BROOK HOUSE INQUIRY

~	**	~	ā!	
Second W	itness S	Statement	of [D393

I, D393 DF	PA, of	DPA	the Gamb	ia will say	as follows:
-------------------	--------	-----	----------	-------------	-------------

Introduction

- I am providing this statement to clarify a point in my first statement dated 25
 February 2022 in relation to the medication I received while I was detained at
 Brook House.
- 2. At paragraph 11 of my first statement, I state that I did not receive any medication for ADHD while I was detained at Brook House. That is correct. At paragraph 12 of my statement, I mistakenly stated that I am unsure whether I received any ADHD medication while I was detained at Brook House.
- 3. I confirm that I did not receive any medication for my ADHD while I was detained at Brook House.
- 4. As explained in paragraph 12, I received medication for my epilepsy and some other tablets, that I later identified as anti-psychotics. The initial uncertainty arose before I was able to clarify to my solicitor that the other tablets were in fact an anti-psychotic named olanzapine, and not tablets for my ADHD.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

I am content for this witness statement to form part of the evidence before the Brook House Inquiry and to be published on the Inquiry's website.

Name	D393	
TAITIE		
	 	
Signature	Signature	
8		
	D393 (Mar 9, 2022 08:37 GMT)	
Date	09.03 22	
Date	09.03 22	

1

Witness Name: D393
Statement No: Second
Exhibits: None