boxers 27:15	75:5,10 76:1,1,7	cabinet 123:16,18 123:20	55:17 66:7 67:19
145:10 174:6	boys 41:5 43:1	77:6 81:6 82:22	call 17:17,22 29:8	70:4,5 85:3,9
beyond 28:13	Bravo 52:4	84:8 88:14 91:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96:11 128:15
39:12,17	break 69:1,5 80:1	91:5 92:13 130:6	36:20 90:9,10 120:9 122:24	133:10 167:13
biannual 161:15	80:6 120:9,11	142:18 143:5,7		cases 12:14,15,21
big 23:19 25:4,12	128:17 141:24	143:19 146:4	131:8 150:18 151:18 165:18	31:13 36:22
37:10 50:14	142:3 144:18	148:1 174:23		133:9,25
65:24 86:7 92:11	182:10	178:25 179:6	called 36:22 97:7 121:7 132:19	cash 40:6
95:25 99:11	breaks 81:23	180:3,22 181:5		caught 40:10
100:21,23 109:18	160:2,5	183:2,7	161:14 162:16	cause 37:12
111:5 112:8	brief 30:18 77:21	brother 60:25	calling 30:13 48:22 63:9	178:22
160:5 162:11	90:11 111:7	brought 57:14	130:25 167:15	caused 147:2
biggest 135:23	briefed 91:25	140:3 161:11,16		CCTV 107:15
bin 54:16	briefing 91:24,25	161:18	185:13 186:5 calls 184:11	168:7,9 170:13
birth 83:2	161:14	Brown 17:7	Callum 15:5 26:12	170:19,23 171:5
bit 2:14 3:22 5:11	briefings 153:5	152:24,25 162:4	30:19,20 31:25	171:7,19,25
10:4 21:12 28:15	briefly 47:18 64:2	162:5	32:5 33:10 35:19	cent 90:4 123:14
38:13 42:23	121:6 137:6	bruising 131:12,13	37:18 39:7,23	centre 2:8,10 3:2
71:24 78:15	152:15	bubble 150:2	53:16 54:1 124:2	4:8 10:19 11:18
96:25 108:19	briefs 91:22	budget 162:10	124:14,19,23	12:2 13:13 15:12
109:7 145:12	bring 18:10 71:12	build 46:24 159:14	125:8,16,18,24	18:5 26:1 36:11
149:18 150:2	129:18 161:19	165:2,5	126:1,5 127:5,16	37:13 45:13 47:6
152:7 153:19	163:4 166:15	build-up 74:24	129:5 135:7,13	56:4 68:21 86:12
bits 57:3 159:4	167:9	building 13:2	135:17,22 136:4	86:15 87:12
black 50:23 51:8	bringing 86:10	27:24 47:9 86:17	136:15,21,23,25	145:5,20 146:9
62:23	180:17	128:21 140:4	180:21 181:14	149:5 155:22
blah 135:24,24,25	broad 47:21	157:16 165:11,25	185:16	161:13,17 174:20
blame 34:6 45:21	broadband 142:25	built 47:5,12	calm 70:9 119:16	175:18 180:10
blurry 122:6	broadcast 73:19	146:19	158:10	185:8 186:16,17
board 36:2	137:8	bullied 154:5	calmed 123:10	187:8,24
body 121:15	broadly 23:8	bullying 157:10	cam 172:19	certain 8:19 44:18
150:19,19 169:14	Bromley 80:3,9,10	158:5	camera 32:2 77:15	85:6 87:10
172:19	80:12,15 81:6	bundle 71:11	136:21,23 173:22	145:17 146:9
boiled 115:8	93:1 101:4 104:9	110:16 166:16	cards 11:18	148:15
boiling 111:12,24	104:23 106:23	172:16	care 43:17 97:5	chair 1:3,11,13
113:23 115:14	107:11 113:19	Burns 188:4,10,17	100:5 130:15	68:25 69:2 77:19
116:14 117:11	120:7,20,21	188:21	132:7 161:4	77:21 78:9,12,17
bollocks 27:9	129:16 140:23	bus 40:5	career 144:1	78:21 79:2,6,14
book 151:2	141:16,18 191:8	busy 139:15	careful 137:1	79:19,25 80:4,8
borderline 157:10	Brook 1:19 2:20	buying 43:23	Caribbean 50:24	80:23 95:5 119:4
			- Caribbean 50.21	
	l	I	I	I

				Page 193
120.6 14 15 10	oinhor 162-10	00 000vata 101.0	72:15 75:16	68.20.22.72.21
120:6,14,15,19	cipher 163:19 ciphers 163:25	co-operate 101:8	79:22 87:12 96:6	68:20,22 72:21 74:5,7,9 78:3
141:17,18,23	_	co-operation		
142:1,7,13 171:1 171:4 182:6,8,12	circle 88:2 circled 100:8	101:7	141:21 147:15 180:23 181:5	133:11 168:17 complaints 45:3,5
, ,		cocky 51:3		
182:14 183:13,16	circumstances	coffee 167:16	190:12	45:11,22,23 46:2
190:9,11,18	72:10 110:22	cohort 42:15	comment 35:2	46:6,10,12,15
chair's 110:16	154:9,10	coincidence 15:14	43:9 49:14,24	47:1,12,19 50:18
chalk 146:25	CJS001443 50:21	colleague 70:15,18	51:20 59:13,16	60:14,15,16
challenged 11:13	CJS001619 167:10	167:20 168:23	60:1 100:14	66:19,22,23,25
challenging 13:10	CJS003348 168:19	colleagues 6:3 9:3	105:11 106:4	67:3 68:8,12,13
89:13,16	CJS005530 95:4	9:6,10 11:7,10	108:4 110:10	68:16 73:1,13
chance 119:13	CJS005615 122:20	23:15 76:17,21	126:13 135:7	77:9,25
124:6 140:12	CJS005624 110:15	134:5,6	137:14 184:20	completed 105:23
change 2:6 13:23	116:15	collect 90:10	comments 26:7,15	completely 32:12
57:24 59:6,20	CJS005955 135:1	collective 75:15	27:19,21 28:2,3	54:12 67:1,4
63:24 64:8 88:5	clarification 68:3	Collier 119:3	28:18 35:11	111:1
90:23	class 124:20	120:2 169:1,1	39:20 42:1 54:20	compliance 177:17
changed 88:6	classed 126:23	colloquial 148:22	107:8 135:3,4,6	178:2,5,8,15,16
89:22,25 141:5	classroom 108:21	come 2:14 3:17 5:4	137:11 141:13	compliant 110:9
channels 132:21	128:2 151:10	10:3,21 13:8	179:5 180:21	111:23
chap 147:17	clean 124:22	15:8 16:20 17:10	181:11	compliantly
chaplaincy 50:5	125:21,25 126:9	17:14 24:10 26:6	commissioned	116:13
character 92:12	129:6 146:19	27:25 29:13	169:2	complied 99:13
charge 90:8	cleaned 66:11	38:25 40:1,1,1,4	common 63:17	comply 102:20
126:21 187:1	cleaning 86:17	40:10,11,18,23	87:11 109:13	116:8 118:7
charges 133:15	clear 119:7 126:20	40:23 41:2,12,15	164:10 165:21	119:21
Charlie 155:23	128:14 130:17	42:22 43:3 45:2	169:20 180:11	complying 119:17
charter 6:20 7:11	clearance 149:10	46:19 50:18 61:1	185:14,19	computer 94:14
85:7	clearly 138:11	62:14 63:16,21	communicated	94:17,18,22
charters 89:1	clenched 104:21	65:5 85:17,19	31:12	166:21 167:14
chase 57:5	clip 122:2 137:7	93:13 106:23	communication	computers 94:16
chat 30:18	182:3,4,13,21	116:1,13 119:9	96:5 110:8	concentrating
check 26:3,4 33:7	clo 125:9	121:21 133:23	119:24	137:17 140:21
65:15 75:20	clocked 125:9	147:13 148:9	company 142:24	concern 35:12,15
130:17	144:21,22,23	152:9,13 153:4	143:12,13 153:14	concerned 12:4
checking 34:25	close 157:4 161:22	153:21 158:3	compare 81:20	35:25 46:14
checks 66:10	closed 96:19 97:15	183:25 186:16	compared 82:5,20	47:20,21 58:18
cheese 146:25	101:10 111:11	187:7	83:5 107:21	concerns 18:12,19
chest 111:20 113:4	114:8,9,13	comes 10:4 36:1	complain 167:1	123:21 125:23
113:25 114:4,6	182:13,15 183:18	45:17 114:16	complained 158:4	128:20,22 129:3
chin 37:22,24	183:21,23 186:22	181:22	complaining 55:2	139:12 140:25
chips 71:18,24	closely 111:17	comfortable 12:3	complains 67:15	141:4 161:7
choose 29:19	116:20	18:7 37:1,4	complaint 46:3,16	conclude 99:23
187:20	closer 145:8,12	150:18 151:6	46:21 47:16,24	concludes 52:16
choosing 29:17	161:22 171:20	coming 8:6 11:13	48:10,25 50:20	119:19
chose 140:19	clothes 57:24 59:6	13:19 16:5 23:7	50:23 51:5 53:10	concussed 131:13
chosen 90:2,9	59:20 61:21	29:18 41:19	54:4,24 57:19,20	131:15
chronic 66:4	clothing 27:16	42:16 52:4,8	57:21,22 58:7	condition 92:3
CID 95:18	28:22 29:12,14	53:18 60:14 66:2	64:2,6 67:8,19,25	conditioned
	ĺ			
	I	I	I	<u> </u>

				Page 196
143:23 144:25,25	continued 111:13	coordinator	138:17 160:5	66:4,7,9
145:23 144:23,23	111:18 112:10	142:23	covered 25:22	custodial 143:5
conditions 84:9	113:1,8,20	cope 2:19,24	72:8 93:9 113:23	145:21
conduct 51:1,14	115:18 116:21,25	copy 95:18	121:5	custody 81:17
74:3 78:3	123:5 167:24	core 69:8	covering 25:19	145:17 151:16
conducted 61:15	contracted 84:14	correct 81:8,12	crack 156:15	143.17 131.10
confidence 89:22	contributed 8:1	82:17 83:4,24	crafts 121:8	
confident 126:16	30:15 156:21	84:16 86:20	crans 121.8 crap 176:16	D 52:1 93:12 96:1
126:18 128:19	contributes 186:2	92:19 94:2 95:16	criminal 37:20	132:1 134:8
confidential 79:9	contributes 180.2	97:24 101:24	130:25	139:20 150:1
158:12	88:17,19 98:6,7,8	106:8 108:6	critique 174:4	158:20 188:20
confirmation	98:24 101:15,16	109:19 112:9	cropped 37:8	190:25
114:10	101:20,21 103:5	115:8,25 119:1	cropped 57.8 crossed 95:23	D1020 70:23 72:17
conflicts 33:1	101.20,21 103.3	123:19 124:8	crowd 130:23	D1103 133:17
	7			D1399 64:3,6
confrontation 9:17	111:22 123:3,15	130:13,21 131:3	crutch 36:14	D1527 93:8,12
10:25 150:14	126:21 163:9	131:5 132:2,13	CSU 69:25 93:17	95:8 96:5,23
169:14	179:19	132:22 133:5,21	93:22 102:20	97:10,13 100:24
confrontational	controlled 186:21	134:11 139:19	131:24 163:18	101:2,2,6,18
43:12	controlling 10:20	140:1,11 142:10	173:2	101:2,2,0,18
confuse 116:5	conversation	142:19 181:9	cuff 126:18	102.17 103.12,13
Connolly 19:13	26:10 27:14	182:3	cuffed 105:14	103:10 104:7,14
conscious 29:24	33:15 35:18	correctly 77:23	cuffs 105:1,12	104.10,24 103.1
46:9	37:16,17 39:6,23	count 46:15	culture 13:19,20	D1527's 101:15,16
consequence 7:13	44:9 46:7 50:6,7	countries 42:10,12	30:8,8,10,15 84:8	103:5,19 104:22
65:20 102:22	53:10,15 119:7	country 40:2,2,3	84:11 154:23	105.3,19 104.22
consequences 7:6	124:9,14 125:17	41:15 43:16 44:5	155:21 156:7,7	107:25
7:9 9:13 75:24	125:18 126:5	44:15,15,20,23	156:21 188:5	D1538 57:20 58:4
consider 5:6 35:8	127:5,21,22	49:8,18	cultures 44:17	121:7,14 123:5
63:22 82:18	129:4 134:12,22	couple 6:10,12,15	cunt 30:25 31:4,8	,
considerations	137:5,18,20,21	6:17 16:14 18:24	31:20 48:22	166:13 167:20
23:3	137:24 138:10,15	23:7 27:3,5,7	72:12 136:8	168:20,24 170:14
considered 44:14	139:5,16 140:21	48:19 53:6 57:7	184:15 186:6	170:23
82:20	141:14 161:10	65:4 68:24 69:13	cunts 184:10	D1538's 121:23
consistent 78:17	172:12,15 173:12	93:4 117:6 182:7	185:13	123:3,6,12
consistently 10:24	173:17 174:12	course 2:15 10:9	cup 80:1	166:16
constant 21:16	176:4,10,15,19	23:2 49:10 69:2	curious 76:14,24	D180 43:10 49:11
109:16	177:19 178:4,7	82:25 118:10	curling 177:13	D1851 110:2
constantly 26:2	181:3,10,13,19	139:17 140:15	currently 143:10	D197's 125:13
contact 98:12 99:3	184:11,21 185:10	141:9 146:2	curtain 51:4,25	D1985 47:24 48:16
99:5 103:23	conversations	148:19 149:9,12	52:5,11 53:17,18	D274 163:15 164:5
105:8 122:19	25:16 44:16	151:11 182:8	53:23 54:25 55:5	D313 134:14,18,21
171:22	87:10 155:16	courtyard 24:3,14	55:10,16,18,23	D381 50:20 51:3
contacting 22:4	185:21	24:16,20 25:3	56:2,9,19 64:7,17	51:24 54:2,24
contain 170:1	conversing 176:12	129:20 130:16	65:6,8,9,20	55:9
contents 58:4	convictions 92:2	131:18 140:2	curtains 52:1,9,18	D390 109:21 110:1
context 47:22	Conway 46:18,19	courtyards 24:6,7	55:19,20,20 56:2	111:10,11,13,24
190:4	51:24 52:15	24:11,21,23	56:4,6,23,24 57:4	112:10 113:1,6,8
continue 71:20	coordinating	cover 81:23 82:11	64:14 65:10,11	113:20,21,25
120:19	142:25	89:11 95:7	65:12,16,17,18	115:7,11 116:20
				117:3,16,22
	•	•	•	•

				Page 197
119:8,11,13,15	84.18 24 124.12	168:22,24 169:11	decisions 67:6	54:11,13
119:16,20 140:7	84:18,24 124:13 124:14 128:8,12	169:19 175:16	88:25 90:6	describing 31:3,20
140:9	128:15,17 143:24	184:11	deck 167:18	33:11 35:19
D390's 111:20	144:19 147:10	DCOs 24:10,22	declined 132:25	72:12 139:2
114:22	DCM 1:22,25,25	83:18 84:14	133:1,2	186:11
D4049 49:22,25	4:2,6,10,20 5:9	86:17 87:16,24	deemed 178:19	description 35:14
D4049 49.22,23 D4277 49:6	5:19,19 19:1,13	88:1,4,16 155:10	deep 148:20	52:5 156:6
D668 60:15 62:20	19:13 22:2 25:17	155:17,20 183:6	defending 39:10	desk 113:21
D87 163:7 164:2	25:19 46:17	de-escalate 8:24	166:25	167:16
daily 11:1 154:10	66:18 77:24 78:6	8:25 112:4	defensive 168:1	despite 129:4
damage 76:3	78:9,14,16,19	118:11 140:16	169:15	destitute 29:12
Dan 37:17 39:7	82:8,13 83:7	de-escalated	definitely 49:20	detail 2:14 45:8
53:15,15,17,21	84:1,5,6 96:3,3,4	121:17	54:18 107:18	47:20 70:24 84:9
54:4,8,9,11,14,17	96:7 97:15 98:8	de-escalation	128:25 131:15	170:1,10
55:3,12 135:17	98:10 99:7 101:6	107:3 112:15	139:5 172:7	detailed 170:8
155:19 156:6	102:1 103:5,9	116:10,12 118:10		details 108:10
dangerous 89:3,5	102.1 103.3,9	120:3 165:19,25	defusing 52:20,25	133:10 144:12
151:22	104.0,22 103.1,8	deal 8:15 9:14,16	degree 109:4	detained 11:22
Darren 1:4,5,9	110:11 111:10	12:8,11 16:6	dehumanised	12:22 19:24
43:11,18,25	115:4 119:8,13	22:11,24 25:4,12	186:2	26:11 27:14 28:4
53:19,24 156:1	121:22 123:3,7	37:14 47:22 49:5	dehumanising	28:11,25 29:8,19
191:2	123:12 153:20	51:22 60:17 64:3	31:21	31:3,20 33:12,13
date 83:2 85:16	DCMs 18:23 83:17	65:24 72:16	deliberately	34:5,6,9,17 35:4
95:8,16,21	88:4,8 97:7	108:24 129:15	178:22	37:18,20 38:6
dated 95:14	152:17 155:10	148:17 156:12,17	delivery 143:11	39:24 41:11
100:10 142:11	DCO 1:22 2:3 3:16	160:24 162:6	Delta 150:1	46:24 50:24
dates 142:19	5:17,22,23 12:5	164:15,20 165:12	demanded 27:15	51:12 58:18 62:2
day 13:22,22 14:2	18:25 19:3 25:21	dealing 2:25 8:13	demeaning 55:24	62:4 67:15 68:15
14:11 15:12,23	79:11 81:13,14	8:19 10:24 13:11	56:1,20 57:17	71:7 75:21 76:18
15:23 16:18,21	83:22 84:1 96:2	26:1 32:15 36:5	denies 67:17	89:6 109:9
16:22 17:17 24:9	96:8,21 98:6,12	54:24 68:8 75:17	denying 62:16	127:15 147:22,23
26:2,22 27:22	101:4,4 102:1,3	108:17	department	148:6 152:2
29:18 31:25 32:3	103:7 104:9,9,23	deals 93:2	132:18	153:19 155:3
32:17 33:6 39:20	104:23 105:4,11	dealt 64:14 67:3	depend 14:1 21:8	156:2 159:20
53:12 58:4 65:3	105:13 107:11	74:9 79:17	depends 85:24	162:15 163:17,20
71:14,19 87:5	111:17,17,19,19	133:11,12	depressed 21:13	164:16 167:15,17
88:6,6 89:10,10	112:23,23,24	debrief 94:7,9	21:20	169:10 170:20
94:3 100:11,16	113:2,3,6,9,13,15	December 77:2	depth 166:12	171:8 177:24
120:24 121:1	113:16,18,18	81:9,9 82:23	derogatory 141:2	178:8,22 180:9
122:22 127:8,8,9	114:2 115:4,10	decent 47:12	184:24 189:14	184:12 186:13,19
128:5 131:23	115:19,20,24	decide 5:3 67:5	describe 5:24 7:22	187:9,13,19
134:13 162:12	116:2,19,20,21	99:16	30:9 37:21 43:21	189:25 190:7
165:4 186:21	116:23,24,25	decided 72:1 76:6	82:12 117:23	detainee 7:1 8:16
188:19	117:19,22,22	decision 4:20 6:11	118:24	8:25 22:3 26:19
day-to-day 2:19	131:6,7 134:14	7:1,18 68:5	described 30:8	27:10 33:19 38:9
3:1,17	135:2 142:18,19	93:15 130:16	32:5 126:8	38:15,19 44:6,10
days 6:17 16:23	142:22 146:7	143:21	134:24 155:20	52:3,18 54:9
23:12 24:24	164:23 166:20	decision-making	158:5 181:14	59:19 60:9 62:6
59:15 78:15	167:14,20 168:21	90:3	describes 54:8,9	62:9 65:17 68:19
	ı	1	ı	•

				8
74:6,6,8 81:16	147:12 148:6,13	dinner 160:18	documentation	181:1,4,5,7
92:3 95:12 96:5	150:14 152:4	direct 176:12	95:4 166:24	due 2:14 7:14 10:9
96:5,22 97:10	150:14 152:4	directing 168:20	167:12 169:24	34:9 60:24 85:6
98:7,10,17 99:3	,	directing 108.20	documents 62:19	85:16 89:2 101:5
7 7	157:25 158:19,24			
99:16 102:6,14	163:6 164:11	directions 112:3	80:16 163:3	102:19
104:1,13 108:4	165:6,12 177:5	133:17	167:4	dumb 27:6
109:10 110:7,8	184:17,22 185:9	directly 22:4	doing 11:10 18:23	duty 17:3,4,23,25
111:18 115:14,18	185:13 186:2,8,8	123:20 166:22	20:10 30:22,25	24:12 97:5 100:5
116:5,8,13,14	189:9,13,18	director 17:3,4,25	31:19 40:6 41:23	130:15 131:16
117:10 118:6,11	190:7	directors 17:23	68:6 70:18 76:24	132:6
119:9 121:7,18	detainees' 159:9	disappear 57:4,5	77:7 90:22	dynamic 29:4,7
123:7,8 129:12	159:12	disc 168:8	116:12 120:3,4	187:1
129:13 130:10,20	detention 4:8 12:2	disciplinary 73:22	132:6 135:20	
131:2 138:18	13:12 59:5,10	73:24 74:2,3,12	150:23 154:11,12	<u>E</u>
139:2 140:8,16	145:17 146:9	74:15,16	155:14 159:4	E 92:12 93:16,22
140:18 162:21	151:15 155:21	discriminated	179:9	96:15 98:11
165:2 166:1,2,4	175:18 187:16	50:23 51:8	dominant 31:10	99:14 101:3
171:23 174:14,14	devices 24:9	discuss 46:1 84:8	Dominic 178:25	106:21 107:1
175:1,10,16,18	diaries 26:4	84:13 109:22	door 10:20 96:18	108:14 109:11,15
175:19 176:16,20	diary 31:25	117:19 124:2	111:9,11,14	165:13 190:25
176:21,23 177:1	dick 184:12 186:6	discussed 92:6	112:2,4,17	earlier 77:15 79:7
177:13 179:7,14	dickhead 27:12	129:3 140:6	114:25 115:6	177:3 179:24
186:5 187:4	dicks 185:13	discusses 106:18	116:12,13 119:7	189:7
190:7	die 130:20	discussion 37:20	119:9,12,14	early 66:2 83:3
detainee's 96:22	difference 2:22	53:16 135:12	120:4 154:19	earth 48:2
100:5 106:17,19	29:22 81:25	dislike 90:17	163:18 164:6	easily 64:23,24
111:8 122:19	150:4 176:14,18	disliked 43:18	doors 146:15	easy 68:15,17
123:23 130:18	differences 107:9	dispersed 130:23	186:22,22	79:20 141:20
131:24 171:23	147:20,24 148:6	dispute 166:20	doubt 100:9	190:13
detainees 3:1,18	different 3:12	distress 109:10	150:13 151:14	eat 35:17
3:19 6:1,5,15	36:10 41:18	distressing 44:1	Dove 89:13 108:11	Ed 172:23 173:9
7:12,24 8:1,3	44:17 53:8 66:17	diversity 52:3	108:13 150:1,1	177:12,15 184:8
10:13,23 11:11	71:21 72:11	Dix 96:3,4 97:15	draft 43:8	184:10
11:15 12:3,4	78:14,16 81:7,21	98:10 101:1,6,18	drained 144:14,16	Eden 104:8 109:16
13:4,6,6,7 16:7,8	81:24 87:23,24	103:5,9 104:6,12	draw 151:19	EDL 27:11
20:1 22:23 23:19	93:2 100:18	104:18,22 105:1	drink 35:17	Edmond 142:9
24:7,24 32:9	105:18 106:3	105:8,9 106:6,20	driver 143:11	Edmund 142:5,7
34:12 35:9 37:13	107:13 120:25	106:22 107:17	dropped 97:12,20	191:12
41:13,14,22 42:5	148:4 151:5,6	122:17	101:13	Edwards 46:17,18
42:15 43:11,13	163:13	Dix's 99:7 102:12	dropping 123:4	46:19 51:24
43:14,17 45:14	differently 42:15	DL0000231 59:2	drug 36:4 37:12	52:15
47:6,8,11,11 48:1	42:18 62:23	166:17	180:3	effect 89:8,9
49:11 51:2,8,15	103:25 174:3	DL000144 106:16	drugs 35:4,5,6,6,6	159:20,21
51:16 57:8 59:14	difficult 9:21	doctor 108:9,16	35:6,9,14,20,21	effective 180:4
66:8 67:7 69:16	28:15 64:9,11	document 30:17	35:21,23,23,23	effectively 15:11
69:21,24 70:1,9	65:4 86:7 151:4	32:3 34:22 52:14	1 1 1	179:4,17,20
		53:8 102:12	35:25 36:5,7,10	efficient 32:8
72:2,4 78:25	dig 37:25		37:5,11 86:7,10	effort 25:25 34:9
87:9 129:19	dignity 52:19 53:3	104:3 105:18,24	86:12,15 87:7,11	119:12
130:19 141:12	189:25	129:17 132:23	180:1,10,17,21	efforts 119:20
				C1101 to 117.20

				Page 199
agmagg 170,16	anilantia 120.12	90.11 142.6	overwagging 41.0	for 50.2
egress 170:16	epileptic 130:12	80:11 142:6	expressing 41:8	fax 50:3
eight 96:24 146:7	equally 10:14	191:4,10,14	expressions	fear 151:17,20,21
eight-week 82:24 146:6	equipped 148:16	example 6:19 9:9	121:16	160:2
	error 118:16	10:21 11:2 20:11	extended 87:20	feared 113:22
either 15:10 53:22	escalate 162:25	22:22 23:20	extra 85:13	fearful 103:1,2
58:12 65:16	escalated 105:4	24:11 26:5 32:10	extreme 39:15	February 49:6
69:21 90:1 115:1	escape 36:14	32:20 35:20 42:9	54:8 169:16	80:22 142:11
187:23,23	escapism 36:15	43:14 63:17 67:7	extremely 32:7	fed 112:6
employed 76:12	escort 110:2	91:12 110:21	43:11 88:14	feel 4:3 18:7 61:24
employment 45:4	escorted 69:25	118:14 147:14	121:15 167:21	67:4,4,10 73:17
45:25 46:23 61:8	98:11	152:23 153:16	172:6	73:18 79:8
142:18	especially 47:6	155:4 174:3	eye 122:12 144:3	108:24 132:5
empty 102:25	165:6,13	examples 153:6	148:11	138:1 144:4
103:17 128:2	essentially 12:21	excellent 161:3	eyes 149:17	145:16 146:15
encompass 145:22	162:6	excessive 70:15	F	150:11,15 151:7
encourage 110:8	established 78:6	128:23		155:11 157:17
ended 9:14 24:1	estimate 96:25	excuse 24:18	face 63:10 111:14	161:2
31:17,18	event 95:21 96:9	148:21	112:17 119:13,14	feeling 12:3 14:2
ends 28:8	119:4 122:18	exercise 24:7 25:7	136:19 139:1	61:2
enforcing 11:19	129:19	existed 105:19	fact 7:9 34:8 46:2	feet 136:16 138:23
enjoy 2:9 43:12	events 93:11	expectation	56:1 64:18 72:3	fell 101:12
90:21 165:8	100:21 106:3	155:19	75:21,23 79:2	fellow 4:8 18:12
enjoyable 175:23	119:16 121:2	expectations 147:4	85:1 106:10	30:9
enjoyed 2:5 5:23	135:1	147:21,25	116:24 140:14	felt 9:10 11:3
enter 96:25 97:2	eventually 2:12	expected 83:21	153:12 173:18	17:20 18:1,2,8,24
104:6 117:9	57:4 58:7 63:18	148:5,7	facts 67:2	52:20 74:18 77:6
118:14 122:11	63:19 71:19,25	expensive 162:7	failed 140:10	79:18 103:1
130:16	everybody 67:20	experience 9:9	fair 10:16 34:18	129:7 144:24
entered 11:12	90:25 145:9	14:7 16:12 17:13	64:21 128:6	145:13 147:17
96:22 97:5,19	everyday 176:19	18:25 34:4,8,15	faith 79:15	150:13,17,23,24
99:4,4,13 101:1,5	everyone's 120:8	57:11 63:9 68:14	fallen 135:10	151:6,14,16
103:25 104:12,23	everything's 40:12	69:19 70:1 79:20	falling 9:15 103:15	152:20 154:4,21
105:9 106:6	85:10	91:4 141:20	false 48:3 49:9	155:13 156:10
112:11 113:7,19	evidence 1:15	143:4 190:13	51:10,11,13,16	157:21,23,24
113:22 114:20	22:22 34:12 57:7	experienced 10:11	59:17 61:6	161:4
121:19	62:3,20 67:8	82:14,15,18 83:6	family 43:24 165:7	fewer 77:9,10
entering 97:10	79:21 80:8 81:1	84:2,4 90:21,24	far 15:18 17:19	fibre 142:25
104:9 111:18	93:4 120:11,19	91:2 141:11	22:25 23:6 39:12	Fiddy 141:23
113:1,5 115:18	141:19,25 142:13	146:21	64:1 70:14 74:4	142:5,7,8,9,17
116:20 180:22	142:14 168:20	expert 93:6 119:3	78:4,18 87:24	149:21 168:22
entire 160:14	189:7 190:12	120:2 169:1	100:20 113:20	169:11,19 171:17
entirely 60:7	evident 180:6	explained 11:20	125:20	172:23 173:9,12
environment 2:12	ex-prisoners 147:7	27:13 52:18	Farrell 121:22	175:15 177:12,15
5:24 13:8,11,12	exact 84:22 139:7	102:18,19,21	122:12,15,18	183:16 184:8,10
13:13 15:24	exactly 37:9 49:14	183:20	123:7,12,15	190:11,19 191:12
71:22 72:11	65:8 84:7 110:11	explaining 119:17	125:20,25 128:23	Fiddy's 142:13
91:14 143:5	151:21 162:8	explanation 106:2	190:16	168:24
145:2	173:2	183:10	Farrell's 123:22	field 109:5
EPE 182:5	Examination 1:6	expressed 78:24	fault 159:9,12	fight 51:1,15,16
102.5		onpressed / 0.21	183:15	11511,12,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 480 200
125:14 159:7	152:16 157:11,14	43:13 68:25	found 10:24 25:18	62:5 136:8
fighting 113:11	162:20 176:4	69:13,15 70:6,7	45:24 48:5 49:3	167:18 172:20
figure 154:16	185:17	70:16,16,22 71:2	66:11 67:9 94:24	173:7 177:12
fill 68:20,21 102:6	five-minute 69:1	89:17,22,23 90:2	168:18,19 173:1	full 1:7,12 78:19
filled 71:10 94:20	fixed 12:24	90:18 91:7,8	four 25:17,20,22	80:14,23 99:13
95:18,20 110:20	fled 42:12	92:15,18 93:8	84:18 88:7	142:8
filling 12:16	flight 6:20,21 7:11	94:5 95:7,10	124:14 128:8,12	fully 99:17
film 176:15,15	85:6 133:10	98:15 99:24	128:15	funny 173:1
filmed 185:17	flights 89:1	100:1,3 102:6,22	fourth 128:5	further 2:10 18:9
filming 15:5	floor 96:6 97:13	103:3 104:1	Francis 155:23	110:10 113:5
final 77:14 188:2	98:4 103:15	105:13,23 106:9	free 67:5 138:1	114:25 116:23
Finally 104:3	111:13 123:4	106:10 107:12,19	186:16	119:11 133:1
106:15	130:11 152:14,15	107:24 109:20	frequency 166:9	135:12 141:16
finance 143:9	158:21	110:5,21,23	frequently 90:2,12	152:18
find 10:12 35:2	floors 89:14,15	112:15 115:2,12	92:18,21	fuse 32:6
56:4 92:20 95:25	focus 81:1 93:3	118:19,22,24	fresh 23:21 25:6	future 144:7
fine 11:8 19:16	144:10	119:3,21 120:25	25:14 130:1	
39:22 78:21	focused 91:20	121:2 122:20	Friday 188:6	G
134:9 182:8	focusing 138:10	123:22 126:17	friend 30:21 135:8	G4S 46:1 58:11
finish 100:7	folder 80:16,20	128:18,23 129:10	friends 25:10	76:7 133:19
finished 3:25	follow 10:19 29:15	129:12 139:24	43:23 104:15	134:15,25 143:12
161:23	45:13 78:5	140:6 150:7,10	frisked 61:1	158:13 180:14
fire 140:22	119:10,16 172:16	150:14,22,24	front 56:11,19	gallery 183:24
fires 159:7	followed 22:8 76:5	151:1 152:9	57:9 59:14 80:16	game 39:25 40:10
firm 61:18,20	103:10 119:10	155:2,5 162:13	80:20 110:16	gate 147:2
first 2:5 5:22 22:7	169:18	162:14 163:6,17	127:19,25 134:24	gatehouse 149:8
26:7 27:23 36:19	following 11:18	165:20,21 166:3	140:18 154:20	gathering 111:13
38:2,2,4 41:5	72:18 96:5,22	166:6,23,24	161:16 163:19	gauging 21:9
43:2 47:23 51:18	98:25 101:3	167:11 168:25	173:3 184:22	gay 57:24 58:14,19
56:25 57:1 61:11	111:17 112:23	169:2,21,23	frustrated 27:23	58:20 59:7,19,20
64:9 70:3,9 96:6	116:20 131:23	170:7 172:2,11	32:13	60:5
98:12,23 99:5	134:15	172:16 173:23	frustrating 56:7	Geezer 53:18
100:21 102:14	follows 169:3	174:1 176:17	frustration 39:5	general 15:23
103:22 105:8,20	food 153:24 154:1	177:21 178:5,15	72:14	23:10 68:25
107:11,14,16,18	159:4,16	179:6	frustrations 28:8	69:14,15 89:20
107:24 112:24	footage 106:25	forced 187:10	fuck 35:5,21 136:7	109:9 161:10
119:5,25 121:12	107:15 114:7,11	form 29:11 52:4	173:10 174:13	176:2 179:19
122:23,24 128:5	114:14 116:11	68:20,22 71:10	175:1,11,19	185:8
128:12 142:17	117:6,13,14,21	78:5 95:13 100:8	176:18	generalised 42:1
162:17 163:7	118:19,23 121:25	102:7 110:21	fucking 26:22,25	generally 9:23,25
164:3 166:23	122:4,13 123:11	140:7 188:6	27:4,8,11 30:4,13	65:15 66:15 70:4
firstly 50:20 51:9	123:20 124:6,12	formal 4:7 78:7	30:25 31:2,2	70:4 89:8 91:12
fists 97:15 101:10	125:6 128:1	former 57:8 67:7	33:13 34:17 35:5	91:23 94:6 97:2
104:21	137:4,13 139:3	forms 12:17	35:6,23 36:8	146:9 155:7
fit 130:12 154:8,8	141:6,7 166:7	152:16	37:24 38:3,4,12	161:11 185:9
fitness 66:21	167:5 168:7	forth 123:9	38:23 39:14 41:4	186:22
five 16:18 25:17,19	173:22 181:23,24	fortunate 134:9	41:5 43:1 44:23	gentleman 54:3
69:3,9 88:7	181:24	forward 68:4	49:18 51:18 54:6	122:17
93:20,25 95:11	force 23:2,4 39:14	145:8	54:12 55:5,6,14	gentlemen 96:9
				genuine 20:17,21

				Page 201
21:1	80:24 81:23 82:8	47:5 52:20,24	146:17	132:19 137:16
getting 7:24 10:16	90:10 93:22 94:6	80:12,13 90:20	guided 123:4,6	157:5
29:18 33:13	94:14 95:12,24	128:19 134:23	guidelines 151:2	happening 97:9
34:17 40:6,19	97:9 99:10	136:10 137:22	guy 92:14,24	126:6 133:22
64:18,19 75:22	102:11,20 104:14	138:7,9,14,19	124:16 127:14,14	happy 83:14
78:7 86:8 87:8	104:15 106:21	139:13 141:10	137:3	hard 32:8 98:1
99:6 115:8	104.13 100.21	144:19 160:21	guys 163:1	144:7,10 159:8
159:10 167:14	107.1,8 108.1	165:15 179:23	gym 81:16,20	160:17 176:4
girl 27:4 30:4,13	112:6 113:15	gossip 79:12	87:24	182:17
62:5	114:9,16 118:25	gossiping 18:5	67.24	harder 159:6
girls 41:5 43:1	121:13,24 123:10	gossipping 79:13	H	168:5
give 1:7 4:10 12:25	127:4 130:10	gotta 136:13	habit 79:10	harm 99:22
19:17 23:20	131:9,23 132:10	gotta 130.13 gotten 47:15	half 143:13 149:22	harsher 13:9
34:24 40:24	135:5 143:15	governor 135:11	half-hour 81:25	hate 125:2,2 173:9
80:14 83:2 87:2	144:1 147:8	grab 166:23	halfway 73:6	173:9
111:10 115:11	148:19 149:5	169:17,19 170:19	100:22 110:6	hated 126:12
119:13 140:12	150:4 151:24	170:23 172:5	122:25 128:4	have' 53:23
142:8 150:3	163:14 166:7,11	grabbed 104:22	hand 91:20 94:16	head 19:7 30:25
153:6 162:23	166:12 177:8,16	105:10 168:5	94:20 104:16,22	58:16 59:22
178:15 182:3	183:17,19,20,23	169:13 170:14	107:4 123:1	88:13 98:7,13,24
190:12	186:16 187:24	171:8,18	131:24 132:3,10	101:15,20 105:4
given 3:9 16:16	God 188:11	grabbing 107:6,7	132:11 134:18	105:12,12,13
27:15 28:20,22	goes 39:17 43:21	169:7,9 170:15	151:3 168:13	107:25 121:23
33:7 45:19 48:19	101:13 104:25	171:19	handcuff 103:10	122:19 123:8,9
57:25 66:22 67:7	132:21	grabs 127:14	handcuffed 101:18	123:12,16,18,20
69:16,22 70:2	going 6:12 10:6	grades 83:15	handcuffs 95:11	123:12,10,16,20
73:11 87:21	14:20,21 15:13	grateful 141:20	98:10 99:8,10	125:4,6,21,25
94:11 97:17	15:15 18:5 20:14	great 40:15 160:1	107:25	126:8,12,15,17
111:14 112:22	20:19 24:16,19	190:2,3,6	handed 188:6	126:20,22,24,25
118:7 154:9	25:25 26:14	Grenfell 137:16	handing 82:10	126:25 127:1,2,3
167:6	28:12 32:3 38:14	140:22	103:6,20	127:17 129:6
gives 114:22	38:22,22 40:13	grievance 156:25	handle 163:18	131:2 170:14
giving 8:22 55:12	43:8 44:12 45:4	ground 8:24 9:11	164:6	headache 131:12
112:3,12 116:6	47:18 50:22	28:11,13 39:10	hands 71:3,7 102:5	health 19:24 22:16
117:2 141:19	53:14 55:23 60:4	39:17 130:20	102:23,25 103:16	22:19 23:5 108:7
glad 161:18	70:23 73:4 76:4	143:1	169:12 177:12	108:18,22,25
go 7:24 11:2,5,8,15	86:16 91:18 93:6	group 29:17,19	179:3,10,21	164:17 165:9
18:9 23:20,24	93:16 97:6 98:18	47:8	happen 24:4 130:2	healthcare 21:14
24:14,15,24 25:2	104:4,15 109:20	guess 143:23 145:2	132:8 160:9	21:17,21 22:1,2,4
25:6,7,9,11 31:12	117:17 122:11,22	145:3,3,4,4	185:11	36:19,21,23,25
32:3 35:16 40:2	136:5,7 140:17	154:11,16 156:22	happened 6:22	37:1
40:3 43:16,25	144:21 145:25	157:21 161:1	12:23 18:20	hear 15:17 79:21
44:4,20,23 49:7	147:4,5,22,23	162:23 165:13	31:15,17 39:18	97:1,8 135:8
49:18 50:22	164:25 166:18	166:5 170:21	41:14,17 65:1	137:15 138:11,22
53:19 55:21 56:3	167:18 169:6	171:19 172:3,8	67:16 100:11,12	139:6 142:7
56:11,18 57:9	172:17 182:12	175:6 178:18	100:16 103:23	145:9 163:9
59:6,12 61:13	187:25 190:15	180:11,12 186:15	104:13 111:1	172:19 182:17
65:14,15,15 68:9	gonna 136:25	190:4	120:23,25 124:9	183:6,22 185:4,7
68:10,22 79:8,16	good 1:3,7 5:7	guessing 95:17	127:6 129:5	186:1 190:15
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-,	9 9	130:14 131:11,18	
	I	I	I	I

				Page 202
heard 14:4 17:11	20:14 33:25	21:20 150:16	177:18 188:18,19	induction 6:10,16
22:22 30:6 31:8	34:14 41:16,18	hypothetical 160:9	188:20	6:18 7:2,14,15
34:12 57:7 78:25	44:15,15 46:1	mypothetical 100.5	incident 51:24	57:2 146:2
93:11 103:13	68:23 83:17	I	59:3 64:12 70:22	industry 132:9
133:22 139:7	85:24 100:12	ID 11:18	70:24 71:10,11	influence 143:20
140:2,18 141:13	147:9	idea 4:14 5:5,18	72:17,18 73:3	information 18:8
155:17,21 156:3	honest 87:2 88:10	48:7 49:11,13	76:6 91:7 93:18	143:18 166:10
hearing 1:3 96:22	105:16 117:5	59:24 63:1 72:23	93:19 94:5	informed 92:12
139:3 155:18	154:22 155:1	92:23 126:1,4	100:25 102:9,10	132:12,16 133:9
190:21	hopefully 34:23	161:24 180:11	108:1,8 109:21	133:15
heated 50:6,7	horrible 37:21	identified 58:1	109:24 111:2	ingrained 174:22
height 92:1	172:25 173:1	137:7	117:1 120:22	ingredients 188:7
held 105:2 111:7	hospital 36:22	idiot 35:6,23	121:7,14 123:22	initial 37:9 119:23
help 6:1,6 12:10	151:24 152:6	184:20	124:2 126:4	139:17 150:20
12:16 22:12	hour 24:14 120:12	idiots 36:8	127:9 129:10	177:10
89:25 98:14,23	hours 66:2 81:24	ignore 111:14,18	130:8 131:18	initially 76:13
100:19 108:16,24	84:15 85:13,20	112:11 113:1,8	130:8 131:18	137:7
117:15 156:12	94:12 101:1	115:18 116:21,22	138:16 140:2	injured 131:11
159:1,8,13,14	144:17 147:10	140:13	156:15 159:2	151:23 152:1
160:1 166:1	house 1:19 2:20	ignored 113:6	163:11 164:3,5	injuries 94:10
173:5	3:5,10 7:1,21,23	114:4 117:3,16	166:11,13 167:11	152:6
helped 87:14	9:22 12:5,20	118:7 140:8	168:10 170:2,7	INN000018 71:12
109:6 136:19	13:7 14:5,17	ignoring 113:12	170:11,16,18	INN000013 71:12
138:25	15:19 16:12	115:21,23,24	176:7,9,17	innit 27:6
helpful 22:10	30:11 31:11 34:3	116:2 118:13	177:21 178:19	INQ000088 179:1
149:1,14	36:8,13,16 41:9	illegally 40:4	incidents 91:8	INQ000111 119:5
helping 120:21	41:11,23 42:5	illustrates 121:1	92:16,18 93:1,3	169:4
159:4	50:25 57:11 61:9	imagine 17:1 56:7	94:5 141:3 152:9	inquiry 1:11,15
hide 55:23	63:7 71:22 74:19	118:22	155:2 162:13,14	43:7 49:11 59:1
high 70:25 88:19	75:5,10 76:1,1,7	immediate 115:22	162:16 163:6	62:21 67:14
88:23 89:24	77:6 81:6 82:22	immediately 97:13	164:8,10 169:3	80:22 92:7 93:11
109:10	84:8 88:14 91:3	111:11,15 116:18	174:1 179:6	105:17 142:11,14
higher 132:18	91:5 92:13 130:6	117:9,10 119:15	189:8	155:17,23 167:5
153:20	142:18 143:5,7	123:2 125:23	include 13:4	169:2
highlight 169:16	143:19 146:4	immigration 11:23	included 25:20	inquiry's 119:2
hindsight 149:15	148:1 174:23	12:9,14,15,21,23	44:17 71:13	insensitive 141:2
history 103:2	178:25 179:6	33:20,23 133:17	including 163:11	inside 96:12
hit 123:16	180:3,22 181:5	impact 23:19	176:8	101:15 129:22
hitting 123:18	183:2,7	71:17 75:6,12	incredible 40:18	131:9
HMP 92:13	HR 157:7,9,19	important 25:13	incredibly 148:11	insights 153:18
hold 41:3 104:22	158:4,7	28:10 37:14	indefinite 147:15	insinuate 171:20
105:10 106:6	Huh 136:11,22	79:21 83:15	independent 111:1	installing 142:25
107:25 123:4,6	human 118:16	170:6	indicate 179:5	instance 163:2
123:12 127:14	186:9	inaccurate 44:3	indicating 163:21	instances 164:12
151:3 169:11	humans 186:3	inappropriate	individual's	177:4
holding 107:17	humiliated 61:3	35:8 55:15	133:10	instantly 150:19
123:1 177:12	humiliating 28:25	inaudible 26:23	individuals 21:13	institutionalised
holds 151:2	154:22	125:3 126:12	21:19 90:4	145:3
home 12:16 20:12	hurt 20:14 21:14	127:12,13 136:8	148:15	Instone-Brewer
		136:9 177:10,16	1.0.10	
	l	I	<u> </u>	l

				Page 203
166.20 167 14 20	74.12.79.2	170.05 174.0	L:4. 41:4	101.25 102 10
166:20 167:14,20	74:13 78:3	172:25 174:8	kids 41:4	101:25 103:18
168:21 190:16	134:15,25	177:14 184:5	killing 173:6	105:17 106:12
instructed 111:15	involve 175:9	188:12,19	kind 3:2,15 9:15	108:7,10,15
116:18	involved 3:2 4:19	jog 109:24	44:10 47:13	111:2 121:19
instruction 114:3	25:16 32:25	jogs 107:20,23	74:24 76:15	124:3,25,25
117:16	67:21,23 73:22	John 80:10,15	83:25 87:22	125:5,15 127:11
instructions	81:22 88:25	142:5,9 191:8,12	108:17 145:22	127:19,24 128:14
111:10,14,18	90:18 91:19 93:5	Jon 120:2 169:1	149:2 153:22	133:13 134:6,25
112:11,12,22	93:18 102:9	judge 65:5	156:20 164:22	135:14,14,17,25
113:2,5,8,13	108:8 109:21	Jules 152:23,25	174:24 183:6	141:19 143:25
114:22 115:19,22	111:2 121:2,7,23	154:3,5,15,24	184:16 185:7	144:1,14,15
115:24 116:2,6	134:14,20 155:5	156:25 158:4	186:5,7 187:18	145:6,11,19
116:21,22,23,25	163:5 166:12	jump 32:25 93:21	Kingdom 42:2	146:16,19 147:7
117:2 118:8,13	involvement 94:1	jumped 93:12	44:13	147:13,15,18,19
140:13	99:7	jumper 61:22	kiss 54:11	149:5,8,10,16
insulting 97:15	involving 70:22	jumping 21:11	kit 90:10	150:5,12,16,16
intending 74:22	164:5 166:13	100:24	kitchen 188:5	150:18,19 151:3
intentions 83:12	issue 13:19 18:1	June 26:8 53:10,12	kitted 179:8	151:3,8,15,20
interaction 17:12	19:24,25 36:17	57:23 59:4 60:21	knew 42:9 58:12	152:3,4,4 153:11
33:11	37:10 50:4 55:18	61:12 109:22,25	68:10 76:17,21	153:15,20,24,25
interested 76:16	66:5,17,21 79:12	120:22,24 134:13	86:12 144:20	154:8,11,11,12
interesting 2:4	134:7 153:7,12	134:17 166:14	know 2:7 3:3,6,8,9	154:16,18,18,19
interfere 133:16	153:24 158:23	181:13	3:21,22 5:5,15,15	155:7,14 156:11
interpreted 175:20	160:6 162:22	justified 27:19	6:22 8:14,16,17	156:13,23,23
interview 32:2	174:2	167:7 172:9	8:19 9:5,17	157:10,17 158:9
135:2 178:24	issued 58:3	justify 30:3	10:10,20 12:7,10	158:14 159:2,2,3
179:16	issues 1:18 9:17		13:10 14:1,6,10	159:6,10,13,15
interviewed 4:21	12:14 16:14	<u>K</u>	14:20 18:8 19:21	160:6,7,8,18,25
5:8 58:9 61:7	17:20 26:2 37:12	keep 18:10 25:3	20:5,9 23:10,12	161:1,21 162:6,8
63:4 74:10	47:21 52:21 81:2	26:2 63:19	24:12,13 26:20	162:22,25 163:1
intimidated 61:3	108:18,25 153:22	122:12 158:11	26:22 27:23 28:8	163:19,22 164:13
intimidating 7:23	159:25 161:8	keeping 11:4	31:13 32:15,18	164:14 165:4,5,6
15:24	164:17	46:15	34:2 35:24 36:14	165:7,7,14,17,17
intimidation 9:20	ITC 82:24 108:19	KENCOV1029	37:13 39:9,12	166:6,6,9,9,10
11:1 32:16	items 163:10	181:25	40:7,22 41:4,24	168:13 170:25
introductory 23:1		KENCOV1031	41:24 42:7,17,17	172:9,21,25
invasion 168:23	<u>J</u>	122:2	43:10 44:10,12	173:5,14,15,15
invented 60:7	January 1:20,20	KENCOV1036	45:9 46:4,14,14	173:15,18,24,24
investigate 46:20	61:8	138:3	46:16 47:24 48:3	174:5,5,6,8,10,18
66:22	job 2:18 4:9 8:18	kept 6:9,20 46:9	48:22 50:12	174:19 175:6,22
investigated 49:3	32:9 68:6 71:23	50:2,2 88:20	52:10 54:21,21	175:24 176:4,11
51:25 52:16 58:7	83:14,19,24 91:3	177:11	56:6 57:20 60:6	178:13 179:9,10
68:14	91:20 99:1 120:3	kettle 111:12,24	60:15 63:18 66:6	179:11,21 180:13
investigating	120:4 128:19	113:21 115:8,14	67:22 68:8 70:23	180:13,17,23
66:19,25 67:18	132:6,6 145:19	116:14 117:11	71:20 73:20,20	181:3,6,8,8,9,21
68:8 77:25	147:4 150:3	key 81:1	75:13,15 76:19	182:24,25 184:19
investigation	154:13 155:6	keys 146:15 160:8	83:25 86:6,11	184:20,21 185:9
58:12,15 68:2	158:9 164:23	kick 91:15	87:25 88:1 90:3	185:11,20 186:12
73:5,23,25 74:5	jobs 76:22	kicking 127:12	90:4,6,15 93:20	188:5,18,20,21
	Joe 172:13,15,18	kiddy 127:12		
	I	I	l ————————————————————————————————————	

				Page 204
189:19,20 190:5	143:22 146:24	113:17 152:18	146:14 169:2,3	190:16
knowing 79:9	148:23 157:22	158:13 172:17	looked 8:8 46:5	lunch 120:8,9,12
105:7	160:3,4 183:25	184:5,14	55:25 56:1 57:24	120:21
knowledge 87:11	187:8	lines 26:24 27:3,5	58:14,20 59:7,19	120.21
92:11 93:19	leaving 157:21,22	27:7 37:22 104:5	59:20 60:5	M
96:16 105:15	led 113:18	104:14 128:3	104:17 127:10	M 103:7
108:1 113:10	left 1:25 24:8 61:2	180:15,20 188:3	145:20 146:13	ma'am 78:4 79:1
114:24 117:4	61:8 80:18 82:7	link 86:1	looking 27:18 36:2	machine 50:3
126:4 137:21	82:16 83:6 95:23	list 163:19,20,25	50:1,3 52:24	macho 30:8,10,15
138:16	95:23 98:8,13	163:25	54:10 57:16 77:8	155:20 156:7,18
known 92:14	100:8 101:17,21	listen 27:8 113:21	102:23 112:20	156:21
96:14 109:7	103:10 111:21	listening 137:19	121:15 129:15	main 149:8
knows 106:25	122:16 123:1,3	literally 68:1	137:13 154:14	maintain 10:22
Kilows 100.25	143:7,19 146:22	115:6 126:21	160:21 166:22	28:16
L	168:3	136:16 138:23	175:22 190:5	maintained 156:17
lack 34:9 153:14	left-hand 122:11	156:4 159:7	looks 9:15 12:2	maisonette 40:20
160:22	legal 33:25 146:8	little 71:24 95:22	54:22 98:11 99:2	majority 190:3
Lake 53:15,17	149:6	145:12 149:18	128:10 171:18,19	makeshift 160:10
54:4,8,11,17 55:3	legally 40:4 151:11	189:7 190:5	171:25 179:22	making 26:7 37:3
55:12 135:17	legs 61:25	live 40:23 145:9	184:19	60:2 67:6 88:25
landed 136:18	lengthy 71:10	living 143:8	loose 63:6	105:8 173:16
138:25	Let's 177:8	Livingston 1:3,6,7	lorry 40:5	male 135:9 136:10
language 31:7	letter 52:15 137:8	1:14 68:24 69:3	losing 32:14,20	136:13,20 137:6
48:17 72:12	letting 11:2 23:24	69:7 77:13 78:1	53:24 79:3	137:11
78:24 121:16	level 70:25 96:2	79:25 191:4	lost 32:7 39:4 53:6	man 27:6 30:10,14
156:20 174:22,24	105:3 118:19,24	location 111:8	54:13 64:23	53:25 102:2
175:4,5 177:7	levels 23:8 88:11	lock 43:19 85:7	76:21 78:23	122:10 136:20
182:23 183:1,6	88:13 89:9 158:3	89:15 161:13	lot 10:25 13:6,7,9	137:5 138:6,8
183:10 184:16,22	158:15	lock-up 24:9 81:22	13:17 15:23 18:4	154:22,25 155:18
184:24,25 185:1	library 68:21	89:14	25:25 30:22	155:20,22 156:3
185:5,7,22,25	135:18	locks 98:9 99:8	31:11,16 34:12	156:20
186:5,8,12,13,25	lieu 85:14,15	101:17 105:2	34:13 47:5 50:13	managed 26:2,3
187:18,22,25	life 3:5 44:1 64:9	116:6	56:2 81:21 87:11	management 4:10
189:2,3,5,21	64:11 84:8	London 122:7	106:19 146:22	6:11 16:14,16,17
190:4	135:24 144:8	123:3,17	148:22 149:24	16:25 17:12 18:6
Lastly 99:11	149:4	long 5:14,15 7:19	151:22 152:1	18:18,23 19:17
late 61:8 85:12	lifting 134:19	19:21 38:15 68:6	153:16 156:3	83:12,13 86:18
laughed 59:14	ligature 163:8	80:1 85:5,24	161:18 164:13	139:11 152:11,18
laughing 173:5	164:4	114:9 115:13	180:24 181:2	152:19 153:7,8
lavatory 56:8	ligatures 166:5	117:4 129:25	190:6	153:13,23 161:25
Law 169:20	light 65:22 66:2	147:18,19,22	lots 42:9 59:14	manager 4:8,14
lead 31:21 153:15	liked 141:11	148:5 177:6	93:2,3 121:2	17:2 18:14,18
leadership 153:14	likes 97:8	189:11	loud 101:5 157:20	19:3,15 25:15
leading 112:14	limited 94:16	longer 81:18	love 172:23 173:18	46:17 64:15 68:3
learn 22:5	line 1:16 4:10,14	look 9:5 10:7,15	174:9	68:10 73:7 79:4
learned 121:17	19:3,15 27:1	35:5 41:4 46:11	low 14:9 85:25	79:11 88:9 90:8
learning 3:22	28:14,16,18	65:14 80:19 95:4	86:4 89:8	112:3,14,20,21
leave 12:3 43:16	35:20 37:25 41:1	100:21 110:14	luck 151:19	129:3 145:22,23
72:1 73:7 74:22	88:9 99:11	111:4 122:1,19	Luke 167:21	153:20 155:9
75:2 85:2,8,10				manager's 120:3

				1 age 203
managerial 7:1	144:5,13,15,18	103:13,14 108:13	131:14	mouth 79:14 98:19
managers 25:12	144:25 147:18	110:13 122:23	Mmm-hmm 45:7	98:20
88:7 155:19	152:1,2 154:17	164:1	moaning 27:6	move 11:17,24
161:19 162:3	159:17 166:3,6	mentioned 112:8	33:12 161:11	43:5 68:4 83:6
managers' 157:19	170:5,15,21	114:19 144:24	mobile 97:23	91:9 145:8,12
managing 145:23	171:18 173:20	154:3 161:9	mocked 59:15	152:10 158:15
manner 60:23	174:18 175:22	mentions 107:6	Mohammed 96:2	184:13
61:15,17 97:3,14	176:7 177:10	mercy 29:1	104:9 106:24	moved 6:17 13:12
101:10 118:12	179:18 180:6,25	met 25:10	moment 91:14,18	66:8 109:11,14
MAR000002	181:7	Michael 96:3,7	91:24 102:23	109:15 111:14
142:16	Meaning 133:3	98:8 106:20	144:24 152:10	112:17
March 1:1 190:22	means 1:14 50:16	107:7	158:3 168:12	moving 7:7 19:24
marching 167:21	80:24 120:10	Michelle 17:7	171:5 182:3	66:17 83:12
Marshall 172:13	126:21,25 133:1	152:24,25 162:4	momentarily	105:3
172:15,18,25	183:21	162:5	169:18 183:14	
174:8 177:14	meant 23:20 33:19	microphone 145:8	Monday 1:1	N
184:5,11 188:12	127:24 138:9	mid-morning	money 41:16,18	N 190:25
188:19	153:22 173:18	79:25	42:3 43:23 90:22	naive 145:21 148:9
marshalling 131:8	183:24	middle 2:16	monitor 24:1,3,5	154:7
masculine 30:8	mediate 131:25	161:24 169:6	24:11,14,23	name 1:8 80:14
mask 69:8 77:15	136:6,7	midnight 85:2,16	monitoring 129:19	92:1 95:14
massive 181:8	meet 90:11	85:22	monotonous 145:4	101:14 110:17
matching 147:25	meeting 132:14	mightn't 60:5	month 65:2 73:19	122:21 127:23
mate 26:22 35:5	161:15,16 162:2	mimics 177:12	87:1 151:9	142:8 162:19
38:1 40:7 124:25	meetings 161:12	mind 10:4 53:10	months 25:17,17	164:1
136:25 137:3	member 50:5	67:1 141:5	65:4 76:11	names 36:3 106:25
173:4 184:15	67:16 84:2	minute 182:13	161:12 185:17	163:20,24,25
188:9,22	members 17:12	minutes 16:18	mood 173:6	nasty 43:11
mate' 38:5	90:17 152:5	69:3 80:2 95:11	MOORE 80:8,11	nationality 52:21
mates 125:13	153:7	96:24 114:9	80:12 114:14,16	naturally 154:10
matter 35:12 40:3	memory 102:4	138:4 141:24	114:19 120:6,19	154:16
42:23 43:2 79:11	107:20,23 109:25	152:16 157:12,14	122:6,15 138:6	nature 95:10
131:25	115:13 121:12	158:10 182:7	141:23 191:10	nearest 169:13
matters 93:2	130:1 131:17	misconstrue 44:18	morale 13:22,23	nearly 121:23
maximum 6:17	137:24 174:18	misconstrued 44:7	13:24 14:5,6,9	necessarily 29:10
147:10	men 50:14 115:1	misery 38:3,12,23	85:25 86:3 87:14	65:11 78:17
me' 173:6	mental 19:24	39:15 51:19	89:9 160:22	79:15
meal 160:15	22:16,19 23:5	misremembered	161:6	necessary 11:21
mean 8:12,20,20	108:7,18,22,25	124:5	morning 1:3,7	35:3 71:2 110:23
8:21,22 13:14	109:9 144:6,13	missed 127:7	43:19 66:2 79:22	119:24 163:4
14:25 24:21 44:8	164:16 165:9	misses 136:2	80:12,13 85:17	166:14 170:10
54:21 56:10	188:20	missing 66:12	153:5 156:1	necessitate 98:15
67:25 68:17	mentally 143:23	mistake 97:13	162:18	necessity 110:23
69:21 74:1,12	144:14,16 148:16	121:24 135:24	Moroccans 184:8	neck 71:4,8 125:12
82:15 85:4 86:9	156:13 160:6	mistaken 118:8	mortgage 143:9,17	126:2,9 129:6
89:13 90:20	163:6 165:12	mistreating 76:18	motherfucker	163:8 166:24
101:22 118:22	173:8	mistreatment	167:16	168:5 169:7,9,16
120:2 125:15	mention 10:8	14:19,21 15:15	motion 123:2	170:14,20,24
126:16,20 138:17	57:13 89:18 91:6	Mmm 14:22	169:17	171:8,24 172:6
				need 25:14 26:4
	ı	I	I .	I .

				Page 206
25.16.66.12.60.0	. 76.11	01 12 24 02 20	126 16 17 17 10	24 6 21 25 2
35:16 66:12 68:9	nine 76:11	91:13,24 93:20	126:16,17,17,18	24:6,21 25:3
71:11 81:4 96:11	no-one 57:14	93:24 95:7,15,20	126:20,23,24	67:1 81:2 96:18
102:19 119:5	66:24 87:12	96:24 98:18	127:1,3 133:13	114:17,18 119:12
121:13 124:12	160:5 179:9,10	101:25 103:24	135:9 136:10,13	122:1 138:3
129:18 132:23	Nobby 136:5	115:13 127:22	136:20 137:6,12	182:20 183:17,19
135:1 157:25	noise 157:20	129:25 130:15,22	141:11 145:17	opened 57:1
158:10,11 174:3	non-compliant	133:2 141:7	153:17 155:13	114:25 119:14
183:14	113:11,14	159:3 162:10	156:11 162:21 175:19	149:17 186:22
needed 5:16 8:10	normal 31:8 72:9	166:6 173:4		opening 115:7
12:9,15 37:3	112:7 159:22	187:16,24 190:18	officers 29:1,9,17	144:3 148:11
52:4,10 56:2	175:5,8 176:10 176:15	occasion 25:18	30:9 43:18 67:9	OPERATOR 182:5
57:23 63:24		28:17,19 54:9	67:11 78:13 82:3	
96:11 100:2,4 129:7 139:24	normalised 175:6 176:2 177:7	64:25 65:1 73:24 78:23 94:21	82:14 84:4 88:16	opinion 151:12 172:10 179:22
146:10 156:12	185:10 188:1	129:7 189:18	89:12 101:16,20 101:22,22 103:7	
158:9 159:1,3	189:19		101.22,22 103.7	opinions 54:22 opportunity 32:25
172:11 183:17		Occasionally 86:23,24	105:9,21,24	
needs 33:24 62:14	normally 6:14,14 6:16 25:21 29:11	occasions 23:21	115:15 127:1	69:16,22 70:2 115:11 118:7
170:4	29:12 88:6 91:21	25:23 47:14	130:15 127:1	119:11
	94:12 105:21	134:4 185:16	151:16 155:22	
negotiate 140:8,10	116:3		162:7 180:17	opposed 5:19 71:22 169:18
negotiation 107:2 nervous 146:20	note 50:5 112:22	occupants 7:4 occurred 166:14	188:18	171:22 109:18
150:2	noted 48:12,16	occurrence 134:1	officers' 105:20	
netting 93:12,13	notes 51:4 52:17	134:3 159:22	offices 157:19	option 169:8 order 57:6 110:1
93:18,19,21 96:6	notice 77:1 82:10	165:21	officially 84:6	119:18
100:24 102:15	noticed 111:11	October 47:25	oh 35:9 39:22	orderly 52:3
never 11:20 18:20	notorious 108:5	142:20 143:19	75:14 78:18	organise 145:20
38:19 44:6 49:9	notoriously 152:12	161:23	159:24 161:17	organising 84:3
49:16 51:2 59:19	November 57:8	odd 28:17	187:17 188:11	outcome 48:12
60:6 62:2,5,7,9	82:11	offensive 141:2	okay 3:14,20 4:19	52:15
64:10 70:18 71:4	number 22:22	189:3	10:8 16:4,24	outside 23:20,24
72:24,25,25	45:21 63:1 66:22	offered 83:7,24	34:20 49:1 53:22	24:23,25 25:11
74:16 120:2	87:2 88:19 92:6	131:19	69:9,12 74:16	96:4,10,11,17
141:9,13 148:9	95:18 100:17	office 12:16 20:12	76:3 77:18 78:12	103:14 104:10
150:17 151:6	116:3 126:23,23	20:14 33:25	164:7	105:8 116:10
176:21 178:23	142:16	34:14 38:5 43:22	oncall 17:1	129:23 146:13
186:10,10,10	numbers 146:11	43:25 46:1 50:4	once 17:10 65:2,3	overall 13:20
new 7:12 12:4	nuts 54:6 55:5,13	68:23 94:22,23	65:3,3,4 98:9	42:20 86:4
27:15 30:21	nutter 54:12,13	143:2 147:9	99:13,20 103:7,9	overheard 138:15
135:8 146:23		152:14 159:15	103:21 111:9	overly 3:5
150:3 188:18	0	office/admin 143:1	114:25 116:6	overspeaking 82:3
news 137:15,17	o'clock 120:6,9,14	officer 18:6,12,19	119:7 151:4	overstepped 28:17
138:12,13 139:15	observations	24:2,5 37:10	one-off 189:17	overwhelming
nice 3:21 157:12	165:16	52:6 57:23 58:1	ones 109:18	147:3
157:14,15,16,20	observed 50:6	59:5,10 81:16,17	125:14	owned 50:25
185:4 186:1	observing 4:8	98:25 107:12,16	onus 146:8 151:7	
Nick 122:7 123:3	obviously 11:21	107:18 116:4	onwards 109:22	P
night 28:1 43:20	26:12 33:7 62:24	120:5 123:8	109:23	pack 26:23
nights 85:1	64:16 68:12,13	125:4,6 126:12	open 21:24 22:5,7	packed 61:14
8	81:21 90:24		- r,,	page 26:9 30:17
	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 207
22.67.24.22.22	17.16.20.1.21.12	22.0	149.22 151.22	150-20-162-15
33:6,7 34:22,23	17:16 20:1 21:12	passage 33:8	148:22 151:23	159:20 162:15
34:24,25 37:16	23:8 33:18 35:7 38:20 47:22 49:5	passed 151:11	152:1 153:21	163:20 164:16
40:20,25 50:22	49:21 51:23	pat-down 61:19	154:12 159:1,3 159:24 160:19	180:9 186:13,19
51:4,6 52:14		patience 32:14 39:4		187:13,19 189:25
53:9 54:14 59:2	59:13 63:5 64:4		161:4,9,17,19	190:7,8
59:4,12 82:12	64:10 69:14 75:4	pattern 64:19 145:14	162:21 164:19,20	perspective 36:23
84:11 88:11	75:9 81:4 82:11		165:13 166:7	165:9
89:19 91:6 92:10	83:20 84:10 86:2	patterns 84:13	179:4,17 183:22	persuade 119:9
95:12,24 99:11	89:18 95:25	pause 122:4,6 161:20 168:12	188:21	persuaded 93:13
100:19 102:11	99:12 100:22,23		perceived 31:23	petition 48:11,13
104:4 106:16	102:13,14 109:23	171:14,17 182:2	70:12	phone 97:11,16,20
107:8,15 108:4	111:5 116:16	183:13	performance 19:18	97:23,24,25 98:3
109:22 110:16,17	119:6 122:25	pay 83:15		98:14 99:6 100:6
110:18 111:4,4 113:15 116:16	129:17 146:1	paying 40:7 139:4	period 46:13 47:19	101:12 103:14,14
	167:4 169:5	140:20 141:14	50:19 70:25	103:16 105:6
121:4 122:21,23	189:24	PDR 19:22	78:20 84:14 86:1	106:10 107:4
124:12 127:4	paragraphs 23:18	pencil 123:1,4	86:3 88:14,20	151:18 159:15
135:6 140:23	60:18 71:1 73:21	pending 73:4	94:20 108:11	Phones 97:25
168:18 169:5,6	88:12 93:10	133:11	115:21 117:2	photo 146:18
177:9,9 179:1,2	121:6 149:1	penis 184:12 186:6	129:15 139:21	phrase 114:5
180:20 181:12	150:8 152:12	penises 185:14	146:6	155:18,18,22,24
184:4,4,14 188:4	154:4 166:18	people 2:4 4:14,19	periodically 19:20	156:3,20 176:21
pages 39:20 93:10	180:2	5:24 6:9,24 7:13	periods 81:7 87:20	physical 141:3
129:16 166:17	parcels 180:12	7:19 11:2,22	perks 90:22	physically 25:24
167:10,12 172:14	Pardon 118:20	12:19 13:2,11,17	permanent 83:6	113:11 126:25
172:17 188:3	part 3:23 34:10	17:5 19:25 22:12	83:10	134:18
paid 26:5 29:18	39:22 52:15	22:12,24 25:10	person 26:12	picked 92:18,23 136:16 138:23
40:6 84:1,6 85:12 186:17	53:13 58:9 86:1	25:14 28:4,11	27:14 28:25 31:3 35:4 37:18 38:6	
	100:8 106:1 132:6 144:2	29:4,8,19 30:7 31:20 34:5 35:25	62:2,4 67:15	picking 90:3
pain 177:17 178:2 178:4,8,15,16,22	162:20 163:16	36:4,7,12 39:1,24	114:23 126:21	picture 3:5 piece 117:21
	190:1	40:23 41:11	127:15 147:23	163:23 188:20
painted 3:4 pane 109:18	part-time 143:10	42:23 43:3,15,19	148:10 156:2	
panel 77:20 112:4	Partially 143:22	43:19 46:24	163:17 167:15,18	pieces 57:4 pin 119:15
112:8,10 191:6	participants 69:8	50:24 51:12 52:8	169:10 170:20	pin 119.13 pinning 118:2
Panorama 14:16	participants 09.8 participating 91:8	55:9 56:22 58:18	171:8 172:5	pinning 118.2 pinpoint 86:8
14:21 15:6,20,22	particular 23:3,12	62:23,24 63:9	175:15 177:24	pinpointed 87:12
16:2 70:20,21	29:15 47:16 73:3	66:14 68:15	178:8,22 184:12	pissed 172:20
73:18 75:4,9,16	78:11 91:7,11	75:22 76:18	187:10	place 9:22 14:5
75:21,24 76:8	121:13 130:8	79:10,12 80:1	person's 37:20	57:3 89:3 119:24
82:7,16 83:3	142:18 152:20	82:16 83:5,6,16	67:17,24 71:7	121:8 129:13
143:20	162:1 164:19	87:7,15,15,19	personal 74:3	147:6 151:22
paper 163:23	particularly 30:12	88:2,18,20 89:6	167:23 168:24	placed 21:15,22
paper 103.23	37:7 46:13 51:3	89:10,11 90:12	personally 22:21	102:23 103:9
102:2	88:19 90:17	92:6,17 93:5	79:18 106:4	102.23 103.9
paragraph 2:2,17	123:22 160:15	108:17,22,25	123:24 151:20	114:4,5 118:17
5:25 6:9,19 7:22	parting 18:7	108.17,22,23	156:12	118:21,24 134:8
8:9 12:1 13:1	partly 53:13	140:24 142:25	persons 152:2	134:9 140:9
14:14 16:15	Paschali 92:7	146:22 147:7,16	153:19 155:3	163:8 168:22
11.1110.13	i aschall /2./	1 10.22 17/./,10	155.17 155.5	103.0 100.22
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 208
placing 111:20,20	30:12 47:15,16	173:22 175:22	prevention 180:3	proportionate
113:3,25 114:6	63:19 71:20,25	180:7	previous 40:25	99:24 110:24
117:23,25 114.0	82:19 85:20	Povey 19:8,13	64:16 92:2,2	167:8 168:25
118:21,25	89:20 96:14 98:6	110:11 111:10	previously 62:10	170:3
planned 69:20	99:2,8 100:11	115:23 116:11	92:13 108:11	prosecution 133:2
70:5 109:25	104:13,16,23	117:18	prick 27:10	protect 8:18 62:17
planning 96:14	104:13,10,23	Povey-Meier 19:9	pride 161:2	123:8,16 168:22
play 114:11 122:3	123:6 138:15	19:11 111:25	primarily 129:13	169:20 172:10
168:7,8 181:24	160:24 165:3	114:21 115:4	168:20	protected 131:8
playback 168:9	169:13	116:9 119:8,13	prior 163:9	140:3
171:12 173:24	pointing 36:4	Povey-Meiers	prison 12:2 13:2,3	protest 130:2
playbacks 172:24	168:13	110:4	13:8,12,14	protesting 129:20
173:19 174:9	points 161:3	power 29:4,7	146:13 147:13	protests 130:4,6
played 114:15	Poland 40:13	175:15,17 187:1	153:17 157:17	protocol 36:17
122:5,14 138:5	police 132:12,14	187:4,6	prisoners 11:22	proud 175:4,24
168:11,16 171:13	132:16,19,25	powerful 154:16	private 186:15	176:1,6 177:3
171:16 182:1,19	132:10,17,23	PPE 150:18 179:8	probably 6:25	185:21
183:18	134:4	practical 8:20	10:15 13:9 14:8	provide 8:16
playing 184:1	policy 93:21 99:20	practice 111:3	14:9 15:5 21:23	110:10 170:7
please 1:8 26:9	99:21 102:8	practice 111.5 prefer 82:4	28:14 35:13,15	provided 43:8
30:17 33:6 34:22	180:3,5	preferred 169:8	35:15 36:14,14	52:11 62:19 93:1
37:15,16 39:21	poof 181:15	prepare 2:19,23	38:13,16 45:12	121:25 142:10
40:21 50:21	poofter 181:15	4:4 91:23 146:3	72:10 73:12	150:12 163:3,13
52:14 53:9,9	position 36:25	prepared 2:25	76:11 92:24	169:22 172:4
59:2,12 80:23	37:2 99:10	3:15	161:24	provides 170:10
95:12 100:19	168:22,23 179:24	presence 138:21	problem 14:11	PSU 61:7 63:4
102:12 106:16	positioned 112:2	present 22:3 94:10	48:13 79:23 86:7	168:17
114:12,14,17	positive 3:5	101:2	181:8 187:21	PTSD 22:23,24
116:16 122:3,13	positive 3.3 possible 12:12	press 133:15	problems 23:5	164:20,20
122:20 124:13	30:16 36:21	pressure 28:7 67:4	108:22 147:3	public 56:14 86:16
127:4 135:5,7	48:20 55:6 69:1	67:11	procedures 89:18	183:24
138:4 142:8	93:22 103:4	pressured 91:13	89:21,23	puddle 111:13
164:1 167:9	182:17	pressurised 13:21	process 4:22 22:7	pulled 125:12,12
168:9,15 169:4	possibly 9:5 19:7	15:25 16:1	29:15 36:18	126:2 129:6
171:11 172:13	19:12,13 31:24	presumably 7:13	68:17 109:13	pulling 53:17,18
182:3,17 184:5	35:1 36:5 60:8		146:2 162:9	126:9
plot 53:25 54:13	83:19 85:23	56:11 65:21,25 70:20 76:17	183:23	punch 39:13
plot 53.25 54.15 ploy 52:12	92:22 96:13	139:13	produced 103:4	punched 131:2
pm 80:2 84:17,23	106:11 109:16	presuming 69:24	produced 103.4 professional 58:8	purpose 12:19
120:16,18 141:25	121:1 122:18	pretty 10:14 31:7	167:2 177:2	pursued 133:16
142:2,4 182:9,11	125:7	39:15 52:20	185:22 189:10	push 39:13 59:22
· / /				1 -
190:20 pocket 97:11	post 180:12 postdates 70:24	56:20 57:17 154:21	programme 15:22 16:11 75:4,10	59:22 168:1 169:13,18 171:18
101:11 102:23	_		76:8	172:5
101:11 102:23	potential 133:17 169:14	prevalent 174:23 174:24		
			progressively 2:7	pushed 58:15,15 115:7 131:4
pocket' 104:20 pockets 102:25	potentially 89:5	prevent 103:3 162:15	project 142:23	166:22 168:4,5
1 -	102:21 149:15,19		promoted 77:24	171:21
103:17,18	151:9 153:15 162:24 164:24	prevented 18:13 18:17	prompt 34:2	
point 8:16 24:10	102.24 104.24	10.1/	properly 170:11	put 21:6 29:11
	l		l	I

				Page 209
32:4 33:9 36:25	55:24 56:7 59:25	177:8	receive 4:6 78:2	137:5
37:2,22,24 38:3,4	59:25 62:24	reading 96:20	164:15	referring 21:16
38:12,23 39:14	63:17 64:16	169:6 175:23	received 2:17 3:4	188:23 189:1
45:10 46:16	65:24 68:17	reads 140:23	4:3 9:20 137:8	refers 35:3 113:16
47:12,16 51:18	82:18 85:21	real 149:4	receiving 10:12,17	reflect 16:12 63:12
65:17,18 69:11	91:13,21 92:4,17	realise 21:11	22:16 72:4	63:24
71:3,7 73:1 74:5	97:25 101:19	realities 36:15	reception 61:14	reflection 45:19
74:7,9 77:1	109:16 122:6	reality 88:18 146:3	62:15 180:13	refreshers 23:2
79:14 99:9 102:5	149:24 154:22	147:11,21,25	recognise 156:6	150:9
103:16 104:16	155:8 164:10	148:4 149:21	recollect 9:7 50:16	refused 102:21,25
120:7 124:11	173:1 182:17	180:2	50:16 54:23	103:17 116:14
127:14,17 138:3	quitter 150:5	really 4:16 13:5	64:12 78:7,18	refuses 110:7
139:11 150:18	quote 63:5 150:10	21:8 34:17 40:22	recollection 93:24	refusing 85:7
162:19 167:16	quote 03.3 130.10 quotes 12:25 32:4	40:24 43:12	100:16 120:22	regard 63:25
172:1,13 173:4	quotes 12.23 32.4	46:11 49:2 76:16	121:21 122:8	regarding 134:7
179:10	R	81:23 124:16	124:4 164:13	148:18
puts 173:6	race 52:22	125:9,9 144:10	167:6 173:21	Regardless 38:18
putting 33:24	racism 62:25 63:2	144:18 146:3,16	174:11,16,17	regards 31:15
135:11 179:21	racist 27:11 42:21	148:17,24 155:5	176:3,5 181:10	44:13 68:7
	43:13 49:7,23	159:8,23 165:14	181:17,19 183:8	regret 27:21,22
Q	61:4 62:23 63:6	173:1 177:11	185:15,18	28:1 31:5,19
qualifications	63:9,20,22	reason 20:9 42:2	record 30:18 32:5	34:19 38:7,8,10
143:15	167:15	52:13 74:8 79:6	37:16,21 53:9	78:24
quality 154:1	raise 17:20 21:2	82:13 97:1	71:12 95:21	regretted 72:9
quantity 154:1	153:22 156:25	100:17 103:1	recorded 26:7	regular 61:19
question 74:14	161:7	110:8 181:6	106:7,13,14	134:1,3 149:25
78:22 89:19	raised 66:21	reasonable 71:2	140:7 163:16	150:11
109:12 145:7	161:25 162:1	100:3 110:22	recording 26:10	regularly 86:21,22
150:6,20 160:17	169:11	119:20 167:7	32:2 48:21 51:17	88:3,5
161:5 165:24	raising 18:13	169:21 170:3	recordings 45:20	relate 86:13
171:2,4 179:12	134:16	172:11	48:19	related 189:20
186:4	rapport 165:2,3,5	reasonably 66:15	recovery 36:25	relation 50:20
questions 5:21	165:11,15,18,22	reasons 22:11	37:2	54:20 60:23 61:4
23:7 26:6 49:23	165:25 190:3,6	47:17 85:4	recruited 5:18	61:12 64:3 91:6
68:24 69:13	rarely 89:22,25	102:18 119:17	recruitment 1:19	92:9 95:8 147:21
77:13,19,20,21	raving 54:13	reassurance	162:9	155:2
78:1 79:19 120:7	razorblades	154:14	rectify 12:24	relationship 19:14
141:16,18 183:17	164:14	reassure 12:6,7	red 95:6	46:24 47:10,13
190:9,10,11	re-ask 171:1	recall 4:19 14:19	redacted 37:19	relationships 47:5
191:6	reach 68:5 101:11	22:15 51:23	redundancy	relatively 92:21
quick 36:21 65:15	reached 97:10,15	102:3 110:9	143:11,14	relax 116:8
quickly 12:11	98:3 106:10	129:18 130:2	refer 21:23 80:17	released 99:9
91:10	reaching 98:4,14	131:6,22 135:3	166:16	105:2 131:25
quiet 91:21 92:4,5	100:6 104:17	141:1 153:3	reference 32:4	relentless 144:18
94:24,25 157:17	106:11	156:5 164:8	33:8 35:2 60:17	relevant 47:19
quite 9:5 15:25	reacted 57:25 59:9	181:3 188:25	80:23 132:24	50:19 70:25
23:11,13 25:13	reactive 169:17	recalled 103:24	133:3 142:16	84:14 85:25 86:3
28:15,24 29:21	read 26:14 43:9	recalls 103:12	references 163:14	88:14,20 94:19
30:22 31:10,16	92:9 112:25	106:19	referred 96:7	129:14 170:1
37:13 39:12	119:3 167:4			
	•	•	•	•

				Page 210
relocate 93:15	171:4	176:17 177:15	190:14	role 2:3 3:16 4:2,9
96:15	repeated 58:13	178:9,17,20	review 21:15,22	4:20 5:4,9,17,21
relocated 93:16	repeatedly 111:12	resisting' 173:10	22:3,6 152:15	5:25 6:6 41:8
99:18,20	111:24	177:16	170:11 173:25	66:18 77:25 82:4
remain 104:10	repetitive 145:2	resort 70:7,11	reviewed 21:25	82:9 83:7,21
remarks 141:2	rephrase 165:24	112:16 119:21	137:4	126:16 143:1
173:16	186:4	178:5,13 179:20	reviewing 170:2	145:22 186:24
remember 4:12,16	replace 57:6 66:13	respect 52:19 53:2	reviews 19:18,20	room 7:4,10,17
7:18 11:25 16:22	replenish 66:13	189:25	19:21,22	53:23 56:13,19
17:19 19:4,21	report 71:10 93:6	respond 10:5	rewatching 170:16	60:22 65:14,17
22:2,18,18,21	94:7,8,11 95:7	48:20 55:15	ridiculous 147:12	65:18 96:4,10,17
23:6 24:3 33:14	102:10 106:4,5,9	164:10	right 1:20,21,23	96:22 97:2,5,13
33:15,16 37:7,9	107:22 108:2	responded 9:23	1:24 2:1,20,21	99:4,5 100:25
50:8 55:9,11	110:13,14 113:12	53:17 54:10	4:4 5:13 6:1,2,13	101:2,5,16 102:2
68:1,9 73:22	116:13;17113:12	66:15 104:18	14:17 16:19	102:16 103:12,25
76:10 78:9 84:22	118:25 119:2,5	163:17	17:13,18 18:3	104:6,9,10,12,24
86:25 87:3,4,10	122:20 123:15	responding 36:18	19:12 20:3 21:22	105:3,9,9 106:6
88:8,10 96:18	125:22 129:8	55:2 163:7	22:6,13,17 23:9	106:19 107:17
97:24 105:13,15	139:6 140:19	response 34:2	23:21 25:19	110:1,3,9 111:7,8
107:11 111:25	172:9	36:19 51:7 55:3	27:16 29:12	111:10,12,12,15
121:14 125:24	reported 125:23	55:4,7 58:2	32:12 33:7,21	111:16 112:5,7
126:6,14 127:21	128:24 129:1,11	61:11 64:8,18,20	34:23 35:9 36:1	112:12,17,19
127:22 129:21,25	139:5,8,10	71:3 121:12	41:9 42:24 48:14	113:7,19,20,22
130:4,6,9 131:17	183:21	122:24,24 128:5	50:1,9 52:2,22	114:1,20 115:1,9
131:19,21 132:3	reports 105:21,22	128:12 162:5,17	53:5 63:7 64:11	116:10,19 117:9
132:10,16 137:14	107:9,13 118:5	169:12	66:19 69:17 70:3	117:11 118:14
137:22 146:10	118:17	responsibility 4:11	71:15 72:18,19	119:12,12 121:8
147:16 153:24	reprieve 144:22	157:24 161:1,4	73:25 74:20 77:2	121:13,13,19
155:1,6,15 157:5	reputational 76:3	responsible 23:23	81:7 83:23 84:12	124:20 134:19
157:8,11 158:22	request 29:11,13	23:24	84:15 86:21	136:17 137:10
161:5,20 163:1	29:20,21 33:24	restart 122:13	94:19 95:2 96:12	138:24 149:7
163:11,15 164:12	55:16 65:6 69:7	183:14	97:11 98:8,13	152:7 163:10
166:5 170:15,18	132:5	restrain 104:24	99:10,11 101:11	167:14
173:2,12,13,17	requested 64:7	150:17	101:17,21 102:5	room's 111:21
176:7 177:7,19	requests 8:22	restrained 98:16	103:5,10,19	roommate 110:2
177:21 178:2,4,6	64:20	restraining 43:13	104:16,22 121:20	114:22
178:12 183:8	require 7:4	restraint 109:25	123:6 125:12	roommates 57:10
184:21 185:20	required 85:8	124:17 163:10	126:2,9 129:6,14	rooms 7:3 56:17
remind 81:5 138:2	103:3 162:22	179:19	133:6 141:8	66:10,11 109:17
reminded 189:12	reside 36:16	restraints 88:17	148:19 158:16	112:8 158:21
189:16	resident 85:6	88:19	169:12,20 180:4	roster 84:22
removal 69:25	132:7	resultant 168:24	right-hand 114:1	rota 78:14
119:18 133:17	resident's 92:1	resulted 77:9	rightly 68:10	roughly 4:14 61:2
remove 77:14	residential 6:18	retarded 173:7,8	Ring 19:13	round 173:4
102:25 110:1	7:8 149:8 153:3	return 5:16 44:15	ripped 163:7	route 11:7
119:15	residents 48:11	80:2 120:12	ripped-up 164:4	routine 145:5,14
removed 56:3	93:14 95:1	141:25	rise 69:3 182:6	145:23
60:24 163:10	resign 187:9	returned 81:10	risk 109:14 123:17	row 84:18 128:9
repeat 21:18 75:8	resisting 173:10	returning 77:1	risks 109:10	128:13,16

rude 60:23 115:24 116:2,20 166:25,25 169:3 42:15 55:22 57:5 send 41:16 rude 102:20 109:11 116:21,23,24,25 177:18 181:18 70:15 74:1 80:18 sending 41:18 4:3 rule 102:20 109:11 117:19,22,22 184:6188:4,10 79:104:20 send 41:16 rule 101:20 109:15 131:7 134:14,16 134:17,22 135:2 135:17 134:14,16 42:15 55:22 57:5 send 41:16 rule 102:20 109:11 133:17 22 135:2 133:17 134:14,16 34:17 130:10 100:15 107:17 70:15 74:1 80:18 sending 41:18 4:3 sending 41:18 42:3 send 54:18 sending 41:18 42:3 sending 41:18 42:3 send 54:18 sending 41:18 sending 41:18 42:3 sending 41:18 42:3 send 54:18 sending 41:18 42:3 send 54:18 sending 41:18 42:3 send 41:16 sending 41:18 42:3 send 54:18					Page 211
rudely 61:1 116:21,23,24,25 172:18 181:18 70:15 74:1 80:18 sending 41:18 42:3 rule 10:20 10 117:19,22,22 184:6 188:4,10 95:13 96:17 97:5 sends 54:18 sends 54:18 rules 10:19 11:4 134:17,22 135:2 136:71,116,22 137:18 181:13 70:15 74:18 0:14 sends 54:18 sends 54:18 45:13 146:7,8,10 136:7,11,16,22 21:17 120:5 112:18 114:19,20 73:779:11 129:3 run 146:7 147:2 136:24 141:1 5cerain 11:3 106:15 107:17 73:779:11 129:3 run 146:7 147:2 136:24 141:1 72:21,22 173:4 112:18 114:19,20 73:779:11 129:3 run 146:7 147:2 136:24 141:1 72:21,22 173:4 122:11 13:1 139:11 152:11,18 run 146:7 147:2 136:24 141:1 72:21,22 173:4 122:11 13:1 139:11 152:11,18 run 146:7 147:2 33:13 13:15 33:13 13:15 35:18 37:15 135:11 60:13 136:11 16:11 139:11 152:11,18 run 160:18 43:13 13:5 33:13 34:19 35:12 33:13 34:19 35:13 33:13 34:19 35:13 33:13 34:19 35:13 32:13 36:5 36:14 16:11 175:14 14:11 175:21	muda 60.22	115.24 116.2 20	166.25.25.160.2	42.15 55.22 57.5	gond 41.16
rule f02:20 109:11 117:19,22,22 134:14,16 97:3 36:17 97:5 sends 54:18 109:15 131:7 134:14,16 scared 157:4 97:9 104:20 105:23,23 106:1 scnior 16:16,17,24 11:16,18,23 43:13 146:7,8,10 135:16,20 136:1 scenario 11:3 106:15 107:17 25:15 68:3,10 12 runn1467 147:2 136:24 138:21 school 109:4 114:21 116:11 73:7 79:11 129:3 110:4 144:19 140:17,24 141:1 172:1,2 22 173:4 screaming 16:9 124:6,0 127:8 153:13,23 157:18 145:20,24 156:17 3syrs' 113:15 screaming 16:9 122:1 22:1 123:19 152:19 153:7,8 145:20,24 156:17 3syrs' 113:15 screaming 16:9 124:6,0 127:8 153:13,23 157:18 145:20,24 156:17 3syrs' 113:15 screaming 16:9 122:1 22:17:23 153:13,23 157:18 145:20,24 156:17 3syrs' 113:15 screaming 16:9 122:1 22:17:23 153:13,23 157:18 15 sunshed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 30:13:34:19 119:6 124:11 173:22 175:3 156:146:13 176:13 187:2 176:13 187:2 176:13 187:2 176:13 187:2 176:13 187:2 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td> <td></td>			· ·		
109:15					
rules 10:19 11:4 134:17.22 135:2 scary 150:20 105:23,23 106:1 17:2,12 18:6,13 11:16,18,23 135:16,20 136:1 135:16,20 136:1 135:16,20 136:1 106:15 107:17 25:15 68:3,10 vmn1d6:7 147:2 136:24 138:21 school 109:4 114:21 116:11 139:11 152:11,18 running 91:9,12 139:5,17 140:6 screaming 163:9 122:21 123:19 152:19 153:7,8 145:20,24 156:17 Sayrs 113:15 screen 26:8 33:5 35:18 37:15 135:1 32:1 57:18 rushed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 80:20 95:6 135:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 rushed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 80:20 95:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 Ryan 80:9,10,15 35:11 38:11 39:9 114:16 116:15 119:6 124:11 177:10,14,17 19:14 180:13 8 5103:5 5 5103:5 67:8 79:15 90:9 160:16 110:15,19 165:4 168:13 18:22 190:18 8eeing 14:19 34:1 5eeparate 35:1 163:22,23 8afet 19:23 160:15 160:21 332:2 145:13 148:4 149:12 148:5 17:11,16,22 18:12 112:1 18:21:1<		· · ·			
11:16,18,23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
45:13 146:7,8,10 136:7,11,16,22 21:17 120:5 112:18 114:19,20 73:7 79:11 129:3 run 146:7 147:2 136:24 138:21 school 109:4 114:21 116:11 139:5,17 140:6 110:4 144:19 149:7,24 141:1 screaming 163:9 122:1 123:19 152:19 133:7,8 158:11 160:18 saying 11:4 16:8 saying 11:4 16:8 screen 26:8 33:5 135:6 137:16 35:18 37:15 35:18 37:15 35:18 37:15 35:18 37:15 35:18 1 38:18 143:14,22 166:19,25 162:3 145:6 175:7 176:13 187:6 sense 144:11,23 181:23 183:14 145:6 175:7 176:13 187:6 sense 144:11,23 182:2 175:13 september 49:22 secrit 40:13 48:0 secrit 40:14 49:12 secrit 57:14 166:15 167:9 secrit 57:14 166:15 167:9 secrit 57:14			· ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
run 146:7 147:2 running 91:9,12 136:24 138:21 sechool 109:4 screaming 163:9 114:21 116:11 screaming 153:19 139:11 152:11,18 110:4 144:19 145:20,24 156:17 158:11 160:18 runs 12:2 rushed 140:14 rushes 107:16 Ryan 80:9,10,15 30:3 31:5,10 and 127:6 191:8 scream 13:5,10 and 12:2 safe 129:23 160:15 after 129:23 after 129:23 after 129:23 160:15 after 129:23 after		ŕ			· ·
Tunning 91:9,12 139:5,17 140:6 140:17,24 141:1 147:22 173:4 12:21 123:19 152:19 153:7,8 153:13,23 157:18 135:6 137:16 153:6 13:16 133:6 13:16 133:2 13:16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
110:4 144:19 140:17,24 141:1 172:21,22 173:4 124:6,20 127:8 153:13,23 157:18 158:11 160:18 saying 11:4 16:8 25:9 26:16 28:1 30:3 31:5,10 30:3 31:5,10 30:3 31:5,10 30:3 31:5,10 30:3 31:5,10 30:3 31:19 106:16 110:15,19 165:4 168:13 143:14,22 142:6 175:7 176:13 187:6 37:10 14:10 14:2 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 10:12:19 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 10:12:19 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 10:12:19 14:15 15:6 25:12 14:15 10:13 10:11 10:12:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 17:15 112:11 17:13 10:11 14:10 144:2 10:14 10:22 13:29;18 183:23 98:17 103:2 13:13 13:19 13:6 37:103:18 37:18 33:13 33:13 33:13 33:19 13:14 16:15 17:10					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
145:20,24 156:17 158:11 160:18 runs 12:2 25:9 26:16 28:1 35:18 37:15 138:18 143:14,22 rushed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 33:10 34:19 106:16 110:15,19 165:4 168:13 171:10,14,17 176:13 187:6 sent 40:11 43:24 119:6 124:11 173:22 175:13 separate 35:1 129:18 132:24 181:23 187:20 188:22 190:18 seeing 14:19 34:1 75:4,9 103:14 series 60:15 safe 129:23 160:15 135:23,23 136:5 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 salary 83:22 176:16 183:25 sate 157:11,14 salary 83:22 sate 157:11,14 satisfied 68:19 Saw \$5:25 6:3,5 11:10 12:19 153:2 162:2 saw \$5:25 6:3,5 11:10 12:19 153:2 162:2 sav \$5:25 6:3,5 11:10 12:19 153:2 162:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 775:21,21 88:3 102:11 107:15 112:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:19 122:15 12:11 17:13,21 118:10 14:25 100:14 110:25 13:91 18:32:3 135:6 13:13:13 13:6 13:16:15 13:16 13:13:16 13:16 13:13:13:13:16 13:16 13:13:13:13:16 13:16 13:13:13:13:13:16 14:11:10:12:19 13:10 17:10 13:10	0 /	· ·	U		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
158:11 160:18 saying 11:4 16:8 25:9 26:16 28:1 50:21 59:2 80:18 135:6 137:16 138:18 143:14,22 145:6 175:7 176:13 187:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 165:4 168:13 165:4 168:13 165:4 168:13 160:21 177:6 191:8 46:4 48:2,22 129:18 132:24 135:2 163:5,22 166:15 167:9 169:14 169:15 135:2,32 136:5 137:22 145:13 148:14 149:12 136:15 136:14,173:13 137:22 145:13 148:14 149:12 119:19 113:2 115:19 123:7,13 1381 175:19 136:17,11,16,22 136:24,141:1,10 132:14 133:2 138:7 3:9 136:5 175:14 136:14,172 136:12,23 185:17,18 189:7 136:22,23 136:5 177:18 189:7 136:22,23 136:5 177:18 189:7 136:22,23 136:5 177:18 189:7 136:22,23 136:5 177:14 137:19 136:10,22 53:13 136:17,11,16,22 136:24,141:1,10 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:15 136:14,172 136:14,172 136:15 136:14,172 136:14,1		•	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*
runs 12:2 25:9 26:16 28:1 50:21 59:2 80:18 138:18 143:14,22 145:6 175:7 rushed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 80:20 95:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4 176:13 187:4	· ·	· ·			· ·
rushed 140:14 30:3 31:5,10 80:20 95:6 163:14 164:1 176:13 187:6 Ryan 80:9,10,15 35:11 38:11 39:9 114:16 116:15 171:10,14,17 119:14 180:13 106:23 107:11 46:4 48:22,22 129:18 132:24 181:23 187:20 163:22,23 S 5 54:10,23 62:5 67:8 79:15 90:9 166:15 167:9 188:22 190:18 separate 35:1 163:22,23 Safe 129:23 160:15 135:23,23 136:5 safe 129:23 160:15 135:23,23 136:5 scroll 95:10,13,22 188:22 190:18 seeing 14:19 34:1 5ER000434 80:24 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 148:14 149:12 se 75:14 se 175:14 seeing 44:19 34:1 5ER000434 80:24 safety 103:1,3 111:19 113:2 174:13 175:19 136:17,11,16,22 136:17,11,16,22 136:17,11,16,22 136:17,11,16,22 seek 68:5 serious 20:24 21:1 serious 20:24 21:1 seek 68:5 serious 20:24 21:1 serious 20:24 21:1 21:21,25 32:1,6 seek 11:1 21:14 seek 68:5 serious 20:24 21:1 21:21,25 32:1,6 see 11:1 21:14					· ·
rushes 107:16 33:10 34:19 106:16 110:15,19 165:4 168:13 sent 40:11 43:24 Ryan 80:9,10,15 35:11 38:11 39:9 114:16 116:15 177:10,14,17 separate 35:1 127:6 191:8 46:4 48:22,22 19:18 132:24 18:123 187:20 163:22,23 separate 35:1 S 50:17 51:17 135:2 163:3,22 188:22 190:18 seeing 14:19 34:1 5eptember 49:22 S acked 157:4 180:15 48:14 16:9 169:4 5ecing 14:19 34:1 5ecing 14:1				,	
Ryan 80:9,10,15 106:23 107:11 127:6 191:8 46:4 48:2,22 129:18 132:24 135:2 145:13 135:2 145:13 135:23 160:15 135:23,23 136:5 136:21 135:2 145:13 136:21 135:19 123:7,13 136:16 183:25 136:15 161:17 136:1 141:1 141:2 136:1 17:13,21 116:1 15:1 17:13,21 17:13,21 116:1 10:12 136:1 10:13 100:14 110:25 137:10 120:10 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 136:10 13:2 136:13 136:14 136:15 136:22,23 163:22,23 163:22,23 163:22,23 163:22,23 163:25 163:22,23 163:25 163:22,23 163:22,23 163:25 163:22,23 163:25 163:22,23 163:25 163:22,23 163:2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
106:23 107:11			-		
127:6 191:8				, ,	
S 50:17 51:17 54:10,23 62:5 135:2 163:5,22 166:15 167:9 188:22 190:18 seeing 14:19 34:1 September 49:22 82:10 S 103:5 67:8 79:15 90:9 169:4 180:15 169:4 scroll 95:10,13,22 195:10,13,22 175:4,9 103:14 106:25 121:14 106:25 121:14 106:25 121:14 106:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 12:24,5 serious 20:24 21:1 12:24,5 serious 20:24 21:1 12:24,5 serious 20:24 21:1 12:24 12:24,5 serious					_
S 54:10,23 62:5 166:15 167:9 seeing 14:19 34:1 82:10 S 103:5 67:8 79:15 90:9 169:4 75:4,9 103:14 SER000434 80:24 180:15 108:14 116:9 116:9 184:5 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 seum 48:1 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safety 103:1,3 148:14 149:12 se 175:14 Seak 15:7:15:3 seek 68:5 serious 20:24 21:1 salary 83:22 174:13 175:19 136:1,7,11,16,22 seek 68:5 seek 11:11 21:14 seeson 114:8,9,13 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 search 61:15,19 32:12 38:7 43:9 99:13,21 58:25 6:3; 62:3 67:13 11:21,117:13,21 182:15,20 183:18 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 search 61:15,19 99:17 99:17 99:17 94:19 95:6 10:25 121:11 12:21 117:14 18:21,520 183:18 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 15:0 117:4 sets 106:2 saus 5:21,21 88:3 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 50:29 100:13	147.0 191.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
S 103:5 37:10,20 20.3 100:13 107.9 seeing 147.9 34.1 SER000434 80:24 sacked 157:4 108:14 116:9 169:4 57:4,9 103:14 5ER000434 80:24 180:15 135:23,23 136:5 135:23,23 136:5 184:5 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 secwl 48:1 141:5,7 153:3 21:2,4,5 safety 103:1,3 176:16 183:25 Sean 135:8,16,20 seek 68:5 serious 20:24 21:1 salary 83:22 176:16 183:25 185:17,18 189:7 search 61:15,19 38:8 48:19 51:17 session 114:8,9,13 sauders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 99:13,21 86:21 87:1,3,4 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 12:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 15:10 117:14 15:0 117:14 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 110:25 12:11 saus 5:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 97:23 100:13 97:23 100:13 97:23 100:13 97:23 100:13 97:23 100:13 90:17 18:6,23 119:2 12:23 113:19 12:23 113:19 118	S		· ·		
sacked 157:4 108:14 116:9 scroll 95:10,13,22 106:25 121:14 series 60:15 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 seum 48:1 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safety 103:1,3 148:14 149:12 seum 48:1 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safety 103:1,3 176:1 161:17 Sean 135:8,16,20 seek 68:5 seriousness 99:25 115:19 123:7,13 176:16 183:25 136:24 141:1,10 seek 68:5 secking 42:6 salary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 says 20:19 26:15 says 20:19 26:15 search 61:15,19 99:13,21 seech 61:15,19 11:11 21:14 session 114:8,9,13 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 54:4,12,14,17 99:17 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 110:25 121:11 saw 5:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 12:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 103:8,16,19 106:9,18 107:10 4:23 seconded 4:2,20 4:23 select 90:7 <t< td=""><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></t<>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S	
180:15 135:23,23 136:5 184:5 127:22 139:3 serious 20:24 21:1 safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 scum 48:1 141:5,7 153:3 21:2,4,5 safety 103:1,3 156:1 161:17 Sean 135:8,16,20 seek 68:5 110:24 111:19 113:2 174:13 175:19 136:1,7,11,16,22 seeking 42:6 servery 159:4 115:19 123:7,13 176:16 183:25 136:24 141:1,10 search 61:15,19 21:21,25 32:1,6 110:24 sat 157:11,14 says 20:19 26:15 says 20:19 26:15 search 61:15,19 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 sat 157:11,14 says 20:19 26:15 searched 86:19,20 58:25 62:3 67:13 183:19,19,21,24 Saunders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 10:25 121:11 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 10:7,20 102:18 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 118:19 122:15 1				· ·	
safe 129:23 160:15 137:22 145:13 scum 48:1 141:5,7 153:3 21:2,4,5 safety 103:1,3 156:1 161:17 Sean 135:8,16,20 136:1,7,11,16,22 seek 68:5 110:24 salary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 says 20:19 26:15 says 20:19 26:15 search 61:15,19 seek 68:5 seek 68:5 seek 68:5 Sauders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 99:13,21 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 saw 5:25 6:3,5 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 15:01 117:14 sets 106:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 109:14 106:10 18:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 12:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 seconds 69:9 77:17 18:63 secuelf-harm 19:25 sexuality 60:10 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 105:6 117:6 security 10:22 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10					
160:21 148:14 149:12 se 175:14 seek 68:5 seriousness 99:25 safety 103:1,3 156:1 161:17 156:1 161:17 seek 68:5 110:24 111:19 113:2 174:13 175:19 136:1,7,11,16,22 seeking 42:6 servery 159:4 salary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 search 61:15,19 38:8 48:19 51:17 session 114:8,9,13 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 58:25 62:3 67:13 183:19,19,21,24 saw 5:25 6:3,5 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 11:10 12:19 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 4:23 seconds 69:9 77:17 self-harm 19:25 122:23 113:19 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadow 78:6,19 14:10 144:2 107:14 110:25<					
safety 103:1,3 156:1 161:17 Sean 135:8,16,20 seek 68:5 110:24 servery 159:4 servery 159:4 servery 159:4 servery 159:4 session 114:8,9,13 111:19 113:2 115:19 123:7,13 176:16 183:25 136:24 141:1,10 seek 11:11 21:14 seeking 42:6 servery 159:4 session 114:8,9,13 114:17,18 122:1 182:15,20 183:18 183:19,19,21,24 session 114:8,9,13 114:17,18 122:1 183:19,19,21,24 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 183:19,19,21,24 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 110:25 121:11 110:25 121:11 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 110:25 121:11 115:10 117:14 set 106:2 set 106:2 set 106:2 set 106:2 set 106:2 set 106:2 set 106:17 sev 113:10 149:3,20 seech				•	
111:19 113:2 174:13 175:19 136:1,7,11,16,22 seeking 42:6 servery 159:4 115:19 123:7,13 176:16 183:25 136:24 141:1,10 seen 11:11 21:14 session 114:8,9,13 13alary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 says 20:19 26:15 28arch 61:15,19 21:21,25 32:1,6 114:17,18 122:1 153:2 162:2 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 153:2 162:2 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 153:2 162:2 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 130:9 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 seven 146:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 106:9,18 107:10 15:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 112:23 113:19 127:17 130:10 104:12,18 105:9 106:9,18 107:10 106:9,18 107:10 21:20 22:11,13					
115:19 123:7,13 176:16 183:25 136:24 141:1,10 seen 11:11 21:14 session 114:8,9,13 salary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 search 61:15,19 21:21,25 32:1,6 114:17,18 122:1 sat 157:11,14 says 20:19 26:15 99:13,21 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 58:25 62:3 67:13 183:19,19,21,24 sauders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 153:2 162:2 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconds 69:9 77:17 186:3 sexuality 60:10 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 107:14 110:25 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
salary 83:22 185:17,18 189:7 search 61:15,19 21:21,25 32:1,6 114:17,18 122:1 sat 157:11,14 says 20:19 26:15 search 61:15,19 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 58:25 62:3 67:13 183:19,19,21,24 saunders 17:9 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 19:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 seven 146:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 16:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10					
sat 157:11,14 says 20:19 26:15 99:13,21 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 38:8 48:19 51:17 182:15,20 183:18 Saunders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 153:2 162:2 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 105:20 112:5 130:9 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 19:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
satisfied 68:19 26:19 27:10,11 searched 86:19,20 58:25 62:3 67:13 183:19,19,21,24 Saunders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 97:23 100:13 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 106:9,18 107:10 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
Saunders 17:9 32:12 38:7 43:9 86:21 87:1,3,4 70:18 76:19 set 9:2 36:17 58:4 153:2 162:2 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 105:20 112:5 123:10 149:3,20 123:10 149:3,20 186:3 secuality 60:10 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 106:9,18 107:10 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10	· ·	•	-		· ·
153:2 162:2 43:10,22 53:13 99:17 94:19 95:6 110:25 121:11 saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10		,			
saw 5:25 6:3,5 53:14,17,21 54:1 second 34:24 105:20 112:5 130:9 11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10					
11:10 12:19 54:4,12,14,17 97:16 98:3 115:10 117:14 sets 106:2 14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 shadbolt 111:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10		· ·			
14:15 15:6 25:12 55:13 59:4,8 102:14 106:10 118:6,23 119:2 setting 186:15 42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10		, , ,			
42:16 72:2,5,6 67:15 93:16,17 119:9 164:5 123:10 149:3,20 seven 146:17 75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 seconds 69:9 77:17 self-harm 19:25 112:23 113:19 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10					
75:21,21 88:3 97:23 100:13 seconded 4:2,20 186:3 sexuality 60:10 102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 seconds 69:9 77:17 self-harm 19:25 112:23 113:19 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10		· ·		*	U
102:11 107:15 101:7,20 102:18 4:23 select 90:7 Shadbolt 111:17 112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 seconds 69:9 77:17 self-harm 19:25 112:23 113:19 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10	1	,		,	
112:1 117:13,21 103:8,16,19 seconds 69:9 77:17 self-harm 19:25 112:23 113:19 118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10	-		,		· ·
118:19 122:15 104:12,18 105:9 115:6 117:6 20:2,6,8,17,19,21 116:19 131:6 127:17 130:10 106:9,18 107:10 security 10:22 21:20 22:11,13 shadow 78:6,19 141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10		· ·			
127:17 130:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *			
141:10 144:2 107:14 110:25 132:9,18 183:23 98:17 103:2 shadowed 78:10					
107.11 110.25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 - x 12 1X 1 X 24 1X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X 1 X	149:17 162:11	114:2 119:4,6	see 8:17 14:16,23	109:11,14 164:14	shadowing 3:23,24
167:14 170:23 123:15 124:19,23 14:24 15:8,12,15 self-harmed 21:13 4:7 78:5 149:11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Sayers 111:17,19 125:16 126:11 15:16,21 16:11 self-harming 149:20		· ·			
111:19 112:23,24 127:16 132:25 25:4 28:24,24 108:5 155:3,25 shake 131:24			· ·	· ·	
113:2,3,6,9,13,16 135:9,13 136:4 29:7 30:10 35:13 162:15 164:11,16 132:3,10,11			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
113:18 114:2 137:2 166:19,19 36:3,7 41:11,22 166:2,4 Shane 122:12,15		· ·			
115:4,10,19,20		157.2 100.17,17	30.3,7 11.11,22	100.2,1	~iiiiii 122.12,13
	, , , , ,				<u> </u>

				Page 212
			I	
122:18 123:7	shouted 167:15	situation 8:15,24	21:24 25:8 29:6	160:3,23 161:3
124:20 127:17	shouting 96:23	9:1 21:8 25:14	31:5 34:24,25	161:19 162:8
190:16	97:1,3,8 103:13	41:24 53:12	40:25 57:21 75:8	173:6 174:4,6,21
share 10:16	104:21	67:21 70:9 75:18	75:25 82:4 94:19	174:22 178:5
105:21	show 8:10,14 16:8	99:25 110:24	109:12,24 124:13	181:20,21 184:18
shared 10:14	95:5 114:7,7,14	121:16 149:18	134:2 145:10	184:19 185:4
sharing 105:22	119:16 122:1	151:5 152:7	147:19 148:2,2	sorted 12:9 33:20
Shaukat 96:2,21	124:12 132:23	162:24 179:23	150:21 153:25	33:23 34:15
98:6,12 101:4,19	163:3	184:18	157:22 159:12	sounded 2:4 125:4
104:10,23 105:4	showed 16:9	situations 8:19	161:24 165:23	sounds 5:11 97:18
105:11,13 106:24	146:18 154:18	9:14 10:3,5,6	170:5 171:3	103:21 172:25,25
107:24	170:23	42:7 52:20,25	173:13 174:7	178:21
Shaukat's 100:20	shown 15:20 16:2	69:24 72:15	177:25 179:13	space 144:6,13
she'd 50:6	76:18 80:17 83:3	149:4 154:24	182:5,23,25	167:23 168:24
sheet 163:8,18	124:6 129:17	six 104:5 161:12	183:11,13,15	spare 53:23
164:4,6	137:6 166:7	162:20	186:4 190:7,8	speak 12:7,16
Sheharyar 96:2	170:13 172:9	sixth 113:17	sort 2:13,25 3:16	17:14,15 20:12
104:10,23	shows 170:13,19	size 92:24	3:17,19 4:7,7 5:5	20:13 31:2,14
shield 111:20	171:7	skills 112:15	5:11 8:13,14,18	33:24 34:14
113:3,18,24	shut 61:2 62:1,3,6	Skitt 4:21 5:8 17:5	8:20 9:5,7,15,16	46:20 52:5 59:10
114:4,6 117:3,10	62:7,8,9,11	152:23	10:24 11:1,13	68:2,10 79:2,3,4
117:22,23 118:3	173:10 174:13,25	slight 138:15	12:8,18,20 13:10	83:19 102:17
118:18,22 119:1	175:11,19 176:17	slightly 3:12	14:10 15:11,21	104:7 115:1
119:15,23 140:9	sick 26:20	slipped 136:18	15:22,23,24 16:6	119:13 120:10
shields 115:7	side 8:7 111:21	138:24	18:6,7,8,10 21:9	133:25 144:22
shift 25:23 27:25	112:2 114:1	slowly 2:7 57:3,3	25:22 28:7,9	153:19,21,23
82:1,1 84:13,13	122:11 131:7,7	small 37:17 39:7	29:4,13,16 30:9	155:10 158:13
84:15 130:7	187:23,23	53:15,21 54:9,14	31:7,10,16 32:15	189:13
145:14 152:13	sign 152:16	112:10 155:19	32:17 33:19	speaking 18:17
shifts 87:22 143:24	signed 48:11,12	156:6	34:10 36:15 37:7	114:23 138:22
143:24 144:17	51:5 80:22 95:16	smoothly 160:18	38:25 40:7,12	177:3,22
146:24	100:10 142:11	SMT 16:17 18:1	41:4,5,25 43:1	specific 46:3 59:25
shirt 122:16,17	silly 146:16	snippets 15:22	45:17 46:16	59:25 89:19 92:6
shit 40:13,24	similar 64:16	soft 61:18,24	51:19 52:6 54:22	92:25 152:9
shocked 60:23	101:19 114:2	solicitor 34:1	57:13,14 63:18	153:9,24 154:10
short 23:11,14,19	141:13 176:8	solicitors 147:8	65:5 67:20 68:7	155:15 163:1
24:15 32:6 69:5	simply 166:25	somebody 7:10	68:11 70:5 71:18	164:15 166:11
80:6 102:13,16	simultaneously	31:14 39:13	71:21 73:5 75:14	174:4 176:7
120:17 122:2	168:4	56:19 79:8,16	75:14,20 76:15	184:18 185:20
139:21,25 142:3	single 7:3 105:18	102:5 135:9	78:6 79:8,9,13	specifically 88:8
157:23 182:10,13	sink 148:21	147:22 170:2,11	83:16 84:5 85:4	150:7 155:1
short-term 147:6	SIR 139:11	171:20 176:20	86:25 87:19 88:2	156:22 157:5,9
shortage 66:4,6	SIRed 129:2	178:16 181:14	108:20 109:5	185:12
shortly 77:1 122:4	sit 93:14 113:22	Someone's 36:20	111:5 118:24	speculating 165:16
shorts 28:21	114:3 115:11	soon 27:24 30:19	131:8 133:9	184:19 189:5
shot 14:5 122:11	140:13 182:12	101:17	143:14 144:1,7	speed 168:9
shoulder 107:6,7	site 73:7 85:8	sore 160:24	145:19 146:8	171:12
107:18	sitting 97:14,17,18	sorry 3:7,8 18:16	148:18 152:5	spell 31:2
shout 123:5	101:9	19:10 20:7 21:18	157:6,7 159:1	spend 16:18 24:14
	•	•	•	•

				Page 213
25:10	stand 8:11,12,23	73:21 75:3 80:21	story 38:13,17	supervision
spent 3:21 15:10	9:4 10:19 24:15	80:25 81:3 82:12	straight 94:13	109:17
spice 36:10,13,17	24:23 25:2 28:10	84:9 85:1 87:15	130:23	support 16:16
36:18,20 37:5,10	45:12 106:5	88:12 93:2,9,15	Straighten 136:8	131:19 132:25
37:12 180:9	113:20 117:25	97:22 100:13	straightforward	133:1,2,7 150:2
spilling 28:9	118:1,25 125:22	106:17 108:3,4	68:18	154:14
split 139:22,25	142:13	109:23 110:5,13	strangling' 169:19	supported 133:8
spoke 79:7 140:17	Standards 58:8	110:25 121:4,5	straw 74:25	supported 133.0 supports 168:20
155:7,19 177:4	167:2	121:24 124:4	stream 145:9	suppose 10:15
189:9,18	standing 9:10	129:16,22 130:10	stress 32:8 39:5	29:16 73:11
spoken 18:2,18	28:13 38:24	131:22 133:14	72:14 134:19	85:24 86:15
21:15,21 57:15	39:10,17	139:22 140:23	189:20	132:8
61:12 76:19	staring 50:2	142:10 146:1	stressed 27:23	sure 33:9 37:1,4
129:2 134:5,6	start 1:18 82:1	148:4,25 150:9	28:6 32:13 74:19	38:15 39:3 49:16
147:20 174:14	85:22 91:15	150:23 152:11	stretch 149:23	62:12,16 65:8
spontaneous 70:6	100:22 114:11	154:4 158:17	stretched 159:18	69:10 77:22
103:3	122:10,21 127:7	160:13 166:17	stronger 60:5	91:19 99:21
sport 24:8	129:14 138:4	167:3 169:22	struck 134:18	135:4 146:18
spread 159:5	159:23 169:6	170:6 172:2	struggling 162:12	153:1,11 156:16
squeeze 136:9	started 2:5 57:1	180:2,8 189:24	Stuart 19:8,9,11	158:22 161:14
SR 135:19	104:21 161:22	190:1	19:13 115:23	162:18,20 180:16
SR'd 135:18	167:21	statements 67:20	116:11 117:18	surname 153:1
stabbing 123:2	starters 146:23	93:5	stuff 16:6 38:14	surprise 14:20
staff 7:25 8:1,10	starting 2:18	status 12:23	40:8 60:22 62:14	surprised 14:15
10:13 13:4,5,19	95:25 111:4	stay 6:12 7:14,19	108:20 125:3	35:13 73:11
13:22,24 14:6,8,9	150:3	43:15 85:4,8,12	126:13 135:24	surrounding
14:12 16:9,15	starts 59:3 84:20	85:16,21 91:21	subheading 84:11	130:17
23:9,12,23 24:12	86:2 91:15,18	92:4,5 152:14	subject 45:10 46:5	suspected 130:11
25:2 30:9 31:7,8	102:13 110:18	168:2	46:10 73:12	suspended 72:17
50:5 67:16 70:12	111:5 124:15	staying 6:24 85:12	succeed 97:12	72:20,24,25 73:4
84:2 86:1,3,5,10	135:7	step 5:7 29:16 46:4	98:19	73:8,15,24 74:10
86:11,13,16,18	state 132:8	167:24	successful 47:2,9	74:18,23,25
86:21 87:8 89:3	statement 1:10,12	Stephen 190:16	sudden 76:2	75:17 76:5
89:24 90:1,17	1:15,17 2:2,16	sterile 95:1,3	suggest 43:2 80:2	suspension 77:4
99:3 111:2,7	4:1 5:9,22,25 6:8	Steve 4:21 5:8 17:5	120:8 140:17	suspicion 86:9,11
119:10 131:20	7:22 8:10 12:1	96:3 101:18	141:23 189:2	suspicions 87:7
133:25 141:12	13:1,20 14:14	104:6 106:20,22	suggested 32:24	Sussex 132:25
149:24 152:2,5	15:19 16:15	107:17 152:23,25	61:13	swallow 97:11,21
154:21 156:14	18:25 20:1 21:12	153:1	suggesting 55:4	97:22 98:1
157:24 158:18	22:9,15 23:18	Stinking 38:5	suggestion 95:20	101:11 103:16
159:13,21 160:22	27:13 28:10	stood 97:13,17,19	suggests 47:1 55:3	107:5
160:22 161:6,14	33:18 35:7,24	101:9 104:21	125:18	swap 141:24
169:14 185:9	38:19 41:6 42:20	167:20	summarise 53:14	swear 167:25
staffed 23:11,14	43:8 45:6 46:23	stop 27:2 33:13	60:16,20 166:19	175:9,24 176:10
24:15 157:23	47:23 48:4 49:21	34:17 62:4 98:21	167:12	swearing 31:11,16
staffing 23:7,19	49:25 51:22 58:3	99:5 100:6	summary 50:22	50:10 175:8
88:11,13 89:8	58:11,23 59:1,18	130:24 166:2,3	61:11 88:13	176:2,2,14,19,20
158:3,15 161:8	60:18 63:5 64:4	168:6	110:4	177:7 185:1,11
stage 4:4 45:25	69:14 71:1 73:6	stopped 184:1	summer 65:21	185:12,20
		1		•

				Page 214
swearwords	33:10 35:4 37:18	telling 30:14 62:4	26:14 29:13	29:25 30:1,14
174:20 175:3	44:11 45:20	temper 32:7,20	30:20 38:25 52:7	31:3,17,18,21,22
185:8 186:7	53:11 54:3 59:3	53:6 64:23 78:23	55:24 56:8 57:17	32:10,11,11,11
swiftly 12:11	59:18 62:20 65:2	79:3	63:18 75:13	32:12 34:5,11
swim 148:21	65:2,12 70:8	temporarily 77:24	77:14 98:19,23	36:2,4,9 38:13
swore 49:24	75:20 104:12	temporary 5:11	127:19 128:4	44:3,3,7,16,17
swore 49.24 sworn 50:11 80:10	115:16 118:18	83:9	131:6 134:12	45:5,12,14,17,19
142:5 191:8,12	127:15 128:8	ten 16:18 77:17	149:6 154:1	45:23 46:11 47:1
SXP000102	138:11,13 152:17	tend 16:6 18:10	155:13 156:18	47:4,5,8,11,13
132:24	152:17 164:2	87:19	157:7 162:11	48:3,20 49:24
sympathy 56:22	166:1 173:14	tense 13:21 15:25	173:3 174:6,11	50:10,13,14 51:6
system 40:16	175:8,10,16	16:2	176:3,22,25	51:19 52:24 53:1
41:12,19 42:16	176:15 178:3	tension 167:19	188:13	53:2 55:8,25,25
71.12,17 72.10	181:1,16 182:24	tensions 159:13	things 3:11 6:8 8:9	58:8,9,11,19,22
T	185:13	term 63:6 119:1	9:19 12:25 14:15	59:17 60:7 61:7
tab 80:20 95:5	talks 102:14	148:22	18:10 20:14	62:18 64:21,22
110:15 172:16	Tam 188:4,10,17	terms 8:20 16:5	21:11,19 22:9	64:23 66:1,7,14
179:1 180:20	188:21	24:16,19 38:14	30:6 32:24 42:20	66:16 68:1,2,2,15
181:12 184:4,13	targets 169:15	45:15 55:18	44:12,19 46:22	68:17 72:3,9
188:3	task 2:23	69:20 77:25	47:14 57:10	73:3,12 74:7
tactics 118:10	task 2.23	154:19 158:13	61:14 66:12,14	75:5,10 77:8
take 1:16 11:7	tea 80:1	162:23 170:15	70:1 72:8,16	79:7 81:25 82:13
20:25 23:4 26:14	team 16:16,17,25	173:24 174:5	75:3,15 107:13	82:23 83:3 84:2
60:22 65:16,17	17:12 81:15	189:14	110:19 132:8	86:19 87:10 96:8
66:9 67:18 68:11	91:23 92:20 94:9	terrible 174:20	136:8 141:8	96:10 100:1,1
69:8 79:25 82:8	111:9,15,19	179:24 181:7	144:19 146:16	107:4,21 109:6
83:16,21 93:7	112:21 113:3,7	182:23 183:11	147:2 152:6,8	115:15,16 116:3
98:24 110:1	113:18,23,24	textbook 123:24	154:17 155:15,16	117:24 121:18
118:5 156:23,24	115:5,20 116:18	124:1,2 126:10	156:12 157:25	122:7 126:8
156:24 160:8	119:14 134:23	129:9	158:11 159:6	127:15 128:11
178:24 180:19	136:10 137:22	thank 1:10,13	160:11,19 162:10	129:22 130:11
181:11 188:2	138:7,9,14,17,19	60:19 64:5 77:21	162:25 165:7	136:5 138:2
taken 7:10 36:22	139:14 149:25	78:9,21 79:19,21	166:8 176:8	139:18 144:6,7,8
56:10 69:25	152:19 153:8	80:4,8 119:6	think 2:25 3:4,12	146:6 147:5
88:21 119:24	163:16 166:6	120:14,15,19	3:16,18,24,25	149:4,23 150:1,2
128:20 131:16	teams 89:17,21,25	122:4 141:19	4:12,12 5:4,4 6:3	150:3 151:1
133:4 152:5	90:3,7	142:1,15 143:19	6:4,5,7,25 8:1,2,4	153:18 154:2,7
190:4	teased 59:15	145:12 168:17	9:2,22,25 10:1,10	154:12 155:3,6
takes 71:24	technique 172:8	171:15 182:14,18	10:13,15 13:5,6	156:4,20 157:6
talk 5:22 7:24 18:9	178:6,13	184:2 190:11,13	14:1,13,23 15:23	158:12,20,20,25
23:16 26:17 35:8	techniques 70:8	190:18,19	16:1,3,15,22	159:24 160:2,4,6
40:19 57:9,13	165:19,25 169:15	theory 108:21	17:10 18:4 19:12	160:10,16,17,20
79:8 87:7 88:11	178:16	they'd 16:20 20:11	20:16,18,20,25	161:6,23 163:4
89:17 90:20	telecommunicati	22:3 24:8 41:15	21:1,4,5,8 22:10	164:22 165:14,15
91:17,20 98:25	142:24	42:1,12 47:16	22:15 23:10,10	166:14 169:5
118:18 180:8	tell 10:9,11 43:14	63:18 74:7 76:24	23:17 24:4 25:1	174:20 176:22
talked 78:22	53:22 62:6,10	147:14	25:12,13 27:19	178:1 180:10,14
160:23	81:4 83:11 94:6	thin 159:5	28:3,5,5,7,8,14	180:15,24 181:2
talking 8:24 14:4	147:1 154:24	thing 5:12 12:17	28:16 29:2,2,3,24	181:4,4,6 182:2,5
16:24 21:9 30:19				,
	I .	I	!	

				Page 215
	1	l	1	1
190:3,5	21:9 24:13,17,19	toilets 56:14,16	Tower 137:16	189:25 190:2
thinking 3:3 10:8	24:22 25:10 29:3	told 5:2,12,14	140:22	tricky 153:21
88:2 91:11 173:7	29:7,24 31:15	11:23 12:13 30:9	Townshend 142:6	tried 69:15 70:8
thinks 107:14	34:13 39:4 43:6	41:13 44:4 51:24	142:7 168:12,17	101:10 140:7
third 102:2 179:1	46:9 55:22 56:13	52:17 57:23 59:5	171:1,4,5,14,17	155:12
thought 5:7 8:4,6	56:25 57:3,12	60:22 61:2 62:1	182:2,6,12,16,21	tries 119:8
14:25 15:6 30:22	65:6 66:10,11,12	62:2,7,7,9 64:8	183:13,15,16,20	TRN0000019
75:1 123:24	67:21 71:25	72:23 73:5,7	190:9,14,15	184:4
125:6,19 126:10	72:16,20,24	74:16 91:18	191:14	TRN0000023
128:16 132:17	73:10,12,15	100:1 106:20	train 162:7	180:20 184:13
143:25 174:10	76:16 77:17	111:25 112:21	trained 23:1	TRN0000030
179:5	78:10,20 81:7,22	115:5 121:12,22	151:11	172:14 188:2
thoughts 10:18	82:7,21 85:14,15	129:23 130:19	trainers 163:11	TRN0000031
88:23	86:6 87:20 88:3	133:20 137:9	training 2:17 3:4,9	181:12
threat 20:17,21	88:24 90:4 92:14	147:6,9 155:23	3:15,19,23,25 4:3	TRN0000063 32:5
21:1 175:12,13	94:11 95:9,19	167:23 168:2	4:6,7 22:10,16,18	TRN0000080 26:9
175:21	96:8 97:16 98:3	174:2 176:21	22:20,23 23:3	53:8
threaten 20:2	105:20 106:10	tomorrow 190:17	37:5,7,9 68:7	TRN0000081 33:5
threatened 38:19	108:12 110:10,14	190:19	78:2,5,7 90:25	34:25
71:14	111:24 115:13	Tomsett 1:4,5,9,10	108:17,19 111:3	TRN0000083
threatening 7:25	117:4 121:19	1:14 8:10 9:19	139:17 145:25	37:15
20:8 28:12 38:11	123:21 125:19	11:21 14:14	146:6 147:1	TRN0000089
38:20 39:1,2	128:21 129:25	19:25 26:9 27:13	148:17,19,24,25	127:4
47:25 48:8	130:4 131:13	30:7 35:24 38:18	149:2,2,7,9,12	TRN0000091
103:15 115:15	133:23 139:4	41:7 43:11 45:2	150:8,11,21,22	124:12
threating 20:5	141:8 144:7,14	46:4 49:25 51:7	151:1,7,8,8,8	TRN0000093
threats 9:20 10:12	144:16,20,23	51:22 57:20 58:3	162:10 164:15,19	135:6
32:17 72:4	145:18 147:18	58:25 60:17 61:5	164:22 165:1,10	TRN000019
135:15,16 175:9	155:8 160:12,14	62:25 64:10	165:19 169:15	183:12
three 4:16 15:1	167:1 172:10	66:17 69:7,14	170:4 172:4,8	trouble 162:22
24:12 34:4 45:25	173:21 174:21	71:1,13 75:3	173:23 174:2	true 44:3 189:17
78:15,19 88:16	175:3 177:6	77:13,19,22	178:15	trust 86:6 87:16
88:16 89:14,15	178:18 183:9	79:20 156:1	transcript 26:10	trusting 79:16
115:6 147:10	185:24 189:11,21	191:2	32:1 35:3 38:7,8	truthful 169:23
159:24	times 18:24 23:11	tone 49:23 101:5	124:3,11 127:23	try 8:25 12:11
three-year 46:13	23:11,13 53:6	top 19:7 26:15	128:14 135:5	20:22 34:13,14
threw 136:17	56:2 63:20 74:21	32:17 37:19	172:14 175:23	34:25 37:14
138:24	89:13,15 96:25	39:24 91:6	177:8 180:19	45:13 46:23
throat 71:4,8	158:17 160:15	107:15 127:9	181:11,13 183:12	52:12 57:5 65:16
throw 38:2,2,4	169:13 189:13	152:15 157:16	183:14 184:3,13	66:13 67:21
51:17	tired 63:18 85:21	179:2	188:2	98:18 116:8,12
throwing 123:8	128:16 144:9	topic 121:4	transcripts 189:12	118:11 130:16
thrown 63:6	today 80:25	torso 61:25	travel 85:24	140:13 150:4
148:18,20	141:21	total 45:5	travelling 81:10	156:16 159:8
thrust 145:18	TOIL 85:14	totality 46:2	82:8	160:20 165:3,8
tick 162:19	toilet 55:20,23	totally 27:21,22	treat 42:18 48:1	trying 8:17 10:22
time 3:22 4:3 7:7	56:11,18 57:9	28:1 120:24	52:19 174:18	18:4 28:16 67:2
8:23 9:16,18	65:7,9,12	touch 172:5	treated 53:2 154:5	73:6 97:11,21,22
15:3,10 17:4	toiletries 43:23	tough 92:14	174:19 186:10,11	98:17 101:11
	•	•	•	•

	ı	1	ı	ı
102:24 103:15	154:1 169:19	106:12	129:10,12 139:24	viewing 70:21
112:3 116:7	183:1,10 184:24	uniform 14:12,13	140:6 141:1	112:4,8,10
127:13 140:15	185:5 186:6	unit 58:8 149:8	150:7,9,14,22,24	views 140:25
154:8 159:7	typed 94:14,15,20	153:3 157:21,22	151:1 152:9	141:4 152:10
178:21	94:21	165:6 167:2	155:2,5 162:13	violence 9:21
Tuesday 190:22	types 176:19	United 42:2 44:13	162:14,17 163:5	148:10
Tulley 15:5 26:12		unknown 152:7	165:18 166:23,24	visible 152:21
30:19,20 31:25	U	184:9	167:11 168:25	visit 16:17,20
32:5 33:10 35:19	Ugh 184:9	unlock 160:14	169:2,14,20,23	60:25
37:18 39:7,23	UK 41:12 43:15	unlocking 154:19	170:7 172:1,15	Visitors 86:19,20
53:16 54:1 124:2	um 135:14	unlucky 137:3	173:22,25 176:17	visits 180:12
124:5 125:18,24	unaccustomed	unnecessary 70:16	177:21 178:5,8	vocabulary 181:21
126:1 135:7,13	185:5,6	unplanned 95:9	178:13,15,15	voice 116:4,5,7
135:17,22 136:15	unavailable	162:14,23	179:6 180:8	137:2
136:21,23,25	152:13	unprofessional	183:4 184:22	volatile 13:20
180:21 181:14	unbelievable	185:25	useful 164:23	volume 47:1
185:16	172:20,21,22	unrelated 120:24	uses 117:22	182:16
Tulley's 121:21	uncertain 147:13	unsubstantiated	usual 185:6,6	voluntary 143:11
turn 30:17 34:22	uncomfortable	45:24 48:6 49:4	usually 6:15 71:14	143:14
40:20 52:14 53:8	150:13,15,23,25	52:17 67:9,25	84:17 88:15	volunteering 90:1
80:19 100:19	151:15	168:18,19		vulnerable 132:7
121:4 183:12	undermine 154:20	unsupported	V	169:16 172:6,7
turned 83:11	understaffed	74:19	V201706020013	
148:7 180:9	88:15	unsure 152:3,4	182:4	W
182:16	understaffing 89:2	173:20 174:7	vague 93:24	wait 96:3,9,11
Turning 129:10	159:20	180:5 181:21	vaguely 129:21,25	waiting 110:2
130:8	understand 74:14	untrue 59:17	variety 108:25	147:7
turnover 86:1,5,13	79:20 81:15 82:2	unwell 163:6	154:2	wake 65:22
89:24	82:4 83:16	165:12,14	verbal 9:20 10:25	walk 27:24 69:16
TV 76:2 138:21	106:23 107:12	UOF 114:11 168:8	16:6 29:21 32:16	69:22 70:2 101:3
144:3	137:4 145:8	upset 157:8	72:4 96:4 110:7	104:7 119:11
twice 17:10 151:4	146:10,12,14	upwards 162:8	141:3 167:17	walked 111:23
166:22	164:24 165:3,9	use 23:2 39:13	168:2	walking 8:21
two 3:24 4:16,16	173:23 188:25	42:16 56:8,15	verbally 71:13	walkway 149:7
6:20,24 46:6	understandably	65:7 68:25 69:13	161:9	wanker 35:5,21
49:11 77:21	159:11	70:6 85:15,20	versions 106:3	72:13
78:15,19 81:6	understanding	89:17,21,23 90:2	victim 132:25	want 5:21 7:21
84:18 88:7,18	36:12	90:18 91:7,8	133:3	21:2 26:6 27:2
89:10,12,15	understood 77:23	92:15,18 93:8	video 31:25 114:15	32:3 40:4,4,23
93:13 96:9	182:14	94:5,14,22 95:7	122:5,14 124:3	41:2,3 45:2
101:22 106:2,3	underwear 29:1,5	95:10 102:6	125:5 138:5,11	50:18 51:16
112:24 114:9	29:9,10,21 156:2	105:23 107:12	168:11,16 171:13	54:11 56:8 57:19
115:6 143:13,24	unfairly 154:5	109:20 110:5,7	171:16 182:1,19	64:2 66:1 68:24
146:24 147:14,17	unfortunately	110:21 112:14,15	183:18,22 184:1	70:22 71:20
149:21 158:10,18	84:22 96:18	117:25 118:2,17	videos 163:12	75:19,20 76:7
158:23 163:5	108:9 114:8	118:23 119:2,23	Vietnamese	77:22 78:22
176:19 185:16	120:10 133:13	120:25 121:2	147:16	79:13,14 81:2
type 12:17 48:21	140:20 158:1	122:20 123:22	view 15:8 30:12	83:13 92:25 93:3
143:1 147:21,23	178:7	126:16 128:17	41:7 45:9 165:4	93:7 98:19 114:6
	unidentified		169:11	120:23 121:5

Page 217

				Page 217
	l		l	l
134:12 137:25	76:12 137:25	55:13 60:21,25	15:11 16:18,20	154:3 158:17
142:17 144:8,12	138:2 171:9	66:10 81:10	24:2,12,17,19	160:13 166:17
150:4,7,16	181:24	93:14 100:12	25:20,21 26:3	167:3 180:1,8
151:24 152:10	watched 76:10	102:16 121:12,13	36:3,6 38:16	witnesses 14:4
154:10 155:8	117:6,24 125:5	130:22,23 135:22	43:18,21 47:7	17:11 30:7
157:4 158:8	167:5 171:5	143:12 157:7,9	52:1,4,6,6,8,12	witnessing 141:1
162:13 166:11,16	173:25	157:12 158:4	52:13 53:18 57:1	woken 66:1
172:12,16 178:24	watching 4:9	167:16	57:2 59:6 60:3	wondered 50:4
179:11,21 180:1	76:16 137:17	weren't 9:4,10	63:17,21 64:8	word 1:17 31:10
180:19 181:11	139:15 145:11	11:15,24 24:24	66:8 68:20 74:7	63:6 67:17,24
184:3 187:16,19	172:23 173:19	44:12 45:15,16	82:15 84:3,3	117:24,25 118:1
188:2	174:9	47:9 52:11 53:13	87:16,20,21	118:2,17 145:4
wanted 2:3 10:19	water 113:24	56:10,14 62:16	88:16,17,21	156:24 162:17
20:2,9,12 21:14	waves 158:8	63:16 65:14	89:11,12,13	words 31:8,16,19
21:20 37:24	way 3:14 13:16	76:24 112:12	92:12 93:12,16	38:8 79:14 88:15
46:20 51:1,15	15:20 16:10	138:13 149:9	93:22 94:11,22	118:21 139:7
65:12 75:2,6,11	21:23 31:22 34:1	153:13 165:20	94:23 96:1,15	156:23 181:20
84:9 89:20	35:9 48:21 55:15	177:3 181:1	98:11 99:14	work 2:4,7 5:22
143:15,22,25	58:12,22 61:13	186:14	101:3 104:8	9:7,22 23:9 40:6
150:3 153:19,23	67:5 71:15 72:2	whatsoever 43:17	106:21 107:1	41:15 42:3 75:6
160:7 187:8	72:5,6 111:6	78:2	108:11,13,14	75:11,19 76:7
wanting 12:16	143:23 144:4	whichever 47:17	109:11,15,16	77:1 81:11 83:16
171:20	145:16,17 151:16	whilst 8:18 18:22	111:8,9,16 112:7	84:13,15,15 88:3
wants 179:9,10	154:6 165:8	32:1 76:12	116:19 132:1	88:4 149:11,20
warning 57:25	167:22 171:25	178:25	134:8 135:22	149:21 170:2
58:3,5	189:18	whining 27:2,4	139:20,23,24	186:17
wasn't 5:15 14:12	ways 12:18 22:12	30:3 62:4	146:14,20 150:1	work/life 83:14
15:11 17:13	86:14 175:9	whistleblowing	150:1 151:18	worked 1:19 9:6
25:22 29:12	we're 39:22 54:24	158:12	152:14 153:8,17	81:6 82:22 89:14
31:14 46:13,15	161:17	white 62:24	157:13,23 158:18	92:13,15 128:8
47:2 56:16 65:13	We've 155:17	122:16,17	158:20 160:4,14	128:15 139:20
68:18,19 73:24	weapon 103:4	who've 11:11	161:1,2,3 165:13	142:23 143:2,10
74:2,15 76:15,16	106:12	wholeheartedly	188:16,20	149:19,25 153:17
78:11,17,18	weapons 160:11	182:22	wings 7:8 9:8 11:3	180:14 190:6
83:10 108:13	Webb 190:16	Williams 152:23	11:14,15,24	workers 26:4
113:10 115:21,24	week 65:3 84:15	154:3,5,15,24	24:22 25:19,22	working 2:10 3:2
124:16 129:7,23	146:17,17 151:1	156:25 158:4	81:18,20,23 82:5	3:17,18 14:2,17
132:19 133:8	151:12 155:23	willing 8:11,15 9:3	88:7	15:10,11 17:25
135:4,20 136:21	week' 54:7	101:8 177:16	wish 28:2 190:1	25:21 32:8 41:18
136:23 138:10	weeks 3:24 6:10,13	window 65:9,13,20	wished 151:19	41:25 43:21,24
140:20 144:10,21	6:15,20,24 57:8	109:18	withdrew 79:24	46:24 47:7,13
146:12,20,25	78:19 146:7,24	windows 55:21	witness 2:2 35:7	71:21,22,23 84:8
148:16,17,24	weighs 2:13	65:10	43:7,8 45:6	87:15,17 88:2
150:17 151:10,19	weight 92:1	winds 54:18	46:22 47:23	89:10 128:12,17
152:21 159:9,12	welfare 68:21	wing 6:9,10,12,16	58:25 79:24	141:9 143:4,5,24
165:22 166:1	100:5 130:18	6:18,18,21,24 7:2	80:21 100:13	143:24 145:2,5
172:8 174:4	well-being 43:17	7:6,14,15 9:6,9	141:3 142:10	145:21 146:3
178:9 189:9	went 18:1 28:14	10:22,23 11:5,12	146:1 148:3,25	149:16 154:13
watch 21:16 76:8	38:1 54:6,6 55:5	11:17,17 12:17	150:9,23 152:11	160:25 180:7
	,		1000,20102.11	100.20 100.7
	<u> </u>	l	l	I

				Page 218
	09.12 101.25	160.0 0 21	11 100:19 149:1	171 49:21
works 151:3	98:13 101:25	160:9,9,21	11 100:19 149:1 11.28 69:4	171 49:21 175 51:23
worried 26:18,22 167:19	103:7,21 106:20 107:7	164:12,14 165:17 170:25 171:10,10	11.28 69:4 11.38 69:6	
worries 125:2	Yates's 104:4	175:4 177:14	11.52 80:5	18 20:1 88:11 104:4 150:8
	yeah 2:10 3:12,13	178:18,18 179:11	11.32 80.3 110 158:20	183 60:18 63:5
worry 84:23 139:15 158:25	4:12 5:13 6:4,4,7	179:25,25 180:11	110 138.20 1112 135:12	186 60:18
160:10,11	6:7 8:8,8 9:8,12	185:8 186:15	1112 133.12 112 169:5	188 64:4
worse 155:11	9:12,25,25 11:8	187:11,15 190:5	116 109:23 110:5	189 64:10
167:17,19 177:14	12:18,22 14:8	year 62:21 65:3	12 73:13 142:20	10904.10
worst 48:1 57:10	15:2 16:3,3,7,11	76:11 82:23	150:8 179:1	2
wouldn't 6:14,14	16:20 17:8,14,15	years 15:1 34:4	12.10 80:2	2 26:9 37:16 80:22
18:15,17 25:15	17:19,22,24,24	45:25 46:6 93:20	12.10 80.2 12.12 80:7	82:12 101:16,20
44:4,19,21 53:19	19:2,5,12 20:4,8	93:25 136:2	12.12 80.7 120 158:21 160:19	101:22 110:17
56:3 60:2 61:12	20:22,22 22:14	143:13 147:14,17	120 138.21 100.17 122 149:1	114:11 120:14
63:21 64:13	22:14,18 23:10	149:22 176:4	123 121:6,11 149:2	180:20 181:13
72:10 85:2 86:11	23:17,17,17 25:1	187:14	125 121:6,11 147.2	2.00 120:9,18
86:21 88:6 90:21	25:5,5,11 27:17	Yep 73:14	126 71:1	2.27 142:2
94:23 105:21,21	29:2 30:16 32:21	You' 38:1	128 71:2	2.45 141:25 142:4
108:9 112:5,18	33:16,17 35:23	young 145:18	13 45:5 46:6,12,12	20 4:17 37:22
118:2 133:7,11	36:2 37:3,3,11	148:9 154:7	95:12 144:17	89:19 110:18
133:12 139:13,24	38:1 39:11 42:11	140.7 134.7	134 21:12	158:20,21 168:18
144:17 149:16,19	42:11 53:1,1	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	136.17 168:8	201 75:4,9
166:10 175:2,13	54:18 55:1 56:13	Zaynab 26:8 30:18	137.17 114:11	2015 1:20 47:25
175:13,20 176:11	56:21,21,24	33:5 168:8 169:4	14 8:9 95:24	142:20 161:22
178:10,12,18	57:18,18,18 63:8	172:13	122:21 129:14	2016 49:6,22 81:9
179:11	65:11 66:16,16	zombies 180:9	142 191:12,14	82:23
wrapped 163:18	66:20 67:2 68:6		149 33:18	2017 1:23 4:2
wrapped 103:10 wrapping 164:6	68:17 69:23	0	15 4:17 39:20 80:2	47:19 57:22,23
Wright 102:3,3	71:23,25 72:14	0.5 168:9 171:11	100:7 134:13,17	66:18 77:2 81:9
106:24	74:1 76:4,4,11,18	00:25 181:25	141:24 172:14,17	82:10,11 88:9
wrist 103:10,11	76:23,23 81:14	00:45 181:25	177:9	93:9,12 95:9
write 73:6 94:7,11	81:16 82:17	04/05 100:10	152 35:7	96:1 109:22,25
116:1 117:19	84:19 86:24 87:4		1527 98:10	120:22 129:14
118:15 135:19	87:18,18,21 89:7	1	1527's 98:7	134:13 142:20
writing 94:6	91:25 94:4	1 80:20 96:2 135:9	16 39:20 53:9	143:19 161:23
written 29:20	124:18 125:2,2	136:10,13,20	100:9 172:14,17	166:14
57:25 94:3 95:24	125:16 126:23	137:6,12 180:20	161 38:20	2018 1:20 61:8
105:5 110:14,20	128:1,10 130:23	182:4 191:2,4	163 129:17	2020 81:10
wrong 8:12 33:8	136:13,20 137:13	1.00 120:6,16	167 131:23	2022 1:1 80:22
34:24 51:2 95:15	138:8 141:7	1:25 168:9 171:11	168 47:22 129:17	142:11 190:22
145:4	143:18 144:1,20	10 7:22 52:14	169 49:5	209 111:10,16
wrote 93:25	144:20 145:3,21	110:15 190:14,19	17 27:1	116:19
107:22	148:21,22,23	10.00 1:2 190:22	17:00 116:17	20s 83:3
	149:23 150:3	100 89:11 123:14	17:15 96:1	21 37:23 111:4
X	151:24 153:18	102 158:20	17:20 101:1	116:16
X 190:25	154:2,17,23	103 189:24	17:23 96:21 101:4	216 35:20
	155:8,11,12	104 59:13 93:10,23	17:25 95:9	218 35:22
<u>Y</u>	156:14,22 157:20	108:3	17:26 98:9	22 6:9,19
Yan 92:7,11,15	157:20 158:8,10	105 93:23	17:40 99:15	23 37:25
Yates 96:3,7 98:8	ĺ	107 93:10		24 60:25 91:6
	·	ı	1	1

Page 219

				Page 219
04.12 145.21	475 177.0	101.1		
94:12 145:21 166:17 184:14	475 177:8 48 92:10 140:23	184:4 90 90:4 166:18		
249 119:6	152:12	90 90:4 100:18 921 128:3		
25 37:25 93:10				
	49 84:15	923 128:3		
108:4	4th 95:21	94 69:14		
252 184:6	5	96 166:18		
26 93:10 95:20	5 26:8 51:4,6 53:10	98 166:18		
113:15 127:4	82:11 83:20			
26/4/2017 95:15	109:22,25 120:22			
260/261 119:19	181:12			
27 106:16 142:20	50 2:17			
166:18	30 2.17			
28 57:23 59:2,4	6			
107:8 109:22	6 33:6 53:12 65:21			
29 60:21 61:12	95:5 120:24			
84:10	124:12 172:16			
3	180:20 188:3			
3 101:16,21,22	6,500 162:9			
138:4 166:14	613 41:1			
167:10,12 188:3	68 17:16			
30 86:2 121:4	69 149:1			
30 86:2 121:4 31 135:6				
34 14:14	7			
	7 1:1 34:25 180:20			
35 138:4	184:13			
37 180:2	72 147:10			
38 180:2	74 73:21			
39 16:15	75 73:21 88:12			
390 111:18 115:18	106:17			
4	76 23:8 88:12			
4 2:2 30:17 84:21	764 184:14			
93:8,9,12 95:9	77 191:6			
96:1 167:10,12	78 23:18 188:3			
168:8 188:3	79 107:8			
4.09 182:9				
4.15 182:11	8			
4.30 190:20	8 5:25 34:22 84:11			
40 102:20 109:15	84:17,23 85:17			
129:16	85:18,19 102:11			
40s 109:11	146:1 181:12			
408 109:11 41 129:16	184:4,4 190:22			
41 129:16 42 12:1	8.00 85:22			
42 12:1 43 154:4	80 23:18 191:8,10			
43 134:4 44 13:1	84 89:18			
	87 167:4 188:3			
45 154:4	89 166:18			
463 172:17				
466 172:17	9			
47 152:12	9 84:17,23 142:11			
474 169:5				
		•	•	