

RECORD OF CASE REVIEW

Case review number: 36

Details of case review

Date: 23/05/2017	Time 18:30 hrs	Location: Charlie Wing
---------------------	----------------	------------------------

Details of those invited

Name	Role (when case manager cannot attend, they must explain to the detainee who is to take their place at the review)	How contributed (e.g. attended, submitted written report, sent deputy, gave information by telephone) state if no contribution made.
Stewart Povey-Meier	Case Manager	Attended – reviewed case
D1527	Detainee	Attended
Mark Brewster	Wing staff	Attended
Alec Holden	Home Office	Provided Information
Karen Churcher	RMN	Provided information
Steve Dix	DCM Wing manager	Provided information

At this review

Level of risk reviewed and is now:	Low <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Raised <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/>
Problems identified reviewed	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Frequency of observations, conversations & recording requirements reviewed (if yes, explain reasoning below, and state frequency on front cover)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
WHERE A DETAINEE IS DUE TO BE REALEASED(including temporary admission) REFLECT PRE-RELEASE ARRANGEMENTS IN CAREMAP(see guidance on inside of back cover)	

Summary of review (if ACDT plan is closed, state why)

D1527 recognised me from around Brook House and he said that everything was ok, and he didn't want to hurt himself. He hadn't eaten and I asked him if he was feeling unwell about this and he said no. Then I asked him if his case was going ok, and whether solicitor was helping him. He turned around to me and said I don't want to talk about anything more and refused to talk anymore. I said to him my name is Stewart and that if he needed to talk just to ask and he said thank you.

He hasn't eaten from the severy today and Home Office said that the next thing in his case is an emergency travel document interview with Thomas Allen at Brook House on 30th May. The RMN's had told me as did Chrissie Williams from health care that he hasn't engaged with the healthcare when appointments had been made but they are concerned because no observations had been acquired. The last transactions from the shop were salted peanuts and a Coca Cola classic costing £2.75 on the 22.05.2017.

CAREMAP update YES ☐ No, as no new actions ☒

<p>If ACDT remains open</p> <p>Next review:(also note on front cover)</p> <p>Date: 25.05.2017 Time:</p> <p>Additionally to invited</p>	<p>If ACDT closed (see guidance on inside back cover)</p> <p>Post closure interview:</p> <p>Date: Time:</p> <p>(also note on front cover)</p> <p>Member of staff who will conduct this</p> <p>Follow up interview:</p>
<p>Case managers Signature: Signature</p>	<p>Date: 23.05.2017</p>

RECORD OF CASE REVIEW

Case review number: 213

Details of case review

Date: 26/04/2017	Time: 1040	Location: Eden Wing Office
Details of those invited		
Name	Role (when case manager cannot attend, they must explain to the detainee who is to take their place at the review)	How contributed (e.g. attended, submitted written report, sent deputy, gave information by telephone) state if no contribution made.
D1527	Detainee	Attended
D Haughton	Duty Director	Attended – chaired
D Roffey	Oscar 1	Attended
S Levett	Home Office	Gave info
I MacDonald	DCM	Attended
T McMillan	Unit Officer	Attended
C Francis	Unit Officer	Gave info
D Dowd	RMN	Attended
H Atwater	DCM	Case manager – could not attend not on site

At this review

Level of risk reviewed and is now:	Low <input type="checkbox"/>	Raised <input type="checkbox"/>	High X
Problems identified reviewed	Yes X	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Frequency of observations, conversations & recording requirements reviewed (if yes, explain reasoning below, and state frequency on front cover)	Yes X	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
WHERE A DETAINEE IS DUE TO BE RELEASED (including temporary admission) REFLECT PRE-RELEASE ARRANGEMENTS IN CAREMAP (see guidance on inside of back cover)			

Summary of review (if ACDT plan is closed, state why)
<p>D1527 is currently residing on Eden wing on a constant supervision. Yesterday D1527 attempted to eat a battery from his phone and later in the evening he attempted to strangle himself with a t-shirt he was also moved to rule 40 as he had refused to return to Eden wing on a number of occasions. The review of his rule 40 took place prior to this review and it was agreed that D1527 no longer needed to be on rule 40 so his door could remain open and he could take part in the E wing regimes.</p> <p>The review was conducted in the Eden wing office, those listed above contributed as detailed. D1527 entered the room and sat down, those present introduced themselves and the purpose of the review was explained to him. D1527 has a good level of English so a translator was not necessary.</p> <p>D1527 did not fully engage with the review he was despondent and seemed disconnected throughout. His body language was withdrawn and he made little to no eye contact, his responses were mumbled and quiet. Since D1527 has been on constant supervision he has made self-harm attempts on a daily basis, when asked what triggered this he stated that he has flashbacks which caused them. D1527 suggested that he could not dismiss his thoughts and medication was not helping to control flashbacks. When asked if he would self-harm if he were to return to general population he stated they would. He did not answer when asked if he wanted to die he said that it would be better to die here than in Egypt. There is currently no removal in place for D1527 and he knows this, but it does not change his thinking. He was asked if he is perusing release and he said he did not want to be released. He has a solicitor that he is in contact with and said that something would happen soon. He would not elaborate on this and it was felt that this was meant as an implicit threat suggesting he would attempt to harm himself soon.</p> <p>D1527 was asked what support he felt he needed and he could not think of anything, he currently appears to be making no effort to support himself or progress his case meaning his situation is likely to stagnate. The only thing D1527 seems to want is to move to a general population wing and share a room. He stated that he would self-harm again if this did not happen but also would give no assurance that his thoughts of harming would subside should he move as he was sharing a room in general population when he initially self-harmed triggering the constant supervision.</p> <p>It was the decision of those present that D1527 posed a high risk and that he should remain on constant supervision.</p>
<p>CAREMAP update YES X No, as no new actions <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p>If ACDT remains open</p> <p>Next review: (also note on front cover)</p> <p>Date: 27/04/2017 Time: AM</p> <p>Additionally to invite:</p> <p>Case managers Signature: Signature</p>	<p>If ACDT closed (see guidance on inside back cover)</p> <p>Post closure interview:</p> <p>Date: Time:</p> <p>(also note on front cover)</p> <p>Member of staff who will conduct this</p> <p>Follow up interview:</p> <p>Date: 26/04/2017</p>
---	--

RECORD OF CASE REVIEW

Case review number: 23

Details of case review

Date: 25 th April 2017	Time: 10.45 hours	Location: Eden Wing Office
-----------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

Details of those invited		
Name	Role (when case manager cannot attend, they must explain to the detainee who is to take their place at the review)	How contributed (e.g. attended, submitted written report, sent deputy, gave information by telephone) state if no contribution made.
Hayley ATTWATER	Case Manager	Did not attend
D1527	Detainee	Attended
DCO Bethany JUDD	Member of staff (from the detainees unit)	Attended
DCM Steve LOUGHTON	Oscar 1	Attended
DCM Michael YATES	Shadowing	Attended
Sara Edwards	Duty Director	Attended
Jo BUSS	Healthcare	Gave Info

At this review

Level of risk reviewed and is now:	High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Problems identified reviewed	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Frequency of observations, conversations & recording requirements reviewed (if yes, explain reasoning below, and state frequency on front cover)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
WHERE A DETAINEE IS DUE TO BE RELEASED (including temporary admission) REFLECT PRE-RELEASE ARRANGEMENTS IN CAREMAP (see guidance on inside of back cover)		

Summary of review (if ACDT plan is closed, state why)

D1527 stated he harmed himself as he had been placed on Eden Wing and wanted to return to his room as this would stop him doing it. We explored the fact he was on Eden wing because he was in a room with his friends and harmed himself. D1527 is not eating and says he will harm himself if he doesn't go back to a room, he also said he won't eat unless he goes back to his room. His room is no longer available. He made no eye contact throughout and appeared manipulative in his responses. He spoke minimally but seemed to only respond when he wanted something and made threats when he was informed as to the reasons why he was on the document and why he was on Eden wing. He has a solicitor and has claimed asylum, he would not discuss his triggers. All agreed remain on same level of obs based on his responses and his demeanour throughout the review.

Next Review: 26th April 2017. All agreed remain Constant Supervision
 CAREMAP update YES No, as no new actions ☒

If ACDT remains open Next review: (also note on front cover) Date: 26 th April 2017 Time: When Appropriate Additionally to invite: Healthcare, UKBA	If ACDT closed (see guidance on inside back cover) Post closure interview: Date: Time: (also note on front cover) Member of staff who will conduct this Follow up interview:
--	---

Case Managers Signature: Sara Edwards	Date: 25 th April 2017
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Signature

RECORD OF CASE REVIEW

Case review number

Details of case review

Date: 17/04/2017	Time: 2200	Location: B/116
------------------	------------	-----------------

Details of those invited

Name	Role	How contributed
Dave Aldis	DCM	Contributed
D1527	Detainee	Engaged
Andy Lyden	Oscar 1	Contributed
Mariola	Healthcare	Contributed

At this review

Level of risk reviewed and is now:	Low <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Raised <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>
Problems identified reviewed	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Frequency of observations, conversations & recording requirements reviewed (if yes, explain reasoning below, and state frequency on front cover)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	

Summary of review (if ACDT plan is closed, state why)



Emergency case review held after healthcare raised a concern regarding detainee.

We went to D1527's room to see how he was; He said he struggles to sleep at night and this frustrates him. He also informed us that he had a doctor's appointment tomorrow, we suggested that he makes sure he attends and speaks with the doctor regarding his medication and to see if he can be prescribed anything to help him sleep at night.

We asked but there was nothing else we could help him with but he said thank you and we told him to call his bell throughout the night if he wanted to speak with us and we would come and see him. Again he said thank you and we left him to try and sleep.

No raised concerns for the detainee and obs changed to 1 every 2 hours and review to be conducted again tomorrow as planned.

CAREMAP update YES ☐ No, as no new actions ☒

If ACDT remains open Next review: (also note on front cover) Date: 18/04/2017 Time: Additionally to invited healthcare	If ACDT closed (see guidance on inside back cover) Post closure interview: Date: Time: (also note on front cover) Member of staff who will conduct this Follow up interview:
Case managers Signature:  Signature 	Date: 17/04/2017